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#### Abstract

Estimating population trends for long-lived, migratory animals is challenging but essential for managing populations. We propose to use a simple but potentially robust method, the direct estimation of population growth rate (PGR) from capture recapture data. As motivating study, we consider an endangered population of humpback whales Megaptera novaeangliae breeding and calving annually in the south lagoon of New Caledonia. Studied since 1996, this population is known to exhibit a strong signal of transience, i.e. the presence of individuals that pass through the sampling area once, inducing detection heterogeneity. Another difficulty is that a second breeding and calving habitat (offshore seamounts to the south) more recently discovered has been surveyed with less intensity. Now, the current direct PGR estimation models cannot deal with spatial sampling heterogeneity. In order to assess the reliability of the proposed method-in general and for our population in particular-we evaluated its robustness using simulations: first, when there are transient individuals; then, when the study area is split into two unequally sampled parts. We found no bias in PGR in presence of transients. The bias with two unequally sampled parts depends on the amount and direction of exchanges, but appears negligible in our case study. The constant yearly PGR of the New-Caledonia humpback whale population at the level of the two habitats was estimated at 1.15 [1.11; 1.20], hinting at outside recruitment. Whenever capture-recapture is feasible, we recommend the Pradel approach to estimating the PGR, validated with appropriate simulations, in order to assess population welfare. (250)


KEYS WORDS: Megaptera novaeangliae, Capture-Recapture, transients, multi-site, population growth rate, Pradel Model, population trend.

Running title: Assessing marine mammals populations trends

## INTRODUCTION

Estimating abundance and demographic parameters of marine mammals is essential for management and conservation decisions. In particular, estimating the population growth rate (PGR) will permit to know whether a population is declining, thriving or stable. Capturerecapture (CR), where individually recognizable animals are sampled repeatedly in a population, is a popular and useful method for studying the population dynamics of several species of birds, reptiles and mammals (Williams et al. 2002, Amstrup et al. 2006). With regard to cetaceans, the ability to recognize individuals from natural markings makes it possible to apply the CR technique based on photo-identifications (e.g., Hammond et al.
1990). CR is becoming widely used for estimating cetacean abundance (e.g. Cerchio et al. 2009, Carroll et al. 2011, Constantine et al. 2012), but it has little been used for estimating PGR.

Most often, PGR is calculated as the ratio of successive population abundances $N_{t+1} / N_{t}$. When estimating population abundance, in order to guard against widespread detection heterogeneity among individuals, it is recommended to use a robust design protocol (Pollock 1982), where the main sampling occasions between which population changes, are themselves subdivided into secondary sampling occasions sufficiently close in time to consider that population does not change. In this way, robust estimators of population abundance for closed populations can be used (e.g. Chao 1992, Huggins 1989). The formula estimating $N$ (White et al. 2001), a derived parameter, is however complex and the derivation of estimates of precision of PGR, their running ratio, with the delta method possible (e.g. Clark et al. 2010) but painful. In these circumstances, testing the influence of a covariate on PGR would require a generalized least square approach and would be even more painful as the full variance matrix would be needed. This sets a practical limit to the robust design approach. Another less used approach to estimating PGR from capture recapture data (Pradel 1996) has PGR among its fundamental parameters. This so-called Pradel method is simple to implement, produces direct estimates of PGR with their associated measures of precision, accounts for sampling correlation between successive PGR estimates, and allows testing the influence of covariates in a model selection framework. Additionally, it does not require a robust design protocol but functions on the same encounter histories used to estimate survival with Cormack-Jolly-Seber models. The difference with the Cormack-JollySeber models for survival estimation is that it analyses the encounter histories simultaneously forward and backward in time (and not just forward) within a single likelihood. In this way, it makes full use of the information available unlike an analysis that would proceed by steps: estimating first survival and then recruitment with a reverse time analysis (Pradel 1996). Hence, this direct approach presents advantages over the robust design approach in 1) alleviating fieldwork (no need of a robust design protocol) and 2) providing more possibilities for modelling PGR and hence examining factors potentially acting at this level. It is also robust in the face of variable capture probabilities for individuals (Hines \& Nichols 2002, Pradel et al. 2010, Marescot et al. 2011), the primary motivation for development of the robust design. However, it makes stronger assumptions, e.g. there is only one site and no transients. There is another approach worth mentioning that is sometime used to estimate actual PGR, although it is more commonly used for predicting the theoretical PGR under different scenarios, namely, the population matrix approach (Caswell, 2001). This approach demands a detailed knowledge of the population dynamics, e.g. firstyear survival, immigration and emigration rates. In case one parameter is missing or poorly known, the PGR may become unreliable (Courtney et al. 2004). Hence, the matrix population approach should be reserved for well-studied populations.

