Cost comparison of advanced oxidation processes for wastewater treatment using accumulated oxygen-equivalent criteria

Emmanuel Mousset, Wei Hao Loh, Wei Shien Lim, Léa Jarry, Zuxin Wang,
Olivier Lefebvre

► To cite this version:

Emmanuel Mousset, Wei Hao Loh, Wei Shien Lim, Léa Jarry, Zuxin Wang, et al.. Cost comparison of advanced oxidation processes for wastewater treatment using accumulated oxygen-equivalent criteria. Water Research, 2021, 200, pp.117234. 10.1016/j.watres.2021.117234 . hal-03429834

HAL Id: hal-03429834

<https://hal.science/hal-03429834>

Submitted on 15 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives| 4.0
International License

Cost comparison of advanced oxidation processes for wastewater treatment using accumulated oxygen-equivalent criteria

Emmanuel Mousset^{a,b}, Wei Hao Loh^a, Wei Shien Lim^a, Léa Jarry^a, Zuxin Wang^{a,c}, Olivier Lefebvre^{*,a}

^a Centre for Water Research, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, National University of Singapore, 1 Engineering Dr. 2, Singapore 117576, Singapore

^b Laboratoire Réactions et Génie des Procédés, UMR CNRS 7274, Université de Lorraine, 1 rue Grandville BP 20451, 54001 Nancy cedex, France

^c School of Civil Engineering and Architecture, Northeast Electric Power University, Jilin 132012, China

Paper accepted in

Water Research

*Corresponding author:

E-mail: ceelop@nus.edu.sg ; phone: +65 9225 8267

Abstract

Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) have received a lot of attention over the years as advanced physico-chemical polishing wastewater treatments to remove biorefractory pollutants. Additionally, many studies report their excellent degradation and mineralization performance as stand-alone technologies too, demonstrating the versatility of these processes; however, there is a lack of suitable methods to compare the performance (in terms of removal efficiency and operating costs) of different AOPs in the same conditions. In this context, the goal of this paper is to propose a systematic investigation by introducing a novel criterion, namely the accumulated oxygen-equivalent chemical-oxidation dose (AOCD), to systematically compare the diverse AOPs available: ozonation, H₂O₂ photolysis, Fenton, photo-Fenton, electro-Fenton and photoelectro-Fenton (paired with anodic oxidation, for the latter two). For each of these, the cost efficiency was determined by optimizing the operating conditions for the removal of phenol, selected as a model pollutant (1.4 mM, equivalent to 100 mg-C L⁻¹). The operating costs considered sludge management, chemical use and electricity consumption. Among all AOPs, electro-Fenton was the most cost-effective (108 – 125 € m⁻³), notwithstanding the mineralization target (50%, 75% and 99%), owing to its electrocatalytic behavior. Chemical Fenton proved competitive too up to 50% of mineralization, meaning that it could also be considered as a cost-effective pre-treatment solution. AOCD was the lowest for electro-Fenton, which could be attributed to its excellent faradaic yield, while UV-based processes generally required the highest dose. The AOCD criterion could serve as a baseline for AOP comparison and prove useful for the legislator to determine the “best available techniques” as defined by the Industrial Emissions European Union Directive 2010/75/EU.

Keywords: electro-Fenton, Fenton, H₂O₂ photolysis, ozonation, photo-Fenton, photoelectro-Fenton

1. Introduction

Many industrial processes generate waste streams contaminated with a variety of organic pollutants, from phenolic compounds to fertilizers and pesticides, that constitute an environmental and public health threat if left untreated (Rodríguez, 2003). Municipal wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) also release various potentially-toxic micropollutants, such as pharmaceuticals and personal care products, because they are not designed to deal with these biorecalcitrant chemicals (Ghatak, 2013). Though conventional biological treatments are cheaper than physico-chemical technologies, they are not able to completely eliminate these persistent pollutants. Therefore, other processes such as membrane filtration, activated carbon adsorption, ozonation (circum-neutral pH) and advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) have been proposed as complementary step in WWTPs to address the challenge of municipal wastewater reuse as mentioned in a recent review (Rizzo et al., 2020). This paper highlights the lack of cost comparison studies between these technologies. Still, the high removal efficiencies (>80-100%) of xenobiotics compounds obtained with AOPs has been emphasized in the comparative work (Rizzo et al., 2020), which explains the increasing deployment of AOPs as advanced physico-chemical treatments (Oturán and Aaron, 2014). Their combination with a biological treatment to remove the biodegradable fraction is particularly encouraged when possible, to decrease the global cost of the treatment (Mousset et al., 2018c; Mousset et al., 2021; Oller et al., 2011; Olvera-Vargas et al., 2016; Rizzo et al., 2020). For all AOPs, the main oxidant - produced in mild conditions (Buxton et al., 1988) - is the hydroxyl radical ($\cdot\text{OH}$), the strongest oxidizing agent ($E^\circ(\cdot\text{OH}/\text{H}_2\text{O}) = 2.80 \text{ V}$ / standard hydrogen electrode (SHE)) after fluorine ($E^\circ(\text{F}_2/\text{F}^-) = 3.03 \text{ V}/\text{SHE}$), but less hazardous (Pignatello et al., 1999). $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals are extremely reactive species that attack most organic molecules with rate constants in the range of $10^8 - 10^{10} \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ towards double carbon bonds, such as aromatic compounds, which constitute the bulk of industrial organic pollutants (Brillas et al., 2009; Castillo-Carvajal et al., 2014; Saïen and Shahrezaei, 2012). $\cdot\text{OH}$ modes of attack include electrophilic addition, hydrogen abstraction, electron transfer and the recently-identified ipso-substitution mechanism (Mousset et al., 2018a).

AOPs include pure chemical technologies, i.e., Fenton ($\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$), as well as ozone-based technologies (O_3 at alkaline pH, peroxonation ($\text{O}_3/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$)) and photochemical processes such as photo-Fenton ($\text{UV}/\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$) and H_2O_2 photolysis ($\text{UV}/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$) (Stefan, 2017). In addition to conventional AOPs, electrochemical AOPs (EAOPs)

continuously generate $\cdot\text{OH}$ *in situ* through different modes of action depending upon the electrode materials (Garcia-Rodriguez et al., 2020; Moreira et al., 2017). For example, in anodic oxidation, heterogeneous $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals are generated at the surface of a high O_2 evolution overvoltage anode (Martínez-Huitle et al., 2015). Meanwhile, electro-Fenton and photoelectro-Fenton involve homogeneous $\cdot\text{OH}$ produced in the bulk solution through the electro-generation of H_2O_2 , generally at a carbon-based cathode and in the presence of Fe^{2+} ions in solution (Mousset et al., 2016c; Panizza and Cerisola, 2009; Sirés et al., 2014). EAOPs offer the benefits to operate with minimal addition of chemicals; yet, there is a lack of systematic studies comparing the costs of various AOPs under the same conditions and for the same application (Huddersman et al., 2019; Miklos et al., 2018). Furthermore, there is an urgent need to bring comparative data and tools for determining the “best available techniques”, as defined by the European Union (Industrial Emissions European Union Directive 2010/75/EU) for the legislator approval and/or for determining standard legal processes to be applied in real conditions (Rizzo et al., 2020).

