Magneto-optical observation of a magnetically induced “ domain spin-flop ” in a cubic antiferromagnet : KNiF_3

R.H. Petit, J. Ferré, J. Nouet

► To cite this version:

R.H. Petit, J. Ferré, J. Nouet. Magneto-optical observation of a magnetically induced “ domain spin-flop ” in a cubic antiferromagnet : KNiF_3 . *Journal de Physique*, 1975, 36 (5), pp.431-436. 10.1051/jphys:01975003605043100 . jpa-00208269

HAL Id: jpa-00208269

<https://hal.science/jpa-00208269>

Submitted on 1 Jan 1975

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Classification
Physics Abstracts
8.818

MAGNETO-OPTICAL OBSERVATION OF A MAGNETICALLY INDUCED « DOMAIN SPIN-FLOP » IN A CUBIC ANTIFERROMAGNET : KNiF_3

R. H. PETIT, J. FERRÉ

Laboratoire d'Optique Physique (*), EPCI, 10, rue Vauquelin, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France

and

J. NOUET

Faculté des Sciences, route de Laval, 72000 Le Mans, France

(Reçu le 6 décembre 1974, accepté le 16 janvier 1975)

Résumé. — Sous faible champ magnétique H nous avons observé une variation importante de l'allure des spectres magnétooptiques en fonction de H , pour un composé antiferromagnétique cubique : KNiF_3 . Ce phénomène, examiné ici pour la première fois, est comparé à l'apparition de la phase oblique, bien connue dans les composés antiferromagnétiques uniaxes. Des calculs spectroscopiques nous ont permis d'interpréter le spectre de dichroïsme circulaire magnétique observé de part et d'autre d'un champ critique H_{cr} au-delà duquel il ne subsiste que la phase oblique. La faible valeur de H_{cr} nous a conduit à montrer que le déplacement réversible des parois de macle précède la rotation des spins dans un monodomaine.

Abstract. — We have observed a large change in the field dependence of the magneto-optical spectra of cubic KNiF_3 at a low magnetic field. This phenomenon, which is being reported for the first time, is compared to the well-known spin-flop occurring in uniaxial antiferromagnets. Spectroscopic calculations allow us to attribute the magnetic circular dichroism signals that have been observed below and above the critical field, to an antiferromagnetic and a spin-flop phase. We verify that in a cubic antiferromagnet, the reversible movement of domain twin-walls occurs at a lower magnetic field than the rotation of the spins inside a single domain.

1. Introduction. — The spin-flop phenomenon of sublattices of a uniaxial two sublattice antiferromagnet is well known [1]. It occurs when the magnetic field applied along the easy axis exceeds a critical value $H_{\text{SF}} = \sqrt{2 H_A H_E}$, where H_A and H_E are the anisotropy and the exchange fields respectively. Then, in a finite interval of field near H_{SF} , the antiferromagnetic vector $\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{m}_1 - \mathbf{m}_2$ turns from the direction of the easy axis (antiferromagnetic AF state) to that perpendicular to it and since the spins tilt slightly towards the field direction there appears a net magnetisation $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}_1 + \mathbf{m}_2$ (spin-flop SF state) [2-4].

In a cubic antiferromagnet such as KNiF_3 , which remains cubic below $T_N = 246$ K [5], the situation differs markedly. We must take account of the fact that in the absence of an external magnetic field, we generally start from a multidomain structure.

Three kinds of domains must exist in which $\mathbf{l}$ is parallel to one of the fourfold axes [5-6]. The domain structure is sample dependent because it is essentially related to local imperfections associated with internal strains. Twin-walls separate such domains [7] and can move easily under stress or magnetic perturbations. When increasing the magnetic field applied along the fourfold axis z a transition occurs at a low field. In our attempt to give an interpretation of this transition, we demonstrate here that it is not due to a microscopic phenomenon which involves H_A and H_E but rather to a reversible movement of twin-walls at a low magnetic field, this movement being related to the transformation of d_z domains into d_x or d_y domains. This agrees with suggestions made earlier by Néel [8]. We name this transition *domain spin-flop* (DSF).

