MODELING OF LPCVD SILICON NITRIDE PROCESS

Ji-Tao Wang, Shi-Li Zhang, Yong-Fa Wang, Wei Zhang, Zheng-Chang Chen,
Ke-Yun Zhang, Yuan-Fang Wang

► To cite this version:

Ji-Tao Wang, Shi-Li Zhang, Yong-Fa Wang, Wei Zhang, Zheng-Chang Chen, et al.. MODELING OF LPCVD SILICON NITRIDE PROCESS. Journal de Physique Colloques, 1989, 50 (C5), pp.C5-67-C5-72. 10.1051/jphyscol:1989511 . jpa-00229534

HAL Id: jpa-00229534

<https://hal.science/jpa-00229534>

Submitted on 1 Jan 1989

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

MODELING OF LPCVD SILICON NITRIDE PROCESS

JI-TAO WANG⁽¹⁾, SHI-LI ZHANG⁽²⁾, YONG-FA WANG, WEI ZHANG, ZHENG-CHANG CHEN, KE-YUN ZHANG and YUAN-FANG WANG

Fudan University, 220 Handan Road, Shanghai, China

Résumé - Une grande attention a été accordée par divers groupes de recherche et divers auteurs à la modélisation du procédé CVD sous basse pression. Notre modèle a été proposé en 1979 et 1980, puis amélioré et étendu plusieurs fois. La simulation repose sur des formules algébriques faciles à utiliser. Dans nos publications précédentes, ce modèle a été utilisé avec succès pour le dépôt de silicium polycristallin. Dans le présent article, il est utilisé dans le cas du dépôt de Si_3N_4 . Les résultats théoriques sont en bon accord avec les résultats expérimentaux cités dans la littérature.

Abstract - Much attention has been paid to the modeling of LPCVD process by several research groups and authors. Our simulation model of LPCVD process was proposed in 1979 and 1980, and then improved and extended several times. Our simulation formulae are algebraic, so it is easy to be used. Our model was successfully used for the LPCVD Poly-Si process in our previous papers, and also for the LPCVD Si_3N_4 process in this paper. The theoretical results agree very well with experiments reported in literature.

1 INTRODUCTION

Chemical Vapor Deposition is a widely used process for growing thin films in large scale integrated circuit technology. At low pressure the deposition process becomes essential surface controlled which allows high packing densities of the wafer, see Fig. 1. Much attention has been paid to the modeling of LPCVD process by several research groups and authors. Our simulation model of LPCVD process was proposed in 1979-1980 [1,2], and then improved and extended several times during 1981-1988 [3-11]. As well known, it is most important for any theoretical model that the theoretical results must agree with the experimental ones. In 1986, a comparison with a good agreement was made by us [7] between our theoretical model and LPCVD Poly-Si experiments, reported mainly by van den Brekel,

⁽¹⁾Uppsala University, Institute of Chemistry, Box 531, S-751 21 Uppsala, Sweden

⁽²⁾Swedish Institute of Microelectronics, S-164 21 Kista, Sweden

Claassen and Kuiper et al. [12-14]. In this paper we make a comparison between our model and LPCVD Si_3N_4 experiments reported by Roenigk & Jensen [15,16].

Fig 1. Theoretical model for LPCVD technology.

The kinetics of the reaction in Si_3N_4 process is more complex than that in Poly-Si process. If only the interaction between chlorosilane and NH_3 is under consideration, the calculated results will not agree with the experiments. It can be observed that the experimental deposition rate near the inlet end decreased very quickly along the flow direction and this phenomenon was more obvious at higher temperature. Besides the interaction between chlorosilane and NH_3 , the pyrolysis of NH_3 is also one of main causes for this kind of the rate distribution. Based on the kinetics mentioned above, the agreement of our model with many LPCVD Si_3N_4 experiments were obtained. And some inaccuracy of LPCVD Si_3N_4 experimental data in literature were found.

2 DERIVATION OF THEORETICAL EXPRESSION

The main reactions in LPCVD Si_3N_4 process are:

1. Interaction between chlorosilane and NH_3

$$\text{SiH}_2\text{Cl}_2 + 4/3 \text{NH}_3 \rightleftharpoons 1/3 \text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 + 2 \text{HCl} + 2 \text{H}_2$$
2. Pyrolysis of NH_3

$$\text{NH}_3 \rightleftharpoons 1/2 \text{N}_2 + 3/2 \text{H}_2$$

The kinetic expressions of these reactions are:

$$r_1 = \frac{K_1 \cdot P_{\text{SiH}_2\text{Cl}_2} \cdot P_{\text{NH}_3}}{1 + K_1 \cdot P_{\text{SiH}_2\text{Cl}_2} + K_2 \cdot P_{\text{NH}_3}}$$

and

$$r_2 = K_2 \cdot P_{\text{NH}_3}$$

According to the principles mentioned in our previous papers, the simulation formulae of LPCVD Si_3N_4 can be derived. If no inert gas was used during LPCVD Si_3N_4 reaction, that is, only two reactants, SiH_2Cl_2 and NH_3 , were used and the ratio of NH_3 to SiH_2Cl_2 was equal to N , then initial concentrations in mole fraction were:

1. Initial concentration of SiH_2Cl_2 , $X_1 = 1 / (N + 1)$,
2. Initial concentration of NH_3 was equal to $N / (N + 1)$.