Several papers have attempted to provide a cost comparison of the various AOPs available, a list of which is given as Table S1. One of the most common criteria currently used is the electric energy per order (Bolton et al., 2001; Sgroi et al., 2021; Yao et al., 2018) that takes into account the electric power (including current intensity and cell potential for electrochemical processes, as well as lamp power for photo-processes), the removal efficiency (with ratio of final over initial concentrations, and/or apparent rate constant) and the flow rate. However, this criterion does not take into account reactor design parameters. Another commonly-used parameter is the space-time yield with the advantage to consider the residence time, removal efficiency (including apparent rate constant) and the ideal reactor design such as continuously stirred tank reactor (CSTR) and plug-flow reactor (PFR) (Leblebici et al., 2015). This factor can further be normalized with the power (lamp power for photo-processes) in order to take into account the energy consumption, in which case it is referred to as photocatalytic space-time yield (Leblebici et al., 2015). Though interesting insights are given to compare 14 photo-reactors, this factor could be improved further in order to extend the comparison to a more diverse set of AOPs (including electrochemical AOPs, ozonation, Fenton, etc), by taking into account for example the active surface area, the faradaic or quantum yields, the size and distance of the lamp. Moreover, the chemicals costs should be included alongside energy requirement (kWh m^{-3}) for a more comprehensive overview of the operating costs (Goi and Trapido, 2002; Prieto-Rodríguez

et al., 2013), while specific energy ($\text{kWh m}^{-3} \text{ g-TOC}^{-1}$) that includes removal efficiency was considered instead in another work (Lucas et al., 2010). One of the most comprehensive works available in the literature consists of a comparison between advanced electro-oxidation with boron-doped diamond anode (BDD), ozonation and chemical Fenton, including the Fenton sludge management costs (Cañizares et al., 2009). The same paper also introduced the oxygen-equivalent chemical-oxidation capacity (OCC) parameter to enable a suitable comparison of these very distinct AOPs (Cañizares et al., 2009). The OCC includes the O_3 and H_2O_2 concentrations, the specific charge and the cell potential, but photo-processes were not taken into account and some relevant engineering parameters were not considered (e.g. residence time, active surface area, faradaic or quantum yields, size and distance of the lamp). It is further noticeable that there exists no comprehensive cost comparison of photo-based, Fenton-based, electrochemical-based and ozone-based AOPs in a single study.

In this context, the objective of this study is to propose a unique and comprehensive comparison at the laboratory scale of AOPs in their broad diversity: ozonation, H_2O_2 photolysis, Fenton, photo-Fenton, electro-Fenton and photoelectro-Fenton (the latter too being paired with anodic oxidation). The introduction of a new criterion was proposed – the accumulated oxygen-equivalent chemical-oxidation dose (AOCD) – to facilitate AOP comparison, which could be used in future studies and for legislative and policy purposes. AOCD includes important missing parameters from previous studies (i.e., residence time, current density, irradiance, active surface of the electrodes and lamp, distance of the lamp, faradaic and quantum yields, number of electrons exchanged, wavelength). The operating costs associated with chemical and energy use, as well as sludge management (whenever required) have been calculated after optimization of each processes comprising mineralization kinetics and costs. The overall operating costs were then compared to one another in the optimal conditions for each AOP and for three mineralization targets, 50% and 75% for pre-treatment and 99% for complete treatment. For the purpose of benchmarking processes against one another and also against the literature, phenol was selected as a model organic pollutant, being widely studied in AOP research (Azevedo et al., 2009; Bremner et al., 2006; Brillas and Garcia-Segura, 2020; Maciel et al., 2004; Mousset et al., 2016a; Mousset et al., 2017a; Pimentel et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2005). Additionally, phenols are environmentally relevant, due to their wide use in many industrial processes, as intermediates in synthesis or as raw materials for the manufacturing of insecticides, pesticides, wood preservatives,

etc. (Rahmani et al., 2008; Rodríguez, 2003). Because of the many ways that phenols can be used, they can be found not only in industrial wastewaters but also in soil, groundwater and surface water, following improper waste disposal practices and accidental leakages (Benitez et al., 1999).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals

All chemicals employed in this study were of analytical grade. All solutions were prepared with ultrapure water supplied from RiOs™ Essential Water Purification System (Millipore, Singapore) with electrical resistivity of 18.2 MΩ cm and total organic carbon (TOC) of 4 ppb. For comparison purposes, the same stock phenol solution was used for every experiment at a concentration of 1.4 mM (initial TOC: $\text{TOC}_0 = 100 \text{ mg-C L}^{-1}$), which falls within the common range of phenol concentrations found in various industrial wastewater streams (Girish and Murty, 2014).

2.2. Experimental Setups

Figure S1a shows the experimental setup of the ozonation reactor, similar to that of a previous study (Lefebvre et al., 2013). An Ozonoia Triogen laboratory ozone generator (Suez Environment, France) was used to produce ozone at the desired concentration from oxygen gas fed to the generator at a rate of 3 L min^{-1} . The ozone generator required 42, 63, 74, 84, 105 W to reach an ozone dose ($[\text{O}_3]$) of 102, 204, 246, 300 and 360 mg (Lh)^{-1} respectively, according to the supplier instructions. The ozone generator was connected to a 500-mL glass flask containing the phenol stock solution and connected to a 500-mL gas-washing bottle to trap excess ozone. The ozone dose that reacted in the process was obtained by subtracting the residual dissolved ozone and the excess gaseous ozone from the actual ozone dose (Lefebvre et al., 2013). Figure S1b shows the schematic diagram of the Fenton reactor, involving a magnetic stirrer (300 rpm) and a 600 mL cylindrical glass reactor. Figure S1c shows the schematic diagram of the quartz photochemical reactor connected to a 600-mL feed tank through a peristaltic pump (Masterflex L/S economy variable-speed drive, USA). The reactor volume was 263 mL and the UV lamp (Heraeus Noblelight GmbH / TNN 12/20, Germany) irradiated at a wavelength (λ) of 254 nm, the optimal wavelength for

attacking double C=C bonds present in a majority of biorecalcitrant organic pollutants (Stefan, 2017). The reactor was operated in recirculated batch mode at a flow rate of 0.4 L min^{-1} . Homogeneous mixing was ensured by stirring at 300 rpm. The phenol solution was stirred in the feed tank and continuously recirculated in the photo-reactor. For UV and UV/H₂O₂ experiments, the pH was not adjusted (pH around 6), since the influence of pH is negligible for those processes (Stefan, 2017), whereas it was a criterion for photo-Fenton experiments. Figure S1d depicts the schematic diagram of the (photo)-electrochemical reactor, differing from the photo-reactor setup by a pair of electrodes placed in the feed tank. The anode was a BDD material (Condias, Germany) (40 cm^2 of geometric surface area) and the cathode was a polyacrylonitrile carbon fiber shaped as a brush (SGL group, USA) (80 cm^2 of projected surface area) prepared according to our previous study (Mousset et al., 2017b). These electrodes were connected to a power supply (HAMEG 7042-5, Germany). For electro-Fenton, the UV lamp was turned off. Sufficient ionic strength was ensured by the addition of 50 mM of K₂SO₄. An air diffuser was added to provide the oxygen for the electro-catalytic production of H₂O₂ from O₂ reduction at the cathode.

2.3. Analytical procedures

The solution pH was measured with a Horiba F-54BW (Japan) pH meter. The actual ozone output from the ozone generator was determined using the potassium iodide (KI) wet-chemistry method (Rakness et al., 1996). The residual ozone in solution was determined by the indigo colorimetric method (Lefebvre et al., 2013). H₂O₂ quenching before analysis was done using a solution of Na₂S₂O₃ at a ratio of two moles of Na₂S₂O₃ per mole of H₂O₂ (Liu et al., 2003). High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) (Shimadzu SCL-10A, Japan) was used to detect phenol, following the method of (Mousset et al., 2016a). The mobile phase consisted of 75% acetic acid (1:100) v/v of acetic acid/ultrapure water buffer and 25% methanol (HPLC grade). The column was a Zorbax Extend C18 (15 cm length, 5 μm of stationary phase thickness) (Agilent, USA), and the temperature of the oven was set at 40°C for analysis. A diode array detector (Shimadzu SPD-M10A, Japan) was set at 280 nm to detect the presence of phenol at maximal absorbance. Phenol mineralization was monitored by TOC removal (Mousset et al., 2014), determined on a Shimadzu TOC-V_{CSH} analyzer (Japan) by thermal catalytic oxidation (680°C) (Mousset et al., 2016a).

The mass of sludge generated by Fenton-based processes was determined by increasing the pH to 8 with 1 M of NaOH, which led to the precipitation of $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_3$, following a previous methodology ([Benatti and Tavares, 2012](#)). The particles in the mixture were left to settle for at least 15 h to allow complete precipitation of the ferric hydroxide residue, followed by vacuum filtration and drying of the filter disks containing the iron residues in an oven at 105°C overnight. The filter disks were then weighed to determine the mass of the total suspended solids (TSS) content (mg L^{-1}) ([Degen and Nussberger, 1956](#)).

