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The super healer Murphy Roths Large (MRL) mouse represents the “holy grail” of mammalian regenerative model to decipher the key mechanisms that underlies regeneration in mammals. At a time when mesenchymal stem cell (MSC)-based therapy represents the most promising approach to treat degenerative diseases such as osteoarthritis (OA), identification of key factors responsible for the regenerative potential of MSC derived from MRL mouse would be a major step forward for regenerative medicine. In the present study, we assessed and compared MSC derived from MRL (MRL MSC) and C57BL/6 (BL6 MSC) mice. First, we compare the phenotype and the differentiation potential of MRL and BL6 MSC and did not observe any difference. Then, we evaluated the proliferation and migration potential of the cells and found that while MRL MSC proliferate at a slower rate than BL6 MSC, they migrate at a significantly higher rate. This higher migration potential is mediated, in part, by MRL MSC-secreted products since MRL MSC conditioned medium that contains a complex of released factors significantly increased the migration potential of BL6 MSC. A comparative analysis of the secretome by quantitative shotgun proteomics and Western blotting revealed that MRL MSC produce and release higher levels of mesencephalic astrocyte-derived neurotrophic factor (MANF) as compared to MSC derived from BL6, BALB/c, and DBA1 mice. MANF knockdown in MRL MSC using a specific small interfering RNA (siRNA) reduced both MRL MSC migration potential in scratch wound assay and their regenerative potential in the ear punch model in BL6 mice. Finally, injection of MRL MSC silenced for MANF did not protect mice from OA development. In conclusion, our results evidence that the enhanced regenerative potential and protection from OA of MRL mice might be, in part, attributed to their MSC, an effective reservoir of MANF.
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INTRODUCTION

The super healer Murphy Roths Large (MRL) mice, is an attractive model to study tissue regeneration in mammals. Indeed, MRL mice display the extraordinary capacity to regenerate several musculoskeletal tissues such as ear wounds, injured articular cartilage and amputated digits without scarring (Clark et al., 1998; Fitzgerald et al., 2008; Ward et al., 2008; Kwiatkowski et al., 2016; Deng et al., 2019; Sinha et al., 2019). Although the mechanisms underlying MRL mice regenerative capabilities are intensively studied, the exact process responsible for tissue regeneration is not fully understood.

The cartilage regeneration potential of MRL mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) have been first tested in a model of posttraumatic arthritis. In this study, the authors assessed and compared the effect of the intra-articular injection of MSC derived from the bone marrow (BM) of MRL and C57BL/6 (BL6) mice and found that both MSC exhibit a similar protective effect (Diekman et al., 2013). In line with this study, a similar cartilage repair capacity has been described for MSC derived from the synovial of MRL and C57BL/6 mice when tested in a focal cartilage defect (Mak et al., 2016). However, although MRL MSC did not exhibit superior capacity to repair the cartilage compared to BL6 MSC, only MRL MSC were found within the defect area. More recently, extracellular vesicles produced by chondrogenic progenitor cells derived from MRL and CBA mice were tested in a mouse model of osteoarthritis induced by surgical destabilization of the medial meniscus (DMM). Extracellular vesicles derived from MRL cells had a greater anti-osteoarthritic potential than extracellular vesicles derived from CBA (Wang et al., 2020). In an inflammatory environment, MSC release factors that possess potent anti-inflammatory effects and influence cartilage matrix turnover (Van Buul et al., 2012). However, the anti-osteoarthritic activity of soluble factors released by MRL MSC have never been investigated in experimental model of osteoarthritis (OA).

Mesencephalic astrocyte-derived neurotrophic factor (MANF) is a protein evolutionarily conserved (Lindholm and Saarma, 2010) that is expressed by most if not all tissues in the body (Lindholm et al., 2008). MANF expression is induced upon various stress signals and generate a cytoprotective response in different systems (Lindahl et al., 2017). In vivo, MANF has been described for its role on tissue regeneration and inflammation resolution (Voutilainen et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2015; Neves et al., 2016). Indeed, in damaged retina of flies and mice, MANF expression is induced in innate immune cells, activating them and inducing an enhanced neuroprotection and tissue repair (Neves et al., 2016). MANF facilitates the differentiation and migration of neural progenitor cells and thus increasing neuroblast recruitment in stroke cortex (Tseng et al., 2018). Moreover, MANF is a systemic regulator of metabolic and immune homeostasis in young individuals. Indeed, MANF supplementation improves different hallmarks of liver aging including inflammation, hepatosteatosis and metabolic dysfunction (Sousa-Victor et al., 2019). These studies give rise to the questions of whether MANF is expressed in adult MRL mouse that have retained features of embryonic metabolism (Naviaux et al., 2009) and whether MSC derived from different mouse strains release different levels of MANF that could be correlated with their pro-regenerative or/and anti-osteoarthritic potential.

