A Grand Canonical Monte Carlo simulation study of water adsorption on Vycor-like hydrophilic mesoporous silica at different temperatures

Joël Puibasset, Roland J-M Pellenq

► To cite this version:

Joël Puibasset, Roland J-M Pellenq. A Grand Canonical Monte Carlo simulation study of water adsorption on Vycor-like hydrophilic mesoporous silica at different temperatures. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*, 2004, 16 (45), pp.S5329-S5343. 10.1088/0953-8984/16/45/003 . hal-03562987

HAL Id: hal-03562987

<https://hal.science/hal-03562987>

Submitted on 9 Feb 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

***A Grand Canonical Monte Carlo simulation study of water
adsorption on Vycor-like hydrophilic mesoporous silica
at different temperatures***

Joël PUIBASSET¹ and Roland J.-M. PELLENG²

¹Centre de Recherche sur la Matière Divisée, CNRS et Université d'Orléans, 1b rue de la Férollerie, 45071 Orléans, cedex 02, France.

²Centre de Recherche en Matière Condensée et Nanosciences, CNRS, Campus de Luminy, 13288 Marseille cedex 09, France

Electronic mail: puibasset@cnrs-orleans.fr, pellenq@crmcn.univ-mrs.fr

(Received

Abstract:

The Grand Canonical Monte-Carlo simulation technique (GCMC) is used to study water adsorption and condensation in a realistic vycor-like silica mesoporous system at various temperatures. Water-water interaction are described using the SPC model while water/silica interactions are calculated in the framework of the PN-TrAZ model. Thermodynamic quantities (namely water adsorption isotherms and isosteric heat of adsorption curves) have been calculated along with water-water and water-vycor pair distribution functions. Simulated adsorption isotherm at room temperature compares well with published experimental data. Isosteric heat curves are characteristic of adsorption in a heterogeneous environment. We also show that the BET method for specific surface determination is not valid in the case of water confined in silica mesoporous materials. From analyzing water-water and water-vycor pair correlation functions, we demonstrate the existence of strong distortion compared to bulk water due to the influence of the silica surface. The hydrogen-bond is significantly elongated and angle-distorted.

PACS number: 68.43.De, 61.43 Gt, 61.43.Fs

1. INTRODUCTION

The water/silica system is very important in a wide range of research fields, geophysics, pharmaceuticals, industry environment. Most of the evoked processes, water infiltration, de-pollution, phase separation, catalysis, etc. involve nanometric scale physics. This explains the intense theoretical, experimental and simulation activity aiming at getting insight on the water nature at the silica interface. The thermodynamic, dynamic and structural characteristics are actually expected to differ considerably from bulk ones as interfacial properties dominate the physical processes at work at the mesoscale.¹⁻¹³

The capillary condensation is a striking example of confinement effect on the thermodynamic properties of a fluid. Structural modifications have also been observed by neutron scattering experiments. For instance, it has been shown that water structure is distorted in the vicinity of silica surfaces; distortion that may explain why water freezes in cubic ice structure when confined in Vycor, a mesoporous silica glass.¹⁴ More recently, Bruni *et. al.*^{15,16} have shown how neutron diffraction experiments with isotopic substitution are able to give improved site-site radial distribution functions for water confined in Vycor glass. The results show that water is still hydrogen bonded, but with a distorted network compared to bulk. Molecular Dynamics simulation was used to help understanding these results. Previous simulation works on water confinement focused on simple silica substrate geometry, slit-like^{7,8} or cylindrical^{9,10} pores, supposed to mimic real porous solids. However, such ideal pores are a rough modeling of real pores, since they introduce only one characteristic pore size and miss the pore size dispersion and interconnections between pores, or in other words the topology of the system. The present work aims at addressing both the thermodynamics and the structure of water confined in a realistic mesoporous silica glass, presenting both a morphological (pore size distribution) and a topological (interconnections) disorder. Another originality of this work lies in the statistical ensemble that we explore (Grand Canonical Ensemble) which differs from previous studies of confined water based on Molecular Dynamics.

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE MESOPOROUS SILICA SUBSTRATE

An off-lattice reconstruction algorithm has been used to generate the atomistic vycor-like mesoporous structure considered in this study. Portions of an initial cubic crystal of

cristobalite (106.95 Å of edge) are cut out by applying the off-lattice functional representing the gaussian field associated to the volume autocorrelation function of the studied porous structure.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ Periodic boundary conditions are applied to the gaussian field, so as to generate the periodic structure shown in figure 1. It has been shown²⁰ that this numerical sample reproduces quite well micro- and meso- textural properties of real Vycor, and constitutes an improvement in the topological description of silica mesoporous glasses, because of the presence of disordered and interconnected pores. To have a realistic description of the surface chemistry, all silicon atoms in an incomplete tetrahedral environment are removed, and all oxygen dangling bonds are saturated with hydrogen atoms placed 1 Å from oxygen perpendicular to the surface. The porosity of the sample is 0.28, the density 1.56 g/cm³, the specific surface area 210 m²/g, the mean pore diameter 36 Å (from chord distribution), and OH surface density 7 OH/nm², all in agreement with experimental values: 0.28, 1.50 g/cm³, 200 m²/g, and around 7 OH/nm² if out-gassed around 400°C^{21,22}, except for the pore size slightly smaller in our pseudo-vycor compared to real one (40 Å). It has been shown that this numerical sample reproduces quite well micro and meso textural properties of real vycor.¹⁷⁻¹⁹