2.4. Cost calculations

Power, chemicals and sludge management cost calculations are detailed in [Text S1](#).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Performance and optimization of six AOPs to mineralize phenol

3.1.1. Ozonation

The ozone dose was first optimized at pH 7, where the main mechanism responsible for phenol oxidation was by electrophilic reaction, in particular at the para- and ortho- positions (Mvula and Von Sonntag, 2003) (Fig. 1a). TOC removal measured at 160 min increased with the ozone dose from 33% at 102 mg (Lh)⁻¹ to 63% at 360 mg (Lh)⁻¹. The TOC decay rates followed a pseudo-first-order kinetic model ($k_{(1st\ order)}$) ($R^2 > 0.9812$) and increased with the ozone dose from $0.0022 \pm 0.0003\ min^{-1}$ at 102 mg (Lh)⁻¹ to $0.0062 \pm 0.0002\ min^{-1}$ at 360 mg (Lh)⁻¹ (inset panel of Fig. 1a). Because the improvement was marginal when increasing the ozone dose from 300 mg (Lh)⁻¹ to 360 mg (Lh)⁻¹ (Fig. 1a), the operating costs were the lowest at 300 mg (Lh)⁻¹, reaching $1.08 \pm 0.004\ €\ g\text{-TOC}^{-1}$ (Fig. 1b), confirming that the optimal ozone dose was indeed 300 mg (Lh)⁻¹.

Fig. 1. Ozonation process optimization: (a) TOC removal at different $[O_3]$ (inset panel: $k_{(1st\ order)}$) at pH 7, (b) cost efficiency at different $[O_3]$ after 160 min, (c) TOC removal at different pH, maintained constant during the experiment (inset panel: $k_{(1st\ order)}$) at $[O_3] = 300$ mg (Lh)⁻¹, (d) cost efficiency at different initial pH after 160 min.

The impact of pH is of course crucial for ozonation and thus was evaluated next by maintaining it at either 7, 9 or 12, yielding TOC removal at 160 min of 62%, 68% and 85%, respectively (Fig. 1c). Accordingly, the $k_{(1st\ order)}$ values increased with the pH from 0.0059 ± 0.0002 min⁻¹ at pH 7 to 0.0155 ± 0.0003 min⁻¹ at pH 12 (inset panel of Fig. 1c). A considerable increase of mineralization efficiency was thus observed in alkaline conditions, where

ozone is decomposed in water into $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals, which improved the mineralization efficiency (Stefan, 2017). However, maintaining the pH at 12 involves additional chemical costs to first adjust the pH to 12 and then neutralize it back at the end of the treatment. The cost efficiency was thus evaluated for the different pH tested, taking into account the power consumption and chemical costs (Fig 1d). Increasing the solution pH from 7 to 12 reduced the operating cost from $1.08 \pm 0.004 \text{ € g-TOC}^{-1}$ to $0.82 \pm 0.012 \text{ € g-TOC}^{-1}$. The specific cost associated with a pH of 12 was thus lower than that obtained at lower pH values, showing that the higher efficiency effectively counterbalanced the increased chemical cost. In conclusion, the optimal conditions for ozonation consisted of a dose of 300 mg (Lh)^{-1} and a pH maintained at 12.

3.1.2. UV/H₂O₂

Figure 2a displays the TOC removal efficiency by UV/H₂O₂ at different H₂O₂ concentrations from 0 up to 20 mM. In direct photolysis (UV alone), only 5% of phenol mineralization was achieved after 160 min, showing its limitations for phenol mineralization, in agreement with the literature (Rodríguez, 2003). Comparatively, UV/H₂O₂ experiments depicted higher mineralization yields up to 17% after 160 min using 17 mM of H₂O₂. Accordingly, the $k_{(1\text{st order})}$ ($R^2 > 0.9847$) also increased with H₂O₂ concentration until 17.0 mM ($0.0097 \pm 0.0002 \text{ min}^{-1}$), then decreased at higher concentration (inset panel of Fig. 2a). The cost comparison to determine the optimal operating conditions for the UV/H₂O₂ process is displayed in Fig. 2b, confirming that UV/H₂O₂ was the most cost-effective at 17 mM of H₂O₂ ($1.37 \pm 0.09 \text{ € g-TOC}^{-1}$).

Fig. 2. UV/ H_2O_2 process optimization: (a) TOC removal at different H_2O_2 concentrations (inset panel: $k_{(1st\ order)}$ at different H_2O_2 concentrations) (operating conditions: pH 7, $\lambda = 254\text{ nm}$), (b) cost efficiency at different H_2O_2 concentrations at 160 min (cost: electric power and chemicals).

3.1.3. Chemical Fenton

Fenton processes are largely dependent on the concentration of H_2O_2 ($[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$) and Fe^{2+} ($[\text{Fe}^{2+}]$), the impact of which is shown in Figs. 3a and 3b. The mineralization efficiency increased up to 15.0 mM of H_2O_2 and 0.9 mM of Fe^{2+} , then reached a plateau at around 46% of TOC removal towards the end of the experiment (Figs. 3a and 3b). At this optimal $\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ratio of 0.06, $k_{(1\text{st order})}$ ($R^2 > 0.9835$) was the highest, reaching $0.0372 \pm 0.0003 \text{ min}^{-1}$ (inset panel of Figs. 3a and 3b). An excess of H_2O_2 can generate the less reactive hydroperoxy-radicals, known to inhibit the oxidation process (Chen and Pignatello, 1997), while an excess of Fe^{2+} favors secondary reactions that limit the $\cdot\text{OH}$ production rate (Brillas et al., 2009). Additionally, increasing Fe^{2+} concentration without any significant gain of TOC removal would represent an unnecessary cost and possibly a threat to aquatic life, as well as an increase in production of ferric hydroxide ($\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_3$) sludge that would then need to be disposed, following final pH adjustment, again adding to the cost of treatment and reagents (A. Sychev, 1995; Cañizares et al., 2009). By varying the $\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ratio, the operating costs taking into account sludge management and chemicals, were found to first decrease from $0.101 \pm 0.0006 \text{ € g-TOC}^{-1}$ ($\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 = 0.01$) to a minimal of $0.041 \pm 0.0003 \text{ € g-TOC}^{-1}$ ($\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 = 0.06$) and then increased again (Fig. 3c). A $\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ratio of 0.06 was thus deemed the most cost-effective, in agreement with the TOC decay rates (Figs. 3a-3b).

Fig. 3. Fenton process optimization: (a) TOC removal at different $[H_2O_2]$ (inset panel: $k_{(1st\ order)}$) at $[Fe^{2+}] = 0.9$ mM, (b) TOC removal at different $[Fe^{2+}]$ (inset panel: $k_{(1st\ order)}$) at $[H_2O_2] = 15$ mM, (c) cost efficiency at different Fe^{2+}/H_2O_2 ratios after 160 min, (d) TOC removal at different initial pH (inset panel: cost efficiency after 160 min) at Fe^{2+}/H_2O_2 ratio = 0.06.

As a final step, the impact of the pH was tested at two different values of 3 and 7 at the optimal Fe^{2+}/H_2O_2 ratio. In both cases, the pH stabilized around 3 after a few minutes (data not shown), as phenol was quickly degraded into carboxylic acids, with acid dissociation constants (pK_a) ranging from 3 to 4 (Mousset et al., 2016a). Unsurprisingly, the TOC removal efficiency was thus similar in both cases, reaching 48% and 43% after 160 min for pH 3 and pH 7, respectively (Fig. 3d), while $k_{(1st\ order)}$ decreased from $0.0372 \pm 0.0003\ min^{-1}$ to $0.0279 \pm 0.0002\ min^{-1}$. From an application point of view these results suggest that it could theoretically be possible to deal with

effluents at circum-neutral pH without initial pH adjustments. Inset panel of Fig. 3d displays the cost comparison for both initial pH levels. The operating costs were similar at pH 3 and pH 7 (0.041 ± 0.0004 € g-TOC⁻¹), since the slightly higher removal efficiency obtained at pH 3 was counterbalanced by the absence of acidification at pH 7. However, in real applications a pH adjustment step will still be required after the treatment in order to neutralize the effluent, which will still lead to sludge management costs, since at pH value higher than 4, Fe(III) precipitates into Fe(OH)₃ with a solubility constant (K_s) equal to 2.79×10^{-39} at 25°C (Bali et al., 2003).