The initial concentration of NH_3 could be divided into two parts:

1. Stoichiometric NH_3 part was equal to $4/3 (N + 1)$
2. Excess NH_3 part, $X_2 = (N - 4/3) / (N + 1)$

When the conversion percentage of SiH_2Cl_2 and the conversion percentage of excess NH_3 were represented by A_1 and A_2 , respectively, then increment of total gas volume,

$$V = 1 + (5/3).X_1.A_1 + X_2.A_2 ;$$

concentration of SiH_2Cl_2 ,

$$C_1 = X_1 (1 - A_1) / V ;$$

concentration of NH_3 ,

$$C_2 = [4 X_1 (1 - A_1) / 3 + X_2 (1 - A_2)] / V ;$$

and the kinetic expressions could be written as

$$r_1 = K_s.C_1.C_2.P^2 / (1 + K_1.C_1.P + K_2.C_2.P),$$

and

$$r_2 = K_d.C_2.P.$$

That is, at j wafer position

$$r_1(j) = K_s.C_1(j).C_2(j).P^2 / (1 + K_1.C_1(j).P + K_2.C_2(j).P),$$

and

$$r_2(j) = K_d.C_2(j).P.$$

Then A_1, A_2 at $j+1$ wafer position

$$A_1(j+1) = \sum_{i=0}^j r_1(i).S(i).w / F.X_1,$$

$$A_2(j+1) = \sum_{i=0}^j r_2(i).S(i) / F.X_2$$

Here, w was the ratio of solid deposit volume to SiH_2Cl_2 gas volume consumed. The pyrolysis of NH_3 is also considered as a surface process, so the consumption of NH_3 pyrolysis was proportional to $r_2(i).S(i)$, as shown in $A_2(j+1)$ equation. In this way two sets of recurrence formulae were obtained. The curves of $r_1(j)$ vs. the wafer position j were the theoretical distributions of growth rate.

3 DISCUSSIONS AND RESULTS

1. A series of comparison between our simulation model and LPCVD Si_3N_4 experiments were made with very good agreement in tendency, as shown in Fig. 2 to 5. The dotted lines were theoretical ones, the square points were experimental data [17].

Fig. 2 Comparison between experiments ■ and our model.... Experimental parameters: # 800°C, SiH_2Cl_2 10 sccm, $\text{NH}_3/\text{SiH}_2\text{Cl}_2$. From up to down, first set: $N=15$, $P=0.95$ torr second set: $N=10$, $P=0.65$ torr third set: $N=5$, $P=0.35$ torr Apparent kinetic parameters used in calculation: $K_1 = 3.8 \times 10^7$ A/min.torr² $K_2 = 2.0 \times 10^5$ /torr $K_3 = 1.8 \times 10^4$ /torr $K_d = .005$ sccm/torr.cm²

Fig. 3 Comparison between experiments ■ and our model.... Experimental parameters: # 800°C, $P = 0.95$ torr, SiH_2Cl_2 25 sccm, $N = \text{NH}_3/\text{SiH}_2\text{Cl}_2$. From up to down, first set: $N=12$, second set: $N=8$ third set: $N=4$ fourth set: $N=2$ Apparent kinetic parameters used in calculation: $K_1 = 3.8 \times 10^7$ A/min.torr² $K_2 = 2.0 \times 10^5$ /torr.cm² $K_3 = 1.8 \times 10^4$ /torr $K_d = .005$ sccm/torr.cm²

Fig. 4 Comparison between experiments ■ and our model... Experimental parameters: # 700°C, $P = 0.55$ torr, SiH_2Cl_2 25 sccm, $N = \text{NH}_3/\text{SiH}_2\text{Cl}_2$. From up to down, first set: $N=2$ second set: $N=3$ third set: $N=4$ Apparent kinetic parameters used in calculation: $K_1 = 2.54 \times 10^5$ A/min.torr² $K_2 = 4.0 \times 10^3$ /torr $K_3 = 1.2 \times 10^3$ /torr $K_d = .0006$ sccm/torr.cm²

Fig. 5 Comparison between experiments ■ and our model.... Experimental parameters: # $P = 0.95$ torr, SiH_2Cl_2 25 sccm, $N = 2$, $N = \text{NH}_3/\text{SiH}_2\text{Cl}_2$. From up to down, first set: $T = 800^\circ\text{C}$ second set: $T = 750^\circ\text{C}$ Apparent kinetic parameters used in calculation for 750°C: $K_1 = 3.0 \times 10^6$ A/min.torr² $K_2 = 3.0 \times 10^4$ /torr $K_3 = 1.7 \times 10^3$ /torr $K_d = .002$ sccm/torr.cm² For 800°C are the same as these in Fig. 3.