3. INTERMOLECULAR POTENTIALS

The SPC model²³ has been used to describe the water-water interaction, because it is a fast computable model well suited for very large systems (the completely saturated system contains more than 10000 water molecules). This model reproduces well the thermodynamic and structural properties around ambient temperature, like vapor pressure, enthalpy of vaporization²⁴, and radial distribution functions. In this model, the water molecule is rigid with the geometry defined in fig 2 . The molecules interact through electrostatics with the partial charges given in fig 2, and through usual quantum interactions modeled by a Lennard-Jones like term between the water molecules. Since the main contribution comes from the oxygen atoms, the Lennard-Jones potential is calculated from the oxygen-oxygen distance, and with the parameters given in fig2.

The interaction of water with Si, O and (surface) H atoms forming the vycor structure is assumed to remain weak, in the physisorption energy range. In this work, we have used a TrAZ form of the original PN-type potential function as reported for adsorption of rare gases and nitrogen in silicalite-1²⁵. The PN-TrAZ potential function is based on the usual partition of the adsorption intermolecular energy restricted to two body terms only: it includes a

dispersion interaction term, a repulsive short range contribution and an induction term. Three body dispersion (XYY) terms (where X is the adsorbate and Y an adsorbent species) can be obtained in principle from the same approach²⁶ but are neglected in the present study since they are expected to be significant for water molecules in contact with the silica surface (which is a small number of the total adsorbed amount). The choice of this particular model to describe the water/adsorbent potential was motivated by the good degree of parameter transferability. Indeed, in a previous study³¹, we found that using a set of potential parameters previously derived for adsorption in silica zeolite²⁵ augmented to take into account hydroxyl groups, the TrAZ model allows reproducing both low coverage experimental adsorption isotherm (amount adsorbed *versus* pressure at constant temperature) and isosteric heat curve with no further adjustment. In the TrAZ model, the adsorbate-surface energy ($u_{k \in A}^i$) of the k^{th} atom of molecule A at a position i in the simulation box, is given by

$$u_{k \in A}^i = \sum_{j \in \{O, Si, H\}} \left[A_{kj} e^{-b_{kj} r_{ij}} - \sum_{n=3}^5 f_{2n} \frac{C_{2n, kj}}{r_{ij}^{2n}} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_k \mathbf{E}_i^2 \quad (1)$$

Where r_{ij} is the distance between the matrix species j and the position i of the k^{th} atom of a given molecule, f_{2n} are so-called damping functions that depend on interspecies distance and on a repulsive parameter (see below) and finally $\mathbf{E}$ is the electric field created at adsorbate position i due to the inorganic species ; all other symbols are physical parameters that depend on electronic properties of interacting species only. The sums runs over all (j) atomic sites in the matrix that are oxygen, silicon and hydrogen atoms. The first term in the sum is a Born-Mayer term representing a two-body form of the short range repulsive energy due to finite compressibility of electron clouds when approaching the adsorbate at very short distance of the pore surface. There is one such a term per pair of interacting species. The repulsive parameters (A_{kj} and b_{kj}) are obtained from mixing rules of like-atoms pairs (see below). The second term in the above equation is a multipolar expansion series of the dispersion interaction in the spirit of the quantum mechanical perturbation theory applied to intermolecular forces.²⁷ It has been shown that two (and three body) dispersion C_{2n} coefficients for isolated or in-condensed phase species can be obtained from the knowledge of the dipole polarizability and the effective number of polarizable electrons N_{eff} of all interacting species^{25,26} which are closely related to partial charges that can be obtained from *ab initio* calculations. The f_{2n} terms in the above equation are damping functions of the form:

$$f_{2n} = 1 - \sum_{m=0}^{2n} \left[\frac{(b_{kj} r_{ij})^n}{m!} \right] e^{-b_{kj} r_{ij}} \quad (2)$$

