COMMUNICATION

Self-assembled nickel cubanes as oxygen evolution catalysts

Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

Ana C. García-Álvarez,^a Stefani Gamboa-Ramírez,^b Diego Martínez-Otero,^c Maylis Orio,^{*b} and Ivan Castillo^{*a}

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Ni₄O₄ cubanes [(μ₃-L¹O)NiCl(MeOH)]₄ (**1**) and [(μ₃-L²O)NiCl(H₂O)]₄ (**2**) (L¹OH = 1-H-2-benzimidazolylmethanol, L²OH = 1-methyl-2-benzimidazolylmethanol) self-assemble from commercially available 1-H- and 1-methyl-2-benzimidazolylmethanol and NiCl₂·6H₂O in high yields under mild conditions. Both complexes were characterised spectroscopically and by X-ray crystallography. The cubanes oxidise water electrocatalytically to dioxygen at neutral pH in aqueous potassium phosphate buffer solutions.

Catalytic water oxidation is a global challenge representing the bottleneck for water splitting, which could provide abundant fuels from renewable sources. Molecular compounds and materials that catalyse the 4-electron oxidation of water to dioxygen have benefitted from the insight gained on the structure of the Mn₄O₅Ca oxygen-evolving complex of photosystem II (PSII, Chart 1a).¹ Nature accumulates four oxidising equivalents in a multimetallic-oxo cluster, and this has emerged as an appealing strategy to obtain compounds featuring metal-oxo subunits, with emphasis on complexes and materials that feature the “cubane”-type M₄O₄ motif (Chart 1b). Such clusters appear as superior alternatives to monometallic complexes, since the former may offer the synergistic action of four metal centres, without the problems inherent to the identification of well-defined active sites in heterogeneous systems that catalyse the oxygen evolution reaction (OER).

M₄O₄ structures that can be easily obtained from readily available starting materials and earth-abundant metals represent a synthetic challenge for efficient OER catalysts as renewable and sustainable energy sources,² with artificial photosynthesis as the ultimate goal.³ The need for predictable synthetic methods has resorted to computational approaches,⁴ including machine learning methods.⁵ Although breakthroughs for the synthesis of M₄O₄ cubanes have been reported,^{2a,6}

much remains to be established in the field.

Chart 1. a) Mn₄O₅Ca cluster in PSII; b) cubane-type M₄O₄ motif; c) core of benzimidazole-derived Ni₄O₄.

In this context, the use of nickel has resulted in Ni₄O₄ structures, but benzimidazole-derived cubanes have not been tested in a rational and systematic fashion as OER electrocatalysts. Ni₄O₄ cubanes have been obtained with sterically encumbering,⁷ and intricate polydentate ligands.⁸ In contrast, 2-benzimidazolylmethanol formed *in situ* allowed the crystallisation of a Ni₄O₄ complex, featuring alkoxide moieties that serve as μ₃-edges (Chart 1c).^{9a} The original report focused exclusively on structural and magnetic aspects of the serendipitously obtained cubane. Rational synthesis with equimolar amounts of LⁿOH and NiCl₂·6H₂O affords complexes with the empirical formulae [(LⁿO)NiCl(S)] (L¹OH = 1-H-2-benzimidazolylmethanol, L²OH = 1-methyl-2-benzimidazolylmethanol; S = MeOH, H₂O, Scheme 1), in 85% [(L¹O)NiCl(MeOH)] and 63% [(L²O)NiCl(H₂O)] yield.

Scheme 1 Self-assembly of [(μ₃-LⁿO)NiCl(S)]₄.

Fast Atom Bombardment (FAB-MS) and/or Electrospray Mass Spectrometry (ESI-MS) revealed the presence of tetrameric aggregates in the form of [(LⁿO)₄Ni₄]⁺ (presumably by reduction of the Ni^{II} centres in the ionisation chamber) or [(LⁿO)₄Ni₄Cl₃]⁺ in methanolic solution, see Electronic Supporting Information (ESI) Figs. S1-S3. ¹H NMR spectroscopy in methanol-*d*₄ indicates that the cubanes are

^a Instituto de Química, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito Exterior, CU, Ciudad de México, 04510, México

^b Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, iSm2, 13397, Marseille, France

^c Centro Conjunto de Investigación en Química Sustentable UAEM-UNAM, Carretera Toluca-Atlaquilco km. 14.5, Toluca, 50200, Estado de México, Mexico

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: crystallographic, spectroscopic, cyclic voltammetry, and DFT data. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

paramagnetic,^{8a,9} based on the broad signals observed from 30 to -15 ppm. As is often the case with Ni^{II} systems with $S > 1/2$, both complexes are ESR silent at X-band frequency in perpendicular mode. Their optical spectra feature ligand-centred bands around 210 ($\epsilon \sim 18\text{--}20,000\text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$) and 274 (18,000), as well as $d\text{--}d$ transitions at 675 nm (25) in methanol.

