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INTRODUCTION
CHAPTER I

TOXOPLASMA GONDII AND TOXOPLASMOSIS

Toxoplasma gondii is a ubiquitous protozoan parasite that belongs to the Apicomplexa phylum. All the Apicomplexa (such as Plasmodium, Eimeria, Cryptosporidium, Neospora and Theileria) are intracellular parasites and agents of a wide variety of diseases in animals and humans.

T. gondii, in particular, is able to infect all warm-blooded animals, causing one of the most common parasite infections, toxoplasmosis. As an obligate intracellular parasite, T. gondii replicates within a parasitophorous vacuole (PV), which is a structure formed by the parasite within the host cell at the time of invasion. During the acute phase of infection, the intracellular parasite escapes the host immune response and colonizes the whole infected organism. In organs where the pressure of the host immune system is weaker such as in the brain, the PV differentiates into a cyst, while the fast dividing tachyzoite stage (characteristic of the acute stage of infection) differentiates into the slowly dividing or quiescent bradyzoite stage. This differentiation is typical of the chronic phase of infection. Within these quiescent cysts bradyzoites can persist for the entire life of the infected individual.

I-1 TOXOPLASMA GONDII: HISTORY AND PHYLOGENY

T. gondii was identified for the first time in 1908 independently by Nicolle and Manceaux, who isolated a protozoan from the tissues of a North African rodent, Ctenodactylus gundi, and by Splendore, who discovered the same parasite in a rabbit in Brazil (Nicolle and Manceaux, 1909; Splendore, 1909). The name “Toxoplasma gondii” was attributed to the isolated protozoan by Nicolle and Manceaux according to the parasite shape (toxon= arc or bow, plasma= life) and the infected host.

Following this primary identification, several Toxoplasma-like infections were described in various animal species, including humans in the 1920s. Step by step, knowledge on human toxoplasmosis increased, particularly during the 1970/80s. When the immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) became epidemic, T. gondii became the major cause of morbidity and mortality in patients infected by the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). The complete parasite life cycle and the different ways by which the parasite can be transmitted were discovered between the 1960s and the 1970s by Hutchison (Hutchison, 1965) and later by Frenkel and Dubey (Frenkel et al., 1969).

Within the Alveolata super-phylum T. gondii is a protozoan that belongs to the Apicomplexa phylum, the Conoidasida class, the Eucoccidiorida order, the Sarcocystidae family, the Toxoplasmatinae subfamily, in which one single genus (Toxoplasma) and one single species (T. gondii) has been described (Figure 1). The parasites of the Apicomplexa phylum are characterized by a highly developed structure called the apical complex at their anterior region, and which is used for host cell invasion.
Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree of Apicomplexa parasites.
The distinction between parasites of human medical and/or veterinary importance is based on the molecular analyses by Beck et al., 2009.

Among the Apicomplexa, *T. gondii* is the most experimentally tractable: easy to amplify *in vitro* and readily amenable to genetic manipulations, it became the parasite model i) to study the molecular and cellular mechanisms of cell invasion and ii) to explore the genetic bases of virulence in intracellular protozoan parasites.

I-2 THE PARASITE LIFE CYCLE

*Toxoplasma gondii* differs from the other Apicomplexa parasites by its ability to infect a wide range of hosts. All the warm-blooded animals (carnivores, herbivores and birds), including humans, constitute its intermediate hosts. In their tissues the parasite exhibits an incomplete life cycle and multiplies only as asexual stages. In cats and other Felids, that are the parasite’s definitive hosts, *T. gondii* develops a complete life cycle with both sexual- and asexual stages (Figure 2).
Except for congenital infections, the parasite is acquired by the oral route. In intermediate hosts the parasite can be encountered as 2 different stages, the tachyzoite and the bradyzoite, respectively. In definitive Felid hosts, the same stages as those encountered in intermediate hosts are described. However, sexual stages also develop in the digestive tract, leading to excretion of parasite eggs called oocysts, which must sporulate in the environment (last step of maturation) to become infectious for both intermediate and definitive hosts. Oocysts contain infectious sporozoites. From Hunter and Sibley, 2012.

I-2.1) The asexual cycle occurs in intermediate hosts

The intermediate hosts (all warm-blooded animals) can be infected by ingestion of mature oocysts present on contaminated vegetables or in contaminated water, or by ingestion of tissue cysts present in infected raw or undercooked meat (Figure 2). The oocysts and the cysts represent indeed the
dissemination and infectious structures of the parasite (Figure 2). They contain, respectively, infectious sporozoites and bradyzoites. Consecutively to the digestion of the oocyst/cyst wall in the intestinal tract, the zoites they contain rapidly differentiate into tachyzoites, which constitute the fast dividing and disseminating stage of the parasite. Once these tachyzoites have infected the intestinal epithelium, they divide actively (by an asexual type of division process called endodyogeny), disseminate throughout the body via the vascular system and reach muscle tissues and the brain. This acute infection is usually rapidly controlled by the host immune response, which is initiated as soon as the first contact with the parasite and fully develops 7 to 10 days post-infection (PI). This host innate immune response is crucial for both the host and the parasite since i) if uncontrolled, the infection would kill the host and ii) it participates to the differentiation of tachyzoites to bradyzoites and the formation of cysts in tissues, where the immune pressure is lower, i.e. the muscles, the brain, the eyes and the testicles. Tissue cysts cannot be eliminated by the immune system and they may remain viable for the entire life-time of the infected host, meaning that the chronic phase of infection lasts as long as the infected host survives (Dubey et al., 1970; Ferguson et al., 1975).

I-2.2) The sexual cycle in definitive hosts

Definitive hosts (Felids) can be infected by the parasite after eating oocysts present on the ground, on vegetables and in contaminated water, or by carnivorism of infected mice and birds, which contain tissue cysts in their brain and muscles.

In addition to the differentiation processes which have been described for intermediate hosts (see the paragraph 1.2-1), some tachyzoites differentiate into merozoites in the gut. These merozoites initiate the parasite sexual cycle: they differentiate into micro- and macrogemetes. Fertilization leads to the formation of immature oocysts, which are released into the intestinal lumen and are eventually ejected into the external environment with the cat feces. Sporulation (i.e. maturation) of these oocysts takes place outdoor and leads to mature oocysts, which are resistant to chemical- or physical agents, can remain viable for extended periods of time and contain highly infectious sporozoites (Dubey at al., 1970; Ferguson et al., 1975).

I-3 TOXOPLASMA GONDII: CLONAL LINEAGES

Due to its complex life cycle that alternates between sexual replication in Felids and asexual replication in a wide-range of warm-blooded animals including humans, T. gondii has a worldwide distribution. In the recent years, molecular analyses of strains sampled mainly in North America,
Europe and South America have revealed significant differences among the parasite populations described in the two hemispheres.

Despite the presence of a sexual cycle, the population structure of *T. gondii* isolated in North America and Europe is remarkably clonal. The majority of isolates belongs to one of the three major clonal lineages, *i.e.* types I, II, or III (*Howe and Sibley, 1995; Sibley and Boothroyd, 1992*). A fourth clonal lineage, type 12, has been described in North America from isolates of wild animals (*Khan et al., 2011*). In contrast, an entirely distinct pattern is observed in Central and South America. Various lineages that show greater genetic diversity within- and divergence between the groups have indeed been observed, reflecting more frequent recombination events (*Khan et al., 2007; Lehmann et al., 2006; Pena et al., 2008*).

To date, one can regret that there is no standard nomenclature for Toxoplasma genotypes. The historical nomenclature indeed refers to the clonal lineages called types I, II, III as well as to other atypical strains (*Howe and Sibley, 1995; Grigg et al., 2001; Su et al., 2003*). Several molecular techniques, such as polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphisms analysis (PCR-RFLP) (*Howe and Sibley, 1995; Su et al., 2010; 2012*), microsatellite DNA analysis (*Ajzenberg et al., 2002; 2010*) and multi-locus DNA sequence typing of introns (*Khan et al., 2007; 2011*) allowed to refine the population structure of *T. gondii*. PCR-RFLP analysis of 1,457 strains isolated from domestic and wild animals with chronic infection identified 189 genotypes. Among them, 10 genotypes (#1 to #10) were shown to compose the conventional Types I, II and III (*Table 1*). The genotypes #1, #2, and #3, which correspond respectively to Type II clonal, Type III and Type II variant, were identified worldwide and are particularly highly prevalent in Europe. In North America the prevalent genotypes are #1, #2, #3, #4 and #5. Genotypes #2 and #3 (Type III and II variant) are prevalent in Africa, while genotypes #9 and #10 (Chinese1 and Type I) are more often encountered in East Asia. The Type 12, described as a dominant lineage in wild life in North America, is associated with high mortality in sea otters along the coast of California. In Central and South America *T. gondii* strains display a highly diverse population structure, in which no genotype appears to be clearly dominant. The 10 genotypes the most represented are #2, #6, #7, #8, #11, #3, #65, #13, #19 and #146. Of these genotypes, #2 (Type III) is predominant and is found in many countries of the region (*Shwab et al., 2014; Su et al., 2010; 2012; Miller et al., 2008*).

The various genotypes have been linked to different levels of virulence, which is defined in mice for Toxoplasma infections. Virulent type I strains kill laboratory- and outbred strains of mice within 10-12 days with a lethal dose (LD) of 1 parasite, while type II and III strains are less virulent (median LD ≥ 10^3 parasites) (*Sibley and Boothroyd, 1992; Taylor et al., 2006*). Strain type-dependent virulence was shown to be linked to the polymorphism of secreted proteins such as PV membrane (PVM)-associated ROP5/ROP18 complexes that allow resistance to interferon-γ (IFN-γ) activated immunity-related GTPases (IRG) dependent innate killing mechanisms (see paragraph 1.4-1b).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conventional genotypes</th>
<th>ToxoDB PCR-RFLP genotypes</th>
<th>Representative isolates</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type I, type 1</td>
<td>#10</td>
<td>GT1</td>
<td>Su et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II, type 2 (type 2 clonal)</td>
<td>#1</td>
<td>PTG</td>
<td>Su et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II, type 2 (type 2 variant)</td>
<td>#3</td>
<td>PRU</td>
<td>Su et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type III, type 3</td>
<td>#2</td>
<td>VEG</td>
<td>Su et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 12, atypical, exotic</td>
<td>#4</td>
<td>B41</td>
<td>Khan et al., 2011; Su et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 12, atypical, exotic, includes Type X and Type A</td>
<td>#5</td>
<td>ARI</td>
<td>Khan et al., 2011; Su et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type BrI, atypical, exotic, Africa 1</td>
<td>#6</td>
<td>FOU, TgCatBr2</td>
<td>Pena et al., 2008; Mercier et al., 2010; Su et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type BrII, atypical, exotic</td>
<td>#11</td>
<td>TgCatBr1</td>
<td>Pena et al., 2008; Su et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type BrIII, atypical, exotic</td>
<td>#8</td>
<td>P89</td>
<td>Pena et al., 2008; Su et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TgPgUs15), TgCatBr3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type BrIV, atypical, exotic</td>
<td>#17</td>
<td>MAS,</td>
<td>Pena et al., 2008; Su et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TgCkBr147</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese 1, atypical, exotic</td>
<td>#9</td>
<td>TgCtPRC4</td>
<td>Dubey et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2011; Su et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. Genotype designation of major Toxoplasma gondii lineages**

From Shwab et al., 2014.

Together, these studies suggested a clonal population structure in the Northern hemisphere and an epidemic population structure in the Southern hemisphere. The reasons of this geographical difference could be related to various causes:

- *i)* the transformation of European, North American and East Asian landscapes during history, which led to the reduced presence of wild animals correlated to an increased number of genetically uniform domestic animals (Shwab et al., 2014);

- *ii)* a “founder effect” in the Northern hemisphere. Strains of South or Central America could have been introduced from these regions into other continents by maritime trading of goods and transport of infected animals, such as rodents or pet animals (Lehmann et al., 2006). Competition or recombination between these strains during their successive
replications could thus be the reason for the current clonal population structure in the Northern hemisphere;

iii) the diversity and the high number of animal hosts in tropical and sub-tropical regions may have allowed the selection of different *T. gondii* genotypes, enabling a wider variety of strains to proliferate (Shwab *et al.*, 2014).

**I-4 TOXOPLASMOSIS IN HUMANS**

**I-4.1) The immune response during toxoplasmosis**

In immunocompetent individuals the infection by *Toxoplasma gondii* is controlled by the activity of the immune system. Consecutively to a non-specific innate immune response, the infection is controlled mainly by a T helper-1 (Th1) cell-mediated specific immune response that is predominantly mediated by IFN-γ mainly produced by natural killer cells (NK), T cells and neutrophils (Yarovinsky, 2014). The immune response during the acute phase of infection limits the parasite replication, allows the differentiation of tachyzoites into bradyzoites and the development of intracellular cysts, which are characteristic of the chronic phase. Moreover, the immunity acquired following the first infection controls the reactivation of encysted parasites and allows long specific protection against new parasite infections (Capron and Dessaint, 1988).

a) The innate immune response

Following parasite infection patrolling neutrophils, monocytes, and dendritic cells (DC) are recruited at the site of infection (*Liu et al.*, 2006; *Dunay et al.*, 2008). A critical function of these innate immune cells is their ability to “sense” the presence of pathogens by their recognition of pathogen motifs called pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMP) by their receptors called toll-like receptors (TLR). Mice lacking the adapter myeloid differentiation factor 88 (MyD88), which is required for signaling downstream most TLR, were shown to be acutely susceptible to toxoplasmosis (*Scanga et al.*, 2002). This is indeed MyD88, which relays the production of interleukin-12 (IL-12) by these innate immune cells, IL-12 being the cytokine that allows the activation of Th1 cells.

Many TLR, such as TLR2, 4, 7, 9, 11 and 12 are involved in sensing the presence of *T. gondii*. For examples:

i) TLR11 was shown to recognize profilin, which is an essential actin-binding protein that regulates parasite motility and host cell invasion (*Plattner et al.*, 2008), and which is also released from parasites. Various studies showed that TLR11 (present in endolysosomes), in
association with the TLR12 receptor, mediates the recruitment of MyD88 to initiate the downstream signaling cascade that triggers the production of IL-12 (Andrade et al., 2013; Raetz et al., 2013). However, the precise mechanism behind this TLR11-TLR12 cooperation remains to be clarified;

ii) TLR7 and TLR9 have been implicated in the detection of Toxoplasma RNA and genomic DNA, respectively (Andrade et al., 2013);

iii) TLR2 and TLR4 were shown to recognize the glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) moiety of the major parasite surface proteins (Debierre-Grockiego et al., 2007).

Many studies were performed to define the immune cells (neutrophils, DC, NK cells, macrophages) that produce the pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-12 and/or tumor necrosis factor α (TNF α), which amplify the Th1 specific response and the production of IFN-γ (Figure 3). Interestingly, neutrophils were described as being rapidly recruited at the site of infection to be an early source of IL-12 released from their internal stores independently of the presence of IFN-γ (Bliss et al., 2000; Sturge et al., 2013). They are also important cells to protect TLR11-depleted mice from T. gondii infection (Sturge et al., 2013) and to activate and recruit indirectly more DCs in order to amplify the IL-12 production. Infected mice depleted in neutrophils exhibit a low level of IL-12 correlated with an increased parasite proliferation (Bliss et al., 2001).

Interestingly, parasitized DC (as well as microglia) were shown to exhibit a hyper-migratory phenotype in vitro, associated with enhanced parasite dissemination in mice (Lambert et al., 2006). Recent studies showed that the PVM-associated protein Tg14-3-3 is the mediator of this DC hyper-motility (Weidner et al., 2016). In vivo models confirmed the major role of DC and more particularly, that of the CD8α+ subset, as the primary source of IL-12 (Mashayekhi et al., 2011; Raetz et al., 2013). Recent findings indeed showed that naive CD8α+ DC selectively express the transcription factor “IFN regulatory factor 8” (IRF8), which leads to their specific production of IL-12 (Sturge and Yarovinsky, 2014). Activation of this DC subset was shown to be crucial to initiate the adaptive immune response. Within the first few days that follow an oral infection, infected DCs migrate from the intestine (first site of parasite infection) to the mesenteric- and draining lymph nodes (Courret et al., 2006; Luu and Coombes, 2015). In the para-cortical region of these lymph nodes activated DCs initiate a vigorous immune response that primes naive T cells (Chtanova et al., 2009).

By contrast, TNFα was shown to be mostly produced by macrophages and monocytes via other signaling pathways (not activated by TLR11), such as that involving nuclear factor κ B (NF-κB).

The NK cells play an important role in the innate response to Toxoplasma infection due to their production of large amounts of IFN-γ and their cytotoxicity against the parasite. They can also promote adaptive immune responses. Two different studies indeed showed that, in absence of CD4+ T cells, NK cells help the CD8+ T cell response (Combe et al., 2005), while their IFNγ production optimizes the CD4+ T cell response (Goldszmid et al., 2007).
b) The adaptive immune response

The adaptive immune response is represented by the activity of both CD4\(^+\) and CD8\(^+\) T cells. Early studies showed that mice lacking T cells do not survive latent infection (Hunter et al., 1994), while depletion in T cells during the chronic phase re-activates the disease (Gazzinelli et al., 1992). CD4\(^+\)T cells play important roles both during the early stage of infection by their contribution to optimal B- and CD8\(^+\) T cell responses, and during the chronic phase by their production of IFN-\(\gamma\) (Dupont et al., 2012).

Once released, IFN-\(\gamma\) binds to the IFN\(\gamma\) receptor (IFN-\(\gamma\)R), which eventually leads to the activation of the transcription factor “signal transducer and activator of transcription 1” (STAT1) (Kim et al., 2007). In macrophages and monocytes STAT1 induces the transcription of many genes implicated in antimicrobial responses, such as the gene encoding inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), which leads to the production of nitric oxide (NO). Activation of macrophages by IFN-\(\gamma\) also leads to their production of toxic reactive-oxygen species (ROS) (Arsenijevic et al., 2001). The production of both NO and ROS constitutes two main mechanisms by which macrophages efficiently kill the parasites that are protected by their PV (Figure 3). In addition, in the hours that follow invasion, mouse macrophages as well as non-hematopoietic cells up-regulate two families of defense proteins, namely IRGs and guanylate-binding proteins (GBPs) (Butcher, et al., 2005; Howard et al., 2011; Yamamoto et al., 2012), that are recruited to the PV, attack it, leading to rapid parasite death (Figure 3).

Activated CD8\(^+\) T cells not only produce IFN-\(\gamma\) but also kill infected cells. The CD8\(^+\) T cell response is initiated when naive CD8\(^+\) T cells encounter their cognate antigen presented by a class I major histocompatibility complex (MHC\(_{I}\)) at the surface of an antigen-presenting cell (APC), accompanied by co-stimulatory and cytokine signals (Dupont et al., 2012). The first studies on the CD8\(^+\) T cell response characterized the Surface Antigen 1 (SAG1) as a major target of CD8\(^+\) T cells (Khan et al., 1988). Other T epitopes have since been characterized in GRA3, GRA4, GRA6, GRA7 and ROP7 (Frickel et al., 2008; Blanchard et al., 2008; Tan et al., 2010). These T epitopes have been shown to be presented in the context of the H2-Ld allele of MHC\(_{I}\), while the T epitope from the Tgd\(_{057}\) antigen is presented in the context of the H2-Kb allele of MHC\(_{I}\) (Wilson et al., 2010). Among these T epitopes, that described in the C-terminal region of GRA6 was shown to be restricted to GRA6\(_{II}\) (which is the GRA6 protein expressed by type II parasites) (Blanchard et al., 2008; Dupont et al., 2012).

Another important arm for the resistance to toxoplasmosis is the humoral response. B cells produce specific antibodies that help kill the parasites (Dupont et al., 2012). As mentioned before, the optimal B cell response requires CD4\(^+\) T cell activity, as confirmed by mice deficient- or depleted in CD4\(^+\)T cells, which display lower specific antibody titers (Johnson et al., 2002). Although the humoral immune response plays a second role in parasite elimination, various classes of antibodies (immunoglobulins A (IgA), IgE, IgM and IgG) are produced and are crucial for the protection against new Toxoplasma.
infections (Yap and Sher, 1999). In humans, detection of specific IgG and IgM are at the basis of most of the toxoplasmosis diagnosis tests (see paragraph 1.5-1).

c) Control of the inflammatory response
As for any other infection, the intensity of the immune response mounted against *T. gondii* must be tightly regulated to avoid immune-pathologic effects due to excessive inflammation. Soon after infection and upon stimulation by still unidentified parasite antigens, DC up-regulate 5-lipoxygenase (5LO), which leads to the production of Lipoxin A4 (LXA4). This eicosanoid links the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (Ahr) that translocates to the nucleus, blocking the production of IL-12 (Aliberti et al., 2002; Sanchez et al., 2016). This transitory paralysis of DCs that occurs ~ 24 hours PI and lasts for about one week is supposed to give time to the parasite for efficient dissemination, while diminishing the negative effects of a too strong inflammatory response. Although systemic infection with *T. gondii* triggers a transient increase in activated T CD4⁺ Th1 cells (see the above paragraph), it was recently shown that it was also correlated with a decrease in the size of the naive CD4⁺ T lymphocytes pool, destruction of the thymus epithelium and disruption of the thymus architecture, leading to an immunocompromised state that promotes chronic infection (Kugler et al., 2016). Among the mechanisms that dampen the inflammatory response, IL-10 and IL-27 secreted by macrophages, DC, NK cells, B and T cells during both the acute and the chronic phases of infection limit the accessory and adaptive cells responses (Hunter et al., 1994; Moore et al., 2001; Hall et al., 2012) (Figure 3).
Figure 3. Schematic representation of important steps of the immune response against *T. gondii*

a) Neutrophils (not represented on this scheme), monocytes, macrophages and DC are the first cells to respond to the infection. Recognition of secreted profilin by TLR11 at the surface of DC triggers their production of IL-12. In addition to IL-12 monocytes and macrophages also produce TNFα in response to their TLR2 and TLR4 detection of parasite GPI-anchored proteins. b) IL-12 and TNFα pro-inflammatory cytokines induce NK cells, CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells to produce IFNγ. IL-10 and IL-27 are key cytokines that modulate these major defense pathways and prevent the overproduction of Th1-type cytokines. c) The production of IFNγ during the innate and adaptive phases is responsible for activating the cells that control parasite proliferation. Binding of IFNγ to its macrophage surface receptor (IFNγR) activates the STAT1 transcription factor. In response to STAT1, monocytes and macrophages up-regulate their production of NO and ROS, while both mouse hematopoietic...
and non-hematopoietic cells up-regulate the two families of intracellular defense proteins (IRG and GBP). The function of IRG and GBP depends on autophagy protein 5 (ATG5). From Hunter and Sibley, 2012

I-4.2) Pathogenicity

Toxoplasmosis is one of the most common parasitic zoonoses worldwide. Epidemiological studies have shown that the prevalence of toxoplasmosis differs between countries, between the regions of a given country and between different communities in a given region. Climate, socio-economic factors, food habits and the age at which infection occurs play important roles in the parasite distribution. Low seroprevalences (10 to 30%) have been observed in North America, South-East Asia, and Northern Europe. Moderate seroprevalences (30 to 50%) have been found in countries of Central and Southern Europe, while high prevalences are common in Latin America and tropical African countries (Robert-Gagneaux and Dardé, 2012). Toxoplasmosis is usually an asymptomatic- or a paucisymptomatic disease in over 80% of the cases or it leads to mild flu-like symptoms in immune-competent patients. However, it is more serious in immune-compromised patients (Luft and Remington, 1992) or during pregnancy because transmission of tachyzoites to the developing fetus can be devastating, making T. gondii a leading cause of congenital neurological birth defects in humans (Swisher et al., 1994).

a) Toxoplasmosis in immune-competent patients

The recently acquired infection, which corresponds to the acute phase of infection, is asymptomatic in more than 80% of immune-competent individuals. In some cases, patients may experience fever or cervical lymphadenopathy, sometimes associated with myalgia, asthenia, and various aspecific clinical signs. These symptoms usually resolve spontaneously within a few weeks or within a month at the most (Montoya and Liesenfeld, 2004). Tissue cysts, typical of the latent infection, are normally well controlled by the immune system. However, recent studies showed that the chronic phase of toxoplasmosis could be linked to the development of mental disorders and, in particular, schizophrenia and bipolar disorders. Schizophrenic patients indeed display an increased prevalence of specific antibodies against T. gondii (Torrey et al., 2007; Mortensen et al., 2007; de Barros et al., 2017).

The severity of infection is also related to the genotype of the infecting parasite strain. As stated above, the low prevalence and the low severity of infection in North America and Europe is correlated with type II strains. The strains present in South America usually lead to more severe infections. Acquisition of toxoplasmosis during childhood or adulthood in Brazil was for example shown to account for high levels of visual impairment and toxoplasmosis is a leading cause of blindness in South America (De Boer et al., 2003). Recently, new “atypical” strains, which caused lethal infections in immune-competent individuals, were isolated in French Guiana. During these infections, the subjects
developed fatal pneumonitis, myocarditis, meningo-encephalitis, or polymyositis (inflammatory myopathies) (Carme et al., 2002; Robert-Gagneaux and Dardé, 2012).

b) Toxoplasmosis in immune-compromised patients

The host immune background is of prime importance to restrict and control the infection by *T. gondii*. Various factors impair the protective cellular immune response such as HIV infection, immunosuppressive therapies administered in the context of haematopoietic stem cells- (HSCT) or solid organ transplant, or a therapy against cancer. In immune-compromised patients who had been infected before their immune-depression, toxoplasmosis is life-threatening, resulting in the rupture of brain cysts and reactivation of the latent disease: bradyzoites present in the central nervous system (CNS) indeed reverse to tachyzoites, which cause severe encephalitis that can be fatal if not treated in time. In HIV-infected patients toxoplasmic encephalitis (TE) is the predominant manifestation of the disease, while pulmonary or disseminated toxoplasmosis are more characteristic of transplant patients (Robert-Gagneaux and Dardé, 2012).

In the case of solid organ transplanted patients, toxoplasmosis occurs when the transplanted organ is from a *Toxoplasma*-seropositive donor and the immunosuppressive therapy is at its maximum. The *Toxoplasma*-seronegative recipient is then exposed for the first time to the parasite, and develops a severe primary infection. By contrast, for HSCT patients, the highest risk is that of reactivation of the infection that occurred prior to the transplantation. To prevent the infection, serological screening of both donors and recipients is necessary to identify the potential risks of toxoplasmosis (Derouin and Pelloux, 2008).

c) Congenital toxoplasmosis

Congenital infection results from the transmission of tachyzoites to a fetus consecutively to the primary infection of the mother-to-be. The frequency of vertical transmission and the severity of fetal damages depend on the stage of pregnancy, when maternal infection occurs. The placenta is indeed an efficient barrier at the beginning of the gestation, *i.e.* during the first trimester, efficiently limiting the passage of parasites, while its permeability increases over the course of pregnancy. The rate of transmission rises to 30-50% in pregnant women infected during their second trimester and reaches 60-70% in women infected during their third trimester of pregnancy. Conversely, the severity of fetal infection is inversely proportional: the clinical manifestations of congenital toxoplasmosis are more severe when the infection is acquired at the beginning of the gestation. In these cases, the CNS is commonly affected causing encephalomyelitis, which can lead to fetal death (Hampton, 2015).

Congenital infections also constitute important veterinary problems, as they are responsible for a high percentage of spontaneous abortions in sheep breeding (Buxton, 1998).
I-5 DIAGNOSIS, TREATMENTS AND VACCINES

I-5.1) The diagnosis of toxoplasmosis

To date, a serious issue of *T. gondii* infection still remains congenital toxoplasmosis. This is why systematic screening of toxoplasmosis is commonly performed in pregnant women in various countries of Western and central Europe, *i.e.* France, Austria, Slovenia, Belgium, Italy, Germany and Spain. The diagnosis can be performed using various biological methods (tissue histology, PCR, serology), but the diagnosis techniques performed in routine are serological tests (automated or semi-automated immunoassays most of the time; Villard *et al.*, 2016). Serology allows the detection of *Toxoplasma*-specific IgM and IgG antibodies. High levels of IgM antibodies characterize the acute phase of infection, while IgG are the signature of a more ancient infection. Because IgM can persist for years in some cases, dating the infection relies now on the determination of IgG avidity, which is low at the beginning of infection and increases as the infection becomes more ancient (Hill and Dubey, 2002).

I-5.2) Treatments available against toxoplasmosis

The common treatment against toxoplasmosis is a combination of pyrimethamine (inhibitor of the dihydrofolate reductase enzyme, DHFR) and sulfonamide (inhibitor of dihydropteroate synthase), administered with folinic acid (leucovorin). Since the parasite synthesizes folates *de novo* (unlike its mammalian host, it is unable to use preformed dietary folates), the combination of pyrimethamine-sulfonamide aims at blocking the biosynthesis of parasite folate, thus its nucleic acids and consequently, parasite replication, while leucovorin is used to decrease the toxic effects of pyrimethamine (Katlama *et al.*, 1996). However, there are limitations to the use of this drug combination:

i) the drugs, in particular sulfonamides, are not always well tolerated and they generate important secondary effects. Other molecules such as clindamycin (lincosamide antibiotic that binds to the 50s ribosomal subunit of bacteria and thus targets the protozoan mitochondrion) can be used as alternative treatments to the combination of sulfonamide-pyrimethamine. Atovaquone (hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone; structural toxic analog of Protozoa ubiquinone - coenzyme Q - and thus inhibitor of the mitochondrial electron transport system, thus resulting in a default of the biosynthesis of pyrimidines) is another possible drug in patients unable to tolerate sulfonamides and clindamycin (Oz, 2014);

ii) the teratogenic effect of pyrimethamine prevents its use in pregnant women, for whom a single molecule, spiramycin, has received the agreement and can be administered to
reduce the parasite transmission. However, spiramycin has no effect on the severity of the disease in an already infected fetus;

iii) importantly, even if the combination pyrimethamine-sulfonamides/leucovorin targets efficiently proliferating tachyzoites during the acute phase of infection, it remains useless against the tissue cysts that are characteristic of the latent infection. Only atovaquone was shown to reduce the number of brain cysts in infected hamsters (Gormley et al., 1998).

Studies performed on the metabolic activity of the apicoplast showed that some herbicides or their enzymatic analogs could become efficient anti-parasitic drugs (Biszanz et al., 2006; Nagamune et al., 2008; Endeshaw et al., 2010).

I-5.3) Vaccines against toxoplasmosis

a) Available vaccine against sheep congenital toxoplasmosis

Only a few vaccines against veterinary protozoan diseases, among which the commercial “Ovilis Toxovax” (Intervet), have been developed with success. Used against congenital toxoplasmosis in sheep, “Ovilis Toxovax” consists in an injectable suspension of attenuated parasites of the strain S48, which was originally isolated from a case of ovine abortion in New Zealand. Following approximately 3,000 passages in mice, this strain lost its ability to differentiate into tissue cysts in mice and into oocysts in cats (Buxton and Innes, 1995; Innes et al., 2009) and it is thus used to prevent abortions due to toxoplasmosis in sheep. This kind of live vaccine cannot be used in humans because of its high risk of parasite reactivation.

b) Development of a vaccine against human toxoplasmosis

To be protective, a vaccine has to stimulate both the humoral- and the cellular immune responses, which is difficult to achieve with sub-unit- or inactivated vaccines. Conversely, even if live vaccines are more efficacious, they present high risks of reactivation and are not easy to use because of their short shelf-life and the precautions that must be taken to preserve their efficacy.

No vaccine is currently available against human toxoplasmosis and the development of an efficacious vaccine remains a priority.

Two main classes of antigens are considered as potential vaccine candidates:

i) surface antigens and in particular, the tachyzoite major surface antigen, SAG1 (Wu et al., 2012; Dziadek et al., 2012);

ii) Excretory-Secretory Antigens (ESAs), which represent 90% of the circulating antigens and are highly immunogenic. The production of monoclonal antibodies against ESAs showed that dense granule proteins (GRA) constitute major components of these ESAs. Many of these GRA were described as constituting potential vaccine candidates (Golkar
et al., 2007; Jongert et al., 2008; Hiszczynska-Sawicka et al., 2011; Min et al., 2012; Ching et al., 2016).

The recent studies related to vaccine development proposed multi-epitope DNA vaccines composed of CD8+ T cell-eliciting- and CD4+ helper T lymphocyte epitope(s) administered with lipid adjuvant (El Bissati et al., 2016), coated with calcium phosphate nanoparticles (Rahimi et al., 2017) or recombinant proteins formulated in Poly (DL-lactide-co-glycolide microspheres (Allahyari et al., 2016), or virus-like particles (VLPs) (Lee et al., 2016) to increase both the cellular and humoral responses and consequently induce a higher protection in animal models.

Interestingly, vaccination of mice bearing highly aggressive ovarian cancer with a safe, non-replicating, non-cyst forming vaccine strain of T. gondii (type I uracil auxotrophic mutant) was shown to effectively reverse tumor-associated immune suppression and activate potent anti-tumor immunity (Baird et al., 2013). This attenuated parasite strain was also shown to stimulate immunity to pancreatic cancer (Sanders et al., 2015). Thus, the use of knocked-out Toxoplasma parasites could represent an interesting strategy to develop vaccines against some cancers. Recently, the anti-tumor response against established ovarian cancer was shown to be dependent on proteins secreted by the parasite before- or at the time of invasion (respectively, ROP and GRA proteins, see chapters III and IV) (Fox et al., 2016).
Toxoplasma gondii is an obligate intracellular pathogen that infects any kind of nucleated cell. The host cell invasion is an active mechanism, which leads to the formation of a PV, an intracellular compartment in which the parasite resides safely and replicates. After a brief introduction on the organelles that can be found in a tachyzoite cell, I will focus on the invasion process and the early steps of this PV formation.

II-1 SUB-CELLULAR ORGANIZATION OF THE TOXOPLASMA TACHYZOITE

The tachyzoite is the parasite invasive stage that disseminates during the acute stage of infection in both intermediate and definitive hosts. The tachyzoite is a crescent-shaped cell (approximately 7 x 2 μm), with a slightly pointed anterior end important for cell motility, and a rounded posterior end. The tachyzoite is a eukaryotic cell, with typical organelles of a eukaryotic cell (a nucleus, a Golgi apparatus, an endoplasmic reticulum (ER), a plant-like vacuole and ribosomes), but it is also organized in a unique manner, comprising: i) an unusual cytoskeleton composed of sub-pellicular microtubules and intermediate filaments as well as a conoid; ii) endosymbiosis-derived organelles (one mitochondrion and one non-photosynthetic apicoplast); iii) three types of Apicomplexa-specific secretory organelles (micronemes, rhoptries, and dense granules (DG)). The conoid as well as the micronemes and the rhoptries define the apical complex of the parasite, which is crucial to invasion; iv) acidocalcisomes, which constitute calcium storage compartments, all these organelles being enclosed in a membranous complex named the pellicle (60 nm wide) (Figure 4). It is composed of an outer membrane, the plasmalemna (plasma membrane), and the inner membrane complex (IMC) (Vivier and Petitprez, 1969). The parasite plasma membrane (PPM) displays a micropore located in the apical part of the cell, anterior to the nucleus. This microprore consists in a circular invagination of the PPM and might be involved in endocytosis (Nichols et al., 1994). The IMC consists in fused plates formed by flattened vesicles derived from the ER-Golgi system (Vivier and Petitprez, 1969). The IMC covers the whole periphery of the parasite and is interrupted only at i) the apical part to give space to the conoid, ii) the rounded posterior part of the cell, and iii) the micropore. The IMC is strictly associated with i) 22 sub-pellicular microtubules which run from the post-conoidal ring to two thirds of the parasite length, and ii) a network of intermediate filaments (8-10 nm) that extend from the anterior- to the posterior part of the parasite. The sub-pellicular microtubules and this network of intermediate filaments play a role...
in determining the cell polarity and the parasite shape. They are also involved in cell motility or “gliding” (see paragraph II) (Morissette and Sibley, 2002).

Figure 4. Ultrastructure of a Toxoplasma tachyzoite cell.
A- Schematic representation of a Toxoplasma gondii tachyzoite. A, apicoplast; M, mitochondrion; N, nucleus; R, rhoptry; V, vacuole. From Mercier et al., 2012. B- Toxoplasma gondii ultrastructure as observed by Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM). The pellicle is formed by the inner membrane complex (IMC) and the parasite plasma membrane (PPM). C, conoid, M, micronemes; G, Golgi apparatus; R, rhoptries; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; DG, dense granules; N, nucleus. Adapted from Dubremetz, 1998.

