Design and fabrication of highly reducible PtCo particles supported on graphene-coated ZnO

Wen Luo, Walid Baaziz, Qing Cao, Housseinou Ba, Rachid Baati, Ovidiu Ersen, Cuong Pham-Huu, Spyridon Zafeiratos, Spiros Zafeiratos

► To cite this version:

Wen Luo, Walid Baaziz, Qing Cao, Housseinou Ba, Rachid Baati, et al.. Design and fabrication of highly reducible PtCo particles supported on graphene-coated ZnO. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, In press. hal-03550950

HAL Id: hal-03550950

<https://hal.science/hal-03550950>

Submitted on 1 Feb 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Design and fabrication of highly reducible PtCo particles supported on graphene-coated ZnO

Wen Luo^a, Walid Baaziz^b, Qing Cao^c, Housseinou Ba^a, Rachid Baati^a, Ovidiu Ersen^b, Cuong Pham-Huu^a and Spyridon Zafeirotos^{a}*

^aInstitut de Chimie et Procédés pour l'Energie, l'Environnement et la Santé (ICPEES), ECPM, UMR 7515 CNRS – Université de Strasbourg, 25 rue Becquerel, 67087 Strasbourg Cedex 02, France

^bInstitut de Physique et Chimie des Matériaux de Strasbourg (IPCMS), UMR 7504 du CNRS, Université de Strasbourg, 23 rue du Loess, 67037 Strasbourg Cedex 08, France

^cInstitut Charles Sadron, University of Strasbourg – CNRS, 23 rue du Loess, BP 84047, 67034 Strasbourg Cedex 2, France

KEYWORDS: graphene, composites, Fischer–Tropsch, cobalt, PtCo alloy

ABSTRACT: Cobalt particles dispersed on an oxide support form the basis of many important heterogeneous catalysts. Strong interactions between cobalt and the support may lead to irreducible cobalt oxide formation, which is detrimental for the catalytic performance. Therefore, several strategies have been proposed to enhance cobalt reducibility, such as alloying with Pt or utilization of non-oxide supports. In this work we fabricate bimetallic PtCo supported on graphene-coated ZnO with enhanced cobalt reducibility. By employing a model/planar catalyst formulation we show that the surface reduction of cobalt oxide is substantially enhanced by the presence of the graphene support as compared to bare ZnO. Stimulated by these findings we synthesized a realistic powder catalyst consisting of PtCo particles grafted on graphene-coated ZnO support. We found that the addition of graphene coating enhances the surface reducibility of cobalt, fully supporting the results obtained on the model system. Our study demonstrates that realistic catalysts with designed properties can be developed based on insights gained from model catalytic formulation.

INTRODUCTION

Cobalt is an active catalyst for several industrially important reactions such as Fischer–Tropsch synthesis¹ and hydrogenolysis reactions.² In a typical catalytic formulation, cobalt is dispersed on high surface area support materials using simple preparation methods, like impregnation or precipitation. After preparation, the catalyst undergoes calcination to decompose the cobalt precursor, while the catalytic activation also involves a final reduction step in hydrogen in order to transform oxidized cobalt to the metallic state.^{3,4} The reducibility of cobalt species, both during activation and throughout the catalytic reaction, is a crucial aspect of the catalytic performance.⁵ If stable cobalt oxides are formed after calcinations, higher temperature and longer

reduction treatments are required. This has been blamed for cobalt particle agglomeration and consecutive loss of active surface area.⁶ In addition, formation of mixed cobalt oxide phases with the support during the catalyst's life cycle, result in a loss of the catalytic activity and hampers catalyst's regeneration.⁷ Therefore, maintaining high reducibility of the cobalt species is one of the long-standing challenges of cobalt-based catalysts.

Two major strategies have been put forward in order to enhance the reducibility of supported cobalt catalysts. First is the addition of noble metal promoters (Ru, Rh, Pt etc.) and second, the use of relatively inert support materials with low reactivity toward cobalt. Among the several tested promoters of cobalt catalysts, Pt is probably the one which is the most commonly used and studied.⁸⁻¹⁰ This is not only due to the enhancement of reducibility, but also due to the synergistic effects of the two metals, which boost the catalytic selectivity, activity, and stability.¹¹ Improved performance of bimetallic PtCo catalysts as compared to monometallic cobalt has been reported in many catalytic reactions, such as CO oxidation,^{12,13} Fischer-Tropsch synthesis¹⁴ and low-temperature fuel cell devices.^{15,16}

Non-oxide supports and in particular carbon-based materials, have been used in the past to prevent phenomena such as encapsulation, sintering and oxidation of cobalt.¹⁷ Recently, graphene has attracted tremendous interest for catalytic applications,¹⁸⁻²⁴ mainly due to its high thermal conductivity and electron mobility. However, a major drawbacks of all carbon-supported catalysts is their poor mechanical stability which complicates their shape formulation for industrial applications.²⁵ An efficient way to overcome this shortcoming would be to combine the surface chemical properties of carbon materials with the bulk-mechanical properties of oxides, by synthesizing carbon-coated oxide supports.²⁴ In the past, hybrid materials consisting of metal and/or oxide-graphene composites have been tested for a wide range of catalytic,

photocatalytic and electrocatalytic reactions.^{24,26–30} However, in most of the cases graphene sheets were simply decorated with oxide nanoparticles^{31,32} which might be sufficient for some applications, but restrict the use of these materials as catalytic supports. Ideally, in order to overcome the shortcomings of both graphene and oxides and promote the catalytic performance, the nanocomposite material should consist of graphene-coated metal oxide support with high coating stability.

Using model planar systems we have recently shown that graphene can effectively modify the cobalt-oxides interactions and tune the redox properties of cobalt under oxygen/hydrogen environment.^{33,34} Our preliminary studies leading to the current work^{33–35} showed that cobalt forms an irreducible mixed oxide with ZnO even under H₂ reduction conditions. This mixed oxide state can be prevented by the insertion of a graphene layer between cobalt and the support. In the present work, we further explore this idea and produce an easily-reducible cobalt catalyst by the addition of Pt on Co/graphene/ZnO. Since the surface is critical in the reactivity of catalysts, we focus on the reducibility of the surface by applying XPS analysis after various treatment steps. By using well-defined model catalysts we verify that introduction Pt shifts the reduction of cobalt oxide to distinctly lower temperatures. Furthermore, the reducibility of cobalt oxides is facilitated even further when ZnO is coated by a graphene layer. Inspired by the finding on the model planar catalyst, and in an attempt to bridge the so called “material gap” in catalysis, we design and synthesize a PtCo/graphene/ZnO powder catalyst. The improved surface reducibility in H₂ was also confirmed for the powder catalyst formulation, opening new routes for the potential exploitation of graphene-covered oxide catalysts in conventional catalytic reactors.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials preparation

The fabrication process of planar and powder samples, consisting of PtCo particles supported on ZnO and graphene-coated ZnO substrates is graphically summarized in figure 1.

Planar/model samples (2D), figure 1a: For the planar/model samples, Zn-terminated ZnO (0001) single crystals (10x10x0.33 mm³, CrysTec GmbH, Germany) were used as substrates. Prior to metal deposition bare ZnO (abbreviated as 2D-ZnO) and graphene-covered ZnO (abbreviated as 2D-G@ZnO) were prepared following the procedures described elsewhere.^{33,35} Cobalt and platinum were evaporated under Ultra-High Vacuum (UHV) on freshly-prepared 2D-ZnO and 2D-G@ZnO substrates (abbreviated as PtCo/2D-ZnO and PtCo/2D-G@ZnO, respectively), using a commercial e-beam evaporator (Mantis depositions Ltd., model: QUAD-EV-C) attached to the UHV set-up. In order to control the atomic ratio between Co and Pt, the metal vapor flux was adjusted using integrated metal vapor flux monitors so as to maintain the same deposition time. The base pressure during deposition was better than 1×10^{-8} mbar and the two metals were deposited using pre-calibrated vapor fluxes. For the experiments described here we used 5 min deposition time with Co and Pt deposition rates of 0.06 nm/min and 0.02 nm/min, respectively. Under these conditions, the overall PtCo loading is estimated of about 0.4 nm and the Co:Pt ratio was kept constant to 3:1 (0.3 nm Co/0.1 nm Pt).