The cubane-type architecture of $[(\mu_3\text{-L}^1\text{O})\text{NiCl}(\text{MeOH})]_4$ (**1**) and $[(\mu_3\text{-L}^2\text{O})\text{NiCl}(\text{H}_2\text{O})]_4$ (**2**) was confirmed in the solid-state by X-ray crystallography (Table S1 in ESI). Although **1** and **2** have similar structures, differences among bond lengths appear to be related to the better σ -donor properties of N-methylated L^2OH , with shorter average Ni-N distance of 2.028 Å in **2** vs 2.058 Å in **1**. Conversely, the average Ni-O distance in the Ni_4O_4 framework of **1** are shorter at 2.077 vs 2.081 Å in **2**. The average Ni-O distances to the exogenous MeOH or H_2O donors are also shorter in **1** (2.092 vs 2.114 Å in **2**). Distortion of the cubanes is reflected in the Ni-O lengths within the Ni_4O_4 framework, varying from 2.034(2) to 2.117(2) Å in **1**, and 2.040(5) to 2.123(4) Å in **2**. The O-Ni-O angles range from 79.36(7) to 82.3(1)° in **1**, and 79.0(2) to 82.5(2)° in **2**. All Ni-O-Ni¹⁰ angles are around 99° in both cases, with similar 2-2.5° dispersion (Fig. 1). Comparison with the analogous cubane featuring L^1OH reveals similar metric parameters.⁹ In contrast, a related cubane featuring 2-(hydroxymethyl)pyridine ligands is less distorted,¹¹ as reflected in the smaller dispersion of bond lengths and angles around the Ni_4O_4 core. A significantly longer average Ni-N distance to the pyridine donors at 2.070 Å

was determined.

Fig. 1 Mercury diagrams of **1** and **2** at the 50% probability level. H atoms and solvent molecules omitted; C atoms shown as wireframe for clarity.

All Ni^{II} centres in **1** and **2** have one solvent molecule and one chloride as monodentate and potentially labile ligands (see computational studies), necessary for the coordination of water molecules as substrate. Moreover, H-bonding facilitates proton transfer processes such as OER. In this regard, methanol acts as H-donor towards the chlorides on the same face of the cubane in **1**, with O5 through O8 at distances of 3.006-3.092 Å to Cl1-Cl4; the corresponding O-H $\cdots$ Cl angles range from 163 to 172° (Table S2 and Fig. S5). In **2**, H_2O plays the role of H-donor towards the adjacent chlorides at distances ranging from 3.017 to 3.083 Å, and O-H $\cdots$ Cl angles from 150 to 168° (Table S3 and Fig. S6).

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) of **1** and **2** in DMF reveals irreversible oxidation waves at anodic peak potentials (E_{ap}) of 1.15 and 1.09 V vs Ag/AgCl (Figs. S7 and S13). Comparison of the currents observed for **1** and **2** vs that of ferrocene as internal

standard at the same concentration of the cubanes indicates multi-electron processes (Figs. S8 and S14). In aqueous phosphate buffer (K-Pi) at pH 7, irreversible peaks are also detected (Fig. 2). The high currents are indicative of a catalytic process, with onset potentials determined at 0.15 mM as shown in Figs. S11 and S17, and overpotentials $\eta = 950$ and 900 mV vs RHE at $\sim 0.7\text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ ($\eta = 210$ and 160 mV vs NHE) for **1** and **2**, respectively. These values are lower than the one example of nickel cubanes previously reported as WOC,¹² while a related Ni_4O_4 was reported as having no activity.¹³ A linear dependence of the current relative to the concentration of **1** and **2** in the range 0.05-0.30 mM is consistent with a pseudo-first-order rate constant, with $k_{\text{obs}} = 2.05$ and 1.18 s^{-1} respectively, corresponding to the turnover frequencies (TOF) at single-site catalysts.¹⁴

Fig. 2 CV of 0.1 M K-Pi pH 7 (black trace); 0.15 mM **1** in K-Pi (red trace), and clean K-Pi with working electrode after removal from solution of **1** (blue trace, all CVs at scan rate 100 mV s^{-1}). Inset: current dependence on the concentration of **1**.