Host cell invasion by T. gondii differs from the phagocytosis- and endocytosis processes, which are typical of other intracellular pathogens, e.g. viruses, bacteria or parasites such as Trypanosoma cruzi (Weissenhorn et al., 2007; Fernandes and Andrews, 2012; Cossart and Helenius, 2014; Ribet and Cossart, 2015; Pizarro-Cerdá et al., 2016). Toxoplasma invasion is indeed a rapid and active process: in less than 20 seconds the parasite mobilizes its apical cytoskeleton and its secretory organelles to invade the cell and form its PV. This particular mechanism of “active invasion” thus contrasts with the zipper- or trigger-mechanisms of invasion used by bacteria, endocytosis of enveloped viruses or the subversion of plasma membrane injury repair mechanism used by T. cruzi.

Entry into the host cell by Toxoplasma consists in several sequential steps initiated by weak attachment of the parasite to the cell surface via its surface proteins, followed by the release of proteins from the micronemes (Figure 4) and the rhoptries (Figure 4). Some of the microneme protein complexes contribute to host cell attachment and provide a physical link between host cell receptors and the parasite actin-myosin motor, thus providing the force necessary for host cell invasion. The proteins from the upper part of the rhoptries (called the “neck” of the rhoptries), together with particular microneme proteins, are more particularly involved in the formation of a moving junction.
(MJ) involved in *i)* the selection of the host cell proteins that are integrated into what will constitute the PVM and *ii)* the firm anchorage of the PV to the host cell cytoskeleton (*Figure 5*). I will briefly review below these early steps that lead to the formation of the PV, which will also give me the opportunity to describe the parasite organelles involved in this process.

*Figure 5. Step-wise invasion process of *T. gondii* into the host cell*
Consecutively to weak, non-orientated attachment to the host cell via its surface proteins (SAG), Toxoplasma re-orientates and presents its apical tip to the host cell surface. The microneme proteins (MIC adhesins) secreted from the apical part allow weak attachment to host cell glycoaminoglycans (GAGs). Following *i)* extrusion of its conoid to probe the surface of the host cell and define the most favorable region for invasion and *ii)* mobilization of its glideosome, the parasite secretes the proteins contained in the neck of its rhoptries. In conjunction with microneme proteins such as AMA1, these RON proteins form a moving junction that progresses along the parasite in the same time as the parasite does push forward into the host cell and incurves the host cell plasma membrane. This moving junction is responsible for the selection of the host proteins that are integrated into the PVM and the firm anchorage of the PV to the host cell cytoskeleton. Once the PVM has enclosed the parasite, the proteins secreted from the basis of the rhoptries (ROP) contribute to the remodeling of the PVM, while proteins secreted from the dense granules (GRA) allow the PV to become functional. In the mean time reprogramming of the host cell expression program is ensured by both rhoptry and dense granule-like proteins. Adapted from *Mercier and Cesbron-Delauw, 2012.*
II-2 LOOSE, NON-Orientated ATTACHMENT TO THE HOST CELL SURFACE: THE SAG PROTEINS

The plasma membrane is covered by ~200 types of GPI-anchored proteins also called surface antigens (SAG) and SAG1-related Sequences (SRS) (He et al., 2002). Among these SAG and SRS, SAG1 is the most abundant protein (and the first one described). During the very early step of host cell invasion, the positive charges that are included in the top groove formed by SAG dimers establish weak interactions with the negative charges of the host cell surface glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) (Naguleswaran et al., 2003), thus ensuring low affinity, non-orientated attachment of the parasite to the host cell (He et al., 2002; Boulanger et al., 2010). The diversity in SAG proteins could explain why Toxoplasma recognizes a large variety of GAGs and is thus capable of invading any kind of nucleated cell.

II-3 APICAL ATTACHMENT: ROLE OF THE MICRONEME PROTEINS

Fifty to 100 micronemes (250 nm x 50 nm) (Figure 4) are present at the apical tip of each invasive cell. Because they are closely associated with the cytoplasmic face of the IMC, they appear as an arc at the anterior part of the parasite.

II-3.1) Microneme proteins and their secretion

Various proteins have been identified within the micronemes, among which, MIC1-12, M2AP (MIC2-Associated Protein), AMA1 (Apical Membrane Antigen 1), and proteases such as SUB-1 (Subtilase 1) or ROM1 (Rhomboid-1) (Cowper et al., 2012; Dowse and Soldati, 2005). Among the MIC proteins one can distinguish transmembrane- from soluble proteins.

Three major complexes have been described as being involved in the attachment to host cells. The transmembrane proteins MIC2, MIC6 and MIC8 contain one single transmembrane α-helix in their C-terminal region that allows the anchorage of the protein in the PPM. Furthermore, both MIC2 and MIC6 play the role of escorters for their respective associated soluble MICs (M2AP in the case of MIC2 or MIC1 and MIC4 in the case of MIC6) to target them to the micronemes (Meissner et al., 2002).

Microneme proteins display numerous, frequently repeated, adhesive domains homologous to those found in higher eukaryotic cells, as exemplified for some of the MIC represented on Figure 6. Most of the MIC proteins, such as the essential protein MIC2, are indeed adhesins which are secreted from the apical part of the parasite during the early invasion process and which are involved in recognition of- and attachment to the host cell (Keeley and Soldati, 2004), as developed below.
Recent studies on the signaling pathways that regulate microneme secretion showed that it is i) induced by exposure to a single host agonist protein, *i.e.* serum albumin, ii) centrally controlled in a protein kinase G-dependent manner that correlates with increased cGMP levels, and iii) that this pathway is further augmented by elevation of intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ (Brown et al., 2016). Recent observations are consistent with a mechanism of calmodulin (TgCaM)-based recruitment and activation of cytoplasmic Ca$^{2+}$-regulated phosphatase calcineurin for calcium-based signaling within the apical complex of the parasite (Paul et al., 2015). In addition, increased extracellular kalium level triggers a signaling cascade, which leads to the interconversion of diacylglycerol (DAG) to phosphatidic acid (PA). An acylated pleckstrin-homology (PH) domain-containing protein (APH) identified on the microneme surface was shown to sense PA during microneme secretion and to be necessary for microneme exocytosis (Bullen et al., 2016). The fusion event between micronemes and the PPM was shown to involve the SNARE-like protein DOC2.1 (Farrell et al., 2012).

II-3.2) Weak attachment to host cell receptors by microneme proteins

Recent studies have shown that the microneme proteins secreted at the surface of the parasite recognize carbohydrate motifs, which decorate the surface of host cells. For example, following its secretion onto the parasite surface, the microneme adhesive repeat region (MARR) located in the N-
terminal region of TgMIC1 was shown to recognize a wide range of sialylated glycans on host cell receptors (Garnet et al., 2009). Furthermore, carbohydrate microarrays revealed the basis of the interaction between TgMIC4 and a variety of galactose (Gal)-terminating oligosaccharides at the surface of host cells (Marchant et al., 2012).

Following exocytosis microneme adhesins undergo proteolytic processing by 3 types of proteases, termed respectively microneme protein protease 1 (MPP1), MPP2, and MPP3. MPP1 is responsible for the intramembrane cleavage of the transmembrane adhesins MIC2, MIC6, and AMA1, resulting in the shedding of their extracellular domains into the supernatant (Carruthers et al., 2000; Opitz et al., 2002). MPP1 activity depends on ROM proteases, which are membrane-spanning serine proteases that display the unique characteristic of cleaving their substrates within their transmembrane domains. ROM4, which plays a critical role in cleaving adhesins within their transmembrane segment, allows the parasite to disengage from its host cell receptors and completely enter the host cell (Shen et al., 2014).

II-4 EXTRUSION OF THE CONOID

At this point the parasite re-orientates itself to present its apical part to the host cell surface and it extrudes its conoid (400 nm in diameter at its base and 250 nm high) (Figure 7), which is made of 10 to 14 curved tubulin sheets that form a hollow cone at the apical part of the parasite (Hu et al., 2002). Two apical rings limit the upper part of the conoid, just beneath the plasma membrane at the apical tip of the parasite. Two polar rings limit the basis of the conoid. The outer polar ring, made of dense material, covers the interior part of the IMC, while the inner ring anchors the 22 sub-pellicular microtubules that provide the scaffold which shapes the parasite. Within the conoid a pair of adjacent microtubules extends for 1 µm into the cytoplasm from an apical vesicle that adheres to the plasma membrane (Hu et al., 2002b) (Figure 7).

The conoid is a structure that plays a pivotal mechanical role during the invasion process because it can extend (the exact term being “extrude”) in a Ca$^{2+}$ dependent-manner (Del Carmen et al., 2009) and retract repeatedly and, by pressing on the host plasma membrane, it creates the first mechanical push that leads to the PV formation.
II-5 PROPULSION INTO THE HOST CELL: MOBILIZATION OF THE GLIEOSOME

Toxoplasma parasites do not exhibit specialized motility structures such as cilia or a flagellum. Nonetheless, they are highly motile: they are able to move on an artificial substrate (such as a glass slide coated with proteins) or a cell surface by a specific type of movement dubbed “gliding”, which relies on the anterior-posterior polarity of the parasite (Figure 8A). Gliding consists in a succession of several stereotyped movements: i) circular gliding (1.5 μm/s); ii) upright twirling, which consists in a 360° rotation, when the parasite posterior part is attached to a substrate (0.5 rotation/s); and iii) helical rotations (1-3 μm/s) (Hakansson et al., 1999) (Figure 8A). The gliding movements, when occurring on a glass slide coated with proteins to ensure parasite attachment, lead to the shedding of components from the PPM, including surface proteins such as SAG1 (Hakansson et al., 1999; Sibley, 2003). Gliding as well as invasion and egress from infected cells are powered by rearward translocation of apically secreted transmembrane adhesins via their interaction with the parasite actin-myosin motor, which is coupled to glideosome-associated proteins (GAPs), the whole complex (actin-myosin associated with GAPs) forming the glideosome invasion machinery, which is located cortically between the PPM and the IMC (Keely and Soldati, 2004), and whose molecular composition varies according to the anterior-posterior axis of the parasite (Boucher and Bosch, 2015). Specifically, the forward movement, whatever the level in the anterior-posterior axis, is powered by a class XIV neckless myosin molecular motor (Myo A or Myo C), which progresses on short (100 nm long) and-
unstable actin filaments located between the PPM and the external face of the IMC (Figure 8B). Mobilization of this driving force relies initially on the bridging of transmembrane adhesins such as TgMIC2 or TgMIC6 to their respective (unidentified) host cell receptors, followed by the transduction of this binding signal across the PPM to actin via the transmembrane adhesin. Myosin which progresses along actin filaments, then relays the binding signal to the sub-pellicular microtubules located in the parasite cytoplasm, via the glideosome complex constituted of Myosin-Light Chain (MLC-1), and several GAPs (Figure 8B).

Figure 8. Propulsion into the host cell by mobilization of the glideosome
A-Scheme of Toxoplasma helical rotations. From Hakansson et al., 1999. B-Schematic representation of the Toxoplasma invasion machinery. The actin-myosin motor (grey/black and magenta) is bridged by tetrameric aldolase (multicolor) to the extracellular adhesins MIC2 or MIC6 (cyan), which connect to unknown cellular receptors on the host cell membrane (grey). The motor is anchored to the IMC via its interaction with MTIP MLC1/ELC1 (purple). The myosin-MLC1 motor interacts with a GAP protein that spans the space comprised between the PPM and IMC membranes. These motor complexes interact with GAP40 (orange) and GAP50 (red) located in the IMC. GAPMs (blue) are located on the cytosolic side of the IMC membrane and interact with alveolins (white/grey). From Boucher and Bosch, 2015
Although the above described model of active invasion powered by a parasite actin-dependent myosin motor defines the prevailing mechanism for Toxoplasma entry into its host cells (Drewry and Sibley, 2015), an alternative mechanism has been recently described. Since parasites deficient in MyoA remain invasive and are still capable of gliding (Egarter et al., 2014; Bichet et al., 2016), other mechanisms that could allow parasite motility and invasion have been proposed: i) a model based on osmotic forces generated in the parasite cytosol that could be converted into motility or ii) the host cell membrane dynamics could contribute energetically to parasite invasion (Egarter et al., 2014; Bichet et al., 2016). Note also that the actin-myosin system of the parasite was recently reported to function in attachment of the parasite to the surface substrate, and not necessarily as force generator (Whitelaw et al., 2017).

II-6 FORMATION OF THE MOVING JUNCTION (MJ): COLLABORATION BETWEEN MIC AND RON PROTEINS

Each Toxoplasma cell contains 6-12 rhoptries in their apical part (Figure 4). These rather long, club-shaped organelles (2 x 0.2 µm) exhibit a bulbous base and an extended electron-dense duct, which opens to the apical membrane. Rhoptries were shown to contain both proteins (respectively ROP proteins in their bulbous part, and RON proteins in their neck) and lipids. Rhoptries constitute the most acidic organelles in the parasite and they contain for example specialized hydrolases, which are typical of lysosomes (Que et al., 2002; Ngo et al., 2004).

At the time of secretion from the micronemes the area located between the extended conoid and the cell surface forms a depression in the host cell membrane. This depression called the Moving Junction (MJ) (Figure 9A), moves as a circumferential ring at the penetration site. The MJ does not correspond to a region of fusion between the host cell membrane and the PPM. It is instead constituted by a tight apposition (< 6 nm) between both membranes and it was shown to be crucial for the self-propulsion of the parasite into the host cell (Aikawa et al., 1978).

Contrary to the other microneme proteins, TgAMA1 is not implicated in the first step of invasion (i.e. recognition of host cell receptors) but, with the protein complex from the rhoptries’ neck RON2/RON4/RON5/RON8, it contributes to the formation of the MJ (Carruthers and Boothroyd, 2007). TgAMA1, which starts being secreted onto the parasite surface during gliding, accumulates upon re-orientation of the parasite. TgAMA1 was described as a transmembrane protein of the PPM. Interestingly transmembrane RON2 in complex with the soluble complex RON4/RON5/RON8 was shown to be secreted into the host cell, RON2 spanning the host cell plasma membrane, while RON4/RON5/RON8 interact with the RON2 N-terminal region, which is exposed in the host cell cytoplasm (Alexander et al., 2005; Besteiro et al., 2009; Straub et al., 2009, 2011). Reorganization of
host cell actin at the MJ site caused by the activation of the Arp2/3 complex (a conserved actin nucleator abundantly recruited at sites of dynamic actin remodeling, and essential for the formation of many F-actin-based structures) was shown to be linked to the presence of RON8 in the host cell cytoplasm (Gonzales et al., 2009; Chabra and Higgs, 2007)

In conclusion, the molecular interaction between the N-terminal region of TgAMA1 with the C-terminal region of TgRON2 allows i) a close apposition between the PPM and the host cell membrane, ii) firm attachment of the parasite to the host cell and iii) the constitution of a molecular sieve that prevents both the multi-pass transmembrane proteins and the protein complexes from the host cell plasma membrane to be internalized into the forming PVM (Figure 9B). In particular, the resulting PVM lacks proteins involved in the regulation of endosomal fusion. This phenomenon explains why the PV remains an isolated organelle, which does not fuse with host endosomes or lysosomes. Once the parasite entry is complete the MJ closes at the posterior end of the parasite and the host cell plasma membrane reseals, finally liberating the PVM enclosing the parasite into the host cell cytoplasm (Aikawa et al., 1978; Lebrun et al., 2005).
Figure 9. The moving junction of *Toxoplasma gondii*

A-Immunofluorescence labeling of RON4 (red) at the moving junction (indicated by the arrow) during parasite invasion. The labeling of SAG1 (green) indicates the part of the parasite that remains outside the host cell. Adapted from Alexander et al., 2005. B-Schematic representation of the AMA1/RON2/RON4/RON5/RON8 protein complex that forms the Toxoplasma moving junction. The microneme protein AMA1, which remains anchored in the parasite plasma membrane interacts by its N-terminal region with the C-terminal region of RON2, which has been secreted from the rhoptry neck into the host cell plasma membrane. The soluble complex RON4/RON5/RON8 also secreted from the rhoptry neck into the host cell cytoplasm, interacts with the RON2 C-terminal region and with the host cell cytoskeleton via RON8, thus firmly anchoring the AMA1/RON2 complex to the host cell. Except from firmly anchoring the parasite to its host cell, the moving junction also plays a crucial role as a molecular sieve to prevent host cell multi-pass transmembrane proteins and protein complexes from the host cell plasma membrane to be integrated into what will constitute the parasitophorous vacuole membrane. Adapted from Mercier and Cesbron-Delauw, 2012

Other RON proteins have been described in the rhoptries’ neck, among which the high molecular weight hetero-complex RON9/RON10 (Lamarque et al., 2012). In contrast to RON10, in which no particular domain was identified, RON9 was found to contain a Sushi domain composed of i) a
repetition of ankyrin motifs involved in protein-protein interactions and ii) a set of repetitions enriched in proline (P), glutamic acid (D), aspartic acid (E), and serine (S) or threonine (T) (PEST sequences), which are targets for rapid degradation. Although depletion in RON9 or RON10 leads to loss of the entire complex, no defects in in vitro parasite intracellular development nor in parasite virulence were described (Lamarque et al., 2012), indicating that the RON9/RON10 protein complex is not involved in the MJ formation.

II-7 PROTEINS FROM THE RHOPTRIES’ BULB AND FORMATION OF THE PARASITOPHOROUS VACUOLE

Rhoptries contain both lipids (cholesterol as well as phospholipids) and proteins. However, thin-layer chromatographies (TLC) and enzymatic assays on rhoptry-enriched fractions showed that the major components of rhoptries are proteins and cholesterol: the lipid to protein ratio is 0.26 and the cholesterol to phospholipids ratio is >1 (Foussard et al., 1991). It has been suggested that, at the time of invasion, secretion of lipids from the rhoptries may facilitate the PVM formation (Foussard et al., 1991). However, further analyses showed that cholesterol is already present in this membrane at the time of invasion and that parasites depleted in 16 to 23% of their cholesterol are still capable of invasion (Coppens and Joiner, 2003). Re-evaluation of rhoptry lipids, by high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) and capillary gas-liquid chromatography (GLC) confirmed that rhoptries display a low content in cholesterol (Besteiro et al., 2008). Together, these findings suggested that the cholesterol present in the PVM largely derives from the host cell rather than from the parasite. The first identification of rhoptry proteins was based on sub-cellular fractionation and generation of monoclonal antibodies. More recently, proteomic analyses on purified rhoptries allowed the characterization of 38 other tachyzoite proteins localized either in the bulb- or in the neck of the rhoptries (Bradley et al., 2005). All these proteins contain a signal peptide and many of them present a transmembrane domain or a GPI anchor, suggesting their association with membranes. Among these rhoptry proteins, toxofilin (27 kDa) is involved in the control of parasite actin polymerization during invasion and motility (Poupel et al., 2000) and once secreted into host cells, it controls the cortical actin cytoskeleton to facilitate Toxoplasma invasion (Delorme-Walker et al., 2012).

The large family of ROP2/ROP4/ROP5/ROP7/ROP8/ROP14/ROP16/ROP17/ROP18 has received much attention. This family, which comprises at least 44 members, is constituted of rhoptry kinases (16 predicted proteins) or pseudo-kinases, which share several features such as a C-terminal putative transmembrane domain, a C-terminal kinase-like domain, and a N-terminal region rich in basic amino acids (El Hajj et al., 2006; Saeij et al., 2007; Peixoto et al., 2010). At least two members of this family,
ROP16 and ROP18, exhibit the conserved glycine loop and the aspartic acid critical for phosphotransferase activity (El Hajj et al., 2006; 2007). Many components of the ROP2 family, such as ROP2, ROP4, ROP5, ROP7, ROP8, ROP14, and ROP18 have been described as being associated with the PVM (El Hajj et al., 2006; Taylor et al., 2006; El Hajj et al., 2007a; El Hajj et al., 2007b). Even if there is no formal proof of the involvement of rhoptries in the PV formation, their exocytosis correlates with the host cell membrane invagination at the beginning of the invasion process (Hakansoon et al., 2001). The natural secretagogue that triggers rhoptry discharge remains unknown. In a similar way to what happens during invasion of Plasmodium merozoites into erythrocytes (Singh et al., 2010), rhoptry discharge could occur subsequently to the binding of microneme proteins to their host cell receptors and the consecutive restoration of intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration. Furthermore, the mechanisms by which ROP proteins could be delivered to the PVM or to other cellular locations is not entirely elucidated. Using a strategy of systematic protein tagging, all the rhoptry proteins secreted into the PV compartment at the time of invasion were shown to be trafficked to the PVM via the intravacuolar network (Reese et al., 2009). In addition, the secretion of the rhoptry bulbs was shown to occur during the spike of conductivity that occurs across the host cell membrane at the time of invasion (Suss-Toby et al., 1996). Knowing that the secretion of exosome-like vesicles dubbed “evacuoles” into the host cell cytoplasm had been demonstrated (Hakansson et al., 2001) and that these evacuoles could be labeled with antibodies specific to ROP proteins, Ravindran and Boothroyd (2008) proposed that these evacuoles (composed of both rhoptry lipids and proteins as well as some dense granule proteins) would align along host microtubules and assemble into filament-like “beads on a string” to deliver ROP proteins to the PVM or to the host cell nucleus (Figure 10). Two microdomain components of the host plasma membrane, GPI and cholesterol, respectively, were recently shown to play important roles in the formation of evacuoles but not the PV, whereas GPI deficiency causes an increase of evacuoles’ size, cholesterol depletion results in a decrease of their number (Tahara et al., 2016). Surprisingly, except for ROP9 (P36) and ROP14, most rhoptry proteins have no homologues within the Apicomplexa phylum, (Reichmann et al., 2002), which suggests that these proteins have been under evolutionary pressure to make the parasite able to infect a wide range of host cells.
**Figure 10. Possible traffic of ROP proteins in the host cell**

At the time of invasion, the parasite secretes ROP proteins into the nascent PV as well as into the host cell cytoplasm via evacuoles. These exosome-like structures may organize themselves along host microtubules to traffic to the PVM of a PV already present in the host cell or to the host cell nucleus. Adapted from Ravindran and Boothroyd, 2008
CHAPTER III
MATURATION OF THE PARASITOPHOROUS VACUOLE:
ROLE OF THE DENSE GRANULE PROTEINS

Once detached from the host cell plasma membrane, the PV undergoes a final step of maturation, which is characterized by the secretion of proteins from the DGs (Figure 5). These proteins contribute to the PV maturation, its functional development and later, its differentiation into an intracellular cyst. Although the precise function of most of these dense granule proteins remains to be determined, their specific location in the PV places them in the perfect location to interact with the host cell. In this chapter, I will first summarize what is currently known about the ultrastructure of the PV, the dense granule organelles, then the various dense granule proteins, their major characteristics, and I will finish by the possible functions that these proteins might play in the host/parasite interaction.

III-1 THE PARASITOPHOROUS VACUOLE OF TOXOPLASMA GONDI

All the Apicomplexa parasites did not evolve the same type of PV. For example, Toxoplasma and Plasmodium PVs result from two different strategies of intracellular parasitism. Although the initial invasion relies on a common active process, which involves sequential secretion from their apical secretory organelles and mobilization of their glideosome (chapter II), their resulting PVs differ in their architecture and metabolism, leading to two distinct modes of parasite replication, endodyogeny versus schizogony, respectively (see chapter IV). Consecutively to its formation, the PV of Toxoplasma (Figure 11), which is initially located underneath the host plasma membrane, “plunges” into the host cell cytoplasm to re-locate close to the host cell nucleus envelope and this is in this particular cell location that parasites start to divide. The mechanism behind this PV migration remains to be investigated (Magno et al., 2005a).
Many features characterize the PV of *T. gondii* (Figure 11) and they will be developed below.

**III-1.1) The PV is a compartment with a neutral pH**

The PV of *T. gondii* is a compartment with a neutral pH, very likely due to the presence of proteases inhibitors (see below) and because the PV does not fuse with host lysosomal or endosomal compartments (see below). This neutral pH favors safe multiplication of the parasites.

**III-1.2) The PV recruits host mitochondria and elements of the host endoplasmic reticulum**

Shortly after invasion host mitochondria and elements of the host ER are recruited to the PV (de Melo *et al.*, 1992; Schatten and Ris, 2004; de Melo and De Souza, 1997; Magno *et al.*, 2005b). This recruitment, in particular that of host mitochondria, was described as a dynamic process, in which host microtubules and host kinesins are involved (Sinai *et al.*, 1997).
The interactions between the PV and host cell organelles may represent an efficient way to import nutrients and energy (ATP) into the PV. Both host mitochondria and ER elements were indeed shown to be a key source of phospholipids (Gupta et al., 2005). Specifically, the host mitochondria seem to be the main source of lipoic acid, an essential molecule for Toxoplasma since it is necessary for its Kreb’s cycle and parasite replication (Crawford et al., 2006).

Various studies tried to identify the parasite factors involved in the association of the PV with host mitochondria. ROP2 was the first protein described as a candidate (Sinai et al., 2001). However, parasites lacking the rop2 gene have the same ability as wild type parasites to recruit host mitochondria (Pernas and Boothroyd, 2010). A subsequent work demonstrated that this recruitment is strain-dependent: type I and type III parasites are able to attract host mitochondria contrary to type II strains (Pernas et al., 2014) (Figure 12). Furthermore, recruitment of host mitochondria and ER elements to the PV was recently shown to be increased in GPI-deficient mammalian cells (Tahara et al., 2016).

**Figure 12.** Association of host mitochondria to the parasitophorous vacuole in host cells infected by types I, II, III parasites

IFA using mitotracker showed that the host mitochondria association is strain-dependent. Cells infected by A, type I (RH), B, type II (Me49) or C, type III (CEP) parasites. From Pernas et al., 2014

Recent data actually identified one of the dense granule proteins, MAF1, as a crucial factor for the association of host mitochondria to the PV of type I parasites (see below).
Contrary to the recruitment of host mitochondria, the factors that allow the recruitment of host ER elements to the PV are not well understood. Some dense granule proteins might be involved in their recruitment (see below).

### III-1.3) Re-organization of the host cytoskeleton around the PV

One of the first modifications that appears during PV development, is the reorganization of the host intermediate filaments and microtubules around the PV (Cintra and de Souza, 1985; Andrade et al., 2001; Sehgal et al., 2005). The intermediate filaments seem to contribute to the positioning of the PV near the host nucleus (Halonen and Weidner, 1994). The repositioning of host microtubules around the PV was shown to depend on the detachment of the host microtubule organization center (MTOC) from the host nuclear envelope and its subsequence recruitment to the external face of the PV (Figure 13) (Coppens et al., 2006; Nolan et al., 2015). Remodeling of the microtubules was shown to depend on tubulin polymerization and depolymerization. The host microtubules would be involved in the acquisition of nutrients from the host. Host endolysosomal structures were indeed shown as being often associated with host microtubules, which have been described as conduits for the delivery of host nutrients such as cholesterol and shingolipids into the PV through specific vacuolar membranous structures called HOSTS (described below) (Coppens et al., 2006; Romano et al., 2013).

![Figure 13. Reorganization of the host microtubules in cells infected by T. gondii](image)

IFA of host microtubules (A) or host MTOC (B, yellow arrow) in *T. gondii* (RH)-infected cells. The arrowheads show the PV of *T. gondii*. Adapted from Nolan et al., 2015.
III-1.4) The PV is characterized by intriguing membranous systems

a) The PV is limited by a permeable membrane, the PVM
The PVM (Figure 11) derives originally from the host cell membrane but it is rapidly modified by the parasite (see chapter II). The PVM of *Toxoplasma, Plasmodium* and *Eimeria* is selectively permeable to small molecules up to 1,300-1,900 Da (such as glucose, amino acids, nucleotides and ions), which diffuse passively into the PV via pore like-molecules (Desai and Rosenberg, 1997; Schwab et al., 1994; Werner-Meier and Entzeroth, 1997). Specific GRA proteins were recently shown to participate to the formation of these pores. Some bigger metabolites, like sterols, are actively scavenged from the host cell to the PV through sterol transporters located in the PVM (Ehrenman et al., 2010).

b) An intricate network of membranous tubules
A network of membranous tubes (40-60 nm in diameter; 200-500 nm in length) extends between the parasites and connects them together and to the PVM. This intravacuolar network, also called the membranous nanotubular network (MNN), is formed at the posterior part of the parasite 15-30 minutes PI and persists until parasites’ egress (Magno et al., 2005a) (Figure 11). I will show that the study of some of the dense granule proteins has led to a better understanding of the formation of this network.

c) Host Organelles Sequestering Tubulo-structures (HOSTs)
HOSTs are deep invaginations of the PVM into the PV lumen: these membranous tubules have a diameter of 95-115 nm. They are 1.2 µm long, contain a single, shortened host microtubule, and an electron dense coat that is regularly organized along their long axis (Figure 14). The HOSTs would be involved in the acquisition of host endolysosomal vesicles into the PV to ensure the internalization of lipids that the parasite cannot synthetize (Coppens et al., 2006).
Figure 14. Transmission electron micrographs of H.O.S.T. structures.
A- An electron dense coat (arrows) is regularly organized along the H.O.S.T. Scale bar: 150 nm. B- Inset in (A) shows a detailed transverse section of the coat. Scale bar: 50 nm. B-C Serial sections of the same H.O.S.T. revealing their ramification in the PV and association with at least five coated structures constricting their diameter. From Coppens et al., 2006

d) the PVM forms thin membranous projections into the host cell cytoplasm
One or 2 (more rarely a higher number) thin membranous projections run from the PVM into the host cytosol, suggesting a dynamic nature of this latter membrane. However, nothing is currently known about the exact nature and function(s) of these projections. Whether the formation of these PVM extensions is a feature of mature- or developing vacuoles remains to be investigated.

The labeling of infected cells by antibodies specific to dense granule proteins revealed very early that these proteins are very abundant in the PV. In the next sections I will thus review the dense granules, the proteins which have been identified so far in these organelles and their potential functions.

III-2 THE DENSE GRANULES

DGs constitute the third type of secretory organelles described in T. gondii. These organelles are not present in all Apicomplexa. They have indeed been only described in parasites that form tissue cysts, i.e. the genera Eimeria, Toxoplasma, Neospora and Sarcocystis (Cesbron-Delauw et al., 2008). In T. gondii, the number of DGs varies with the parasite stage: up to 15 DGs can be detected in a single tachyzoite, while only 8-10 and 5-6 have been described in the bradyzoite and merozoite stages, respectively. Since the 1960s DGs have been described by TEM as one single population of microspheres of 200 nm in diameter. Contrary to the rhoptries and the micronemes, DGs are dispersed in the parasite cytoplasm on each side of the nucleus. The density of these organelles, as observed by TEM, suggested that they could be important protein storage compartments (Figure 15). To this date, their natural secretagogue remains unknown and very few events of secretion have been
captured. The most recently reported one is presented on Figure 15: it shows the fusion between a dense granule and the PPM (in the anterior part of the parasite) and spreading of the dense material on each side of the fusion site, within the tightly fitting PV.

Recent studies have shown that newly synthesized dense granules are transported from the Golgi to the parasite’s periphery by class 27 TgMyoF motors moving along filamentous actin (Heaslip et al., 2016). Once they reach the proximity of the IMC, the DGs would not fuse with the IMC itself for secretion but they would instead traverse the IMC through small gaps in the IMC plates (Dubremetz et al., 1993). If the granule does not encounter a potential exit site, the TgMyoF motors linked to the granule would initiate a directed run parallel to the IMC (Heaslip et al., 2016).

![Figure 15. Transmission electron micrograph showing the apical part of a tachyzoite with several dense granules including a fusion event](image)

The dense granules appear as electron dense globules (D). Secretion of the dense granule content (upper arrow) into the tightly fitting parasitophorous vacuole by fusion of the dense granule organelle with the lateral side of the parasite is indicated by the lower arrow. R, rhoptry. Bar: 350 nm. From de Souza et al., 2006.

## III-3 THE DENSE GRANULE PROTEINS AND THEIR MOLECULAR FEATURES

The characterization of dense granule proteins started when the production of monoclonal antibodies against *in vitro* excreted-secreted antigens (ESAs) and sub-cellular fractionation of tachyzoites identified proteins in the dense granules (Cesbron-Delauw et al., 1989; Charif et al., 1990; Leriche and Dubremetz, 1991).

The first identified protein which was localized in the dense granules of both the tachyzoite and the bradyzoite stages, was called P23, by reference to its apparent molecular weight in sodium-dodecyl-sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) (Cesbron-Delauw et al., 1989). Because of a growing number of proteins identified in the dense granules, Sibley and collaborators proposed in
1991 to use a common “GRAX” nomenclature for all the proteins which would be localized in the dense granules (“GRA” to refer to the dense GRAnules and “X” to the chronological order of identification): P23 was thus renamed GRA1 (Sibley et al., 1991). The dense granule proteins for which a function could be determined, were named after their function and not after their localization. One can regret that proteins have been recently named “GRAX” despite their lack of localization in the same dense granules as those that contain the historical GRA proteins (see the next paragraphs). Up to now contamination of dense granule organelles with other types of organelles during their purification prevented the determination of the dense granules’ full proteome. Nevertheless, during the last two decades at least 19 GRA proteins dubbed “canonical GRAs” have been co-localized in the same dense granules as those containing the firstly described DG proteins and a growing number of proteins (that we will call “GRA-like” proteins) are also localized in what could be a second set of dense granules (Mercier and Cesbron-Delauw, 2015; Nadipuram et al., 2016; Bougdour et al., 2014). I will review below these different categories of proteins secreted into the PV after invasion from dense granules and their related subset.

III-3.1) The canonical dense granule proteins

The members of this increasing family do not share homologies between them (except for GRA38, GRA39 and GRA40) or with any protein of known function. They were defined as GRA proteins because of their co-localization with GRA1 (or GRA2, GRA3) in the same dense granules, after having used an immune-EM technique (gold standard) or a specific immunofluorescence technique that allows the permeabilization of i) the host cell plasma membrane, ii) the PVM, iii) the PPM and iv) the dense granules’ limiting membrane, without altering these compartments (i.e. fixation of infected cells in 4% methanol-free formaldehyde and permeabilization in 0.1 % triton-X100). Permeabilization of both the host cell plasma membrane and the PVM without alteration of the PPM by the use of 0.002 % saponine as permeabilizing agent allows the detection of all these GRA proteins only in the PV.

Analysis of the amino acid sequences showed that the “canonical” dense granule proteins (Figure 16) share several features:

i) their gene is unique and they are abundantly expressed, with a peak of expression at both the tachyzoite and the bradyzoite stages;

ii) except for GRA20, they contain a canonical- or a non-canonical N-terminal hydrophobic sequence, which plays the role of a signal peptide. This correlates with their secretory profile, since the GRA proteins are secreted into the PV starting 3 minutes PI, with a peak of secretion 10-30 minutes PI (Figure 15);
iii) The monomeric proteins exhibit a low apparent molecular weight (20-75 kDa, with the exception of GRA38, GRA39 and GRA40 which are ~ 100 kDa) (Figure 16). A difference between their calculated- and their apparent molecular weight suggested post-translational modifications (Mercier et al., 2005). Although several N- and O-glycosylation sites were described in their amino acid sequences, only GRA2 (Zinecker et al., 1998), GRA4 (Achbarou et al., 1991) and GRA6 (Travier, 2007) were shown to be O-glycosylated. The phosphorylation of GRA2 (Mercier et al., unpublished data) and GRA6 (Labruyère et al., 1999), which occurs in the PV compartment, and the failure to label GRA1 and GRA5 with [³²P]-ATP (Mercier et al., unpublished data) could not account for the aberrant migration of these proteins, when extracted from extracellular parasites and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. In contrast, GRA4 and GRA8, which are proline-rich proteins (Carey et al., 2000), may be modified by the peptidyl prolyl cis-isomerase activity of cyclophilin-18 (TgCy-18) that was found in both the DGs and the PV (see below) (High et al., 1994). Although other post-translational modifications cannot be excluded, the apparent high molecular weight of the GRA proteins could thus be due to their particular resistance to denaturation by SDS;

iv) Except for GRA1, GRA38, GRA39 and GRA40 which are soluble, the GRA proteins contain within their amino acid sequence, one hydrophobic α-helix or amphipathic α-helix(ces) (Figure 16) that allow the association of the respective proteins with the PV membranes consecutively to their secretion (see next paragraph). However, despite membrane association of most GRA proteins, a fraction of all these proteins remains soluble in the PV;

v) Several of these canonical GRA proteins were shown to be part of high molecular weight protein complexes, both in the DGs and in the PV (Labruyère et al., 1999; Braun et al., 2007);

vi) They are maintained in the PV up to the parasite’s egress or the differentiation of the PV into a cyst;

vii) They would play major roles in the maturation of the newly formed PV into a metabolically active compartment, the biogenesis of the cyst wall, and they could also be major partners of host cell proteins (see below) (Mercier and Cesbron-Delauw, 2015).

