

# THE SPIN-GLASS TRANSITION AND ANOMALOUS HALL EFFECT IN CONCENTRATED AuFe, AuMn & AuCo.

S. Mcalister

## ► To cite this version:

S. Mcalister. THE SPIN-GLASS TRANSITION AND ANOMALOUS HALL EFFECT IN CONCENTRATED AuFe, AuMn & AuCo.. Journal de Physique Colloques, 1978, 39 (C6), pp.C6-893-C6-895. 10.1051/jphyscol:19786397 . jpa-00217866

## HAL Id: jpa-00217866 https://hal.science/jpa-00217866

Submitted on 4 Feb 2008

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## THE SPIN-GLASS TRANSITION AND ANOMALOUS HALL EFFECT IN CONCENTRATED AuFe, AuMn & AuCo.

#### S.P. McAlister

National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, Canada KLA OR9.

Résumé.- L'influence du champ magnétique sur la résistivité Hall ( $\rho_{\rm H}$ ) et sur la résistance magnétique des alliages polycrystallins Au + 11,0 % at. Fe, Au + 11,6 % at. Mn et Au + 11,0 % at. Co a été mesuré à 4,5 K. Tous les trois montrent un grand effet Hall anormal dû à l'interaction spinorbitale. L'influence de la température sur le  $\rho_{\rm H}$  à champ faible montre un maximum à la température de transition du verre de spin.

Abstract.- The field dependence of the Hall resistivity ( $\rho_{\rm H}$ ) and magnetoresistance has been measured at 4.5 K for polycrystalline Au + 11.0 at. % Fe, Au + 11.6 at. % Mn and Au + 11.0 at. % Co. All three alloys show a large anomalous Hall effect due to spin-orbit coupling. The temperature dependence of the low-field  $\rho_{\rm H}$  shows a peak at the spin-glass transition temperature.

The Hall effect in a number of noble metaltransition metal alloys displays an anomalous component /1,2/ that has been attributed to the skew or asymmetric scattering of electrons by the magnetic impurities /2-4/. The skew scattering arises from spin-orbit coupling which makes scattering events asymmetric with respect to the plane containing the magnetic moment and the incident electron's velocity. This asymmetry is present in the absence of an external field. When an external field is applied to a sample in the normal Hall geometry there is a net alignment of the moments and the asymmetric scattering events combine to produce an extra term in the Hall resistivity  $ho_{_{_{
m H}}}.$  This skew term follows the magnetization closely, eventually saturating, while the Lorentz contribution to  $\rho_{\mu}$ increases with field. The sign of the skew scattering contribution to  $ho_{
m H}$  depends on the position of the Fermi level with respect to the split virtual bound states, and the phase shifts involved in the scattering /4/. Detailed calculations of such phase shifts when the magnetic moments are not necessarily isolated have not yet been done.

In fig. 1 the variation of  $\rho_{\rm H}$  and the magnetoresistance  $\Delta\rho/\rho_{\rm B=0}$  is shown for 3 different alloys at 4.5 K. These alloys have approximately the same composition (~11 at. % solute) and are spinglasses in the sense that they show characteristic peaks in the low field a.c. susceptibility /5/. In all three samples the magnetoresistivity is negative due to the freezing out of spin-flip scattering as the applied field is increased. For the Fe and Mn alloys  $\rho_{\rm H}$  is negative, as is the skew con-

tribution. However, for the Co alloy  $\rho_{\rm H}$  is initially positive and changes sign with field as the Lorentz contribution becomes dominant.



Fig. 1 : Field dependence of the Hall resistivity  $\rho_{\rm H}$  and magnetoresistance  $\Delta\rho/\rho_{\rm B=0}$  at 4.5 K for A : Au + 11.0 at.% Fe, B : Au + 11.6 at.% Mn, C : Au + 11.0 at.% Co.

The positive sign of  $\rho_{\rm H}$  in the <u>Au</u>Co alloy at low fields reflects the fact that the Lorentz term is comparatively small at these fields and the observed  $\rho_{\rm H}$  arises mainly from the skew contribution from the Co impurities. (Co itself shows a positive Hall coefficient /6/.) By inverting the magnetoresistivity tensor one obtains the magnetoconductivity tensor and in particular  $\sigma_{21}(=\sigma_{\rm H})$ . This element contains a term  $(\sigma_{21}^{\rm L})$  linear in field  $(\omega \tau << 1)$  plus the skew contribution  $(\Delta \sigma_{21})$  i.e.

$$\sigma_{21} = \sigma_{21}^{L} + \Delta \sigma_{21}.$$

### C6-894

Extraction of the linear term enables one to determine  $\Delta\sigma_{21}$  and the saturation value for this contribution. For the 3 alloys studied  $\Delta\sigma_{21}$  (sat.) is 2.7 ± 0.1 (Fe) 16 ± 1 (Mn) and -12.5 ± 0.5 (Co), all units being 10<sup>3</sup>  $\Omega^{-1}m^{-1}$ .