The role of these damping functions is to avoid divergence of the dispersion interaction at short distance where the wave functions of the two species overlap (*i.e.* when the interacting species are at contact)²⁸. They allow taking into account the possible electronic exchange which has a non zero probability at short distance even for two close shell structures. For each pair of interacting species, they are parametrized with the single b_{kj} repulsive parameter. The damped dispersion multipolar expansion can be seen as a convenient way to make the perturbation theory valid at short inter-atomic separations. The last term in equation (1) is the induction interaction as written in the context of the quantum mechanical perturbation theory applied to intermolecular forces.²⁷ It represents an attractive energy arising from the coupling of the polarisable electronic cloud of the adsorbate atom of polarizability α_k at position i with the electric field E_i induced by the charges carried by framework species (O, Si and H) that result from the bonding process within the inorganic matrix itself. In the case of water interacting with vycor, one has to parametrize six different adsorbate/adsorbent-species potentials; all atomic parameters and coefficients are given in Table 1 and 2. Note that repulsive parameters for like-pairs are taken from a previous work on the simulation of rare gases in silicalite²⁵ using Bohm and Ahlrichs²⁹ combination rules based on *ab initio* results at the Hartree-Fock level approximation which only describes the repulsive energy term in the case of non charged and polar species. This type of potential function based on the PN-TrAZ parametrization method was used in various studies of molecular and covalent fluids at interfaces from open surfaces^{30,31} to microporous zeolites^{32,33,34,35,36} and more recently in the case of mesoporous vycor-like materials^{20,37,38,31,39,40,41}.

Minimal image convention is adopted to calculate all interactions. Long range mean-field correction to dispersion terms cannot be precisely calculated because the density is not uniform. Actually, the size of the box is large enough (107 Å) so that large distance contributions are negligible. The electrostatic contribution is evaluated by summing on neutral subgroups of atoms of highest symmetry (tetrahedral silicon SiO₄ and surface hydroxyls OH). Implementation of Ewald summation procedure⁴² has proven to be of little improvement, probably due to the large box size, absence of isolated charges, and negligible total dipolar moment of the substrate (due to isotropy in normal orientation on Vycor surface). Calculations show that the largest part of the substrate contribution to electrostatics comes

from the surface dipoles (hydroxyls), the tetrahedral SiO_4 giving rise to weak quadrupolar term only.

In order to save computing time, the water/substrate interactions have been calculated in advance and saved on a grid. This is possible since the substrate is kept rigid in this study. The grid mesh has been chosen equal to 1 Å. Due to memory constraint it could not be made smaller. However, close to the silica surface, this mesh is not fine enough for small dipolar molecule like water. This is why the contribution of the substrate has been divided in two parts, inside and outside a sphere of 6.5 Å in radius, centered on the grid cell to be evaluated. Only the contribution from outside is saved on the grid, the rest being calculated directly during the course of the simulation. A neutrality constraint has been added so as to avoid fast oscillations of electrostatic contributions due to isolated charges coming in and out of the 6.5 Å radius sphere: for instance O and H atoms from the same surface hydroxyl group are taken together. The choice of the radius is a compromise between CPU time saving and grid smoothness. Three different grids are necessary: two dispersion-repulsion grids for both O and H atoms of water, plus one for electrostatic potential. Since there are eight corners for each cubic cell, and since the 6.5 Å radius sphere has to be centered on the cell, the total number of grid used is 8 time 3, and interpolation in each cell is made between 8 grids. All grids are defined everywhere in the simulation box due to the short distance cutoff. The result obtained with this method for the whole interaction is always within 4% of the value obtained by a completely direct calculation with all the atoms of the simulation box. Our method is then very accurate, and very fast (few tens of atoms taken into account instead of the 60 000 species that are present in the silica texture of our vycor numerical sample). This procedure is well suited to study adsorption properties of polar molecules and allows considering large systems.

The water-water interaction calculations become excessively slow at low temperature when the substrate is almost completely filled (more than 10000 water molecules). It was then decided to implement a hierarchy method⁴³. The simulation box is divided in a 3-dimensional array of 5 Å wide cells. The total interaction energy of a water molecule with the others is the sum of the individual contributions of the molecules contained in the neighboring cells (within a distance of 15 Å) and the multipole representation for the other cells. The uncertainty introduced by this method do not exceed few percent.

4. WATER ADSORPTION ISOTHERMS

The water adsorption isotherms have been calculated using the Grand Canonical Monte Carlo Simulation⁴⁴ (GCMC), which mimics a real adsorption experiment where the temperature, the volume and the chemical potential (related to the pressure of the gas) are kept constant. An equal number of translation, rotation, creation or destruction of molecules has been chosen, around $2 \cdot 10^4$ per water molecule at full saturation, up to 10^5 at low coverage. The isotherms have been calculated for four different temperature, 300 K, 350 K, 400 K and 500 K. For each temperature, the pressure of the vapor in the particle reservoir is normalized to the saturating vapor pressure for SPC model^{45,46} given in Table 3. The adsorbed quantity is normalized to the known geometric surface of the numerical sample (401 nm^2)³⁷, and expressed in $\mu\text{mol/m}^2$. The result is shown in figure 3. As can be seen, the isotherms show strong adsorption at low pressure as expected for hydrophilic surfaces. Above a relative pressure of 0.7 the adsorption increases faster: this is the so-called capillary condensation. However, as can be noted, the full saturation is generally reached above the saturating vapor pressure. This point will be discussed later.

