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Abstract. Reactor pressure vessels are internally clad with austenitic stainless steel. This welding operation generates residual stresses which can have an important role in integrity assessments. In order to evaluate these stresses, an experimental and numerical programme has been conducted. The experiments includes cladding operations, macrographic analyses, temperature and residual stresses measurements with different methods. According to these measurements, transversal stresses (perpendicular to the welding direction) and longitudinal stresses (parallel to the welding direction) are highly tensile in stainless steel and they are compressive in the HAZ. Finite element calculations were used to simulate both welding operations and post weld heat treatment. These calculations coupled the thermal, metallurgical and mechanical aspects in a 2D representation. Different models were studied including effect of generalised plane strain, transformation plasticity, creep and tempering. The transversal stresses calculated are similar to the measured ones, but the longitudinal stresses showed to be very sensitive to the model used. As expected because of the two-dimension model, the longitudinal stresses can’t be well estimated. More work is needed to improve measurements of stresses in depth (important differences appeared between the different methods). A predictive model would be also very useful to determine the thermal loading which is at present dependant on measurements. A 3D calculation appears to be necessary to evaluate longitudinal stresses.

1. INTRODUCTION

A research programme was started in 1987 at EDF, concerning flaw assessment in nuclear reactor pressure vessels, and more particularly the assessment of cracks in and under the cladding. This required to evaluate residual stresses induced by the cladding welding operation and the following post weld heat treatment (PWHT).

With this purpose, several mock-ups in ferritic steel (SA508) were clad with one or two layers of austenitic stainless steel (A308L-A309L). Some of these mock-ups were then submitted to a PWHT. During cladding, temperature measurements were performed. After welding, macrographic analyses helped to study the heat affected zone (HAZ). Welding residual stresses were measured on a one layer mock-up using different methods: block removal, splitting and layering method (Leggatt [1]) and ring-core method (Kockelmann [2]). Residual stresses were also measured after the PWHT (Kockelmann [3]).

Using the finite element programme SYSWELD [4], we simulated the cladding of three beads in two layers and the post weld heat treatment. These calculations coupled the thermal, metallurgical and mechanical aspects of welding, e.g. Dubois [5], in a 2D representation. Different models (plane strain, generalised plane strain, transformation plasticity) have been used in mechanical calculations. To simulate the PWHT, we used either simple (thermoplastic cycle) and more complex models (tempering, creep).

2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE AND RESULTS

Blocks were clad using a submerged arc strip welding process (Fig. 1). The block was heated up to 170°C before welding and remained at this temperature between each bead. Beads are 60 mm wide, 3.5 to 4 thick and the overlap was approximately 7 mm. A309L stainless steel was used for the first layer, A308L for the second layer while the block is representative of SA508Cl3 nuclear reactor pressure vessel steel.
Several blocks were clad, each one different from the others by dimensions, number of beads and layers. One block was instrumented with 12 thermocouples and the temperatures measured were used in the numerical simulations presented hereafter. Some of these measurements are shown in Fig. 2 and table 1 in comparison with numerical results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$T_{\text{max}}$ (°C)</th>
<th>$\Delta t_{500-500°C}$ (s)</th>
<th>Depth (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calculated</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>1300*</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated</td>
<td>1222</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated</td>
<td>1109</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>1008</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Thermocouple melted

Table 1. Comparison between measured and calculated maximum temperatures and cooling rates from 800 to 500°C. Results are given for different depths under fusion line.

Using macrographics analyses of HAZ, it was possible to determine the size of HAZ and its global metallurgical structure. As the welding procedure is automatic, results were shown to be very reproducible.

Many residual stress measurements were made on these blocks but only some of them are presented. The aim of these measurements is to give the stress field in depth, from the surface to the end of the HAZ. Figures 3 and 4 present the transverse stresses (perpendicular to the welding direction) and the longitudinal stresses (parallel to the welding direction) measured on a block (510 mm long, 560 mm wide and 85 mm thick) with one layer and 9 beads. These measurements were performed by TWI using the block removal, splitting and layering method, e.g. Leggatt [1] and by MPA Stuttgart using the ring-core method, e.g. Kockelmann [2]. It can be seen that the results are somewhat different depending on the method used and the location of the measurement (3 different locations for MPA and 2 for TWI). Both longitudinal and transverse stresses have the same profile: high tensile in the weld metal, compressive in the HAZ and high tensile again at the end of the HAZ. These profiles appeared also to be always the same, whatever are the dimensions of the block, the welding parameters (width of electrode, energy of welding), the number of beads, etc. But values can be very different, depending on the measurement method used. Such differences are unfortunately very common when measuring residual stresses with different methods and are related to the hypothesis made by the methods which are not verified, to the precision inherent to the measurements and to a possible not exact reproducibility of the process and the residual stresses created.