Interestingly, the recent fusion of GRA17 to the biotin ligase BirA*, and its use as bait to purify its biotinylated partners by streptavidin chromatography (BioID technology) allowed the identification (by tandem mass spectrometry) of 13 novel GRA proteins (GRA28-40), all co-labelled with GRA14 in the dense granules and secreted into the PV. Among these proteins, GRA38, GRA39 and GRA40, which are ~ 100 kDa, were more particularly studied because of their homology, their immunogenicity and the fact that they are soluble proteins (Nadipuram et al., 2016).
Figure 16. Schematic representation of the type II (ME49) proteins identified as dense granule (GRA) proteins in ToxoDB version 12.0.

- Signal peptide;  
- PEXEL-like motif (RxLxE/Q/D:processing- and PVM targeting signal);  
- Hydrophobic alpha helix;  
- Ca$^{2+}$-binding EF hand;  
III-3.2) The dense granule proteins named after their function

Many proteins which were localized in the DGs and fit most of the “canonical GRA” features, have been named after their function (or their potential function) rather than their localization within the same dense granules as the “canonical GRA” proteins. Among these, one finds:

i) enzymes, such as 1/ the nucleotide triphosphate isomerases (NTPases I and NTPases II). These soluble proteins of the PV may help in the import of purines from the host cell and the regulation of vacuolar [ATP], avoiding premature egress of the parasites (Bermudes et al., 1994; Silverman et al., 1998); 2/ the cathepsins TgCPC1 and TgCPC2, named as such because they exhibit the typical catalytic site of cathepsins in their sequences (Que et al., 2007); 3/ the cyclophilins (TgCy-18 and TgCy-20), which exhibit in vitro peptidyl-prolyl cis/trans isomerase (PPIase or rotamase) activity, while in vivo, TgCyp18 recruits cells and enhances the growth of host cells at the site of infection for maintenance of the interaction (Ibrahim et al., 2010);

ii) serine protease inhibitors from the Kazal family (TgPI-1 and TgPI-2), whose exact function in vivo remains unknown, even if, in vitro, TgPI-1 is a broad-spectrum inhibitor capable of neutralizing trypsin, chymotrypsin and elastase, and TgPI-2 appears to be specific for trypsin (Morris and Carruthers, 2003, Morris et al., 2002);

iii) an osteopontin-like protein (OPN) (Cortez et al., 2007);

iv) an Inhibitor of STAT1-dependent transcription (TgIST) (Olias et al., 2016; Gay et al., 2016);

v) a mitochondria association factor 1 (MAF1). MAF1 is a small protein of 446 amino acids, with an N-terminal signal peptide. It is expressed during both the tachyzoite and the bradyzoite stages (Pernas et al., 2014; Adomako-Ankomach et al., 2016).

III-3.3) The “GRA-like proteins”

These proteins were named “GRA” proteins, because they are secreted into the PV after invasion. However, their co-localization with historical dense granule proteins in the dense granules of Toxoplasma was sometimes not reported (example of GRA15) or is not perfect (example of GRA24; Figure 17). Contrary to the canonical dense granule proteins, these “GRA-like” proteins are moderately expressed and importantly, their expression is not maximal during cyst development. In a similar manner to the dense granules proteins, they would be stored in Toxoplasma secretory vesicles, which are similar to- but distinct from the dense granules that can be labelled with antibodies specific of the historical GRA proteins. Whether these vesicles constitute a subset of the dense granules or another type of secretory vesicles remains to be determined (Mercier and Cesbron-Delauw, 2015).
Figure 17. Extracellular tachyzoite immune-labelled for GRA24-HA (green) and GRA7 (red) and showing that both proteins are very likely stored in two types of secretory vesicles. From Braun et al., 2013

Among these proteins, one can include GRA15 (Rosowski et al., 2011), GRA16 (Bougdour et al., 2013) and GRA24 (Braun et al., 2013). Interestingly, both GRA16 and GRA24 present in their amino acid sequences, nuclear localization signals (NLS) (Figure 16), which is in agreement with their final location in the host cell nucleus (see below), while both GRA15 and GRA16 display a PEXEL motif that would help them cross the PVM (Figure 16).

In a similar manner to these “GRA” proteins, Myc-regulation-1 (TgMYR1) contains a PEXEL motif, which is cleaved by aspartyl protease TgASP5 (Hammoudi et al., 2015; Curt-Varesano et al., 2016) at the level of the Golgi, which allows the transport of this protein across the PVM after its secretion into the PV (see below), where it is phosphorylated.

Lipolytic lecithin: cholesterol acyltransferase (TgLCAT), which is stored in a subset of dense granules, is a 83 kDa protein, which displays a potential transmembrane domain in its N-terminal region and potential binding sites for lipids. TgLCAT is secreted by the parasite into the PV but, unlike other LCAT enzymes, it is cleaved into two proteolytic fragments that share the residues of the catalytic triad and need to be reassembled to reconstitute enzymatic activity (Pszenia et al., 2016).

III-4 DIFFERENTIAL TARGETING OF THE DENSE GRANULE PROTEINS

Secretion of the dense granule proteins into the PV takes place shortly after invasion and the peak of secretion occurs 10-30 minutes PI (Carruthers and Sibley, 1997). After secretion, the dense granule proteins reach various locations, either within the PV or in the infected cell.
III-4.1) Proteins that remain in the vacuolar lumen

So far, only a limited number of dense granule proteins have been shown to remain soluble in the vacuolar lumen. These are GRA1, GRA38, GRA39, GRA40, TgIPs and the NTPases (Figure 18).

III-4.2) Proteins targeted to the various membrane systems of the PV

Most of the canonical GRA proteins were described as being associated with either the PVM and/or the MNN and/or the HOSTs and/or the PVM extensions (Figure 18):

i) GRA2, GRA4, GRA6, GRA9 and GRA12 associate with the MNN (Charif et al., 1990; Dubremetz et al., 1993; Sibley et al., 1994; Lecordier et al., 1995; Bonhomme et al., 1998; Labruyère et al., 1999; Adjogble et al., 2004; Michelin et al., 2009);

ii) GRA3, GRA5, GRA7, GRA8, GRA10, GRA14, GRA19, GRA20, GRA21, GRA22 and GRA23 associate primarily with the PVM and its thin extensions (although some of these GRA could also be readily detected at the MNN) (Achbarou et al., 1991; Bonhomme et al., 1998; Carey et al., 2000; Dubremetz et al., 1993; Lecordier et al., 1993; Sinai et al., 1997; Rome et al., 2008; Hsiao et al., 2013; Okada et al., 2013; Masatani et al., 2013). This is also the case of GRA15, GRA24, as well MAF1 and MYR1, even if this PVM-localization is not definitive for both GRA16 and GRA24 (see below) (Rosowski et al., 2011; Braun et al., 2013; Pernas et al., 2014; Franco et al., 2016);

iii) so far, GRA7 is the only GRA protein to be detected as being associated with the H.O.S.T.S (Coppens et al., 2006).

As mentioned above, the ability of these GRA proteins to interact with PV membranes is in agreement with the presence, in their amino acid sequence, of potential membrane-association domains. Specifically, GRA3, GRA5, GRA6, GRA7, GRA8, GRA12, GRA14, GRA25 display one potentially transmembrane hydrophobic α-helix (Figure 16). One or several amphipathic α-helix( ces) has/have been described in GRA2 (several) and GRA9 (only one) (Adjogble et al., 2004; Travier et al., 2008) (Figure 16). These putative membrane-association domains have been experimentally validated in the cases of both GRA2 and GRA5 (Mercier et al., 1998; Lecordier et al., 1999). Topologically, the GRA5 N-terminal domain was shown to be exposed to the host cell cytoplasm, whereas its C-terminal region faces the PV lumen (Lecordier et al., 1999). The opposite topology was observed for GRA14 (Rome et al., 2008). Although the specific topology of most PVM-associated GRA proteins remains to be elucidated, it has been shown that the N-terminal hydrophilic sequence of both GRA5 and GRA6 is responsible for their specific targeting to the PVM and the MNN, respectively (Gendrin et al., 2008; Gendrin et al., 2010). The hydrophilic N-terminal domain of GRA2 was also shown to be important for proper association of the protein with the MNN (Travier et al., 2008). Intriguingly, despite the
presence in their amino acid sequence of a potential transmembrane \( \alpha \)-helix (Figure 16), GRA4 (Labruyère et al., 1999) and GRA23 (Masatani et al., 2013) have been demonstrated as being associated with the PV membranes by protein-protein interactions relying mainly on hydrogen bonds. In a similar manner, GRA19, GRA20, and GRA21, which lack a potential transmembrane domain in their amino acid sequence, may be associated with the PVM via protein-protein interactions (Hsiao et al., 2013; Mercier and Cesbron-Delauw, 2015).

Together, these characteristics suggest a model by which the interaction of the hydrophilic N-terminal domain of the GRA proteins with specific lipids would be responsible for their specific targeting to their respective vacuolar membrane (Cesbron-Delauw et al., 2008). Although the lipid composition of the PV membranes remains unknown, preliminary results on the specific interaction of GRA7 with phosphoinositids (Coppens et al., 2006) and the GRA6 N-terminal domain with negatively charged lipids (Gendrin et al., 2010) are in agreement with this model.

**III-4.3) Proteins exposed to the cytosolic phase of the PV or targeted to the host cell nucleus**

A growing number of GRA-like- or dense granule proteins is shown to be targeted to the cytosolic face of the PVM or the host cell nucleus. This is the case, so far, of MAF1 (cytosolic face of the PVM), GRA15, GRA16, GRA24 and TgIST (host cell nucleus). How these proteins are transported across the PVM and the mechanisms by which they are targeted to the host cell nucleus are key questions to understand the host cell/parasite interaction.

  **a) Transport across the PV membrane**

In Plasmodium, a PEXEL motif (Hiller et al., 2004; Marti et al., 2004) directs proteins to export across the PVM. This PEXEL motif is the cleavage site for plasmepsin V and allows the release of a mature protein with a N-terminal xE/Q/D that is competent for export through the Plasmodium translocon of exported proteins (PTEX) after unfolding of the protein to be exported (Spillman et al., 2015). In Toxoplasma, the PEXEL motif observed in GRA16 was found to be cleaved by the aspartyl protease TgASP5, a Golgi-resident homolog of PfPMV (Coffey et al., 2015; Hammoudi et al., 2015), and which mediates the transport of the protein into the host cell. This enzyme was also shown to be important for the correct transport of GRA24 into the host cell nucleus, even if this latter protein does not contain any PEXEL motif (Curt-Varesano et al., 2016; Coffey et al., 2015). It thus seems that, in Toxoplasma such as in Plasmodium, two different routes of protein export into the host cell operate, one which allows the transport of GRA16, GRA19, GRA20 or TgMyr1 across the PV and which is dependent on TgASP5 and a still uncharacterized translocon machinery embedded in the PVM, and a second one, that allows the transport of GRA24 or MAF1 across the PVM, and which is independent
on TgASP5. Interestingly, the PVM-resident protein TgMYR1 was shown to be crucial for the transport of GRA24 to the host cell nucleus (Franco et al., 2016), which suggests that it could be part of the PVM translocon. However, to this date, none of these two transport machineries across the PVM are identified.

b) Transport of the proteins across the host cell cytoplasm and to the host cell nucleus
To our knowledge, how Toxoplasma proteins, which have been translocated from the PV compartment into the host cell, are transported across the host cytoplasm and into the nucleus, remains unknown.

Figure 18 Schematic representation of the final localization of the dense granule proteins.
After their secretion into the parasitophorous vacuole:
- GRA1, GRA38, GRA39, GRA40, TgIPs and NTPases remain soluble within the vacuolar space;
- GRA2, GRA4, GRA6, GRA9 and GRA12 are targeted to the membranous nanotubular network;
- GRA3, GRA5, GRA7, GRA8, GRA10, GRA14, GRA15, GRA19, GRA20, GRA21, GRA22, GRA23, GRA24, GRA35 as well MAF1 and MYR1 associate with parasitophorous vacuole membrane;
- GRA15, GRA16, GRA24 transported by TgIST and GRA28 are targeted to the host cell nucleus.
HCN, host cell nucleus; MNN, membranous nanotubular network; PVM, parasitophorous vacuole membrane.
Adapted from Mercier and Cesbron-Delauw, 2015
III-5 FUNCTIONS OF THE DENSE GRANULE PROTEINS

Identification of specific domains in the amino acid sequence of some GRA proteins could evoke possible functions, as for examples two calcium-binding “EF-Hand” domains in GRA1 (Cesbron-Delauw et al., 1989), a binding ER domain in the C-terminal end of GRA3 (Henriquez et al., 2005), a P-loop involved in an ATP/GTP-binding- and a RGD adhesion domain in GRA4 and GRA7, respectively (Mercier et al., 2005; Ahn et al., 2005). However, for most of the GRA proteins, this crude analysis was not sufficient to have a clue about their possible functions. The construction of parasite lines deficient for one or more gra gene(s) was thus the technical approach of choice to unravel the possible functions of the GRA proteins. Due to the amenability of type I parasites to genetic manipulations, the first Δgra KO lines were constructed in the tachyzoite stage of the type I, virulent RH strain. It is important to notice that efficient construction of these KO lines (except for GRA1 and GRA10, Rommereim et al., 2016) showed that most of the GRA proteins are not essential for the parasite survival in vitro. Whether these proteins are involved in the parasite virulence remains unclear, due to contrasting results. A recent work, studying Δgra2-9 mutants has indeed shown that the loss of each single gra gene does not affect the parasite replication rate, contrary to what was observed for the double gra depletions gra4-gra6, gra3-gra5, gra3-gra7 (Rommereim et al., 2016). This study also concluded that GRA2-9 are not involved in parasite virulence, since no significant difference in the percentage of mice deaths was detected after an intra-peritoneal infection of 100 tachyzoites of wild-type versus Δgra2-9 parasites. By contrast, previous work had shown that GRA2, GRA6 and GRA7 may be important for parasite virulence. In these studies, mice had been inoculated with 10 tachyzoites into the peritoneum (Mercier et al., 1998), or at atypical sites, i.e. sub-cutaneously (Alagan et al., 2014) or in the footpad (Shastri et al., 2014), respectively. This studies thus highlighted the importance of the infection protocols to draw conclusions.

Since it is difficult to review all the possible functions of these dense granule proteins, I have chosen to consider their functions in comparison to their specific targeting: I will thus first consider the functions of the dense granule proteins that remain within the PV, then those of the proteins which are targeted to the PVM and can thus easily interact with the infected host cell and finally, I will review the proteins which are targeted to the host cell nucleus.
III-5.1) Functions within the PV

Analysis of the phenotypes of various Δgra mutants led to interesting findings.

a) GRA2 and GRA6 contribute to the formation of the MNN
While the phenotypic analysis of many Δgra KO parasites did not show particular phenotypes (Rommereim et al., 2016), the deletion of gra2 and/or gra6 hampered the development of a normal MNN (Mercier et al., 1998b; 2002; Travier et al., 2008; Muniz-Hernandez et al., 2011; Lopez et al., 2015; Rommereim et al., 2016). During the first 30 minutes PI GRA2 and GRA6 localize at the posterior end of the parasite, which is then invaginated. In this invagination, both the proteins were localized at the tubular membranes and vesicles that will further extend into the vacuolar space to generate the MNN (Labruyère et al., 1999; Sibley et al., 1995). In the absence of GRA2 the MNN was replaced by aggregated material, while in Δgra6 parasites the MNN was replaced by small vesicles (Mercier et al., 2002; Travier et al., 2008; Lopez et al., 2015) (Figure 19A-C). Moreover, the N-terminal hydrophilic domain of GRA6 was shown to display affinity for negatively charged phospholipids and to be crucial for association of the protein with the MNN (Gendrin et al., 2010). The N-terminal domain and the amphipathic α–helices of GRA2 were also shown to be crucial to the MNN formation and to target GRA6 to the posterior pole of the parasite, where the MNN forms (Travier et al., 2008). Finally, incubation of recombinant GRA2 and GRA6 with small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) allowed their deformation into membranous tubules which resemble those observed within the PV (Lopez et al., 2015). Together, these data suggested a model where GRA6 stabilizes the MNN, whose formation is initiated by GRA2 (Mercier et al., 2002; Travier et al., 2008; Gendrin et al., 2010; Lopez et al., 2015).

b) GRA7 limits hyper-formation of the MNN
Recent data showed that parasites deleted of their gra7 gene exhibit a hyper-formation of the MNN (Figure 19D) (Rommereim et al., 2016). Knowing also that GRA7 deforms liposomes into tubular membranes (Coppens et al., 2006) and that it interacts with GRA2 and GRA6 (Braun et al., 2007), these observations could indicate that the association of GRA7, GRA2 and GRA6 may regulate the formation of the MNN.
Figure 19. Transmission electron micrographs of the parasitophorous vacuole content from various Δgra mutants constructed in type I parasites (RH strain).

A-Wild-type membranous nanotubular network (MNN). B- In Δgra2 the MNN is replaced by aggregated material. C- In Δgra6 the MNN is replaced by small vesicles. D- In Δgra7 the membranous tubules forming network are more abundant. The parasitophorous vacuole membrane is indicated by the arrowheads and the MNN by arrows. HC, host cytosol; E, host endoplasmic reticulum, M, host mitochondrion; MNN, intravacuolar membranous nanotubular network; P, parasite. Bar: 500 nm in A-C and 2 μm in D. A-C, adapted from Mercier et al., 2002 and D, from Rommereim et al., 2016.

Although GRA proteins such as GRA2, GRA6 and GRA7 are directly linked to the formation and/or regulation of the MNN, many other secreted proteins likely contribute to its formation, shaping, maintenance, etc. Interestingly, the deletion of Tgasp5, which is required for the cleavage of PEXEL motifs present in many proteins that are translocated through the PVM (see above paragraph), leads to the formation of aggregated material within the PV space in a similar manner to what was observed in the Δgra2 mutant (Coffey et al., 2015), suggesting that one or more components involved in the biogenesis of this network requires processing by TgASP5.

Despite these interesting data on the potential functions of the GRA proteins, the major question which addresses the role of the MNN within the PV and, later, within the cyst is not completely solved. Several hypotheses have been advanced:

i) the MNN could play a structural role within the PV to maintain the parasites immobile and organize them within the PV in order to allow their synchronous division (Magno et al., 2005a; Travier et al., 2008);
ii) During *in vitro* encystation, the GRA proteins associated with the MNN relocate close to the PVM, suggesting reorganization of the MNN close to the PVM during cyst development (Travier et al., 2008; Magno et al., 2005a; Lemgrüber et al., 2011). The MNN could thus also play a crucial structural role to reinforce the cyst wall at the bradyzoite stage;

iii) The MNN could be implicated in the parasite virulence as it is more developed in the PV of virulent- rather than non-virulent strains (Sibley, 1989);

iv) The MNN could play a trophic role by increasing the exchange surface with the host cell and it could thus be involved in the acquisition of host nutrients (Sibley, 1989; Mercier et al., 1998a). Consistent with this hypothesis, it has been demonstrated that the deletion of *gra2* and the subsequent destabilization of the MNN decrease the rate at which parasites ingest host cytosolic proteins, suggesting an implication of the MNN in heterophagy (Dou et al., 2014).

Independently of its function for the parasite’s biology, the MNN structure was shown to be implicated in the adaptive immune response mounted by the infected host against *T. gondii*. During the infection, CD8⁺T cells are activated by the recognition of a short antigenic peptide (HF10; 8-10 amino acids) located in the C-terminal region of GRA6 type II and presented at the surface of infected cells by MHC Cl I molecules (Blanchard et al., 2008). In the PV formed by Δ*gra2* parasites, thus in absence of a normal MNN, GRA6 relocates to the PVM, which results in an increased MHC-Cl.I presentation of the HF10 peptide (Lopez et al., 2015). Two hypotheses have been proposed to explain the implication of the MNN in antigen presentation:

i) Exposure of the GRA6 C-terminal domain to the host cell cytoplasm (because of its insertion into the PVM instead of the MNN as a consequence of the deletion of *gra2*) may facilitate its cleavage and release into the host cell cytosol before being processed by the proteasome (Blanchard et al., 2008; Lopez et al., 2015);

ii) The proximity of GRA6 with a (still unknown) translocation machinery embedded in the PVM may facilitate the export of the HF10 peptide to the host cell cytosol and ER (Lopez et al., 2015).

Interestingly, the existence of translocation channels in the PVM was recently demonstrated. GRA17, which is similar to PfEXP2, the Plasmodium PVM translocon that allows the transport of PEXEL-containing proteins into the erythrocyte cytoplasm (de Koning-Ward et al., 2009), was shown to allow the transport of small molecules <3,000 daltons across the PV into the host cell cytoplasm, when associated with GRA23. GRA17 was proposed to function in conjunction with its paralog GRA23 at the PVM, as either hetero- or homo-multimeric complexes, not to translocate proteins into the host cell cytoplasm but to allow the transport of small molecules across the PVM (Gold et al., 2015).
c) Dense granule proteins and lipid metabolism

Targeted deletion of either the \textit{gra38} or the \textit{gra40} gene did not highlight any particular phenotype. By contrast, type II $\Delta$\textit{gra39} parasites exhibited reduced parasite growth and tissue cyst burdens in infected mice, coupled to the appearance of many amylopectin granules in their cytoplasm (likely reflecting parasite stress) and the deposit of neutral lipids within their PV \cite{Nadipuram2016}. This approach thus confirmed that many GRA proteins, because of their location in the PV, are directly or indirectly linked to the Toxoplasma lipid metabolism.

TgLCAT was shown to use phosphatidylcholine as substrate to form lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC). Knowing that LPC has the potential to disrupt membranes, TgLCAT could thus tune the parasite lipid metabolism to facilitate Toxoplasma egress \cite{Pszenny2016}.

III-5.2) Functions related to the infected host cell

a) GRA proteins and formation of thin PV extensions into the host cell cytoplasm

These thin membranous projections of the PV into the host cell cytoplasm were first described as being immune-reactive to GRA3 \cite{Dubremetz1993}. They were more recently shown to be also decorated by GRA7 and GRA14 \cite{Coppens2006, Rome2008, Romano2013}. In particular, \textit{Rome et al.} \cite{Rome2008} showed that antibodies specific to GRA3, GRA7 and GRA14 recognize the same extensions, that there is no precise localization of these proteins along the extensions, and that the 3 fluorescently labeled antibodies revealed similar puncta (\textit{Figure 20A}). Moreover, GRA14-positive extensions were also shown to link two different vacuoles, leading to the suggestion that these PVM extensions may allow exclusive transport of GRA proteins from one PV to another within the same infected cell \cite{Rome2008}.

Numerous studies have shown that host cell lipids are scavenged and delivered into the PV to allow parasites’ growth and maturation of the PVM and MNN \cite{Coppens2000, Coppens2006, Caffaro2011}. The HOSTs have been proposed as conduits for the internalization into the PV of host-derived endosomal vesicles enriched in cholesterol \cite{Coppens2006} and GRA7, as a major component of these HOSTs, could be involved in lipids acquisition from the host cell. However, interestingly, GRA7 also decorates the PVM extensions that can sometimes be very long and target the host Golgi \cite{Romano2013} (\textit{Figure 20B}). Knowing that Toxoplasma scavenges shingolipids from its host cell \textit{via} the host Golgi using Rab14- Rab30- and Rab46-associated vesicles \cite{deMelo1996, Bisanz2006} and that early after infection, the host Golgi is attracted to the PV (to be later fragmented), it has been proposed that the close association between the Golgi stacks and the PV would facilitate scavenging of sphingolipids. GRA7, which decorates these PV extensions, could thus also be involved in shingolipids acquisition from the host cell through this second route.
To date, even if the PV extensions are known to be decorated with PVM-associated proteins such as GRA3, GRA7 or GRA14, nothing is known about the genesis of these structures and their exact function(s) at the host/parasite interface.

**Figure 20.** Immunofluorescence assays showing the detection of GRA proteins at the thin membranous extensions that run from the Toxoplasma parasitophorous vacuole membrane into the host cell cytoplasm. A- GRA3, GRA7 and GRA14 decorate the same extension without a precise co-localization of the proteins along the extension (Boxed area). B- GRA7 positive extensions target the distant host Golgi. From Rome *et al.*, 2008 (A) and Romano *et al.*, 2013b (B).

**b) Dense granule proteins of the parasitophorous vacuole membrane: interactions with host cell proteins or host organelles**

Three dense granule proteins have so far been demonstrated to have a direct impact on host organelles (MAF1) or host cell proteins (GRA6 and GRA7):

- **i)** MAF1b is expressed by types I and III parasites and spans the PVM following its secretion into the PV. Both the types I and III parasites were shown to exhibit PVs that recruit a 3-fold higher number of host mitochondria. The molecular mechanism sustaining this increased interaction of the PVM with host mitochondria remains currently unknown *(Pernas *et al.*, 2014; Adomako-Ankomah *et al.*, 2016);

- **ii)** direct interaction between FLAG-tagged GRA6 and human influenza hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged calcium signal-modulating cyclophilin ligand (CAMLG, an integral membrane protein of the host ER), both transiently expressed in human epithelial kidney T cells, was demonstrated by co-immunoprecipitation *(Ma, *et al.*, 2014). In a similar manner, a yeast
two-hybrid assay performed in HeLa cDNA expression library, resulted in the interaction of GRA3 with CAMLG (Kim et al., 2008). However, whether the same kind of interactions between GRA3 or GRA6 with CALMG is established in vivo, remains to be determined; iii) GRA7 has been shown to be part of a protein complex localized at the external face of the PVM, and which includes the rhoptry kinase ROP18 and the pseudo-kinases ROP8 and ROP2. ROP18 is known to be part of the major mechanism developed by virulent (type I) parasites to prevent the destruction of PVs by IRG proteins in mouse (not human) macrophages. By this mechanism, PVM-associated ROP5I/III sequesters IRG proteins and presents them to PVM-associated ROP18I/III for the phosphorylation on an essential Threonine residue in the N-terminal domain of IRGs, which in turn, prevents their assembly at the PVM for its further lysis (Etheridge et al., 2014). Specifically, GRA7 was shown to bind to one of the IRGs, i.e. immunity-related GTPase a6 (irga6), which led to its enhanced polymerization, rapid turnover, and eventual disassembly. The current understanding is thus that ROP18 and GRA7 act in a complex to target IRGs by distinct mechanisms that are synergistic and prevent the destruction of the PV (Alaganan et al., 2014).

III-5.3) Dense granule proteins, efficient effectors that re-program the host cell

GRA15, GRA16, GRA24 and TgI ST, once released into the PV, are targeted to the host cell nucleus, where they modulate the host cell expression in many ways which influence the quality of the immune response (sometimes in opposite directions) or the host cell cycle. Based on the current studies:

i) GRA15 type-II, in infected macrophages, induces the nuclear translocation of the NF-κB p65 factor, activating the transcription of pro-inflammatory genes such as IL12 (p40/p70) (Rosowski et al., 2011);

ii) GRA24 type-II induces prolonged auto-phosphorylation (and activation) of Thr180 and the nuclear translocation of host P38α mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase, thus increasing the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL12 p40) in infected macrophages (Braun et al., 2013);

iii) in the host cell nucleus TgI ST binds to the gamma activated sequence (GAS) in place of STAT1, in the promoter of IFN-γ regulated genes, thus interacting with STAT1 and the Mi-2 nucleosome remodeling and deacetylase complex (Mi-2/NuRD complex), which, in turn, leads to the repression of the STAT1-mediated transcription of IFN-γ stimulated genes prior to IFN-γ priming. (Olias et al., 2016; Gay et al., 2016);

iv) GRA16 binds two host enzymes, the Herpes virus-associated ubiquitin specific protease deubiquitinase (HAUSP) and the PP2A phosphatase. Both exert several functions, including the
regulation of the p53 tumor suppressor pathway and the cell cycle. In a HAUSP-dependent manner, GRA16 alters p53 levels and induces nuclear translocation of the PP2A holoenzyme. GRA16 could thus control host cell arrest in the G2/M phase associated with Toxoplasma early infection (Bougdour et al., 2013).

Even if they are not targeted to the host cell nucleus, some of the GRA proteins, in particular those which are targeted to the PVM, have been shown to efficiently contribute to the manipulation of the host cell. For examples,

i) GRA6 was shown to indirectly activate the transcription factor “nuclear factor of activated T cells 4” (NFAT4), likely via a direct interaction between its C-terminal domain and CAMLG (Ma et al., 2014), which had been shown to be an activator of NFAT4 (Bram and Crabtree, 1994);

ii) recombinant GRA5 was shown to increase the migration of human CD34-DCs toward CCL19. A 29 amino acid synthetic peptide derived from the N-terminal region of GRA5 was found to be internalized by macropinocytosis and trigger \textit{in vitro} migration of CD34-DCs via CCR7 expression and activation of the c-Jun N-terminal kinases pathway (Persat et al., 2012).
CHAPTER IV
FROM THE PARASITOPHOROUS VACUOLE TO THE CYST

Differentiation between stages is a crucial step of the life cycle of many protozoan parasites and for their transmission between hosts. In *Toxoplasma gondii*, interconversion between rapidly growing tachyzoites and latent encysted bradyzoites is an event accompanied by numerous morphological and metabolic adaptations resulting in long-term persistence in the host.

In this chapter, after a description of the mechanisms involved in tachyzoite to bradyzoite and PV to cyst differentiation, I will present the morphological features of both the bradyzoite cell and the cyst structure.

IV-1 DIFFERENTIATION OF TACHZOITES TO BRADYZOITES RESULTS FROM STRESS

Before presenting the molecular mechanisms that sustain both the tachyzoite and the PV differentiation, one must remind the reader that one of the major differences between tachyzoites and bradyzoites is their rhythm of division. I will thus first briefly review below the various types of division processes that can be observed in Apicomplexa.

IV-1. 1) *Toxoplasma* tachyzoites divide by endodyogeny and exhibit a reduced cell cycle

Once inside their PV, tachyzoites start to multiply by a particular type of asexual binary division called endodyogeny. The molecular mechanisms involved in this particular division process are behind the scope of this review. I will just mention that it corresponds to an internal budding that gives rise to two daughter cells formed within the mother cell (Figure 21A-B).
Figure 21. Schematic representation of tachyzoite division by endodyogeny.

A- Approximately four hours after the division process has begun, the volume of the mother cell has increased and the apical secretory organelles have begun to break down. The inner membrane complex scaffolds of the two daughter cells contain already the divided Golgi apparatus and apicoplast as wells as immature rhoptries and micronemes. The nucleus of the mother cell with its surrounding endoplasmic reticulum has branched and begun to invade the daughter cells scaffolds. The mitochondrion of the mother cell, which now encircles almost completely the daughter scaffolds, is one of the last organelles to divide. B- At the end of the endodyogeny process, the two daughter cells remain attached by a small residual body of division, which contains leftovers of the mother mitochondrion, endoplasmic reticulum, micronemes and rhoptries. From Mercier et al., 2010

C- Schematic representation of tachyzoite intracellular replication cycle. Adapted from Zhang et al., 2013.

In Toxoplasma gondii, the time between two cell divisions depends on the parasite strain. It lasts between 5 and 10 hours (it is typically 8 hours for the RH strain) (Jones and Hirsch, 1972; Makioka and Ohtomo, 1995). One particularity of endodyogeny is that this is a synchronous process in all the tachyzoites of a given PV, which results in geometric expansion of clonal progenies until the host cell is lysed, \(\sim 48\) h PI (Hu et al., 2002a). At the time of host cell lysis, the PV occupies the entire space of the host cell cytoplasm and contains 128 or 256 tachyzoites, depending on the cytoplasm volume available in the host cell, and thus its shape. Following egress, free parasites infect adjacent cells (Figure 21C) or are transported in distant locations by the blood flow, ensuring parasite dissemination during the acute phase of infection (see chapter I).

Production of so numerous tachyzoites within the host cell in such a limited period of time is actually sustained by a cell cycle, which is particularly rapid, because of a lack of G2 phase and DNA synthesis being coupled to mitosis, as schematized on Figure 22.
Figure 22. Schematization of the various phases of a tachyzoite cell cycle. The scheme shows the lack of G2 phase and links the cell cycle phases to the two waves of transcription occurring respectively during the S (transcription of genes involved in daughter cell assembly and host cell invasion) and the G1 phases (transcription of the house-keeping genes).

IV-1.2) Bradyzoites, when dividing, proceed through alternative division processes

Endodyogeny differs from both the schizogony and the endopolyogeny processes, which are specific to closely related Apicomplexa parasites, namely *Plasmodium* and *Sarcocystis*, respectively (Figure 23). In these division processes, cytokinesis and/or nuclear division are replaced by stages that are multinucleated or contain a single polyploid nucleus.

Interestingly, despite the fact that mature bradyzoites stop dividing (cell cycle arrested in G0/G1), these two modes of division are encountered in early bradyzoites (*Dzierszinski et al.*, 2004; *Striepen*, 2007).
Figure 23. Schematic representation of the types of division processes encountered in Apicomplexa parasites.
Schematic outline of the cell division processes encountered in Toxoplasma tachyzoites (endodyogeny), Plasmodium merozoites (schizogony), and Sarcocystis (endopolygeny). Note that Sarcocystis develops directly within the host cell cytoplasm, while both Toxoplasma and Plasmodium are contained within a parasitophorous vacuole. DNA, grey; IMC, purple; centrosome, red. Adapted from Striepen et al., 2007.

IV-1. 3) Differentiation from tachyzoites to bradyzoites in response to stressing immune factors

During infection dissemination of tachyzoites is rapidly controlled by the host immune response (see chapter I). Although the development of bradyzoites occurs spontaneously in various tissues, cysts develop preferentially in neural and muscular tissues. It has been shown that host immunity factors play an important role in the cyst development and maintenance (Gross et al., 1996; Denkers et al., 1998; Dupont et al., 2012). Very schematically, both activated CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T cells that control Toxoplasma dissemination, also allow the development of a chronic infection by their production of INF-$\gamma$, which:

i) triggers the production of nitric oxide (NO) and reactive oxygen species (ROS) by macrophages, astrocytes and microglia, thus reducing tachyzoite replication;

ii) activates IRG proteins such as IGTP and LRG-47, thus reducing parasite viability in activated murine macrophages and astrocytes (Butcher, et al., 2005);

iii) triggers the production of host indoleamine 2,3 dioxygenase 1 and 2 in human brain endothelial cells, fibroblasts and macrophages, thus reducing the quantity of host tryptophan available for tachyzoite metabolism (Toxoplasma is auxotrophic for tryptophan).
The stress induced by the reduced quantity of nutrients and the development of intracellular mechanisms that aim at the destruction of the parasitophorous vacuole create the stress conditions required for the tachyzoites i) to slow down their cell cycle, ii) then progress through their cell cycle to reach a pre-mitotic checkpoint occurring at the S/M phase, where they engage their differentiation program (Croken et al., 2014b). Interestingly, analysis of the bradyzoite transcripts from the type II Me49 strain showed an enrichment of transcripts associated with i) ATP production via oxidative phosphorylation and the citric acid cycle; ii) transcription and translation machineries but iii) no difference in the genes related to invasion and formation of the PV (mic/ron/rop/gra genes) (Croken et al., 2014a).

Furthermore, studies in humans and mice have revealed varying abilities to control infection and cyst burden, showing that the genetic background of the host is responsible for the development of latent infection (Brown, et al., 1995; Suzuki, et al., 1996; Mack, et al., 1999; Suzuki, 2002). For example, BALB/c mice develop fewer brain cysts than other infected mice strains (such as CD1). This phenotype was linked to the quality of the CD8⁺ T cells response, which eliminates brain cysts via perforin-mediated activity (Suzuki, et al., 2010).