The energetics of the adsorption has been analyzed by calculating the isosteric heat of adsorption q_{st} on the silica substrate from cross-fluctuations in energy (U) and adsorbed quantity (N) according to the formula⁴⁴

$$q_{st} = -\frac{\langle UN \rangle - \langle U \rangle \langle N \rangle}{\langle N^2 \rangle - \langle N \rangle^2} + RT \quad (3)$$

The values for the four temperatures are given as a function of water adsorbed amount in figure 4. The bulk SPC water latent heat⁴⁶ corresponding to each temperature is also represented as an horizontal line (see Table 3). As can be seen for all temperatures the heat of adsorption is significantly higher than the latent heat which is characteristic of an hydrophilic surface. The initial heat of adsorption obtained for very low pressure reach 80 kJ/mol at 300 K, which is 1.8 times the bulk latent heat of water, and 65 kJ/mol at 500 K which is 2.1 times the latent heat of water at the same temperature. These values are in agreement with experimental determinations⁴⁷, which validate the intermolecular potential used in this work. Inspection shows that the isosteric heat of adsorption has reached its bulk value as soon as the monolayer coverage is reached (around $16 \mu\text{mol/m}^2$ at 300 K). The second layer and subsequent ones are then expected to have properties very similar to the bulk. Furthermore, it

can be inferred from these data that the sites are energetically heterogeneous. This point will be reconsidered during the BET analysis.

The Brunauer Emmett and Teller (BET)^{48,1,2} model has been applied to the water adsorption isotherms previously calculated to evaluate the monolayer coverage and energetics of the system. In this model the first layer adsorption is supposed to take place on energetically uniform substrate sites with an energy ϵ close to the heat of adsorption, and the next layers are adsorbed on the previous ones with a different energy: L (supposedly closer to the latent heat of the bulk fluid). Assuming this hypothesis, it is shown that the adsorbed amount n (for instance in $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2$) and the relative pressure P/P_0 can be cast into the following equation:

$$\frac{P/P_0}{n(1-P/P_0)} = \frac{1}{n_0 C} + \frac{C-1}{n_0 C} P/P_0 \quad (4)$$

where n_0 is the monolayer capacity expressed in the same units as n ($\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2$), and $C = e^{(\epsilon-L)/kT}$ is related to the difference between heat of adsorption on the substrate and bulk latent heat. Figure 5 shows the corresponding left hand quantity as a function of reduced pressure. As can be seen the curves show a linear portion between relative pressure of 0.1 and 0.4. However, the low pressure adsorption do not follow the BET model. This was expected since the isosteric heat of adsorption do not show a sharp variation between low pressure, where it is close to the adsorption site energetics, and higher pressure where the isosteric heat of adsorption is close to the bulk fluid latent heat. The linear regression of the curves between relative pressure of 0.1 and 0.4 have been determined and drawn in figure 5. Their analysis gives the monolayer capacity n_0 and the difference between adsorption energy and bulk latent heat. The results are summarized in Table 3. As can be seen, the monolayer capacities at different temperatures are close to each other, except for the highest temperature which probably corresponds to conditions for which the localized adsorption assumption at the heart of the BET model is no longer valid. The obtained value for the monolayer capacity is around $7.5 \mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2$, which corresponds to $22 \text{ \AA}^2$ per water molecule. This value is much larger than the commonly admitted surface of $10.5 \text{ \AA}^2$ per molecule deduced from bulk water density, or even more refined and larger values proposed by different authors ($12.5 \text{ \AA}^2$ ⁴⁹, or $14.8 \text{ \AA}^2$ ^{50,51}), to account for the low specific surface area obtained by BET analysis of water isotherms. Inspection of water configurations shows that the water molecules do not cover the whole surface as already observed for xenon in the same vycor numerical sample⁵². As a matter of fact, the molecules form disconnected patches of different sizes, leaving some regions completely dehydrated (not so hydrophilic sites). The BET surface is a thermodynamic

surface in the sense that it is a determination of the area of substrate presenting energetically favorable sites. The previous result has then to be interpreted in terms of heterogeneity of the silica surface, with hydrophilic zones measured by the BET surface and almost as much hydrophobic regions not taken into account in the BET surface. This heterogeneity was previously noticed while analyzing the isosteric heat of adsorption. It seems then that the BET method for specific surface determination fails to be applicable to our water/silica system. It is proposed that this heterogeneity might explain the relative underestimation of the amount of water that should be adsorbed compared to experiments.⁴⁷ The presence of hydrophobic sites also induces a delay in capillary condensation which ends slightly above the saturating vapor pressure. This issue will be reconsidered while analyzing the water monolayer structure.