Another mock-up with two-layer cladding was heat treated after welding in order to relieve residual stresses. Residual stresses were measured after heat treatment (Fig. 5), e.g. Kockelmann [3]. Stresses have the same profile as the ones measured in as-welded mock-ups. Values are similar, except maybe in the HAZ where stresses are higher (in absolute value) in the as-welded state. But we cannot consider that residual stresses are relieved after this heat treatment.

3. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

The numerical analysis is performed using the finite element code SYSWELD [4]. The analyse is a complete thermal, metallurgical and mechanical one. The first calculation is thermomechanically coupled. It gives temperatures and phase proportions in the structure at each instant. These results are then used as input data for the mechanical calculation. The thermal, metallurgical and mechanical steps described hereafter are repeated for the cladding of each bead. When the cladding is ended, the block is heat treated. The PWHT requires again a complete thermal, metallurgical and mechanical analysis.

The geometry used for simulations is usually a block with 3 beads and 2 layers (Fig. 6). The calculation in a 2D one, in a cross-section perpendicular to the welding direction. It assumes that a bead is deposited instantaneously.

3.1 Thermal calculation

As we do not have a model which could give temperatures fields from welding parameters, we need temperature measurements to fit with the numerical thermal loading. We decompose this loading in 3 steps:
- the weld metal and the fusion zone are heated from 170°C to 1500°C (fusion temperature) in 4 seconds.
Figure 1: Schematic representation of submerged-arc cladding

Figure 2: Measured and calculated temperatures at different depths

Figure 3: Longitudinal residual stress measurements after welding
Figure 4: Transverse residual stress measurements after welding

Figure 5: Residual stress measurements after PWHT

Figure 6: Dimensions and mesh of the calculated block
- when the weld metal is liquid, we assume that the specific heat is ten times higher than that of the 
solid. We keep this metal in this state for 29 seconds.
- we let the entire structure cooling down to 170°C, which is achieved in 2000 seconds.

This procedure is the same for each bead, but the time and maximum temperature values may change, 
depending on the layer (the second layer is welded with highest energy than the first one for metallurgical 
reasons).

We consider that thermal exchanges are mainly conductive because of the welding process 
(submerged arc) and the size of specimens, so convection phenomena is not taken into account, nor 
radiation.

3.2 Metallurgical calculation

Six different metallurgical phases are taken into account: austenite, ferrite (plus pearlite), bainite, 
tempered bainite, martensite and tempered martensite. The stainless steel stays austenitic throughout. The 
base metal starts from a mixed bainite and tempered bainite structure. During the heating, base metal at a 
temperature over $A_c1$ begins to transform into austenite. During the cooling, it transforms into different 
phases (ferrite, martensite, bainite) depending on the rate of cooling. When as-welded phases (bainite and 
martensite) are heated again at temperatures under $A_c1$, they may transform into tempered phases. These 
tempered phases are characterised by lower mechanical properties than as-welded ones.

The size of the fusion zone is determined a priori to be 0.6 mm deep. Measurements showed it was 
variable between 0 and 1.1 mm.

3.3 Mechanical calculation

The behaviour of the base metal is assumed to be perfectly plastic, while the weld metal behaves in a 
Ramberg-Osgood type hardening way. Mechanical characteristics depend on temperature and 
metallurgical phases.

The results obtained with a plane strain model will be compared to the results with a generalised plane 
strain model. The consequence of taking or not taking account of the transformation plasticity will also be 
examined.

3.4 Post weld heat treatment

The block is heated from 170°C to 610°C at a rate of 30°C/hr. It is maintained at this temperature for 8 
hours. It is then cooled down at a rate of 25°C/hr.

We consider that stresses are relieved in the following three ways:
- by plasticity because the yield stresses decrease with increasing temperature.
- by tempering hardened phases, the effect of which is the lowering of the mechanical properties and 
particularly the yield stress.
- by viscoplasticity when creep occurs.

4. NUMERICAL RESULTS

4.1 Thermal results

The evolution of temperature with time is presented in Fig. 2 for some nodes. These profiles are similar 
to the experimental ones. Two parameters are used to check the quality of the calculated temperatures 
(see table 1): the maximum value (to have the HAZ well located) and the cooling speed between 800°C 
and 500°C (to have the right structural transformation). The comparison between numerical and 
experimental results shows some differences but the calculated values are considered to be precise 
enough for the calculation we perform.

4.2 Metallurgical results

The HAZ is mainly composed of as-welded bainite (fig. 7). This is confirmed by metallurgical 
examination of HAZ. The comparison of calculated and measured HAZ depth shows good agreement: 
11.5 mm measured and 11.4 mm calculated for the first layer, 11.4 mm measured and calculated for the 
second layer. These values have not been used for fitting the thermal loading. So this result confirms that 
the numerical thermal loading is coherent with experiment; particularly the $A_c1$ line is well located.
4.3 Mechanical results

The residual stresses are locally identical under a bead when this bead is deposited, as shown in Fig. 8 for the transverse stresses. But of course, the welding of a bead affects the stresses left by the previous beads, usually by relieving them as can be seen in Fig. 9, again for transverse stresses.