**IV-1.4) Bradyzoite formation can be induced in vitro by the application of various stresses**

Knowing that the conversion of tachyzoites into bradyzoites is stress-mediated (Figure 24), several methods have been developed to induce bradyzoite differentiation in vitro. The most commonly used method consists in incubating the infected cells in an alkaline medium for 3 or 4 days (Soëte et al., 1994). Heat shock or treatment with sodium arsenite also trigger expression of bradyzoite antigens (Soëte, et al., 1994), similarly to nutrient (ex: arginine) deprivation (Fox, et al., 2004). The inhibition of parasite mitochondrion functions with sodium nitroprusside or other drugs cause oxidative stress (Bohne et al., 1994; Tomavo and Boothroyd 1995) or alteration of ER (Narasimhan, et al.,2008), also inducing in vitro differentiation.
**Figure 24:** Conversion of *Toxoplasma* tachyzoites into latent bradyzoites and development of cyst structure results from the pressure of the host immune system *in vivo* or stress conditions *in vitro*. Adapted from Zhang *et al.*, 2013.

### IV-2. GENETIC AND EPIGENETIC REGULATION OF THE DIFFERENTIATION

Regulation of the differentiation occurs at the translational level, the transcriptional level and includes epigenetics regulation.

#### IV-2.1) Translational control of the differentiation

As in any eukaryotic cell, one the major *Toxoplasma* responses to cellular stress consists in the activation of various kinases, which leads to phosphorylation of the eukaryotic initiation factor 2 of translation TgIF2α. The phosphorylation of this initiation factor is a conserved mechanism that eukaryotic cells use to repress global protein synthesis, while enhancing gene-specific translation of a subset of mRNAs (Zhang *et al.*, 2013). In *Toxoplasma*, high levels of TgIF2α–P are found in mature cysts induced *in vitro*, while the inhibition of TgIF2-dephosphorylation activates bradyzoite genes expression and cyst wall formation (Narasimhan *et al.*, 2008). *T. gondii* expresses 4 different kinases, TgIF2K-A, B, C, D, that could potentially phosphorylate TgIF2α. In particular, TgIF2K-C and D, which are homologous to the GCN2 mammalian kinase, that phosphorylates mammalian eIF2α kinase and facilitates adaptation to nutrient limitation, were very good candidates. Studies have shown that *Toxoplasma* uses both kinases: TgIF2K-D phosphorylates TgIF2α to promote survival of tachyzoites following egress from host cells (Konrad *et al.*, 2011), while TgIF2K-C phosphorylates TgIF2α to improve parasite viability during glutamine starvation (Konrad *et al.*, 2014). These studies suggested that the *Toxoplasma* GCN2-like kinases TgIF2K-C and TgIF2K-D evolved to have distinct roles, allowing the parasite to adapt to its environment (Konrad *et al.*, 2014).
IV-2.2) Transcriptional control of the differentiation

Despite the translational control that represses the translation of most mRNAs, translation of a subset of mRNAs coding for factors required to deal with stress still occurs. Studies showed that these mRNAs include those that code for the major family of plant-like transcription factors in Toxoplasma, the TgAP2 (“Apetala 2”, because they contain an AP2 DNA binding-domain), which comprises 68 members in T. gondii. High throughput mRNA sequencing showed that some TgAP2 transcription factors are more specific of a particular Toxoplasma type:

- i) steady state mRNA levels of TgAP2III-1 were shown to be significantly higher in PLK (type II) than in either RH (type I) or CTG (type III);
- ii) expression of TgAP2IV-2 is higher in CTG tachyzoites (type III);
- iii) RH tachyzoites (type I) express AP2IX-9 mRNA, a repressor of bradyzoite commitment, at significantly higher levels (Croken et al., 2014a).

Another study also based on high throughput mRNA sequencing showed that 11 TgAP2 transcription factors are up-regulated during bradyzoite differentiation:

- i) TgAP2 XI-4 was shown to be involved in upregulation of bradyzoite specific genes after alkaline stress in vitro, while mice infected with TgAP2 XI-4 KO type II parasites have a defect in cyst formation;
- ii) the expression of TgAP2 IX-9 was shown to peak in early stages of the alkaline stress response and to diminish in mature bradyzoite. This study also confirmed that TgAP2 IX-9 acts as a repressor of BZ differentiation (Walker et al., 2013).

IV-2.3) Epigenetic regulation of the differentiation

As in any other eukaryotic cell, chromatin can be fashioned to be transcriptionally repressive or permissive, depending in part on histones post-translational modifications, which is usually referred to as the “histone code”. Most of the studies so far have focused on the writers of this histone code, i.e. the enzymes that modify histones.

a) Histone acetylation

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments were used to study the regulation of bradyzoite conversion at the epigenetic level. The first studies demonstrated that the nucleosomes present in inactive bradyzoites-specific promoters are hypoacetylated at the tachyzoite stage, becoming acetylated under parasites differentiation (Saksouk et al., 2005).
ChIP experiments performed on stressed parasite populations enriched for developing bradyzoites showed that the histones in the upstream sequence of bradyzoite-specific genes are acetylated by one of the two histone lysine acetyltransferases (KAT) expressed by *T. gondii*, i.e. TgGCN5a or histone deacetylase 3 (TgHDA3). TgGCN5a was shown to be required for increased expression of 74% of the genes which are up-regulated in response to alkaline pH (*Naguleswaran et al., 2010*). TgHDA3 was found at the promoter region of bradyzoite-specific genes, which are silent at the tachyzoite stage. Drug inhibition of TgHDA3 induced histones acetylation and bradyzoite differentiation, confirming the role of TgHDA3 in the regulatory pathway driving parasite conversion (*Bougdour et al., 2009*).

**b) Histone methylation**

While acetylation of histone lysine residues leads to gene activation, their methylation results in either gene activation or repression. The TgSET8 methyltransferase has been shown to monomethylate the lysine 20 on histone 4 (H4K20), promoting heterochromatin formation and gene silencing (*Sautel et al., 2009*). In contrast, chemical inhibition of TgCARM1 histone methylase induced bradyzoite differentiation. TgCARM1 was shown to be associated with the promoter regions of tachyzoite-specific genes but enriched at bradyzoite-specific genes under induction of alkaline stress (*Saksouk et al., 2005*).

To this date, even if the genetic mechanisms that lead to differentiation begin to be unraveled, important questions remain unsolved, such as what are the mechanism(s) that allow turning off the repressors of bradyzoite differentiation and importantly, does the same cascade take place in parasites that encyst *in vivo*.

**IV-3 SPECIFIC FEATURES OF BRADYZOITES AND CYSTS**

Morphological studies on encysted bradyzoites isolated from the brain or developed *in vitro* led to an increased knowledge of their biology.
IV-3.1) The bradyzoite cell

Bradyzoites differ from tachyzoites in different aspects:

(i) **their cell morphology.** Individual bradyzoites (7 × 1.5 μm) are a little shorter than
tachyzoites (7 × 2 μm), with a more pronounced bow or crescent shape (Mehlhorn and
Frenkel, 1980).

(ii) **their subcellular organization.** The nucleus appears more posterior, rhoptries more
electron dense. The micronemes are more dispersed in the cytoplasm and more
numerous. There are also less dense granules (Dubey et al., 1998; Dzierszinski et al., 2004)
(Figure 25).

(iii) **their accumulation of amylopectin granules,** that reflects glucose storage (Dubey et al.,
1998) (Figure 25);

(iv) **Changes in parasite cell division.** Dzierszinski et al. (2004) followed by time-lapse
microscopy, the in vitro development of bradyzoites, demonstrating a growth rate
reduction (cytokinesis of 12 h instead of 7 h) and asynchronous cycles of division that
result in unusual numbers of parasites within a PV (i.e. the number of parasites it not a
multiple of 2). Bradyzoites, metabolically quiescent, were indeed shown to replicate slowly
within the tissue cysts by asynchronous endodyogeny but cycles of schizogony and
endopolygeny were also observed (Dzierszinski et al., 2004) (see paragraph IV-1).

(v) **Changes in their metabolism.** Differentiation is accompanied by drastic changes in the
expression profile (see paragraph IV-2), which results in progressive decrease in tachyzoite
specific antigens such as SAG1, ENO2 (Enolase 2) or LDH1 (lactate dehydrogenase 1), while
specific bradyzoite markers, such as HSP30/BAG1 (Heat Shock Protein/Bradyzoite Antigen
1), the membrane antigens SRS9/P36, SAG5A, SAG2C and SAG2D, and LDH2, ENO 1, are
expressed. The differentiated parasites are also distinguished by the expression of the
CST1 glycoprotein (SRS44, 116 kDa) at the cyst wall (Zhang et al., 2001; Lekutis et al., 2001;
Ferguson, 2004; Van et al., 2007; Tomita et al., 2013).

Many of these specific proteins favor the use of amylopectin and anaerobic glycolysis for
the production of ATP (LDH2, Glucose 6 phosphate dehydrogenase, ENO1) and allow the
parasite to cope with stress (HSPs). Together, these adaptations allow the parasite to
remain dormant for extended periods of time.
IV-3.2) Specific features of the cyst

Cysts are intracellular structures that appear, in C57BL/6J mice infected IP with Me49 (type II) TK, as early as 1 day PI but they were readily detected in the brain during the 3rd week of infection (Afonso et al., 2012). In the routine of experimental protocols, cysts are usually recovered from the brain of infected mice after 7-8 weeks of infection. In mice, cysts can be detected in the brain, retina, skeletal and cardiac muscles, the testicles and in the central nervous system, more particularly in the medial- and basolateral amygdalae of the brain, and precisely in any kind of brain cells (neurons, astrocytes and microglial cells). Importantly, the cysts, which do develop intracellularly, do not trigger any inflammatory reaction.

Young cysts recovered from a mouse brain have a diameter of 5 \( \mu \text{m} \), while a mature cyst can reach a diameter of 70-80 \( \mu \text{m} \). It then contains 1,000-2,000 bradyzoites (Figure 26).
Figure 26. Transformation of the PV into an intracellular cyst.
On the left side of the figure, a PV containing eight tachyzoites. On the right side of the figure, the PV has transformed into an intracellular cyst. From Mercier et al., 2012

a) The cyst wall
The cyst wall develops from a modification of the PV membranes (PVM, MNNs, vesicles) and their associated proteins. Its average thickness is 240 nm. It is composed by two layers: an outer compact layer, which presents circular openings of 30-40 nm in diameter, and an inner thicker layer with a sponge appearance. The outer layer contains chitin, glycoproteins and glycolipids. (Boothroyd et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 2001; Tomita et al., 2013) (Figure 27).
Figure 27. Composition of the cyst wall, as analyzed by Quick-Freezing, Freeze-Fracture, Deep-Etching and Rotary Replication (QF-FF-DE-RR). The two photos show the two layers of the cyst wall: one more compact (white arrows) facing the outer part of the cyst; and one looser (asterisks), with a sponge-like aspect facing the cyst matrix. From Lemgrüber, et al., 2011.

b) The cyst matrix

Inside the cysts the bradyzoites are surrounded by a matrix that contains a filamentous material, vesicles of different sizes and tubular structures (Figure 27) (Lemgrüber et al., 2011). Similar to the PV the tubular structures (diameter of 30-50 nm) connect the bradyzoites inside the cyst and to the cyst wall. The vesicles are of different sizes: large ones, L-vesicles, (diameter: 512 nm) and smaller ones, S-vesicles (diameter: 67 nm). The L-vesicles have a lumen granularity and density similar to that of the cyst wall. They contain glycoconjugates and unsaturated lipids and they are observed budding from the bradyzoite’s posterior end and in the vicinity of the cyst wall (Lemgrüber et al., 2011). Together, these observations, suggest that the L-vesicle could contribute to the cyst wall formation (Mercier and Delauw, 2012.)
Figure 28: Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) of an isolated cyst.
A- The aspect of a cyst before cyst wall removal. B-D Matrix visualization after cyst wall milling: tubular structures (white arrows) are visible surrounding the bradyzoites inside the cyst. From Lemgrüber, et al., 2011.

IV-4) CONTRIBUTION OF THE DENSE GRANULE PROTEINS TO THE CYST FORMATION

Similar to their multiple roles in the PV, the dense granule proteins could also play several roles in the cyst.

IV-4.1) Structural role in the formation of the cyst wall?

Several features suggest the involvement of dense granule proteins in the formation of the cyst wall:

i) dense granules are organelles restricted to the Apicomplexa parasites that are able to form cysts;

ii) most of the canonical GRA are part of the cyst wall (Ferguson, 2004), an observation that correlates with their gene expression being maintained during the bradyzoite stage (Mercier and Cesbron-Delauw, 2015).

iii) Moreover, TEM associated to immunogold labeling allowed the observation of the localization of GRA1, GRA2, GRA3, GRA5, GRA6 and GRA7 in cysts: their localization is in agreement with their location in the PV. GRA3, GRA5, GRA7, which are indeed associated with the PVM at the tachyzoite stage, were associated with the outer membrane; GRA2, GRA3, GRA6 and GRA7, which were associated with the MNN of the tachyzoite PV, were
located to the inner membrane; GRA3 and GRA7 were also described as parts of the cyst matrix, associated with its vesicles and tubular network. GRA1, which is soluble in the tachyzoite PV compartment, was detected in the cyst matrix only (Torpier et al., 1993; Ferguson, 2004; Lemgruber et al., 2011) (Figure 29).

---

**Figure 28. Schematic localization of the GRA proteins in the cyst.**
Adapted from Mercier and Delauw, 2012

The location of the GRA proteins in the cyst wall is thus in agreement with their structural role in the cyst formation.

**IV-4.2) Role(s) of the dense granule proteins in the host cell-cyst interaction?**

The large majority of the studies performed to investigate the interactions between *T. gondii* and the host cell were performed in vitro using tachyzoites of the type I strain. Little is known about the interactions established between the host cell and bradyzoites and sporozoite stages.

The involvement of the dense granule proteins in host cell communication should of course not be excluded. The circular openings observed in the cyst wall (Figure 27) could be involved in nutrient
acquisition or in the export of vesicles into the host cytoplasm. The dense granule proteins are in the right location to be involved in the formation of these circular openings. Studies performed *in vitro* showed that host ER accumulates around the PVM during the first 48 h of differentiation, while this phenotype disappears in more mature cysts (*Guimarães et al.*, 2009). Whether the dense granule proteins contribute to this recruitment, was not known when I began my PhD. The two above possibilities are just examples of the potential roles of the dense granule proteins in the cyst. These questions will likely open the door to investigations in the future years.

**IV-4.3) The GRA proteins are crucial for cyst burden *in vivo***

Similar to the studies that did investigate the role of the GRA proteins during acute infection, several studies did investigate their role during the chronic infection. To this aim, mice were infected with type II Prugniaud (PRU) strains deleted of one or several *gra* genes, this KO mutants having been constructed in the PRUΔku80Δhxgprt strain, which is amenable to homologous recombination at a targeted locus (*Fox et al.*, 2011). Infection of mice with PRU parasites KO for *gra4* and/or *gra6* (PRUΔku80 Δgra4::hxgprt, PRUΔku80Δgra6::hxgprt, PRUΔku80Δgra6Δgra4 strains) showed that the ability of these mutants to form brain cysts was reduced by 91% to 99% (*Fox et al.*, 2011). A recent study showed that a Δgra39 KO mutant (*Nadipuram et al.*, 2016) was also less able to form brain cysts. However, the failure of this mutant to establish a chronic infection is likely due to its inability to replicate at a normal rate, limiting the quantity of parasites that reach the brain.

To date, only GRA4 and GRA6 (*Fox et al.*, 2011) have been shown to be involved the cyst formation *in vivo*, but nothing is known about the role of the other dense granule proteins in this process. Furthermore, the data collected to date confirmed the importance of the GRA proteins in the cyst formation but the molecular mechanisms that allow the development and the maintenance of cyst structures have, to our knowledge, never been investigated.
AIMS OF MY PhD PROJECT
Dense granule proteins (GRA) have been described as major components of both the PV and the cyst wall. Since they are involved in the PV maturation, numerous GRA proteins have been characterized at the tachyzoite stage and little is known about their role(s) in the parasite life cycle. The aim of my PhD project was to increase this knowledge focusing on the GRA5 protein, which had been previously characterized by the team of M.F Delauw.

GRA5 (21 kDa) is a 120 amino acid small protein predicted to contain a N-terminal hydrophobic signal peptide, a central hydrophobic alpha-helix, bordered by two hydrophilic regions (Lecordier et al., 1993). Stored as a soluble protein within the dense granules, it is secreted into the PV following invasion. In this compartment, it traffics to the PVM, spans it and exposes its N-terminal region to the host cytosol (Gendrin et al., 2008; Lecordier et al., 1999). During the chronic phase of infection GRA5 as well as GRA3 and GRA7 were found to localize in the outer membrane of the cyst wall (Torpier et al., 1993; Ferguson, 2004; Lemgrüber et al., 2011). In addition to its structural role, GRA5 was described as a modulator of human dendritic cells’ migration, suggesting its possible involvement in the parasite dissemination in vivo (Persat et al., 2012).

A collaboration with the team of David Bzik (Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Lebanon NH, USA) allows the access of our team to a collection of T. gondii type I (RH) and type II (Prugniaud) Δgra null mutants (GRA11, GRA211-GRA1411). These mutants were obtained after the generation of Δku80 knockout strains in both the RH and the Prugniaud strains (Fox et al., 2009; 2011). Loss of the non-homologous end-joining DNA repair pathway in these strains increases the efficiency of double-crossover homologous recombination at any given locus (as long as it is not vital) and allows rapid construction of knock-out mutants (Fox et al., 2009; 2011).

Using Δgra knockout T. gondii parasites and in vitro models, the aim of my PhD was to answer to the two following questions:

1/ are there some GRA proteins, including GRA5, which are important for the parasite invasion and proliferation?

2/ is GRA5 involved in the biogenesis of cysts?

In collaboration with the team of David Bzik, we performed a systematic comparative analysis of the behavior (invasion, proliferation, virulence) of Δgra null mutants constructed in the virulent type I RH strain. This work was published in PLoS One, in July 2016: Rommereim, L.*, Bellini V.*., et al., 2016, PLoS One, 11(7): e0159306 (*, equal contributions)
Using the cyst-forming type II *Prugniaud strain*, I investigated the role of GRAS in parasite invasion/proliferation and in the PV differentiation into a cyst-like structure (Bellini *et al.*, in preparation).

During this work, I also collaborated with people of M.F. Delauw’s team on the validation of a protocol for the collection of mouse and rat brains and their use for the quantification of Toxoplasma cysts. This work was published in the web publication BioProtocol, in April 2015:

**Bellini, V. *et al.*, bio-protocol.org/e1439, Apr 05, 2015. Vol 5, Iss 7.**

Note: all the BioProtocols will be soon referenced in PubMedCentral.

The three papers to which I have contributed during my PhD will be presented in the above order in the following “Results” section.
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Phenotypes Associated with Knockouts of Eight Dense Granule Gene Loci (GRA2-9) in Virulent *Toxoplasma gondii*
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During host cell invasion *T. gondii* forms a parasitophorous vacuole, an important compartment that ensures its replication and survival. The dense granule proteins have been characterized as major components of the PV: secreted during invasion they are massively accumulated at the PV membranes and within the PV lumen. To date several GRAs have been described, but the role of most of them remains unknown. This work, performed in collaboration with Leah Rommereim and David Bzik, from the Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Lebanon, NH, USA, aimed to study the essentiality and the involvement of 10 GRA proteins (GRA1-GRA10) in parasite infection, replication and virulence.

The Δgra mutants were generated by Bzik and collaborators in the virulent type I RH strain. Specifically, they obtained 8 single Δgra knockouts (Δgra2 to Δgra9) and 5 double knocked-out parasite lines, respectively Δgra2Δgra4, Δgra2Δgra6, Δgra4Δgra6, Δgra3Δgra5, and Δgra3Δgra7. Their failure to generate Δgra1 and Δgra10 mutants suggested an essential role of these two proteins. All the other single gene knockouts were obtained. The infection and replication abilities of this set of Δgra knocked-out parasites were observed using *in vitro* models. The first results did show that none of these mutants exhibited any particular replication defect. To confirm this phenotype, we performed a high throughput immunofluorescence analysis of cells infected with these mutants in order to screen larger samples of more than 5,000 PVs. We detected both the host-cell- and the parasite nuclei using Hoechst 33342, while both the vacuolar space and the parasites were labeled with anti-GRA1 antibody. The entire surface of each well was scanned with an Olympus IX8 inverted microscope equipped with a black and white Orca ER Camera and a LUCPLLN 20xPH1 objective and images of all the vacuoles were acquired. The ScanR software was used to count the total number of vacuoles (based on their GRA1 labeling) and the number of intracellular parasites per vacuole (based on their nuclear staining). The proliferation rate was calculated as the percentage of vacuoles containing 1, 2, 4, 8, or 16 parasites, while the invasion rate was calculated as the total number of vacuoles divided by the number of analyzed cells (counted on the basis of their nuclear staining).

This systematic analysis of the 8 Δgra mutants demonstrated that the absence of any of these single GRA protein does not affect parasite replication. In contrast, Δgra2, Δgra4, Δgra5, Δgra6, Δgra7 and Δgra9 exhibited a decreased rate of invasion. Importantly, the study performed on the double gra knocked-out parasites showed a significant reduced replication rate for the Δgra4Δgra6, Δgra3Δgra5, and Δgra3Δgra7 strains, suggesting that some GRA proteins could play redundant roles.

During intracellular development of the vacuole, a stable and functional MNN dependent on both GRA2 and GRA6 (Mercier et al., 2002) develops within the PV, while GRA7 is involved in the formation of HOST structures for host lipids import (Coppens et al., 2006). To study the morphology of the vacuoles formed by these Δgra mutants, transmission electron microscopy was performed on infected cells cultured either in complete- or lipid-free medium. No particular change was observed for the mutants, except for Δgra2, Δgra6 and Δgra7 parasites. Confirming the phenotypes previously
described (Mercier et al., 2002), the vacuoles formed by the Δgra2 and Δgra6 mutants showed destabilization of the MNN tubular structures into aggregated material and small vesicles, respectively. In contrast, we showed, for the first time, that vacuoles depleted in GRA7 displayed hyper-formation of the MNN. This result suggested that GRA7, in association with GRA2 and GRA6, could have a role in the regulation of the MNN formation and/or functions.

The GRA proteins are heavily expressed at the tachyzoite stage and they play important roles for the parasite virulence during the acute stage of infection. In vivo studies on CD1 mice were performed using single- and double Δgra knocked-out parasites. All the mice infected by the Δgra mutants died 10 days (more or less) post-infection, revealing no difference between the mutants. These results contrasted with previous studies published on Δgra2, Δgra6 and Δgra7 (Mercier et al., 1998b; Alaganan et al., 2014; Shastri et al., 2014), and which showed that the quantity of injected tachyzoites as well as the site of infection have to be considered for this kind of in vivo test of parasite virulence. Together, these observations demonstrated that, during acute infection, individual GRA2-9 do not have particular functions but that, in complexes, they could play redundant and necessary roles.
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Abstract

Toxoplasma gondii actively invades host cells and establishes a parasitophorous vacuole (PV) that accumulates many proteins secreted by the dense granules (GRA proteins). To date, at least 23 GRA proteins have been reported, though the function(s) of most of these proteins still remains unknown. We targeted gene knockouts at ten GRA gene loci (GRA1-10) to investigate the cellular roles and essentiality of these classical GRA proteins during acute infection in the virulent type I RH strain. While eight of these genes (GRA2-9) were successfully knocked out, targeted knockouts at the GRA1 and GRA10 loci were not obtained, suggesting these GRA proteins may be essential. As expected, the Δgra2 and Δgra6 knockouts failed to form an intravacuolar network (IVN). Surprisingly, Δgra7 exhibited hyper-formation of the IVN in both normal and lipid-free growth conditions. No morphological alterations were identified in parasite or PV structures in the Δgra3, Δgra4, Δgra5, Δgra8, or Δgra9 knockouts. With the exception of the Δgra3 and Δgra8 knockouts, all of the GRA knockouts exhibited defects in their infection rate in vitro. While the single GRA knockouts did not exhibit reduced replication rates in vitro, replication rate defects were observed in three double GRA knockout strains (Δgra4Δgra6, Δgra3Δgra5 and Δgra3Δgra7). However, the virulence of single or double GRA knockout strains in CD1 mice was not affected. Collectively, our results suggest that while the eight individual GRA proteins investigated in this study (GRA2-9) are not essential, several GRA proteins may provide redundant and potentially important functions during acute infection.
Introduction

Toxoplasma gondii is an obligate intracellular protozoan pathogen capable of infecting any living nucleated cell [1]. As one of the most successful protozoan parasites in the group of cyst-forming Apicomplexa, Toxoplasma is estimated to chronically infect at least a third of the world’s population [2]. Infections in immune-competent individuals are typically asymptomatic, although toxoplasmosis can cause severe pathological effects in immune privileged areas such as the eye or developing fetus [3], and toxoplasmosis is life-threatening in immunocompromised patients [4].

Toxoplasma enters host cells via a rapid active invasion mechanism [5] and utilizes the host cell plasma membrane to form, within the host cytosol, a distinct compartment termed the parasitophorous vacuole (PV), in which it replicates and divides [6–8]. Toxoplasma invasion and PV formation require three Apicomplexa-specific organelles: the micronemes, rhoptries and dense granules. The secreted microneme proteins (MIMs) [9] aid in adhesion to the host cell and, with the secreted rhoptry neck proteins (RONs) [10], in formation of a moving junction through which the motile parasite penetrates the host cell. The rhoptries also release rhoptry bulb proteins (ROPs) into the host cell cytosol during invasion. Many ROP proteins re-localize to the non-fusogenic [11] PV membrane (PVM), while other ROP proteins remain in the host cytoplasm or gain access to the host cell nucleus where they contribute to reprogramming host gene expression [12].

Shortly after the formation of the PV, the dense granule proteins (GRAs) that are defined by their localization in electron dense granule organelles are massively secreted into the PV lumen [13–17]. A few peptides secreted by the dense granules exhibit homologies to proteins of known function such as cathepsin C [18], nucleoside triphosphate hydrolases (NTPases) [19, 20], an osteopectin-like protein [21], protease inhibitors [22, 23] and a lipolytic lecinthincholesterol acyltransferase [24]. Yet, most GRA proteins do not have any identifiable gene homologues in species other than cyst-forming coccidioms. Within the PV lumen, GRA2 initiates the formation of the membranous tubules that compose the intracellular network (IVN) and GRA6 stabilizes this network [25, 26], which is proposed to provide a scaffold for parasite replication [27, 28]. GRA7 aids in the formation of host organelle sequestering tubular structures (HOSTs), which are deep PV invaginations that entrap single shortened host microtubules to direct host endocytic vacuoles to the PV for nutrient acquisition [29]. GRA7 also interacts with ROP2 and ROP4 [30] and acts in complex with ROP18 to bind host Immunity-related GTPase 6 (Irg6) [31], mediating resistance to a major interferon-γ (IFN-γ) triggered macrophage killing mechanism [32]. GRA22 was recently proposed to play a role in parasite egress [33]. GRA17 and GRA23 were identified as PVM-localized GRAs that mediate passive transport of small molecules [34]. Polymorphic type I GRA6 was recently shown to manipulate the host cell by activating the host transcription factor nuclear factor of activated T cells 4 (NFAT4) [35]. GRA5 increases the expression of CCRL7 [36] and GRA25 induces the expression of CCL2 and C-X-C motif ligand 1 (CXCL1) [37]. Other GRA proteins are exported from the PV into the host cell cytosol and/or nucleus where they modify host cell signaling pathways [38]. This exported GRA protein class includes GRA15 [39], GRA16 [40], and GRA24 [41].

Genes encoding several GRA proteins identified in antigen-mapping studies have been previously deleted in virulent type I strains (GRA2, GRA5, GRA6, GRA7, GRA14 and GRA22) [25, 29, 31, 33, 42–44], or in low-virulence type II strains (GRA3, GRA4 and GRA6) [45, 46]. However, previous gene deletion studies in non-Aku80 strains are complicated by frequent off-site mutation that could influence observed phenotypes [47].

In this study, we utilized the virulent type I Aku80 strain that enables highly efficient and precise development of gene knockouts [46–48] or gene tagging [49] to target gene deletions at the
first ten GRA gene loci (GRA1-10). We isolated 8 of the 10 targeted knockouts (Agra2-9) and investigated invasion, growth, morphology and virulence phenotypes. Overall, our findings validate phenotypes associated with several previously reported GRA knockouts, and suggest that while GRA proteins (GRA2-9) are individually not essential, several of these GRA proteins are likely to provide redundant and potentially crucial functions during acute infection.

Materials and Methods

Primers

All oligonucleotide primers used in the development of plasmids for targeting gene deletions (S1_Table) and primers used in the validation of targeted gene deletions (S2_Table) are shown in the supplementary material. Sequences for primer design and validation of targeting plasmids were obtained from ToxoDB [www.toxodb.org] [50].

Plasmid Construction

Plasmids were developed using yeast recombination cloning that fused a ~1-kb 5' target flank, a ~2-kb hypoxanthine-xanthine-guanine-phosphoribosyltransferase (HXGPRT) selectable marker cassette and a ~1-kb 3' target flank with pBS416 by homologous crossovers at recombination junctions [49]. DNA elements for yeast recombination were amplified from type 1 RH (EP; ATOC 40050) genomic DNA. All targeting plasmids were validated by restriction enzyme digests and by DNA sequencing. The sequenced and fully annotated type 1 GT1 strain [www.toxodb.org] was used to identify GRA gene loci and type 1 sequences for targeting plasmid assembly. Plasmid pGRA1 was constructed by fusing the HXGPRT minigene cassette between a 1,095-bp 5' GRAI genomic target flank and a 940-bp 3' GRAI genomic target flank to delete nucleotides 5308191 to 5309090 of the GRAI locus on chromosome VIII annotated as TGGT1_270250. Plasmid pGRA2 was constructed by fusing the HXGPRT minigene cassette between a 1,136-bp 5' GRA2 genomic target flank and a 1,025-bp 3' GRA2 genomic target flank to delete nucleotides 814572 to 812564 of the GRA2 locus on chromosome X annotated as TGGT1_227620. Plasmid pGRA3C was constructed by digesting pGRA2 with SphI, followed by self-ligation to remove the HXGPRT minigene cassette. Plasmid pGRA3 was constructed by fusing the HXGPRT minigene cassette between a 950-bp 5' GRA3 genomic target flank and a 800-bp 3' GRA3 genomic target flank to delete nucleotides 959787 to 959629 of the GRA3 locus on chromosome X annotated as TGGT1_227280. Plasmid pGRA3C was constructed by digesting pGRA3 with SphI, followed by self-ligation to remove the HXGPRT minigene cassette. Plasmid pGRA4 was constructed by fusing the HXGPRT minigene cassette between a 1,130-bp 5' GRA4 genomic target flank and a 988-bp 3' GRA4 genomic target flank to delete nucleotides 1201331 to 1200129 of the GRA4 locus on chromosome XI annotated as TGGT1_310780. Plasmid pGRA4C was constructed by digesting pGRA4 with SphI, followed by self-ligation to remove the HXGPRT minigene cassette. Plasmid pGRA5 was constructed by fusing the HXGPRT minigene cassette between a 1,195-bp 5' GRA5 genomic target flank and a 936-bp 3' GRA5 genomic target flank to delete nucleotides 1753723 to 1754102 of the GRA5 locus on chromosome V annotated as TGGT1_286450. Plasmid pGRA6 was constructed by fusing the HXGPRT minigene cassette between a 1,057-bp 5' GRA6 genomic target flank and a 975-bp 3' GRA6 genomic target flank to delete nucleotides 7195369 to 7194367 of the GRA6 locus on chromosome X annotated as TGGT1_275440. Plasmid pGRA7 was constructed by fusing the HXGPRT minigene cassette between a 1,141-bp 5' GRA7 genomic target flank and a 954-bp 3' GRA7 genomic target flank to delete nucleotides 2582896 to 2585701 of the GRA7 locus on chromosome VII annotated as TGGT1_203310. Plasmid pGRA8 was constructed by fusing the HXGPRT minigene cassette between a 1,151-bp 5' GRA8 genomic target flank and a 1,015-bp 3' GRA8 genomic target flank.
to delete nucleotides 1894848 to 1895699 of the GRA8 locus on chromosome III annotated as TGTT1.354720. Plasmid pGRA9 was constructed by fusing the HXGPR T minigene cassette between a 1.110-bp 5’ GRA9 genomic target flank and a 973-bp 3’ GRA9 genomic target flank to delete nucleotides 5007877 to 5510441 of the GRA9 locus on chromosome XII annotated as TGTT1.2515460. Plasmid pGRA10 was constructed by fusing the HXGPR T minigene cassette between a 1.170-bp 5’ GRA10 genomic target flank and a 967-bp 3’ GRA10 genomic target flank to delete nucleotide 6215048 to 6217010 of the GRA10 locus on chromosome VIII annotated as TGTT1.268900.

Cell and Parasite Cultures

All parasite cultures were maintained in vitro by serial passages in human foreskin fibroblast (HFF) monolayers (ATCC SCRC-1041.1) in Eagle’s modified essential medium (EMEM) supplemented with 1% fetal bovine serum (FBS) at 36°C [51]. As specified in the text, certain experiments were performed using Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% sodium pyruvate (D10 medium) or using lipid-free D10 medium (HD10).

Gene Replacements and Deletions

All strains used or developed in this study are listed in Table 1. Parasites were transformed following previously described methods [47]. Gene replacements using the HXGPR T marker were selected in 25 μg/ml mycophenolic acid (MPA) and 50 μg/ml xanthine (MPA+X). HXGPR T deletion was selected using 200 μg/ml 6-thioguanine (6TX). Genotype verification of precisely targeted gene replacement and deletion events was performed by PCR as previously described [47, 48].

Western Blots

Parasites were isolated from freshly lysed cultures and resuspended in Laemmli buffer. Proteins were separated by 13% SDS-PAGE (non-reduced conditions), transferred to nitrocellulose membranes and detected using the following primary antibodies: mAb TG17.179 anti-GRA2

Table 1. Strains used or developed in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Parent Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RHΔku80Δhrgprt [48]</td>
<td>RHΔaku80ΔHXGPR T [48]</td>
<td>Δku80Δhrgprt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔaku80Δgra2:ΔHXGPR T</td>
<td>RHΔaku80Δhrgprt</td>
<td>Δku80Δgra2:ΔHXGPR T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔaku80Δgra3:ΔHXGPR T</td>
<td>RHΔaku80Δhrgprt</td>
<td>Δku80Δgra3:ΔHXGPR T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔaku80Δgra4:ΔHXGPR T</td>
<td>RHΔaku80Δhrgprt</td>
<td>Δku80Δgra4:ΔHXGPR T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔaku80Δgra5:ΔHXGPR T</td>
<td>RHΔaku80Δhrgprt</td>
<td>Δku80Δgra5:ΔHXGPR T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔaku80Δgra6:ΔHXGPR T</td>
<td>RHΔaku80Δhrgprt</td>
<td>Δku80Δgra6:ΔHXGPR T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔaku80Δgra7:ΔHXGPR T</td>
<td>RHΔaku80Δhrgprt</td>
<td>Δku80Δgra7:ΔHXGPR T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔaku80Δgra8:ΔHXGPR T</td>
<td>RHΔaku80Δhrgprt</td>
<td>Δku80Δgra8:ΔHXGPR T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔaku80Δgra9:ΔHXGPR T</td>
<td>RHΔaku80Δhrgprt</td>
<td>Δku80Δgra9:ΔHXGPR T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔaku80Δgra20:Δhrgprt</td>
<td>RHΔaku80Δgra2:ΔHXGPR T</td>
<td>Δku80Δgra20:Δhrgprt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔaku80Δgra30:Δhrgprt</td>
<td>RHΔaku80Δgra3:ΔHXGPR T</td>
<td>Δku80Δgra30:Δhrgprt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔaku80Δgra40:Δhrgprt</td>
<td>RHΔaku80Δgra4:ΔHXGPR T</td>
<td>Δku80Δgra40:Δhrgprt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔaku80Δgra50:ΔHXGPR T</td>
<td>RHΔaku80Δgra5:ΔHXGPR T</td>
<td>Δku80Δgra50:Δhxgprt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔaku80Δgra60:ΔHXGPR T</td>
<td>RHΔaku80Δgra6:ΔHXGPR T</td>
<td>Δku80Δgra60:Δhxgprt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔaku80Δgra70:ΔHXGPR T</td>
<td>RHΔaku80Δgra7:ΔHXGPR T</td>
<td>Δku80Δgra70:Δhxgprt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0159306.601
(1:15,000) [16], mAb Tb6.2H11 anti-GRAS (1:10,000) [52], rabbit anti-GRAS (1:10,000) [53], mAb TG17.123 anti-GRAS (1:5,000) [16], rabbit anti-GRAS (1:20,000) [52], mAb BATO 214 anti-GRAS (1:5,000) [54], mAb 3.2 anti-GRAS (1:10,000) [55], rabbit anti-GRAS (1:2,500) [56], rabbit anti-actin (1:10,000) [57] or mAB TG054 anti-SAG1 (1:5,000) [58] (antibodies purchased from the Biozom company, Apprieu, France or kindly provided by L. D. Sibley, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, MO; D. Jacobs, Innogenetics-Fujirebio Europe N.V., Ghent, Belgium; G.E. Ward, University of Vermont College of Medicine, Burlington, VT; W. Daubener, Heinrich Heine Universität, Düsseldorf, Germany). Proteins were detected with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:20,000; Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories) and the peroxidase activity was visualized by chemiluminescence using the Supersignal ECL system (Pierce Chemical).

