Low-Profile 3D Microelectrodes with Near-Uniform Current Density for High-Resolution Neural Stimulation

Denys Nikolayev, Wout Joseph, Emmeric Tanghe, Marleen Welkenhuysen,
Carolina Mora Lopez, Thomas Tarnaud, Luc Martens

► To cite this version:

Denys Nikolayev, Wout Joseph, Emmeric Tanghe, Marleen Welkenhuysen, Carolina Mora Lopez, et al..
Low-Profile 3D Microelectrodes with Near-Uniform Current Density for High-Resolution Neural Stimulation. Brain Stimulation, 2019, 12 (4), pp.e155-e157. 10.1016/j.brs.2019.03.021 . hal-03376863

HAL Id: hal-03376863

<https://hal.science/hal-03376863>

Submitted on 18 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

PROCEEDINGS #63: LOW-PROFILE 3D MICROELECTRODES WITH NEAR-UNIFORM CURRENT DENSITY FOR HIGH-RESOLUTION NEURAL STIMULATION

Denys Nikolayev^{1,2}, Wout Joseph¹, Emmeric Tanghe¹, Marleen Welkenhuysen², Carolina Mora Lopez², Thomas Tarnaud¹, Luc Martens¹. ¹INTEC, imec/Ghent University, 9052 Ghent, Belgium; ²imec, 3001, Heverlee, Belgium

Abstract

Background: Uniform current density electrodes can reduce the risk of electrode corrosion and tissue damage, but existing geometries do not suit thin planar leads.

Objectives: This study examines current density distribution (CDD) on low-profile electrode designs and proposes an approach to obtain optimal geometries with near-uniform CDD requiring reduced recession depths.

Methods: The electrodes are defined as parametric curves, analyzed using the quasi-static approach, and optimized using the downhill simplex method.

Results: Obtained electrode designs with near-uniform CDD remain low profile and require twice as less recession depths comparing to reference designs.

Conclusion: These electrode shapes are suitable for miniature high-resolution planar DBS leads.

Introduction

Deep brain stimulation (DBS) is an established technique helping us to improve lives and to study the brain. Miniature high-resolution planar shanks [1] are a promising technology that can increase the spatial precision of DBS and hence lessen DBS-induced side effects. However, due to the small electrode surface sizes ($S \lesssim 10^4 \mu\text{m}^2$), the volume of tissue activated (VTA) is usually insufficient. From the point of view of electric field E and potential V distribution in electrolytes, one can effectively represent any microelectrode as a point source at distances as little as $50 \mu\text{m}$ [2] (cellular level). For a given electrode surface area, VTA depend mainly on the amount of charge that can be safely injected into electrolyte through capacitive transfer and reversible faradic reactions [3].

The electrode shape affects the spatial distribution of current density $|J|$ on the electrode surface that is a cofactor with charge in stimulation induced neural damage [4]. Under stationary conditions, any planar electrode–insulator pair has a non-uniform charge and thus $|J|$ distributions with their maximums at the electrode edges (Fig. 1A). This may lead to localized electrode corrosion or tissue burns at the edges (Fig. 1B) [3]. A few studies quantified how the electrode shape affect its performance and safety [5–8]. However, to the best of our knowledge, none of these studies focused on electrode shapes for thin planar shanks. Uniformizing $|J|$ distributions can help maximizing the effectiveness of neural stimulation while avoiding tissue damage [9].

A few fundamental shapes give uniform $|J|$ over their surfaces: a conductive sphere, a conductive half-sphere over an infinite insulator, and a disc electrode that is infinitely recessed into an insulator. However, these approaches are incompatible with thin planar shanks (thickness $\sim 20 \mu\text{m}$). A

more appropriate solution was proposed by Ksienski in [10]. It combines a spheroid-like shape of radius a recessed into a substrate to a depth $d = 0.2077a$ (Fig. 1C–E). Still, to ensure the uniform CDD on electrodes of a 100- μm -wide lead ($a \approx 45 \mu\text{m}$), $d = 9.3 \mu\text{m}$ remains unacceptable due to manufacturing and mechanical constraints. Consequently, the maximum recession depth should be limited to a few μm .

