nium iodide to form tetraphenylphosphonium indenyl-triiodomolybdate. The title compound forms from the latter compound by loss of tetraphenylphosphonium iodide. The product is a tetra- μ -iodo-bridged dinuclear molybdenum complex with two terminal indenyl ligands. The indenyl groups are η^5 -bonded to the Mo atoms. The molecule sits on a crystallographic inversion center and has non-crystallographic symmetry C_{2h} . The metal-metal distance is 2.720 (4) Å.

Comment

The title compound, (I), was obtained from an attempt to grow crystals of tetraphenylphosphonium indenyl-triiodomolybdate, whose structure at present remains unknown.

Although crystals of the title compound were found to be poorly formed and give only weak X-ray diffraction peaks with broad and irregular profiles, the structural analysis was carried out in order to identify the product and permit the synthetic program to move forward. Since techniques are now commonly available for carrying out analyses on problematic samples (Sheldrick, 1993), a meaningful refinement and useful analysis turned out to be possible.

The title compound crystallizes with one half-molecule per asymmetric unit. The molecule sits across a crystallographic inversion center. The indenyl groups occupy terminal positions and are η^5 -bonded to the Mo atoms through the five-membered rings of the indenyls, as can be seen in Fig. 1. The Mo—C distances lie in the range 2.18 (2)–2.41 (2) Å. The local twofold axis of the indenyl group bisects a projection of the planar $\text{Mo}_2(\mu\text{-I})$ systems. That is, if the molecule is viewed from end to end, the long axes of the indenyl groups are seen superposed with the bisector of opposite pairs of I—Mo—I bond angles. The overall symmetry of the dinuclear complex, including noncrystallographic symmetry, is thus C_{2h} . The mirror plane of the chemical point group runs longitudinally through the two indenyl ligands and bisects the I—Mo—I angles. Neighboring molecules form weak but energetically favorable packing interactions. Each terminal indenyl group of one molecule is related by a crystallographic inversion center to an indenyl group of another molecule, in such a way that the two groups are almost eclipsed. The interplanar distance is 3.41 (1) Å, which is almost identical to the stacking distance in graphite (Pauling, 1960). This indicates a weak van der Waals attraction, which is

Bis(η^5 -indenyl)tetrakis(μ -iodo)dimolybdenum(Mo—Mo)

LARRY R. FALVELLO

Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Universidad de Zaragoza – CSIC, Facultad de Ciencias, Plaza San Francisco s/n, E-50009 Zaragoza, Spain

SUNDEEP P. MATTAMANA AND RINALDO POLI

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

(Received 4 July 1994; accepted 22 July 1994)

Abstract

The title compound, $[\text{Mo}_2(\text{I})_4(\text{C}_9\text{H}_7)_2]$, is synthesized by the reaction of $\text{MoI}_3(\text{thf})_3$ (thf = tetrahydrofuran) and sodium indenyl, followed by the further reaction of an intermediate product with tetraphenylphospho-

the most prominent packing interaction in the crystal. The lack of stronger packing interactions helps explain the poor quality of the crystals. The Mo—Mo distance of 2.720 (4) Å is consistent with a net Mo—Mo single bond, which would be expected from electron counting.

Fig. 1. Structure of bis(η^5 -indenyl)tetrakis(μ -iodo)dimolybdenum, showing non-H atoms as 50% displacement ellipsoids. The H atoms are represented as circles of fixed radii and are numbered according to the numbers of the parent C atoms.

Experimental

The title compound was prepared in a two-stage synthetic procedure. In the first step, $\text{MoI}_3(\text{thf})_3$, and sodium indenyl, NaC_9H_7 , were reacted in thf to yield $\text{Na}[(\text{C}_9\text{H}_7)\text{MoI}_3]$. The latter was further reacted with tetraphenylphosphonium iodide, PPh_4I , in a mixture of thf and dichloromethane to give $\text{PPh}_4[(\text{C}_9\text{H}_7)\text{MoI}_3]$. From a dichloromethane solution of this compound, crystals of the title compound were obtained by cooling to 253 K.