A spectroscopic study of the intermediate state was necessary to demonstrate the coexistence of the two AF and SF phases for an uniaxial antiferro-

(*) Equipe de recherches n° 5 du C.N.R.S.

magnet [3-4]. In our case, the absorption is only slightly modified at the DSF and we have therefore used a more sensitive method, i.e. magnetic circular dichroism (MCD).

The apparatus described earlier [9] is able to detect dichroism of about 10^{-6} in absorbance. Here the field is provided by a superconducting solenoid which gives an homogeneity better than one per cent.

2. Evidence for AF and SF phases by MCD. —

2.1 ON EXCITONIC TRANSITIONS. — 2.1.1 *Antiferromagnetic phase : d_z domains.* — First, we consider only d_z domains. We suppose that when we apply a weak magnetic field along z , the spins of the a and b sublattices remain oriented antiferromagnetically and parallel to z .

We can write the wave function $|G\rangle$ of the ground state of the entire crystal [10]

$$|G\rangle = A \prod_{n=1}^N |^3A_2 + 1\rangle_{na} \prod_{m=1}^N |^3A_2 - 1\rangle_{mb} \quad (2.1)$$

n and m refer to the unit cells in the two sublattices. A is the antisymmetrisation operator. Note that the spins are quantised along the z axis.

The exciton corresponding to the electronic transition $^3A_{2g} \rightarrow ^1E_g$ in the sublattice μ (a or b), for the wave vector $\mathbf{k}$ is

$$|E^\mu, \mathbf{k}\rangle = N^{-1/2} \sum_{n=1}^N \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{n\mu}) |En\mu\rangle$$

if we suppose that the intersublattice interaction is negligible.

Here $|En\mu\rangle$ stands for the excited state in which the $n\mu$ th ion is excited.

$$|Ena\rangle_\alpha = A |^1E_g \alpha\rangle_{na} \prod_{j \neq n} |^3A_2 + 1\rangle_{ja} \times \prod_{m=1}^N |^3A_2 - 1\rangle_{mb} \quad (2.2)$$

$$|Emb\rangle_\alpha = A |^1E_g \alpha\rangle_{mb} \prod_{j \neq m} |^3A_2 - 1\rangle_{jb} \times \prod_{n=1}^N |^3A_2 + 1\rangle_{na}$$

α is the component ε or θ of 1E_g in the O_h group. In order to calculate the MCD, we must consider the components $\beta = \pm 1$ of the magnetic dipolar moment operator $\hat{m}$.

For the excitonic transition, $\mathbf{k} = 0$, then :

$$\langle E_\alpha^a, \mathbf{k} = 0 | \hat{m}_\beta | G \rangle = \langle ^1E_g \alpha | \hat{m}_\beta | ^3A_2 + 1 \rangle$$

$$\langle E_\alpha^b, \mathbf{k} = 0 | \hat{m}_\beta | G \rangle = \langle ^1E_g \alpha | \hat{m}_\beta | ^3A_2 - 1 \rangle.$$

By considering the second member of the above expressions, we deduce that σ_+ polarised light in the a sublattice corresponds to σ_- polarised light with the same

intensity in the b sublattice. Then the observed MCD in a low magnetic field arises from the difference in energy between the up-spin and down-spin sublattices, i.e. $2g\mu_B H$ (g = Landé factor of $^3A_{2g}$, μ_B = Bohr magneton). For an isolated transition which gives rise to an absorption line (bandwidth γ) and when $g\mu_B H \ll \gamma$, the MCD $\Delta A_c(\sigma)$ looks like the first derivative of the absorption curve, i.e. $df(\sigma)/d\sigma$ [11]. In this case, we shall speak of a $df(\sigma)/d\sigma$ term. Above the DSF, the $df(\sigma)/d\sigma$ term vanishes because the magnetic energy of the two sublattices is identical.

2.1.2 *Spin-flop phase : d_x and d_y domains.* — For KNiF_3 , inside d_x and d_y domains the spins tend to align themselves along the field direction. Then the spin configuration is the same as in the spin-flop phase of a uniaxial antiferromagnet. So that the method of our calculations is valid whatever the crystal symmetry.