Our work was motivated by the study of a small and endangered population of humpback whales (Childerhouse et al. 2008) that congregates to breed and calve during austral winter in the southern lagoon (L) of New Caledonia (NC) and for which detailed knowledge of the population dynamics is not available. Although previous studies have detected a long term site specific fidelity to this local wintering grounds (Garrigue et al. 2002, 2011a), population specific analyses suggest a phenomenon of transience (Constantine et al. 2012, Madon et al. 2012), i.e. the presence of individuals that do not remain in the study area and have a zero probability of being recaptured during the remaining of the entire study. Transience is a frequent phenomenon. It has been detected repeatedly in animal populations (e.g.,

Rosenberg et al. 1999, Chaloupkas \& Limpus 2002, Perret et al. 2003, Cam et al. 2004), including cetaceans (Ramp et al. 2006 and 2010, Silva et al. 2009, Conn et al. 2011, Madon et al. 2012). Its impact on the estimation of PGR using Pradel's method is unknown, which might explain why this method has seldom been used with cetaceans (but see Mizroch et al. 2004, Cerchio et al. 2009, Verborgh et al. 2009, Ryan et al. 2011, Constantine et al. 2012). Our first task in this paper will be to examine the influence of transience on the estimation of PGR using the Pradel model.

A new breeding and calving habitat for humpback whales has recently been discovered, the offshore seamounts (Garrigue et al. 2010) located some 200 km south of the Southern Lagoon. Madon et al. (2012) suggested that some transients observed in the Southern Lagoon could have originated from the seamounts. If so, examining the humpback whale population at the level of the two habitats may be more appropriate. However, sampling effort has been very unequal between the two sites. As no previous study has examined the impact of unequal sampling effort of different parts of a study area on the estimation of PGR, we will also examine this point. Because the extent of the bias likely depends on the amount of exchanges and on the intensity of transience, we will first estimate these quantities in the NC humpback whale population by means of a two-site capture-recapture analysis (Arnason 1973, Schwarz et al. 1993). The detection parameters estimated for each habitat will guide our choice of simulated scenarios while the transience and movement parameters will allow us to situate the real population within the range of simulated scenarios.

In the light of the expected biases, we will then estimate and interpret the PGR of our population over a 17-year period.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

## The humpback whale study

New Caledonia is located in the south west Pacific Ocean ( $22^{\circ} \mathrm{S}-166^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ). The principal survey areas cover approximately $1100 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ for the southern lagoon ( $22^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 166^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) and $185 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ for the seamounts ( $23^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 168^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ). CR data were obtained via regular surveys between 1996 and 2012, with the exception of 2008 for the southern lagoon and between 2008 and 2011 for the seamounts. Data collection occurred during the wintering season on a daily basis between July and September but sampling effort varied among years with 37+/-14 days on average, August being the only month sampled in all years. When a group of whales was encountered, an attempt was made to photograph the underside of the fluke of each individual for photo-identification (Katona et al. 1979) and to get a skin sample for molecular identification of sex (Olavarria et al. 2007). Photographs were reviewed for quality control (QC) to rank their quality following a protocol developed by Calambokidis et al. (2001). A total of 784 whales were photographically identified among which 658 were sexed (397 males and 261 females). Encounter histories based on photo-identification only were built for each individual on a yearly basis using 0 for the years where the individual was not encountered and " L " or " S " when the encounter originated in the southern lagoon or the seamounts respectively. On 23 occasions, an individual was identified in both places in the same year. In each case, we retained the location where the highest number of observations was made. When the number of observations was equal in both places ( 10 occasions), we assigned the location randomly with $50: 50$ probability. The data structure did not lend itself to the robust design approach because there was no simple way to isolate secondary occasions a posteriori, closure being really problematic even on the short term due to the amount of transience.

## The Multisite model \& Transience proportion

We used the Arnason-Schwarz multi-site (MS) open-population model (Arnason 1972, 1973, Schwarz et al. 1993, Lebreton et al. 2009) to estimate site-specific adult apparent survival ( $\phi^{\mathrm{L}}, \phi^{\mathrm{S}}$ ) and movement probability among sites ( $\psi^{\mathrm{LS}}, \psi^{\mathrm{SL}}$ ) (following Lebreton et al. 1992 notation).