Powder samples (3D), figure 1b: The preparation of the graphene-coated ZnO powder sample (abbreviated as 3D-G@ZnO) was based on the synthesis method previously proposed by Son et al.³⁶ Initially, graphene oxide (GO) was prepared by oxidation of graphite based on the method of Hummers et al..³⁷ Then, 60 mg of GO were dispersed in 60 mL dimethylformamide (DMF), and the resulting mixture was ultrasonically treated for 30 min. The produced dispersion liquid was subsequently added slowly to a Zn(Ac)₂·2H₂O DMF solution prepared by dissolving 0.92 g

$\text{Zn}(\text{Ac})_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in 200 mL DMF under strong agitation. The liquid mixture was stirred for 5 h at 95 °C. After that, the liquid mixture was centrifugalized and the centrifugate was repeatedly washed with anhydrous ethanol. The final 3D-G@ZnO composite material was obtained after drying at 55 °C for 12 h. The ZnO powder sample (3D-ZnO) was prepared starting from $\text{Zn}(\text{Ac})_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ DMF solution and following the same procedure as for the composite material but without the step of GO addition. The co-impregnation method was used to decorate the aforementioned supports with bimetallic PtCo particles (further abbreviated as PtCo/3D-ZnO and PtCo/3D-G@ZnO). In particular, 13.6 mg $\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_6 \cdot (\text{H}_2\text{O})_6$ and 14.0 mg $\text{Co}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2$ were dissolved in 1 mL deionized water. Then, the obtained solution was impregnated onto 130 mg of the support (3D-ZnO or 3D-G@ZnO). The obtained precursor was then dried at 80 °C overnight. The nominal loading of Pt and Co is 4.0 wt% and 3.6 wt%, respectively, and the atomic ratio of Pt and Co is about 1:3 as in the case of the model/planar sample. The only impurity which was detected in the survey XPS spectrum was traces of Cl from the $\text{H}_2\text{PtCl}_6 \cdot (\text{H}_2\text{O})_6$ precursor. The Cl 2p peak was identical for both powder samples and remained almost unaffected even after the second redox cycle (O_2 and H_2). Earlier reports suggested that the residual $[\text{PtCl}_6]^{2-}$ complexes that remained after decomposition of the Pt precursor,³⁸ are mainly attached to the support and do not influence the Pt properties.

(a) Preparation of Planar Samples (2D)

(b) Preparation of Powder Samples (3D)

Figure 1. Schematics of sample preparation: (a) PtCo/G@2D-ZnO and (b) PtCo/G@3D-ZnO.

Samples without graphene are prepared following the same synthesis route, with exception the graphene coating step which is highlighted in a coloured background.

Characterization

The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were carried out in an UHV setup (base pressure 1×10^{-9} mbar) described elsewhere.³⁴ The analysis chamber is equipped with VSW Class WA hemispherical electron analyzer (150 mm radius) and a monochromatic Al K α X-ray source (1486.6 eV). In the case of the planar substrate, the XPS spectra were recorded at

normal (0 degree) and grazing (75 degrees) electron take-off angles. The information depth (d) of the XPS measurement is related to the take-off angle (θ) by the $d=3\lambda\cdot\cos\theta$ formula, where λ the inelastic mean free path of the photoelectrons.³³ Oxidation/reduction treatments were carried out in a flow-through variable-pressure reactor attached to the UHV setup (0.6 L volume). Each oxidation/reduction step lasted 30 min at constant temperature and gas pressure. In this way, the consumption of reactant gas (H_2 or O_2) due to the reaction can be ignored and no pressure drop was observed during the experiments. The pressure of the gas (H_2 or O_2) treatment was 7 mbar for the planar samples and 300 mbar for the powder samples. Since the redox behaviour of planar and powder samples is compared qualitatively and not quantitatively, this pressure difference does not influence the discussion. Subsequently to the gas treatment, the sample was cooled to room temperature in gas and the reactor was evacuated to 5×10^{-8} mbar. Then the sample was transferred in vacuum into the analysis chamber for XPS characterization. In order to monitor the changes in the oxidation state of cobalt, reference spectra of metallic cobalt (Co^0), CoO and Co_3O_4 were acquired, matching to the cobalt oxidation states of Co^0 , Co^{2+} and $Co^{2.67+}$, respectively. The average valence state x (Co^{x+}) of cobalt is obtained after linear combination of the Co 2p spectra using the Co_3O_4 , CoO and Co reference components, under the assumption that the atomic sensitivity factors are the same for all states. A similar method was also applied to analyse the oxidation state of Pt. The analysis of the Zn 2p spectra is less straightforward. According to the characteristic Zn 2p spectra shown in Figure S1, during the thermal treatment there is a small shift (ca. 0.1 eV) of the Zn $2p_{3/2}$ peak. Literature reports,^{39,40} indicate that the Zn 2p line is not particularly sensitive to the changes of the chemical environment around Zn atoms (only 0.3 eV BE shift between metallic Zn and ZnO). Therefore is very difficult to differentiate the chemical state of Zn atoms based on XPS results. This is further complicated by the fact that

the signal of Zn atoms at the interface with the overlayer overlaps with the Zn 2p signal of the bulk ZnO crystal. Taking into account the above described uncertainties in this study we avoid drawing any conclusions about the chemical state of Zn.

Raman spectra were acquired at room temperature and atmospheric conditions using a micro-Raman spectrometer (Horiba LabRam), with excitation wavelength of 532 nm. A 100× objective was used to focus the excitation laser to an approximately 1 μm spot with a laser power of less than 1 mW to avoid heating and damage of the sample. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were carried out on a Bruker D-8 Advance diffractometer equipped with a Vantec detector. The powdered sample was packed onto a glass slide.

The morphology of the planar samples was investigated by an AFM microscope (NTEGRA Aura from NT-MDT) at ambient conditions and under tapping mode operation, using probes with typical tip radius of less than 7 nm (NANOSENSORS PPP-NCHR). Due to the low contrast of the smooth sample surface, as well as the small deposition amount of metals, the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) resolution is limited for these samples and thus atomic force microscopy (AFM) was used. In the case of powder samples a JEOL JSM-6700F scanning electron microscope was used. The TEM analysis was carried out on a JEOL 2100F working at 200 kV accelerated voltage, equipped with a probe corrector for spherical aberrations, and a point-to-point resolution of 0.2 nm. The sample was dispersed by ultrasounds in an ethanol solution for 5 minutes and a drop of the solution was deposited on a copper covered with a holey carbon membrane for observation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Preparation and characterization of planar samples (2D)

The quality of the graphene layer on the graphene-coated ZnO(0001) before and after PtCo deposition was characterized by Raman spectroscopy (Figure 2a). The G and 2D bands at around 1580 cm^{-1} and 2700 cm^{-1} are known to arise from the in-plane vibrational (E_{2g}) mode and the two phonon intervalley double resonance scattering of graphene,⁴¹ respectively. For the fresh 2D-G@ZnO sample, the narrow symmetric 2D band and the relatively high 2D/G bands intensity ratio confirm that graphene is in single layer form.⁴² After PtCo deposition, an additional peak at $\sim 1350\text{ cm}^{-1}$ (D band) appears in the Raman spectrum due to the formation of defects or disorders in the graphene structure. Chemisorbed-type interactions of metals such as Ti,^{43,44} Pd⁴³ and Cr⁴⁴ with graphene can introduce defects in the carbon structure. Moreover, it is known that Pt is weakly bound with graphene, while on the other hand cobalt is chemisorbed and therefore it bonds stronger on graphene.⁴³ Therefore it is more likely that the defects observed in the Raman spectra are primarily induced at the cobalt-graphene contact sites, due to dissolution of carbon atoms from graphene into the metal volume, as proposed earlier.³⁴

Figure 2. (a) Raman spectra of 2D-G@ZnO and PtCo/2D-G@ZnO. Tapping mode AFM topographic images (500 x 500 nm) of as prepared (b) PtCo/2D-ZnO and (c) PtCo/2D-G@ZnO.