We shall use the Wigner functions for spin rotation in order to write the wave functions of the entire crystal under a magnetic field. We keep z as the quantisation axis, and consider that under the magnetic field, the spins of the a (or b) sublattice deviate through the angle $\pi - \theta$ (or θ) from the z direction in the ground state of the crystal ($\cos \theta = H/2 H_E$).

Then

$$|G\rangle' = A \prod_{n=1}^N |^3A_2 + 1\rangle'_{na} \prod_{m=1}^N |^3A_2 - 1\rangle'_{mb}$$

stands for the ground state of the crystal, with :

$$|^3A_2 + 1\rangle'_{na} = \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} |^3A_2 + 1\rangle_{na} + \sqrt{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} |^3A_2 0\rangle_{na} + \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} |^3A_2 - 1\rangle_{na}$$

$$|^3A_2 - 1\rangle'_{mb} = \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} |^3A_2 + 1\rangle_{mb} - \sqrt{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} |^3A_2 0\rangle_{mb} + \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} |^3A_2 - 1\rangle_{mb}. \quad (2.3)$$

The excited state $|^1E_g\rangle_{n\mu}$ of the $n\mu$ th ion is not modified by a spin rotation because its spin equals zero.

The exciton $|E^\mu, \mathbf{k}\rangle'$ is obtained by replacing $|^3A_2 + 1\rangle_{na}$ and $|^3A_2 - 1\rangle_{mb}$ by $|^3A_2 + 1\rangle'_{na}$ and $|^3A_2 - 1\rangle'_{mb}$ in the expression (2.2). Here we keep the same angle θ in the exciton wave function as in the ground state. We should have taken θ' depending upon the exchange between an excited ion and surrounding ground state ions while θ depends only upon the exchange in the ground state. Therefore, we are assuming that the excitation of one ion does not modify the spins of the others.

Petrov [12] has demonstrated that the spin-flop phase is characterised by the removal of the degeneracy between the excitonic levels

$$|E^\pm, \mathbf{k}\rangle = (1/\sqrt{2}) (|E^a, \mathbf{k}\rangle' \pm |E^b, \mathbf{k}\rangle'). \quad (2.4)$$

The splitting, which is called the Davidov splitting at $\mathbf{k} = 0$, is proportional to the energy of transfer of the excitation to 1E_g from one sublattice to the other; it depends upon the exchange interaction and is proportional to $\cos^2 \theta$ [12].

As in the case of the antiferromagnetic phase, we have calculated the MCD for the excitonic transitions ($|E^\pm \varepsilon\rangle$ and $|E^\pm \theta\rangle$). For $|E^- \varepsilon\rangle$ and $|E^- \theta\rangle$ the MCD is zero. For $|E^+ \varepsilon, \theta\rangle$ we find an MCD term proportional to the absorption curve $f(\sigma)$, the amplitude of which is :

$$\Delta A_c = (\frac{2}{3}) m_0^2 \cos \theta, \quad \text{for } |E^+ \varepsilon\rangle$$

$$\Delta A_c = -2 m_0^2 \cos \theta, \quad \text{for the exciton } |E^+ \theta\rangle,$$

where m_0 is proportional to the reduced matrix element of the magnetic dipole moment

$$\langle {}^1E_g || \hat{m} || {}^3A_{2g} \rangle.$$

The exchange interaction, the spin orbit coupling and the applied magnetic field do not lift the degeneracy of ${}^1E_g \varepsilon$ and ${}^1E_g \theta$ levels. Then for the pure excitonic transition we obtain $\Delta A_c/A_m = -\cos \theta$ where $A_m = 4 m_0^2/3$ stands for the maximum absorbance of the line in the absence of the magnetic field.

2.2 ON EXCITON-PHONON TRANSITIONS. — We now consider the exciton-phonon transitions induced by one odd parity phonon. We make the hypothesis that the MCD and absorption spectra can be interpreted by considering that the phonons t_{1u} at $\mathbf{k} = 0$ are the most influential [13]. Then it is possible to estimate the MCD in the antiferromagnetic [14] and in the spin-flop phases. For the latter case, in the same way as for the exciton transition, we calculate $\Delta A_c(\sigma)/A_m = \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta \cdot f(\sigma)$ for the exciton-one phonon t_{1u} transition.