In all 3 alloys at low fields the contribution of the Lorentz term to  $\rho_{_{\!\!\!\!H}}$  is overwhelmed by the skew term. This latter term is dependent on the magnetization of the alloy and thus the very low field  $\rho_{\mu}$  is a monitor of the magnetic state of the alloy. With this in mind low field measurements of  $\rho_{\mu}$  have been made on the alloys as a function of temperature to look for the appearance of the spinglass state. (Because of the concentration of the alloys studied some authors would prefer to call them "cluster glasses" or " mictomagnets".) Fig. 2 shows  $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{_{\!\!H}}$  (T) for the alloys, at low fields. Note that for the AuCo alloy  $\rho_{\!H}$  (T) is positive over the whole temperature range, but for the other two alloys it is negative. In all cases  $\left| \rho_{_{\rm H}} \right|$  increases as the temperature is raised from 4.2 K and after passing through a maximum, decreases again. The decrease at the higher temperatures represents the disordering influence of temperature on the magnetization of the system in a normal Curie-Weiss sense. The increase of  $|\rho_{H}|$  with T at the lowest temperatures reflects the increase in alignment of moments in the external field, as kT increases in comparison with the local spin-glass ordering fields.

The peak in  $|\rho_{\rm H}({\rm T})|$  is pronounced in both the <u>AuCo</u> and <u>AuFe</u> alloys but considerably broadened in the <u>AuMn</u> sample. It is a general feature of the  $\rho_{\rm H}({\rm T})$  measurements that as the applied field is increased so the appearance of a maximum or peak is smeared out, because the spin-glass state is progressively disrupted by the larger applied fields /3/. Thus a smaller applied field could well produce results for the <u>AuMn</u> sample comparable with those for the other two samples. The maxima in the  $|\rho_{\rm H}({\rm T})|$ measurements suggest freezing temperatures of 35 K (<u>AuFe</u>) 34 K (<u>AuMn</u>) and 19 K (<u>AuCo</u>) compared with susceptibility cusps at about 34 K, 33 K and 20 K, respectively /5/.

Studies of <u>Au</u>Co alloys have indicated that the Kondo temperature for isolated Co atoms is  $\sim$ 200 K and about an order of magnitude lower for pairs of Co atoms /7/.



Fig. 2 : Temperature dependence of  $\rho_{\rm H}$  for A : Au + 11.0 at.% Fe at 60 G, B : Au + 11.6 at.% Mn at 60 G, C : Au + 11.0 at.% Co at 200 G. Note that  $\rho_{\rm H}$ for alloy C is positive.

Only groups of 3 or more Co atoms are magnetic at cryogenic temperatures. The behaviour of  $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{_{\!\boldsymbol{H}}}$  in the AuCo alloy is thus dominated by groups or clusters of Co atoms. Since the results from the other alloys are very similar it is clear that magnetically coupled clusters must be very important in determining the behaviour. This could be deduced from a purely statistical basis since in an 11% fcc alloy no more than 25 % of the solute atoms are isolated (no NN). The slight shoulder observed below T in  $|\rho_{21}(T)|$  may be connected with the fact that differently sized clusters can be expected to behave differently, since  $|\rho_{21}(T)|$  reflects not only the degree of alignment of moments but also the degree of asymmetry in scattering from the different scatterers.

I would like to acknowledge the assistance of L.R. Lupton in the <u>Au</u>Co work and am grateful to G.F. Turner for the sample preparation.

### References

- /1/ Alderson, J.E.A. and Hurd, C.M., J. Phys. Chem. Solids <u>32</u> (1971) 2075.
- /2/ McAlister, S.P. and Hurd, C.M., Phys. Rev. Lett. 37 (1976) 1017. Hurd, C.M. and Mcalister, S.P., Phys. Rev. B 15 (1977) 514.
- /3/ McAlister, S.P., J. App1. Phys. (in press).
- /4/ Fert, A. and Jaoul, O., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>28</u> (1972) 303.
- /5/ Mydosh, J.A., A.I.P. Conf. Proc. 24 (1975) 131.
- /6/ Volkenshtein, N.V. and Fedorov, G.V., Sov. Phys. Jerp <u>11</u> (1960) 48.
- /7/ Costa-Ribeiro, P., Souletie, J. and Thoulouze, D., Phys. Rev. Lett. 24 (1970) 900. Boucai, E., Lecoanet, B., Pilon, J., Tholence, J.L. and Tournier, R., Phys. Rev. B 3 (1971) 3834.