The morphology of clean ZnO (0001) and 2D-G@ZnO substrates has been characterized in detail in our previous work.³⁵ In Figures 2b and 2c the top-view tapping-mode AFM topographic images just after PtCo deposition at room temperature are presented. Here we need to note that the particles size shown in the AFM images is overestimated due to the convolution of the particles with the AFM tip. Since the AFM tip radius is ~ 7 nm, the smallest structures which could be resolved here are about 5 to 10 nm. Even though, the identical AFM measurement conditions and parameters still allow us to compare the sample morphology. The PtCo morphology across the ZnO surface is relatively flat and homogenous with low surface roughness (root mean square, RMS = 0.22 nm), which is in agreement with the previous reported morphology of monometallic Co particles on ZnO.^{33–35,45} In the case of PtCo deposition on 2D-G@ZnO substrate (fig. 2c), a significant change in the surface morphology is noticed. In particular, PtCo seems to organize in homogeneous and dense nanoparticles (NPs), while the height of the particles increases of about 1 nm with respect to the value measured for particles on ZnO. The apparent increase of the PtCo particles' size reflects the differences in the deposit/support interaction in the two cases. The weak interaction of PtCo with graphene enhances the metal mobility over the support and is accountable for the formation of a dense nanoparticle structure.^{33–35,46}

Comparison of the surface redox stability of 2D samples

The PtCo/2D-ZnO and PtCo/2D-G@ZnO samples were treated stepwise under 7 mbar O₂ and H₂ while XPS was measured after each step to characterize their surface state and composition. Characteristic photoemission Co 2p XPS spectra and their deconvolution to various cobalt oxidation states are displayed in Figure 3a and b. Initially cobalt is metallic (Co 2p_{3/2} at 778.6 eV), while after O₂ exposure the peak becomes broader due to the contribution of Co²⁺ (780.8 eV)

and $\text{Co}^{2.67+}$ (779.8 eV) components. The fitting of the Co 2p spectrum into Co^0 , Co^{2+} and $\text{Co}^{2.67+}$ allows estimating the average cobalt oxidation state of the bimetallic nanoparticles (figure 3c). Interestingly, at low temperature, PtCo/2D-ZnO complete oxidation to CoO is found, while for PtCo/2D-G@ZnO, part of Co remains metallic. At the higher temperature about 50 % $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{2.67+}$ ratio is reached for both samples. In our previous work we have shown that without the addition of Pt, Co can be fully oxidized to Co_3O_4 at this temperature under 7 mbar O_2 .³⁴ The current results demonstrate that Pt co-deposition partially prevents Co oxidation in agreement with earlier studies.^{10,13} Certainly one cannot exclude the impact of graphene to Co oxidation clearly observed at low temperature, but it seems that when the oxidation temperature increases, graphene effect is limited.

The planar geometry of the sample allows employing angle-resolved XPS measurements in order to specify if cobalt particles are oxidized preferentially at the surface or at the interface with the substrate. The Co 2p and Pt 4f spectra were recorded at 0 degree and 75 degree take-off angles, which correspond to analysis information depth for Co 2p of ~ 4 and 1 nm, respectively. As shown in supporting information S2, in case of PtCo/2D-G@ZnO there is a clear enhancement of cobalt oxidation in the more surface sensitive measurements, which signifies that oxidation of cobalt starts from the surface and progresses to the interface with graphene. In contrast, for PtCo/2D-ZnO, XPS spectra of both take-off angles gave almost identical cobalt valence. This result shows that during PtCo/2D-ZnO exposure to O_2 , cobalt oxidation proceeds not only through the surface but also via the interface with the ZnO support.

Figure 3. Co 2p XPS spectra acquired from (a) PtCo/2D-ZnO and (b) PtCo/2D-G@ZnO after PtCo deposition and oxidation in 7 mbar O₂ at 25 and 250 °C (c) Co average valence state of PtCo/2D-ZnO and PtCo/2D-G@ZnO during the 7mbar O₂ (with the white background) and 7 mbar H₂ treatments (with a cyan background). The initial oxidation states (just after UHV deposition) are shown with a grey background.

The reduction of pre-oxidized cobalt in 7 mbar H₂ is plotted as a function of temperature in a blue background in Figure 3c. As is evident, already at 150 °C the greater part of pre-oxidized cobalt is reduced. However, comparison of the two samples in each treatment temperature shows that the reduction of cobalt oxide is substantially enhanced in the presence of graphene. Promotion of cobalt oxide reduction by graphene is in accordance with our previous findings of

monometallic cobalt.^{33,34} The enhanced reduction of cobalt oxides is attributed to the weak interaction between Co and graphene as compared with that of ZnO oxides.^{33,34} Moreover, the PtCo particles are reduced at lower temperatures relative to the reports of monometallic Co,³⁴ which can be attributed to the ability of Pt to dissociatively adsorb hydrogen and promote cobalt oxide reduction.

We turn now our attention on Pt and present the deconvoluted Pt 4f XPS spectra in Figure 4a and b together with the evolution of the various Pt oxide species and the mean Pt valence for PtCo/2D-ZnO sample (figure 4c). The Pt 4f_{7/2} for freshly prepared PtCo/2D-ZnO and PtCo/2D-G@ZnO is found at 71.6±0.1 eV. This energy is about 0.4 eV higher than the one measured on a reference Pt foil, indicating an electronic interaction of Pt and Co due to PtCo alloy formation.^{47,48} One should exclude here the possibility that the BE shift is induced by a combination of initial and final state effects due to the small Pt particles size.^{49,50} This effect has been observed for Pt nanoparticles with sizes up to 2-3 nm,⁵⁰ while in our case the particles are much bigger as observed in the AFM images.

Figure 4. The Pt 4f XPS spectra under various oxidation temperatures in 7 mbar O₂ for (a) PtCo/2D-ZnO and (b) PtCo/2D-G@ZnO. Deconvolution of the main spectra to metal and oxidized Pt components is included. (c) The evolution of the various Pt oxide species and the mean Pt valence as a function of temperature at the PtCo/2D-ZnO sample. The values in a grey background correspond to the sample just after UHV deposition, while the yellow background is in 7 mbar O₂.

Annealing of PtCo/2D-G@ZnO in O₂ up to 150 °C does not influence the Pt 4f spectrum, while an additional component at 72.6 eV is observed at 250 °C. This component is associated to PtO formation⁵¹ while Pt-Zn surface alloys⁵² should be excluded, since they are only reported at highly reducing conditions.⁵² In the case of PtCo/2D-ZnO, ~9 % of the total amount of Pt is

oxidized to PtO already at room temperature, while this fraction further increases to 19 % after oxidation at 150 °C. When the temperature rises to 250 °C, a higher oxidation state of Pt, located at ~ 74.2 eV can be distinguished in the XPS spectrum, which corresponds to PtO₂.^{51,53} The XPS angle-resolved measurements (Figure S2) of PtCo/2D-ZnO sample demonstrate a systematically higher amount of oxidized Pt at the deeper analysis depth, meaning that oxidized Pt is primarily located at the interface with ZnO. This suggests that Pt oxidation is related to its interaction with the ZnO support and not with the gas oxygen. The fact that oxidized Pt is located at the interface with ZnO, can also explain the reason why Pt oxidation is practically not observed for PtCo/2D-G@ZnO, since the particles and ZnO are not in direct contact. In 7 mbar H₂, oxidized Pt is completely reduced to the metallic state already at the first annealing step (150 °C) and remains in the metallic state upon heating at higher temperature (data not shown).

The differences in the PtCo oxidation between 2D-G@ZnO and 2D-ZnO may be attributed to variations in the oxidation mechanisms. When supported PtCo is exposed to gas-phase oxygen, the oxidation of metals initialled with dissociation of O₂ molecule to atomic oxygen, together with the mobility of metal atoms on the surface.⁵⁴ Then atomic O forms a chemisorbed overlayer and oxidizes the surface of the metal particles. This procedure described the oxidation of PtCo from the gas-phase oxygen, as in the case of PtCo/2D-G@ZnO. However, for PtCo/2D-ZnO besides gas-phase oxidation, interface oxidation was also observed due to the direct interaction with ZnO. In that case adsorbed OH groups on ZnO may act as the oxygen source. The formation OH groups on polar ZnO surface due interaction with residual H₂ or H₂O species has been previously reported and found to oxidize Co at room temperature.^{40,55} At higher temperatures, ZnO itself could be the reason of PtCo oxidation at the interface, as has been previously observed in noble metal/reducible oxide systems. For example, in O₂, the oxidation of

ZnO-supported Au at 200 °C was attributed to Au-O-Zn interactions.⁵⁶ Ceria is also known to stabilize Pt oxides by formation of Pt-O-Ce species, especially at the periphery of the metal particle.^{57,58} Moreover, preferential oxidation of Pd at the Pd/Fe₃O₄ interface was suggested to stabilize PdO by the interaction with the Fe₃O₄ support.^{59,60} Although it is difficult to suggest an unambiguous oxidation mechanism of PtCo, our results confirm that the metal/oxide interface oxidation is taking place on PtCo/2D-ZnO sample. Besides, it further proposes that in case of nanoparticles oxidation from the support might be as significant as that of the gas phase oxygen.