**Indirect immunoﬂuorescence**

Confluent HFFs were grown on glass coverslips and infected overnight with parasites. For experiments using lipid free medium, HFFs were equilibrated in lID10 and parasites were passed three times in lID10 prior to infection experiments. infected cells were fixed in 5% formaldehyde, permeabilized with 0.02% saponin and blocked in 5% goat serum-5% FBS in Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS). Cells were then incubated with primary antibodies for 1 hr in 1% FBS-0.002% saponin. Primary antibodies used in this study included mAb TG17.123 anti-GRAS (1:500), mAb Tb6.2H11 anti-GRAS (1:500), rabbit anti-GRAS (1:500), rabbit anti-GRAS (1:500), mAb BATO 214 anti-GRAS (1:500), mAb 3.2 anti-GRAS (1:500), rabbit anti-GRAS (1:500), and mAb TG 054 anti-SAG1 (1:500). Infected cells were washed and incubated for 1 h with the following secondary antibodies: goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L)-Alexa 488 (1:500, Jackson) or goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) Alexa 594 (1:500, Jackson) or goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa 488 (1:500, Jackson). Coverslips were then incubated with DAPI (10 μg/mL, Hoechst 33342 for 10 min) to stain nuclei, mounted in Mowiol and observed using a 100X objective on an Axioplan II microscope (Zeiss). Images were acquired using a Zeiss black and white camera and the Axio Vision software (release 4.7.1).

**Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)**

Monolayers of HFFs were grown to confluence on Permanox slides, infected for 24 h in D10 or in lID10 medium, rinsed with PBS, fixed for 2 h with glutaraldehyde diluted in 0.2 M NaPO₄, pH 7.4 and processed for TEM, as previously described [23]. To allow preservation of the IVN, the infected cells were flat embedded and sectioned en face.

**Intracellular Replication and Infectivity Assays**

The intracellular growth rate was determined in HFFs in a 30-h growth assay. Freshly lysed parasites were filtered through a Nuclepore filter to remove host cell debris and used to infect HFFs at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of ~0.1. An hour after infection the monolayer was washed with PBS and fresh medium was added to the culture. Cultures were prepared in duplicate. At 30 h post-infection the number of parasites/vacuole was scored from 250 PVs per parasite strain to determine the average number of parasites per vacuole in an HFF cell with a single PV. Samples were represented as the average number of parasite(s) per PV (± SEM). Replication rates were compared between parental and knockout strains using a Student’s t-test, with significance being represented as a P value < 0.05.

For high throughput replication and infectivity assays, HFF cells were plated in 96 well plates (10,000 cells per well). Cells were grown for 48 h, rinsed with PBS and 40,000 parasites of each strain (MOI ~1–2) were deposited into the wells (250 μl per well). Plates were spun at
400 rpm for 1 min, then incubated for 2 h to allow parasite invasion. After 3 washes in PBS, fresh D10 medium was added to each well and the plates were returned to culture for 24 h. The infected monolayers were washed with PBS, incubated with 5 μg/mL Hoechst 33342 for 10 min, rinsed, saturated and permeabilized for 15 min with 5% goat serum–0.1% triton-X100 (PBS-T), and incubated for 45 min with mAb TGl7.043 anti-GRA1 (1:500, Biotem) in PBS-T. After washes, cells were incubated with goat anti-mouse IgG-Alexa 488 (1:200, Jackson), washed again, and analyzed by high content screening microscopy using an Olympus IX8 inverted microscope equipped with a black and white Orca ER Camera, and a LUCPLN 20xPH1 objective. Images of the entire surface of each well were analyzed using the ScanR software. The percentage of infected cells, the total number of parasites per PV as well as the percentage of PVs containing 1, 2, 4, 8, 16 parasitoids was determined for >5,000 PVs per strain. Statistical analyses were performed using a Student’s t-test, with significance being represented as a P-value <0.05.

Ethics Statement

All animal experiments were performed in strict accordance with the U.S.A. Public Health Service Policy on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Animals experiments were conducted in an AALAC approved facility. All animal protocols (protocol bril.ji2) were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Dartmouth College: Animal Welfare Assurance Number #A3529-01). The humane endpoint of weight loss was used to determine when animals were euthanized (≥ 17% body weight loss). Animals were monitored daily until weight loss occurred then animals were monitored at least twice a day. If the humane endpoint was reached, animals were euthanized using CO₂ and additionally by cervical dislocation. All efforts, including providing distilled water recovery to mice with weight loss, were made to minimize suffering.

Acute infection

Adult female CD1 mice were obtained from Charles River Laboratory and mice were maintained in Techniplast Seal Safe mouse cages on vent racks and provided with enrichment materials at the Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center (Lebanon, NH) mouse facility. Acute virulence was determined by a single intraperitoneal injection of the indicated numbers of tachyzoites into groups of four to eight week old female CD-1 mice (17-21g) per experiment. Studies were done in a blinded manner to minimize subjective bias. No unexpected deaths occurred during experiments. Survival was monitored for 30 days and the percentage of surviving animals was determined by the number of animals that survived / the total number of animals that were infected x 100. In all experiments, plaque forming units (PFUs) to tachyzoite ratios were determined at the time of parasite inoculation to verify infectivity of parasitic preparations. Survival was analyzed by the Kaplan-Meier method and curves were compared using the log rank (Mantel-Cox) test in Graphpad Prism.

Results

Targeted deletion of GRA2, GRA3, GRA4, GRA5, GRA6, GRA7, GRA8, and GRA9 gene loci

To further investigate the role of the first 10 historically identified GRA proteins (GRA1-10), we precisely targeted knockouts at each of these unique GRA gene loci using the virulent type I genetic background deficient in nonhomologous end-joining [48]. Gene knockout targeting plasmids were constructed according to the representative pΔGRA targeting plasmid shown in
These targeting plasmids position the HXGPRT selectable marker between the 5' and 3' DNA flanks of the GRA gene of interest, thereby replacing the GRA protein coding sequence with the HXGPRT selectable marker [29].

Fig 1A. Construction and validation of the single GRA2-9 knockout strains. A) Strategy for disruption of a GRA gene locus by double crossover homologous recombination in type I RHΔux80ΔhoxpRT using a plasmid, pGRAG, engineered to contain the 5' and 3' target flanks surrounding the HXGPRT selectable marker. Successful recombination events were selected by mycophenolic acid plus xanthine (MPA+X). This strategy is representative of each of the gene knockout attempts. B) Validation of the Δgra4 targeted gene deletion by PCR. The control parental strain is positive for PCR 1 (~380 bp) and PCR 2 (~670 bp) but negative for PCR 3 (~1,100 bp) and PCR 4 (~1,200 bp). Clones 1–12 exhibit the banding pattern of a targeted Δgra4 gene knockout. M: DNA size ladder. C) Western blot validation of the deletion of GRA2-9. Cell lysates from the equivalent of 3 x 10^7 parasites were loaded per lane, separated in a 13% SDS-PAGE (non-reduced conditions), probed with primary antibodies (indicated on the side of the gel), then with goat anti-mouse IgG or goat anti-rabbit IgG, both coupled to peroxidase and visualized by chemiluminescence.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0159300.g001
Following transfection into the RHΔku80Δkugprt parental strain [48] and MPA+X-selection, we isolated knockout strains deleted for GRA2 (Δgra2), GRA3 (Δgra3), GRA4 (Δgra4), GRA5 (Δgra5), GRA6 (Δgra6), GRA7 (Δgra7), GRA8 (Δgra8), and GRA9 (Δgra9). Knockouts at the GRA1 and GRA10 loci were not obtained despite repeated transfection-selection attempts. The genotypes of the Δgra2-9 knockout strains (Table 1) were validated by PCR as shown in Fig 1B for the Δgra4 strain. The deletion of each GRA protein was also confirmed by western blot (Fig 1C), and also by indirect immunofluorescence in HFFs infected overnight (Fig 2).

The loss of single GRA genes does not affect the replication rate

GRA proteins have been proposed to facilitate interactions with the host cell and to potentially mediate nutrient acquisition [60]. We used two different methodologies to investigate whether GRA2-9 are necessary for parasite growth in vitro. First, we infected HFFs with each of the knockout strains and monitored the parasite replication rate by directly counting the number of parasites per PV in 250 PVs at 30 h post-infection by light microscopy. Using this direct method, we did not observe any significant defect in replication rates determined by scoring the number of parasites per PV (Table 2).

To extend this analysis and potentially detect subtle growth defects by analyzing a larger sample size of more than 5,000 PVs, we used a high throughput automated immunofluorescence method to quantify the percentage of PVs containing 1, 2, 4, 8, 16 parasites and determine the average number of parasites per vacuole (replication rate), as well as the number of infected HFF cells (infection rate). The results confirmed the data shown in Table 2 revealing there was no significant reduction in the replication rate of single GRA knockout strains (Fig 3A), though the Δgra7 knockout did exhibit a slight increase in the percentage of PVs containing only 1 or 2 parasites. In contrast, a significant decrease in the infection rate was observed for the Δgra2, Δgra4, Δgra5, Δgra6, Δgra7, and Δgra9 knockout strains (Fig 3B).

Alteration of the intravacuolar network after deletion of GRA2, GRA6 and GRA7

To test whether the absence of lipid in growth medium would reveal any distinct morphologic changes in the Δgra2-9 knockout strains, we infected HFFs in normal D10 medium or HFFs that were pre-equilibrated in ID10 medium. Each of the GRA knockout strains displayed normal appearing PVs in both D10 (Fig 2) and in ID10 media as assessed by indirect immunofluorescence assays (Fig 1).

Therefore, we assessed the morphology of the parental and GRA knockout strains cultured in vitro in D10 or ID10 media by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). In the Δgra2 (Fig 5A) and Δgra6 (data not shown) knockout strains the parasites, their dense granules and PVM appeared normal; however, no IVN was observed in mature PVs grown in normal D10 medium (Fig 5A and data not shown), confirming previously reported phenotypes [25]. The absence of IVN in the mature PV of Δgra2 and Δgra6 strains was also observed in ID10 medium (Fig 5A and data not shown). Interestingly, the Δgra7 knockout exhibited an increase in the density of membranous tubules and vesicles that form the IVN of parasites grown both in D10 and ID10 media (Fig 5A and 5B). No major morphologic changes in the parasites or their PV were observed in other GRA knockout strains cultured in normal D10 or delipidated ID10 conditions (Fig 3 and data not shown).

Targeted double deletions of dense granule genes

We generated several double GRA knockout strains for GRA proteins that were previously reported to associate or co-localize [61] to assess whether these GRA proteins may provide
Fig 2. Indirect immunofluorescence assay verifies deletion of GRA proteins. IFA validation of the deletion of GRA-2-6. HFFs were infected overnight with Δgra knockout strains or with the parental strain. Infected cells were fixed, permeabilized with 0.002% saponin and incubated with the appropriate primary antibodies (see Materials and Methods), followed by Alexa 488-coupled goat anti-mouse IgG or goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibodies. After labeling both the host- and parasite nuclei were revealed with Hoechst 33342; overlaid images were acquired in a fluorescence microscope and observed by epifluorescence. To distinguish the shape of Δgra mutant vacuoles in the absence of fluorescent signal, we chose to artificially increase their exposure time, which may lead to some artificial background noise. Scales: 5 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0159306.g002

redundant functions. The HXGPRT marker present in the disrupted GRA locus was excised using the pΔGRAc plasmid and selection in 6-thioguanine to generate the corresponding Δgra/Mugert strain (Fig 6A), and genotypes were validated by PCR (Fig 6B). The Δgra/Mugert
knockout strains were then used to generate double GRA knockout strains following the forward strategy illustrated in Fig 1A using MPA + X selection. Five double GRA knockout mutants were generated: Agra2Agra4, Agra2Agra6, Agra4Agra6, Agra3Agra5 and Agra3Agra7 (Table 1). Interestingly, despite several attempts, we failed to isolate a Agra2Agra4Agra6 triple knockout strain even though each of the three corresponding double GRA knockout gene combinations was successfully isolated (Table 1).

Double GRA knockout strains display defects in replication rate

We measured the in vitro replication rates of the double GRA knockout strains via direct counting of parasites in > 250 FVs 30 h post-infection. The Agra4Agra6, Agra3Agra5 and Agra3Agra7 knockout strains exhibited mild defects in their in vitro replication rate (Table 3). No growth replication defects were observed for the Agra2Agra4 or Agra2Agra6 knockout strains (Table 3).

Deletion of GRA2-9 does not affect acute infection or virulence in vivo

Previous work identified several GRA proteins (GRA2, GRA6, and GRA7) as playing a role in type I parasite virulence during acute infection [25, 31, 37, 42]. To examine the virulence of the Agra2-9 knockout strains, we infected CD-1 female mice intraperitoneally with 100 tachyzoites (parental RH strain LD50 = 1 parasite) and monitored their survival. Absence of these individual GRA proteins (Fig 7A and B) or multiple GRA proteins (Fig 7C) did not increase or decrease virulence during acute infection. All mice succumbed to the infection within 8–12 days.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to apply a more systematic and reliable genetic approach using the virulent type I strain Δku80 genetic background to examine or re-examine phenotypes associated with the absence of the dense granule proteins GRA1-10. Previously, only one of these ten GRA proteins (GRA7, [31]) was knocked out in a background that eliminates off-target mutations that could influence phenotypes in non-Δku80 strains [47]. Using the virulent type I Δku80 genetic model that enables efficient and precise targeted deletion [46–48], we successfully generated parasite strains containing single and double deletions of the GRA loci GRA2-9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Mean number of parasites per vacuole (±SEM)</th>
<th>P (compared to the control strain) a,b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RHΔku80-HXGPRT [49]</td>
<td>19.85 ± 0.76</td>
<td>NS RHΔku80-HXGPRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔku80Agra2::HXGPRT</td>
<td>19.06 ± 1.29</td>
<td>NS RHΔku80-HXGPRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔku80Agra3::HXGPRT</td>
<td>17.12 ± 1.81</td>
<td>NS RHΔku80-HXGPRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔku80Agra4::HXGPRT</td>
<td>18.94 ± 1.63</td>
<td>NS RHΔku80-HXGPRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔku80Agra5::HXGPRT</td>
<td>22.44 ± 0.83</td>
<td>NS RHΔku80-HXGPRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔku80Agra6::HXGPRT</td>
<td>20.82 ± 0.12</td>
<td>NS RHΔku80-HXGPRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔku80Agra7::HXGPRT</td>
<td>23.38 ± 0.34</td>
<td>NS RHΔku80-HXGPRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔku80Agra8::HXGPRT</td>
<td>19.72 ± 2.23</td>
<td>NS RHΔku80-HXGPRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔku80Agra9::HXGPRT</td>
<td>21.60 ± 1.78</td>
<td>NS RHΔku80-HXGPRT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The number of parasites per vacuole was scored at 30 h PI in HFF cells containing a single PV.
b P value was determined by a Student’s t-test. NS, not significant at P ≤ 0.05.

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0159306.002
Fig 3. Replication and infection rates of single Δgra2-9 gene knockout strains calculated by high throughput microscopy. A) Replication rate of each of the Δgra2-9 strains compared to that of the parental strain. The percentage of PVs containing 1, 2, 4, 8 or 16 parasites per vacuole was calculated from three coverslips of infected HFF’s. The numbers above each bar represent the average number of parasites per vacuole calculated from the total number obtained the three coverslips. The values are representative of one experiment out of three, which provided similar results. B) Percentage of HFFs infected by each Δgra2-9 knockout strain versus the parental Δku80 strain. The infection rate was calculated from the number of PVs observed on three coverslips. Comparisons between the parental strain and each of the knockout strains were performed using a Student’s t-test and asterisk indicates significant differences. * p = 0.0128 for Δgra2, ** p = 0.0099 for Δgra2. 0.0022 for Δgra4, 0.0051 for Δgra7, *** p = 0.0003 for Δgra5 and 0.0007 for Δgra6. The values are representative of one experiment out of three, which provided similar results. The total number of PVs observed in A and B are respectively: parental Δku80 strain, 5,757 (left panel) and 5,206 (right panel); Δgra2, 5,200; Δgra5, 5,173; Δgra4, 6,176; Δgra7, 5,845; Δgra6, 6,311; Δgra7, 5,165; Δgra5, 5,065.

DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0159306.g003
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anti-GRA</th>
<th>Anti-SAG1</th>
<th>Phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RH.Δku80::ΔXGPRT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH.Δku80Δgra2::ΔXGPRT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH.Δku80Δgra3::ΔXGPRT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH.Δku80Δgra4::ΔXGPRT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH.Δku80Δgra5::ΔXGPRT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH.Δku80Δgra6::ΔXGPRT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH.Δku80Δgra7::ΔXGPRT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH.Δku80Δgra8::ΔXGPRT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH.Δku80Δgra9::ΔXGPRT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 4. PV formation by Δgra2-9 single knockout strains in normal and lipid-free media. HFFs were pre-equilibrated in lipid-free DMEM (D10), then infected overnight with a single Δgra knockout parasite strain or the Δku80 parental strain. All parasite strains were passaged 3 times in D10 medium prior to infecting HFFs. Infected cells were fixed, permeabilized with 0.002% saponin, incubated with rabbit serum anti-GRA4 (all strains but Δgra6) or with rabbit serum anti-GRA4 (Δgra6) and with mAb anti-SAG1, visualized with goat anti-rabbit IgG coupled to Alexa 488 and goat anti-mouse IgG coupled to Alexa 594, mounted in Mowiol and observed by epifluorescence. To distinguish the shape of Gra mutants' vacuoles in the absence of fluorescent signal, we chose to artificially increase their exposure time, which may lead to some background noise. Scales: 5 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0159306.g004
Fig 5. Visualization of the Δgra2-9 knockout parasites and PV morphology by transmission electron microscopy. A) HFFs and parasites were cultured in D10 medium (“Normal D10”) or pre-equilibrated in lipid-free D10 medium (“Lipid-free D10”). Host cells were infected overnight with Δgra2-9 knockout or parental strains, fixed, and then processed for transmission electron microscopy. Scale: 2 μm. B) Magnification of the posterior end of an intracellular Δgra7/9 knockout parasite in “Normal D10” and in “Lipid-free D10” showing the type-formation of the PV. Scales: 2 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0159306.g005

(Table 1). This study is the first to report results on knockouts at the GRA3, GRA4, GRA8 and GRA9 loci, as well as results on the double GRA knockouts Δgra2Δgra4, Δgra2Δgra6, Δgra3Δgra5 and Δgra3Δgra7 in the virulent type 1 RH genetic background.

While knockouts were readily isolated at the GRA2-9 loci, we could not isolate a Δgra1 or a Δgra10 knockout, suggesting the possibility that these GRA genes are required for parasite growth or survival, though additional experiments would be necessary to validate this conclusion. Previously, RNA-knockdown of GRA10 expression severely inhibited growth of the parasite in vitro [62]. GRA1 encodes a GRA protein that localizes to the PV lumen as a calcium-binding [63], soluble protein [13]. This unique localization and the inability to knockout these genes in a type 1 strain suggests that GRA1 and GRA10 may participate in essential growth functions. The potential essentiality of the GRA1 and GRA10 genes could be further addressed in the future using either an inducible type 1 deletion scheme such as Cre-recombinase [64], an
Table 3. Intracellular replication rate of double Δgra knockout parasite strains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Mean number of parasites per vacuole (±SEM)</th>
<th>P (compared to the control strain)**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RHΔku80::HXGPRT (4B)</td>
<td>19.85 ± 0.76</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔku80::gra20gra4::HXGPRT</td>
<td>16.89 ± 0.12</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔku80::gra20gra6::HXGPRT</td>
<td>18.97 ± 0.56</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔku80::gra42gra6::HXGPRT</td>
<td>15.53 ± 0.68</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔku80::gra50gra6::HXGPRT</td>
<td>14.14 ± 0.75</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔku80::gra50gra7::HXGPRT</td>
<td>14.85 ± 0.60</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The number of parasites per vacuole was scored at 30 h post-infection in HFF cells containing a single PV.
** P value was determined by a Student's t-test. S, significant at P ≤ 0.05. NS, not significant.
Fig 7. Virulence of single and double GRA knockout strains in CD1 mice. One hundred tachyzoites of the parental (Δku80) or knockout (Δgra) strains were injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) into 8-week old female CD1 mice and survival was followed for 30 days (n = 8 for Δgra1, Δgra2, Δgra3, Δgra4, Δgra5, and Δgra7). A) Survival of mice infected with parasites containing single GRA knockout for IVN-localized GRA proteins. B) Survival of mice infected with parasites containing single GRA knockouts for PVM-localized GRA proteins. C) Survival of mice infected with parasites containing double GRA knockouts. Significance was determined by a Student’s t-test comparing the knockout strain with the parental.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0159306.g007

Each of the GRA2-9 proteins contains either a transmembrane hydrophobic alpha-helix or amphipathic alpha-helices [67] that allow for insertion into and localization at the PVM and/or the IVN membranes. In addition, GRA2 and GRA6 induce formation and maturation of the IVN [25, 26] and GRA7 was previously identified as a GRA protein possessing the ability to tubulate membranes in vitro [22]. We examined the parasite and PV morphologies of single GRA knockout strains cultured in both normal D10- and lipid-free hD10 media by indirect
immunofluorescence and TEM. Interestingly, any phenotype observed in the D10 medium was also present in the delipidated ID10 medium. For all single GRA knockout strains the parasites, their dense granules and their PVM appeared normal in D10 as well as in ID10 medium. Any morphological differences in the knockouts occurred only in the IVN structures, reinforcing the importance of certain GRA proteins as key regulators of the formation and maintenance of the IVN. In corroboration with previous reports [25], the Δgra2 and the Δgra6 knockout strains lacked an IVN in D10 and in delipidated ID10 media. In contrast, the Δgra7 knockout strain exhibited a hyper-formation of the IVN in both D10 and ID10 media. TEM images of a previously reported Δgra7 knockout strain [31] also suggest the possibility of hyper-formation of the IVN, although additional studies are necessary to conclusively determine the magnitude and significance of this phenotype. While GRA2 and GRA6 are associated with the IVN membranes, GRA7 associates with the PVM but not directly with the IVN membranes [60]. GRA7 was previously shown to deform liposomes into tubular membranes [29], as well as interact with GRA2 and GRA6 [61]. Collectively, these observations suggest that GRA7 may coordinately regulate IVN functions in conjunction with GRA2 and GRA6. The IVN membranes were also recently implicated in heterogeneity since deletion of GRA2 and subsequent loss of the IVN decreased the rate at which parasites ingest host cytosolic proteins [90]. The complex relationship of GRA protein functions in the context of PV membrane functions and IVN membrane functions merits further investigation.

The high throughput growth assay revealed significant defects in the infection rate in the Δgra2, Δgra4, Δgra5, Δgra6, Δgra7, and Δgra9 knockout strains. However, with the exception of a slight increase in percentage of Δgra7 parasite vacuoles with only 1 or 2 parasites, we did not detect any significant replication rate defect in any of the single GRA knockout strains by direct scoring of PVs or by automated high-throughput microscopy. GRA proteins traffic as soluble protein complexes with other GRA proteins to the IVN and PVM [61]. Once inserted into the membranes they can interact with similarly localized GRA [61] and ROP proteins [30,31]. Therefore, to determine if GRA proteins could play a redundant role, we generated double GRA knockout strains of GRA proteins reported to reside in GRA complexes or of GRA proteins which share similar localization Δgra2Δgra4, Δgra2Δgra6, Δgra4Δgra6, Δgra3Δgra5 and Δgra3Δgra7. Of these double GRA knockout strains, we found that the Δgra4Δgra6, Δgra3Δgra5 and Δgra3Δgra7 knockouts displayed significant replication defects in vitro, though the corresponding single knockouts did not. These results suggest that certain GRA proteins most likely serve redundant function(s) during the tachyzoite stage, or potentially function in a similar pathway.

Though the canonical GRA proteins are heavily expressed during tachyzoite stages [70], they appear to be dispensable for acute virulence in type 1 strains. None of the single or double deletion strains that we tested exhibited any significant defects in virulence following intraperitoneal infection of CD-1 mice. In contrast, several type I GRA knockout strains were previously reported to exhibit detectable defects in acute virulence: Δgra2 [42], Δgra6 [25,27], and Δgra7 [31]. In our virulence assays we injected mice with a dose of 100 tachyzoites using the intraperitoneal route. Previously, Mercier et al injected mice with 10 parasites to detect a minor defect in Δgra2 virulence [42]. In addition, the decreased virulence phenotype of type 1 Δgra6 and Δgra7 mutants was previously observed following the infection of mice at atypical sites (sub-cutananeously or in the footpad) [31,27].

Based on their pattern of secretion and their localization at the PVM as well as at the IVN, the GRA2-9 proteins may play a role in host-pathogen interactions, nutrient acquisition or vacuole integrity. While we could isolate double GRA knockouts of any double combination of Δgra2, Δgra4, and Δgra6, we could not isolate the triple Δgra knockout—Δgra2Δgra4Δgra6. Collectively, our results suggest that while GRA2-9 individually provide non-essential
functions for acute infection, some of these GRA proteins in complexes are likely to play redundant but necessary roles during acute infection. Additional experiments are still necessary to further define these functions.

The GRA2-9 genes characterized in this study may play essential roles during transition to or growth in other life stages such as the development of tissue cysts that establish chronic infection. Most of the GRA2-9 proteins are expressed not only at the tachyzoite stage but also in the encysted bradyzoite stage [21–24]. Furthermore, a significant role for several of these GRA proteins (GRA3, GRA4, and GRA6) in type II strains has been reported [45, 46]. These findings suggest that a key role of PV-M- and IVN-localized GRAs may be to prepare the PV for cyst formation or to provide essential functions during the encysted tissue stages [68]. Though the function of a large number of GRA proteins remains elusive, our study I) has reported for the first time the GRA3, GRA4, GRA4 and GRA9 knockouts as well as double knockouts for GRA2-9 in the type I RH background and 2) has determined that GRA2-9 are not required for acute virulence following intraperitoneal infection of mice. Additionally we have identified that a subset of GRA proteins, which cooperate in complexes, appear to provide key functions associated with IVN formation or function.
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Summary

During the acute infection, *Toxoplasma gondii* replicates, within its host cell, inside a parasitophorous vacuole (PV), which is an interface compartment between the parasite and the host cell. The PV rapidly evolves into an intracellular cyst, which contains slow dividing parasites and persists in the brain. The molecular mechanisms which underlie this differentiation process are poorly understood. The proteins secreted from the parasite dense granules are major components of both the PV and the cyst wall. Using an *in vitro* model to induce parasite differentiation of the type II cystogenic strain *Prugniaud*, in which the gra5 gene had been knocked-out (PRUΔgra5), we demonstrated a novel and crucial role for the GRA5 protein during the cyst wall development. Compared to both the parental and the complemented strains, PRUΔgra5 parasites differentiated earlier an uncompleted cyst wall. Transmission electron microscopy and immunofluorescence analyses of differentiated cultures revealed a destabilization of these cyst-like structures and a peculiar interaction with host cell organelles. The cyst wall of PRUΔgra5 indeed lost typical association with host endoplasmic reticulum elements and interacted instead with host microtubules, while attracting host mitochondria in a mitochondrion association factor 1 (MAF1)-independent manner. Proteomic analyses showed that the loss of GRA5 hampered the expression of other cyst wall components such as the matrix antigen 1 (MAG1) and proteins with redox functions including the protein disulfure isomerase (*TgPDI*). In addition, PRUΔgra5-infected cells displayed an increased expression of proteins involved in cell adhesion, cytoskeleton organization and DNA repair, contrasting with the metabolic pattern of proteins expressed in host cells infected by the parental- or complemented strains. For the first time, we demonstrated that GRA5 is likely to interact with parasite components of the PV membrane and the cyst wall to ensure integrity of the PV membrane, which is necessary to form stable *in vitro* cyst-like structures, suggesting a pivotal role of GRA5 in the biogenesis and maintenance of brain cysts *in vivo*. 
**Introduction**

Intracellular pathogens most often reside in a safe membrane-bound compartment in which they replicate. The vacuole membrane, while protecting the pathogen from the host defense mechanisms, acts as an interacting platform between the pathogen and its host cell. In particular, the vacuole membrane is the site of interaction with host structures and recruitment of host organelles. The protozoan parasite *Toxoplasma gondii* is well adapted to its obligate intracellular life style. During acute infection, the tachyzoite stage can virtually infect any kind of nucleated cells in which it multiplies and differentiates. Host cell invasion is an active process leading to the formation of a membrane-bound compartment named the parasitophorous vacuole (PV). The Toxoplasma PV is characterized by an internal membranous nanotubular network (MNNs) that connects the parasites together and to the PV limiting membrane (*Sibley et al., 1995*). The PV-surrounding membrane (PVM) derives from the host plasma membrane but it is rapidly modified by parasite secreted proteins. Located at the interface with the host cell, the PVM protects Toxoplasma from host cell defense mechanisms and provides the parasite with nutrients to allow parasite replication (*Mercier and Delauw, 2012*).

In response to stress signals such as the host immune response, tachyzoites differentiate into slow-growing bradyzoites and form intracellular cysts. These are PV-derived structures which comprise (*i*) a cyst wall composed of two layers and covered by a rough membrane and (*ii*) an internal matrix that contains filaments and vesicles which connect the bradyzoites together and to the cyst wall (*Lemgrüber et al., 2011*). Preferentially located in the brain and in muscle tissues, the cysts represent a “safe home” for the parasites that can remain latent for extended periods of time in the host.

The dense granule proteins (GRAs) have been shown to be main components of the PV compartment. They are synthesized as soluble proteins, stored within the dense granules, and they are secreted into the newly formed PV, where they become membrane-associated either to (*i*) the PVM (GRA3, GRA5, GRA7, GRA8, GRA10, GRA14, GRA17, GRA19, GRA20, GRA21, GRA22, GRA23, MAF1) and its long and thin extensions that plunge into the host cytoplasm; (*ii*) the MNN (GRA2, GRA4, GRA6, GRA9, GRA12); (*iii*) the vacuolar host sequestering tubulostructures (HOSTs) (GRA7); or they remain soluble in the vacuolar space (GRA1, GRA38, GRA39, GRA40) (*Mercier and Cesbron-Delauw., 2015; Gold et al., 2015; Nadipuram et al., 2016; Pernas et al., 2014*). During the cyst formation the proteins, which were
located at the PV membrane (as for examples GRA3, GRA5 and GRA7), become part of the outer membrane of the cyst wall, while the MNN-associated proteins (GRA2 and GRA6) relocate to the inner layer of the cyst wall. GRA1, which remained soluble in the vacuolar space, is located in the cyst matrix (Torpier et al., 1993; Ferguson, 2004; Lemgruber et al., 2011; Mercier and Delauw 2012; Mercier and Cesbron-Delauw, 2015).

Apart from their described structural role, the functions of the GRA proteins in the parasite life cycle and their interactions with the host cell are increasingly investigated. A systematic study performed on a series of $\Delta$gra knock-outs (KO) constructed in the type I virulent strain RH analyzed the implication of ten GRA proteins (GRA1-10) in parasite infection, proliferation and virulence (Rommereim et al., 2016). Except for GRA1 and GRA10 which appeared to be essential, some of these GRA proteins in complex (i.e. GRA7, in association with GRA2 and GRA6, Braun et al., 2007) were shown to play pivotal roles during the acute infection (Mercier et al., 1998; Ma et al., 2014, Alagan et al., 2014) to regulate the MNN formation (Rommereim et al., 2016), while multiple KOs such as $\Delta$gra4$\Delta$gra6, $\Delta$gra3$\Delta$gra5, $\Delta$gra3$\Delta$gra7 showed replication rate defects. GRA7 had also been characterized as playing important roles in the nutrients’ uptake by its involvement in the formation of PV extensions into the host cell cytoplasm as well as HOSTs, which sequester host endocytic organelles, host lipids and cholesterol into the vacuolar space (Coppens et al., 2006; Romano et al., 2013). Moreover, the PVM-associated GRA17 and GRA23 were recently characterized as playing a coordinated role to ensure the active transport of small molecules from the host cell cytosol into the PV (Gold et al., 2015). Shortly after invasion, parasites of the types I and III recruit host mitochondria and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) elements to the PV and this recruitment was shown to be dependent on the expression of the dense granule protein MAF1, which is targeted to the PVM (Pernas et al., 2014). Finally, T. gondii parasites also use GRA proteins to subvert the host cell responses. GRA6 was for example shown to activate the Nuclear Factor of Activated T cells 4 (i.e. the transcription factor NFAT4) (Ma, et al., 2014), while a T epitope located in the C-terminal region of GRA6II was implicated in antigen presentation (Blanchard et al., 2008). In mouse macrophages GRA7 was shown to bind and sequester one of the immunity-related GTPases (IRGs) to prevent PV lysis (Alaganan et al., 2014). GRA5 was shown to increase the migration of human dendritic cells (Persat et al., 2012), while the dense-granule like proteins GRA15, GRA16, GRA24 and inhibitor of Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 1 (STAT1)-dependent transcription (TgIST) were shown to be targeted to the host cell.
nucleus, where they re-program host cell expression (Rosowski et al., 2011; Bougdour et al., 2013; Braun et al., 2013; Olias et al., 2016; Gay et al., 2016).

While the functions played by the GRA proteins during the acute infection continue to be studied using the type I virulent strain RH, limited attention has so far been given to the roles played by the GRA proteins in parasite/host cell interactions of type II strains and during the chronic phase of infection. The aim of this study was thus to better characterize the role of GRA proteins in the invasion and proliferation of type II parasites as well as in their differentiation into bradyzoites and cyst development. Specifically, we used the Prugniaud-derived PRUΔku80Δhxgprt (PRUΔhx) parental strain (Fox et al., 2011) and the recently constructed PRUΔku80Δgra5::hxgprt (PRUΔgra5) gra5 KO mutant to focus on the GRA5 protein. Composed of 120 amino acids (aa) (21 kDa), at the tachyzoite stage, GRA5 is stably associated with the PVM via a central transmembrane domain, while its N-terminus extends into the host cytoplasm and its C-terminus remains in the PV lumen (Lecordier et al., 1999). As mentioned before GRA5 increases the migration of human DCs during the acute infection (Persat et al., 2012), and it is exposed at the outer membrane of the cyst during the chronic phase (Lane et al., 1996). From its functions at the tachyzoite stage, its particular localization at the PV and its persistent expression at the cyst wall outer membrane, we hypothesized that GRA5 would play an important role in cyst biogenesis, such as GRA6 and GRA4 (Fox et al., 2011). Using an in vitro model of cyst formation, we described in this study how GRA5 is implicated in the regulation of the PVM differentiation.
Results

Construction of both the TgΔgra5 knocked-out- and the PRUΔgra5::gra5 complemented strains in the cyst-forming strain PruΔku80Δhxgprt

In order to explore the role of the GRA5 protein in parasite differentiation and cyst development, a gra5 knocked-out (KO) mutant was constructed in the type II cyst-forming Prugniaud strain (Fig. 1). Deletion of the single copy gene gra5 was achieved by a double homologous recombination event replacing the gra5 coding sequence with the hypoxanthine-xanthine-guanine phosphoribosyl transferase (hxgprt) selectable marker at the gra5 locus of the parental PRUΔku80Δhxgprt (PRU Δhx) strain described previously (Fox et al., 2011) (Fig. 1A). Screening of the transfected population for the presence of parasites lacking GRAS using an immunofluorescence assay (IFA) with mAb anti-GRAS, and subsequent cloning led to the isolation of the PruΔku80ΔGRA5::hxgprt (PruΔgra5) KO strain. The PruΔgra5 strain was then complemented with gra5 placed under the control of its native promoter by single homologous recombination using the pLic-3HA-DHFR plasmid (Huynh et al., 2009) to express the 1.6 Kb region upstream from the GRA5 predicted start codon and the whole gra5 coding sequence (plasmid pGRA5.3HA-DHFR shown in Fig. 1B). To avoid expression of the HA tag carried by pLic-3HA-DHFR, the stop codon of GRA5 was maintained. PRUΔgra5 parasites were transfected with pGRA5.3HA-DHFR and selected with pyrimethamine before cloning. Both the complemented PRUΔku80Δgra5::gra5-hxgprt (PRUΔgra5::gra5) and the KO PRUΔgra5 strains were validated by immunofluorescent assay (IFA) and immunoblot (Fig. 1C-D). The IFA showed a clear co-staining of both the GRA5 and the GRA6 proteins in both PRUΔhx and PRUΔgra5::gra5 parasite dense granules and vacuoles after 24 h of development within host cells, contrary to PruΔgra5, in which GRA6 could only be detected (Fig. 1C). Immunoblot confirmed the lack of expression of GRA5 (21 kDa) in PRUΔgra5, contrary to both the parental- and the complemented strains. The SAG1 protein (30 kDa) was used as an internal control of the quantity of parasite lysate loaded in each lane (Fig. 1D).