Crystal data

$[\text{Mo}_2(\text{I})_4(\text{C}_9\text{H}_7)_2]$

$M_r = 929.77$

Triclinic

$P\bar{1}$

$a = 8.155$ (13) Å

$b = 8.546$ (8) Å

$c = 8.681$ (11) Å

$\alpha = 102.47$ (9)°

$\beta = 117.42$ (11)°

$\gamma = 93.41$ (11)°

$V = 515.5$ (12) Å³

$Z = 1$

$D_x = 2.995$ Mg m⁻³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

$\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 16 reflections

$\theta = 10.0$ – 14.8 °

$\mu = 7.204$ mm⁻¹

$T = 291$ (2) K

Poorly formed block

$0.37 \times 0.27 \times 0.25$ mm

Black

Data collection

Stoe Siemens AED four-circle diffractometer

ω – 2θ scans

Absorption correction: empirical

$T_{\min} = 0.610$, $T_{\max} = 1.000$

1443 measured reflections

1356 independent reflections

994 observed reflections

$[I > 2\sigma(I)]$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.0242$

$\theta_{\max} = 22.49$ °

$h = -8 \rightarrow 7$

$k = -7 \rightarrow 9$

$l = -7 \rightarrow 9$

1 standard reflection

frequency: 180 min

intensity decay: 15.6%

Refinement

Refinement on F^2

$R = 0.0467$

$wR = 0.1010$

$S = 1.063$

1356 reflections

109 parameters

H atoms treated as riding

atoms, C—H 0.93 Å

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0544P)^2]$

where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$

$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 1.108$ e Å⁻³

$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -1.039$ e Å⁻³

Extinction correction: none

Atomic scattering factors

from *International Tables*

for *Crystallography* (1992,

Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and

6.1.1.4)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3)\sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \cdot a_i \cdot a_j$$

	x	y	z	U_{eq}
Mo1	−0.0410 (2)	0.1060 (2)	0.1130 (2)	0.0273 (4)
I1	−0.27753 (15)	0.03909 (14)	−0.26087 (15)	0.0443 (4)
I2	−0.19230 (15)	−0.22821 (13)	0.00659 (15)	0.0390 (4)
C1	−0.180 (2)	0.316 (2)	0.154 (2)	0.046 (5)
C2	−0.257 (2)	0.183 (2)	0.178 (2)	0.041 (4)
C3	−0.119 (3)	0.145 (2)	0.335 (2)	0.051 (5)
C4	0.044 (2)	0.271 (2)	0.407 (2)	0.042 (4)
C5	0.221 (3)	0.298 (2)	0.572 (2)	0.053 (5)
C6	0.345 (3)	0.435 (3)	0.614 (3)	0.068 (6)
C7	0.309 (3)	0.542 (2)	0.501 (3)	0.050 (5)
C8	0.148 (2)	0.513 (2)	0.346 (2)	0.039 (4)
C9	0.007 (2)	0.378 (2)	0.297 (2)	0.040 (4)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Mo1—C2	2.18 (2)	Mo1—Mo1 ⁱ	2.720 (4)
Mo1—C1	2.23 (2)	Mo1—I2 ⁱ	2.801 (4)
Mo1—C3	2.26 (2)	Mo1—I1	2.808 (5)
Mo1—C4	2.39 (2)	Mo1—I2	2.815 (4)
Mo1—C9	2.41 (2)	Mo1—I1 ⁱ	2.816 (5)
Mo1 ⁱ —Mo1—I2 ⁱ	61.29 (11)	Mo1 ⁱ —Mo1—I1 ⁱ	60.92 (13)
Mo1 ⁱ —Mo1—I1	61.24 (13)	I2 ⁱ —Mo1—I1 ⁱ	76.47 (12)
I2 ⁱ —Mo1—I1	76.66 (15)	I1—Mo1—I1 ⁱ	122.15 (11)
Mo1 ⁱ —Mo1—I2	60.77 (10)	I2—Mo1—I1 ⁱ	76.29 (14)
I2 ⁱ —Mo1—I2	122.05 (10)	Mo1—I1—Mo1 ⁱ	57.85 (11)
I1—Mo1—I2	76.38 (14)	Mo1 ⁱ —I2—Mo1	57.95 (10)

Symmetry code: (i) $-x, -y, -z$.

Normal data collection procedures were used. Data were scaled for an observed decay of ca 16% during data collection. Although the data were weak, and despite the poor peak shapes, the development and refinement of the structure proceeded routinely until the final refinement. Six of the seven H atoms were located initially in a difference map, and the remaining H atom, H8 (attached to C8 in Fig. 1), was placed at a calculated position. The positions of all H atoms were idealized after their initial placement. All H atoms were treated as riding atoms in the least-squares refinement. All non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. C2 was restrained to isotropic-like behavior, *i.e.* six observational restraints were applied to the anisotropic displacement parameters so as to favor equality of the diagonal parameters and zero values for the off-diagonal elements. Each H atom was assigned an isotropic displacement parameter equal to 1.2 times the equivalent isotropic displacement parameter of its parent C atom. The final difference Fourier map showed higher than normal maximum peak and trough values, symptomatic of the quality of the crystal.

Data collection: *DIF4* (Stoe & Cie, 1988a). Cell refinement: *DIF4*. Data reduction: *REDU4* (Stoe & Cie, 1988b). Program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS90* (Sheldrick, 1990).

Program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL93* (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: *SHELXTL-Plus* (Sheldrick, 1991). Software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL93*.

We acknowledge support from the Comisión Interministerial de Ciencia y Tecnología, Spain (grant PB92-0360). We thank Isabel Pascual for assistance in the preparation of the manuscript.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: FG1020). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Pauling, L. (1960). *The Nature of the Chemical Bond*, 3rd ed. Ithaca: Cornell Univ. Press.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). *Acta Cryst.* **A46**, 467–473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1991). *SHELXTL-Plus*. Release 4.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). *SHELXL93 Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures*. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (1988a). *DIF4. Diffractometer Control Program*. Version 6.2. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (1988b). *REDU4. Data Reduction Program*. Version 6.2. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.