5. STRUCTURE ANALYSIS

The structure of water adsorbed on vycor has been analyzed in terms of site-site radial distribution functions. The data have been averaged in the Grand Canonical Ensemble, which is expected to give results identical to micro-canonical ensemble Molecular Dynamics. The site-site radial distribution function has been carefully normalized by taking into account the excluded volume effect.¹⁶ In this structure analysis, we only consider the adsorbed film on the pore surface. Previous work already focused on the filling effect, showing strong structure distortion compared to bulk only for monolayer coverage. Actually, the structure of water is expected to be very close to bulk for multilayer coverage. In this analysis, the adsorbed film is supposed to be completed at $15 \mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2$, which corresponds, by visual inspection to a nearly uniform coverage of the internal surface of vycor. This corresponds to a relative pressure of about 0.6. However, the higher the temperature, the more diffuse the layer, which is actually not so well defined at 500 K.

The oxygen-oxygen, oxygen-hydrogen and hydrogen-hydrogen radial distribution functions are given for three different temperatures in figures 6, 7 and 8. Inspection shows that the O-O first peak is situated around $2.8 \text{ \AA}$, as for bulk water. The position of the maximum is slightly shifted to larger values as the temperature increases, as expected. The larger the temperature the broader the peak, due to thermal agitation. The second peak is situated around $5 \text{ \AA}$, slightly displaced compared to the bulk ($4.5 \text{ \AA}$). It corresponds to the formation of chains of water molecules each having two neighbors. Such a displacement was first observed by Brovchenko *et. al*^{53,54} and also reported by Gallo *et. al*.¹¹ Furthermore it has been shown⁵⁴

that the intensity of this displaced second peak depends on the strength of the water-substrate interaction. The more intense the interaction, the stronger the peak. This suggests that the second peak at 5 Å is related to the quasi bi-dimensional character of the adsorbed film. For temperatures above 400 K, this second peak disappears. The O-H correlation function also shows well defined peaks at positions close to bulk values. By contrast, peak intensities are lower for confined water. As for O-O correlation function, the peaks decrease, broaden and shift to larger distances as the temperature increases. The third peak disappears above 400 K due to thermal agitation. The same remarks hold true for the H-H radial distribution function. In a neutron scattering experiment, the total site-site correlation function is a weighted sum of the partial atom-atom correlation functions (according to the atomic cross sections). Since the hydrogen cross-section is unfavorable, the hydrogen species are generally replaced by deuterium in real experiment, and in this case the total correlation function is written as:⁵⁵

$$l(r)=0.489g_{O-O}(r)+0.421g_{O-D}(r)+0.090g_{D-D}(r) \quad (5)$$

This quantity is shown in figure 9. At 500 K the total correlation function shows only two peaks at 1.87 and 2.88 Å. They correspond to the O-H and O-O first peak contribution. When the temperature decreases, a third peak appears around 5 Å, from O-O position correlations. Inspection also shows the appearance of a shoulder at 3.3 Å, which corresponds to the second peak of the O-H correlation function.

To get more insight in the water/silica interaction, the site-site correlation functions have been calculated between the two species of surface hydroxyl (O and H of vycor, written as Ov and Hv), and water species (written as Ow and Hw, for water). The Ov-Ow radial distribution functions for different temperatures are given in figure 10. The curves present a broad pronounced peak around 3.3 Å, and another one around 4.5 Å. The lower the temperature, the more defined the peak. At low temperature (300 K), a shoulder appears at 2.7 Å. The comparison with the water-water O-O radial distribution function shows strong differences. The main correlation between the oxygen species of water (at 2.8 Å) is broadened and displaced to larger distances (3.3 Å), whereas a shoulder appears at 2.7 Å (as the temperature decreases). It shows that the surface hydroxyls are not implied in the water tetrahedral structure in the same way as water molecules. However the second peak, visible for temperatures lower than 400 K is not much affected. The O-H correlations functions shown in figures 11 and 12 are strongly distorted compared to bulk. As the temperature decreases, a main peak appears around 2.8 Å instead of two in bulk water. It means that the dissymmetry of the distances between on one hand the oxygen of one molecule and hydrogen of the other