Lack of gra5 does not hamper infection and intracellular proliferation of type II tachyzoites

To investigate whether GRA5 is involved in parasite infection and proliferation, we used a high throughput automated IFA that allows the scanning and the analysis of large samples (~ 1,000 cells
and > 500 PV) (Rommereim et al., 2016). Parasite infection was analyzed after 4 h of HFFs inoculation with the parental-, the KO- or the complemented strains. In order to discriminate the extracellular- from the intracellular parasites, infected cells were fixed, permeabilized and labelled with both SAG1 and GRA1. Parasite proliferation was analyzed 36 h post-infection (PI) by the determination of the percentage of PV containing respectively 1, 2, 4, 8 or 16 parasites. The 3 strains PRUΔhx, PRUΔgra5 and PRUΔgra5::gra5 exhibited infection rates, which were not significantly different: they were indeed comprised between 28 and 39% (Fig. 2A). Surprisingly, compared with parental PRUΔhx, both PRUΔgra5 and PRUΔgra5::gra5 showed an increased mean number of parasites per vacuole: 41% of vacuoles in both PRUΔgra5 and PRUΔgra5::gra5 contained 1 parasite; 20% and 21% of vacuoles in these strains contained 4 and 8 parasites, respectively, while 55% of the PRUΔhx vacuoles contained 1 parasite and respectively 14% and 11% of vacuoles of this strain contained 4 and 8 parasites (Fig. 2B). The lack of significant difference between the growth rates of the PRUΔgra5 KO and PRUΔgra5::gra5 complemented strains indicated that the increased proliferation rate observed in the PRUΔgra5 KO compared to the PRUΔhx parental strain was not due to the lack of GRA5. A plaque assay confirmed the differences observed 36 h PI in the proliferation rates between PRUΔgra5 and PRUΔgra5::gra5 vs PRUΔhx (Fig. 2C-2D).

**PRUΔgra5 parasites exhibit a higher basal level of differentiation**

GRA5 has been characterized as a component of both the PV membrane and the cyst wall (Charif et al., 1990; Lecordier et al., 1993; Torpier et al., 1993; Ferguson, 2004; Lemgrüber et al., 2011). We thus examined whether deletion of gra5 would affect *in vitro* differentiation of PVs into cyst-like structures, when infected cells were switched to alkaline medium and incubated without CO2 (Soëte et al., 1993). Differentiation of PV membranes into cyst walls was monitored by the quantification of PV-cyst structures expressing the CST1 (also called SAG1-Related-Sequence 44 (SRS44); Tomita et al., 2013) glycoprotein, which is expressed at the PV-cyst membrane early during the conversion of tachyzoites into bradyzoites and which can be easily detected using a specific lectin extracted from *Dolichos biflorus* and coupled to a fluorochrome (Zhang et al., 2001; Bellini et al., 2015). Differentiation of tachyzoites to bradyzoites was monitored by quantification of the cyst-like structures expressing the SRS9 bradyzoite marker (Kim et al., 2007; Van et al., 2007) (Fig. 3A).
results showed that, 36 h PI without alkaline stress, the basal (spontaneous) rate of differentiation of PRUΔgra5 parasites was significantly higher than that of the parental parasites and was also higher (although not significantly) than that of the complemented parasites (Fig. 3B-C). Both the parental- and the complemented strains exhibited indeed respectively 14 and 19% of CST1-positive vacuoles, compared to 40% in PRUΔgra5 (Fig. 3B). Similarly, 2.8 and 7% of CST1 and SRS9 double positive vacuoles were detected in PRUΔhx and PRUΔgra5::gra5, respectively, compared to 13% in PRUΔgra5 (Fig. 3C). Similar differences between the strains were observed 24 h after having switched the cultures to alkaline conditions (Fig. 3D-E). Interestingly, while the number of CST1-positive vacuoles-cyst-like structures increased between 24 and 48 h of incubation in alkaline medium for PRUΔgra5::gra5, it did not increase for PRUΔgra5 parasites over this time lapse (Fig. 3D), most probably because of cell lysis and subsequent parasite re-invasion. Altogether, these results indicate that deletion of gra5 accelerates the differentiation process, in particular that of PV membranes into cyst walls. The differentiation process of PRUΔgra5 parasites was initiated in vitro during normal conditions of culture, suggesting that these KO parasites might be more sensitive to the stress inherent to in vitro culture.

**Lack of GRAS5 results in increased recruitment of both host mitochondria and microtubules around the PV membrane during in vitro differentiation**

Having observed that PRUΔgra5 parasites display earlier differentiation of their PV membrane into cyst wall-like structures in vitro (Fig. 3), we next examined by Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), the morphology of vacuoles-cysts formed by PRUΔgra5 parasites after 36 h of in vitro stressed culture. We chose this timing *i)* to optimize the number of differentiated vacuoles in the parental strain and *ii)* to avoid too much host cell lysis by PRUΔgra5 parasites, which grow faster than the parental ones (Fig. 2D). As described earlier (Guimarães *et al.*, 2009), the PV membrane of PRUΔhx parental parasites, which were differentiating into cysts, appeared as two dense layers separated by a thin clearer space, and was decorated with elements of the Host rough Endoplasmic Reticulum (HER), the ribosomes of which being exposed to the host cell cytoplasm, while the smooth face of the HER was tightly associated with the PV membrane (Fig. 4A-4B). In contrast, the PV membrane of PRUΔgra5 parasites was fuzzier (the two dense layers could not be observed), and closely surrounded by many Host Mitochondria (HM) (Fig. 4C) and Host Microtubules (HMTs), which were organized in
dense arrays perpendicularly to the PV membrane surface (Fig. 4D). Interestingly, HER elements were not often observed in close association with the PV-cyst membrane of PRUΔgra5 parasites but they were instead observed scattered in the host cell cytoplasm (Fig. 4D). Tomography was then performed on the PV-cyst membrane of PRUΔgra5 KO parasites to investigate in more detail the possible fusion between the external membrane of host mitochondria and the PV-cyst membrane. Tomographic sequences as that presented in Fig. 4E showed that, in all the sections of the preparations, both the external membrane of the mitochondria and the membrane of the PV were distinguished, excluding the possibility of a membrane fusion between both organelles.

To refine these observations and compare the relative distances between the host cell organelles recruited to the PV membrane and this membrane in the various Toxoplasma strains, HFFs which had been infected for 36 h with PRUΔhx, PRUΔgra5 or PRUΔgra5::gra5, were switched to alkaline medium and further incubated for 36 h without CO₂. PV membrane differentiation was monitored by the labeling of CST1, while host microtubules and host mitochondria were revealed by IFA using anti-α tubulin and anti-cytochrome C antibodies, respectively. To quantify the distance between the PV membrane and the host cell mitochondria/microtubules, the slides were observed by confocal microscopy. The algorithm “fluorescence intensity as a function of distance” was developed to quantify the fluorescence intensity of a marker associated with host mitochondria/microtubules detected from the PV membrane. This fluorescence intensity was related to a distance (in μm). With this algorithm, the highest the intensity, the shortest the distance between the PV membrane and the host cell organelle/cytoskeleton element would be (Fig. 5A). Averaging the summed fluorescence intensities on the number of stacks and plotting these numbers for each strain revealed that, after 36 h of culture under stress conditions, the average distance between the limiting membrane of PRUΔgra5 vacuoles-cyst-like structures and host mitochondria was 1.6 and 1.75 fold shorter than that calculated for both PRUΔhx and PRUΔgra5::gra5 (0.8 μm versus 1.3 μm and 1.4 μm, respectively) (Fig. 5B). Similarly, the average distance between the limiting membrane of PRUΔgra5 vacuoles-cyst-like structures and host microtubules was 2.4 and 2.2 fold shorter than that calculated for both PRUΔhx and PRUΔgra5::gra5 (0.5 μm versus 1.2 μm and 1.1 μm, respectively) (Fig. 5C). The shorter distance calculated between the PRUΔgra5 limiting membrane and host cell mitochondria could suggest potential functional interaction between the two organelles.
The tighter recruitment of host mitochondria to the membrane of PruΔgra5 vacuoles-cyst-like structures does not rely on MAF1b

The PV formed by parasites from both type I and III T. gondii lineages differs from that formed by type II strains in their capacity to recruit host mitochondria (Pernas et al., 2014). The high level of expression of the TgMAF1RHb paralog at the PV membrane of type I tachyzoites was indeed associated with their attraction of host mitochondria. In contrast, the low level of transcription of TgMAF1RHb correlated with the lack of detection of the MAF1b protein at the PV membrane of type II tachyzoites, was linked to their failure to recruit host mitochondria (Adomako-ANKomah et al., 2016). Having observed a closer association of host mitochondria with the membrane of PruΔgra5 cyst-like structures (Fig. 5B), we investigated the potential expression of MAF1b by PRUΔgra5 parasites. While the PV of RH tachyzoites showed an intense MAF1b fluorescence signal (Fig. 6A, top line), the PV and cyst-like structures of PRUΔhx failed to detect the protein (Fig. 6A-B, middle lines), thus validating the experimental protocol for the detection of MAF1b and confirming the results previously published (Pernas et al., 2014). MAF1b could not be detected in either the PV or the cyst-like structures formed by PRUΔgra5 parasites (Fig. 6A-B, bottom lines), indicating that the recruitment of host mitochondria to the membrane of PV/cyst-like structures in this strain would be independent of the expression of MAF1b. To confirm these results and exclude the possibility of a lack of MAF1b (PRU) detection by the antibodies raised against the type I protein, the specific mRNA encoding MAF1b type II was quantified by qRT-PCR 8 h after inoculation of the parasites onto HFFs cells as well as after another 24 h of culture under stress conditions. The amounts of MAFPRU1b mRNA expressed in PRUΔhx as well as in PRUΔgra5 parasites were respectively 2.5 and 1.3 times lower than that detected in RH parasites after 8 h of culture with CO2. They were also respectively 8.3 and 10 times lower than that detected in RH parasites after 24 h of culture under stress conditions (Fig. 6C). Note that, for an easy comparison, all the mRNA levels were compared to the level of MAFRH1b mRNA quantified in RH after 8 h of culture with CO2 and normalized to the arbitrary value of 1 (Fig. 6C). These results thus confirmed that the ability of PRUΔgra5 parasites to attract host mitochondria is not linked to their expression of the MAF1b paralog.
The loss of GRA5 does not increase the expression of other parasite proteins

To investigate whether the loss of GRA5 would alter the expression of other parasite proteins, we performed a proteomic analysis on different fractions of infected HFFs incubated for 24 h under normal- (+ CO₂) or stressing (- CO₂) conditions. Briefly, after mechanical lysis of infected cells, the fraction containing intact parasites (Low Speed Pellet, LSP) was separated from that containing the vacuole and the host cell components (Low Speed Supernatant, LSS). Proteins from each fraction were separated by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by mass spectrometry. The parasite proteins (those from the LSP and those localized within the PV or injected into the host cell cytoplasm, LSS) were identified in ToxoDB.org using a semi-quantitative analysis method that proceeded in two steps. We first compared the proteins expressed by PRUΔgra5::gra5 (selected because of a higher amount of protein in the sample) to those expressed by PRUΔgra5. We selected the parasite proteins which had more than 3 peptides mapped in the complemented strain and, in the same time, showed a fold increase expression over PRUΔgra5 that was >4 (this corresponds to the ratio between the spectra of the two parasite lines). The resulting list of proteins was compared, in a second step, to that of the PRUΔhx parental strain and we set again the limit of detection to a 4-fold increased spectra ratio, when compared with PRUΔgra5. Conversely, we repeated this two-step-analysis method while selecting the proteins more expressed in 1) PRUΔgra5, as compared to PRUΔgra5::gra5 and 2) comparing this first list of proteins to the proteins expressed by PRUΔhx.

As an internal positive control, GRA5 was detected only in the LSP +CO₂ fraction (purified parasites) of both the complemented- and the parental strains (Table 4), confirming the gra5 deletion in PRUΔgra5 and its restauration in PRUΔgra5::gra5, as compared to PRUΔhx. Out of the 17 proteins identified in cultures under differentiation (-CO₂), 16 (including GRA5) were found to be over-expressed in tachyzoites (LSP) and the vacuoles/host cell cytoplasm (LSS) of both the wild-type and the complemented strain (Table 4). In contrast, comparison of PRUΔgra5 parasites (LSP) to PRUΔhx and PRUΔgra5::gra5 did not reveal any protein over-expressed, when the parasites were cultured under stressing conditions (-CO₂), and only 1 protein, the dense granule protein DG32, was found to be over-expressed in the vacuole/host cell cytoplasm of PRUΔgra5, as compared to PRUΔhx and PRUΔgra5::gra5 (LSS, -CO₂) (Table 5). Surprisingly and in agreement with the above results of over-expression, only 6 parasite proteins were found to depend on the presence of GRA5 in the
vacuole/host cell cytoplasm (LSS, -CO₂) (Table 4). These proteins included the protein disulfide isomerase (PDI), a putative alkyl hydroperoxide reductase, the phosphofructokinase (PFKII), MAG1, the tryptophanyl-tRNA synthetase (TrpRS2), and the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH1). Interestingly, 3 of them, PDI, PFKII and MAG1, were commonly secreted during normal culture conditions and during parasite differentiation (compare the results of the Tables 4).

The loss of GRAS alters the expression profile of infected cells and the diversity of host proteins is dramatically reduced under stressing conditions

Analysis of human (host cell) protein expression (using UniProt.org database) generated 5,285 proteins. To take into account the response of non-infected host cells submitted to the alkaline stress, we removed the proteins identified in the (LSS, -CO₂, non-infected HFFs) fraction from the lists of proteins. The results were then analyzed using the semi-quantitative analysis method described above. In a similar manner to what had been performed for the LSP fraction, proteins were selected 1) if they were represented by more than 3 detected peptides and 2) if their spectra ratio (LSS -CO₂ / LSS +CO₂) was >4 (data not shown). This strategy allowed the determination of the response of the host cells, when infected respectively by PRUΔhx, PRUΔgra5::gra5 or PRUΔgra5 parasites (LSS +CO₂) and when parasites had begun their differentiation process (LSS -CO₂). To better highlight the differences of the host cells in response to the infection by the 3 different strains, the human proteins were divided into 9 “families” according to their functions (Gene Ontology). The relative percentages of expression of these 9 families are shown in Figure 7. When infected in normal conditions of culture (+CO₂) by PRUΔgra5 parasites, as compared to PRUΔhx and PRUΔgra5::gra5, the HFFs 1) stopped expressing proteins related to transcription and folding activities, 2) expressed instead proteins involved in the reorganization of their cytoskeleton and 3) increased their expression of proteins involved in cell-cell adhesion. One can also notice that, when infected by PRUΔhx and PRUΔgra5::gra5, the cells did not express proteins related to lysosome binding, proteolysis, and cytoskeleton organization (Fig. 7, upper panels). Consecutively to the culture switch to stressing conditions (Fig. 7B, lower panels), HFFs infected with PRUΔhx and PRUΔgra5::gra5 increased the variety of expressed protein families but stopped their expression of proteins related to intracellular transport or transport across membranes. The families of proteins the most abundantly expressed were the proteins related to metabolic processes and transcription or folding. By contrast, when
infected by PRUΔgra5, HFFs dramatically reduced the number of protein families expressed, limiting them to proteins related to 1) cell-cell adhesion, 2) organization of the cytoskeleton and 3) nucleotide binding and DNA repair.
Discussion

The parasitophorous vacuole and the tissue cyst are the “safe homes” of *Toxoplasma gondii* during the acute and the chronic infections, respectively. These structures protect the parasites from the host, ensuring, at the same time, its proliferation or latency, and nutrient acquisition. The dense granule proteins, which are major components of both the PV and the cyst wall, have been described not only as having a structural role but also as effectors that interact with the host. However, to date, while the functions of GRA proteins are increasingly documented for the type I virulent strain, much less attention has been given to the type II parasites forming-cysts. Here, using the *Prugniaud* type II strain, we demonstrated a novel role of GRA5 during parasite and vacuole differentiation, an important step to develop the cyst structure. The depletion in gra5 altered the stability of the PVM leading to an early but incomplete cyst wall differentiation, which modifies the interaction with host cell organelles.

In this study, we first observed that, unlike the RHΔgra5 strain which displayed a defect in parasite host cell invasion (3 fold less invasion, when compared to the WT) ([Rommereim et al., 2016](#)), the PruΔgra5 strain did not present any significant difference in its invasion rate, when compared to the parental and the complemented strains. Although this tends to suggest that GRA5 behaves differently in type I vs type II parasites, the reason for this difference remains to be explored more carefully. Indeed, the first generated RHΔgra5 mutant ([Mercier et al., 2001](#)) was not found to have a defect in an invasion assay that was quantified by manual counting, whereas the assay developed by [Rommereim and collaborators (2016)](#) used a more sensitive high throughput immunofluorescence assay to count the infected cells. Unexpectedly, compared with the PruΔgra5 strain, the control strain used in our study displayed a significantly slower rate of multiplication, while the complemented strain Δgra5::gra5 exhibited a similar infection rate as that of Δgra5. Even if this phenotype would require further clarifications by complementing PRUΔhx, the similar growth observed between PRUΔgra5 and the complemented strain demonstrates that GRA5 is dispensable for the parasite proliferation in both the PRU type II strain and, as reported previously ([Mercier et al., 2001; Rommereim et al., 2016](#)), the RH type I strain.
GRA5 is one of the proteins associated with the PV membrane (PVM) and which accumulates in the outer membrane of the cyst wall, suggesting a role during latent infection (Torpier et al., 1993; Lane et al., 1996). Using an in vitro model, we showed that parasites lacking GRA5 have a higher basal level of differentiation. The CST1 marker (a glycoprotein of the cyst wall) (Tomita et al., 2013) was indeed more easily detected in the vacuoles of PRUΔgra5 parasites than in those of both the parental- and the complemented strains after 36 h of culture without any environmental stress. This difference was maintained when the alkaline stress was applied to induce parasite differentiation. A similar, however lower, effect was obtained for the expression of SRS9 (a bradyzoite-specific antigen), probably due to the delay required for its expression. The CST1 glycoprotein was indeed originally described as being expressed at the cyst wall after 24 h only of stress induction (Zhang et al., 2001), suggesting that PVM modifications may appear before subsequent bradyzoite differentiation. Both the PVM and the cyst wall provide a physical barrier against host cell attacks. Accordingly, our results suggest that the deletion of gra5 in the Prugniaud strain alters the stability of the PVM, increasing the natural cyst wall differentiation, important to protect the parasites from harsh environmental conditions.

However, although PVM modifications started earlier in PRUΔgra5 vacuoles, their ultrastructural analysis under alkaline stress revealed an abnormal cyst wall differentiation. Hence, while the parental strain exhibited regular vacuoles with a thick PV-cyst like structure membrane consisting in two dark layers bordering a lighter one, and surrounded by elements of the HER (Guimarães et al., 2009), the PV-cyst like structure membrane of PRUΔgra5 parasites was less regular, fuzzier and no association with HER was observed. In addition, this vacuolar destabilization was associated with an increased interaction with HMTs and an increased recruitment of host mitochondria. The quantification of these two phenotypes by IFA and image analysis allowed to demonstrate the restoration of the parental phenotype in the PRUΔgra5::gra5 complemented strain, confirming that the interaction of the PRUΔgra5 differentiating vacuoles with host cell organelles and cytoskeleton is a consequence of the depletion in GRA5. Further analysis of the Host Mitochondria Association (HMA) by tomography demonstrated that the recruitment resulted in a close contact between the PVM and the external face of the mitochondria envelope, but not a fusion.

The HMA phenomenon is considered to be important for the uptake of host nutrients. It is not specific to T. gondii but was also described for the coccidian parasites Hammondia hammondi and other intracellular pathogens such as Chlamydia pittaci and Legionella pneumophila (Matsumoto et al.,
While, for these pathogens, the molecular mechanism sustaining this recruitment remains unknown, in *T. gondii*, a dense granule protein targeted to the PVM, *i.e.* Mitochondrial Associated Factor 1 (MAF1), has been characterized as the HMA effector (Pernas *et al.*, 2014; Adomako-Ankomah *et al.*, 2016). Specifically, HMA is mediated by the MAF1b1 paralog, which is more abundantly transcribed in types I and III- than in type II strains. The polyclonal antibody raised against the C-terminus of MAF1b1 type I protein (amino acids 134-443) did not reveal expression of the protein in type II parasites, confirming that HMA is exclusively expressed at the PVM of type I and III strains (Pernas *et al.*, 2014). Our results showed that the loss of the PVM stability consecutive to the *gra5* deletion, and associated with parasite differentiation, induces HMA in a Prugniaud type II strain. The possible expression of MAF1b1 by PRUΔ*gra5* parasites was tested by IFA under normal culture conditions or during differentiation. Confirming the previous results, we found MAF1 positive vacuoles in RH but not in PRU parasites nor in the PRUΔ*gra5*. More recently, a polymorphism in a proline-rich region (amino acids 152-164) was detected between the MAF1b1 paralogs of the RH type I vs Me49 type II strains (Adomako-Ankomah *et al.*, 2016). To confirm that the non-detection of MAF1 in type II vacuoles by IFA was not caused by an inefficient recognition of the type II protein by the antibody raised against the type I protein, we performed a quantitative RT-PCR to analyze the protein transcription. Similar to a previous study (Adomako-Ankomah *et al.*, 2016), we detected a lower expression of the MAF1b1 paralog in both the parental PRU and the *gra5* knockout than in RH parasites. Moreover, when the parasites were submitted to alkaline stress, the MAF1b1 mRNA level increased in the RH strain, while it continued to decrease in the PRU strain. These observations confirmed that the HMA detected in PRUΔ*gra5* parasites is not likely to be mediated by MAF1 and would involve other(s) mechanism(s), such as reorganization of the host cytoskeleton. This reorganization has been characterized in *T. gondii* type I parasites. Occurring at the beginning of the infection (1 h post-infection), it was described to play a role in the positioning of the PV near the host nucleus and the microtubule organizing centers (Walker *et al.*, 2008).

Another important host organelle, normally associated with the PVM, is the HER which, with mitochondria, represents a source of nutrients (lipids, calcium and amino-acids) for intracellular parasites (Sinai *et al.*, 1997; Magno *et al.*, 2005). Based on a yeast double-hybrid approach, GRA3 and GRA5 were proposed as possible candidates to HER recruitment via their binding to the integral membrane protein Calcium Modulating Ligand (CALMG) (Ahn *et al.*, 2006). Although this interaction
needs to be proven in cellulo, the hypothesis fits with our observation that the depletion in GRA5 causes a looser association between the PVM and the HER during parasite differentiation in vitro.

Proteomic analysis of in vitro parasite cultures, submitted or not to alkaline stress, was useful to clarify PRUΔgra5 phenotypes. Six Toxoplasma proteins were found specifically associated to the vacuolar fraction of both the parental and the complemented strains, while they were missing from the PRUΔgra5 fraction. Among them, only three proteins behaved like GRA5 because they were found to be similarly abundant in the presence or absence of stress. Interestingly, they were detected in the parasites fraction of the three strains PRUΔhx, PRUΔgra5 and PRUΔgra5::gra5, demonstrating that their transcription is not modified by the absence of GRA5. This observation, which contrasts with their absence in the vacuole-containing fractions, supports a possible loss of a partner like GRA5 and/or substrates normally present within the PV. These three candidates correspond to the protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) (TGME49_211680), the phosphofructokinase (PFKII), (TGME49_226960), and the matrix antigen MAG1 (TGME49_270240).

PDI is a member of the thioredoxin superfamily of redox proteins. Specifically, TgPDI is a protein disulfide isomerase. It belongs to a family of proteins which are conserved and known to be multifunctional. TgPDI (52 kDa) is composed of 470 amino acids, including a signal peptide (the first 24 amino acids) and four domains, i. e. the two active sites (cysteine residues) separated by two substrate binding sites (hydrophobic domains) (Moncada et al., 2016). TgPDI was recently described as important for parasite invasion; probably due to its capacity to reduce disulfide bonds. This reduction of disulfide bridges is indeed crucial to activate host cell surface integrins, promoting parasite adhesion (Stolf et al., 2011). Interestingly, PDI is also known to have a chaperone activity involved in protein folding to form or brake disulfide bounds. As described for the mammalian PDI (Ali Khan and Mutus 2014), in Toxoplasma gondii this protein exhibits a C-terminal KDEL ER-retention sequence (Moncada et al., 2016), which could interact with the HER. According to its multiple roles, locations and the presence of a signal peptide, we can speculate that TgPDI is also secreted into the PV in order to ensure protein folding and PVM stability, necessary for the parasite development. In addition, the presence of GRA5 correlating with a more stable association between the HER and the PVM during vacuole differentiation, it can be speculated that the loss of TgPDI, together with the loss of GRA5, would destabilize the HER-PVM interaction.
Like TgPDI, MAG1 is another interesting candidate. Composed of 425 amino acids, MAG1 is a 65 kDa protein which also bears a 25 residue-signal peptide and a predicted transmembrane domain, both features being typical of a dense granule protein (Weiss and Kim, 2011). Originally detected in the cyst matrix and the cyst wall, it was first characterized as a protein exclusively expressed at the bradyzoite stage (Parmley et al., 1994). However, Ferguson and collaborators (2002) further showed that MAG1 is also expressed at the tachyzoite stage. Staining of liver and brain sections of both RH (virulent)- and RRA (avirulent)- infected mice, indeed revealed the presence of MAG1 in both the vacuoles and the cyst walls (Ferguson et al., 2002), strengthening the possible partnership between MAG1 and GRA5 in the PVM for cyst wall development.

The other proteins over-expressed in vacuole fractions of the parental and complemented strains are enzymes implicated in both redox and metabolic processes. Among them, PKII, which regulates the metabolism of glucose (glycolysis or gluconeogenesis), is expressed in presence or absence of alkaline stress, suggesting a regulatory function important for parasite energy in both the tachyzoite and the bradyzoite stages. Interestingly, the major representation of metabolic enzymes (PKII, GADPH1, tryptophanyl-tRNA synthetase (TrpRS2) in the vacuoles under alkaline stress most probably reflects an increased metabolic activity of the parasites during differentiation. Indeed, differentiation requires the activation of pathways to produce energy stores (amylopectine granules) or cyst wall components (protein glycosylation). In addition, the expression of enzymes implicated in the redox functions (TgPDI, Putative alkyl hydroperoxide reductase/Thiol specific antioxidant) are prevalent in vacuoles without stress application, supporting the idea of a higher vacuolar homeostasis and higher stability of the PVM in both parental and GRA5 complemented strains.

The host cytoskeleton reorganization, first revealed by TEM and confocal analysis, in the PRUΔgra5-infected cells, was confirmed by the pattern of protein expression in host cells (UniProt database). While cells infected with the parental and complemented strains showed a typical phenotype of active cells going through signal transduction, protein transcription (or folding) and metabolic processes (or proteolysis), a different profile was detected in PRUΔgra5-infected cells, in which proteins implicated in cell adhesion, cytoskeleton organization and DNA repair started to be expressed during normal culture conditions, becoming prevalent with the stress application that induced parasite differentiation.
Our results pave the way to further analysis that will investigate the interactions between GRA5 and the selected candidates. Importantly, they also suggested that the integrity of the PVM, which we showed here to be crucial to ensure cyst and parasite differentiation, has an impact on the host cell response and that this response is important to maintain the homeostasis required by the intracellular parasites to persist in a host cell.
Material and Methods

Culture of both the host cells and parasites
Human Foreskin Fibroblasts (HFF; ATCC-CRL 1634) were maintained in D10 medium (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM, Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1 mM glutamine, 500 units/mL penicillin and 50 mg/mL streptomycin) (Gibco), at 37°C, in a humidiﬁed atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. Tachyzoites of the Prugniaud or RH strain (Table 1) were maintained by serial passage into HFF monolayers in D10 medium, at 37°C, in a humidiﬁed atmosphere containing 5% CO₂.

Construction of the PRUΔgra5 knocked-out- and the PRUΔgra5::gra5 complemented parasite strains
Construction of the pΔGRA5 plasmid and its transfection into the parental strain PruΔku80Δhxgprt were performed as previously described (Fox et al., 2011; Rommereim et al., 2016). Replacement of the gra5 coding sequence by the hxgprt selectable marker was achieved by culture of the transfected parasites in D10 supplemented with 25 μg/mL mycophenolic acid (MPA; Sigma) and 50 μg/mL xanthine (MPA+X; Sigma), leading to the isolation of PruΔku80Δgra5::hxgprt (abbreviated PruΔgra5) knocked-out parasites. Their subsequent cloning led to the isolation of the particular parasite line described in this article.

For the complementation of the PruΔgra5 knocked-out parasites, a 2 Kb genomic fragment containing 1.6 Kb upstream of the GRA5 start codon and the entire gra5 coding sequence was ampliﬁed from Prugniaud genomic DNA (extracted from Prugniaud parasites using the PureLink DNA genomic extraction Minikit from Life-technologies) using the LIC primers COMP-F and COMP-R described in Table 2, and cloned into the pLic-3HA-DHFR plasmid (gift from Dr Maryse Lebrun, CNRS UMR 5235, Université de Montpellier, France) (Huynh et al., 2009), leading to the pLic-GRA5.3HA-DHFR complementation plasmid (Fig. 1A). Fifty million of freshly harvested tachyzoites of the PRUΔgra5 strain were resuspended in 0.4 mL of electroporation buffer (10 mM KPO₄, pH 7.6; 120 mM KCl; 0.15 mM CaCl₂; 5 mM MgCl-6H₂O; 25 mM HEPES, 2 mM EDTA) with 100 μg of circular pLic- GRA5.3HA-DHFR plasmid. The electroporation was performed using a BTX-ECM630 electroporator (1 pulse at
2,000 V, 25 Ω, 25 μF). Parasites were then cultured in D10, at 37°C, until lysis before being selected for 4 serial passages in D10 supplemented with 1 μM pyrimethamine and sub-cloned by limiting dilution.

**Confirmation of PruΔgra5 knocked-out parasites by immunoblot**

1 x 10⁷ freshly isolated tachyzoites were solubilized in 1X Laemmli Buffer (in absence of reducing agent). Proteins were separated in 13% SDS-PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and detected using the primary monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) TG17.113 anti-GRA5 (Biotem, Apprieu, France) and TG054 anti-SAG1 (Rodriguez et al., 1985) (Table 3) followed by goat serum anti-mouse IgG conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (Table 3). The peroxidase activity was revealed by chemiluminescence using the Supersignal ECL system (Pierce Chemical) (2 min, Room Temperature (RT)).

**Confirmation of PruΔgra5 knocked-out parasites by immunofluorescence assay**

HFFs grown to confluency on glass coverslips in 4 well-plates (in D10, at 37°C and 5% of CO₂) were infected with 10 μL of freshly harvested tachyzoites of different selected clones, and incubated for 36 h, at 37°C, and 5% of CO₂. Infected cells were washed 3 times with PBS and fixed in 4% methanol-free formaldehyde (Polyscience) for 20 min at room temperature (RT). The cells were permeabilized for 15 min in 0.1% Triton X-100 (in PBS) at RT, and saturated for 40 min in 5% FBS-5% Goat Serum (GS) at RT. Using PBS-1% GS, a co-staining to detect both the GRA5 and GRA6 proteins was performed using mAb anti-GRA5 and rabbit anti-GRA6 serum (Table 3) (1 h incubation) followed by 1 h incubation in goat serum anti-mouse IgG conjugated to Alexa-594 and goat serum anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to Alexa-488. The cells and parasites’ nuclei were revealed with Hoechst 33258 (MoleculaProbes) (15 min incubation at RT, 1:30,000). After 3 washes with PBS 1X, the coverslips were mounted onto glass slides using mowiol (Invitrogen). The images were acquired using an Axioplan 2 (Zeiss) microscope equipped for phase contrast, epifluorescence, and an Axiocam HRm camera.

**Infection assay**

For infection assays, HFF cells were plated in 96 well plates (20,000 cells per well) in D10. After 24 h of culture, the cells were rinsed with PBS and 40,000 parasites of each strain (MOI 2:1) were loaded into
each well (250 μL per well). Plates were spun at 400 rpm for 1 min. After 3 washes in PBS, both host cells and parasites’ nuclei were stained with 5 μg/mL Hoechst 33342 for 20 min at RT. The stained cells were rinsed with PBS 3 times and fixed for 15 min, at 37°C, with 3.7% methanol-free formaldehyde. After a 40 min saturation step with 5% goat serum (GS) (in PBS) at RT, extracellular parasites were stained for 45 min at RT with mAb anti-SAG1, followed by goat serum-anti mouse IgG conjugated to Alexa-488 idem (45 min, RT). The cells were washed 3 times in PBS and permeabilized for 15 min with PBS 5% GS in 0.1% triton-X100 at RT. The intracellular parasites were detected in the same conditions using mAb anti-GRA1 (45 min, RT) followed by goat serum anti mouse IgG conjugated to Alexa-594 (45 min, RT). The images were acquired by high content screening microscopy using an Olympus IX8 inverted microscope equipped with a black and white Orca ER Camera, and a LUCPLLN 20xPH1 objective. Images of the entire surface of each well were analyzed using the ScanR software. The percentage of infected cells was determined by calculating the number of intracellular parasites divided by the number of analyzed cells (> 1,000).

**Intracellular proliferation assay**

For intracellular proliferation assays, HFF cells were plated in 96 well plates (20,000 cells per well) in D10. After 24 h of culture the cells were rinsed with PBS and 40,000 parasites of each strain (MOI 2:1) were loaded into each well (250 μL per well). Plates were spun at 400 rpm for 1 min and incubated for 4 h to allow parasite invasion. Infected cells were washed 3 times with PBS and 250 μL of fresh D10 were added into the wells, followed by 36 h of culture at 37°C, 5% CO₂. Cells were then rinsed in PBS, stained with 5 μg/mL Hoechst 33342 for 20 min at 37°C, and fixed for 15 min at 37°C in 3.7% methanol-free formaldehyde. After 3 washes in PBS, a saturation-permeabilization step was performed for 15 min with PBS 5% GS in 0.1% triton-X100 at RT. The vacuoles and parasites were stained using mAb anti-GRA1 (45 min, RT), followed by goat serum anti-mouse IgG conjugated to Alexa-488 (45 min, RT). Analyses were performed as described above for the infection assay. The total number of parasites per PV as well as the percentage of PVs containing 1, 2, 4, 8, or 16 parasites were determined for >5,000 PVs per strain.
**Spontaneous differentiation assay**

HFFs grown to confluency on glass coverslips in 4 well-plates (in D10, at 37°C and 5% of CO₂) were infected with 100,000 freshly harvested tachyzoites per well and incubated for 4 h to allow parasite invasion. After 3 washes in PBS, fresh D10 was added to each well and the plates were returned to culture for 36 h at 37°C, 5% of CO₂. The cells were then washed 3 times in PBS, fixed and processed for IFA as detailed below.

**Alkaline stress-induced differentiation**

HFFs grown to confluency in 24 well-plates were infected with 20,000 freshly harvested tachyzoites per well and incubated for 36 h, at 37°C, in fresh D10 and with 5% of CO₂ to allow the formation of intracellular PVs. To induce the differentiation of tachyzoites into bradyzoites and PVs into cyst-like structures, the D10 medium was replaced by RPMI 1640 (Gibco) supplemented with 1% FBS, 2 mM glutamine, 50 µg/mL penicillin/streptomycin, 2 g/L NaCOH₃ and buffered with 50 mM HEPES to pH 8.2 (referred to “alkaline medium”). The cells were then maintained for 24 h or 48 h, at 37°C, in absence of CO₂, and the alkaline medium was renewed once a day. Infected cells were fixed and processed for IFA as detailed below.