3.1.4. Photo-Fenton

The efficiency of Fenton processes is known to increase in the presence of UV light, in the so-called photo-Fenton process (Oturán and Aaron, 2014), allowing the regeneration of Fe²⁺ (Ruppert et al., 1993) and the generation of additional radicals via the light-excitation of Fe-hydroxy complexes (Fe(OH)²⁺) (Benkelberg and Warneck, 1995). The effect of the same parameters as in dark Fenton was thus evaluated in UV-light conditions: the optimal H₂O₂ concentration, Fe²⁺ concentration and starting pH were 15 mM (Fig. 4a), 0.7 mM (Fig. 4b) and 3 (Fig. 4d), respectively. Higher H₂O₂ and Fe²⁺ concentrations increased the rate of parasitic reactions, as already stated for the Fenton process, and therefore a Fe²⁺/H₂O₂ ratio of 0.05 was deemed optimal. The best conditions led to 90% of phenol mineralization after 160 min (Figs. 4a-4b) and a $k_{(1st\ order)}$ value of 0.0716 ± 0.0009 min⁻¹ ($R^2 > 0.9821$) (inset panel of Figs. 4a-4b). The associated operating cost was 0.13 ± 0.008 € g-TOC⁻¹ (Fig. 4c and inset panel of Fig. 4d).

Fig. 4. Photo-Fenton process optimization: (a) TOC removal at different $[H_2O_2]$ (inset panel: $k_{(1st\ order)}$) at pH 3 and $[Fe^{2+}] = 0.9$ mM, (b) TOC removal at different $[Fe^{2+}]$ (inset panel: $k_{(1st\ order)}$) at pH 3 and $[H_2O_2] = 15$ mM, (c) cost efficiency at different Fe^{2+}/H_2O_2 ratios after 160 min, (d) TOC removal at different initial pH (inset panel: cost efficiency after 160 min) at Fe^{2+}/H_2O_2 ratio = 0.05.

3.1.5. Electro-Fenton

Electro-Fenton, based on H_2O_2 electro-generation via the two-electron oxygen reduction on a carbon-based cathode (Mousset et al., 2016b) was tested next in paired electrocatalysis, combined with a high O_2 evolution overpotential BDD anode (Brillas, 2014; Nidheesh et al., 2019). First, the effect of Fe^{2+} concentration (0.05 mM to 0.3 mM), acting as a catalyst for the Fenton's reaction, was investigated and the results highlighted the optimal $[Fe^{2+}]$ of 0.1 mM, yielding 76% phenol mineralization in 160 min (Fig. 5a), while higher Fe^{2+} concentrations

promoted secondary reactions (Mousset et al., 2016a). For every concentration, the mineralization followed a linear trend because the electro-oxidation reaction of organic compounds at the BDD surface was the rate-limiting step instead of mass transfer limitations (Mousset et al., 2019; Panizza et al., 2001). Consequently, the phenol mineralization kinetics followed zero order kinetics ($k_{(0 \text{ order})}$) during the first hours of treatment ($R^2 > 0.9855$), as already demonstrated in the literature with medium to highly concentrated solutions (Mousset et al., 2019; Panizza et al., 2001). The optimal rate constant for phenol mineralization was obtained at the optimal concentration of 0.1 mM of Fe^{2+} ($k_{(0 \text{ order})} = 0.0458 \pm 0.0002 \text{ mg-C L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$, cf. inset panel of Fig. 5a). This concentration also proved to be the most cost-effective ($0.031 \pm 0.0005 \text{ € g-TOC}^{-1}$, cf. Fig. 5b).

The current density (J) was then optimized at the optimal Fe^{2+} concentration of 0.1 mM and the results are shown in Fig. 5c. The optimal current density was 1.25 mA cm^{-2} , yielding 76% of phenol mineralization in 160 min ($k_{(0 \text{ order})} = 0.0458 \pm 0.0002 \text{ mg-C L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$, cf inset panel of Fig. 5c) at a cost of $0.031 \pm 0.0005 \text{ € g-TOC}^{-1}$ (Fig. 5d). Higher current densities favored the oxygen evolution reaction over the production of $\cdot\text{OH}$ (Panizza and Cerisola, 2009). Unsurprisingly, the best starting pH was 3 (Fig. 5e, inset panel of Fig. 5e and Fig. 5f), in agreement with our previous observations on other Fenton-based processes. Hence, the optimal operating conditions for the paired electro-Fenton process consisted of 0.1 mM of Fe^{2+} , a current density of 1.25 mA cm^{-2} and a pH of 3.

Fig. 5. Electro-Fenton process optimization: (a) TOC removal at different $[\text{Fe}^{2+}]$ (inset panel: $k_{(0 \text{ order})}$) at pH 3 and $J = 1.25 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$, (b) cost efficiency at different $[\text{Fe}^{2+}]$ after 160 min, (c) TOC removal at different J (inset panel: $k_{(0 \text{ order})}$) at pH 3 and $[\text{Fe}^{2+}] = 0.1 \text{ mM}$, (d) cost efficiency at different J after 160 min, (e) TOC removal at different initial pH (inset panel: $k_{(0 \text{ order})}$) at $[\text{Fe}^{2+}] = 0.1 \text{ mM}$ and $J = 1.25 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$, (f) cost efficiency at different initial pH after 160 min.

3.1.6. Photoelectro-Fenton

Similarly as for chemical Fenton, UV-light was added to electro-Fenton to boost the production of $\cdot\text{OH}$ (Brillas et al., 2009; Sun and Pignatello, 1993) and the regeneration of Fe^{2+} (Ruppert et al., 1993). The optimal Fe^{2+} concentration, current density and starting pH in the resulting photoelectro-Fenton process were 0.1 mM (Figs. 6a-6b), 1.25 mA cm^{-2} (Figs. 6c-6d) and 3 (Figs. 6e-6f), respectively, yielding 88% of phenol mineralization in 160 min (Figs. 6a, 6c, 6e), a $k_{(0 \text{ order})}$ value of $0.053 \pm 0.0003 \text{ mg-C L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ($R^2 > 0.9856$) (inset panel of Figs. 6a, 6c, 6e) and a cost of $0.18 \pm 0.007 \text{ € g-TOC}^{-1}$ (Figs. 6b, 6d, 6f). Higher current densities increased the rate of secondary reactions that hampered the $\cdot\text{OH}$ production, as already stated for the electro-Fenton experiments.

Fig. 6. Photoelectro-Fenton process optimization: (a) TOC removal at different $[\text{Fe}^{2+}]$ (inset panel: $k_{(0 \text{ order})}$) at pH 3 and $J = 1.25 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$, (b) cost efficiency at different $[\text{Fe}^{2+}]$ after 160 min, (c) TOC removal at different J (inset panel: $k_{(0 \text{ order})}$) at pH 3 and $[\text{Fe}^{2+}] = 0.1 \text{ mM}$, (d) cost efficiency at different J after 160 min, (e) TOC removal at different initial pH (inset panel: $k_{(0 \text{ order})}$) at $[\text{Fe}^{2+}] = 0.1 \text{ mM}$ and $J = 1.25 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$, (f) cost efficiency at different initial pH after 160 min.

