

# DYNAMICS OF UNBOUND VORTICES IN THE 2-DIMENSIONAL XYAND ANISOTROPIC HEISENBERG MODELS

F. Mertens, Adrian N. Bishop, M. Gouvea, G. Wysin

### ► To cite this version:

F. Mertens, Adrian N. Bishop, M. Gouvea, G. Wysin. DYNAMICS OF UNBOUND VORTICES IN THE 2-DIMENSIONAL XYAND ANISOTROPIC HEISENBERG MODELS. Journal de Physique Colloques, 1988, 49 (C8), pp.C8-1385-C8-1386. 10.1051/jphyscol:19888635. jpa-00228863

## HAL Id: jpa-00228863 https://hal.science/jpa-00228863

Submitted on 4 Feb 2008

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### DYNAMICS OF UNBOUND VORTICES IN THE 2-DIMENSIONAL XY AND ANISOTROPIC HEISENBERG MODELS

F. G. Mertens<sup>1</sup>, A. R. Bishop, M. E. Gouvea and G. M. Wysin

Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, U.S.A.

Abstract. – Assuming an ideal gas of vortices above the Kosterlitz-Thouless transition temperature, the dynamic form factors are calculated. For the in-plane correlations a Lorentzian central peak is predicted which is independent of the vortex size and shape. However, for the out-of-plane correlations the velocity dependence of the vortex structure is decisive for the occurrence of a Gaussian central peak. Both results are in good agreement with combined Monte Carlo-molecular dynamics simulations.

#### 1. Introduction

Quasi-two-dimensional magnetic materials with easy-plane symmetry, e.g.  $Rb_2CrCl_4$  or  $BaCo_2 (AsO_4)_2$ , have been studied recently both by inelastic neutron scattering experiments [1, 2, 8] and by a phenomenological theory for the *dynamic* correlations [3]. In this theory the anisotropic Heisenberg model with nearest-neighbor interactions

$$H = -J \sum_{m,n} \left[ S_x^m S_x^n + S_y^m S_y^n + \lambda S_z^m S_z^n \right]$$
(1.1)

is considered, where  $\mathbf{S}^m$  is a classical spin vector and  $0 \le \lambda < 1$ ;  $\lambda = 0$  corresponds to the XY-model.

At a critical temperature  $T_c(\lambda)$  Monte Carlo (MC) data [5] show a Kosterlitz-Thouless phase transition. Above  $T_c$  a part of the vortex-antivortex pairs unbind and the unbound vortices are in motion due to their interactions. Assuming that the positions are random locally, the velocity distribution is Gaussian [4], therefore the unbound vortices can be treated phenomenologically as an ideal gas, in the same spirit as the solitongas approach for 1-d magnets.

The correlations for the in-plane components  $S_x$  or  $S_y$  are quite distinct from those for the out-of-plane component  $S_z$ . We show here that the velocity dependence of the vortex structure is decisive for the out-of-plane correlations, in contrast to reference [3] where only the static structure has been considered.

#### 2. In-plane correlations

We use a continuum description and spherical coordinates for the spin configuration

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r},t) = S(\cos\phi\sin\theta, \sin\phi\sin\theta, \cos\theta) \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\mathbf{r} = (x, y)$ . The equations of motion have two static vortex or antivortex solutions [6]  $\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \pm \tan^{-1}(y/x)$ . Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations have shown [6] that for  $0 \le \lambda \le 0.7$  only a planar solution  $\theta(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \pi/2$  is stable, whereas for  $\lambda \ge 0.8$ only a solution which has an out-of-plane structure  $\theta(\mathbf{r}) \ne \pi/2$  is stable; only the former case is considered here.  $S_x$  and  $S_y$  are not localized, i.e. they have no spatial Fourier transform. Therefore the in-plane correlation function  $S_{xx}(\mathbf{r},t) = \langle S_x(\mathbf{r},t) S_x(\mathbf{0},0) \rangle$  is only globally sensitive to the presence of vortices. Thus the characteristic length is the average vortex-vortex separation  $2 \xi$ , where  $\xi$  is the Kosterlitz-Thouless correlation length.

When a planar vortex starts moving it develops an out-of-plane structure (see next section). However, for  $S_{xx}(\mathbf{r},t)$  this is not important because the dominant effect of moving vortices is to act like "2-d sign functions" or "2-d kinks", i.e; every vortex that passes with its center between 0 and  $\mathbf{r}$  in time t diminishes the correlations, changing  $\cos \phi$  by a factor of (-1), independent of the direction of movement and independent of the internal structure of the vortex [3].

The detailed calculation of  $S_{xx}(\mathbf{r},t)$  is published elsewhere [4] and gives a (squared) Lorentzian central peak for the dynamic form factor

$$S_{xx}(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \frac{S^2}{2\pi^2} \frac{\gamma^3 \xi^2}{\left\{\omega^2 + \gamma^2 \left[1 + (\xi q)^2\right]\right\}^2}$$
(2.2)

with  $\gamma = \sqrt{\pi}\bar{u} / (2\xi)$ . Here  $\bar{u}$  is the rms velocity of the vortices which can be taken from Huber [7] who calculated the velocity auto-correlation function. The central peak (2.2) is in excellent agreement with data obtained from combined MC-MD simulations [4]. Moreover there is a qualitative agreement with the above mentioned neutron scattering experiments 1, 2].