**Differentiated vacuoles and host organelles interaction assay**

40,000 HFFs were seeded onto glass coverslips in 24 wells plates incubated with D10, at 37°C, and 5% of CO₂. After 16 to 24 h of culture the cells were infected with 40,000 freshly harvested tachyzoites (MOI, 1:1) and incubated for 4 h to allow parasite invasion. After 3 washes in PBS, fresh D10 was added to each well and the plates were returned to culture for 36 h. To induce parasites and vacuoles’ differentiation the D10 was replaced by alkaline medium and the infected cells were incubated for 36 h, at 37°C, and in absence of CO₂. Differentiated cultures were fixed and stained to detect differentiated vacuoles as well as host mitochondria or host microtubules by IFA as explained below.

**Indirect Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA)**

To detect spontaneous- or induced-differentiation in parasites and vacuoles, infected cells were washed 3 times with PBS and fixed in 4% methanol-free formaldehyde (20 min, RT). Infected cells were permeabilized for 15 min with PBS in 0.1% Triton X-100, and saturated for 40 min in PBS 5% FBS-
Using PBS-1% GS, the bradyzoites were detected using mAb anti-SRS9 (incubated for 1h, RT) (Table 3) followed by a 1 h incubation in goat serum anti-mouse IgG conjugated to Alexa-594. Then, the cyst walls were revealed using Dolichos biflorus lectin-FITC (Table 3) (1 h incubation, RT), and the cells- and parasites’ nuclei were revealed with Hoechst 33258 (15 min incubation, RT, 1:30,000). The coverslips were mounted onto glass slides using mowiol. The percentage of differentiated vacuoles was calculated out of 200 vacuoles scanned in a given well, using an Axioplan 2 (Zeiss) microscope equipped for phase contrast, epifluorescence, and an Axiocam HRm camera. An Olympus IX8 inverted microscope was used to screen the entire surface of each well of infected cells cultured in 24 well plates and let to differentiate.

To study the potential recruitment of host cell mitochondria or microtubules to differentiating PV membranes, infected cells were fixed for 15 min at 37°C in 4% methanol-free formaldehyde or in PFA-Sucrose-NaOH (PBS, 4% Formaldehyde, 4% Sucrose, 0.1M NaOH) solution, respectively. Infected cells were permeabilized for 15 min with PBS in 0.1% Triton X-100, saturated for 40 min in PBS 5% FBS-5% GS, and incubated for 1 h with mAb anti-cytochrome C (Table 3) or mAb anti-α tubulin (Table 3) diluted in 1% GS (in PBS). The bound specific mAbs were revealed by a 1h incubation in goat serum anti-mouse IgG conjugated to Alexa-594, while Dolichos biflorus lectin-FITC was used as a marker of differentiated vacuoles. Host cells and parasites’ nuclei were revealed by a 15 min incubation in Hoechst 33258. Coverslips were mounted onto glass slides using mowiol and infected cells were observed using a Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope (immersed objective 63x NA 1.3). Z stacks were acquired and the analyses were performed using the ImageJ software and the algorithm “Intensity as a function of Distance” explained below.

Quantitative analysis with the algorithm “Intensity as a function of Distance”

To quantify the recruitment of host mitochondria and microtubules to the PV-cyst-like structures, we used the algorithm “Intensity as a function of Distance”. This algorithm functions via user input (e.g. drawing the boundary of an object) and a shell-pixel counting method (e.g. generating concentric 1-pixel wide layers around the object) (Nolan et al., 2015). As depicted on Fig. 5A, the user thus i) draws the boundary (in yellow) of an object (cyst-like structure, in green), ii) selects the channel in which the intensity of fluorescence must be quantified (Alexa-594), and iii) selects the maximum distance through which the intensity of fluorescence must be calculated (in this experiment: 25 pixels (or
layers in white), representing 6.5 μm, a physiologically relevant distance). The algorithm then sums the average fluorescence intensity of each pixel within a layer, thus leading to the identification of the layer where the maximum fluorescence intensity can be detected and which corresponds to the layer, where most of the host cell elements (mitochondria or microtubules) can be detected. Multiplying the position of this layer by its corresponding width provides the distance from the boundary (in μm) (e.g. 0.26 μm for pixel 1 or 1.38 μm for pixel 3). This quantification is applied to each stack. The distance from the boundary of the defined cyst-like structure and the maximum concentration in host cell elements (mitochondria or microtubules) calculated for each stack is finally averaged on the number of stacks, which leads to the formula:

Average distance (in μm) = Σ [(layer rank of the MAX intensity signal) x size of the pixel] / number of stacks

The analyses were performed in a 6.5 μm-radius from the PV membrane boundary and on 50 different events from two different experiments.

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

HFF monolayers were grown on 4 wells labteks (ThermoFisher) in D10, 37°C, 5% CO₂ until confluency, and incubated with 20,000 freshly harvested tachyzoites for 36 h in D10, at 37°C, and with 5% of CO₂ in order to obtain vacuoles containing 4 parasites. To induce parasite differentiation infected cells were washed once in PBS and switched to alkaline medium before being returned to culture for 36 h at 37°C, in absence of CO₂. Infected cells were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.2) for 2 h at 4°C, rinsed in PBS, post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide-PBS (1 h, 4°C), dehydrated in a graded series of alcohol percentages, and embedded in a mixture of epon–araldite resins. The samples were sectioned with a Reichert ultra-microtome, stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and observed at 100 kV using a Fei Tecnai G2 spirit.

Electron Tomography

280-nm-thick sections were decorated on both faces with 10 nm colloidal gold particles before being observed using a Philips CM200 FEG tomograph operating at 200 kV, and equipped with a 2048 x 2048 CCD TVIPS TemCam-F224HD camera and with TVIPS EM menu 4 and EM-Tools software packages. Tomographic tilt series of images were recorded in double tilt axis geometry,
at the 27,500x magnification (pixel size: 0.66 nm), with a maximum tilt range of about -60° +60° and tilt increment of 1°. 3D tomograms were reconstructed and visualized using the IMOD software package (http://bio3d.colorado.edu/imod/).

**Plaque assay**

For plaque assay, HFFs were plated into 24 wells plates and cultured to form a confluent monolayer. 40,000 parasites were introduced into each well and incubated for 4 h to allow parasite invasion. After 3 washes in PBS, fresh D10 was added and plates were incubated at 37°C for 36 h. Infected cells were washed once in PBS and returned to culture in alkaline medium for 12, 36 or 48 h, at 37°C, and in absence of CO₂. Infected cells were scraped off and collected into 5 mL of PBS. Their plasma membrane was disrupted by 3 passages through a 27 g needle mounted onto a 5 mL syringe to release the intracellular parasites. After a centrifugation (10 min; 1,000 g) the parasites were dispersed into 2 mL of D10, serial-diluted (dilution rate: 1 to 4), and the parasite dilutions were seeded into a 24 well plate containing confluent HFFs. Plates were incubated without disturbance for 7 days, at 37°C, with 5% CO₂, to allow the formation of visible lysis plaques in the host cell monolayers. The infected cells were fixed for 5 min with cold methanol (-20°C) and stained (35 min) with 20% methanol-0,5% crystal violet solution (Sigma). After 3 washes with PBS, the plates were dried before being imaged and the plaques generated by each parasite dilution were counted.

**Statistical analyses**

Non-parametric Mann-Whitney t-tests were used to calculate the statistical significant differences (P < 0.05) using the GraphPad Prism 6 software.

**Quantitative real-time RT-PCR**

MAF1b expression was assessed in both RH and PRU (parental and Δgra5) strains by RT-PCR. For samples preparation HFFs were cultured in T25 flasks to reach confluency. Freshly lysed parasites were loaded for 8 h onto the monolayers in fresh D10, at 37°C, under 5% of CO₂. After 1 wash in PBS, incomplete alkaline RPMI (1%, FBS, pH 8.2) was added to induce parasite differentiation and the flasks were returned to culture in absence of CO₂ for 24 h. At each time point, the intracellular parasites were isolated by 3 passages in a 10 mL syringe mounted on a 2.7 g needle. After a centrifugation (10
min; 1,000 g) total RNA was prepared using Pure link RNA Mini-Kit (Life-technologies), while the iScript Reverse Transcriptase Supermix for RT-qPCR (BIORAD) was used for cDNA preparation. Samples were analyzed by real-time quantitative PCR using primers specific for MAF1b (GeneBank ref: KU761340.1 (Me49)) or Tg ACTIN (ToxoDB ref: 219290 (Me49)) as control (Table 2). The reaction was performed using Fast Syber Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystem) and StepOnePlus (Applied Biosystem) and quantified with the delta-delta CT (ΔΔCT) method.

Cell-fractionation
Two T175 flasks of confluent HFFs were infected with each strain for 12 h to allow parasite invasion. After a wash in PBS to eliminate the extracellular parasites, fresh D10 or incomplete alkaline RPMI was added and the cells were returned to culture for 12 h, at 37°C, and in presence or absence of CO₂. After 2 washes in PBS, infected HFFs were scraped and both the host cell and the PV membranes were mechanically disrupted by passing the cells through 20 g (X3) and 25 g (X3) needles. Released parasites were then collected by serial centrifugations: 5 min at 2,000 g (4°C), followed by 10 min at 2,000 g (4°C). The two resulting pellets (Low-Speed Pellet, LSP) were resuspended in 150 µL of PBS completed with protease inhibitors (cOmplete EDTA-free, Roche) and Laemmlı loading buffer (Bio-Rad). The PV and host cells components collected from the two supernatants (Low-Speed Supernatant, LSS) were precipitated in 4 volumes of cold Acetone (1h; -20°C). After a 10 min centrifugation at 10,000 g (4°C), the pellets were dried (30 min; RT) and solubilized in 100 µL of PBS - protease inhibitors - Laemmlı loading buffer (Bio-Rad) before protein denaturation and fractionation, as described below.

Protein preparation and Mass Spectometry
After denaturation for 3 min at 100°C, protein samples were fractionated on a 10% acrylamide SDS-PAGE. The electrophoretic migration was stopped as soon as the protein sample progressed for 1 cm into the separating gel. The gel was briefly stained with Coomassie Blue, and five bands, containing the whole sample, were cut out. Digestion of gel slices was performed as previously described (Miguet et al., 2009). An UltiMate 3000 RSLCnano System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for separation of the protein digests. Peptides were automatically fractionated onto a commercial C18 reversed phase column (75 µm x 150 mm, 2 µm particle, PepMap100 RSLC column, Thermo Fisher
Scientific, temperature 35 °C). Trapping was performed during 4 min at 5 μL/min, with solvent A (98 % H₂O, 2% Acetonitrile (ACN) and 0.1 % Formic Acid (FA)). Elution was performed using two solvents, A (0,1 % FA in water) and B (0,1 % FA in ACN), respectively, at a flow rate of 300 nL/min. Gradient separation was 3 min at 5% B, 37 min from 5 % B to 30% B, 5 min to 80% B, and maintained for 5 min. The column was equilibrated for 10 min with 5% buffer B prior to the next sample analysis.

The eluted peptides from the C18 column were analyzed by Q-Exactive instruments (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The electrospray voltage was 1.9 kV, and the capillary temperature was 275 °C. Full MS scans were acquired in the Orbitrap mass analyzer over m/z 300–1200 range with resolution 35,000 (m/z 200). The target value was 5.00E+05. Ten most intense peaks with charge state between 2 and 4 were fragmented in the HCD collision cell with normalized collision energy of 27%, and tandem mass spectrum was acquired in the Orbitrap mass analyzer with resolution 17,500 at m/z 200. The target value was 1.00E+05. The ion selection threshold was 5.0E+04 counts, and the maximum allowed ion accumulation times were 250 ms for full MS scans and 100 ms for tandem mass spectrum. Dynamic exclusion was set to 30 s.

**Proteomic data analysis**

Raw data collected during nano LC-MS/MS analysis were processed and converted into *.mgf peak list format with Proteome Discoverer 1.4 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). MS/MS data was interpreted using the search engine Mascot (version 2.4.0, Matrix Science, London, UK) installed on a local server. Searches were performed with a tolerance on mass measurement of 0.2 Da for precursor and 0.2 Da for fragment ions, against two different composite target decoy databases: 1) the ToxoDB database (50,620 total entries) built from 3 strains of *Toxoplasma gondii* (ToxoDB.org database: strains ME49, GT1 and VEG; release 12.0, December 2016; 25,192 entries) and 2) the human UniProt database (156,639 total entries; taxon number 9606). Both databases are fused with the sequences of recombinant trypsin and a list of classical contaminants (118 entries). Cysteine carbamidomethylation, methionine oxidation, protein N-terminal acetylation and cysteine propionamidation were searched as variable modifications. Up to one trypsin missed cleavage were allowed. For each sample, peptides were filtered out according to the cutoff set for proteins hits with 1 or more peptides longer than 9 residues, ion score > 35, identity score > 9, corresponding to 1% false positive rate.
A second filter was applied to select the candidates. Only the data with a requirement of three (or more than three) unique peptide identifications per protein were considered.
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Legend of figures

**Figure 1.** Construction and characterization of both the PruΔku80Δgra5::hxgprt (PruΔgra5) knocked-out- and the PRUΔgra5::gra5-hxgprt (PRUΔgra5::gra5) complemented type II strains

**A)** Schematic representation of the strategy used to generate the PruΔku80Δgra5::hxgprt (PruΔgra5) knocked-out strain. The *gra5* gene was deleted from the *PruΔku80Δhxgprt* parental strain and replaced by the *hxgprt* selectable marker (provided by the pΔGRA5 plasmid that allows expression of *hxgprt* under the 5’ and 3’ regulatory sequences of *gra5*) by double cross-over homologous recombination at the *gra5* locus. Parasite recombinants having integrated *hxgprt* were positively selected by the combination of mycophenolic acid and xanthine (MPA+X). **B)** Schematic representation of the strategy that led to the construction of the PRUΔgra5::gra5-hxgprt (PRUΔgra5::gra5) complemented strain. The pLic-3HA-DHFR plasmid was modified to insert 1.6 Kb of the *gra5* 5’ upstream region (5’ PRUGRA5) and the *gra5* coding sequence (including its stop codon) (GRA5 CDS) in order to generate the pLic-GRA5.3HA-DHFR plasmid. In pLic-GRA5.3HA-DHFR the GRA5 expression cassette is followed by the triplicated sequence of the HA epitope tag (x3HA) and the 3’ untranslated region of *hxgprt* (3’UTR). The DHFR selectable marker is shown in yellow. After transfection the parasites were selected with pyrimethamine and cloned. **C)** The parental, knocked-out and complemented strains were respectively inoculated onto HFF monolayers for 36 h. After fixation, permeabilization and saturation, infected cells were incubated with monoclonal antibody anti-GRA5 (mAb αGRA5), washed, and further incubated with goat anti-mouse IgG coupled to Alexa-594 (red). To verify the specificity of the GRA5 signal, co-labeling of GRA6 was performed using rabbit anti-GRA6 serum followed by goat anti-rabbit IgG coupled to Alexa-488 (green). Both host and parasite nuclei were revealed with Hoechst 33258 (blue). “Merge” shows the co-localization of both the GRA proteins in the parasites. **D)** Immunoblot of both GRA5 (21 kDa, revealed with mAb anti-GRA5) and SAG1 (30 kDa, revealed with mAb αSAG1) in parasite protein lysates. Cell lysate equivalent to 1x10^7 parasites was loaded per lane.
**Figure 2.** The lack of GRA5 does not hamper infection and proliferation of type II tachyzoites

**A)** To compare their infection rates HFF monolayers were infected with PRUΔhx, PRUΔgra5 or PRUΔgra5::gra5 tachyzoites at a MOI of 2:1 for 4 h. Extra- and intracellular parasites were discriminated by IFA. Monoclonal antibody to SAG1 followed by goat anti-mouse IgG coupled to Alexa-488 (green) were used to detect the extracellular parasites. After further permeabilization of the infected cells the intracellular vacuoles and parasites were revealed using monoclonal antibody to GRA1 followed by goat anti-mouse IgG conjugated to Alexa-594. The nuclei of both host cells and parasites were revealed by Hoechst 33342. The percentage of infected cells was calculated by dividing the number of intracellular parasites by the number of analyzed HFFs (~ 1,000) and multiplying by 100. The Mann Whitney statistical t-test performed on 3 different experiments did not reveal significant differences between the 3 strains. **B)** Parasite proliferation was quantified 36 h p.i. by staining of both the intracellular vacuoles and parasites with monoclonal antibody anti-GRA1 followed by goat serum anti-mouse IgG conjugated to Alexa-594. The nuclei of both host cells and parasites (detected with Hoechst 33342) allowed the quantification of the percentage of vacuoles containing 1, 2, 4, 8 or 16 parasites formed in each type of infected cells. The percentages were calculated from more than 500 analyzed vacuoles observed in a total of 3 experiments. **C)** Intracellular growth on the long term by plaque assays. 40,000 parasites of each strain were loaded onto HFFs grown to confluence in 24 well-plates. After 36 h of culture extra- and intracellular parasites were collected, serial diluted, loaded onto novel HFF monolayers, led to proliferate for 7 days and stained with crystal violet. **D)** The total number of parasites was calculated by multiplying the number of plaques observed for a given parasite dilution by the dilution factor and this number was averaged on 2 experiments performed in similar conditions.

**Figure 3.** Deletion of gra5 induces earlier in vitro differentiation

**A)** Schematic representation of the experimental protocol used to quantify the differentiation of parental- (PRU Δhx), KO- (PRUΔgra5) and complemented (PRU Δgra5::gra5) parasites. HFF monolayers were infected with parasites and cultured for 36 h in presence of CO₂ (before stress). To induce the differentiation infected cells were washed and further incubated for 24 or 48 h in alkaline medium (1% FBS, pH 8.2) and in absence of CO₂ (after stress). Before and after stress differentiating parasites were detected using monoclonal antibody to SRS9, followed by goat serum-anti mouse IgG
conjugated to Alexa-594 (red), while PV membranes differentiating into cyst walls were monitored using *Dolichos biflorus* lectin recognizing the CST1 glycoprotein and conjugated to fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC, green). The nuclei of both the cells and the parasites were revealed using Hoechst 33258 (grey). The photos show HFF cells, which have been infected by PRUΔgra5::gra5 parasites grown for 48 h under stress conditions. The parasites which developed in HFF cells (grown on glass coverslips) without alkaline stress were analyzed using an Axioplan 2 microscope (Zeiss) equipped for phase contrast and epifluorescence and coupled to an Axiocam HRm camera. After 24 or 48 h of culture under stress conditions, images of the entire surface of each well from the 24 well-plates (without coverslips) were acquired with an Olympus IX8 inverted microscope equipped with a black and white Orca ER Camera, and the total number of vacuoles-cysts was determined. Quantification of CST1-positive (B) or SRS9 and CST1-positive (C) vacuoles-cysts after 36 h of culture, followed by 24 or 48 h of culture under stress conditions (D-E). Statistical analyses were performed using the Mann-Whitney *t*-test to compare the differences between the strains in each culture condition. *P < 0.05; **P ≤ 0.008 indicates significant differences.

**Figure 4. Host mitochondria and host microtubules are recruited to the membrane of PruΔgra5 cyst-like structures**

All the presented images were acquired after 36 h of *in vitro* culture in stress conditions. **A)** Transmission Electron Micrograph of a PRUΔhx vacuole showing close association between the PV membrane and elements of the HER. **B)** Magnification of the **A** inset. The black arrows delineate the PV membrane while black arrowheads point out the ribosomes of the HER. **C)** Transmission electron micrograph of a PRUΔgra5 vacuole showing its close association with host mitochondria (HM). **D)** High magnification of a PRUΔgra5 vacuole showing the dense array of host microtubules (HMT, black arrows) aligned perpendicularly to the PV membrane surface (black arrowheads). AG, amylopectin granule; P, parasite; PV, parasitophorous vacuole; PVM, parasitophorous vacuole membrane. **E)** The outer membrane of host mitochondria does not fuse with the PV membrane of PruΔgra5. Tomographic analysis of the association between a PRUΔgra5 vacuole (PV) and a host mitochondrion (HM) showing the close association, but not the fusion, between the HM external membrane (black arrows) and the PV membrane (black arrowheads). The analysis was performed on 280 nm thick
sections. Images were recorded in double tilt axis geometry, at the 27,500x magnification (nominal pixel size 0.66 nm). The reconstructed structures were visualized in 2D as tomographic slices.

**Figure 5.** Host mitochondria are at a shorter distance to the membrane of cyst-like structures in PruΔgra5

PRUΔhx, PRUΔgra5 and PRUΔgra5::gra5 parasites were cultured for 36 h in D10 and in presence of 5% CO₂ before being switched to alkaline medium and further incubated for 36 h without CO₂. Infected cells were processed for IFA and incubated with anti-cytochrome C or anti α-tubulin followed by goat serum anti-mouse IgG conjugated to Alexa-594 (Red). Differentiation of the PV membrane was detected using *Dolichos biflorus* lectin-FITC. A) The left panel shows one example of a boundary (yellow circle), as defined by the algorithm “Intensity as a function of Distance”. In this case, the boundary delineates a selected differentiated PV membrane (in green) chosen on one image acquired from PRUΔhx-infected HFFs. The anti-cytochrome C antibody was used to label the host mitochondria in red. The right panel shows the pixels (or layers), which were analyzed by the algorithm (with a maximum of 25 layers). The white layers super-impose the host cell cytoplasm area (left panel), where host mitochondria were detected. B) The left panel exemplifies the closer association of host cell mitochondria with the limiting membrane of differentiating PV-cyst-like structures in PRUΔgra5. The graph on the right represents the averaged distance between the membrane of the differentiating PV-cyst-like structures and the region of the maximal host mitochondria fluorescence in PruΔhx, PRUΔgra5 and PRUΔgra5::gra5. C) The left panel shows the closer association of host cell microtubules with the limiting membrane of differentiating PV-cyst-like structures in PRUΔgra5. The graph on the right represents the averaged distance between the membrane of the differentiating PV-cyst-like structures and the region of the maximal host microtubules’ fluorescence in PruΔhx, PRUΔgra5 and PRUΔgra5::gra5. 50 events from two different experiments were analyzed by confocal microscopy and analyzed with the ImageJ software. Significant differences ** P≤0.009; *** P= 0.0005.
**Figure 6.** The recruitment of host mitochondria to the membrane of PV-cyst-like structures in PruΔgra5 does not rely on the expression of MAF1Rh1

40,000 tachyzoites of RH, PRUΔhx or PRUΔgra5 were inoculated onto confluent HFFs and cultured for 8 h under 5% of CO₂, before being switched to alkaline stress for 24 h. **A)** After 8 h of culture with CO₂ GRA7 and MAF1 were respectively labeled using rabbit serum anti-GRA7 and mouse monoclonal antibody to MAF1b1 followed by the corresponding goat sera anti-rabbit or mouse IgG respectively conjugated to Alexa-488 or Alexa-594 (Red). **B)** After 24 h of culture under stress conditions differentiating vacuoles were labeled using Dolichos biflorous lectin conjugated to FITC and mouse monoclonal antibody to MAF1b1 followed by goat serum anti-mouse IgG conjugated to Alexa-488 (green). Parasites and cell nuclei were stained by Hoechst 33258. **C)** MAF1b1(PRU) mRNA levels in RH, PRUΔhx and PRUΔgra5 parasites after 8 h of culture with CO₂ and after 24 h of culture under stress conditions. The primers used to amplify MAF1b were designed from the MAF1ME49b1 gene sequence. The mRNA levels were analyzed by real-time quantitative PCR, using the TgACTIN mRNA as an internal control of steady state expression. The quantification was performed using the delta-delta CT (ΔΔCT) method and the data were represented as compared to the MAF1ME49b1 mRNA (to which the 1 value was arbitrarily attributed) detected in RH after 8 h of culture with CO₂ (red arrow). Statistical analyses were performed using Mann Whitney t-tests. Significant differences: *P < 0.05

**Figure 7.** Schematic representation of the host cells proteins expression during parasite infection and differentiation.

The selection of proteins (UniProt.org) was performed using a semiquantitative analysis. On the left, proteins which were represented by more than 3 peptides in the PRUΔgra5::gra5 strain and which exhibited more than a 4-fold-increased detection in both the complementated- and the parental strains, compared with the PRUΔgra5 strain. On the right, proteins which were represented by more than 3 peptides in the PRUΔgra5 strain and which exhibited more than a 4-fold-increased detection in PRUΔgra5, compared to both the complementated- and the parental strains. Sorted proteins were divided into 9 different families according to their function (Gene Ontology). The pies represent their relative expression in each sample (LSS, vacuoles and host cells fraction) and for each culture condition: 24 h of infection (+CO₂) or 12 h after stress (-CO₂).
### Table 1. Strains used or developed in this work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Parent strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRUΔku80Δhxgprt (PRUΔhx)</td>
<td>PRUΔku80::hxgprt</td>
<td>Δku80Δhxgprt</td>
<td>Fox et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PruΔku80Δgra5::hxgprt (PruΔgra5)</td>
<td>PruΔku80Δhxgprt</td>
<td>Δku80Δgra5::hxgprt</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PruΔku80Δgra5::gra5 (PruΔgra5::gra5)</td>
<td>PruΔku80Δgra5::hxgprt</td>
<td>Δku80::hxgprt</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔku80::hxgprt</td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>Δku80::hxgprt</td>
<td>Fox et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHΔku80Δgra5::hxgprt</td>
<td>RHΔku80Δhxgprt</td>
<td>Δku80Δhxgprt</td>
<td>Fox et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 2. List of primers used in this work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forward primers&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Reverse primers&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COMP-F: 5’-TACTTCCAATCCAATTTAATGCCAACGGTGCCAGCTCCTGTGTACA-3’</td>
<td>COMP-R: 5’-TCCTCCACTTCCAATTTTAGCTTACTCTCTCGGCAACTTCTTC-3’</td>
<td>GRA5 complementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAF1b-F: 5’-TACGGCAACCTGAACAAACGTC-3’</td>
<td>MAF1b-R: 5’-AATGACGCGCAATCGTG-3’</td>
<td>MAF1 quantitative RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TgActin-F: 5’-AACGGTGATGATGGCCATCG-3’</td>
<td>TgActin-R: 5’-TGCTACATCGCCCTCGAGTTC-3’</td>
<td>Actin control in MAF1 quantitative RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> underlined sequences were used for ligation-independent cloning.
Table 3. List of antibodies used in this work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIMARY ANTIBODIES</th>
<th>Type of antibody</th>
<th>IFA dilution</th>
<th>Immunoblot dilution</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anti-SAG1 mouse monoclonanty TG054</td>
<td>1/500</td>
<td>1/1,000</td>
<td>Rodriguez et al., 1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anti-SR59 mouse monoclonanty T84A12 IC3</td>
<td>1/500</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Kim and Boothroyd, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anti-GRA1 mouse monoclonanty TG17-43</td>
<td>1/500</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Biotem, Apprieu, France Charif et al., 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anti-GRA5 mouse monoclonanty TG17.113</td>
<td>1/500</td>
<td>1/1,000</td>
<td>Biotem, Apprieu, France Charif et al., 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rabbit serum anti-GRA7</td>
<td>1/1,000</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>J.C. Boothroyd, Standford University School of Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rabbit serum anti-HF10 (GRA6u)</td>
<td>1/500</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Blanchard et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>anti-MAF1 mouse monoclonanty</td>
<td>1/500</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Pernas et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rabbit serum anti-MAF1</td>
<td>1/500</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Pernas et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anti-α-tubulin mouse monoclonanty</td>
<td>1/4,000</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>A. Andrieux, Grenoble Institute of Neurosciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anti-cytochrome C monoclonanty CTC03(2B5)</td>
<td>1/500</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECONDARY ANTIBODIES</th>
<th>Type of antibody</th>
<th>IF dilution</th>
<th>Immunoblot dilution</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) conjugated to Alexa-594</td>
<td>1/1,000</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Alexa Fluor (A-11005) Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) conjugated to Alexa-488</td>
<td>1/1,000</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Alexa Fluor (A-11001) Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) conjugated to Alexa-594</td>
<td>1/1,000</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Alexa Fluor (A-11012) Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) conjugated to Alexa-488</td>
<td>1/1,000</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Alexa Fluor (A-11008) Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) conjugated to HRP</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1/10,000</td>
<td>A-16084 Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FLUORESCENT PROBE</th>
<th>Type of probe</th>
<th>IF dilution</th>
<th>Immunoblot dilution</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dolichos biflorus lectin (DBL) conjugated to FITC</td>
<td>1/500</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Vector Laboratories</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA: does not apply
Table 4
List of proteins identified in the LSP fraction (tachyzoites only) of PRUΔhx and PRUΔgra5::gra5 infected cells after 24 h of culture (+CO₂) or 12 h of culture under stress conditions (-CO₂).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LSP fraction (parasites only) of PRUΔhx and PRUΔgra5::gra5 infected cells after 24 h of culture (+CO₂)</th>
<th>Protein name</th>
<th>MW (Da)</th>
<th>Accession number</th>
<th>Number of peptides (spectra)</th>
<th>Δhx</th>
<th>Δgra5::gra5</th>
<th>Δgra5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dense granule protein GRA5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>TGME49_286450</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LSP fraction (parasites only) of PRUΔhx and PRUΔgra5::gra5 infected cells after 12 h of culture with stress (-CO₂)</th>
<th>Protein name</th>
<th>MW (Da)</th>
<th>Accession number</th>
<th>Number of peptides (spectra)</th>
<th>Δhx</th>
<th>Δgra5::gra5</th>
<th>Δgra5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Putative alkyl hydroperoxide reductase/ Thiol specific antioxidant/ Mal allergen</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>TGME49_217890</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>7 (14)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhoptery protein ROP15</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>TGME49_211290</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phospholipase/ carboxylesterase</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>TGME49_228290</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dense granule protein GRA5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>TGME49_286450</td>
<td>5 (14)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putative vacular ATP synthase sub.b</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>TGME49_219800</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose-bisphosphatase II</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>TGME49_247510</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>4 (5)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptidase M16, alpha subunit, putative</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>TGME49_202680</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>4 (5)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothetical protein</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>TGME49_247440</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>7 (10)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAP45</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>TGME49_223940</td>
<td>4 (5)</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DnaK family protein</td>
<td>90.14</td>
<td>TGME49_219310</td>
<td>8 (8)</td>
<td>11 (19)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dense granule protein DG32</td>
<td>24.15</td>
<td>TGME49_297880</td>
<td>5 (6)</td>
<td>5 (7)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LSS fraction (vacuoles, host cells) of PRUΔhx and PRU Δgra5::gra5 infected cells after 24 h of culture (+CO₂)</th>
<th>Protein name</th>
<th>MW (Da)</th>
<th>Accession number</th>
<th>Number of peptides (spectra)</th>
<th>Δhx</th>
<th>Δgra5::gra5</th>
<th>Δgra5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protein disulfide isomerase</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>TGME49_211680</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
<td>10 (11)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putative alkyl hydroperoxide reductase/ Thiol specific antioxidant/ Mal allergen</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>TGME49_217890</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>4 (5)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphofructokinase PFKII</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>TGME49_226960</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAG1 protein</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>TGME49_270240</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LSS fraction (vacuoles, host cells) of PRUΔhx and PRU Δgra5::gra5 infected cells after 12 h of culture with stress (-CO₂)</th>
<th>Protein name</th>
<th>MW (Da)</th>
<th>Accession number</th>
<th>Number of peptides (spectra)</th>
<th>Δhx</th>
<th>Δgra5::gra5</th>
<th>Δgra5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protein disulfide isomerase</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>TGME49_211680</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>10 (13)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The list of protein candidates (ToxoDB.org) was sorted using the semi-quantitative analysis method: proteins which had more than 3 peptides mapped in the PRUΔgra5::gra5 strain and more than a 4-fold-increased detection in both the complemented and parental strains over PRUΔgra5 were retained.

The number of peptides (number of spectra) in each sample was detected by mass spectrometry.

NA, no count detected in PRUΔgra5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein Name</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Gene ID</th>
<th>Peptides Complemented</th>
<th>Peptides Parental</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tryptophanyl-tRNA synthetase (TrpRS2)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>TGME49_288360</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>7 (8)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase GAPDH1</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>TGME49_289690</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>4 (6)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphofructokinase PFKII</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>TGME49_226960</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAG1 protein</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>TGME49_270240</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aThe list of protein candidates (ToxoDB.org) was sorted using the semi-quantitative analysis method: proteins which had more than 3 peptides mapped in the PRUΔgra5::gra5 strain and more than a 4-fold-increased detection in both the complemented and parental strains over PRUΔgra5 were retained.

bThe number of peptides (number of spectra) in each sample was detected by mass spectrometry.

NA, no count detected in PRUΔgra5.
**Table 5. List of proteins identified in the LSP fraction (tachyzoites only) of PRUΔgra5-infected cells after 24 h of culture (+CO₂) or 12 h of culture under stress (-CO₂)**

### LSP fraction (parasites only) of PRUΔgra5 infected cells after 24 h of culture (+CO₂)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein name</th>
<th>MW (Da)</th>
<th>Accession number</th>
<th>Number of peptides (spectra)</th>
<th>Δhx</th>
<th>Δgra5::gra5</th>
<th>Δgra5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyclophilin</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>TGME49_221210</td>
<td>1(2) NA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putative eukaryotic porin</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>TGME49_218280</td>
<td>1(2) NA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putative cyclophilin1</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>TGME49_230520</td>
<td>1(1) NA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putative ATP synthase</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>TGME49_226000</td>
<td>1(1) NA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribosomal protein RPS13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>TGME49_270380</td>
<td>1(1) NA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribosomal protein RPL30</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>TGME49_232230</td>
<td>NA NA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothetical protein</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>TGME49_218240</td>
<td>1(1) NA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribosomal protein RPL11</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>TGME49_309820</td>
<td>1(1) 1(1)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribosomal protein RPS24</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>TGME49_215460</td>
<td>1(1) 1(1)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LSS fraction (vacuoles, host cells) of PRUΔgra5 infected cells after 12 h of culture under stress (-CO₂)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein name</th>
<th>MW (Da)</th>
<th>Accession number</th>
<th>Number of peptides (spectra)</th>
<th>Δhx</th>
<th>Δgra5::gra5</th>
<th>Δgra5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dense granule protein DG32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>TGME49_297880</td>
<td>2(2) 1(1)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* The list of protein candidates (ToxoDB.org) was sorted using the semi-quantitative analysis method: proteins which had more than 3 peptides mapped in the PRUΔgra5 strain and more than a 4-fold-increased detection in PRUΔgra5 over PRUΔhx and PRUΔgra5::gra5 were retained.

*b* The number of peptides (number of spectra) in each sample was detected by mass spectrometry.

*NA, no count detected in PRUΔgra5::gra5 or PRUΔhx*
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Toxoplasma gondii infects all warm-blooded animals (including humans) causing toxoplasmosis, a lifelong chronic infection. At the first contact with the parasite, the host develops an inflammatory response necessary to control parasite replication. However, during this acute phase of infection, T. gondii disseminates readily into the whole infected host and rapidly crosses the blood-brain barrier. Together with muscles, the eyes and the testicles, the brain constitutes one of the preferred organs in which the parasite differentiates and forms intracellular cysts, where T. gondii safely persists during the entire life of the infected organism or individual.

Rodents (mice and rats) represent the most commonly used models to study the chronic infection in vivo. In these sensitive animals the parasite dissemination that follows an intraperitoneal injection is rapid and the parasite invades the brain 8 to 10 days post-infection (Saeij et al., 2005). However, it takes 4 to 8 weeks to observe well-developed brain cysts. The quantification of brain cysts is an important parameter to reveal the ability of a specific T. gondii strain to form cysts in vivo. Since the quantification of cysts from an entire infected brain is not an easy task, the routine technique consists in counting the cysts from a brain section only (usually 1/10th of a rat brain or ¼th of a mouse brain), leading to an estimation- rather than a real cyst quantification- in the infected brain. To improve the precision of these counts, a previous study from our laboratory (Aldebert et al., 2011) had allowed the development of a method for the scanning an entire infected brain and the automatic counting of all the cysts observed in the brain. This epifluorescence-based microscopy technique allowed faster analysis of the infected brain and a more accurate determination of the cyst number.

During my PhD, I revised the protocol developed by Aldebert et al. (2011) in order to improve the labelling of these brain cysts, while reducing the amount of brain material lost during the preparation of the brain material. The revised protocol is briefly described below.