In the present study, we addressed whether the regenerative potential and the anti-osteoarthritic potential of MRL mice could be attributed to the intrinsic particularities of MSC focusing on the role of MANF.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

MSC Isolation and Expansion

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) were isolated from the bone marrow (BM) of MRL/Mpj (MRL MSC) and C57BL/6 mice (BL6 MSC), expanded and phenotypically and functionally characterized after their spontaneous immortalization in vitro as previously described by our laboratory (Bouffi et al., 2010). The MSC were used between passages 15 and 20 for the present study.

MSC Immunophenotype

MRL and BL6 MSC were phenotypically characterized according to the expression levels of hematopoietic and stromal cell antigens using specific antibodies against CD73, CD90, interferon (IFN)-γR1, F4/80, CD44, stem cells antigen-1 (SCA-1), CD11b, and CD45 (BD Pharmingen) and evaluated by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). Analysis was performed using the FlowJo software (BD Pharmingen).

MSC Differentiation

Mesenchymal stem cell was induced to differentiate into chondrocytes, adipocytes and osteoblasts as previously described (Bouffi et al., 2010). Briefly, for adipogenesis, cells were seeded at 10^4 cells/cm^2 in complete Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM)-F12 (Invitrogen) containing 16 µM biotin, 18 µM pantothenic acid, 100 µM ascorbic acid, 5 µg/ml insulin, 0.03 µM dexamethasone, 1 µg/ml transferring, 2 ng/ml triiodothyronine (T3) and 100 nM rosiglitazone (Sigma). Formation of lipid droplets was visualized by Oil red O staining after a 1 h fixation step in 3% glutaraldehyde. For osteogenesis, cells were seeded at low confluence at 3 x 10^3 cells/cm^2 in proliferation media. After the cells have reached confluency, the media was replaced with osteogenic media composed of DMEM (Invitrogen) completed with 10% of fetal calf serum, 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin, 2 mmol/mL glutamine, 0.5 µg/ml of ascorbic acid and 3 µM NaH2PO4 (Sigma). The capacity of differentiated MSC to mineralize the extracellular matrix was assessed by Alizarin Red staining. The chondrogenic differentiation of MSC was induced using the micropellets protocol. To that end, cells were seeded at 2.5 x 10^5 cells/well in 96-Well Polypropylene (NUNC), centrifuge at 400 g for 5 min and cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen) completed with 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin, 10 µM of sodium-pyruvate, 1.7 µM of ascorbic acid-2-phosphate, insulin-transferrin-selenium (ITS, Sigma) and 1 ng/ml of human Transforming Growth Factor β3 (hTGF-β3, R&D System). After 21 days, the pellets were fixed in paraformaldehyde (PFA) and immunohistochemistry was performed on paraffin sections.
Chondrocyte Isolation, Expansion and Treatment

Chondrocytes were isolated from OA patients undergoing total knee replacement surgery as previously described (Maumus et al., 2013). Briefly, articular cartilage was harvested from the femoral condyles of 12 patients. Consent of donors was approved by the French Ministry of Research and Innovation (approvals DC2009-1052 and DC-2010-1185). Slices of knee cartilage were incubated within 2.5 mg/mL pronase (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France) for 1 h and then at 37°C overnight within 2 mg/mL collagenase type II (Sigma). Digested slices were filtrated through cell strainer (70 µm) and the cell suspension was cultured in DMEM completed with 10% of fetal calf serum, 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin and 2 mmol/mL glutamine at the density of 25,000 cell/cm² till the end of passage 1. Chondrocytes were plated at high density and cultured alone or with MANF (50 ng/mL; R&D System) and maintained for 7 days in minimal medium composed of DMEM supplemented with proline (0.35 mmol/L), ascorbic acid (0.17 mmol/L) and sodium pyruvate (1 mmol/L). Finally, the cells were collected for the analysis by RT-qPCR.

MSC Proliferation

To evaluate the proliferation rate of MSC, the cells were culture in a proliferative medium containing DMEM supplemented with 10% of fetal calf serum, 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin and 2 mmol/mL glutamine during 7 days. The number of population doublings was estimated to compare the proliferation capacities of MSC populations. MSC were seeded at 5000 cells/cm² and counted when 80–90% of confluency was reached. For the proliferation assessment using the PrestoBlue™ assay (Life-Technologies, Courtabeuf, France), MSC were seeded at low density (5000 cells/cm²) in a 6 well plates in proliferative medium. After 7 days, MSC number was evaluated.