and on the other hand the hydrogen of the first molecule and the oxygen of the second tends to disappear. The locally tetrahedral structure of water is broken, probably due to the fact that the surface hydroxyls have their dipole moment parallel to the O-H axis, whereas for a water molecule the dipole moment is not parallel to any of the O-H directions. The H-H correlations (see figure 13) show, for low temperature, a broad peak around 2.6 Å and a small one around 4.4 Å. These peaks are reminiscent of the first and third peaks in bulk water positioned at 2.4 and 4.6 Å respectively. It is expected that the second peak at 3.8 Å in bulk water be absent because the surface hydroxyls contain only one hydrogen. These data then infers that the H-H average distance is larger than in bulk. In conclusion, it seems that the hydrogen bond is elongated, and might not meet the energetic criterium stating that a hydrogen bond has a characteristic energy around 10 kJ/mol (analysis underway). These strong structural modifications might be understood by considering that the electric field in the vicinity of the surface hydroxyls differs significantly from that of a water molecule. For instance the dipole moment of the hydroxyls is directed along the O-H direction, which is not the case for water. The partial charges carried by the oxygen and hydrogen species are not identical (see Table 1).-Furthermore, the silicon tetrahedron gives rise to quadrupole moment in the vicinity of the silica surface. The figure 14 shows a molecular configuration of water adsorbed on the silica surface. Only two tetrahedral SiO₄ are represented for clarity, and two adsorbed molecules. Inspection shows that the water molecules and surface hydroxyls are not inserted in a tetrahedral structure as mentioned before.

6. CONCLUSION

A thermodynamic and structure analysis of water / silica system at the mesoporous scale has been carried out in the Grand Canonical Ensemble using the Grand Canonical Monte Carlo simulation. The numerical mesoporous glass has been obtained by off-lattice reconstruction method. It reproduces quite well the geometric complexity of real vycor, like its specific surface area, pore size, chord distribution and correlation peak in small angle neutron scattering spectra. Its surface chemistry is made realistic by hydroxylating the surface. The adsorbed water is described by the SPC model, and water/silica interactions are calculated in the framework of the PN-TrAZ model. The thermodynamic quantities like water adsorption isotherms and isosteric heat of adsorption have been calculated for different temperatures. The model has proven to reproduce quite well the first steps of water adsorption. Since the

geometric surface is known, the BET analysis allows to calculate the monolayer capacity, or the inverse which is the mean surface occupied by a single water molecule of the monolayer. The value obtained ($22 \text{ \AA}^2$) is significantly larger than the value deduced from bulk water density ($10.5 \text{ \AA}^2$). It is qualitatively in agreement with experimental observations. On the other hand, the structure of the adsorbed film prior to capillary condensation has been studied in the same statistical ensemble. It shows a strong distortion of the pair correlation functions compared to bulk water due to the influence of the silica surface. The hydrogen-bond is significantly elongated, and angles distorted. In a further study the surface hydroxyls will be made free to rotate, which was not the case in this work for the sake of simplicity. However it is thought that this will probably greatly influence the monolayer structure, which might re-accommodate some bulk water features.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: The Institut de Développement des Ressources en Informatique Scientifique (IDRIS-CNRS, Orsay, France) is gratefully acknowledged for the CPU grant n°031153

REFERENCES:

- 1 S. J. Gregg, and K. S. W. Sing, *Adsorption, Surface Area and Porosity*, Academic Press, New York (1982).
- 2 F. Rouquerol, J. Rouquerol, and K. S. W. Sing, *Adsorption by Powders and Porous Solids*, Academic Press, London (1999)
- 3 J.-P. Korb, *Magnetic Resonance Imaging* **19**, 363 (2001).
- 4 J. Dore, *Chemical Physics* **258**, 327 (2000).
- 5 M.-C. Bellissent-Funel, S. Longeville, J. M. Zanotti, and S. H. Chen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 3644 (2000).
- 6 M. Agamalian, J. M. Drake, S. K. Sinha, and J. D. Axe, *Phys. Rev. E* **55**, 3021 (1997).
- 7 S. H. Lee and P. J. Rossky, *J. Chem. Phys.* **100** (4), 3334 (1994).
- 8 E. Spohr, A. Trokhymchuk and D. Henderson, *J. of Electroanalytical Chemistry*. **450**, 281 (1998).
- 9 M. Rovere, M. A. Ricci, D. Vellati and F. Bruni, *J. Chem. Phys.* **108** (23), 9859 (1998).