In order to estimate the proportion of transients (Pradel et al. 1997, Pradel et al. 2005), we fitted models with two age-classes on the survival probability, where age in a CR context is the time elapsed since first capture. More precisely, the transient proportion among the unmarked $\tau$ was estimated as:

$$
\tau=1-\frac{\Phi_{1}}{\Phi_{2}}
$$

where $\phi_{1}$ is the apparent survival rate of newly captured individuals and $\phi_{2}$ the survival rate of residents obtained from the individuals captured more than twice (Pradel et al. 1997). The proportion of transience in the entire population $T_{t}$ was then estimated as:

$$
T_{t}=\frac{E\left(u_{t}\right)}{E\left(u_{t}+m_{t}\right)} \tau
$$

where $\mathrm{E}\left(u_{t}\right)$ is the expected number of unmarked individuals captured for the first time at occasion t and $\mathrm{E}\left(u_{t}+m_{t}\right)$ is the expected number of individuals captured at occasion t (marked $m_{t}+$ unmarked $u_{t}$ ). The observed $u_{t}$ and $u_{t}+m_{t}$ were used as estimates for $\mathrm{E}\left(u_{t}\right)$ and $\mathrm{E}\left(u_{t}+m_{t}\right)$, respectively (Perret et al 2003). A nonparametric bootstrap procedure was used to obtain the confidence intervals for $T_{t}$ (Davison \& Hinkley, 1997). Parameter estimation is detailed in the supplementary material.

## The Pradel model

The Pradel model analyzes encounter histories with forward time modeling, which yields the estimates of apparent survival ( $\phi$ ), and also simultaneously with reverse time modeling from the last captures backwards yielding a seniority probability ( $\mathrm{\gamma}$ ) defined as the probability that an individual did not enter the population between the previous and current occasions. This approach relies on a relationship that states that the ratio of successive population sizes-hence the PGR-is under certain assumptions equal to the ratio of the two above quantities (Pradel 1996):

$$
\rho=\phi / \gamma
$$

This relationship is embedded in a likelihood function, rendering direct modeling of the realized PGR $\rho$ possible. From a practical point of view, Pradel models are available in the free popular software MARK (White \& Burnham 1999) under three alternative parameterizations (note that MARK, rather than the original notation $\rho$, uses $\lambda$, which is confusing as this is the usual notation of the projected PGR in Leslie matrix scenarios). Although the method was established under strong assumptions (same survival and catchability for all individuals at each occasion, and a single study area), it has been found to be remarkably robust to a number of departures (Hines \& Nichols 2002, Williams et al. 2002, Pradel et al. 2010, Marescot et al. 2011), but its robustness to the presence of transients or to unequal sampling intensity of different parts of the study area have not been demonstrated yet.

The Pradel model being a variant of the Jolly-Seber model (Jolly 1965, Seber 1965), the fit of its time-dependent version can be assessed with the tests developed by Burnham et al. (1987) and Pradel et al. (2005); those include tests of trap dependence and transience. In case of lack-of-fit, an overdispersion factor can be calculated and used in any analysis of capture-recapture data. Models are then compared based on the QAICc instead of the AICc (Burnham \& Anderson 2002).

## Study of bias by simulations

Two different simulation studies were conducted. First, in order to test robustness of the Pradel model to transiency, data sets including transients were simulated. Then the robustness of the model to unequal sampling intensity of different parts of the study area was tested by simulating data sets with different detection probabilities in two subsites. PGRs were estimated for each simulated data set using the Pradel model. We evaluated the bias of the $\rho$ estimator, $\hat{\rho}$, by subtracting the true value of the PGR used in the simulations from the mean $\hat{\rho}$. If the difference comes near to 0 , then $\hat{\rho}$ is an unbiased estimator of the PGR.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Bias}(\hat{\rho})=E(\hat{\rho})-P G R \tag{Eq. 1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Data were simulated with parameter values of survival and capture probabilities similar to those of the New-Caledonian humpback whale population, derived from the two-site capturerecapture analysis mentioned above (see supplementary material for details). Each simulation ran over 10 sampling periods and started with 500 individuals at the first occasion, sex was not considered as parameter estimates were similar for males and females. In these simulations, we were interested only in a positive PGR. At each subsequent occasion, new individuals were added to replace those expected to die plus a supplementary number to ensure the target growth rate according to the formula $N_{t-1}(1-\phi)+(\rho-1) N_{t-1}$ (Hines \& Nichols, 2002). These new recruits survived and were detected with the same probabilities as the others.