Proteome and Secretome Collection

Primary MSC derived from the bone marrow of BL6, BALB/c, DBA1, and MRL mice were cultured in a proliferative medium. Then, cells were washed three times with PBS prior to be cultured in a serum-free and phenol red-free DMEM medium overnight. MSC conditioned medium was collected (14 mL) and centrifuged at 3,000 × g for 5 min at 4°C to remove cells and cell debris and then filtered through a 0.22 µm pore size membrane. Supernatants were adjusted to 0.025% with anionic acid labile surfactant (AALS I, Progenta), concentrated at 4°C in VivaSpin 20 ultrafiltration units (#28-9323-58 MWCO: 3 kDa) and dialyzed at 4°C against 50x volumes of 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ containing 0.025% AALS I. Protein concentration in the retentates was assessed using the NanoDrop 2000c Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and adjusted to 0.1 mg/mL with dialfiltration buffer. Secretomes were kept frozen at −20°C until use. Cells were detached from the culture dishes by scraping into PBS on ice and lyzed by sonication in a lysis buffer containing 1% SDS in PBS supplemented with complete™ mini EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche) and Halt™ Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as well as 2500 units/ml of Benzonase®-endonuclease (purity grade II, Merck). Lysates were centrifuged at 20,000 × g for 15 min at room temperature to sediment undissolved material. Supernatants were collected and their protein content was quantified by the BCA protein assay (Pierce). Cell proteomes were kept frozen at −20°C until use.

Proteolytic Digestion

Cell secretomes (15 µg protein) prepared from MRL and BL6 MSC were reduced in the presence of 10 mM dithiothreitol in 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ at 56°C for 30 min and then alkylated by adding 20 mM iodoacetamide for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. After the reduction and alkylation steps, proteins were digested with trypsin (0.75 µg) overnight at 37°C. After centrifugation, protein digests were collected, diluted twice with an equal volume of 0.2% (v/v) formic acid in H₂O, and filtered through 0.22 µm pore size membrane prior to LC-MS/MS analysis.

NanoLC-MS/MS Analysis, Proteins Identification, Quantification, and Statistical Analysis

Mass spectrometry (MS) analysis and data treatment was performed as previously described (Buzy, 2011; Autelitano et al., 2014). Briefly, peptide digests were analyzed on an Ultimate/Famos/Switchos suite of instruments (Dionex) connected to a hybrid LTQ Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with the instruments setup and parameters described in the Supplementary Materials. Database searches were done using an internal MASCOT server (version 2.1, matrix Science®) using the UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot mouse protein knowledgebase2 with the search parameters as described in the Supplementary Methods. For protein quantification, raw data were processed by an in-house label-free software, DIFFTAL (DIFferential Fourrier Transform Analysis) as previously published (Autelitano et al., 2014; Delcourt et al., 2015) and described in the Supplementary Materials. For statistical analysis, DIFFTAL data normalizations (loess normalization at sample level and median central tendency at match set level), protein ratio (“Effect size”) and statistic p-value (ANOVA) calculations were performed using DanteR 0.0.1 software. For biostatistics analysis of average differences in protein mean intensities (“Effect size”), between multiple replicate samples of MRL MSC and BL6 MSC, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed. “Effect size,” a simple way of quantifying the size of the differences between the experimental and the reference group, was calculated. A difference of 1.5 in the Effect size was considered as a significant difference.

Bioinformatic Analysis

The identified proteins were analyzed using ProteinCenter bioinformatic tools (Proxeon Bioinformatics®). We made several

1http://www.matrixscience.com/
2http://www.uniprot.org/
3http://omics.pnl.gov/software/
4http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services
protein sequences in one FASTA format file and submitted it to each program. SignalP (version 4.0) was used to predict the presence of signal peptides in the identified proteins (D-cut-off values for SignalP-noTM networks > 0.45 or SignalP-TM networks > 0.5 as the default cut-off for signal peptide = ‘Yes’) (Petersen et al., 2011). The SecretomeP program (version 2.0) was used to predict the possibility of non-classical protein secretion (SignalP signal peptide = ‘No’; and SecretomeP score > 0.5 in mammal proteins) (Bendensen et al., 2004).

