Impact of MaxZero™ needle-free connector on the incidence of central venous catheter-related infections in surgical intensive care unit

Thomas Clavier, MD^{1,2}; Mathias Ferguen¹; Philippe Gouin, MD¹; André Gillibert MD³; Mikaël Dusenne⁴; Véronique Merle MD, PhD^{4,5}; Benoit Veber, MD, PhD¹.

¹Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Rouen University Hospital, Rouen, France

²Normandie Univ, UNIROUEN, INSERM U1096, Rouen, France

³Department of Biostatistics, Rouen University Hospital, Rouen, France.

⁴Department of Infection Control, Rouen University Hospital, Rouen, France

⁵Research group « Dynamics and Events of Care and Care Pathways», Rouen University Hospital, Rouen, France

Corresponding author: Dr Thomas Clavier, Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Rouen University Hospital, 1 rue de Germont, 76000 Rouen, France

E-mail address: thomas.clavier@chu-rouen.fr

MaxZero™ is a trademark of Becton, Dickinson and Company (BD; Franklin Lakes, US). BD freely provided the connectors throughout the study period. BD did not participate in the collection, analysis or interpretation of the results.

The authors have disclosed that they hold no financial interests related to the conduct or results of this research.

Authors' contributions

TC was involved in the study conception and design, in patient recruitment, in acquisition of data, in analysis and interpretation of data and in manuscript draft.

MF was involved in analysis and interpretation of data

PG was involved in the study conception and design, in patient recruitment and in acquisition of data.

AG was involved in analysis and interpretation of data and in statistical analysis.

MD was involved in analysis and interpretation of data and in statistical analysis.

VM was involved in the study conception and design, in acquisition of data, and in manuscript revision.

BV was involved in the study conception and design, in study coordination, in interpretation of data, and in manuscript revision.

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Keywords:

Catheter-related infection; Infusions, intravenous; Intensive Care Unit; Central Venous Catheters; Vascular Access Devices; Infection Control

Impact of MaxZero™ needle-free connector on the incidence of central venous catheter-related infections in surgical intensive care unit

Abstract

Background: Central venous catheter-related infections (CRI) are a complication of central venous catheters (CVC) in intensive care unit (ICU). Some needle-free connectors have been designed to decrease CRI but there is a lack of data concerning their impact on infection.

Objectives: The objective was to explore the impact of MaxZero™ connectors (BD; Franklin Lakes, US) on CRI in ICU.

Methods: Observational, pre-post design study (2011-2013 and 2014-2016) conducted in the surgical ICU of a tertiary care hospital (18 beds). Patients with a CVC and a length of stay ≥ 48 h were included. The connectors replaced all disposable caps used on infusion stopcocks and ramps. The primary parameter was to compare the incidence of CRI between the “before” period and the “after” period.

Results: A total of 1633 patients were included (789 “before”, 844 “after”). There was no difference between groups concerning the global duration of catheterisation (12.5 ± 11.5 days vs. 12.1 ± 10.9 days). There were 61 CRI before and 28 CRI after the introduction of connectors; the incidence of CRI in the “before” group was 20.33 CRI/year (6.18 CRI per 1000 catheter-days) vs. 9.33 CRI/year (2.73 CRI per 1000 catheter-days) in the “after” group (incidence rate ratio = 0.44; CI95% [0.28-0.68], $p < 0.001$). However, after a global analysis of the six-year period, when adjusting for seasonal effect and pre-existing linear trend, the effect was no longer significant (adjusted incidence rate ratio = 0.57 (95% CI95% [0.24-1.35], $p=0.20$).

Conclusions: Our results do not allow us to conclude to a potential beneficial effect of MaxZero™ on CRI but are compatible with its prolonged and safe use in ICU. Only future prospective works will be able to confirm the value of these connectors for CRI prevention.

Keywords:

Catheter-related infection; Infusions, intravenous; Intensive Care Unit; Central Venous Catheters;

29 Vascular Access Devices; Infection Control

30

Introduction

Central venous catheter-related infections (CRI) are a severe complication of central venous catheters in intensive care unit (ICU). Several measures have been shown to be effective in preventing these infections: use of a checklist, hand hygiene, chlorhexidine skin preparation, daily consideration of the need for catheters, and avoidance of femoral access¹⁻³. Thus, the incidence of CRI in ICU in France gradually decreased from 1.38 per 1000 catheter-days in 2007 to 0.66 per 1000 catheter-days in 2014⁴. However, the decline in CRI remains an ever-present objective, with a final goal of “zero catheter-related infection”⁵.