#### 3. Out-of-plane correlations

 $S_z(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is localized for a single vortex, therefore correlations are sensitive to the vortex size and structure. We assume a dilute gas of  $N_v$  unbound vortices with positions  $\mathbf{R}_i$  and velocities  $\mathbf{u}_i$  and consider the incoherent superposition

$$S_{z}(\mathbf{r},t) = S \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mathbf{v}}} \cos \theta \left(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{i} - \mathbf{u}_{i}t\right).$$
(3.1)

The thermal average in  $S_{zz}(\mathbf{r},t) = \langle S_z(\mathbf{r},t) S_z(\mathbf{0},0) \rangle$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Permanent address: Physics Institute, University of Bayreuth, D-8580 Bayreuth, F. R. G.

is evaluated by integration over  ${\bf R}$  and  ${\bf u}$ 

$$S_{zz} (\mathbf{r}, t) =$$

$$n_{\mathbf{v}} S^{2} \int \int d^{2}R d^{2}u P(\mathbf{u}) \cos \theta (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{u}t) \times$$

$$\times \cos \theta (\mathbf{R}) \quad (3.2)$$

where  $n_v$  is the vortex density and  $P(\mathbf{u})$  is the velocity distribution. Introducing the vortex form factor  $f(\mathbf{q}) =$  Fourier transform of  $\cos \theta(\mathbf{r})$ , we get

$$S_{zz} \left( \mathbf{q}, t \right) = \frac{S^2}{\left(2\pi\right)^2} n_{\mathbf{v}} \int d^2 u \left| f\left(\mathbf{q}\right) \right|^2 \times \\ \times P\left(\mathbf{u}\right) e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{u}t}. \quad (3.3)$$

This can be evaluated easily if the *static* vortex solutions are inserted [3]. However, for  $\lambda \leq 0.7$  only the planar solution turns out to be stable [6] and  $S_{zz}$  would then vanish, in contradiction to the MC-MD simulation [3].

Therefore the velocity dependence of  $\theta(\mathbf{r})$  must be taken into account. For  $\lambda \leq 0.7$  and small velocity u the equations of motion yield the asymptotic solution (in the moving frame, with time unit  $\hbar/JS$ )

$$\cos \theta = \frac{-1}{4\delta} \frac{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\varphi}}{r}, r \to \infty$$
(3.4)

which has been checked by MD-simulations;  $\delta = 1 - \lambda$ , and  $\mathbf{e}_{\varphi}$  is the azimuthal unit vector in the *xy*-plane. The solution for  $r \to 0$  can be obtained also, but we are interested here only in the correlations for small qwhere the asymptotic solution should be a good approximation. This leads to a velocity dependent form factor and eventually to

$$S_{zz}\left(\mathbf{q},\omega\right)\frac{n_{\mathrm{v}}}{32\sqrt{\pi}\delta^{2}}\frac{\bar{u}}{q^{3}}\exp\left\{-\left(\frac{\omega}{\bar{u}q}\right)^{2}\right\}.$$
 (3.5)

This is a Gaussian central peak which reflects the velocity distribution. The width  $\Gamma_z = \bar{u}q$  has a linear *q*-dependence, which is very well supported by the MC-MD data [3]. The integrated intensity is

$$I_{z}(q) = \frac{n_{v}}{32\delta^{2}} \frac{\bar{u}^{2}}{q^{2}}.$$
 (3.6)

Here the divergence for  $q \to 0$  results from the infinite range of the structure (3.4). However, the actual radius of a vortex must be on the order of  $\xi$  (see introduction), which can be taken into account e.g. by an ad-hoc cut-off function  $\exp(-\varepsilon r/\xi)$  with a free parameter  $\varepsilon$ . This gives an extra factor of  $\kappa^2$  in (3.6), with  $\kappa = 1 - 1/W$  and  $W = \left[1 + (\xi q/\varepsilon)^2\right]^{1/2}$ . The final result for  $I_z(q)$  is consistent with our MC-MD data for small q (Fig. 1). Note that absolute intensities are compared here; we have chosen  $\varepsilon$  such that  $I_z$ is smaller than the data because other effects can also contribute to the central peak, e.g. 2-magnon difference processes and vortex-magnon interactions which will be treated in future publications.



Fig. 1. – Intensity  $I_z$  of central peak for a temperature  $T > T_c \simeq 0.8$ . Data points result from MC-MD simulations on a 50×50 lattice (circles) and 100 × 100 lattice (crosses). Solid line from (3.6) including the cut-off, with  $\bar{u}$  and  $\xi$  from reference [4].

#### Acknowledgments

The research of FGM and MEG was supported by DFG (Germany) and CNPQ (Brazil), respectively.

- Hutchings, M. T., Day, P., Janke, E. and Pynn, R., J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 54-57 (1986) 673.
- [2] Regnault, L. P., Boucher, J. P., Rossat-Mignod, J., Bouillot, J., Pynn, R., Henry J. Y. and Renard, J. P., *Physica B* 136 (1986) 329.
- [3] Mertens, F. G., Bishop, A. R., Wysin, G. M. and Kawabata, C., Phys. Rev. Lett. 59 (1987) 117.
- [4] Mertens, F. G., Bishop, A. R., Wysin, G. M. and Kawabata, C., Phys. Rev. B, in press.
- [5] Kawabata, C. and Bishop, A. R., Solid State Commun. 42 (1982) 595; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn 52 (1983) 27; Solid State Commun. 60 (1986) 169.
- [6] Wysin, G. M., Gouvea, M. E., Bishop, A. R. and Mertens, F. G., Computer Simulation Studies in Condensed Matter Physics: Recent Developments (Springer, Berlin) 1988, in press.
- Huber, D. L., Phys. Rev. Lett. A 76 (1980) 406;
   Phys. Rev. B 26 (1982) 3758.
- [8] Wiesler, D. G., Zabel, H. and Shapiro, S. M., Int. Conf. on Neutron Scattering, Grenoble, July 1988, preprint.