### Western Blot Analysis

Aliquots of cell proteomes or secretomes (20 μg protein) were mixed with 4x XT sample buffer (Bio Rad) containing 10% (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol and heated at 60°C for 30 min before Western blot analysis. Proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE on NuPAGE®Novex® - 12% Bis-Tris gels (Bio Rad) using the NuPAGE®MES SDS Running Buffer (Bio Rad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Proteins from SDS-PAGE were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes and stained with the BLOT-FastStain™ Kit (G Biosciences) to determine transfer efficiency and reveal the protein expression profile of each sample. Blots were probed with antibodies directed against MANF (Abcam, Catalog # ab67271), growth arrest specific 6 (GAS6, R&D Systems, Catalog # MAB986), neudesin neurotrophic factor (NENF; Abcam, Catalog # ab127002), or αTubulin. Immune complexes were visualized with enhanced chemiluminescence using the Amersham ECL Western blotting Detection Reagents (GE Healthcare) and X-ray films. Autoradiographs were scanned using the GS-800 Calibrated Densitometer (Bio-Rad). To quantitate the amount of protein present on the blot, signal volumes of MANF, GAS6, NENF, SEMA5A, and αTubulin were measured with Quantity One®-1-D Analysis Software (Bio Rad) using volume analysis. The intensity value of each target protein band was normalized against the intensity value of αTubulin gel band used as the internal loading control for each sample.

### MSC Transfection With siRNA

Mesenchymal stem cell was transfected at subconfluence (60%) with 200 nM of control siRNA (siCTRL) or the siRNA against MANF (siMANF) (Silencer Select RNAi, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Illkirch) using oligofectamine reagent (Life Technologies, Courtaboeuf) and according to the supplier’s recommendations.

### RT-qPCR

Total RNA was isolated from each sample using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf) and the quantity and purity of the total RNA were determined by using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop ND, Thermo Fisher Scientific). cDNA was synthesized by reverse transcribing 500 ng RNA into cDNA using the M-MLV enzyme (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Quantitative PCR was performed using the SybrGreen PCR Master Mix (Roche) and a LightCycler®-480 Detection system, following manufacturer’s recommendations. Specific primers for mouse Vimentin, Cadherin-11, N-Cadherin, E-Cadherin, ICAM-1, Integrinβ1, NCAM, MANF, RPS9 and human TYPE 2B COLLAGEN (Col2B), AGGRECAN (AGN), LINK and SOX9 were designed using the Primer3 software (Table 1). Values were expressed as relative mRNA level of specific gene expression as obtained using the 2−ΔΔ Ct method, using the RPS9 expression as housekeeping gene.

### Scratch Wound Healing

In order to evaluate the migratory potential of the cells, we used the scratch wound assay. 2.5 × 10⁵ cells were seeded in TC24 treated plates for imaging (Thermo Fischer Scientific) and

---

**Table 1** | Proteins identified and quantified in MRL MSC and BL6 MSC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Forward sequence</th>
<th>Reverse sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vimentin</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>CAG CTACCCA</td>
<td>TCTGCAAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ACGAACAACCGG</td>
<td>TTCTCTGQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>CAGGCGCAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cacherin-11</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>CTTGTCTGGCAT</td>
<td>TTCTCTCACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CAGTCATTTC</td>
<td>ACCCCCTTTGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Cadherin</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>TAGACAGAGAGCQ</td>
<td>CAGCACTTTAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CATCTCATTG</td>
<td>AGCGGCTTCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Cadherin</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>TCAAGCTGCTT</td>
<td>TGCTGCTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GACAGCGAGCT</td>
<td>GCCCTAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICAM-1</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>CAATTCTTCATG</td>
<td>AGCTGAAAGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CGGAGACAG</td>
<td>AGAAAAAGTCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrinβ1</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>CACGAGATCT</td>
<td>TGCTGACACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GGGTTTACCT</td>
<td>GCTGACTTGAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCAM</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>CAGGAGCTTCTT</td>
<td>TGQAAGAAGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GAAATCATTGC</td>
<td>GGTGTTGCTGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANF</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>CATGCTCCCTC</td>
<td>GACACCCAGAAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TTGCAGCTGC</td>
<td>GCOAACACEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPS9</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>GCGTTGTGAGCC</td>
<td>ATCTTCAAGGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TAGACAGGAGA</td>
<td>CAGGQTGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPS9</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>GATTACATCCTG</td>
<td>ATGAAAGACGQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCCTGCTQA</td>
<td>GATGTCTTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagen-2</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>CAGACAGCTTG</td>
<td>TCTGCTGTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TGCTGCTCT</td>
<td>CCGGACAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggrecon</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>TCGAGGGACA</td>
<td>TCGAGGGGTTGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GACGGGGGCGG</td>
<td>CGTGTAGAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINK</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>TTCCACCAAG</td>
<td>GTGAAAACGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CCAAACATCTTT</td>
<td>AGTTTGTGATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX9</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>AGGTGCTCAAA</td>
<td>GATACGCGGTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCCGTCAAG</td>
<td>GGCTCTTCT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Displayed columns include the HUGO ID, UniProtKB accession number, description, type and subcellular location (annotated by UniProtKB), maximum number of unique peptide, normalized protein intensity (Log2 value), average differences in protein mean intensities (Effect Size) between multiple replicate samples of MRL MSC and BL6 MSC (n = 2). SignalP (version 4.0, http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP4.0) was used to predict the presence of signal peptides in the identified proteins (D-cut-off values for SignalP-noTM networks > 0.45 or SignalP-TM networks > 0.5 as the default cut-off for signal peptide = ‘Yes’). The SecretomeP program (version 2.0, http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SecretomeP2.0) was used to predict the possibility of nonclassical protein secretion (SignalP signal peptide = ‘No’; and SecretomeP score > 0.5 in mammal proteins). Proteins annotated as “secreted” in UniProtKB are indicated with “Yes”.