- 10 C. Hartnig, W Witschel, E. Spohr, P. Gallo, M. A. Ricci M. Rovere, J. Mol. Liq. **85**, 127 (2000).
- 11 P. Gallo, M. A. Ricci, M. Rovere, J. Chem. Phys. **116** (1), 342 (2002).
- 12 E. W. Hansen, R. Schmidt, M. Stöcker, and D. Akporiaye, J. Phys. Chem. **99**, 4148 (1995).
- 13 S. Takahara, M. Nakano, S. Kittaka, Y. Kuroda, T. Mori, H. Hamano, and T. Yamaguchi, J. Phys. Chem. **B 103**, 5814 (1999).
- 14 M.-C. Bellissent-Funel, J. Lal, and L. Bosio, J. Chem. Phys. **98**, 4246 (1993).
- 15 F. Bruni, M. A. Ricci, and A. K. Soper, J. Chem. Phys. **109**, 1478 (1998).
- 16 A. K. Soper, F. Bruni, and M. A. Ricci, J. Chem. Phys. **109**, 1486 (1998).
- 17 P. E. Levitz, Adv. Coll. Int. Sci. **76-77**, 71 (1998).
- 18 P. Levitz, G. Ehret, S. K. Sinha and J. M. Drake, J. Chem. Phys. **95** (8), 6151 (1991).
- 19 P. E. Levitz, and D. Tchoubar, J. Phys. I, **2**, 771 (1992).
- 20 R. J.-M. Pellenq, B. Rousseau, and P. E. Levitz, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **3**, 1207 (2001).
- 21 H. Landmesser, H. Kosslick, W. Storek and R. Frick, Solid State Ionics **101-103**, 271 (1997).
- 22 M. J. D. Low and N. Ramasubramanian, J. Phys. Chem **71** (3), 730 (1967).
- 23 H. J. C. Berendsen, J. P. M. Postma, W. F. van Gunsteren, and J. Hermans in *Intermolecular Forces*, ed. B. Pullman, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1981, p.331.
- 24 W. L. Jorgensen, J. Chandrasekhar, J. D. Madura, R. W. Impey and M. L. Klein, J. Chem. Phys. **79** (2), 926 (1983).
- 25 R. J.-M. Pellenq, and D. Nicholson, J. Phys. Chem. **98**, 13339 (1994).
- 26 R. J.-M. Pellenq, and D. Nicholson, Mol. Phys. **95** (3), 549 (1998).
- 27 A. Stone, *The Theory of Intermolecular Forces*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1996
- 28 K. T. Tang and J. Peter Toennies, J. Chem. Phys. **80**, 3726 (1984).
- 29 H. J. Bohm and R. Ahlrichs, J. chem. Phys **77**, 2028 (1982).
- 30 F. Marinelli, Y. Grillet, and R. J.-M. Pellenq, Mol. Phys. **97**, 1207 (1999).
- 31 J. Puibasset, and R. J.-M. Pellenq, J. Chem. Phys. **118**, 5613 (2003).
- 32 V. Lachet, A. Boutin, B. Tavitian, and A. H. Fuchs, J. Phys. Chem. B **102** (46), 9224 (1998).
- 33 D. Nicholson and R. J.-M. Pellenq, Adv. Coll. Int. Sci. **76-77**, 179 (1998).
- 34 A. H Fuchs, A. K. Cheetham, J. Phys. Chem. B **105** (31), 7375 (2001).
- 35 T. J. Grey, D. Nicholson, J. D. Gale, B. K. Peterson, Applied Surface Science **196** (1-4), 105 (2002).
- 36 C. Bichara, J. Yves-Raty, R. J.-M. Pellenq, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (1), 016101 (2002).

- 37 R. J.-M. Pellenq, and P. E. Levitz, *Molecular Physics* **100**, 2059 (2002).
- 38 J. Puibasset and R. J.-M. Pellenq, Proceedings of the 6th Int. Symposium on the Characterization of Porous Solids, Alicante, Spain, May 8-11 (2002), in *Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis*, Vol. **144**, ed. by. F. Rodriguez Reinoso, B. McEnaney, J. Rouquerol, and K. Unger, Elsevier, p371.
- 39 J. Puibasset, and R. J.-M. Pellenq, *J. Chem. Phys.* **119**, 9226 (2003).
- 40 J. Puibasset, and R. J.-M. Pellenq, *European Physical Journal E-D* **12** s01, 017 (2003).
- 41 B. Coasne, and R. J.-M. Pellenq, *J. Chem. Phys.* In press (2004).
- 42 P. Ewald, *Ann. Phys.* **64** (1921), 253. D. M. Heyes, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter* **49** (2), 755 (1994).
- 43 H.-Q. Ding, N. Karasawa, and W. A. Goddard III, *J. Chem. Phys.* **97** (6), 4309 (1992).
- 44 D. Nicholson and N. G. Parsonage, *Computer Simulation and the Statistical Mechanics of Adsorption* (Academic Press, London, 1982).
- 45 J. R. Errington and A. Z. Panagiotopoulos, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **102**, 7470 (1998)
- 46 J. Vorholz, V. I. Harismiadis, B. Rumpf, A. Z. Panagiotopoulos, and G. Maurer, *Fluid Phase Equilibria* **170**, 203 (2000).
- 47 T. Takei, A. Yamazaki, T. Watanabe, and M. Chikazawa, *J. Coll. Int. Sci.* **188**, 409 (1997).
- 48 S. Brunauer, P. H. Emmett, and E. Teller, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **73**, 373 (1938)
- 49 P. Staszczuk, M. Jaroniec, and R. K. Gilpin, *Thermochimica Acta* **287**, 225 (1996).
- 50 J. M. Cases, I. Berend, J. F. Delon, M. François, Y. Grillet, L. Michot, J. E. Poirier, and J. Yvon, In *Matériaux Argileux* (ed. A. Decarreau), Soc. Fr. Miner. And Crist., Paris, p309.
- 51 A. P. Legrand, *The surface properties of silicas*, John Wiley and Sons, England, p33.
- 52 R. J.-M. Pellenq, S. Rodts, V. Pasquier, A. Delville, and P. E. Levitz, *Adsorption* **6**, 241 (2000).
- 53 I. Brovchenko, D. Paschek, and A. Geiger, *J. Chem. Phys.* **113**, 5026 (2000).
- 54 I. Brovchenko, and A. Geiger, *Journal of Molecular Liquid* **96-97**, 195 (2002).
- 55 A. K. Soper, and M. G. Phillips, *Chemical Physics* **107**, 47 (1986).