3.2. Techno-economic analysis

3.2.1. Performance comparison of six AOPs for phenol mineralization

Cost comparison is an essential component of benchmarking AOPs against one another, depending upon their foreseen application, e.g., as a pre- or partial treatment (i.e. 50% or 75% of mineralization) or to achieve complete mineralization (> 99%). To that effect, the cost of every AOP in its optimal conditions and normalized by the treated volume and the mass of TOC removed (expressed in $\text{€ m}^{-3} \text{ g-TOC}^{-1}$ (Lucas et al., 2010), taking into account the sludge management, chemical use and electrical power costs) is plotted in Fig. 7, for different targets of mineralization. UV/H₂O₂ was the least effective AOP, unable to reach more than 17% of phenol mineralization after 160 min of treatment, a low performance that corroborates former studies (Bali et al., 2003). UV/H₂O₂ was therefore excluded from this cost-comparison analysis. For the other techniques, the performance at 50% of mineralization ranked as follows (Fig. 7a): Fenton ($102 \text{ € m}^{-3} \text{ g-TOC}^{-1}$) > electro-Fenton ($108 \text{ € m}^{-3} \text{ g-TOC}^{-1}$) > photo-Fenton ($161 \text{ € m}^{-3} \text{ g-TOC}^{-1}$) > photoelectro-Fenton ($340 \text{ € m}^{-3} \text{ g-TOC}^{-1}$) > ozonation ($966 \text{ € m}^{-3} \text{ g-TOC}^{-1}$). Fenton was thus the most competitive at 50% of mineralization, followed closely by electro-Fenton that then became more cost-effective at higher mineralization yields (Fig. 7a): indeed, at 75%, the ranking became electro-Fenton > photo-Fenton > photoelectro-Fenton > Fenton > ozonation and at 99%, electro-Fenton > photoelectro-Fenton > photo-Fenton > Fenton > ozonation. The overall costs for ozonation were always higher than for Fenton-based processes, notwithstanding the mineralization target. Ozonation was therefore less competitive which confirms the findings of Canizares et al. (Cañizares et al., 2009). Photoelectro-Fenton was costlier than photo-Fenton at 50% and 75% of mineralization, while it was more competitive at 99% of mineralization. In this latter condition, the gain in TOC removal was sufficient to counterbalance the higher energy requirements for electrolysis. Still, UV-based Fenton processes were costlier than dark electro-Fenton, due to the energy requirement from the UV lamp. This shows the importance to study the potential of solar irradiation instead of artificial UV light to increase the competitiveness of photo-processes (Flox et al., 2007). In addition, UV-based AOPs present other significant drawbacks, depending upon the characteristics of the effluent to be treated, as color,

turbidity and interfering compounds absorbing UV irradiation can considerably decrease the effectiveness of light-based AOPs in real applications (Rodríguez, 2003).

For all processes, the overall cost efficiency decreased with increasing mineralization targets, but it is worthy of note that electro-Fenton was much less impacted by this trend. The catalytic behavior of the iron source for the Fenton's reaction allowed minimizing the chemical costs, rendering its cost performance barely dependent upon the mineralization target. This was verified by the zero-order kinetics observed, with faradaic yields close to one (Panizza and Cerisola, 2009). In contrast, the low quantum yields of UV-based AOPs (approx. 0.12 for phenol (Okamoto et al., 1985)), resulted in increasing costs to reach higher mineralization targets. Photoelectro-Fenton remained proportionally less impacted than photo-Fenton, by benefiting from the high faradaic yield of electrolysis, while the low solubility of ozone (Oturán and Aaron, 2014) resulted in excessive amounts of gas supplied to the process, which increased the costs. Finally, the absence of regeneration of iron in chemical Fenton considerably increased waste reactions at higher mineralization targets.

The cost-distribution of the different AOPs at different mineralization targets is displayed in Figs. 7b-7d. It can be seen that chemicals always represented the primary source of expense for chemical Fenton, but were overtaken by electricity for ozonation, electro-Fenton, photo-Fenton and photoelectro-Fenton, regardless of the mineralization yield. For instance, for a target of 75% of mineralization, the chemicals represented 99.4% of the overall costs for Fenton, while the contribution of electricity accounted for 97.9%, 88.3%, 77.9% and 64.2% for ozonation, photoelectro-Fenton, photo-Fenton and electro-Fenton, respectively (Fig. 7b). An exception was noted for electro-Fenton at 50% of mineralization, in which chemicals cost represented around half of the overall costs (49.9%). The UV-based Fenton processes required higher electrical costs in proportion, due to the UV lamp, and the relative proportion of power over chemical costs increased with the mineralization target for ozonation, photo-Fenton, electro-Fenton and photoelectro-Fenton (Figs. 7b-7d), in relation with to the increased treatment time. In addition, the sludge management cost proportion was always higher for Fenton than for photo-Fenton, electro-Fenton and photoelectro-Fenton, as a result of the constant addition of Fe^{2+} in the absence of its regeneration, yielding more ferric sludge at the end of the treatment. In terms of sludge management cost, the ranking was as follows:

photoelectro-Fenton < electro-Fenton < photo-Fenton < Fenton, directly linked to the regeneration rate of Fe^{2+} that was the highest in photoelectro-Fenton followed by electro-Fenton. Nevertheless, the sludge cost remained negligible regardless of the Fenton-based process considered, implying that this parameter will not make a significant cost difference (Figs. 7b-7d). This is an interesting feature, because many recent works have been focusing on trying to limit the ferric sludge production in Fenton-based processes by implementing heterogeneous Fenton systems (Ganiyu et al., 2018). Yet, the main advantage of heterogeneous processes would arguably be more in terms of their impact on chemical cost reduction by avoiding the need to adjust the pH to 3 (Deng et al., 2018). Still, it is important to note that the volume of sludge represented around 15% of the treated wastewater in the Fenton process applied in a previous study (Cañizares et al., 2009), which would result in non-negligible sludge management costs. In that study, the concentration of Fe^{2+} was 4 to 180 times higher than in this work, depending upon the pollutant treated, which led to drastically higher generation of ferric hydroxide sludge. Moreover, the authors considered the wet sludge production (expressed in m^3 sludge per m^3 of treated wastewater) in their cost calculation (Cañizares et al., 2009), while the dehydrated sludge is taken into account in our work. Another study reported that the volume of sludge produced by Fenton ($0.267 \text{ m}^3 \text{ sludge/m}^3 \text{ wastewater}$) only comprised 2.5% (w/w) of TSS, which further explains the strong reduction of sludge amount by considering the dehydrated sludge as compared to the wet sludge (Benatti and Tavares, 2012). Moreover, the quantity of sludge could prove higher with real wastewater, considering that other species can co-precipitate, such as metallic compounds initially present in solution (Benatti and Tavares, 2012).

In conclusion to this section, the Fenton process proved a reasonable option as a pre-treatment if mineralization lower than 50% is required, while electro-Fenton is always a good candidate, notwithstanding the target (recommended both for pre-treatment or as a stand-alone technology for total mineralization). Of course, these cost estimates are based on laboratory-scale experiments and omit additional operational costs inherent to all AOPs (e.g. power cost from pumps) as well as equipment costs that would need to be factored in at the industrial scale. The equipment cost in particular may vary with the size of the plant as previously shown for advanced electro-oxidation, Fenton and ozonation (Cañizares et al., 2009). In addition, the impact of the matrix of real effluents

would also need to be taken into account to refine our numbers for specific applications. Since the efficiency of all AOPs rely on the reactivity of $\cdot\text{OH}$ with targeted compounds in wastewater, arguably comparable matrix effects could be expected, notwithstanding the type of AOP implemented. Thus, $\cdot\text{OH}$ exposure is typically estimated based on the kinetics of degradation/mineralization of the targeted compounds and on the competing reactions with $\cdot\text{OH}$ scavengers (e.g., carbonate, bicarbonate, nitrite, organic matter) that are often present in water matrices (Miklos et al., 2018). Of course, some differences could be expected with real matrices, such as the presence of turbidity that can affect UV-based processes (as mentioned earlier), while unwanted by-products (e.g., BrO_3^- with O_3 or ClO_3^- with electrochemical treatments) could also be formed depending upon the ions present in the matrix (Br^- , Cl^- , etc.) (Bergmann et al., 2014; Garcia-Rodriguez et al., 2020; Lee et al., 2016; Mousset et al., 2018b; Mousset et al., 2020).

Fig. 7. AOPs cost efficiency comparison at different phenol mineralization yield: (a) overall cost, (b) costs distribution at 50% of mineralization, (c) costs distribution at 75% of mineralization, (d) costs distribution at 99% of mineralization.