---

³http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP4.0
⁴http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SecretomeP2.0
the wound was performed manually once the cells adhered to the plastic. The wound closure was studied using an inverted microscope (Axiovert 200M, Zeiss) equipped with a motorized stage driven with Metamorph 7.0 software (Molecular Devices) (MRI facility). During the study, cells were maintained at 37°C with 5% of CO2. Images were taken each hour for a 24-h period. The wounded area was measured every hour using Image J Software. Area Under Curve (AUC), corresponding to the closure graph was calculated with GraphPad Prism software and normalized (percentage).

**RESULTS**

**MRL and BL6 MSC Exhibit a Similar Phenotype and Differentiation Potential**

First, we studied the phenotype and the differentiation potential of MRL and BL6 MSC. After a long culture process in a medium containing fetal calf serum (Bouffi et al., 2010) to obtain a morphologically homogeneous MSC population with a spindle-shaped fibroblastic appearance, we phenotypically characterized the cells. Both MRL and BL6 MSC were positive for markers classically expressed by murine MSC (Bouffi et al., 2010) that include CD29, CD44, CD73, Sca-1 and they were negative for CD11b, CD45 and F4/80 (Figure 1A). Both MSC were slightly positive for IFNRI previously demonstrated to be correlated with the immunoregulatory function of MSC (Luz-Crawford et al., 2015). Of note, the phenotypic differences observed between murine MSC derived from different strains of mice, are not related to the passage numbers but rather to do the strain of mouse they come from as we have previously reported (Bouffi et al., 2010). After induction of MSC differentiation toward the three specific lineages, both MRL and BL6 MSC gave rise to chondrocytes, adipocytes and osteoblasts as shown by the expression of aggrecan, the presence of lipid droplets in cultures and Alizarin Red S staining, respectively (Figure 1B). These results show that MRL and BL6 MSC display a similar phenotype and differentiation potential.

**MRL MSC Exhibit a Higher Migration Potential That BL6 MSC**

Since a clear relationship between MSC migration and tissue repair has been established (Fu et al., 2019), we compared the migration potential of MRL and BL6 MSC. To specifically study the migration, we first compare the proliferation rate of the two cells after a synchronization step and culture within a medium containing FCS. The number of population doublings (NPD), i.e., the total number of times MSC doubled within 7 days (in a single passage) was evaluated and we found that MRL MSC showed significantly lower NPD than BL6 MSC (Figure 2A). This was confirmed using the PrestoBlue assay that defined the proliferation of MSC after 2 days of culture (Figure 2A). Then, the non-directional migration of MRL and BL6 MSC was analyzed in a scratch wound assay by evaluating the area of the wound every 3 h during 36 h post-wounding using Image J software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, United States). Representative images from scratch wound healing assay revealed, 36 h post-wounding, a complete resurfacing of the wound for MRL MSC but not for BL6 MSC (Figure 2B). The extent of healing/migration was defined as the percentage of open wound area between the original (100% at time 0) and the residual wound area at 24 h (Figure 2B). The curve of the percentage of open wound area over the healing period (0–24 h) and the corresponding area under curve (AUC) which reflects the migration potential of the cells revealed that MRL MSC closed faster the wound than BL6
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FIGURE 1 | MRL MSC and BL6 MSC have the same phenotype and differentiation potential. (A) Phenotype of MRL and BL6 MSC was assessed by flow cytometry using different antibodies that include CD73, IFNγR1, F4/80, CD44, CD29, CD45, SCA-1, and CD11b. The percentages of positive cells are indicated in red in the flow cytometry data. (B) Differentiation potential of MSC. The chondrogenic differentiation of MRL and BL6 MSC was assessed using an anti-aggrecan positive staining on pellet sections. The adipogenic potential of MSC was by the visualization of lipid droplets by phase contrast microscopy at day 21 of the differentiation process. Finally, the osteogenic differentiation potential of MSC was defined by Alizarin Red S positive staining.