Reuse of the glassy carbon working electrode in fresh buffer after electrolysis solutions of **1** or **2** does not show electrocatalytic response (Fig. 2, blue trace). This indicates that Ni-containing deposited materials are not responsible for heterogeneous catalysis. Further support for the integrity of the molecular complexes was provided by FAB⁺ MS, showing the same fragmentation pattern of **1** before (Fig. S1a) and after (Fig. S1b) electrolysis. Additionally, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) on a planar graphite working electrode before and after controlled potential electrolysis of 0.15 mM **1** and **2** in K-Pi evidenced no deposition after 30 min (Figs. S18-S20).^{8d} UV-Vis absorption spectra of 0.15 mM solutions of **1** and **2** in 0.1 M K-Pi were measured before and after electrolysis, with no significant changes (Figs. S21 and S22). Lastly, successive CV scans of 0.15 mM of **1** and **2** in 0.1 M K-Pi at pH 7 showed no increase in catalytic current that may be ascribed to deposition of a heterogeneous catalyst (Figs. S23 and S24). All these experiments are consistent with a homogeneous catalytic process by the robust **1** and **2**, which is

not affected by the presence of phosphate at different concentrations (Figs. S25a and S26). In fact, the electrochemical response is identical in borate buffer at pH 8.5 (Fig. S25b).

Electrochemical measurements in D₂O K-Pi buffer allowed determination of a kinetic isotope effect (KIE), affording values of 0.7 and 1.4 for **1** and **2**, respectively (Figs. S27 and S28). This is consistent with observations involving two proximal metal-oxygen moieties during O-O bond formation in the rate-limiting step (I2M mechanism).¹⁵ A relatively small KIE suggests that primary H/D isotope effects that would directly involve O-H bonds is not likely.^{3c,16-19} Secondary effects, such as protons involved within hydrogen bond networks,²⁰⁻²³ could contribute to the extent measured. For comparative purposes, the monometallic complex [bis(2-(1-methylbenzimidazol-2-yl)ethyl)amine]NiCl₂ (**3**) featuring a tridentate benzimidazole-based ligand was employed in analogous electrochemical measurements. CV in DMF reveals E_{ap} at 1.10 vs Ag/AgCl, while in K-Pi at a concentration of 0.28 mM to reach comparable current densities to those observed for **1** and **2**, an overpotential η = 1.0 V vs RHE and KIE = 1.7 (Figs. S29-S33) was determined. This points to a cooperative effect in **1** and **2** that is absent in monometallic **3**. The overall behaviour of the cubanes is analogous to that of related homogeneous monometallic Ni systems previously reported as water oxidation electrocatalysts, and differs from Ni(NO₃)₂ that serves as precursor for NiO as heterogeneous catalyst.²⁴ Confirmation of dioxygen formation during the electrocatalytic processes mediated by the cubanes was obtained by controlled potential electrolysis at 1.5 V to ensure production of O₂ in K-Pi by Clark electrode measurements (Figs. S34 and S35).

To gain insight on the mechanism of water oxidation, DFT calculations were carried out on **1** and **2** by gas phase optimisation of geometric parameters with the BP86 functional, and subsequently in water. Standard deviations are in the range of DFT precision, 0.035-0.043 Å and 1.5-1.6° for bond lengths and angles of **1** and **2**, respectively (ESI Figs. S38-S40, S50-S52 and Tables S7-S9, S19-S21). Single-point broken symmetry calculations confirmed the high-spin nature of the electronic ground state, with weak ferromagnetic coupling among the Ni^{II} ions, as expected given the average Ni-O-Ni angle of 99° (Fig. S43 and Tables S10-S11, S22-S23).^{9,25-27} Cooperativity among metal centres for O-O bond formation during OER has been invoked in related systems, and a reasonable sequence would require initial ligand exchange. First, substitution of MeOH by H₂O in **1** is calculated to be exothermic by -7.1 kcal mol⁻¹ (Figs. S44, S45 and Tables S12-S13). Water molecules at adjacent Ni centres requires Cl⁻ exchange for H₂O, estimated at 2.8 and 1.6 kcal mol⁻¹ for **1** and **2** (Scheme 2, Figs. S46, S47, S55, S56 and Tables S14-S15, S24-S25). Oxidation of one nickel ion per water-substituted cubane $[(\mu_3-L^O)_4Ni_4Cl_3(H_2O)_5]^+$ to formally Ni^{III} in $[(\mu_3-L^O)_4Ni_4Cl_3(H_2O)_5]^{2+}$ was calculated at E = 1.16 and 1.31 V (vs RHE), which are reasonably close to the experimentally