3.2.2. A new criterion to enable systematic AOP cost-performance comparison: the accumulated oxygen-equivalent chemical-oxidation dose (AOCD)

The need to develop criteria for suitable comparison between AOPs is important for evaluating the best available technologies as defined by the European Commission (Rizzo et al., 2020). Canizares et al. (Cañizares et al., 2009) have previously proposed the OCC (kg-O₂ m⁻³) as a parameter to compare advanced electro-oxidation with Fenton and ozonation. Here, a new parameter is proposed, namely the AOCD (kg-O₂), to compare the different AOPs used in this work. The difference and arguably advantage of AOCD compared to OCC is that it takes into account the accumulation of the dose normalized to the treatment time and it is more comprehensive by encompassing the photo-based processes as well as other important parameters, i.e., current density, irradiance, wavelength, active surface of the electrodes and lamp, distance of the lamp, faradaic and quantum yields, number of electrons exchanged, residence time. The AOCD for each process i is proposed to be estimated from the following general equation (Eq. 1):

$$AOCD_i(kg - O_2) = \int_0^\tau OCD_i(kg - O_2 h^{-1}) d\tau \quad (1)$$

where the oxygen-equivalent chemical-oxidation dose (OCD) equations for each process are fully developed in Text S2 and Table S1; a summarized form is presented below for each AOP: ozonation (Eq. 2), Fenton (Eq. 3), UV/H₂O₂ and photo-Fenton (Eq. 4), electro-Fenton (Eq. 5) and photoelectro-Fenton (Eq. 6).

$$OCD_{Ozone}(kg - O_2 h^{-1}) = 1.000 \times D_{O_3}(kg - O_3 h^{-1}) \quad (2)$$

$$OCD_{Fenton}(kg - O_2 h^{-1}) = 0.471 \times D_{H_2O_2}(kg - H_2O_2 h^{-1}) \quad (3)$$

$$OCD_{H_2O_2 \text{ photolysis or photo-Fenton}}(kg - O_2 h^{-1}) = 0.471 \times D_{H_2O_2}(kg - H_2O_2 h^{-1}) + 0.067 \times I_\lambda(kJ m^{-2} h^{-1}) \times \frac{\lambda(m)}{\phi_\lambda} \times$$

$$S_{lamp}(m^2) \quad \text{with } S_{lamp}(m^2) = \frac{2DL + (4D^2 + L^2)\arctan(\frac{L}{2D})}{DL(4D^2 + L^2)\pi^2} \quad (4)$$

$$OCD_{Electro-Fenton}(kg - O_2 h^{-1}) = 0.298 \times J(kA m^{-2}) \times \frac{\sum_i n(e^-)_i}{\phi_{e^-}} \times S_{electrode}(m^2) \quad (5)$$

$$OCD_{photoelectro-Fenton} (kg - O_2 h^{-1}) = 0.298 \times J (kA m^{-2}) \times \frac{\sum_i n(e^-)_i}{\Phi_{e^-}} \times S_{electrode} (m^2) + 0.067 \times I_\lambda (kJ m^{-2} h^{-1}) \times \frac{\lambda (m)}{\Phi_\lambda} \times S_{lamp} (m^2) \quad (6)$$

where D_{O_3} is the ozone dose ($kg-O_3 h^{-1}$), $D_{H_2O_2}$ is the H_2O_2 dose ($kg-H_2O_2 h^{-1}$), I_λ is the irradiance ($kJ m^{-2} h^{-1}$) at a specific wavelength λ (m), considering the quantum yield (Φ_λ) for the target compound degradation ($\Phi_\lambda = 0.12$ for phenol; (Okamoto et al., 1985)) and considering the active surface of the lamp S_{lamp} (m^2), J is the current density ($kA m^{-2}$) considering the geometric surface ($S_{electrode}$ (m^2)) of the working electrode (i.e., anode for anodic oxidation, cathode for electro-Fenton and both electrodes for electro-Fenton paired with anodic oxidation), $n(e^-)$ is the number of electrons exchanged for electrogenerating species i (i.e. H_2O_2 at cathode and $\cdot OH$ at anode) ($\sum_i n(e^-)_i = 3$ in electro-Fenton paired with anodic oxidation), Φ_{e^-} is the faradaic yield towards the target compound degradation ($\Phi_{e^-} = 1$ in this work considering the zero order kinetic model; (Panizza and Cerisola, 2009)), τ is the residence time of the solution to treat (h), V is the volume of the solution to treat (m^3), D is the distance from the lamp to the solution to treat (m^2) and L is the length of the lamp (m^2).

Following the protocol described above, the TOC decay percentages were plotted against the AOCD for each AOP (Fig. 8). Logically, the AOCD increased with the mineralization yields, regardless of the AOP considered (Fig. 8). The required oxidant dose in terms of AOCD was ranked as follows (from the lowest to the highest dose): electro-Fenton < photoelectro-Fenton < Fenton < photo-Fenton < ozonation (pH 12) < UV/ H_2O_2 . The photo-based processes always showed higher AOCD compared to their dark counterpart, owing to their lower quantum yield. The accumulated dose for ozonation was higher than that of the other AOPs, except for UV/ H_2O_2 . This is consistent with previous data that showed higher OCC values with ozone compared to Fenton and anodic oxidation (Cañizares et al., 2009). Electro-Fenton always required the lowest dose for all mineralization targets, from 0.0004 $kg-O_2$ at 50% to 0.0012 $kg-O_2$ at 99%. These results showcase electro-Fenton as the most versatile option when

compared to other AOPs for a large range of applications, i.e. suitable as a pre-treatment or for total mineralization. This is consistent with the cost efficiency comparison performed in section 3.2.1 and also with others (Sirés et al., 2014).

Fig. 8. TOC decay percentage as function of accumulated oxygen-equivalent chemical-oxidation dose (AOCD) for AOPs comparison.

4. Conclusions

In this work, a systematic approach was proposed to compare six different kinds of AOPs consisting of ozonation, Fenton, H₂O₂ photolysis, photo-Fenton, electro-Fenton and photoelectro-Fenton, taking into account their operating cost efficiency (power, chemicals and sludge management costs) for their preliminary optimization. Moreover, a new criterion that allows AOP comparison was proposed, namely the AOCD, which improves on the literature by covering more AOPs and including more cost criteria (e.g., active surface of the electrodes and lamp).

The main findings obtained at laboratory scale in batch mode and with artificial wastewater containing phenol as model pollutant are summarized below:

- UV/H₂O₂ displayed the lowest mineralization efficiency, while among the Fenton-based AOPs, electro-Fenton (paired with anodic oxidation) depicted the best cost performance (108 - 125 € m⁻³ g-TOC⁻¹), notwithstanding the mineralization targets (50%, 75% and 99%).
- Ozonation was less cost effective than the Fenton-based AOPs.
- Fenton proved competitive when the goal was to reach 50% of mineralization and thus proved a suitable pre-treatment.
- Electro-Fenton was the most versatile process in the tested conditions, covering pre-treatment up to total mineralization.

Further studies will be required in continuous mode at pilot and industrial scale in real wastewater matrices in order to validate the suitability of the AOCD criterion and of the AOP comparison.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the National University of Singapore (NUS) for funding this study through the grant WBS R302000111133.

References

- A. Sychev, V.I., 1995. Iron compounds and the mechanisms of the homogeneous catalysis of the activation of O₂ and H₂O₂ and of the oxidation of organic substrates. *Russ. Chem. Rev.* 65, 1105–1129.
- Azevedo, E.B., Tôres, a. R., Aquino Neto, F.R., Dezotti, M., 2009. TiO₂-Photocatalyzed degradation of phenol in saline media in an annular reactor: Hydrodynamics, lumped kinetics, intermediates, and acute toxicity. *Brazilian J. Chem. Eng.* 26, 75–87. doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2004.06.014
- Bali, U., Çatalkaya, E.Ç., Şengül, F., 2003. Photochemical Degradation and Mineralization of Phenol: A Comparative Study. *J. Environ. Sci. Heal. Part A* 38, 2259–2275. doi:10.1081/ESE-120023373
- Benatti, C.T., Tavares, C.R.G., 2012. Fenton's process for the treatment of mixed waste chemicals, in: *Organic Pollutants Ten Years After the Stockholm Convention - Environmental and Analytical Update*. InTech, pp. 247–70.
- Benitez, F.J., Beltran-Heredia, J., Torregrosa, J., Acero, J.L., 1999. Treatment of olive mill wastewaters by ozonation, aerobic degradation and the combination of both treatments. *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.* 74, 639–646. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-4660(199907)74:7<639::AID-JCTB92>3.0.CO;2-I
- Benkelberg, H.-J., Warneck, P., 1995. Photodecomposition of Iron(III) Hydroxo and Sulfato Complexes in Aqueous Solution: Wavelength Dependence of OH and $\text{SO}_4^{\cdot-}$ Quantum Yields. *J. Phys. Chem.* 99, 5214–5221. doi:10.1021/j100014a049
- Bergmann, M.E.H., Koparal, a. S., Iourtchouk, T., 2014. Electrochemical Advanced Oxidation Processes, Formation of Halogenate and Perhalogenate Species: A Critical Review. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 348–390. doi:10.1080/10643389.2012.718948
- Bolton, J.R., Bircher, K.G., Tumas, W., Tolman, C.A., 2001. Figures-of-merit for the technical development and application of advanced oxidation technologies for both electric- and solar-driven systems. *Pure Appl. Chem.* 73, 627–637. doi:10.1351/pac200173040627