The brains of infected mice are homogenized to be further treated with proteinase K (PK) in order to digest the excess of brain tissue, thus increasing the fluidity of the suspension. The homogenates are incubated with Dolichos biflorus lectin conjugated to FITC. This lectin does recognize the CST1 glycoprotein, which is a component of the cyst wall. The fluorescently labelled brains are plated into 6 well-plates (1 brain per plate), and analyzed by high content screening microscopy (Scan^R Olympus). Images of the entire surface of each well are acquired in order to count the total number of cysts present in each brain.

The published “Bio-protocol” describes in details all the reagents and material required to set up the experiment as well as the sequential steps, from the brain collection to the cyst numbering. This method was validated using 8 week-infected mice and rats.
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[Abstract] Toxoplasmosis caused by the intracellular parasite Toxoplasma gondii, is characterized by a life-long chronic infection. The parasite is an efficient neurotropic infectious agent that establishes its “safe” life by forming intracellular cysts in chronically infected animals and humans. This protocol describes the specific recipes and method to stain brain cysts from infected mice and rats for further quantification using epifluorescence microscopy. This method provides the possibility to scan the entire brain and thus to numerate all cysts.

Materials and Reagents

1. Human foreskin fibroblasts (HFFs) cells culture (ATTC, catalog number: SCRC-1042)
2. Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) (Life Technologies, catalog number: 41966-029/052)
3. Fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Eurobio\(^\text{®}\), catalog number: CVFSVF0001)
4. Penicillin/streptomycin (PAN Biotech GmbH, catalog number: P0607-100)
5. L-Glutamine 200mM (Life Technologies, catalog number: 25030-024)
6. Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS) (Life Technologies, catalog number: 14190-094/069)
7. Proteinase K (molecular biology grade) (Biolab, catalog number: P8107S, Lot: 0051310)
8. TRIS (Euromedex, catalog number: 26-128-3094-B)
9. EDTA (Euromedex, catalog number: E013)
10. SDS (Euromedex, catalog number: EU0660)
11. NaCl (Euromedex, catalog number: 1112-A)
12. HCl (ACS reagent 37%, Sigma-Aldrich, catalog number: 258148-2.5ML)
13. Phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride (PMSF) (EUROMEDEX, catalog number: 1111-C)
14. Hoescht 33258 (Life Technologies, Molecular Probes\(^\text{®}\), catalog number: H-3569)
15. Formaldehyde Methanol free (Polysciences, catalog number: 04018)
16. Dolichos biflorus lectin coupled to fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) (Clinisciences, catalog number: FL-1031)
17. Complete DMEM medium (see Recipes)
18. Phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride (PMSF) solution (see Recipes)
19. Lysis buffer (see Recipes)

**Equipment**

1. 37°C/5% CO₂ cell culture incubator
2. 6-well plates
3. Scissors and forceps
4. Glass homogenizers (Potter-Elvehjem PTFE, 15 ml, Dutscher, catalog numbers: 057009 and 057021)
5. 15 ml polystyrene tubes
6. Epifluorescence microscope

**Procedure**

A. Preparation of Human Foreskin Fibroblasts cell culture in 6-well plates
   Plate HFFs cells in complete DMEM medium and culture them for 4 days at 37°C in presence of CO₂. HFFs have to be at 100% confluence in each well (8.10⁵ cells) before staining.

B. Staining of HFFs
   1. Wash twice the cells culture with 1ml DPBS 1x.
   2. Fix cells with 1 ml formaldehyde diluted at 2.5% in DPBS 1x for 20 min at 4°C.
   3. Incubate 20 min, in the dark and at RT, the cells with 1 ml of Hoescht diluted 1/50,000 in DPBS 1x.
   4. Wash twice the cells culture with 1ml DPBS 1x.
   5. Add 1 ml DPBS 1x.
   6. Stored at 4 °C until addition of the stained homogenized brain.
   *Note: The stained cells are stored at 4°C for a maximum of 24h.*

C. Isolation of brains from infected mice or rats
   1. Anesthesize animal with isofluoran and euthanize it by cervical dislocation.
   2. Soak the head with 70% (v/v) ethanol.
   3. Cut the skin at the base of skull and remove it as much as possible.
   4. Cut with scissors the dorsal and lateral part of the skull and take the top off.
   5. Collect the brain tissue in 5 ml DPBS 1x solution.

D. Brains homogenization
   Put each mouse brain in 2 ml DPBS 1x into a glass homogenizer, homogenize at RT and adjust final volume to 4 ml. For rat brain, homogenize in 4 ml and adjust to 8 ml final.
   *Note: Brains can be stored at 4 °C until the staining for no more than 2 days.*

E. Brains staining
1. Prepare 5x lysis buffer and proteinase K at 8 U/ml (stock at 800 U/ml, 1/100 dilution in 5x lysis buffer just before use).

2. For mice, take 1 ml of homogenized brain (¼ brain), add 398 µl of 5x lysis buffer, 2 µl of proteinase K (see step E-1, so final concentration at 0.008 U/ml) and 600 µl of 1x DPBS (final volume of 2 ml).
   For rats, take 2 ml of homogenized brain (¼ brain), add 995 µl of 5x lysis buffer, 5 µl of proteinase K (see step E-1, so final concentration at 0.008 U/ml) and 2 ml of 1x DPBS (final volume of 5 ml).

3. Incubate at 56 °C for 15 min, homogenize every 5 min by vortexing.

4. Stop the proteinase K activity by adding PMSF to a final concentration of 2 mM (stock at 200 mM), homogenize and incubate at RT for 5 min.

5. Centrifuge the sample for 15 min at 1,250 x g (RT).

6. Gently eliminate the supernatant by pipetting.

7. Resuspend in 1 ml of *Dolichos biflorus* lectin diluted at 1/250 in DPBS 1x (996 µl 1x DPBS + 4 µl of *Dolichos biflorus* lectin).

8. Incubate 30 min at RT in a mechanical wheel placed in the dark.

9. Add 3 ml DPBS 1x and homogenize to wash the sample.

10. Centrifuge 15 min at 1,250 x g (RT).

11. Gently eliminate the supernatant by pipetting.

12. Resuspend each sample in 1 ml in DPBS 1x and transfer onto Hoescht-stained HFFs (see part A and B of the procedure) by pipetting (1 ml/well).

   *Note: it is important to transfer the homogenized brain pellet onto HFF cells to facilitate microscope focus when there are no or very few cysts.*

F. Count the cysts using epifluorescence microscopy (Representative data).

   *Note: Samples can be stored at 4 °C until microscope observation for no more than 10 days.*

   *To know the cysts quantity in entire brain, multiply the counted number by 4.*
Representative data

A)  
B)  
C)  

Representative cysts staining from uninfected and infected CBA mice brain with *Toxoplasma gondii* parasites. Two months after i.p. infection of CBA mice with 1,000 ΔKu80-ΔHXGPRT (PRU) tachyzoites, brains were collected, homogenized in 4 mL of PBS and ¼ of each brain suspension was used for the labeling of cyst walls with the *D. biflorus*-FITC lectin and analyzed by high content screening microscopy (Scan^R Olympus). A) Photo (4X objective) of a CBA infected mouse brain. Three stained cysts are present in this field. B) Uninfected CBA mouse brain (4X objective) no cysts are visible but only brain debris. C) Representative panel of stained cysts obtained from infected CBA mouse and detected by (4X objective) microscopy. Cysts are zoomed to detect potential false positive as in A6.

Recipes

1. Complete DMEM medium
   - 10% (v/v) FBS
   - 0.5% (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin
   - 2 mM glutamine

2. Phenylmethysulfonylfluoride (PMSF) solution (stock concentration of 200mM)
   - 0.35 g of PMSF powder to dissolve in 10 ml of isopropanol
   - Stored at -20 °C in 1 ml aliquots
   *NB: PMSF will take some time to dissolve.*

3. Lysis buffer (500 ml)
   - 10 M TRIS: 2.5 ml of 2 M TRIS at pH 8.0 (60 g in 250 ml H₂O, adjust pH)
   - 1 mM EDTA: 2 ml of 250 mM EDTA at pH 8.0 (23.27 g in 250 ml H₂O, adjust pH)
   - 0.2% (w/v) SDS: 5 ml of 20% (w/v) SDS
   - 100 mM NaCl (1.165 g)
   - Up to 500 ml H₂O
   *NB: The 8.0 pH is adjusted using HCl 3M. (HCl 3M: 25ml HCl 37% added to 75ml of H₂O)*
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CONCLUSION-PERSPECTIVES
Conclusions

Together, these results show a novel role for GRA5 during the parasitophorous vacuole differentiation in vitro in regulating host cell organelles around the PVM. GRA5 is not involved in tachyzoite invasion or replication. It is instead crucial to maintain the PVM stability, ensuring parasite survival via nutrients’ acquisition and cyst differentiation. Here, we demonstrated that the depletion in GRA5 in the cyst-forming type II Prugniaud strain led to PVM destabilization, which subsequently induced an earlier but incomplete cyst wall differentiation. The depletion in GRA5 was correlated with the absence of MAG1 in the vacuole. MAG1 is one of the components of the cyst wall. It was also shown to accumulate at the PVM during the cyst wall differentiation in vitro (Lane et al., 1996). In our proteomic analyses, MAG1 was found associated with the loss of PVM-HER association and the loss of the TgPDI vacuolar protein, which may be involved in the maintenance of a stable PVM by its redox functions.

The HER represents an important source of nutrients, particularly during parasite differentiation, during which energy is required. This request results in the recruitment of host mitochondria, independently on MAF1. Host microtubules, which are rearranged in dense arrays around the PVM could help in this recruitment. Alternatively, the higher density of host microtubules around the PVM could reinforce the structural integrity of the PV/cyst-like structure.

Together, these phenotypes described for PRUΔgra5 demonstrate that molecular adaptation of the parasitophorous vacuole is crucial for the cyst formation, suggesting a pivotal role of GRAS in the biogenesis and maintenance of brain cysts in vivo.
Perspectives

To validate the proposed model, several experiments need to be further undertaken, as follows.

1/ My experiments revealed a higher level of spontaneous cyst wall differentiation in PRUΔgra5, suggesting a loss of PVM stability during the acute infection. To confirm that the PVM destabilization induces the recruitment of host mitochondria and the reorganization of the host cytoskeleton even in absence of any external stress, new immunofluorescence assays and image analyses will be performed in infected cells after 36 h of culture at 37°C and 5% of CO₂.

2/ We have confirmed that HMA is not dependent on MAF1 in type II parasites, suggesting the involvement of another mechanism, such as reorganization of the host cytoskeleton. Treatment of infected cells with nocodazole, which would induce depolymerization of host microtubules, or taxol, which would stabilize host microtubules, could be performed to validate this hypothesis.

3/ Using a proteomic approach, we discovered the absence of MAG1 in the vacuoles of PRUΔgra5 parasites, suggesting a possible interaction between MAG1 and GRA5. A previous two hybrid study in yeast described GRA5, together with GRA3, as possible effectors to mediate the binding of the host ER to the PVM via their binding to the host ER integral membrane protein CALMG. Subcellular-fractionation of infected cells, followed by immunoprecipitation of GRA5, and identification of its potential partners by mass spectrometry will be performed to investigate this hypothesis.

4/ Here, we described the structural role of GRA5 in cyst wall development during in vitro differentiation. In this model no cytokines nor host immune response were present to modify the activity of the infected cells. To confirm that GRA5 is also involved in in vivo cyst development and maintenance, we recently infected CBA mice, which are sensitive to T. gondii infection to follow the formation of brain cysts 4 and 8 weeks post-infection. The differences, if any, between the parental-, the GRAS5 KO mutant, and the complemented strains will be important to conclude about the ability of PRUΔgra5 parasites to form cysts. Furthermore, differences in the number of cysts between different time points will help us understand the kinetics of cyst formation and maintenance in vivo.

On a longer term, investigations on the role of TgPDI could provide information on the redox process and whether it is necessary to maintain the intravacuolar homeostasis, and if it is involved in the association of the host ER with the PV.
Finally, another aspect that requires more attention is the possible difference in the behaviors of GRA5\textsubscript{I} and GRA5\textsubscript{II}. Figure\textbf{30} shows the GRA5 polymorphism between the different Toxoplasma strains. Although GRA5\textsubscript{I}, GRA5\textsubscript{II} and GRA5\textsubscript{III} share high levels of similarity (100% of similarity in their signal peptide and their transmembrane domain), different functions cannot be excluded. Complementation of the PRU\textDelta{gra5} strain with GRA5\textsubscript{I} (and \textit{vice versa}) could be performed to investigate whether this polymorphism could change the protein functions.
### Figure 30. Alignment of the GRA5 types I, II, III amino acid sequences

Alignment of the GRA5 amino acid sequences from various *T. gondii* strains, namely RH (type I), PRU and 76K (type II), C and C56 (type III).

The protein of 120 amino acid shows a high level of similarity between the different strains. The signal peptide is underlined in brown, while the transmembrane domain is underlined in dark red. The arrow indicates the potential cleavage site of the signal peptide.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>RH:</th>
<th>76K:</th>
<th>Prugniaud:</th>
<th>C:</th>
<th>C56:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>MASVKRVVAVMIVNVLALIFVGAGVRDVGSGGDSEGARGRE</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>A-------G---</td>
<td>A-------G---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>RSLFERGRAAVTGHPVRTAVGLAAAVVAVVSSL</td>
<td>G---S--------</td>
<td>G---S---------</td>
<td>R-------------------</td>
<td>R-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>QQQVQQHEQNEDRSLFERGRAAVTGHPVRTAVGLAAAVVAVVSSL</td>
<td>G---S--------</td>
<td>G---S---------</td>
<td>R-------------------</td>
<td>R-------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>RH:</th>
<th>76K:</th>
<th>Prugniaud:</th>
<th>C:</th>
<th>C56:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>RLLKRRRAIQEESKESATAEEEVAEEE 120aa</td>
<td>R-------------------</td>
<td>R-------------------</td>
<td>R-------------------</td>
<td>R-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>G---R----------------------</td>
<td>120aa</td>
<td>120aa</td>
<td>120aa</td>
<td>120aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>G---R----------------------</td>
<td>120aa</td>
<td>120aa</td>
<td>120aa</td>
<td>120aa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Résumé en français

Toxoplasma gondii : approches moléculaires (analyse de mutants « knocked-out ») pour l’étude de la fonction de protéines de granules denses dans l’interaction hôte-parasite

Toxoplasma gondii est un parasite appartenant au phylum des Apicomplexa. Il est responsable de la toxoplasmose, une infection chronique qui touche plus d’1/3 de la population mondiale. Contrairement aux autres Apicomplexa, T. gondii est capable d’infecter tous les animaux à sang chaud (carnivores, herbivores et les oiseaux, l’homme compris), qui constituent les hôtes intermédiaires chez lesquels se déroule le cycle asexué du parasite. Chez les hôtes définitifs, le chat et les autres félinidés, le parasite peut développer son cycle vital complet, sexué et asexué. À l’exception des infections congénitales, la transmission du parasite se fait par voie orale, suite à l’ingestion de viande crue ou peu cuite, de légumes ou d’eau contaminés par les formes de résistance du parasite. Ces formes infectieuses comprennent i) les bradyzoïtes, stade quiescent ou à prolifération lente, se développant dans des structures kystiques et ii) les sporozoïtes présents dans les oocystes émis dans les féces des félinidés. L’ingestion de kystes ou d’oocystes aboutit à la libération de formes infectieuses et à leur transformation en tachyzoïtes, stade parasitaire à prolifération rapide, capable de disséminer dans l’organisme et d’infecter tous les types cellulaires. Lors des premières semaines de l’infection (infection aiguë), les tachyzoïtes sont éliminés par la réponse immunitaire innée de l’hôte mais certains y échappent et s’enkystent dans le cerveau et dans les tissus musculaires. Cette infection chronique persiste à vie chez l’individu et est corrélée au développement d’une protection à la réinfection. Chez les sujets immunocompétents, la toxoplasmose est généralement asymptomatique. Il existe 3 situations au cours desquelles la toxoplasmose peut être mortelle. Chez les sujets immunodéficients, la réactivation des kystes cérébraux peut être fatale, si elle n’est pas traitée rapidement. L’infection d’une femme non immunisée pendant le 1er trimestre de sa grossesse peut conduire à une infection congénitale se traduisant par des troubles neurologiques très graves, voire la mort du fœtus in utero. Enfin, il existe, principalement en Amérique du Sud, des souches hyper-virulentes qui génèrent des toxoplasmoses mortelles, même chez les sujets immunocompétents. Des traitements ne sont administrés qu’aux patients immunodéficients, aux femmes enceintes et aux enfants atteints de toxoplasmose congénitale. Ces traitements ciblent la réplication des tachyzoïtes et leur passage trans-placentaire. Malheureusement, il n’existe actuellement aucun traitement efficace permettant l’élimination des kystes. De même, il n’existe pas de vaccin administrable aux humains. T. gondii est un parasite à développement intracellulaire obligatoire. Le tachyzoïte pénètre dans la cellule hôte en formant une vacuole parasitophore (VP), compartiment qui le protège des attaques du système endo-lysosomal, tout en lui permettant d’interagir avec la cellule pour la contrôler et acquérir des nutriments. Dans les situations de stress pour le parasite, comme lors de la mise en place de la réponse immunitaire, le tachyzoïte se transforme en bradyzoïte. Parallèlement, la VP se modifie en kyste intracellulaire qui est formé i) d’une paroi rigide composée par deux membranes, une externe et compacte et l’autre interne et spongieuse, en continuité avec ii) une matrice contenant des filaments et des vésicules qui connectent les parasites entre eux et à la paroi du kyste (Lemgrüber et al., 2011). Les mécanismes de différenciation du tachyzoïte en bradyzoïte et de la VP en kyste sont encore peu connus. Des travaux ont montré l’importance des protéines de granules denses (GRA) en tant que constituants de la VP, dans la formation de la paroi kystique et dans l’interaction avec la cellule hôte.
Les protéines GRA sont stockées dans les granules denses, organites de sécrétion spécifiques des parasites Apicomplexa formant des kystes. Au moment de l’infection de la cellule hôte, les GRA sont sécrétées dans la VP, où elles contribuent à rendre ce compartiment métaboliquement actif (Mercier and Cesbron-Delauw 2015). À ce jour, plus d’une vingtaine de protéines GRA ont été identifiées. La plupart d’entre elles possède un domaine hydrophobe transmembranaire impliqué dans leur association i) avec la membrane délimitant la VP (GRA3, GRA5, GRA7, GRA8, GRA10, GRA14, GRA17, GRA19, GRA20, GRA21, GRA22, GRA23, MAF1), ii) aux membranes du réseau de nanotubes intra-vacuolaire (GRA2, GRA4, GRA6, GRA9, GRA12) et iii) à des structures intra-vacuolaires, formées par les microtubules de la cellule hôte et appelées HOSTs (host sequestering tubulostructures) (GRA7). D’autres protéines GRA sont sous forme soluble à l’intérieur de l’espace vacuolaire (GRA1, GRA38, GRA39, GRA40) (Mercier and Cesbron-Delauw, 2015; Gold et al., 2015; Nadipuram et al., 2016; Pernas et al., 2014). Ces structures vacuolaires jouent un rôle important dans le maintien et l’acquisition des métabolites de la cellule-hôte. GRA7, qui est présente au niveau des HOSTs, est impliquée dans l’acquisition d’organites endocytaires sources de lipides et de cholestérol (Coppens et al., 2006; Romano et al., 2014), tandis que GRA17 et GRA23 ont un rôle dans le transport actif de petites molécules du cytosol à l’intérieur de la VP (Gold et al., 2015).

Le kyste est le résultat de la maturation de la VP : les protéines GRA de la membrane délimitant la VP restent associées à la membrane externe de la paroi kystique (GRA3, GRA5 and GRA7), tandis que les protéines GRA associées aux nanotubes intravacuolaires constituent la couche interne (GRA2 and GRA6). GRA1, qui est soluble dans la vacuole, reste dans la matrice du kyste (Torpier et al., 1993; Ferguson, 2004; Lemgrüber et al., 2011; Mercier and Delauw 2012; Mercier and Cesbron-Delauw, 2015). En plus de leur rôle structural dans la VP et la paroi kystique, les protéines GRA interviennent dans le contrôle de la cellule hôte. Au cours de l’infection aiguë, GRA6 intervient dans l’activation de la réponse immunitaire adaptative (Blanchard et al., 2008) et plus récemment, elle a été montrée comme étant responsable de l’activation du facteur de transcription NFAT4 (nuclear factor of activated T cells 4) (Ma, et al., 2014). GRA5 induit la migration des cellules dendritiques humaines, tandis que GRA15, GRA16, GRA24 et la protéine TgIST (inhibitor of signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (STAT1)-dependent transcription) sont détectées dans le noyau de la cellule hôte où elles jouent un rôle dans la modification de la réponse cellulaire (Rosowski et al., 2011; Bougdour et al., 2013; Braun et al., 2013; Olias et al., 2016; Gay et al., 2016).

Les interactions établies entre les protéines GRA et les organites de la cellule-hôte ainsi que son cytosquelette, et les fonctions des protéines GRA au stade chronique sont encore peu étudiées. Les études fonctionnelles des GRA basées sur l’étude de parasites invalidés pour un ou plusieurs gènes gra ont récemment connu un essor, suite à la construction de parasites invalidés pour le gène ku80, chez lesquels la double recombinaison homologue à un locus donné est optimisée, tant que ce locus n’est pas essentiel. À ce jour, ces études ont été menées principalement au stade tachyzoïte, en utilisant la souche parasitaire de type I (RH) très virulente et incapable de développer une infection latente chez la souris.

Pour mieux comprendre le rôle des protéines GRA dans l’invasion, la prolifération et la virulence du parasite T. gondii, j’ai participé à une étude réalisée en collaboration avec une équipe américaine (L. Rommerein and D. Bzik, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Lebanon, USA). Les résultats de ce travail ont été récemment publiés (Rommerein*, Bellini* et al., 2016 (*, co-auteurs)). Cette étude concerne l’analyse de 8 souches parasitaires de type I (RH) invalidées pour un seul gène gra (souches
\(\Delta{gra2}\text{-}\Delta{gra9}\) et de 5 souches invalidées de deux gènes \(gra\) (\(\Delta{gra2}\Delta{gra4}\); \(\Delta{gra2}\Delta{gra6}\); \(\Delta{gra4}\Delta{gra6}\); \(\Delta{gra3}\Delta{gra5}\); \(\Delta{gra3}\Delta{gra7}\)). Dans un premier temps, la construction des parasites « knocked-out » (KO) par l’équipe américaine a montré le rôle essentiel des protéines GRA1 et GRA10, pour lesquelles le développement des souches mutantes n’a pas été possible. \textit{Via} des études \textit{in vitro}, les parasites KO GRA ont ensuite été analysés pour leur capacité d’invasion et de prolifération. Les premiers résultats n’avaient pas montré des différences significatives entre les souches KO pour une seule protéine GRA. Une méthode d’immunofluorescence à haut débit, plus sensible, a été réalisée dans notre laboratoire. Cette approche automatisée a permis d’analyser plus de 5000 VPs réparties sur toute la surface des échantillons, en utilisant le logiciel ScanR. Ces expériences ont montré que l’invasion des souches \(\Delta{gra2}, \Delta{gra4}, \Delta{gra5}, \Delta{gra6}, \Delta{gra7}\) et \(\Delta{gra9}\) diminue par rapport celle de la souche parentale. Ces expériences ont aussi confirmé que l’invalidation d’un seul gène \(gra\) n’induisait pas de modification de la capacité de prolifération des parasites type I. L’analyse des doubles KO GRA a révélé une diminution significative du taux de prolifération des souches \(\Delta{gra4}\Delta{gra6}, \Delta{gra3}\Delta{gra5}\) et \(\Delta{gra3}\Delta{gra7}\), ce qui suggère un rôle redondant de ces protéines GRA. De plus, une analyse en microscopie électronique des vacuoles parasitaires a confirmé l’importance structurale des protéines GRA2 et GRA6 dans la formation du réseau intravacuolaire. Comme publié précédemment (Mercier \textit{et al.}, 2002), une déstabilisation du réseau tubulaire en vésicules (\(\Delta{gra2}\)) ou en matériel agrégé (\(\Delta{gra6}\)) a en effet été observée. Par contre, une hyper-formation du réseau intravacuolaire a été observée dans les vacuoles des parasites \(\Delta{gra7}\), ce qui suggère une collaboration entre les protéines GRA2, GRA6 et GRA7 pour le maintien d’un réseau stable et structuré. Enfin, une étude \textit{in vivo} a été effectuée pour analyser la virulence des différentes souches \(gra\). Contrairement aux travaux précédemment publiés qui montraient une diminution de la virulence des parasites \(\Delta{gra2}, \Delta{gra6}\) et \(\Delta{gra7}\) (Mercier \textit{et al.}, 1998; Alagan \textit{et al.}, 2014; Shastri \textit{et al.}, 2014), notre étude n’a pas révélé de différences significatives entre les souches parasitaires sauvage et invalidées, l’infection des souris conduisant à leur mort en 10 jours environ. Cette différence avec les résultats publiés était probablement due aux différences dans les quantités de parasites injectés et les lieux d’injection. L’ensemble de ces résultats a montré que les protéines GRA2-GRA9, seules, n’ont pas une influence importante pendant l’infection aiguë par le parasite de type I mais que, en complexe, ces protéines peuvent être nécessaires et jouer des rôles redondants pour un développement normal de la vacuole et la réplication du parasite.

Une seule étude concerne la souche de type II (\textit{Prugniaud}) qui, par sa capacité à former des kystes intracérébraux, permet de générier un cycle parasitaire asexué complet. Cette souche représente donc un bon modèle pour l’étude du rôle des protéines GRA dans la différenciation de la VP. En utilisant un modèle \textit{in vitro} d’induction de la différenciation de parasites \textit{Prugniaud} type II (\textit{PRU\_ku80\_hx\_gra5::hxgprt}), mon projet principal de thèse visait à caractériser les fonctions de GRAS à différents phases du cycle biologique de \textit{T. gondii}, notamment lors du développement des kystes. La protéine GRAS, stockée dans les granules denses du parasite, est d’abord secrétée sous forme soluble à l’intérieur de la vacuole pour être ensuite dirigée à la membrane délimitant la VP, avec son domaine N-terminal exposé dans le cytosol de la cellule hôte (Lecordier \textit{et al.}, 1999). Sa position à la membrane vacuolaire lors de l’infection aiguë et à la membrane externe de la paroi kystique lors de l’infection chronique (Lane \textit{et al.}, 1996), en plus de son implication dans la migration des cellules dendritiques humaines (Persat \textit{et al.}, 2012), étaient des éléments suggérant un rôle possible de GRA5 dans la kystogenèse \textit{via} des interactions de la protéine avec la cellule hôte.
Dans le précédent travail (Rommerein et al., 2016), nous avions montré que l’invalidation du gène *gra5* n’impacte pas la prolifération des parasites type I (RH) mais, qu’en association avec GRA3, GRA5 peut avoir un rôle dans la réplication parasitaire. L’étude d’un mutant délété de *gra5* dans une souche kystogène de type II m’a permis i) de découvrir une nouvelle fonction structurale de GRA5 dans le maintien de l’intégrité de la membrane de la VP et ii) de montrer que GRA5 est nécessaire à la formation de la paroi du kyste. Plus précisément, nos résultats ont montré que GRA5 n’est pas impliquée dans l’infection et la prolifération des parasites de type II. Par contre, les parasites PRUΔgra5 ont une différenciation plus précoce de la paroi de leurs kystes que les souches sauvages et complémentées. Visible après 36 h d’infection, cette différenciation plus précoce est maintenue suite à l’application d’un stress alcalin induisant la kystogenèse. L’analyse ultrastructurale et en microscopie confocale de cultures parasitaires sous stress a révélé une formation incomplète de la paroi du kyste associée à des modifications dans l’interaction du kyste en formation avec les organites de la cellule hôte. Alors que la VP de la souche parentale présente un contour régulier associé au reticulum endoplasmique (RE) de la cellule hôte, la VP formée par les parasites PRUΔgra5 perd cette association, en recrutant les mitochondries et les microtubules de la cellule hôte au niveau de la membrane délimitant la VP.

Le recrutement des mitochondries de l’hôte au niveau de la membrane vacuolaire est un phénomène décrit pour le parasite *T. gondii* comme dépendant de l’expression d’une protéine « GRA-like » appelée MAF1 (mitochondrial associated factor 1) (Pernas et al., 2014). Ce phénomène semble spécifique des souches de types I et III qui expriment majoritairement la forme MAF1b1 responsable de cette interaction (Adomako-Ankomah et al., 2016). Le recrutement des mitochondries de l’hôte autour de la VP décrit dans notre étude, dans le cas des souches de type II, n’est pas lié à MAF1b1 mais est la conséquence de la délétion de *gra5* par un mécanisme qu’il reste à définir.

La réorganisation du cytosquelette de la cellule hôte autour de la VP est un autre phénomène connu et décrit pour le parasites de type I. Cette réorganisation qui apparaît 1 h après infection, est importante pour le positionnement de la vacuole contre le noyau de la cellule hôte et du centre d’organisation des microtubules (COMT) (Walker et al., 2008). Un autre organite de l’hôte décrit pour être fortement associé à la VP est le RE qui, avec les mitochondries, représente une source de nutriments pour les parasites intra-vacuolaires. Dans le cas de *T. gondii*, les protéines GRA3 et GRA5 ont été précédemment caractérisées comme des facteurs possibles d’interaction avec le RE, via leur lien avec la calmoduline (CAMLG), une protéine intégrale de la membrane du RE (Ahn et al., 2006). Même si cette hypothèse reste à être confirmée *in cellulo*, nos résultats montrent que la déplétion en GRA5 entraîne une diminution de l’association du RE de l’hôte à la VP, ce qui suggère que cette interaction pourrait être fonctionnelle.

Des analyses protéomiques différencielles de cellules infectées par les souches PRUΔgra5, parentale et complémentée en différenciation ont ensuite montré que la délétion de *gra5* entraîne, au niveau de la VP, la perte d’expression d’autres composants de la paroi en différenciation tels que TgMAG1, et des protéines impliquées dans le processus d’oxydo-réduction, comme la Protéine Disulfure Isomérase (TgPDI). MAG1 est une protéine abondante de la paroi kystique et du stade bradyzoïte (Pamley et al., 1994). Elle est aussi sécrétée dans VP (Fergurson et al., 2002). L’absence de MAG1 dans des vacuoles Δgra5 peut donc être liée à une interaction possible entre les deux protéines nécessaires pour la stabilisation et la différenciation de la membrane vacuolaire en paroi kystique. L’autre protéine candidate potentiellement impliquée dans le maintien d’une membrane vacuolaire est TgPDI, précédemment caractérisée pendant le processus d’invasion (Moncada et al., 2016). Cette
enzyme, qui est capable de réduire des ponts disulfures, pourrait activer des intégrines de la membrane cellulaire de l’hôte, en permettant l’adhésion du parasite (Stolf et al., 2011). Toutefois, PDI a aussi une activité de chaperone. Par sa capacité à former et réduire des ponts disulfures, elle est impliquée dans le repliement des protéines. Toutes ces caractéristiques, en plus de sa localisation et de la présence d’un peptide signal, suggèrent que TgPDI pourrait être sécrétée dans la VP afin d’assurer la formation des protéines et le maintien d’une membre vacuolaire stable. De plus, PDI possède aussi un domaine C-terminal KDEL (Moncada et al., 2016) qui pourrait interagir avec le RE de l’hôte. L’absence de cette protéine, en plus de celle de GRA5 au niveau de la VP, pourrait être impliquée dans la diminution des interactions entre la VP et le RE de l’hôte. L’analyse protéomique de la réponse des cellules hôtes infectées par le mutant PRUΔgra5 a révélé une augmentation de l’expression des protéines impliquées dans des fonctions d’adhésion cellulaire, d’organisation du cytosquelette et de modification de l’ADN stress dépendante. Par contraste, après infection par les souches parentale et complémentée, les protéines les plus représentées sont impliquées dans des processus métaboliques et/ou de protéolyse. L’ensemble de ces résultats montrent que l’adaptation moléculaire de la VP est essentielle pour assurer 1/ les échanges avec le cytoplasme de la cellule hôte, qui est source de métabolites, 2/ l’homéostasie vacuolaire, 3/ la formation d’un kyste stable. Cette étude a donc permis de comprendre l’importance de GRA5 dans le maintien de cette adaptation, ses interactions possibles avec d’autres composants parasitaires au niveau de la membrane vacuolaire et de la paroi kystique, ainsi qu’avec le RE de la cellule hôte (de façon directe ou indirecte), toutes ces interactions permettant d’envisager un rôle essentiel de GRA5 dans la biogenèse et le maintien des kystes intracérébraux pendant une infection in vivo.

Pendant mon projet de thèse j’ai aussi eu la possibilité de participer à la mise au point d’un protocole de marquage des kystes intracérébraux, ce marquage étant nécessaire pour l’analyse des kystes formés in vivo. Une méthode développée au laboratoire (Aldebert et al., 2011) et basée sur le marquage immunofluorescent des kystes présents dans un cerveau infecté, permet d’analyser l’ensemble du cerveau, donc d’obtenir une quantification assez précise des kystes ex vivo, ce qui est un avantage certain par rapport aux techniques classiques basées sur une estimation de ce nombre de kystes, en comptant les kystes à partir d’une partie du cerveau (1/10 ou ¼). Ma contribution au développement de ce nouveau protocole a permis d’améliorer les conditions de détection des kystes dans le cerveau, tout en réduisant la perte d’échantillons lors des étapes du protocole. Le « bio-protocol » qui a été récemment publié (Bellini et al., 2015) décrit en détail, toutes les phases du prélèvement des cerveaux, de leur marquage, de l’analyse et du comptage des kystes, étapes validées sur des modèles de souris (8 semaines) et de rats infectés.

Ma thèse présente donc 3 chapitres de résultats qui décrivent le rôle de certaines protéines GRA pendant la phase aigüe de l’infection parasitaire (souches de type I) et le rôle de GRA5 dans des souches de type II. Nous avons ainsi montré l’importance de cette protéine dans la formation d’un kyste stable, ce qui est nécessaire au maintien et à la transmission du parasite in vivo. Un point commun de ces travaux est le développement des nouvelles méthodologies : construction de souches KO pour les protéines GRA, analyses automatisées pour la quantification de différents phénotypes (invasion, prolifération, interaction avec les organites de la cellule hôte, énumération des kystes ex vivo). Ces approches plus sensibles et plus spécifiques que les méthodes classiques permettent donc des résultats plus fiables.
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SUMMARY

Toxoplasmosis, which is caused by the intracellular parasite *Toxoplasma gondii* is characterized by a life-long chronic infection. The parasites replicate inside a parasitophorous vacuole (PV), which evolves into a persistent cyst. The molecular mechanisms governing the differentiation process are poorly characterized. It is known that the dense granules proteins (GRA) are major components of both the PV and the cyst wall, enabling their interaction with the host cells. Using a *Prugniaud* cystogenic type II strain in which the *gra5* gene was invalidated and a combination of cellular and proteomic approaches, we discovered that GRA5 regulates (i) the molecular content of the PV, (ii) the PV interaction with the host endoplasmic reticulum and (iii) host cell homeostasis necessary to ensure the formation of a stable cyst wall.
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RESUME

*Toxoplasma gondii* est un parasite intracellulaire responsable de la toxoplasmose. Lors de l’infection aiguë, le parasite se divise dans une vacuole parasitophore (VP), compartiment d’interactions avec la cellule hôte. La VP se modifie pour former un kyste qui persiste au cours de la phase chronique. Le rôle des protéines de granules denses (GRA) dans ce processus est suggéré par leur abondance dans la VP et la paroi kystique. Par des approches de génétique inverse et de protéomique, le but de ce travail visait à préciser au plan moléculaire, le rôle de la protéine GRAS5. En utilisant un modèle de différenciation parasitaire *in vitro*, l’étude des phénotypes d’un mutant invalidé du gène *gra5* a permis de découvrir son rôle clé dans la formation des kystes par le maintien 1/ de l’intégrité de la membrane délimitant la VP en cours de différenciation, 2/ de l’accumulation d’autres composants parasitaires dans la VP et 3/ de son interaction avec le reticulum endoplasmique de l’hôte.

Mots-clés: *Toxoplasma gondii*, protéines de granules denses, infection chronique, kyste, interaction hôte-pathogène, mutant knocked-out