It is interesting to compare our results to those of a pure ferromagnetic phase where

$$|G\rangle = \prod_{n=1}^N |{}^3A_2 - 1\rangle_n.$$

We deduce the MCD for the zero-phonon transition : $\Delta A_c(\sigma)/A_m = (-1) f(\sigma)$ and for the exciton-one phonon t_{1u} at $\mathbf{k} = 0$: $\Delta A_c(\sigma)/A_m = \frac{1}{2} f(\sigma)$. We note that in the spin-flop phase or for d_x and d_y domains, the MCD is only due to the ferromagnetic component.

2.3 GENERAL EXPRESSION FOR MCD. — In conclusion, the MCD allows us to separate the effects due to d_z domains from those due to d_x and d_y domains. In an ideal case and without perturbation, three kinds of domains (d_x , d_y , d_z) must exist. A magnetic field H_z favours d_x and d_y domains.

We have demonstrated that, if N_z represents the proportion of the d_z domains, the MCD is expressed by :

$$\frac{\Delta A_c(\sigma)}{A_m} = H \left[N_z a \mu_B \frac{df(\sigma)}{d\sigma} + (1 - N_z) cf(\sigma) \right] \quad (2.5)$$

in the rigid shift approximation. The a and c coefficients (Table I) depend on the optical transition.

TABLE I

a and c theoretical values for excitonic and exciton-phonon (t_{1u} at $\mathbf{k} = 0$) ${}^3A_{2g} \rightarrow {}^1E_g$ transition

Coefficient	Excitonic transition	Exciton-phonon (t_{1u}) transition
a	$+g$	$-\frac{g}{2}$
c	$ E^+\rangle$ $ E^-\rangle$	$-\frac{1}{2H_E}$ 0
		$\frac{1}{4H_E}$ 0

2.4 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS. — **2.4.1 General considerations.** — The MCD signals may be altered by linear magnetic birefringence and linear dichroism due to the inequivalency of the number of d_x and d_y domains.

Such a stray magnetic birefringence depends upon the quality and the thickness of the crystal and also upon the orientation of its fourfold axis z with respect to the light and the magnetic field directions. Small internal strains of several kg/cm^2 oriented along one of the x or y axes, lead to a large linear dichroism [5]. The most reliable spectra are obtained for crystals with only a few imperfections, impurities and internal strains.

We have obtained the spectra for a thin crystal ($e = 0.195 \text{ mm}$) of good quality. Before each experiment, we verified that no linear dichroism appeared at low temperature and in order to be sure that we observed the real MCD, we have also verified that its sign reverses on reversing $\mathbf{H}$.

Note that we obtained better results when we cooled the sample in the absence of an applied field. This is consistent with the fact that near T_N the spins orient easily themselves perpendicular to a small magnetic field.

2.4.2 Field dependence of the spectra. — The spectra for the ${}^3A_{2g} \rightarrow {}^1E_g$ transition are given in figure 1. Note that the DSF has been observed for all optical transitions but the ${}^3A_{2g} \rightarrow {}^1E_g$ transition has been chosen because of the simplicity of the excited state.

We observe MCD (Fig. 1) temperature independent terms ($5 \text{ K} < T < 25 \text{ K}$) proportional to $df(\sigma)/d\sigma$ for low magnetic fields and to $f(\sigma)$ for high fields ($H = 37 \text{ kOe}$), on the first absorption peaks which are well isolated in the spectrum. The high field spectrum is consistent with the MCD shape dispersion

FIG. 1. — Absorption at $H = 0$ (bottom) and MCD spectra (top) for the ${}^3A_{2g} \rightarrow {}^1E_g$ transition in KNiF_3 at 15 K. We have shown the MCD spectra for $H = 6.25$ kOe (—), $H = 19$ kOe (---), $H = 37$ kOe (-.-). The thickness of the sample is 0.195 mm. The bandwidth used is 3 cm^{-1} .

for the ferrimagnetic RbNiF_3 [13] where the ferromagnetic component aligns along the field.