Needle-free connectors were initially designed and promoted to avoid blood exposure for healthcare workers. Connectors with a positive valve mechanism were first described as a cause of CRI outbreak, in particular in ICU⁶⁻⁸. However, a new generation of needle-free connectors with different design (minimal internal complexity; reduction or elimination of interstitial or dead space, visible fluid path to help assess proper flushing technique, flat access surface, etc.) has been designed to lower the risk of infection. These connectors showed *in vitro* low bacterial colonization, but there is a lack of data concerning their *in vivo* impact on catheter colonization or infection⁹. Given the high incidence of late CRI in our ICU at the beginning of the study and with regard to the risk of thrombosis which may favour CRI, we to evaluate a needle-free connector with positive displacement. We chose the MaxZero™ (BD; Franklin Lakes, US) mechanical valve, which is a second generation needle-free connector with positive displacement. With regard to the encouraging *in vitro* data in the literature, our hypothesis was that the MaxZero™ mechanical valve could have a beneficial effect on CRI. The objective of this prospective study was to explore the impact of these connectors on CRI in a surgical ICU over a prolonged period.

Patients and Methods

Patient population

This observational, before-after study was conducted in the surgical ICU of a University Hospital (18 beds). This work and its design had been approved by our local institutional review board (“Comité d’Ethique de la Recherche Non-Interventionnelle”, n°E2013-26) and therefore was performed in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. Our institutional review board granted a waiver of patient consent given the low and negligible risk to patients as the intervention was already approved and used in current clinical practice.

All patients admitted to surgical ICU with a central venous catheter and a length of stay in ICU superior to 48h were included. There were no exclusion criteria. With the hypothesis of a 50% decrease in CRI after introduction of MaxZero™ connectors, given the incidence of CRI in our ICU at the time of the study design (approximately 20 / year), we estimated that 600 patients would have to be included in each period to obtain a power of 80% and a two-sided α risk of 5%.

Study design

The “before” period of the study was retrospective and conducted from January 2011 to December 2013. MaxZero™ connectors were introduced in January 2014. The “after” period was prospective and conducted from January 2014 to December 2016. This was preceded by a training course on the use of MaxZero™ connectors for the medical and paramedical teams. The connectors replaced all disposable caps used on infusion stopcocks and ramps (cf. Fig 1) and were set on catheter at the time of catheter placement. With the exception of the most proximal valves (to avoid the risk of contamination due to a proximal opening of CVC), all the connectors were changed every 7 days as recommended by the manufacturer (except in cases of macroscopic soil - blood, lipid solution – requiring an immediate connector change). There were no changes of protocol in our usual practices for skin preparation procedures before catheter placement, catheter site, number of catheter lines or daily catheter monitoring. All these parameters were left to the discretion of a senior ICU doctor. Data

collection of patients included demographic characteristics, Simplified Acute Physiology Score II (SAPS II), admission pattern, length of hospitalization and ICU survival.

CRI diagnosis was based on the criteria established by the French national surveillance system for nosocomial infections in ICU (*REA-Raisin* Network) and by the 2010 consensus conference of the French Society of Intensive Care Medicine and the French Society of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care^{4,10}. Thus, a diagnosis of CRI was retained in cases of:

1. *Local infection*: exit site or tunnel infection OR total/partial regression of the infectious signs within 48 hours following catheter removal AND catheter culture $\geq 10^3$ UFC/ml
2. *Bloodstream infection related to CRI*: bacteraemia or fungemia AND catheter culture $\geq 10^3$ UFC/ml OR differential time to positivity > 2 h between catheter and peripheral blood cultures (in both cases for the same germ as bacteraemia/fungemia).

CRI screening was based on data from the continuous prospective **weekly** surveillance of nosocomial infections carried out by the hospital's hygiene department (incidence of CRI, catheter localization, involved germ). A bi-annual review of CRI was carried out to detect a possible sudden rise in its incidence and, eventually, stop the use of connectors at an early stage.

The main objective of our work was to compare the incidence of CRI between the “before” period and the “after” period. **Secondary objectives were to study the global evolution of CRI rates during the whole period (2011-2016) and to confirm the safety of using MaxZero™ connectors during the “after” period (possible rise of CRI incidence due to a potential misuse of connectors).**

Statistical analysis

Values are presented as mean and standard variations. For analysis of epidemiological characteristics, the two groups were compared using the Student test for quantitative data and Fisher's exact test for qualitative data.