MSC (Figure 2B). Altogether these results indicate that the slow-proliferating MRL MSC display a higher migration potential than the fast-proliferating BL6 MSC.

MRL MSC Secretome Is Responsible for Their Higher Migration Potential

Following their systemic injection, MSC migrate and accumulate at inflammation and injured sites (Rustad and Gurtner, 2012). The migration potential of MSC is controlled by several regulatory factors including adhesion molecules that also orchestrate their differentiation potential, immunoregulatory properties and their paracrine function in tissue repair (Ren et al., 2010; Fu et al., 2019). In this part of the study, we set out to examine how adhesive molecules could be correlated to the higher rate of migration of MRL MSC over BL6 MSC. Using RT-qPCR we studied the expression profiles of adhesion molecules in the two MSC. First, we found that Vimentin, whose expression is positively correlated with MSC migration (Huang et al., 2015), was highly expressed in MRL MSC as compared to BL6 MSC (Figure 3A). Similarly, ICAM-1, expressed in MSC at a low level in physiological condition and at high level in an inflammatory environment to promote MSC homing to the target and immune organs (Li et al., 2019), was expressed at
a significantly higher level in MRL MSC than in BL6 MSC (Figure 3B). The other adhesion molecules studied such as Cadherin-11 (Figure 3C), N-Cadherin (Figure 3D), E-Cadherin (Figure 3E), Integrinβ1 (Figure 3F), and NCAM (Figure 3G) were expressed at a lower level in MRL MSC that in BL6 MSC. We also explored whether the higher regenerative potential of MRL MSC could be associated with soluble factors they release in culture since the regenerative potential of MSC is well-recognized to be mediated through their secretome (Menendez-Menendez et al., 2017). To that end, we cultured freshly wounded BL6 MSC with a conditioned supernatant (CM) obtained from a 24-h culture of subconfluent MRL MSC cultured in the proliferative medium (MRL CM). Scratch wounded BL6 MSC cultured with the MRL CM exhibited an enhanced capacity to resurface the wounded area as compared to BL6 MSC cultured in the proliferative medium (Figure 3H). This result suggests that the highest migration potential of MRL MSC compared to BL6 MSC is, in part, mediated through a paracrine mechanism.

**MRL MSC Produce and Release High Amount of MANF as Compared to MSC Derived From Different Mouse Strains**

In order to identify factors responsible for MRL MSC migration potential, we used label-free quantitative shotgun proteomics to identify secreted proteins that are differentially expressed between MRL MSC and BL6 MSC. Secretomes of MRL MSC and BL6 MSC were analyzed based on the protein intensities measured by LC-MS/MS (n = 2 per cell type). A total of 904 quantifiable proteins were identified with ≥2 peptides among which 127 were annotated as “Extracellular Space” in UniProtKB/Swissprot database and 411 were predicted as secretory proteins by the in silico bioinformatics tools SignalP (Petersen et al., 2011) and SecretomeP (Bendtsen et al., 2004) and Swissprot protein database annotation (Supplementary Table). We identified 810 proteins differentially expressed by at least 1.5-fold between MRL MSC and BL6 MSC. Of these, 625 proteins showed increased secretion in MRL MSC, while 185 proteins showed decreased secretion compared to BL6 MSC.

Since nerve regeneration in the MRL ear wound preceded vascularization (Buckley et al., 2011), recapitulating early mammalian development and that denervation of the ear annihilated MRL mouse regenerative ability (Buckley et al., 2012), we focused our attention on proteins with neurotrophic properties showing more than 1.5-fold increased secretion in MRL MSC compared to BL6 MSC. Among these proteins, we identified GAS6, NENF, and MANF (Figure 4A). Regarding the factors showing increased secretion in BL6 MSC compared to MRL MSC, we considered one member of the semaphorin family: SEMA5A. Using Western blot method, we studied the expression profiles of MANF, NENF, GAS6 and SEMA5a in MSC from different mouse strains to identify proteins specifically highly expressed in MRL-MSC (Figure 4B). We found that MANF was the only protein among the four tested to be overexpressed in MRL MSC as compared to MSC derived from the bone marrow of BL6, BALB/c, and DBA1 mice (Figure 4B). By RT-qPCR we confirmed that MANF was overexpressed in MRL MSC as compared to BL6 MSC (Figure 4C).