2. The values of theoretical curves at right side (downstream of the gas flow) were mostly lower than those of experimental data (especially in Fig. 2). The area under the experimental growth rate distribution curve (such as solid lines in Fig. 2) was proportional to the total consumption of reactant SiH_2Cl_2 , A_1^{total} . It was found A_1^{total} of the solid line in Fig. 2 was near one hundred percent (94.9%). If the consumption before the first wafer and after the last wafer was considered, the value of A_1^{total} would be more than one hundred percent. Of course, it was unreasonable. It must be due to the inaccuracy of experimental data. Maybe, it is due to the corrosion of massflow controller after some time of using chlorosilane. The inaccuracy of experimental data may also be one of the causes of that some adjustment of kinetic parameters were needed for different figures in our calculations. The adjustment of kinetic parameters [2] or even the change of reaction condition values [17] had also been reported.

3. The consumption before the wafer region was considered in our calculation here as that of the first ten wafers, but not for the Fig. 2.

4. Our simulation formulae of LPCVD Si_3N_4 are all algebraic, so they are easy to be used. And the agreement between our model and experiments was good.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China for grant No. 5880096 in support of this work. We also thank Prof. Jan.Otto Carlsson for giving us support, Dr Mats Boman, Nils-Olov Ersson *et al.* for their help, and Mrs. Margit Hillberg for making this manuscript.

Geometric parameters of reactor:

Inside diameter of quartz tube: 130 mm
Diameter of wafers: 76.2 mm

110 Wafers loaded
Wafer spacing 4.8 mm

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-T. Wang, *Anniversary Scientific Seminar of Fudan Univ.*, on May 27, 1979; J.-T. Wang & Y.-K. Liu, *Proc. of 1st Chinese National Conf. on Semicond. Materials and IC*, in Chinese, p. 14, 1979
- [2] J.-T. Wang, *Chinese J. Semicond.*, **1**, (1980) 6, with English abstract, English translation:
J.-T. Wang, *Chinese Phys.* (published in U.S.), **1**, (1981) 461
- [3] J.-T. Wang, *Scientia Sinica* (series A), Eng. ed., **26** (1983) 273
- [4] J.-T. Wang, S.-L. Zhang, H.-J. Wang & M.-H. Lian, *Chinese J. Semicond.*, **5** (1984) 621, with Eng. abstract
- [5] J.-T. Wang, S.-L. Zhang, H.-J. Wang & M.-H. Lian, *Proc. of 5th Euro. Conf. on CVD*, p. 99, Uppsala, Sweden (June 17-20, 1985)
- [6] J.-T. Wang et al., "*Selected book on CVD --- part I, Computer Simulation of LPCVD*", Eng. edition, Fudan Univ. Press (1985, Shanghai)
- [7] J.-T. Wang, S.-L. Zhang & Y.-F. Wang, *Solid-State Electronics*, **29** (1986) 999
- [8] J.-T. Wang, S.-L. Zhang & Y.-F. Wang, *Proc. of Beijing International Conf. on Semicond. & IC Technology*, p. 232, Beijing, China (1986)
- [9] J.-T. Wang & S.-L. Zhang, *Scientia Sinica* (series A), **30** (1987) 523
- [10] J.-T. Wang, S.-L. Zhang & Y.-F. Wang, *Proc. of International Conf. on CVD* (Hawaii), p. 23. *The Electrochem. Soc., Inc.*, Princeton, N.J. (1987)
- [11] Y.-F. Wang, S.-L. Zhang & J.-T. Wang, *Proc. of International Conf. on CVD* (Hawaii), p. 951. *The Electrochem. Soc., Inc.*, Princeton, N.J. (1987)
- [12] C.J.H. van den Brekel & L.M. Bollen, *J. Cryst. Growth*, **54** (1981) 310
- [13] A.E.T. Kuiper, C.J.H. van den Brekel, J. de Groot & G.W. Veltkamp, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **129** (1982) 2288
- [14] W.A.P. Claassen, J. Bloem, W.G.J.N. Valkenburg & C.J.H. van den Brekel, *J. Cryst. Growth*, **57** (1981) 259
- [15] K.F. Roenighk & K.F. Jensen, *Proc. of 5th Euro. Conf. on CVD*, p. 207. Uppsala, Sweden (June 17-20, 1985)
- [16] K.F. Roenighk & K.F. Jensen, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **134** (1987) 1777
- [17] K.F. Jensen & D.B. Graves, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **130** (1983) 1950