When studying the effect of transience, only one site was considered. The proportion of transients was kept constant over time in each simulation but varied from 0.1 to 0.6 among simulations in order to cover a large range of situations. To study the effect of unequal sampling between two areas of the study site, fixed detection probabilities, chosen to reflect the conditions found in the New-Caledonian humpback whale study, were simulated on each site. Movement probabilities $\Psi_{1}$ (site1 toward site2) and $\Psi_{2}$ (site2 toward site1) were varied independently from 0.1 to 0.9 again to cover a wide range of situations. A total of 250 datasets were simulated for each scenario, i.e. for each transient proportion (study of the effect of transience) or for each one of the 81 combinations of movement probabilities (study of the effect of unequal sampling), and the Pradel model with constant parameters was fitted to estimate PGR each time. The mean $\hat{\rho}$ over the 250 datasets with the same simulation parameters was used to assess the bias in the corresponding scenario according to Eq. 1. Simulations were done with R 2.14 .1 (2011, R Foundation for Statistical Computing) and the package RMark (Laake, 2013) which calls MARK from R. The R code is made available in the supplementary material.

## RESULTS

The analysis of the data set with two-site Arnason-Schwarz capture-recapture models was first conducted in order to estimate average survival, movement, and detection parameters in the New Caledonian population and to guide our simulation studies (see supporting information for details). Similar values of the estimates were found for females and males. The annual survival was estimated around $94 \%$. The annual shifts from the southern lagoon to the seamounts around $28 \%$ and $45 \%$ in the reverse direction respectively, which means that individuals move more often in direction of the southern lagoon. The detection probability was estimated at $28 \%$ in the southern lagoon and at $15 \%$ in the seamounts respectively. We found that around $40 \%$ of the individuals newly captured each year at the level of the two habitats (South lagoon plus seamounts) were transients (see supporting information for details). These values are in good agreement with previous studies (Madon 2010, Garrigue et al. 2011a, Madon et al. 2012, Constantine et al. 2012).

## Effect of transience on the estimation of population growth rate

Data were simulated with survival $\phi=0.90$, detection probability $\mathrm{p}=0.3$ and $\mathrm{PGR} \rho=1.10$ (increasing population). These values were chosen in the light of the two-site analysis and of previous studies of the same population. They are probably realistic for several cetacean studies (for example, Ramp et al 2010, Silva et al 2009, Verborgh et al 2009, Zerbini et al 2010) although the PGR may be unusually high. A higher survival value of 0.975 was also tried and gave essentially the same results (not shown). Independently of the proportion of transients introduced, bias in the PGR estimator ( $\widehat{\mathrm{\rho}}$ ) was always close to 0 (Table S4).


Fig. 1. Absolute bias in population growth rate compared to that in other parameters using Pradel model ( $Y$-axis) as a function of an increasing proportion of transients ( $X$-axis)

## Effect of unequal sampling of the study area on the estimation of population growth

 rateThe simulated study area consisted of two unequally sampled zones. The detection probability was $30 \%$ and $10 \%$ for sites 1 and 2 respectively mimicking the situation of the study population; survival was set at $90 \%$. Bias on $\hat{\rho}$ varied with the movement rates (Fig. 2) but remained always low (<0.02). The strongest biases were obtained for asymmetric exchanges, especially when movements were mainly directed towards the site with low detection.


Fig. 2. Absolute bias in growth rate estimation using the constant-parameter Pradel model as a function of movement probabilities ( $\psi 1$ and $\psi 2$ ) between the two sites (site1 and site 2 according have detection probability of 0.3 and 0.1 respectively). The darker the color, the stronger the bias. The "white zone" illustrates a combination of values of $\psi 1$ and $\psi 2$ for which the estimation of lambda is unbiased. The dot line and the cross indicate the NewCaledonian humpback whale population case.

## Estimation of the population growth rate of the New-Caledonia humpback whale population

As there are many exchanges of individuals between the two sites, it may be considered that this is a single population. Therefore, the data used in the two-site analysis was modified by recoding " L " and " S " as a single site. Also, females and males were not distinguished as preliminary analyses did not find marked differences between them in any parameter. With the recoded data set, an over-dispersion factor of 1.89 was estimated with program U-CARE (Choquet et al. 2009) and was used to account for lack-of-fit. A series of Pradel models were then fitted using program MARK and sorted by increasing QAICc (Table 1). Models varied on whether the three types of parameters (survival, population growth rate, and detection probability) were constant or time-dependent with all 8 possible combinations being investigated.