The topographic AFM images of PtCo/2D-ZnO and PtCo/2D-G@ZnO after the redox treatment are shown in Figures 5a and b, respectively. As compared to the fresh samples (Figures 2b and c), the redox treatment increases the surface roughness due to agglomeration of the nanoparticles. However, the evolution of their morphology is still influenced by the substrate, similar to the fresh samples. In particular, the PtCo/2D-G@ZnO surface roughness is higher (RMS = 0.46) as compared to PtCo/2D-ZnO (RMS = 0.40).

Agglomeration of PtCo particles is confirmed by the evolution of the Co 2p/Pt 4f peak area ratio plotted in Figure 5c. As shown, for both samples there is an increase of the Co 2p/Pt 4f ratio in the oxidation process, while the opposite trend is observed upon reduction. These changes reflect the enrichment of the surface with cobalt during oxidation and the reverse process upon reduction. Please note that the Co 2p/Pt 4f ratio is consistently lower for the graphene sample also including the initial state just after deposition. This tendency was observed in several repeated experiments therefore one can exclude uncertainties of the metal evaporation procedure. We attribute the differences in the Co 2p/Pt 4f ratio between the two supports, to the higher mobility of Pt and Co on graphene as compared to ZnO. The higher atom mobility enhances the surface diffusion of Pt over Co just after deposition and facilitates fusion of the two metals.

Notably, under higher temperature and O₂ pressure the kinetic barriers are lifted, allowing Co to segregate over Pt and oxidize, as dictated by its stronger interaction with oxygen as compared to Pt.⁵⁴

The Raman spectra after the redox treatment are plotted in Figure 5d. As indicated by the high intensity of the D peak as well as of the appearance of an additional D' peak which is also activated by defects on graphene, more defects are generated after the gas treatment. Comparison of the Raman spectra after O₂ and (O₂ + H₂) treatments show that most of the defects are generated in O₂, while the consequent H₂ reduction step has no particular influence on the defect formation. In addition to the Raman results, the XPS spectra provide detailed information about the oxidation state of graphene. As shown in Figure 5e, a single C 1s peak at 284.8 eV can be observed on the fresh PtCo/2D-G@ZnO sample which is from the sp² carbon of graphene.³⁴ After 250 °C oxidation treatment, additional peaks arise at higher binding energies which can be assigned to the oxidized carbon (C-O, C=O and O-C=O).³⁴ Annealing in H₂ atmosphere could reduce these oxidized carbon species, even though, as shown in Raman spectra, this procedure does not recover the high quality of pristine graphene. These results confirm the previously proposed mechanism of defect formation of graphene induced by cobalt deposition.³⁴ In particular, cobalt particles locally dilute carbon atoms of the graphene lattice, making these atoms more vulnerable to oxidation in O₂ atmosphere e.g. to CO₂, as compared to carbon atoms on uncovered graphene.

Figure 5. Tapping mode AFM topographic images (500 x 500 nm) of **(a)** PtCo/2D-ZnO and **(b)** PtCo/2D-G@ZnO after 7 mbar redox treatments **c)** the Co 2p/Pt4f XPS peak area ratio as a function of temperature for both O₂ and H₂ treatments **d)** Raman spectra of PtCo/2D-G@ZnO sample after oxidation and reduction treatments **e)** C 1s spectra of fresh, oxidized and reduced PtCo/2D-G@ZnO sample.

Preparation and characterization of powder samples (3D)

The comparative investigation of the planar systems illustrates in detail the effect of graphene layer on the arrangement and the redox properties of PtCo. However, one should be aware that it remains a model system which deviates from the usual high surface area powder catalysts, in terms of both morphology and preparation method. Inspired by the promising results provided by

the model system we attempt to synthesize analogous materials in a powder form, targeting to ZnO-based catalysts with enhanced cobalt reducibility. The employed synthesis method is selected with the aim to produce a 3D powder sample with characteristics equivalent to the 2D graphene-coated ZnO. The Raman spectra of the graphene oxide (GO) precursor and the synthesized 3D-G@ZnO support before PtCo deposition are shown in Figure 6a. The Raman spectra are dominated by the D and G bands at 1600 and 1350 cm^{-1} respectively, resembling the spectra recorded on the 2D model system after the redox treatments (Fig. 5d). The high intensity D band and the absence of 2D band are clear evidences of the high defect density of graphene in the 3D-G@ZnO. This is not surprising though, since the graphene layers are synthesized based on a GO precursor which has a much higher defect density as compared to the CVD graphene used for the 2D-G@ZnO sample.⁵⁵

The XRD patterns of the as prepared powder substrates plotted in Figure 6b exhibit typical diffraction peaks of pure hexagonal wurtzite phase ZnO, in agreement with standard bulk ZnO pattern (JCPDS no. 36-1451).⁵⁶ The similarity of the diffraction patterns of 3D-ZnO and 3D-G@ZnO composites confirms that the crystallinity of the ZnO particles is not affected by the incorporation of graphene.⁵⁷ Furthermore, the high intensity diffraction peak at a 2θ value of 11.4° corresponding to the (001) crystal plane of GO is absent on the pattern of 3D-G@ZnO, which means that stacked GO have been effectively exfoliated during the synthesis process. In addition, no prominent peak of graphite at $2\theta = 26^\circ$ can be observed for 3D-G@ZnO, indicating that the restacking of the reduced graphene layers is to a great extent prevented. However, as calculated by the Scherrer's equation,⁵⁸ the average ZnO crystallite size of 3D-G@ZnO is ~ 16 nm which is considerably smaller than that of 3D-ZnO (~ 31 nm). This is probably caused during the formation of graphene-coated ZnO, where the graphene fragments detach from the GO layers

through chemical exfoliation and encircle the ZnO nuclei, limiting the growth of large ZnO crystals during the crystallization process of ZnO.³⁶

Figure 6. Structural characterization of the powder samples before addition of PtCo particles, **a)** Raman spectra; **b)** XRD patterns and SEM images of **c)** 3D-ZnO and **d)** 3D-G@ZnO.

The SEM images in Figures 6c and d confirm the differences in the morphology of the two powder samples. It can be seen that without graphene, ZnO forms well crystallized flakes which are stacking in large aggregates (Figure 6c). On the contrary, in the case of 3D-G@ZnO the

morphology consists of agglomerated nanoparticles with much smaller size as compared to the uncovered sample (Figure 6d).

Comparison of the redox stability of 3D powder samples

The oxidation and reduction of PtCo/3D-ZnO and PtCo/3D-G@ZnO powder samples were studied in comparable to the model system conditions, with the addition of an initial step related to the decomposition of the precursors used for PtCo deposition. This step was carried out under 0.3 bar Ar instead of O₂, since as revealed from the model system studies, the graphene defect density is enhanced in O₂. The evolution of Co average valence during various gas treatments is plotted in Figure 7a, while some characteristic Co 2p and Pt 4f spectra are shown in Figures 7b and 7c, respectively. Initially cobalt is in the Co²⁺ state as expected for the cobalt (II) acetate precursor used in the preparation. The cobalt (II) acetate is gradually decomposed upon annealing in Ar and about 70 % of metallic Co is formed at 350 °C on both substrates as shown in Figure 7a. Although decomposition of cobalt (II) acetate under inert gas environment was reported to generate CoO as the final product,⁵⁹ the low pressure and the addition of H₂PtCl₆·6H₂O used in our case evidently facilitates further decomposition of CoO to Co.