Missing data concerning the duration of catheterisation (167 missing data), were imputed from the length of stay through a robust linear regression. In view of the biases associated with multiple or

recurrent CRI (no independence between episodes), the analysis only concerned the occurrence of a first catheter infection excluding the 2nd, 3rd or 4th CRI occurring in the same patient. The number of infections was modelled in Poisson regressions. The before-after effect was modelled as binary variable triggered on January 1, 2014. The pre-existing trend was modelled as a linear quantitative effect and the seasonal effect was modelled as a binary variable with a granularity of 6 months. There were insufficient data to model auto-regression.

Role of the funding source

The Department of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care of the authors University Hospital designed the study. Becton-Dickinson (BD; Franklin Lakes, US) Corporation, the manufacturer of the MaxZero™ needle-free connectors used in this study, provided the connectors. BD had no role in the trial initiation, study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, writing of the report, or the decision to submit. The corresponding author had full access to all of the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Results

Epidemiological characteristics

During the study period, 2263 patients were hospitalized in our surgical ICU for more than 48h (1019 in the “before” period and 1244 in the “after” period; cf. Fig. 2). After a first screening, 1633 catheterized patients were included, 789 in the “before” period (77.4% of the “before” population) and 844 in the “after” period (67.8% of the “after” population; $p < 0.0001$). During this six-year period, the mean length of stay was 16.2 ± 15.9 days, the mean SAPS II was 44.6 ± 18 and the survival rate was 82.4%. Significant differences were found between the “before” and “after” groups concerning length of ICU stay (respectively 17.8 ± 18.5 vs. 14.7 ± 12.9 , $p < 0.0001$) and reasons for ICU admission with fewer admissions for a medical cause but more admissions for a surgical cause in the “after” period in comparison with the “before” period. There was no significant difference concerning age, gender ratio, SAPS II and survival rate between the two groups. Data concerning the population are summarized in Table 1.

Incidence of catheter related infections

There were 61 CRI before and 28 CRI after the introduction of connectors; the incidence of CRI in the “before” group was 20.33 CRI/year (6.18 CRI per 1000 catheter-days) vs. 9.33 CRI/year (2.73 CRI per 1000 catheter-days) in the “after” group (incidence rate ratio = 0.44; $CI_{95\%}$ [0.28-0.68], $p < 0.001$; cf. Figs. 2 and 3). There was no significant decreasing linear trend of CRI during the “before” period (three-year period) but a global analysis of the six-year period adjusted for bi-annual and seasonal effects showed a progressive decrease in the incidence of CRI over six years without a sudden discontinuity effect in January 2014 (cf. Fig. 3). Thus, in the Poisson model taking into account these data, the drop in CRI in the “after” period was no longer significant (adjusted incidence rate ratio = 0.57 (95% $CI_{95\%}$ [0.24-1.35], $p=0.20$). Concerning patients with CRI, there was no significant difference between the “before” and “after” groups for the duration of central venous catheterisation before diagnosis of CRI). There was no significant difference concerning the bacteria species involved in CRI between the two groups.

152 *Catheterisation modality*

153 There was a significant difference concerning the insertion site with more jugular and fewer
154 femoral catheters in the “after” group than in the “before” group (respectively 57.7 % vs. 43.9 % and
155 21.3 % vs. 29.8 %; $p < 0.0001$; cf. Table 2). There was no difference between the “before” and “after”
156 groups concerning the global duration of central venous catheterisation (respectively 12.5 ± 11.5 days
157 vs. 12.1 ± 10.9 days).

Discussion

Our work shows a significant decrease in the incidence of CRI during the six-year period introducing the use of MaxZero™ connectors. The pre-existing linear trend could mask an effect at the introduction of the MaxZero™ connectors, but there was no visible discontinuity nor any inflection on the trend. To our knowledge, this is the first study that has prospectively analysed the use of these devices over such a long period of time. We did not find any increase in the number of CRI after the introduction of connectors.