The first model, with constant survival and PGR but variable detection probabilities over the years was much better than any other (QAICc weight of 1). The estimated population growth is 1.15 [1.11; 1.20] which corresponds to an increase of $15 \%$ per year. Given the insensitivity of this estimator to the presence of transients, bias might only come from the exchanges of individuals between the two zones that constitute the sampling area. Given the
detection probabilities estimated in the two-site analysis, the probability of shifting from the southern lagoon to the seamounts is equivalent to $\Psi_{1}$ in the simulations and the probability of the reverse movement is equivalent to $\Psi_{2}$. Therefore the expected bias is approximately 0.003 (Fig. 2), thus negligible in regard to the precision of the estimator. The extra precaution of using a variance inflation factor guarantees that the confidence interval has been correctly inflated to account for lack of fit of the model considered. A constant increase for this population $>10 \%$ seems thus ascertained.

Table 1. Model selection results for Pradel's analysis of the population growth rate. Models are ordered according to the quasi Akaike Information Criterion (QAICc) value. $\phi$ indicates survival, $p$ detection and $\rho$ the PGR. The dot and $t$ indicate constant and time effects respectively

| No | Model | QAICc | $\Delta$ QAICc | No par | Deviance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | $\phi() p.(t) \rho()$. | 3104.31 | 0.00 | 18 | 299.73 |
| 2 | $\phi() p.(t) \rho(t)$ | 3126.08 | 21.77 | 33 | 289.91 |
| 3 | $\phi() p.(.) \rho(t)$ | 3126.11 | 21.80 | 18 | 321.53 |
| 4 | $\phi(t) p(t) \rho()$. | 3130.40 | 26.08 | 33 | 294.22 |
| 5 | $\phi(t) p(.) \rho(t)$ | 3145.34 | 41.03 | 33 | 309.18 |
| 6 | $\phi(t) p(t) \rho(t)$ | 3152.59 | 48.28 | 47 | 286.07 |
| 7 | $\phi() p.(.) \rho()$. | 3188.45 | 84.14 | 3 | 414.52 |
| 8 | $\phi(t) p(.) \rho()$. | 3213.85 | 109.54 | 18 | 409.27 |

## DISCUSSION

Given the high amount of exchanges between the two habitats in the New-Caledonian humpback whale case study, we may reasonably consider that they belong to a unique population. At the two-habitat level, the identified violations of assumptions of the Pradel model for the estimation of the population growth rate have been found to have negligible impact in terms of bias. There might be other undetected violations of assumptions though. For instance, some studies have reported capture heterogeneity between sexes for humpbacks on breeding grounds. Although we did not detect such heterogeneity in our study, we cannot rule it out entirely; also, there might be among-individual capture heterogeneity unrelated to sex. However, previous studies have found that the estimate of PGR is robust to capture heterogeneity (Hines \& Nichols 2002, Pradel et al. 2010, Marescot et al. 2011). Additionally, by correcting for lack of fit with the introduction of a variance inflation factor in the models and using the QAICc for selection we have protected ourselves against undetected departures from assumptions. It can also be noted that the $\rho$ estimator has up to now proved extremely robust to many kinds of departures from assumptions (Hines \& Nichols 2002, Williams et al. 2002, Franklin et al. 2004, Pradel et al. 2010, Marescot et al. 2011). The magnitude of the $\rho$ estimate thus appears reliable. More caution is needed yet when studying time patterns. For instance, in a simulation study of capture heterogeneity, Hines \& Nichols (2002) have detected a spurious trend over years and Pradel et al. (2010) have observed a delayed timing in the detection of the yearly peak of abundance of a small mammal in a seasonal study.

The results of our study are particularly remarkable regarding the transients. There appears to be no bias at all in the PGR estimate while the survival and seniority rates
estimates are biased (see Fig.1). We have currently no explanation why biases in survival and seniority compensate each other in producing the estimate of PGR, but preliminary results indicate that this might no longer be true if the transience rate varies over time.(Table S4 of supplements).