After Ar treatment the samples were annealed in H₂ with the aim to form reduced bimetallic PtCo as in the case of the model 2D sample. As displayed in Figure 7a, the substrate type influences the reduction of the cobalt oxide that remained after the decomposition of the precursor. CoO can be completely reduced at 250 °C on 3D-G@ZnO, while it resists reduction when supported on 3D-ZnO, even after annealing at 450 °C. This is also confirmed in a second redox cycle where PtCo/3D-ZnO and PtCo/3D-G@ZnO are re-oxidized in 0.3 bar O₂ and then reduced again in H₂. As displayed in Figure 7a, after 250 °C in O₂ cobalt was oxidized in both

samples to a mixture of CoO and Co₃O₄, with minor influence of the graphene layer. The similarity in cobalt oxidation state in both samples confirms the observations in the model system (Figure 3c). In the following reduction cycle the support plays a significant role. In particular, cobalt oxides are reduced more efficiently on 3D-G@ZnO as compared to 3D-ZnO, confirming the promotion effect of the graphene layer during the reduction step. This observation is in accordance with the results on the model system, which showed that the reduction of Co²⁺ is promoted by the graphene layer. Our model system studies proposed that this happens because graphene prevents oxygen transport from ZnO to the Co overlayer.

Figure 7. a) Co average valence state of PtCo/3D-ZnO and PtCo/3D-G@ZnO during the heating treatments under various gas environments. b) Typical Co 2p spectra of PtCo/3D-ZnO and PtCo/3D-G@ZnO after reduction in H₂ at 350 °C as indicated by the circles in a). c) Typical Pt 4f spectra of PtCo/3D-ZnO and PtCo/3D-G@ZnO after oxidation in O₂ at 250 °C.

The surface oxidation state of Pt after decomposition in Ar and the H₂ reduction step is deduced from the Pt 4f spectra included in Figure 7. For both samples only the Pt 4f peak at 71.4 eV due to the metallic Pt appears after the decomposition of the precursor. After exposure at 0.3 mbar O₂

at room temperature (Figure S3), an additional Pt 4f doublet at 72.7 eV appears, which is ascribed to oxidized Pt species. The contribution of the oxidized Pt species increases up to 20 % in the maximum O₂ annealing temperature and disappears fast upon switching to H₂ atmosphere (data not shown). Partial oxidation of Pt is in agreement with the observations in the 2D model catalyst, however there are some significant differences in the two cases. In particular, for powder samples the oxidation state of Pt is the same in both sample-types and is not influenced by the graphene coating. This contradicts the observations of the planar sample which showed that Pt oxidation is restricted in the presence of graphene.

The morphology of the samples after the redox treatment is presented in the TEM images of Figure 8. The low magnification images (Figures 8a and 8c) suggest that on both substrates the PtCo NPs are well dispersed, with relatively uniform particle size and an average size of 4.5 ± 1.8 nm and 5.3 ± 2.2 nm for the PtCo/3D-G@ZnO and PtCo/3D-ZnO, respectively (for the size distribution please refer to supporting information S4). The size of the particles on both substrates are therefore compatible and should not be accountable for the observed differences between them. In the HRTEM images of PtCo/3D-ZnO displayed in Figure 8b, the fringe spacing distance is measured 0.21 nm, which corresponds to the d_{hkl} of the (111) plane of the PtCo alloys (JCPDS no. 43-1358). In the case of PtCo/3D-G@ZnO, figure 8d presents a d_{hkl} of 0.26 nm of (0002) plane of ZnO (JCPDS no. 36-1451), in agreement with its hexagonal wurtzite phase obtained from the XRD data. Also, Figure 8d clearly shows the few layer graphene coating at the outer shell of ZnO crystal (more TEM images can be found in supporting information Figure S5). This indicates that PtCo can be well loaded on the G@ZnO surface through impregnation method and the graphene layer has a good stability after the decomposition and reduction steps.

Figure 8 . (a) STEM Bright Field image of PtCo/3D-ZnO, (b) zoom on a CoPt particle with the corresponding FFT and (c,d) TEM images of PtCo/3D-G@ZnO after decomposition and reduction treatments. The graphene layers are indicated by red arrows.

A more detailed TEM investigation was carried out on the PtCo/3D-G@ZnO sample in order to determine the chemical order inside the PtCo NPs. Usually, electron diffraction and HRTEM can be used to obtain such information, but in our case the electron diffraction patterns are difficult to assign to a well-defined structure due to the presence of the crystallized ZnO support. The distance d_{hkl} measured on Figure 8d suggests that PtCo NPs displays A1 or L10 structure. To

observe L10 ordered phase, the NP should be oriented in order to probe the [001] or [110] peaks.^{60,61} For our PtCo NPs, we have observed several nanoparticles (isolated or on the support) in different orientations revealing a L10-type contrast. Figure 9 display such examples of oriented nanoparticles in the case of the sample PtCo/3D-G@ZnO, which shows a 001-type contrast: (1) the 001 peak is clearly present on the Fourier transform (FFT) by measuring the distance d_{hkl} of 3.7 Å on the NPs chosen in figures 9a and 9c, (2) on Figure 9b, the high resolution profile taken on the green line evidences a periodicity corresponding to successive of a “Co-rich” and “Pt-rich” atomic planes along the [001] direction. These results confirm that the PtCo NPs supported on the G@ZnO display an L10-type structure.

Figure 9. (a-c) TEM and HRTEM images of some typical oriented PtCo/3D-G@ZnO NPs displaying a L10-type chemical order (d)Zoom on the NP highlighted in (a) with in inset, the

corresponding FFT showing a 001 peak. (e) Zoom of the region selected in (b) with in inset the corresponding profiles taken on the green line. (f) Zoom on the region selected in (c) revealing the d_{hkl} distance corresponding to the (001)-type plane.

The stability of graphene is confirmed by the Raman spectra recorded after the redox gas treatments. These spectra, presented at supporting information S6, are quite similar to those measured at the fresh G@ZnO substrate. The differences in the fabrication method of the graphene coating, challenge for a straightforward comparison of the coating stability on the planar and powder systems. Nevertheless, the stability of graphene on powder samples is an encouraging result which illustrates that graphene can work as a coating layer in reactive oxidation/reduction environment.

Correlation of planar and powder catalysts characteristics

The above presented results demonstrate that the graphene layer between PtCo and ZnO, restricts PtCo oxidation and facilitates cobalt reduction for both model and powder samples. Next, we attempt to correlate the characteristics between the two examined sample morphologies and rationalize the origin of some variations found between the two. Model and powder samples follow the same trend as the oxidation temperature increases, which is stepwise oxidation of Co to CoO and then to a mixture of CoO and Co₃O₄ (see Figure 3, Figure 7). The model system allowed observing a small difference at 50 °C, where Co is less oxidized on PtCo/2D-G@ZnO as compared to PtCo/2D-ZnO. This was attributed to the platinum surface enrichment, which is a direct effect of the enhanced surface mobility of PtCo adatoms over graphene. Although it was not possible to confirm this on the powder system, due to the complex morphology and the synthesis method followed, it is valuable information which reveals the intrinsic tendency of the

material. Notably, the mobility of metal particles is enhanced by graphene and this will affect their final arrangement.

We turn now our attention to Pt, which according to the model system is oxidized only when it is in direct contact with ZnO, but not on graphene. Pt oxidation on ZnO support is mainly restricted to their interface, as shown by the angle-resolved XPS measurements. This suggests that Pt oxidation is promoted by the strong metal/support interaction with ZnO, and graphene plays the role of a barrier between the two. However, Pt oxidation does not seem to be affected by the support in the case of powder samples. The differences on Pt oxidation observed between PtCo/2D-ZnO and PtCo/3D-ZnO may originate from the crystalline structure of the ZnO support. Considering the strong preference of Zn ions for a tetrahedral coordination geometry and the coordinately unsaturated environment of Zn ions on the Zn (0001) plane, the oxidation of Pt on ZnO(0001) can be the result of the facile adsorption of oxygen molecules on this face, which at higher temperatures can diffuse to the interface with Pt and oxidize it.⁶²

Concerning the reduction of cobalt oxides, significant improvement can be found on the graphene-coated substrates for both planar and powder samples. The graphene layer can mediate the Co-ZnO interaction at high temperature and prevents oxidation of Co by ZnO and formation of $\text{Co}_x\text{Zn}_{1-x}\text{O}$. The detailed system description provided by the 2D model can help to rationalize the PtCo properties and extent these finding also to the 3D powder sample. In particular, comparison of the Co/Pt ratio between graphene and ZnO supported particles shows that this ratio is consistently lower in the case of graphene-coating (see Figure 5c). This manifests that the surface is enriched with Pt in the case of graphene and with Co in the case of ZnO support. One should primarily correlate this with the higher mobility of PtCo after deposition on graphene as compared to ZnO. When PtCo is oxidized, there is an evident segregation of cobalt oxide on the

surface, as shown by the increase of the Co/Pt ratio, but still the surface of the graphene-supported particles remains more enriched in Pt as compared to ZnO. This configuration is expected to have a pronounced effect on the reduction of cobalt of the consequent reduction step. As has been shown in previous studies, H₂ adsorption and dissociation is facilitated by the presence of Pt, which in turn, will catalyze the reduction of neighbouring cobalt oxide areas at lower temperatures.