- Bremner, D.H., Burgess, A.E., Houllé, D., Namkung, K.-C., 2006. Phenol degradation using hydroxyl radicals generated from zero-valent iron and hydrogen peroxide. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 63, 15–19. doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2005.09.005
- Brillas, E., 2014. Electro-Fenton, UVA Photoelectro-Fenton and Solar Photoelectro-Fenton Treatments of Organics in Waters Using a Boron-Doped Diamond Anode: A Review. *J. Mex. Chem. Soc.* 58, 239–255.
- Brillas, E., Garcia-Segura, S., 2020. Benchmarking recent advances and innovative technology approaches of Fenton, photo-Fenton, electro-Fenton, and related processes: A review on the relevance of phenol as model molecule. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 237, 116337. doi:10.1016/j.seppur.2019.116337
- Brillas, E., Sirés, I., Oturan, M.A., 2009. Electro-Fenton process and related electrochemical technologies based on Fenton's reaction chemistry. *Chem. Rev.* 109, 6570–6631. doi:10.1007/s00894-008-0358-0
- Buxton, G. V, Greenstock, C.L., Helman, W.P., Ross, A.B., 1988. Critical review of rate constants for reactions of hydrated electrons, hydrogen atoms and hydroxyl radicals ($\bullet\text{OH}/\bullet\text{O}^-$) in aqueous solution. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. data* 17, 513–886.
- Cañizares, P., Paz, R., Sáez, C., Rodrigo, M. a, 2009. Costs of the electrochemical oxidation of wastewaters: a comparison with ozonation and Fenton oxidation processes. *J. Environ. Manage.* 90, 410–20. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2007.10.010
- Castillo-Carvajal, L.C., Sanz-Martin, J.L., Barragan-Huerta, B.E., 2014. Biodegradation of organic pollutants in saline wastewater by halophilic microorganisms: A review. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 21, 9578–9588. doi:10.1007/s11356-014-3036-z
- Chen, R., Pignatello, J.J., 1997. Role of Quinone Intermediates as Electron Shuttles in Fenton and Photoassisted Fenton Oxidations of Aromatic Compounds. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 31, 2399–2406. doi:10.1021/es9610646
- Degen, J., Nussberger, F.E., 1956. Notes on the Determination of Suspended Solids. *Sewage Ind. Waste.* 28, 237–240.

- Deng, F., Olvera-Vargas, H., Garcia-Rodriguez, O., Qiu, S., Yang, J., Lefebvre, O., 2018. The synergistic effect of nickel-iron-foam and tripolyphosphate for enhancing the electro-Fenton process at circum-neutral pH. *Chemosphere* 201, 687–696. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.02.186
- Flox, C., Garrido, J.A., Rodríguez, R.M., Cabot, P.-L., Centellas, F., Arias, C., Brillas, E., 2007. Mineralization of herbicide mecoprop by photoelectro-Fenton with UVA and solar light. *Catal. Today* 129, 29–36. doi:10.1016/j.cattod.2007.06.049
- Ganiyu, S.O., Zhou, M., Martínez-huitle, C.A., 2018. Heterogeneous electro-Fenton and photoelectro-Fenton processes : A critical review of fundamental principles and application for water / wastewater treatment. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 235, 103–129. doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2018.04.044
- Garcia-Rodriguez, O., Mousset, E., Olvera-Vargas, H., Lefebvre, O., 2020. Electrochemical treatment of highly concentrated wastewater: A review of experimental and modeling approaches from lab- to full-scale. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 0, 1–70. doi:10.1080/10643389.2020.1820428
- Ghatak, H.R., 2013. Advanced Oxidation Processes for the Treatment of Biorecalcitrant Organics in Wastewater. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 3389, 130828223136006. doi:10.1080/10643389.2013.763581
- Girish, C.R., Murty, V.R., 2014. Adsorption of phenol from aqueous solution using Lantana camara, forest waste: kinetics, isotherm, and thermodynamic studies. *Int. Sch. Res. Not.* 1–16. doi:10.1007/s40710-015-0117-z
- Goi, A., Trapido, M., 2002. Hydrogen peroxide photolysis, Fenton reagent and photo-Fenton for the degradation of nitrophenols: A comparative study. *Chemosphere* 46, 913–922. doi:10.1016/S0045-6535(01)00203-X
- Huddersman, K., Ekpruke, A., Asuelimen, L., 2019. Application of AOPs in the treatment of OSPAR chemicals and a comparative cost analysis. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 277–317. doi:10.1080/10643389.2018.1537770
- Leblebici, M.E., Stefanidis, G.D., Van Gerven, T., 2015. Comparison of photocatalytic space-time yields of 12 reactor designs for wastewater treatment. *Chem. Eng. Process. Process Intensif.* 97, 106–111.

doi:10.1016/j.cep.2015.09.009

- Lee, Y., Gerrity, D., Lee, M., Gamage, S., Pisarenko, A., Trenholm, R.A., Canonica, S., Snyder, S.A., Von Gunten, U., 2016. Organic Contaminant Abatement in Reclaimed Water by UV/H₂O₂ and a Combined Process Consisting of O₃/H₂O₂ Followed by UV/H₂O₂: Prediction of Abatement Efficiency, Energy Consumption, and Byproduct Formation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 50, 3809–3819. doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b04904
- Lefebvre, O., Shi, X., Tein, J.G., Ng, H.Y., 2013. Suitability of ozone pre-treatment for amoxicillin wastewater. *Water Sci. Technol.* 68, 2492–6. doi:10.2166/wst.2013.534
- Liu, W., Andrews, S. a., Stefan, M.I., Bolton, J.R., 2003. Optimal methods for quenching H₂O₂ residuals prior to UFC testing. *Water Res.* 37, 3697–3703. doi:10.1016/S0043-1354(03)00264-1
- Lucas, M.S., Peres, J. a., Li Puma, G., 2010. Treatment of winery wastewater by ozone-based advanced oxidation processes (O₃, O₃/UV and O₃/UV/H₂O₂) in a pilot-scale bubble column reactor and process economics. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 72, 235–241. doi:10.1016/j.seppur.2010.01.016
- Maciel, R., Sant’Anna Jr., G.L., Dezotti, M., 2004. Phenol removal from high salinity effluents using Fenton’s reagent and photo-Fenton reactions. *Chemosphere* 57, 711–719. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2004.07.032
- Martínez-Huitle, C.A., Rodrigo, M.A., Sirés, I., Scialdone, O., 2015. Single and coupled electrochemical processes and reactors for the abatement of organic water pollutants : A critical review. *Chem. Rev.* 115, 13362–13407. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00361
- Miklos, D.B., Remy, C., Jekel, M., Linden, K.G., Drewes, J.E., Hübner, U., 2018. Evaluation of advanced oxidation processes for water and wastewater treatment – A critical review. *Water Res.* 139, 118–131. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2018.03.042
- Moreira, F.C., Boaventura, R.A.R., Brillas, E., Vilar, V.J.P., 2017. Electrochemical advanced oxidation processes: A review on their application to synthetic and real wastewaters. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 202, 217–261. doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2016.08.037