A previous prospective multicentre study showed a decrease in CRI after changing from either negative or positive intravenous connectors to a zero fluid displacement connector. However, due to some methods and data presented in this work and after interdisciplinary scientific investigation, this article was retracted¹¹. A recent meta-analysis reported a significant decrease in CRI after implementation of second generation needle-free connectors¹². However, the data included in this study only concerned “grey literature” (poster or oral presentation reports), were set in medical, paediatric or cardiac ICU and were mainly retrospective over short time periods. MaxZero™ devices allow the maintenance of the catheter as a “closed system” without the need to open the catheter lines for infusion which could explain the beneficial trends observed. Therefore, our prospectively obtained results in a surgical ICU are not discordant with this previous meta-analysis.

The use of second generation positive valve connectors is still relatively new and not generalized in ICU. Their impact on the management and complications of central venous catheterisation is still poorly understood. There was a potential risk that, after a first period of rigorous valve use following the initial training course (with a decrease in CRI), we might have found an increase in CRI due to misuse. Over the 3 years of the “after” period we did not see any new rise in CRI rates. However, it has been shown that improper use of connectors could lead to an increase in infection, hence it appears important to continue CRI monitoring to detect misuse of needle-free connectors⁸. Our work only focused on the consequences of connectors on CRI but some studies have reported that positive-displacement connectors may decrease thrombotic catheter occlusions through

186 prevention of blood reflux in the intravascular catheter¹³. It might be interesting to prospectively study
187 the impact of their use on the thrombotic complications related to central venous catheters in ICU. A
188 recent study has shown that some needle-free connectors may lower the infusion rate of rapid fluid
189 administration¹⁴. However, this work did not include the MaxZero™ connector and was not interested
190 in the central venous catheter. During our three years' experience using the MaxZero™ connector, we
191 encountered no problems with rapid fluid administration. A new work focusing on this concern with
192 MaxZero™ connectors could also be considered.

193
194 Despite these encouraging results, our study has several limitations. First, it is a pre-post
195 design study that can only test association and not cause-effect relation. Currently, it does not seem
196 reasonable to consider a prospective randomized controlled trial to explore the impact of these
197 connectors on CRI: low incidence of CRI in ICU (with a need for several thousand patients in each
198 group) and difficulty in isolating a specific action or device with a beneficial effect in a bundle of care
199 combining several simultaneous actions. We retrospectively analysed the “before” period and the
200 effect of valve introduction was indistinguishable from the pre-existing linear trend. There was a slow
201 and continuous decline from 2011 to 2016 (with some oscillations) but we did not identify any
202 discontinuity and therefore the assumption of a pre-existing bearish trend cannot be rejected (nor
203 affirmed because, by restricting to the first 3 years, the downward trend was not significant). However,
204 data from the medical literature concerning these kinds of connectors are in favour of the benefits of
205 the MaxZero™ valve¹⁵. Second, recommendations and practices related to the placement of central
206 venous catheters have greatly evolved between 2011 and 2016 (especially with the recommended use
207 of alcoholic chlorhexidine and ultrasound guidance). These aspects of catheter insertion were not
208 controlled and left to the discretion of the senior intensivist. However, it is likely that individual
209 changes in our practice could have had an impact on the decrease in CRI. Thus, there were fewer
210 femoral catheters in the “after” group, whereas the literature suggests that femoral catheters show
211 more CRI than jugular or subclavian catheters¹⁶. Moreover, maintenance practices by the nurses
212 caring for the lines (frequency of dressing changes, cleaning practices of needle-free connectors, etc.)
213 could also impact CRI rates. Therefore, it is possible that all these changes in practices could have

contributed to the downward trend in CRI observed in our study. However, as shown by the average time between insertion and infection diagnosis, the overwhelming majority of our CRI occurred late and were therefore more related to catheter maintenance problems than with initial placement procedure (impacted by echography or chlorhexidine disinfection). Finally, although nosocomial infection rates were found to be higher in surgical ICU and in trauma patients compared to medical ICU, our incidence of CRI at the beginning of the study was above the average incidence in France (6.18 CRI per 1000 catheter-days in the “before” period vs 0.84 CRI per 1000 catheter-days in 2011 in France)^{4,17}. The MaxZero™ valve was the first device used for CRI prevention by our team. However, as we were already sensitized to our high level of CRI and to the changes in practices for venous catheterisation, it could also be considered that the use of the connectors could fit into a gradually introduced care bundle. Therefore, we can assume that it might be more difficult to demonstrate a potential benefit of MaxZero™ needle-free connectors in ICUs with a lower incidence of CRI and/or in ICUs that have already set up bundles to prevent these infections.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our results, which are not in contradiction with previous works designed over shorter durations, are compatible with the prolonged and safe use of MaxZero™ needle-free connectors in ICU. Thus, the use of these connectors could be a part of care bundles to lower CRI in ICU. Only future prospective works will be able to confirm the value of these connectors for CRI prevention.