Another factor that certainly complicates the comparison of planar and powder systems is the nature of graphene coating. CVD is currently the best method to grow high-quality graphene on planar surfaces and in large areas. However, for powder samples the use of graphene precursors, such as GO, is more appropriate. The choice of the fabrication method has a significant impact on the quality of the graphene coating. Notably in this work, CVD graphene is a single layer with low defect density, while graphene prepared by GO, is multilayer and has a much higher defect density. Raman spectra, of both planar and powder samples show that, independent of using CVD-grown graphene or a GO precursor, formation of defects on graphene is unavoidable after the redox treatment. Certainly, as proposed earlier,³⁴ the few-layer graphene coated on powder ZnO, is more stable than the single layer CVD-grown graphene used for the preparation of the model samples. In addition, it is expected that the oxygen functional groups present on the GO interact stronger with the ZnO surface leading to a well coated layer, which is not the case for CVD-grown graphene deposited on ZnO through wet transfer.

The graphene characteristics definitely affect the properties of the material and notably the PtCo particle morphology. As revealed by the AFM images of PtCo/2D-G@ZnO, PtCo maintains the homogeneous dispersed nanoparticle structure on the surface of graphene after deposition and redox treatments as compared to a flat morphology on bare ZnO(0001). Moreover, after several

cycles of heating, the nanoparticles are agglomerated from ~ 8 nm to ~ 18 nm which is due to the low diffusion barriers of Pt and Co on the graphene layer.³⁴ However, TEM images show similar sizes of PtCo NPs on bare graphene-coated ZnO powder. As shown in the Raman spectra (Figure 2a and Figure S4), the high intensity D peak indicates a high density of defects on the graphene coating layer. Those defects can play a role as anchoring sites for the nanoparticles,⁶³ thus agglomeration of PtCo NPs on 3D-G@ZnO was not observed.

The above results inspire us to discuss some potential applications of the materials synthesized in this work. Cobalt is considered the most favourable metal for the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis to produce long chain hydrocarbons from syngas.^{1,64,65} Oxides supports, such as Al₂O₃, SiO₂ and TiO₂, are the most commonly used refractory supports for Co-based catalysts and it is well accepted that their properties can strongly affect the performance of Co. SiO₂ weakly interacts with Co, which helps to lower the reduction temperature of Co oxide precursor, but fails to prevent Co particles from sintering upon prolonged use. On the other hand, supports like Al₂O₃ or TiO₂ may improve the dispersion of Co and retard the crystallite diffusion, however, suffer from the formation of unreducible mixed oxides especially at high reduction temperatures. The PtCo/3D-G@ZnO prepared in this work proposes to use graphene coating on oxides, as a promising strategy to overcome these shortcomings. As shown above, taking the advantage of the graphene layers (weakly interaction but high density of anchor sites), the graphene-coated ZnO not only improves the reduction of Co oxides (Figure 7), but also limits the agglomeration to large Co particles (Figure S4). This is also consistent with the idea proposed in recent works to use carbon nanotubes⁶⁶ or amorphous carbon as metal/support interlayers for FT synthesis catalysts. Beyond the applications in thermocatalysis, the PtCo/3D-ZnO and PtCo/3D-G@ZnO materials can also be applied in photocatalytic reactions, such as elimination of pollutants, water

splitting and others.^{24,67–69} Adding of metals (such as Pt) on semiconductors are believed to retard electron-hole recombination and accordingly enhance the photocatalytic efficiency.^{68,69} In the graphene/semiconductor composite systems, the role of graphene is reported to be multi fold, including tuning light absorption range and intensity, enhancing adsorption capacity and acting as photoelectron mediator and acceptor.⁶⁷ Therefore, we expect that the PtCo/3D-G@ZnO sample could be a potentially high performance photocatalyst.

CONCLUSIONS

This work presents a combined approach of model/planar and realistic/powder catalysts formulation for the development of highly reducible cobalt catalysts supported on ZnO. Due to the significantly lower degree of complexity of the model, as compared to the powder catalysts, the former is used to retrieve detailed information regarding the fundamental characteristics of the studied system. In particular, the model system reveals the arrangement of the PtCo particles, the oxidation mechanism and their effect on the graphene layer stability. Furthermore, it shows beyond doubt that the graphene coating on ZnO is effective to tune the reducibility of cobalt oxides toward lower temperatures. These results are used as a proof of concept in order to design and synthesize realistic 3D powder catalysts. Despite the fact that the complete reproduction of the model system features to the powder catalysts is challenging, if not unfeasible, we show that if an appropriate synthesis method is selected, the principal characteristics of the model system can be reproduced on the powder catalyst. Overall, our coordinated approach unavoidably illustrates that Pt promotion combined to ZnO-coating of graphene can shift the reduction of cobalt oxides at significantly lower temperatures.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

The average valence of cobalt and platinum recorded at two XPS take-off angles, the Pt 4f XPS spectra under various oxidation temperatures, statistics of the PtCo particle size calculated from TEM images, additional TEM images after reduction and Raman spectra after oxidation and reduction. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* spiros.zafeiratos@unistra.fr

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

W.L. would like to thank the China Scholarship Council (CSC) for a Ph.D grant during his stay at the ICPEES. We acknowledge the help of Dr. T. Dintzer for the SEM images and Dr V. Papaefthimiou for her support during the experiments.

REFERENCES

- (1) Khodakov, A. Y.; Chu, W.; Fongarland, P. Advances in the Development of Novel Cobalt Fischer-Tropsch Catalysts for Synthesis of Long-Chain Hydrocarbons and Clean Fuels. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107* (5), 1692–1744.
- (2) Wang, G.-H.; Hilgert, J.; Richter, F. H.; Wang, F.; Bongard, H.; Spliethoff, B.; Weidenthaler, C.; Schüth, F. Platinum-Cobalt Bimetallic Nanoparticles in Hollow Carbon Nanospheres for Hydrogenolysis of 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural. *Nat. Mater.* **2014**, *13* (3), 293–300.
- (3) Kababji, A. H.; Joseph, B.; Wolan, J. T. Silica-Supported Cobalt Catalysts for Fischer--Tropsch Synthesis: Effects of Calcination Temperature and Support Surface Area on

- Cobalt Silicate Formation. *Catal. Letters* **2009**, *130* (1), 72–78.
- (4) Turczyniak, S.; Teschner, D.; Machocki, A.; Zafeiratos, S. Effect of the Surface State on the Catalytic Performance of a Co/CeO₂ Ethanol Steam-Reforming Catalyst. *J. Catal.* **2016**, *340*, 321–330.
 - (5) Chu, W.; Xu, J.; Hong, J.; Lin, T.; Khodakov, A. Design of Efficient Fischer Tropsch Cobalt Catalysts via Plasma Enhancement: Reducibility and Performance (Review). *Catal. Today* **2015**, *256* (P1), 41–48.
 - (6) Song, H.; Zhang, L.; Ozkan, U. S. Effect of Synthesis Parameters on the Catalytic Activity of Co-ZrO₂ for Bio-Ethanol Steam Reforming. *Green Chem.* **2007**, *9* (6), 686–694.
 - (7) Arnoldy, P. Temperature-Programmed Reduction of CoO/Al₂O₃ Catalysts. *J. Catal.* **1985**, *93*, 38–54.
 - (8) Papaefthimiou, V.; Dintzer, T.; Lebedeva, M.; Teschner, D.; H₂vecker, M.; Knop-Gericke, A.; Schl₂gl, R.; Pierron-Bohnes, V.; Savinova, E.; Zafeiratos, S. Probing Metal-Support Interaction in Reactive Environments: An in Situ Study of PtCo Bimetallic Nanoparticles Supported on TiO₂. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2012**, *116* (27), 14342–14349.
 - (9) Wakisaka, M.; Mitsui, S.; Hirose, Y.; Kawashima, K.; Uchida, H.; Watanabe, M. Electronic Structures of Pt-Co and Pt-Ru Alloys for CO-Tolerant Anode Catalysts in Polymer Electrolyte Fuel Cells Studied by EC-XPS. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, *110* (46), 23489–23496.
 - (10) Zheng, F.; Alayoglu, S.; Guo, J.; Pushkarev, V.; Li, Y.; Glans, P.-A.; Chen, J.; Somorjai, G. In-Situ X-Ray Absorption Study of Evolution of Oxidation States and Structure of