Since the MRL CM contained factors that enhanced the migration potential of BL6 MSC, we studied the differential expression profile of the candidate factors in the conditioned medium (CM) of MSC derived from MRL, BL6, BALB/c and DBA1 mice using Western blotting. We found that while MANF was not or poorly produced in the CM of BL6, BALB/c and DBA1 it was highly released in MRL MSC cultures (Figures 4D, E).
These results identified MANF as a factor potentially involved in the regenerative process of MRL mice.

**MANF Is Necessary for the Regenerative Potential of MRL MSC in vitro and in vivo**

To go further, we studied the role of MANF on the regenerative potential of MRL MSC. First, we tested the role of MANF on the non-directional migration potential of MRL MSC using the scratch wound assay. To that end, we used the small interfering RNA (siRNA) approach to knock down the expression of MANF in MRL MSC. 48 h post-transfection (at day 0 of the scratch wound assay) of MSC with a siRNA against MANF (siMANF), MANF expression was reduced by 30% compared with the MSC transfected with the control siRNA (siCTL) (Figure 5A). The percentage of open wound area over the 24-h healing period was calculated from the curve of open wound area percentage over the healing period (0–24 h). *p ≤ 0.05.
FIGURE 4 | MRL MSC produced and released higher amount of MANF than BL6, BALB/c and DBA1 MSC. (A) Proteomic analysis of differential expression of MANF, GAS6, NENF, and SEMA5A in MRL MSC compared to BL6 MSC secretomes. Effect size indicate the standardized mean difference in protein expression level between MRL MSC and BL6 MSC. For each protein, the median intensity levels (Log2 value) in MRL MSC and BL6 MSC are indicated. Normalized protein intensities were used to calculate the Effect size MRL/BL6. (B) Western blot analysis of MANF, GAS6, NENF, and SEMA5A in whole-cell extracts from BL6, BALB/c and DBA1 MSC. The intensity value of each target protein band was normalized against the intensity value of α-Tubulin gel band used as the internal loading control for each sample. (C) MANF mRNA expression level in MRL MSC and BL6 MSC. Western blot analysis of supernatants of BL6, BALB/c, and DBA1 MSC showing blotted proteins stained with Ponceau S (D) and the MANF protein band revealed by the anti-MANF antibody (E). MRL MSC whole cell extract was used as a positive control.

period (Figure 5B) and the corresponding AUC (Figure 5C) which reflects the migration potential of the cells revealed that MANF silencing reduced the capacity of MRL MSC to resurface the wound area. To define whether MANF released by MRL MSC is responsible for their migration potential, we cultured freshly wounded BL6 MSC with a conditioned supernatant (CM) obtained from a 24-h culture of subconfluent MRL MSC transfected with siMANF (MRL siMANF CM). Scratch wounded
BL6 MSC cultured with the MRL siMANF CM tend to exhibit a reduced capacity to resurface the wounded area compared to BL6 MSC cultured with the control MRL CM as revealed by the higher AUC (Figure 5D). Altogether these results suggest that the high migration potential of MRL MSC, in vitro, partly depends on their capacity to release MANF.

The MRL mouse has been well described for its remarkable capacity for cartilaginous wound closure and regeneration two decades ago (Clark et al., 1998). Indeed, 2 mm punch wounds made into MRL/MpJ mice ears closed with regeneration after 30 days, whereas they did not close in the C57BL/6 mice. Herein, we tested whether the administration of MRL MSC could...
induce the regeneration in BL6 mice and whether this relies on MANF production. To that end, a 2 mm punch wounds was made into BL6 mice. Wounded mice were either untreated or treated with MSC (MRL siCTL) injected along the wound edge. Measurements of the ear punch wound area at day 44 revealed that MRL MSC induced a regenerative process leading to a significant decrease of the wound size, 40% reduction in area, as compared to the untreated mice (Figures 5E,F). Then, we assessed, whether this regenerative process mediated by MRL MSC was associated with their high expression level of MANF. The ear punch wound area of BL6 mice treated with MRL MSC deficient for MANF (MRL MSC siMANF) did not show any difference with the untreated mice (Figures 5E,F). Overall, this finding suggests that the in vivo regenerative potential of MRL MSC in BL6 mice depends, in part, on MANF expression level.

**DISCUSSION**

This study provides the first evidence that MRL MSC induce ear hole regeneration and protect mice from osteoarthritis through their high capacity to release MANF.