determined onset potentials for OER by **1** and **2** (Figs. S48, S57 and Tables S16, S17, S26, S27). Next, exergonic deprotonation of a water molecule coordinated to the formally Ni^{III} centre would result in a Ni^{III}-OH moiety, calculated at ΔG = -31.5 (**1**) and -16.1 kcal mol⁻¹ (**2**) with HPO₄²⁻ as base at pH 7 (Figs. S49, S58 and Tables S18, S28, S29). Sequential electron/proton transfer (ET/PT) steps would lead to oxo/hydroxo ligands at

adjacent Ni centres, and ultimately to O₂ evolution as depicted in the proposed I2M mechanism in Scheme 2.

Scheme 2 Proposed I2M mechanism for O-O bond formation based on experimental data and DFT calculations. Free energy changes for **1** and (**2**) are indicated in kcal mol⁻¹ (Fig. S59).

Experimental support for the initial oxidation was provided by low-temperature ESR spectroscopy: reaction of **1** with one equiv. of ceric ammonium nitrate in DMF at 77 K resulted in a weakly anisotropic ESR signal that was simulated considering a single paramagnetic species, leading to three different principal g values at g_1 = 2.045, g_2 = 2.053, g_3 = 2.061 and $^{14}A_N$ = 25 MHz. The g values are consistent with a Ni^{III}-centred S = ½ species (Fig. 3)²⁸ and in good agreement with the DFT findings regarding the computed ESR parameters for **1** (Table S30);

oxidation of **2** afforded a weak signal similar to that observed for **1**.

Fig. 3 Simulated (blue) and experimental (orange line) cw X-band ESR spectra of 1 mM complex **1** in DMF at T = 77 K.

In summary, the robust nickel cubanes **1** and **2** can be easily prepared in good yields from readily available starting

materials under ambient conditions. The tetranuclear complexes are active in electrocatalytic water oxidation at neutral pH. Extension of this self-assembly strategy for the preparation of other water oxidation catalysts with benzimidazole-based ligands and earth-abundant metals is currently underway.

A. C. García-Álvarez and S. Gamboa-Ramírez contributed in investigation, methodology, analysis, and writing; D. Martínez-Otero in crystallographic data collection and analysis; M. Orio and I. Castillo in conceptualisation, funding acquisition, project administration, supervision and writing. There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

‡ The authors thank L. Velasco and J. Pérez for FAB MS, M. P. Orta for combustion analysis, V. Gómez-Vidales and S. Bertaina for EPR, R. Patiño[†] for IR, Prof. Jorge Tiburcio and Geiser Cuéllar at Cinvestav for ESI MS. We gratefully acknowledge financial support from Conacyt (A1-S-8682, beca 336107), Conacyt-ECOS Nord 291247, DGAPA-PAPIIT (IN217020), and the French National Research Agency (CUBISM, grant no. ANR-18 CE092 0040 01).

