Sir, Garden et al. (2009) reported an important experimental study highlighting a potential mechanism for neuronal dysfunction distant from the site of damage, specifically a loss of synaptic plasticity in the retrosplenial/posterior cingulate cortex (PCC) after anterior thalamic lesion in the rat. In the discussion section of their article, they make the assumption that this phenomenon plays a role in the early episodic memory impairment characterizing Alzheimer's disease: the PCC would be disconnected from the anterior thalamic nucleus - affected by early neuronal/synaptic loss - through disruption of the cingulum bundle. This would in turn lead to PCC hypometabolism, which occurs very early in Alzheimer's disease and already at the stage of amnestic mild cognitive impairment (Moscovitch et al., 1997, Chételat et al., 2003a, b). The study by Garden et al. (2009) is therefore important for the understanding of the pathophysiology of the memory impairment that characterizes early Alzheimer's disease as the proposed underlying synaptic mechanism could be amenable to specific pharmacological modulation.

Garden et al. (2009) also allude to the current debate about the relative importance of disconnection versus local atrophy/direct neuronal damage in the PCC hypometabolism observed in amnestic mild cognitive impairment and early Alzheimer's disease. They write: “The notion that the retrosplenial/posterior cingulate hypometabolism in Alzheimer's disease is solely due to a disconnection from sites thought to atrophy prior to the retrosplenial cortex, such as the hippocampus or anterior thalamus (Chételat et al., 2008; Villain et al., 2008) is, however, probably incorrect.” We thank them for citing our work, but feel they have somewhat misquoted us by associating us to telencephalic lesion in the rat. In the discussion section of their article, they make the assumption that this phenomenon plays a role in the early episodic memory impairment characterizing Alzheimer's disease: the PCC would be disconnected from the anterior thalamic nucleus - affected by early neuronal/synaptic loss - through disruption of the cingulum bundle. This would in turn lead to PCC hypometabolism, which occurs very early in Alzheimer's disease and already at the stage of amnestic mild cognitive impairment (Moscovitch et al., 1997, Chételat et al., 2003a, b). The study by Garden et al. (2009) is therefore important for the understanding of the pathophysiology of the memory impairment that characterizes early Alzheimer's disease as the proposed underlying synaptic mechanism could be amenable to specific pharmacological modulation.

Additional intriguing issues, somewhat tricky to address, are (i) does PCC hypometabolism remain related to local atrophy even after correcting for partial volume effects, as a reflection of local burden of neuronal damage? and (ii) do additional mechanisms also contribute to PCC hypometabolism above and beyond actual volume loss and disconnection effects? To address these, we have reanalysed our data from Villain et al. (2008) aiming to assess the independent contribution of local atrophy and disconnection to the residual PCC hypometabolism after correction for partial volume effects, and the remaining part of PCC hypometabolism not explained by these two factors. Using the mean individual metabolic and grey matter Z-scores in the clusters of interest, we found partial volume effect-corrected PCC hypometabolism to be significantly related to local atrophy (Pearson $r^2 =0.42$; $P=0.003$) as well as cingulum bundle volume (Pearson $r^2 =0.7$; $P=10^{-7}$; Fig. 1, blue slopes). We then included both the cingulum bundle white matter Z-scores and the PCC grey matter Z-scores as independent variables in a multiple regression analysis with PCC hypometabolism as the dependent variable. The model was found to be highly significant as a whole (multiple $r^2 =0.70$; $P=10^{-7}$); the cingulum bundle alone was found to provide a significant independent contribution to this model (semi-partial $r^2 =0.28$; $P=10^{-7}$), but PCC atrophy alone did not independently contribute to the model (semi-partial $r^2 <0.01$; $p=0.68$; see Fig. 1, orange slopes). Thus, overall these data suggest that local atrophy does not independently
Contribute to PCC hypometabolism after partial volume effect correction, i.e. FDG uptake per gram of brain tissue is not influenced by factors conducting to, associated with or downstream of, local atrophy, while disconnection explains a large fraction (here 70%) of this measurement. Beyond methodological factors, the remaining unexplained 30% of total variance probably reflects other non-atrophy-related functional alterations, e.g. amyloid deposition, and/or disconnection from other bundles. We are currently analysing the data from a longitudinal study which will hopefully help in elucidating the temporal sequence of events and the causal relationships between these.

References:

Figure 1
Contribution of local atrophy and cingulum bundle disruption to PVE-corrected PCC hypometabolism

PCC hypometabolism is significantly correlated to both Cingulum white matter atrophy (A) and PCC Grey Matter atrophy (B) when assessed separately (blue slopes). However, only Cingulum bundle disruption remained significantly related to PVE-corrected PCC hypometabolism when controlling for PCC atrophy (A, orange slopes), whereas PCC atrophy did not independently contribute to PCC hypometabolism when Cingulum white matter atrophy was controlled (B, orange).