First, we showed that the slow-proliferating MRL MSC display a higher migration potential than the fast-proliferating BL6 MSC. This is in line with the established relationship between MSC migration and tissue repair (Fu et al., 2019). Due to their paracrine ability, migration, adhesion and homing potential, MSC have been intensively studied in the field of regenerative medicine. Thus, it is tempting to believe that the identification of key factors for MSC migration and regeneration potential will be of high interest for novel therapy of degenerative diseases. Thus, due to their enhanced migration potential mediated, in part, through the release of paracrine factors, we focused on the secretome of MRL MSC. We found that MANF was specifically highly produced by MRL MSC as compared to MSC derived from other mouse strains. The role of MANF in tissue regeneration has already been described. Indeed, in mice and flies, in response to retina damages retina of flies and mice, MANF was induced in innate immune cells. MANF activated innate immune cells, enhanced cytoprotection and improved tissue repair (Neves et al., 2016). In this study, MANF was shown to be necessary and sufficient to induce the *Drosophila* homolog of the mammalian anti-inflammatory macrophage marker *arginase1* in hemocytes, suggesting an association between MANF expression, resolution of inflammation and tissue repair in the retina (Neves et al., 2016).

Osteoarthritis (OA) is an inflammatory and degenerative joint disease, which mainly affects the articular cartilage and results in pain and impaired movement. It is now acknowledged that OA is a heterogeneous disease with multiple clinical phenotypes and that inflammation contributes significantly to cartilage and bone alterations during OA. However, conventional anti-inflammatory therapies have been disappointing so far for the treatment of OA. Thus the identification of a molecule that would not only control inflammation but also promote MSC migration and regeneration would be of high interest to treat most if not all degenerative diseases characterized by two main features that are inflammation and tissue degradation. Here, we demonstrate that the high migration potential of MRL MSC, in *vivo*, and the regenerative potential of MRL MSC in ear punched BL6 mice depends on MANF. Thus in addition to their anti-inflammatory effects described in the context of damaged retina, here, we provide the first evidence that MANF can control the migration and the regenerative properties of MSC. This is in line with the study performed in stroke cortex and that describes the neuroregenerative activity of MANF through its capacity to favor differentiation and migration of neural progenitor cells (Tseng et al., 2018). Overexpressed MANF induced STAT3 activation during subventricular zone cell migration (Tseng et al., 2018). In MSC, STAT3 silencing inhibited their migration and invasion ability while IL22 also promoted MSC migration and invasion through STAT3 signaling (Cui et al., 2019).

We also described the pro-regenerative role or MANF in the ear punch model. Indeed, while MRL MSC induced a...
FIGURE 6 | MANF protects chondrocyte from a OA-like phenotype and mice from osteoarthritis. (A) Scheme showing the treatment protocol of human primary chondrocytes with MANF (50 ng/mL) during 7 days. (B) RT-qPCR analysis of chondrocyte anabolic markers such as Col2B, AGN, LINK, and SOX9 expressed in human primary chondrocytes cultured in minimal medium or minimal medium (Control) containing MANF during 7 days. Results are expressed as fold change of gene expression compared to chondrocytes alone (Control) [mean ± SEM (n = 12 biological replicates)]. *p ≤ 0.05. (C) Histological images of CIOA mice not treated (Collagenase) or treated with BL6 MSC or MRL MSC. (D) OA score of histological sections of knee joints of the mice described in (C). (C) (n = 15/group). (E) Histological images of CIOA mice not treated (Collagenase) or treated with MRL MSC transfected with a siCTL (MRL MSC siCTL) or a siMANF (MRL MSC siMANF). (F) OA score of histological sections of knee joints of the mice described in (E). Results are expressed as the mean ± SEM; *p < 0.05 (Mann–Whitney test; n = 10 mice/group).
regenerative process, MRL MSC deficient for MANF did not. The tissue repair potential of MANF was proposed to function through a synergistic activity as a suppressor of inflammation and apoptosis (Sousa-Victor et al., 2018). This model is in agreement with our recent findings showing the anti-apoptotic effect of MANF on human primary chondrocytes treated with camptothecin (data not shown).

Finally, we showed that MANF highly produced by MRL MSC contributes to their capacity to tend to reduce the OA score. However, although we observed a significant exacerbation of the OA score in mice treated with MRL MSC deficient for MANF as compared to naïve MRL MSC, we did not show an enhanced therapeutic effect of MRL MSC compared to BL6 MSC in CIA mice. This result underlines that other factors than MANF are involved in the anti-osteoarthritic properties of MSC. Regarding the in vivo beneficial effect of MSC, it is still not clear whether it could be due to the immunoregulatory properties of MSC or to tissue-protective and pro-regenerative properties. Further studies should be performed to define the mechanisms underlying the anti-osteoarthritic properties of MSC.

CONCLUSION

Our findings demonstrate that the enhanced regenerative potential of MRL MSC as well as their capacity to tend to reduce OA is attributed, in part, to their capacity to release high amount of MANF.
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