Figures 10 and 11 compare the profile of residual stresses with depth in the middle of the first bead after the deposit of the second bead, for three different mechanical models: plane strain without transformation plasticity, plane strain with transformation plasticity, generalised plane strain with transformation plasticity. It can be seen that results are qualitatively comparable for transverse residual stresses whatever the option used. But for longitudinal stresses, results in the HAZ depend strongly on the option used.

The effect of transformation plasticity is undoubtedly important by decreasing transverse stresses in the HAZ, as can be seen in Fig. 10.

The generalised plane strain option seems to give better results (by comparison with measurements) than the plane strain one, for longitudinal stresses, according to the results shown in Fig. 11. With this model, the transverse and longitudinal stresses have the same profile, which is confirmed by measurements and literature, e.g. Schimoeller [6]. But these results are dependant on the size of the block simulated (Fig. 12): when the block is wider, there are less differences between plane strain and generalised plane strain. These results show that the longitudinal stresses cannot be better evaluated with the generalised plane strain model than with the plane strain one. As all the measurements show that both transverse and longitudinal stresses are compressive in the HAZ, whatever the size of the block, we have to conclude that none of our 2D models is able to give the correct longitudinal stress independently of the size of the block. A 3D calculation is then necessary (but may be not sufficient).

4.4 Post weld heat treatment results

Figure 13 compare calculated transverse residual stresses before and after heat treatment, using different options. It can be seen that the maximum of relief is obtained when using the creep of the base metal. In fact, we even showed that when the creep model is used, it made no difference to use or not the tempering model: creep is the major cause of relieving. It may be underlined that we never obtained a free-stress state at 610°C (Fig. 14), even with the creep model and more than 10 hours of post weld heat treatment. The results presented here have been obtained in generalised plane strain, but they are identical with the plane strain option (for transverse stresses). We also showed that creep of weld metal was unimportant, compared to creep of base metal.

5. SIMPLIFIED METHOD

We call simplified method the method which consists of making the assumption that the structure has no residual stresses at 610°C (the temperature of the PWHT) and that the residual stresses are only due to the difference in expansion coefficient appearing between the base metal and the weld metal during cooling. This approach has been applied successfully in bimetallic junction by H. Gamha and coll. [7], and validated in surface by comparison with measurements. The advantage of this method is to avoid a costly and difficult calculation of the welding operation when a PWHT is performed.

The results obtained with this method are presented on figure 15, and compared with the results of the simulation of welding and PWHT. It can be seen that the results are similar except in the HAZ, where the effect of phase transformation cannot be reproduced by the simplified method. It has to be underlined that the materials and their mechanical properties are identical in the two approaches (we have introduce a HAZ in the simplified method) and the difference can then be explained only by the effect of phase transformations (through transformation plasticity).

6. CONCLUSION

The measurements of residual stresses after welding and after post weld heat treatment show that both transverse and longitudinal residual stresses have the same profile: high tension in the weld metal and at the end of the HAZ, compression in the HAZ. But values are dependent on the method used.

The numerical simulation of cladding with a complete thermal, metallurgical and mechanical approach appears to be useful to understand the way residual stresses are created. These calculations and measurements tend to prove that transverse residual stresses are compressive in the HAZ, as their sign in this area is independent of measurement method and model used for calculation. It has been shown that longitudinal stresses could not be well evaluated by the 2D model we used.
Figure 7: Isovalues of as-welded bainite after 3 beads.

![Isovalues of as-welded bainite after 3 beads](image)

Figure 8: Transverse stress centred on each bead after cladding of each bead

![Transverse stress centred on each bead after cladding of each bead](image)

Figure 9: Transverse stress centred on first bead after cladding of first and second beads

![Transverse stress centred on first bead after cladding of first and second beads](image)
Figure 10: Transverse stress in depth centred on second bead with different mechanical models

Figure 11: Longitudinal stress in depth centred on second bead with different mechanical models

Figure 12: Maximum value of stress in HAZ for different sizes of the block
Figure 13: Transverse stress in depth centred on third bead after PWHT with different models

Figure 14: Variation of stress with time during PWHT using creep model.

Figure 15: Transverse stress in depth centred on third bead after PWHT- Comparison with simplified method
The post weld heat treatment can also be simulated and we show that creep was the essential factor influencing relieving, compared to tempering and plasticity.

The « simplified method » cannot be used instead of a simulation of welding and PWHT operations, due to phase transformations. But out of the HAZ, the results are fairly the same than the ones obtained with the more complex method and so they may be used in some fracture mechanics analysis.

Finally, we can consider that numerical results obtained are encouraging. We are waiting for the results of the 3D calculation to evaluate the longitudinal stress. It appears undoubtedly that a predictive model for temperatures based on welding parameters would be very useful. Improving methods for residual stresses measurements in depth is also necessary.
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