- 237 1 Walz J Matthias, Ellison Richard T, Mack Deborah A, Flaherty Helen M, McIlwaine John K, Whyte
238 Kathleen G, et al. The bundle “plus”: the effect of a multidisciplinary team approach to eradicate central line-
239 associated bloodstream infections. *Anesth Analg* 2015;**120**(4):868–76, Doi:
240 10.1213/ANE.0b013e3182a8b01b.
- 241 2 Mimoz Olivier, Lucet Jean-Christophe, Kerforne Thomas, Pascal Julien, Souweine Bertrand, Goudet
242 Véronique, et al. Skin antisepsis with chlorhexidine-alcohol versus povidone iodine-alcohol, with and without
243 skin scrubbing, for prevention of intravascular-catheter-related infection (CLEAN): an open-label,
244 multicentre, randomised, controlled, two-by-two factorial trial. *Lancet* 2015;**386**(10008):2069–77, Doi:
245 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00244-5.
- 246 3 Pronovost Peter, Needham Dale, Berenholtz Sean, Sinopoli David, Chu Haitao, Cosgrove Sara, et al. An
247 intervention to decrease catheter-related bloodstream infections in the ICU. *N Engl J Med*
248 2006;**355**(26):2725–32, Doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa061115.
- 249 4 Surveillance des infections nosocomiales en réanimation adulte. Réseau REA_Raisin, France. Résultats 2014.
250 Institut National de veille sanitaire ; 2016..pdf n.d.
- 251 5 Worth Leon J, McLaws Mary-Louise. Is it possible to achieve a target of zero central line associated
252 bloodstream infections? *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2012;**25**(6):650–7, Doi: 10.1097/QCO.0b013e32835a0d1a.
- 253 6 Salgado Cassandra D, Chinnes Libby, Paczesny Tammy H, Cantey J Robert. Increased rate of catheter-
254 related bloodstream infection associated with use of a needleless mechanical valve device at a long-term
255 acute care hospital. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2007;**28**(6):684–8, Doi: 10.1086/516800.
- 256 7 Jarvis William R, Murphy Cathryn, Hall Keri K, Fogle Pamela J, Karchmer Tobi B, Harrington Glenys, et al.
257 Health care-associated bloodstream infections associated with negative- or positive-pressure or displacement
258 mechanical valve needleless connectors. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009;**49**(12):1821–7, Doi: 10.1086/648418.
- 259 8 Btaiche Imad F, Kovacevich Debra S, Khalidi Nabil, Papke Lorelei F. The effects of needleless connectors
260 on catheter-related bloodstream infections. *Am J Infect Control* 2011;**39**(4):277–83, Doi:
261 10.1016/j.ajic.2010.07.011.
- 262 9 Chernecky Cynthia, Waller Jennifer. Comparative evaluation of five needleless intravenous connectors. *J Adv*
263 *Nurs* 2011;**67**(7):1601–13, Doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2010.05598.x.
- 264 10 Société française d’anesthésie et de réanimation, Société de réanimation de langue française. [Prevention of
265 hospital-acquired sepsis in intensive care unit (except cross transmission and neonate)]. *Ann Fr Anesth*
266 *Reanim* 2009;**28**(10):912–20, Doi: 10.1016/j.annfar.2009.09.007.
- 267 11 Retraction notice to: Comparison of Central Line-Associated Bloodstream Infection Rates when Changing to
268 a Zero Fluid Displacement Intravenous Needleless Connector in Acute Care Settings. *Am J Infect Control*.
269 2014;**42**:200-202. *Am J Infect Control* 2016;**44**(1):123.
- 270 12 Tabak Ying P, Jarvis William R, Sun Xiaowu, Crosby Cynthia T, Johannes Richard S. Meta-analysis on
271 central line-associated bloodstream infections associated with a needleless intravenous connector with a new
272 engineering design. *Am J Infect Control* 2014;**42**(12):1278–84, Doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2014.08.018.
- 273 13 Btaiche Imad F, Kovacevich Debra S, Khalidi Nabil, Papke Lorelei F. The effects of needleless connectors
274 on catheter-related thrombotic occlusions. *J Infus Nurs* 2011;**34**(2):89–96, Doi:
275 10.1097/NAN.0b013e31820b3ea9.
- 276 14 Lehn Robert A, Gross Jeffrey B, McIsaac Joseph H, Gipson Keith E. Needleless connectors substantially
277 reduce flow of crystalloid and red blood cells during rapid infusion. *Anesth Analg* 2015;**120**(4):801–4, Doi:
278 10.1213/ANE.0000000000000630.
- 279 15 Tabak Ying P, Johannes Richard S, Sun Xiaowu, Crosby Cynthia T, Jarvis William R. Innovative Use of
280 Existing Public and Private Data Sources for Postmarketing Surveillance of Central Line-Associated
281 Bloodstream Infections Associated With Intravenous Needleless Connectors. *J Infus Nurs* 2016;**39**(5):328–
282 35, Doi: 10.1097/NAN.0000000000000185.
- 283 16 Arvaniti Kostoula, Lathyris Dimitrios, Blot Stijn, Apostolidou-Kiouti Fani, Koulenti Despoina, Haidich
284 Anna-Bettina. Cumulative Evidence of Randomized Controlled and Observational Studies on Catheter-
285 Related Infection Risk of Central Venous Catheter Insertion Site in ICU Patients: A Pairwise and Network
286 Meta-Analysis. *Crit Care Med* 2017;**45**(4):e437–48, Doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000002092.
- 287 17 Craven DE, Kunches LM, Lichtenberg DA, Kollisch NR, Barry MA, Heeren TC, et al. Nosocomial infection
288 and fatality in medical and surgical intensive care unit patients. *Arch Intern Med* 1988;**148**(5):1161–8.
- 289