- Mousset, E., Frunzo, L., Esposito, G., Hullebusch, E.D. van, Oturan, N., Oturan, M.A., 2016a. A complete phenol oxidation pathway obtained during electro-Fenton treatment and validated by a kinetic model study. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 180, 189–198. doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2015.06.014
- Mousset, E., Huang Weiqi, V., Foong Yang Kai, B., Koh, J.S., Tng, J.W., Wang, Z., Lefebvre, O., 2017a. A new 3D-printed photoelectrocatalytic reactor combining the benefits of a transparent electrode and the Fenton reaction for advanced wastewater treatment. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 5, 24951–24964. doi:10.1039/C7TA08182K
- Mousset, E., Oturan, N., Oturan, M.A., 2018a. An unprecedented route of OH radical reactivity evidenced by an electrocatalytical process: Ipso-substitution with perhalogenocarbon compounds. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 226, 135–146. doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.12.028
- Mousset, E., Oturan, N., van Hullebusch, E.D., Guibaud, G., Esposito, G., Oturan, M.A., 2014. Treatment of synthetic soil washing solutions containing phenanthrene and cyclodextrin by electro-oxidation. Influence of anode materials on toxicity removal and biodegradability enhancement. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 160–161, 666–675. doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2014.06.018
- Mousset, E., Pechaud, Y., Oturan, N., Oturan, M.A., 2019. Charge transfer/mass transport competition in advanced hybrid electrocatalytic wastewater treatment: Development of a new current efficiency relation. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 240, 102–111. doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2018.08.055
- Mousset, E., Pontvianne, S., Pons, M.-N., 2018b. Fate of inorganic nitrogen species under homogeneous Fenton combined with electro-oxidation/reduction treatments in synthetic solutions and reclaimed municipal wastewater. *Chemosphere* 201, 6–12. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.02.142
- Mousset, E., Quackenbush, L., Schondek, C., Gerardin-Vergne, A., Pontvianne, S., Kmietek, S., Pons, M.N., 2020. Effect of homogeneous Fenton combined with electron transfer on the fate of inorganic chlorinated species in synthetic and reclaimed municipal wastewater. *Electrochim. Acta* 334, 135608. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2019.135608

- Mousset, E., Trellu, C., Olvera-Vargas, H., Pechaud, Y., Fourcade, F., Oturan, M.A., 2021. Electrochemical technologies coupled with biological treatments. *Curr. Opin. Electrochem.* 26, 100668. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coelec.2020.100668>
- Mousset, E., Wang, Z., Hammaker, J., Lefebvre, O., 2017b. Electrocatalytic phenol degradation by a novel nanostructured carbon fiber brush cathode coated with graphene ink. *Electrochim. Acta* 258, 607–617. doi:[10.1016/j.electacta.2017.11.104](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2017.11.104)
- Mousset, E., Wang, Z., Hammaker, J., Lefebvre, O., 2016b. Physico-chemical properties of pristine graphene and its performance as electrode material for electro-Fenton treatment of wastewater. *Electrochim. Acta* 214, 217–230. doi:[10.1016/j.electacta.2016.08.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2016.08.002)
- Mousset, E., Wang, Z., Lefebvre, O., 2016c. Electro-Fenton for control and removal of micropollutants - process optimization and energy efficiency. *Water Sci. Technol.* 74, 2068–2074.
- Mousset, E., Wang, Z., Olvera-Vargas, H., Lefebvre, O., 2018c. Advanced electrocatalytic pre-treatment to improve the biodegradability of real wastewater from the electronics industry — A detailed investigation study. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 360, 552–559. doi:[10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.08.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.08.023)
- Mvula, E., Von Sonntag, C., 2003. Ozonolysis of phenols in aqueous solution. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 1, 1749–1756. doi:[10.1039/b301824p](https://doi.org/10.1039/b301824p)
- Nidheesh, P. V., Divyapriya, G., Oturan, N., Trellu, C., Oturan, M.A., 2019. Environmental applications of boron-doped diamond electrodes: 1. Applications in water and wastewater treatment. *ChemElectroChem* 6, 1–20. doi:[10.1002/celec.201801876](https://doi.org/10.1002/celec.201801876)
- Okamoto, K., Yamamoto, Y., Tanaka, H., Tanaka, M., Itaya, A., 1985. Heterogeneous photocatalytic decomposition of phenol over TiO₂ powder. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 58, 2015–2022.
- Oller, I., Malato, S., Sánchez-Pérez, J.A., 2011. Combination of Advanced Oxidation Processes and biological treatments for wastewater decontamination-A review. *Sci. Total Environ.* 409, 4141–4166.

doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.08.061

- Olvera-Vargas, H., Cocerva, T., Oturan, N., Buisson, D., Oturan, M.A., 2016. Bioelectro-Fenton: A sustainable integrated process for removal of organic pollutants from water: Application to mineralization of metoprolol. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 319, 13–23. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.12.010
- Oturan, M.A., Aaron, J.-J., 2014. Advanced Oxidation Processes in Water/Wastewater Treatment: Principles and Applications. A Review. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 2577–2641. doi:10.1080/10643389.2013.829765
- Panizza, M., Cerisola, G., 2009. Direct and mediated anodic oxidation of organic pollutants. *Chem. Rev.* 109, 6541–6569. doi:10.1021/cr9001319
- Panizza, M., Michaud, P. a., Cerisola, G., Comninellis, C., 2001. Anodic oxidation of 2-naphthol at boron-doped diamond electrodes. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 507, 206–214. doi:10.1016/S0022-0728(01)00398-9
- Pignatello, J.J., Liu, D., Huston, P., 1999. Evidence for an additional oxidant in the photoassisted Fenton reaction. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 33, 1832–1839. doi:10.1021/es980969b
- Pimentel, M., Oturan, N., Dezotti, M., Oturan, M.A., 2008. Phenol degradation by advanced electrochemical oxidation process electro-Fenton using a carbon felt cathode. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 83, 140–149. doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2008.02.011
- Prieto-Rodríguez, L., Oller, I., Klammerth, N., Agüera, A., Rodríguez, E.M., Malato, S., 2013. Application of solar AOPs and ozonation for elimination of micropollutants in municipal wastewater treatment plant effluents. *Water Res.* 47, 1521–1528. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2012.11.002
- Rahmani, A., Samadi, M., Enayati Moafagh, a, 2008. Investigation of Photocatalytic Degradation of Phenol by UV/TiO₂ Process in Aquatic Solutions. *J. Res. Health Sci.* 8, 55–60.
- Rakness, K., Gordon, G., Langlais, B., 1996. Guideline for measurement of ozone concentration in the process gas from an ozone generator.

- Rizzo, L., Gernjak, W., Krzeminski, P., Malato, S., McArdell, C.S., Perez, J.A.S., Schaar, H., Fatta-Kassinos, D., 2020. Best available technologies and treatment trains to address current challenges in urban wastewater reuse for irrigation of crops in EU countries. *Sci. Total Environ.* 710, 136312. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.136312
- Rodríguez, M., 2003. Fenton and UV-vis based advanced oxidation processes in wastewater treatment: Degradation, mineralization and biodegradability enhancement 296.
- Ruppert, G., Bauer, R., Heisler, G., 1993. The photo-Fenton reaction — an effective photochemical wastewater treatment process. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem.* 73, 75–78. doi:10.1016/1010-6030(93)80035-8
- Saien, J., Shahrezaei, F., 2012. Organic pollutants removal from petroleum refinery wastewater with nanotitania photocatalyst and UV light emission. *Int. J. Photoenergy* 2012. doi:10.1155/2012/703074
- Sgroi, M., Snyder, S.A., Roccaro, P., 2021. Comparison of AOPs at pilot scale: Energy costs for micro-pollutants oxidation, disinfection by-products formation and pathogens inactivation. *Chemosphere* 273, 128527. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.128527
- Sirés, I., Brillas, E., Oturan, M.A., Rodrigo, M.A., Panizza, M., 2014. Electrochemical advanced oxidation processes: today and tomorrow. A review. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 21, 8336–8367. doi:10.1007/s11356-014-2783-1
- Stefan, M.I. (Ed.), 2017. *Advanced oxidation processes for water treatment: fundamentals and applications*. IWA Publishing, London, UK.
- Sun, Y., Pignatello, J.J., 1993. Photochemical reactions involved in the total mineralization of 2,4-D by iron(3+)/hydrogen peroxide/UV. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 27, 304–310. doi:10.1021/es00039a010
- Wang, W., Serp, P., Kalck, P., Faria, J.L., 2005. Visible light photodegradation of phenol on MWNT-TiO₂ composite catalysts prepared by a modified sol-gel method. *J. Mol. Catal. A Chem.* 235, 194–199. doi:10.1016/j.molcata.2005.02.027

Yao, W., Ur Rehman, S.W., Wang, H., Yang, H., Yu, G., Wang, Y., 2018. Pilot-scale evaluation of micropollutant abatements by conventional ozonation, UV/O₃, and an electro-peroxone process. *Water Res.* 138, 106–117. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2018.03.044