Then we have followed the variation of MCD terms when slowly varying the magnetic field (25 Oe/s). The time constant used was 2.5 s. As an example figure 2a shows the variation of the extremum of a $df(\sigma)/d\sigma$ term for the first absorption peak with field. Because of the smallness of the MCD signal at high field, we also give the field variation for the extremum of the $f(\sigma)$ term for a larger peak located at 15485 cm^{-1} (Fig. 2b).

In a magnetic field the spin inside d_x or d_y domains should tilt and give a $f(\sigma)$ signal. The absence of such a signal at low field indicates an almost d_z type crystal. Between 12 kOe and 20 kOe, the $df(\sigma)/d\sigma$ term decreases and it reaches zero at $H_{cr} = 20$ kOe (Fig. 2a) meanwhile the $f(\sigma)$ term increases. This is consistent with the fact that the d_z domains disappear at the expense of d_x or d_y domains. Between 12 and 20 kOe, the MCD behaviour (Fig. 1) indicates clearly the coexistence of all phases. Above H_{cr} there only remain d_x and d_y domains. Since the linear dichroism is small, we conclude that they have practically equal importance.

At the same time we have observed a rapid variation of the absorption for all peaks around 15 kOe : if we increase the magnetic field, the first absorption peak located at 15347 cm^{-1} decreases by about 20 % and the others increase by only a few per cent, whatever the polarisation of the light. We cannot

FIG. 2. — a) Experimental (—) and calculated field dependence of the peak to peak amplitude of the $df(\sigma)/d\sigma$ MCD term for the magnetic dipole ${}^3A_{2g} \rightarrow {}^1E_g$ transition ($\sigma_0 = 15347 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) for $H_{cr} = 20$ kOe, $H_1 = 0$ (...), $H_1 = 11.6$ kOe (---), $H_1 = 14$ kOe (-.-); b) Field dependence of the magnitude of the $f(\sigma)$ MCD term for the first exciton-phonon (t_{1u}) peak which is located at 15485 cm^{-1} . For the (a) and (b) experimental curves the error does not exceed $\Delta A_c = 2 \times 10^{-5}$.

attribute this variation to birefringence and the associated linear dichroism because these have been verified to remain small ($\Delta A_L/A_m < 10^{-3}$). The absorption transition probabilities may thus be altered by the change in the site group symmetry from C_{4h} to C_{2h} , related to the change in the magnetisation direction.

2.5 DISCUSSION. — 2.5.1 At low magnetic field ($H < 12$ kOe). — The weak dipole strength of the first absorption peak located at 15347 cm^{-1} and the sign of its MCD $df(\sigma)/d\sigma$ term under a magnetic field confirm its magnetic dipolar origin. From the a value we can estimate $g = 2.3 \pm 0.1$, which is close to $g = 2.28$ predicted theoretically (Table I).

The energies of the other near absorption peaks, the sign and amplitude of the corresponding a values [14], $a = 1.1 \pm 0.2$, allow us to attribute them to t_{1u} phonon lines at $\mathbf{k} = 0$ (Table I).

These results confirm that at low field almost all the spins are oriented along z .

2.5.2 Above the critical field (20 kOe). — We verify (Fig. 2) that the MCD varies linearly with H above the transition, which confirms the fact that $\Delta A_c/A_m$ varies as $\cos \theta = H/2 H_E$. This fact supports our hypothesis $\theta = \theta'$. If we had $\theta' \neq \theta$ the MCD would be multiplied by new terms depending on H ,

such as the overlap of the wavefunctions of the ions which are not excited. For example

$$\langle {}^3A_2 + 1(\theta) | {}^3A_2 + 1(\theta') \rangle = \cos^2 [(\theta - \theta')/2].$$

Moreover, we find the proper sign for the exciton line (negative dichroism) and for the two first exciton-phonon (t_{1u}) lines (positive MCD). The experimental values of the corresponding $f(\sigma)$ terms are very small since $g\mu_B H$ (1.5 cm^{-1}) $\ll g\mu_B H_E$ which implies $\cos \theta$ to be very small. These values agree with those predicted theoretically by taking

$$g\mu_B H_E = |J|z\langle S \rangle = 420 \text{ cm}^{-1} \quad [13].$$

Thus we verify that the appearance of MCD in the spin-flop phase is only related to the net ferromagnetic component $\mathbf{m}$.