- Cobalt in Co and CoPt Bimetallic Nanoparticles (4 Nm) under Reducing (H₂) and Oxidizing (O₂) Environments. *Nano Lett.* **2011**, *11* (2), 847–853.
- (11) Yu, W.; Porosoff, M. D.; Chen, J. G. Review of Pt-Based Bimetallic Catalysis: From Model Surfaces to Supported Catalysts. *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *112* (11), 5780–5817.
 - (12) Xu, H.; Fu, Q.; Guo, X.; Bao, X. Architecture of Pt-Co Bimetallic Catalysts for Catalytic CO Oxidation. *ChemCatChem* **2012**, *4* (10), 1645–1652.
 - (13) Xu, X.; Fu, Q.; Wei, M.; Wu, X.; Bao, X. Comparative Studies of Redox Behaviors of Pt–Co/SiO₂ and Au–Co/SiO₂ Catalysts and Their Activities in CO Oxidation. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *4* (9), 3151.
 - (14) Gnanamani, M. K.; Ribeiro, M. C.; Ma, W.; Shafer, W. D.; Jacobs, G.; Graham, U. M.; Davis, B. H. Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis: Metal-Support Interfacial Contact Governs Oxygenates Selectivity over CeO₂ Supported Pt-Co Catalysts. *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* **2011**, *393* (1–2), 17–23.
 - (15) Stamenkovic, V. R.; Mun, B. S.; Arenz, M.; Mayrhofer, K. J. J.; Lucas, C. A.; Wang, G.; Ross, P. N.; Markovic, N. M. Trends in Electrocatalysis on Extended and Nanoscale Pt-Bimetallic Alloy Surfaces. *Nat. Mater.* **2007**, *6* (3), 241–247.
 - (16) Liao, H.; Fisher, A.; Xu, Z. J. Surface Segregation in Bimetallic Nanoparticles: A Critical Issue in Electrocatalyst Engineering. *Small* **2015**, *11* (27), 3221–3246.
 - (17) Bezemer, G. L.; Bitter, J. H.; Kuipers, H. P. C. E.; Oosterbeek, H.; Holeywijn, J. E.; Xu, X.; Kapteijn, F.; Dillen, a J. Van; Jong, K. P. De. Cobalt Particle Size Effects in the Fischer – Tropsch Reaction Studied with Carbon Nanofiber Supported Catalysts. *Am.*

- Chem. Soc.* **2006**, 128 (12), 3956–3964.
- (18) K. S. Novoselov, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva, A. A. Firsov, A. K. G. Electric Field Effect in Atomically Thin Carbon Films. *Science* (80-.). **306** (5696), 666–669.
 - (19) Geim, A. K.; Novoselov, K. S. The Rise of Graphene. *Nat. Mater.* **2007**, 6 (3), 183–191.
 - (20) Novoselov, K. S.; Fal'ko, V. I.; Colombo, L.; Gellert, P. R.; Schwab, M. G.; Kim, K. A Roadmap for Graphene. *Nature* **2012**, 490 (7419), 192–200.
 - (21) Haag, D.; Kung, H. H. Metal Free Graphene Based Catalysts: A Review. *Top. Catal.* **2014**, 57 (6–9), 762–773.
 - (22) Machado, B. F.; Serp, P. Graphene-Based Materials for Catalysis. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, 2 (1), 54–75.
 - (23) Xiang, Q.; Yu, J.; Jaroniec, M. Graphene-Based Semiconductor Photocatalysts. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, 41 (2), 782.
 - (24) Luo, W.; Zafeirotas, S. A Brief Review of Synthesis and Catalytic Applications of Graphene-Coated Oxides. *ChemCatChem* **2017**, 9, 2432–2442.
 - (25) Xiong, H.; Schwartz, T. J.; Andersen, N. I.; Dumesic, J. A.; Datye, A. K. Graphitic-Carbon Layers on Oxides: Toward Stable Heterogeneous Catalysts for Biomass Conversion Reactions. *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.* **2015**, 54 (27), 7939–7943.
 - (26) Karimi, S.; Tavasoli, A.; Mortazavi, Y.; Karimi, A. Enhancement of Cobalt Catalyst Stability in Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis Using Graphene Nanosheets as Catalyst Support.

Chem. Eng. Res. Des. **2015**, *104*, 713–722.

- (27) Zhongzhe Wei; Chen, Y.; Wang, J.; Su, D.; Tang, M.; Mao, S.; Wang, Y. Cobalt Encapsulated in N - Doped Graphene Layers: An Efficient and Stable Catalyst for Hydrogenation of Quinoline Compounds. *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 5816–5822.
- (28) Kamat, P. V. Graphene-Based Nanoarchitectures. Anchoring Semiconductor and Metal Nanoparticles on a Two-Dimensional Carbon Support. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *1* (2), 520–527.
- (29) Moussa, S. O.; Panchakarla, L. S.; Ho, M. Q.; El-Shall, M. S. Graphene-Supported, Iron-Based Nanoparticles for Catalytic Production of Liquid Hydrocarbons from Synthesis Gas: The Role of the Graphene Support in Comparison with Carbon Nanotubes. *ACS Catal.* **2014**, *4* (2), 535–545.
- (30) Liang, Y.; Li, Y.; Wang, H.; Zhou, J.; Wang, J.; Regier, T.; Dai, H. Co₃O₄ Nanocrystals on Graphene as a Synergistic Catalyst for Oxygen Reduction Reaction. *Nat. Mater.* **2011**, *10* (10), 780–786.
- (31) Zhang, Y.; Tang, Z.; Fu, X.; Xu, Y. TiO₂-Graphene Nanocomposites for Gas-Phase Photocatalytic Degradation of Volatile Aromatic Pollutant: Is TiO₂-Graphene Truly Different from Other TiO₂-Carbon Composite Materials? *ACS Nano* **2010**, *4* (12), 7303–7314.
- (32) Ashkarran, A. A.; Mohammadi, B. ZnO Nanoparticles Decorated on Graphene Sheets through Liquid Arc Discharge Approach with Enhanced Photocatalytic Performance under Visible-Light. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2015**, *342*, 112–119.

- (33) Luo, W.; Zafeiratos, S. Graphene-Coated ZnO and SiO₂ as Supports for CoO Nanoparticles with Enhanced Reducibility. *ChemPhysChem* **2016**, *17* (29), 3055–3061.
- (34) Luo, W.; Zafeiratos, S. Tuning Morphology and Redox Properties of Cobalt Particles Supported on Oxides by an in between Graphene Layer. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, *120* (26), 14130–14139.
- (35) Luo, W.; Doh, W. H.; Law, Y. T.; Aweke, F.; Ksiazek-Sobieszek, A.; Sobieszek, A.; Salamacha, L.; Skrzypiec, K.; Machocki, A.; Zafeiratos, S.; Le Normand, F.; Machocki, A.; Zafeiratos, S. Single-Layer Graphene as an Effective Mediator of the Metal–Support Interaction. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2014**, *5* (11), 1837–1844.
- (36) Son, D. I.; Kwon, B. W.; Park, D. H.; Seo, W.-S.; Yi, Y.; Angadi, B.; Lee, C.-L.; Choi, W. K. Emissive ZnO–graphene Quantum Dots for White-Light-Emitting Diodes. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2012**, *7* (7), 465–471.
- (37) Hummers, W. S.; Offeman, R. E. Preparation of Graphitic Oxide. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1958**, *80* (6), 1339–1339.
- (38) Karhu, H.; Kalantar, A.; Väyrynen, I. J.; Salmi, T.; Murzin, D. Y. XPS Analysis of Chlorine Residues in Supported Pt and Pd Catalysts with Low Metal Loading. *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* **2003**, *247* (2), 283–294.
- (39) Biesinger, M. C.; Lau, L. W. M.; Gerson, A. R.; Smart, R. S. C. Resolving Surface Chemical States in XPS Analysis of First Row Transition Metals, Oxides and Hydroxides: Sc, Ti, V, Cu and Zn. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2010**, *257* (3), 887–898.
- (40) Wöll, C. The Chemistry and Physics of Zinc Oxide Surfaces. *Prog. Surf. Sci.* **2007**, *82* (2–

3), 55–120.