- 1 Y. Umena, K. Kawakami, J. R. Shen, N. Kamiya, *Nature*, 2011, **473**, 55.
- 2 a) G. C. Dismukes, R. Brimblecombe, G. A. N. Felton, R. S. Pryadun, J. E. Sheats, L. Spiccia, G. F. Swiegers, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2009, **42**, 1935; b) R. Mattheu, P. Garrido-Barros, M. Gil-Sepulcre, M. Z. Ertem, X. Sala, C. Gimbert-Suriñach, A. Llobet, *Nat. Rev. Chem.*, 2019, **3**, 331; c) M. D. Kärkäs; B. Åkermark, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 14421.
- 3 a) N. S. Lewis, D. G. Nocera, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2007, **104**, 20142; b) R. Eisenberg, H. B. Gray, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 1697; c) M. D. Kärkäs, O. Verho, E. V. Johnston, B. Åkermark, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 11863.
- 4 a) E. Kim, K. Huang, S. Jegelka, E. Olivetti, *NPJ Comput. Mater.*, 2017, **3**, 1120; b) M. G. Mavros, J. J. Shepherd, T. Tsuchimochi, A. R. Mclsaac, T. Van Voorhis, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2017, **121**, 15665.
- 5 a) C. W. Coley, W. H. Green, K. F. Jensen, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2018, **51**, 1281; b) S. Back, K. Tran, Z. W. Ulissi, *ACS Catal.* 2019, **9**, 7651.
- 6 a) M. D. Symes, Y. Surendranath, D. A. Lutterman, D. G. Nocera, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 5174; b) P. F. Smith, C. Kaplan, J. E. Sheats, Robinson, D. M.; McCool, N. S.; Mezle, N.; Dismukes, G. C. *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 2113; c) A. I. Nguyen, M. S. Ziegler, P. Oña-Burgos, M. Sturzbecher-Hohne, W. Kim, D. E. Bellone, T. D. Tilley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 12865.
- 7 A. N. Ponomaryov, N. Kim, J. Hwang, H. Nojiri, J. Van Tol, A. Ozarowski, J. Park, Z. Jang, B. Suh, S. Yoon, K. Y. Choi, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2013, **8**, 1152.
- 8 a) K. Isele, F. Gigon, A. F. Williams, G. Bernardinelli, P. Franz, S. Decurtins, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, 2006, 332; b) A. Das, F. J. Klinker, S. Demeshko, S. Meyer, S. Dechert, F. Meyer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 8141; c) F. Song, K. Al-Ameed, M. Schilling, T. Fox, S. Luber, G. R. Patzke, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 8846; d) G. Azadi, Z. Zand, Y. Mousazade, R. Bagheri, J. Cui, Z. Song, R. Bikas, K. Wozniak, S. I. Allakhverdiev, M. M. Najafpour, *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* 2019, **44**, 2857.
- 9 a) X.-Y. Song, Y.-H. Xu, L.-C. Li, D.-Z. Liao, Z.-H. Jiang, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2007, **360**, 2039.
- 10 M. A. Halcrow, J.-S. Sun, J. C. Huffman, G. Christou, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, **34**, 4167.
- 11 C. G. Efthymiou, C. Papatriantafyllopoulou, N. I. Alexopoulou, C. P. Raptopoulou, R. Boča, J. Mrozinski, E. G. Bakalbassis, S. P. Perlepes, *Polyhedron*, 2009, **28**, 3373.
- 12 J. Wang, X. Meng, W. Xie, X. Zhang, Y. Fan, M. Wang, *J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.*, 2021, **26**, 205.
- 13 F. Song, R. Moré, M. Schilling, G. Smolentsev, N. Azzaroli, T. Fox, S. Luber, G. R. Patzke, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 14198.
- 14 W. S. Gao, J. M. Wang, N. N. Shi, C. N. Chen, Y. H. Fan, M. Wang, *New J. Chem.*, 2019, **43**, 4640.
- 15 M. D. Kärkäs, B. Åkermark, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 14421.
- 16 V. Fourmond, P. A. Jacques, M. Fontecave, V. Artero, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 10338.
- 17 V. Artero, J. M. Saveant, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 3808.
- 18 A. M. Appel, M. L. Helm, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **4**, 630.
- 19 C. Costentin, S. Drouet, M. Robert, J. M. Savéant, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 11235.
- 20 R. S. Khnayzer, V. S. Thoi, M. Nippe, A. E. King, J. W. Jurss, K. A. El Roz, J. R. Long, C. J. Chang, F. N. Castellano, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 1477.
- 21 L. Chen, G. Chen, C. F. Leung, S. M. Yiu, C. C. Ko, E. Anxolabéhère-Mallart, M. Robert, T. C. Lau, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 356.
- 22 Z. Han, W. R. McNamara, M. S. Eum, P. L. Holland, R. Eisenberg, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 1667.
- 23 Y. Xu, X. Yin, Y. Huang, P. Du, B. Zhang, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 4571.
- 24 D. Wang, C. O. Bruner, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **56**, 13638.
- 25 J. Mayans, A. A. Athanasopoulou, A. T. Pham, M. Font-Bardia, E. C. Mazarakioti, M. Pilkington, T. C. Stamatatos, A. Escuer, *Dalton Trans.*, 2019, 10427.
- 26 H. A. Rudbari, F. Lloret, M. Khorshidifard, G. Bruno, M. Julve, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 7189.
- 27 Q.-L. Zhang, Z.-L. Wu, H. Xu, B. Zhai, Y.-F. Wang, G.-W. Feng, Y.-L. Huang, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* 2016, **642**, 5, 414.
- 28 a) F. F. Pfaff, F. Heims, S. Kundu, S. Mebs, K. Ray, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 3730; b) M.-C. Kafentzi, M. Orio, M. Réglér, S. Yao, U. Kuhlmann, P. Hildebrandt, M. Driess, A. J. Simaan, K. Ray, *Dalton Trans.* 2016, **45**, 15994.