SPECIFIC HEAT OF (Ce, La) Ru₂Si₂ AT HIGH MAGNETIC FIELDS

R. Fisher, N. Phillips, C. Marcenat, J. Flouquet, P. Haen, P. Lejay, J.-M. Mignot

► To cite this version:

R. Fisher, N. Phillips, C. Marcenat, J. Flouquet, P. Haen, et al.. SPECIFIC HEAT OF (Ce, La) Ru₂Si₂ AT HIGH MAGNETIC FIELDS. Journal de Physique Colloques, 1988, 49 (C8), pp.C8-759-C8-760. 10.1051/jphyscol:19888342 . jpa-00228519

HAL Id: jpa-00228519

<https://hal.science/jpa-00228519>

Submitted on 1 Jan 1988

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SPECIFIC HEAT OF (Ce, La) Ru₂Si₂ AT HIGH MAGNETIC FIELDS

R. A. Fisher ⁽¹⁾, N. E. Phillips ⁽¹⁾, C. Marcenat ^{(1, 2)*}, J. Flouquet ⁽²⁾, P. Haen ⁽²⁾, P. Lejay ⁽²⁾
and J.-M. Mignot ⁽²⁾

⁽¹⁾ *Materials and Chemical Sciences Division, LBL, Berkeley CA 94720, U.S.A.*

⁽²⁾ *CRTBT** CNRS, BP 166 X 38042 Grenoble, France*

Abstract. – Specific heat (C) measurements on Ce_{1-x}La_xRu₂Si₂ were made in order to observe the change in C on going from a long range magnetically ordered system ($x \geq 0.08$) to a paramagnetic system. Magnetic field measurements of C show that a maximum of the effective mass occurs at the metamagnetic-like transition.

The compound CeRu₂Si₂ exhibits interesting magnetic features [1]. Its magnetization for H parallel to the tetragonal c -axis (M) displays a metamagnetic-like transition at $H_M \approx 8$ T, although no long range magnetic order could be detected. This field corresponds to the quenching of the antiferromagnetic (AF) correlations occurring below 60 K [2]. In reference [1] it was argued by comparing the temperature dependences of the resistivity at various fields that the electronic effective mass m^* would go through a maximum at H_M . In order to check this suggestion, we have performed specific heat measurements on single crystals of Ce_{1-x}La_xRu₂Si₂ ($x = 0, 0.05, 0.10$ and 0.13). Substituting La for Ce reduces H_M [3] and induces antiferromagnetic (AF) order for $x \geq 0.08$ [4]. The corresponding critical fields are respectively 7.9, 5.7, 3.8, 3.65 T at ≈ 1.4 K (i.e. below T_N for the two last systems) [3]. For $H = 0$, the measurements extended from ≈ 0.1 K to ≈ 30 K. Magnetic fields up to 7.5 T were applied along the c -direction for $T \geq 0.4$ K.

The $H = 0$ data are displayed as C vs. T (up to 16 K) in figure 1a; figure 1b shows C/T vs. T up to 9 K. These data are consistent with previous results for polycrystals [5]. The value of C/T extrapolated to $T = 0$ (γ_0) increases from 360 mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻² for $x = 0$ to 585 mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻² for $x = 0.1$ and then decreases again. γ_0 may reach a critical value $\gamma_{0c} \approx 600$ mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻² at the magnetic – non magnetic (M-NM) transition which occurs near $x = 0.08$ as shown by neutron diffraction experiments [4]. Indeed, for $x = 0.13$, AF ordering leads to a peak in C at $T_N = 3.8$ K. This anomaly is very similar to that reported [6] for CePb₃, a typical long range magnetically ordered heavy fermion compound. Although no peak in $C(T)$ is observed for $x = 0.1$, it is worth noticing the similarity between the $x = 0.1$ and $x = 0.13$ data in the C/T representation, i.e. a sharp increase on cooling followed by an almost flattening (see Fig. 1b). This suggests that our $x = 0.1$ crystal orders below

Fig. 1. – Specific heat of single crystals of Ce_{1-x}La_xRu₂Si₂. a) as C vs. T : (●) $x = 0$, (○) $x = 0.05$, (□) $x = 0.1$ and (▲) $x = 0.13$; b) as C/T vs. T below 9 K.