- (41) Ferrari, A. C.; Basko, D. M. Raman Spectroscopy as a Versatile Tool for Studying the Properties of Graphene. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2013**, 8 (4), 235–246.
- (42) Ferrari, A. C.; Meyer, J. C.; Scardaci, V.; Casiraghi, C.; Lazzeri, M.; Mauri, F.; Piscanec, S.; Jiang, D.; Novoselov, K. S.; Roth, S.; Geim, A. K. Raman Spectrum of Graphene and Graphene Layers. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2006**, 97 (18), 187401.
- (43) Gong, C.; McDonnell, S.; Qin, X.; Azcatl, A.; Dong, H.; Chabal, Y. J.; Cho, K.; Wallace, R. M. Realistic Metal–Graphene Contact Structures. *ACS Nano* **2014**, 8 (1), 642–649.
- (44) Iqbal, M. W. Z.; Singh, A. K.; Iqbal, M. W. Z.; Eom, J. Raman Fingerprint of Doping due to Metal Adsorbates on Graphene. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **2012**, 24 (33), 335301.
- (45) Hyman, M. P.; Martono, E.; Vohs, J. M. Studies of the Structure and Interfacial Chemistry of Co Layers on ZnO(0001). *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2010**, 114 (40), 16892–16899.
- (46) Liao, Q.; Zhang, H. J.; Wu, K.; Li, H. Y.; Bao, S. N.; He, P. Nucleation and Growth of Monodispersed Cobalt Nanoclusters on Graphene Moiré on Ru(0001). *Nanotechnology* **2011**, 22 (12), 125303.
- (47) Lee, Y.; Lim, K.; Chung, Y.; Whang, C.; Jeon, Y. XPS Core-Level Shifts and XANES Studies of Cu–Pt and Co–Pt Alloys. *Surf. Interface Anal.* **2000**, 30 (July 1999), 475–478.
- (48) Vasquez, Y.; Sra, A. K.; Schaak, R. E. One-Pot Synthesis of Hollow Superparamagnetic CoPt Nanospheres. *J Am Chem Soc* **2005**, 127 (36), 12504–12505.
- (49) Von Weber, A.; Anderson, S. L. Electrocatalysis by Mass-Selected Ptn Clusters. *Acc.*

Chem. Res. **2016**, *49* (11), 2632–2639.

- (50) Isaifan, R. J.; Ntais, S.; Baranova, E. A. Particle Size Effect on Catalytic Activity of Carbon-Supported Pt Nanoparticles for Complete Ethylene Oxidation. *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* **2013**, *464–465*, 87–94.
- (51) Jiang, Z.-Z.; Wang, Z.-B.; Chu, Y.-Y.; Gu, D.-M.; Yin, G.-P. Ultrahigh Stable Carbon Riveted Pt/TiO₂–C Catalyst Prepared by in Situ Carbonized Glucose for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2011**, *4* (3), 728.
- (52) Naitabdi, A.; Fagiewicz, R.; Boucly, A.; Olivieri, G.; Bournel, F.; Tissot, H.; Xu, Y.; Benbalagh, R.; Silly, M. G.; Sirotti, F.; Gallet, J. J.; Rochet, F. Oxidation of Small Supported Platinum-Based Nanoparticles under Near-Ambient Pressure Exposure to Oxygen. *Top. Catal.* **2016**, *59* (5–7), 550–563.
- (53) Abe, Y.; Kawamura, M.; Sasaki, K. Preparation of PtO and α -PtO₂ Thin Films by Reactive Sputtering and Their Electrical Properties. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **1999**, *38* (Part 1, No. 4A), 2092–2096.
- (54) Hirunsit, P.; Balbuena, P. B. Effects of Water and Electric Field on Atomic Oxygen Adsorption on Pt–Co Alloys. *Surf. Sci.* **2009**, *603* (21), 3239–3248.
- (55) Grimm, S.; Schweiger, M.; Eigler, S.; Zaumseil, J. High-Quality Reduced Graphene Oxide by CVD-Assisted Annealing. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, *120* (5), 3036–3041.
- (56) Weng, B.; Yang, M. Q.; Zhang, N.; Xu, Y. J. Toward the Enhanced Photoactivity and Photostability of ZnO Nanospheres via Intimate Surface Coating with Reduced Graphene Oxide. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2014**, *2* (24), 9380–9389.

- (57) Bu, Y.; Chen, Z.; Li, W.; Hou, B. Highly Efficient Photocatalytic Performance of Graphene–ZnO.pdf. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2013**, *5*, 12361–12368.
- (58) Khodakov, A. Y.; Griboval-Constant, A.; Bechara, R.; Villain, F. Pore-Size Control of Cobalt Dispersion and Reducibility in Mesoporous Silicas. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2001**, *105* (40), 9805–9811.
- (59) Wanjun, T.; Donghua, C. Mechanism of Thermal Decomposition of Cobalt Acetate Tetrahydrate. *Chem. Pap.* **2007**, *61* (4), 329–332.
- (60) Tournus, F.; Tamion, A.; Blanc, N.; Hannour, A.; Bardotti, L.; Prével, B.; Ohresser, P.; Bonet, E.; Epicier, T.; Dupuis, V. Evidence of L 10 Chemical Order in CoPt Nanoclusters: Direct Observation and Magnetic Signature. *Phys. Rev. B - Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2008**, *77* (14), 144411.
- (61) Andreazza, P.; Pierron-Bohnes, V.; Tournus, F.; Andreazza-Vignolle, C.; Dupuis, V. Structure and Order in Cobalt/platinum-Type Nanoalloys: From Thin Films to Supported Clusters. *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *70* (2), 188–258.
- (62) Jang, E. S.; Won, J. H.; Hwang, S. J.; Choy, J. H. Fine Tuning of the Face Orientation of ZnO Crystals to Optimize Their Photocatalytic Activity. *Adv. Mater.* **2006**, *18* (24), 3309–3312.
- (63) Zhang, B.; Su, D. S. Probing the Metal – Support Interaction in Carbon- Supported Catalysts by Using Electron Microscopy. *ChemCatChem* **2015**, *7*, 3639–3645.
- (64) Liu, Y.; Luo, J.; Shin, Y.; Moldovan, S.; Ersen, O.; Hébraud, A.; Schlatter, G.; Pham-Huu, C.; Meny, C. Sampling the Structure and Chemical Order in Assemblies of Ferromagnetic

- Nanoparticles by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, 7, 11532.
- (65) Tsakoumis, N. E.; Rønning, M.; Borg, Ø.; Rytter, E.; Holmen, A. Deactivation of Cobalt Based Fischer-Tropsch Catalysts: A Review. In *Catalysis Today*; 2010; Vol. 154, pp 162–182.
- (66) Liu, Y.; Luo, J.; Girleanu, M.; Ersen, O.; Pham-Huu, C.; Meny, C. Efficient Hierarchically Structured Composites Containing Cobalt Catalyst for Clean Synthetic Fuel Production from Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis. *J. Catal.* **2014**, 318, 179–192.
- (67) Zhang, N.; Yang, M.; Liu, S.; Sun, Y.; Xu, Y. Waltzing with the Versatile Platform of Graphene to Synthesize Composite Photocatalysts. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, 115, 10307–10377.
- (68) Wang, W. N.; An, W. J.; Ramalingam, B.; Mukherjee, S.; Niedzwiedzki, D. M.; Gangopadhyay, S.; Biswas, P. Size and Structure Matter: Enhanced CO₂ Photoreduction Efficiency by Size-Resolved Ultrafine Pt Nanoparticles on TiO₂ Single Crystals. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, 134 (27), 11276–11281.
- (69) Zhai, C.; Zhu, M.; Bin, D.; Wang, H.; Du, Y.; Wang, C.; Yang, P. Visible-Light-Assisted Electrocatalytic Oxidation of Methanol Using Reduced Graphene Oxide Modified Pt Nanoflowers-TiO₂ Nanotube Arrays. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2014**, 6 (20), 17753–17761.