Table 1: PN-TrAZ parameters for water and vycor species

Atoms	water		vycor		
	Ow	Hw	Si	O	H
q (e)	-0.82	0.41	+2	-1	+0.5
A (E_h)	247.7	1.338	6163.4	1543.5	1.338
b (a_0^{-1})	2.075	2.11	2.395	2.19	2.11
Polarizabilities (a_0^3)	7.56	2.655	2.36	8.03	2.25
N _{eff}	4.476	0.414	1.52	4.656	0.324

$a_0=0.529177\text{\AA}$, $1E_h=3.1578 \cdot 10^3 \text{ K}$

Table 2: Dispersion and repulsion parameters obtained in the framework of the PN-TrAZ model with Bohm and Ahlrichs combination rules for repulsive interactions.

Water species	Silica species	$C_6(E_h a_0^6)$	$C_8(E_h a_0^8)$	$C_{10}(E_h a_0^{10})$	A(E_h)	b (a_0^{-1})
Hw	O	8.3157	151.22	2668.6	45.444	2.149
Hw	Si	2.4874	32.919	-	90.811	2.243
Hw	H	1.7340	22.983	-	1.338	2.11
Ow	O	34.850	735.319	13540.4	618.3	2.131
Ow	Si	10.513	172.72	-	1235.5	2.223
Ow	H	6.4843	116.27	-	18.2	2.092

Table 3: SPC water thermodynamic quantities at different temperatures: P*: bulk saturating vapor pressure^{45,46}, L: bulk latent heat^{45,46}, qst: isosteric heat of adsorption on Vycor, n₀: monolayer capacity, C parameter, and e-L (see text).

	300 K	350 K	400 K	500 K
P*	4.8e-2	0.52	3	33
L (kJ/mol)	45	41.4	37.8	30.6
qst (kJ/mol)	80	76	70	65

No ($\mu\text{mol/m}^2$)	7.47	7.74	6.7	3.3
C	19.3	13.5	12.5	1.55
e-L (kJ/mol)	7.38	7.57	8.4	1.8

FIGURES CAPTIONS

FIGURE 1. 3D representation of the numerical sample of Vycor used in this study. The porosity is represented in gray. The mean pore size is 36 Å.

FIGURE 2. SPC model.

FIGURE 3. Adsorption isotherms of water in Vycor at various temperatures.

FIGURE 4. Isosteric heat of adsorption at various temperatures.

FIGURE 5. BET plots for water adsorbed in Vycor at various temperatures.

FIGURE 6. Water oxygen-oxygen pair correlation function $g(r)$: at 300K (circles), 400K (squares) and 500K (triangles).

FIGURE 7. Water oxygen-hydrogen pair correlation function $g(r)$: at 300K (circles), 400K (squares) and 500K (triangles).

FIGURE 8. Water hydrogen-hydrogen pair correlation function $g(r)$: at 300K (circles), 400K (squares) and 500K (triangles).

FIGURE 9. Total water-water $l(r)$ (see text).

FIGURE 10. Water oxygen - vycor oxygen pair correlation function $g(r)$: at 300K (circles), 400K (squares) and 500K (triangles).

FIGURE 11. Water hydrogen - vycor oxygen pair correlation function $g(r)$: at 300K (circles), 400K (squares) and 500K (triangles).

FIGURE 12. Water oxygen - vycor hydrogen pair correlation function $g(r)$: at 300K (circles), 400K (squares) and 500K (triangles).

FIGURE 13. Water hydrogen-vycor hydrogen pair correlation function $g(r)$: at 300K (circles), 400K (squares) and 500K (triangles).

FIGURE 14. Molecular configuration showing two water molecules adsorbed on a portion of silica surface. Yellow: Si, red: oxygen, grey or white: hydrogen.

figure 1

figure 2

figure 3

figure 4

figure 5

figure 6

figure 7

figure 8

figure 9

figure 10

figure 11

figure 12

figure 13

figure 14