3. Evidence of a twin-wall movement. — We remark that the phenomenon seems to be reversible when increasing or decreasing the magnetic field (0-45 kOe). At low temperature (6 K) the sharpness of the transition is greater than at higher temperatures (210 K).

We give some evidence which argues against the hypothesis of a spin-flop transition similar to the phase transition in uniaxial antiferromagnets :

— H_{cr} depends on the crystal shape and on its thickness and varies from 8 to 22 kOe for our samples (0.2 to 14 mm).

— We find the same H_{cr} value for all temperatures between 6 K and 210 K.

— Our H_{cr} value does not correspond to the experimental one-magnon ($\mathbf{k} = 0$) Raman frequency : 4.5 cm^{-1} [15].

— No new transition appears at the theoretical spin-flop field at 4.5 cm^{-1} [15]. We have continued experiments up to 45.6 kOe (4.84 cm^{-1}).

— As the MCD presents no varying noise at the transition, we deduce [16] that there is no spin fluctuation at the DSF.

Thus we are going to show that the reversible movement of twin-walls precedes the spin flopping of the spins inside the domains, when increasing the magnetic field in cubic antiferromagnets, as has been suggested by Néel [8].

So we want to explain theoretically the DSF. For a domain (with direction cosines of the spins α, β, γ , with respect to the crystallographic axes), the free energy per unit volume due to the interaction with an applied magnetic field $\mathbf{H}$ (direction cosines μ, ν, ρ) can be defined as [6] :

$$F = \frac{1}{2}(\chi_{\perp} - \chi_{\parallel}) H^2 (\alpha\mu + \beta\nu + \gamma\rho)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\perp} H^2$$

where $\chi_{\perp}$ and $\chi_{\parallel}$ stand for the perpendicular and parallel susceptibilities of the crystal.

Here $\mu = \nu = 0, \rho = 1$. We consider a displacement x of the wall between a d_z domain and d_x or d_y domains, which corresponds to a rotation of the

spins from the ($\alpha = \beta = 0, \gamma = 1$) to the ($\alpha', \beta', \gamma' = \cos \varphi$) direction. The variation of free energy per area unit due to the volume variation may be written as : $-\frac{1}{2}x(\chi_{\perp} - \chi_{\parallel}) H^2 \sin^2 \varphi$.

The variation ΔF of the total energy, in which we must include the effect of the restoring force $kx^2/2$, has been minimized with respect to x and φ . We deduced

$$x = [(\chi_{\perp} - \chi_{\parallel}) H^2 \sin^2 \varphi] / 2k \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi = \frac{\pi}{2} + K\pi.$$

These results indicate that the walls move gradually with H and disappear when x reaches the value ℓ of the width of the d_z domains, at a field

$$H_{cr} = \sqrt{2k\ell/(\chi_{\perp} - \chi_{\parallel})}.$$

This agrees with the gradual field dependence of the MCD terms (Fig. 2) between 12 and 20 kOe. This behaviour is very different from the brutal SF transition in a uniaxial antiferromagnet. The sample dependence of the H_{cr} values is related to the dispersion of k and ℓ due to the poor-definition of the domains in cubic antiferromagnets.

We have looked more carefully at the field dependence of the MCD terms (Fig. 2). In the formula (2.5), N_z is proportional to the width ($\ell - x$) of the d_z domains. Then we have compared the field dependence of the $df(\sigma)/d\sigma$ term to the expression

$$N_z H \sim H(1 - H^2/H_{cr}^2)$$

(Fig. 2a) and that of the $f(\sigma)$ term to $H(H^2/H_{cr}^2)$ (Fig. 2b). In figure 2b we observe that there is no variation of the MCD term below 12 kOe, which disagrees with the $H(H^2/H_{cr}^2)$ law. Thus, we have introduced phenomenologically a new term in the expression of ΔF : $x B \sin^2 \varphi$ and we have deduced

$$H_1 = \sqrt{2B/(\chi_{\perp} - \chi_{\parallel})}$$

and

$$H_{cr} = \sqrt{2(B + k\ell)/(\chi_{\perp} - \chi_{\parallel})}.$$

For $H < H_1$, x is zero and there is no change in the domains structure. For

$$H > H_1, \quad x = \ell(H^2 - H_1^2)/(H_{cr}^2 - H_1^2).$$

A comparison between the experimental and calculated values of the $df(\sigma)/d\sigma$ term (Fig. 2a) has led us to estimate a threshold field $H_1 = (12 \pm 2) \text{ kOe}$.