≈ 2.5 K which is consistent with $T_N = 2.7$ K determined by neutron experiments [4]. On the non magnetic side of the M-NM transition ($x = 0$ and 0.05), the smooth increase of C/T on cooling is very similar to that reported [7] for CeCu₆.

Figure 2 shows the field dependence of γ_0 . A clear increase of γ_0 towards H_M is observed for the two NM compounds. For $x = 0.05$ for which it was possible to perform experiments well above H_M , $\gamma_0(H)$ goes through a maximum at a field of ≈ 5.5 T, consistent with the value of H_M derived from magnetization data [3]. While $\gamma_0 = 500$ mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻² at $H = 0$, $\gamma_0(H_M) = 655$ mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻²: an increase of 30 %. Magnetization experiments at 1.5 K lead to an increase of the differential susceptibility $\chi = \partial M / \partial H$ by a factor of 2.7 at H_M . Such a dependence of γ_0 with H stresses the importance of the magnetic correlations [1, 2]. $\gamma_0(H_M) = 655$ mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻² is roughly the same value as the critical value γ_{0c} defined above, which suggests that this critical magnitude of γ_0 drives the

*Present address: Dept. Mat. Cond., University of Geneva, Switzerland

**Laboratoire associé à l'Université Joseph Fourier, Grenoble, France.

Fig. 2. - Field variation of C/T extrapolated at $T \rightarrow 0$ K. Same symbols as in figure 1.

magnetic instabilities induced either by H or by addition of La.

No maximum in $\gamma_0(H)$ can be seen for $x = 0.1$. This may be due to the fact that γ_0 is already very close to γ_{0c} . However, the occurrence of a new feature (the existence of maxima in the C/T vs. T curves in magnetic fields, connected to the crossing of lines of the (H, T) magnetic phase diagram [3]) prevents accurate extrapolations of C/T to $T = 0$, making measurements at lower temperatures desirable.

Finally, $\gamma_0(H)$ decreases rapidly with H above H_M where high magnetic polarization is achieved. Further studies of these polarized phases will lead to a better understanding of the heavy fermion compounds.

Acknowledgements

Work at Berkeley supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Material Science Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.

- [1] Haen, P., Flouquet, J., Lapierre, F., Lejay, P. and Remenyi, G., *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **67** (1987) 391.
- [2] Regnault, L.-P., Erkelens, W. A. C., Rossat-Mignod, J., Lejay, P. and Flouquet, J., *Phys. Rev. B* **38** (1988) 4481; Jacoud, J. L., Regnault, L. P., Rossat-Mignod, J., Vettier, C., Lejay, P. and Flouquet, J., ICNS'88, to be published in *Physica B*.
- [3] Haen, P., Lapierre, F., Kappler, J.-P., Lejay, P., Flouquet, J. and Meyer, A., *J. Magn. Magn. Mat.* **76 & 77** (1988) 143; Haen, P., Kappler, J.-P., Lapierre, F., Lehmann, P., Lejay, P., Flouquet, J. and Meyer, A., this Conf.
- [4] Quezel, S., Burlet, P., Jacoud, J.-L., Regnault, L.-P., Rossat-Mignod, J., Vettier, C., Lejay, P. and Flouquet, J., *J. Magn. Magn. Mat.* **76 & 77** (1988) 403.
- [5] Besnus, M.-J., Kappler, J.-P., Lehmann, P. and Meyer, A., *Solid State Commun.* **55** (1985) 779; Besnus, M. J., Lehmann, P. and Meyer, A., *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **63 & 64** (1987) 323; Lehmann, P., Thesis, Strasbourg (1987).
- [6] Lin, C. L., Teter, J., Crow, J. E., Mihalisin, T., Brooks, J., Abou-Ali, A. I. and Stewart, G. R., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54** (1985) 2541; Ravex, A., Morin, P. and Flouquet, J., unpublished.
- [7] Amato, A., Jaccard, D., Flouquet, J., Lapierre, F., Tholence, J. L., Fisher, R. A., Lacy, S. E., Olsen, J. A. and Phillips, N. E., *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **68** (1987) 371.