Regarding movement between two unequally sampled zones, we note that the worst bias is registered when individuals depart from the intensively monitored area to join the poorly monitored one with no return movements. This is understandable as this is close to a situation where the population concentrates in one site with poor monitoring: overall population size may not be changing, but its concentration in the "poor" zone makes it appears to be declining. The opposite happens when individuals move from the poorly monitored zone into the intensively monitored one: their concentration in the "good" zone makes the population appears to be thriving. When movements are symmetric, no bias is expected as all the individuals have on average an equal chance of being on each site in the long run and thus share the same average detection probability. The opposite happens if individuals move so little that they are virtually segregated into individuals with a high and a low detection probability (depending on where they are stationed). The case of individual heterogeneity of detection has yet been fully treated recently (Pradel et al. 2010) and little bias seems to ensue anyway (Hines \& Nichols 2002, Pradel et al. 2010, Marescot et al. 2011).

Given the previous considerations, it is unlikely that the high value of $15 \%$ estimated for the realized yearly humpback whale population growth rate over the study period is an artifact of the method. It is much higher than the maximum rate of increase for humpback whale populations (11.8\%) calculated by Zerbini et al. (2010) using parameters from lifehistory obtained in different studies. A review of life history parameters was done and growth rate was computed to obtain plausible growth rate for humpback whale as a species. However, the contradiction is only apparent because the estimate of Zerbini et al. represents the demographic potential of the species while the actual growth rate is under the influence of migration in addition to that of demography. It is thus highly likely that the migration balance is positive and contributes significantly to the change in the NC population size. It is more difficult to conclude whether the increase is due to a regular migration flow or to a main pulse. While the best model found by selection in the present study indicates a constant rate of increase, a recent abundance study of the southern lagoon had found an anomalous increase between 2008 and 2011 (Garrigue et al. 2011b). However, both methods have their weaknesses: as indicated above, the estimate of the realized population growth rate may fail to correctly detect the timing of changes over time and the estimate of abundance is very sensitive to the presence of transients.

In the context of Oceania, our findings complement the results of Constantine et al. (2012). Using the Pradel model, these authors found a rate of increase not statistically different from 1 for Oceania and concluded to a stable population between 1999 and 2004. The longer length of our study may have permitted the detection of a previously nonsignificant increase, but it may also be that the population is indeed relatively stable at the level of Oceania and that the local increase in New-Caledonia is mainly due to the redistribution of individuals within the region. Unfortunately, few data are available on humpback whales around New Caledonia prior to whaling and none provide information on past abundance or behavior (du Pasquier, 1990). We can only advance hypotheses about
the possible origin of immigrants in New-Caledonia. Considering that immigrants from a depleted population drawn into another breeding ground could inflate the apparent rate of increase (social aggregation hypothesis, Clapham \& Zerbini 2006), the Fijian breeding populations could be responsible for part of the immigration as this historically healthy population does not show any sign of recovery yet (Gibbs et al. 2004). On the other side, the eastern Australian population has shown a strong rate of increase (10.9\%) for several years (Noad et al. 2011) and could also act as a source of immigrants for the New Caledonian population. To date, limited exchanges between the east Australian population and the rest of Oceania have been documented using photo and genotypic identifications (Garrigue et al. 2011b, Jackson et al. 2012, Olavarria et al. 2007). However, a certain degree of interconnectivity has been demonstrated with the cultural transmission of the song from the east Australia eastwards through Oceania (Garland et al. 2011). New Caledonia being the closest island to Australia, it is most likely to receive immigrants and individuals en route to farther grounds, which may correspond to the detected transients in recent and the present studies.

## Conclusion

This and previous studies have focused on the main effects that general departures from assumptions of the Pradel models have on the estimation of PGR with CR data. It appears that these models are very robust, being little and sometimes not at all sensitive to frequent phenomenons that badly affect survival and/or abundance estimates. They can thus be considered as very reliable tools for assessing the general welfare of a population. However, it is not clear whether they can be used to detect subtle effects. For instance, in their present form, they seem unable to detect the precise timing of changes in abundance. Also, more complex scenarios than the ones we have examined might be worth studying because they are likely to occur. For instance, transience in a real population may vary randomly over years or even present a temporal trend as suspected in the east Australian humpback whale population (Clapham \& Zerbini, 2006) and departures of different natures can compound to create a bias in the PGR estimator. Thus, we recommend the use of simulations to assess the effects of the particular conditions of each study as well as the extra precaution of introducing a variance inflation factor and the use of the QAICc for model selection. In conclusion, we recommend the estimation of PGR to assess the status of a population when individual longitudinal monitoring is possible such as is often the case for marine mammals but also for other marine species like shellfish. Although this parameter cannot make the distinction between immigration and biological recruitment, it appears to be able to provide an accurate estimate of the trend of a population under various circumstances.
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