The threshold field value depends upon the sample and varies from 0 to 15 kOe for our crystals. We have verified that the application of a stress along z , which favours d_z domains [5], increases the H_1 value. Thus, we have concluded that B , which is small compared to the anisotropy energy of KNiF_3 , may be the difference of anisotropy between the z and the x or y directions.

4. Conclusion. — We have shown that MCD experiments allow us to follow accurately the spin-flop transition. The MCD is related in a simple way to the tilt angle θ between the magnetic moments for two opposite sublattices in KNiF_3 under a magnetic field. In some antiferromagnets we may have the same configuration of a weak ferromagnetism, due to a Dzialoshinski exchange. Circular dichroism could be used in order to determine precisely the angle θ in these materials.

For the cubic antiferromagnet KNiF_3 we have shown the occurrence of a spin-flop transition.

Its description differs markedly from that of the same transition in uniaxial antiferromagnets because it is due to the reversible displacement of twin-walls. This work also illustrates some difficulties which can be encountered in magnetooptical measurements on cubic antiferromagnets. Such experiments must obviously be undertaken with great care.

We wish to thank Dr. F. Moch for fruitful discussions and we acknowledge the assistance of Mrs. Vernazza (Département de Recherches Physiques, Université Paris VI) who oriented and polished the samples.

References

- [1] JACOBS, I. S., *J. Appl. Phys.* **32** (1961) 615.
- [2] DUDKO, K. L., EREMenko, V. V. and FRIDMAN, V. M., *Sov. Phys. JETP* **34** (1972) 362.
- [3] MILLNER, A. A., POPKOV, Yu. A. and EREMenko, V. V., *Sov. Phys. JETP* **18** (1973) 20.
- [4] KING, A. R. and PAQUETTE, D., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **30** (1973) 662.
- [5] PISAREV, R. V., FERRE, J., DURAN, J. and BADOZ, J., *Solid State Commun.* **11** (1972) 913.
- [6] HIRAKAWA, K., HASHIMOTO, T. and HIRAKAWA, K., *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **16** (1961) 1934.
- [7] SLACK, G. A., *J. Appl. Phys.* **31** (1960) 1571.
- [8] NÉEL, L., Proc. of the Kyoto Conf. on theoret. Phys. 1953, Science Council of Japan, Tokyo (1954) 701.
- [9] BADOZ, J., BILLARDON, M., BOCCARA, A. C. and BRIAT, B., *Symp. Faraday Soc.* **3** (1969) 27.
- [10] SELL, D. D., GREENE, R. L. and WHITE, R. M., *Phys. Rev.* **158** (1967) 489.
- [11] COPSEY, D. N., MC CAFFERY, A. J., GALE, R., ROWE, M. D. and BRINT, P., *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **13** (1972) 533.
- [12] PETROV, E. G., *Sov. Phys. JETP* **33** (1971) 573.
- [13] PISAREV, R. V., FERRE, J., PETIT, R. H., KRICHEVTSOV, B. B. and SYRNIKOV, P. P., *J. Phys. C* **7** (1974) 4143.
- [14] FERRE, J., PISAREV, R. V., HARDING, M. J., BADOZ, J. and KIZHAEV, S. A., *J. Phys. C* **6** (1973) 1623.
- [15] MOCH, P. and DUGAUTIER, C., Proc. Int. Conf. Magn., Moscow, 1973.
- [16] GRIFFIN, J. A., SCHNATTERLY, S. E., FARGE, Y., REGIS, M. and FONTANA, M. P., *Phys. Rev. B* **10** (1974) 1960.