Legends

Table 1: Epidemiologic characteristics of the studied population. Results are expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation for quantitative data or absolute value with percentage for qualitative data. SAPS II: Simplified Acute Physiology Score II.

Table 2: Sites of insertion of central venous catheters during the “before” and the “after” periods. Data are expressed as absolute value and percentage.

Figure 1: Illustration of valve positioning on central venous catheter taps, ramps and extensions.

Figure 2: Flow chart of the study. CRI: catheter related infections; CVC: central venous catheter; ICU: Intensive Care Unit.

Figure 3: Evolution of the incidence of catheter related infections during the study period.

Figure 1: Illustration of valve positioning on central venous catheter taps, ramps and extensions.

Figure 2: Flow chart of the study. CRI: catheter related infections; CVC: central venous catheter; ICU: Intensive Care Unit.

Figure 3: Evolution of the incidence of catheter related infections during the study period.

	Global population	"Before" period (Jan 2011-Dec 2013)	"After" period (Jan 2014-Dec 2016)	p.value
Number of patients	1633	789	844	
Age (years)	59.7 ± 16.9	59.8 ± 17	59.7 ± 16.7	0.88
Sex ratio (M/F)	2.3	2.52	2.11	0.11
Length of stay (days)	16.2 ± 15.9	17.8 ± 18.5	14.7 ± 12.2	<0.0001
SAPS II score	44.6 ± 18	45.2 ± 17.8	44 ± 18.2	0.19
Reason for ICU admission				<0.05
medical	281 (18.7 %)	163 (21.5 %)	118 (15.9 %)	
surgery	981 (65.3 %)	475 (62.7 %)	506 (68 %)	
trauma	240 (16 %)	120 (15.8 %)	120 (16.1 %)	
missing data	131 (8 %)	31 (3.9 %)	100 (11.8 %)	
Survival	1336 (82.4 %)	639 (81.3 %)	697 (83.4 %)	0.3

Table 1: Epidemiologic characteristics of the studied population. Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation for quantitative data or absolute value with percentage for qualitative data. SAPS II: Simplified Acute Physiology Score II.

Insertion site	"Before" period (Jan 2011-Dec 2013)	"After" period (Jan 2014-Dec 2016)
Jugular	336 (43.9 %)	470 (57.7 %)
Subclavian	202 (26.4 %)	171 (21 %)
Femoral	228 (29.8 %)	174 (21.3 %)
Missing data	23 (2.9 %)	29 (3.4 %)
Total	789 (100 %)	844 (100 %)

Table 2: Sites of insertion of central venous catheters during the “before” and the “after” periods. Data are expressed as absolute value and percentage.