In this paper, we characterize, at first, the effect of radius a on the average current density $|\bar{J}|$ of Ksienski's electrodes on a finite-sized planar shank in order to establish the reference values of $|\bar{J}|$. Next, using optimization methods, we develop electrodes with near-uniform current density distributions (CDD) that require reduced (1–3 μm for $a = 45 \mu\text{m}$) recession depths.

Methods

We use a quasi-static formulation [11] in cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ, z) to study the current density J over the electrodes using the fully *hp*-adaptive finite element method (*hp*-FEM) [12]. Considering the axial symmetry of the studied electrodes (e.g. Fig. 1E), we reduce the spatial dimensionality of the problem to $\mathbb{R}^2$ [i.e. potential $V(\phi) = \text{const.}$]. The brain tissue is assumed to have homogeneous and isotropic properties with the conductivity $\sigma = 0.1 \text{ S}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}$.

The Laplace's equation $\nabla \cdot J(r, z) = 0$ governs distributions of the potential V , electric field $E = -\nabla V$, and the current density $J = (\sigma + j\omega\epsilon_0\epsilon_r)E$, where ω is the angular frequency and $\epsilon_0 \approx 8.85 \times 10^{-12} \text{ F}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}$. A unitary potential $V_0 = 1 \text{ (V)}$ models the electrode that extends up to a radius $r < a$ (Fig. 1C). The Neumann boundary condition $n \cdot J = 0$ represents the perfect insulator ($r \geq a$). A quadrant of a radius $r = 20a$ truncates the computational domain with a Dirichlet boundary condition $V = 0$ (Fig. 1C). To avoid the singularity in the model (Fig. 1D), we round the corner formed by the insulator with a radius of $0.05d$.

The electrode and the shank are defined as analytic curves and optimized numerically to achieve uniform current density (UCD) distributions. Cubic Bézier curves [13] model the electrode surface spanning between the starting point ($r = 0, z = 0$), and the ending point ($a, -d$). The starting point is normal to the z -axis. Therefore, four parameters a, e_z, e_r , and c_r (Fig. 1D) define the parametric space for each Bézier curve section. We study electrodes containing n [from one (Fig. 1D) to four] Bézier sections. A 2- μm -thick wall of insulator separates the sections. The curve shape is optimized in terms of $\Sigma_n(a, e_z, e_r, c_r)_n$ using the downhill simplex method in order to achieve the near-uniform CDD. Considering that the charge is conserved [14], we set the objective to minimize the $\max_a |\bar{J}|$.

Results and Discussion

First, we characterize a widely used square planar electrode of area $10^{-4} \mu\text{m}^2$ and 1- μm thickness for reference purposes. Fig. 1A shows the charge density distribution over the electrode for $V_0 = 1 \text{ V}$ (the edges are beveled with a radius of $0.3 \mu\text{m}$ to avoid singularity). Indeed, we see the under-utilization of the electrode surface with the charge stored and being injected mostly from the vertices. The distribution of non-reversible redox currents agrees well with CDD (Fig. 1B).

On the other hand, $|\bar{J}|$ of a planar disk electrode peaks uniformly at the edge; $\max |\bar{J}|$ is about one order of magnitude lower than for the square electrode of the same $10^{-4} \mu\text{m}^2$ surface area. Yet, the edge-to-center ration of $|\bar{J}|$ is about nine.

The current density induced by a UCD electrode [$|\bar{J}|(r) = \text{const.}$, $\text{SD} < 0.1\%$] depends on its radius a (Fig. 1F) and can be accurately predicted as $|\bar{J}| [\text{A}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}] = 10.31a[\mu\text{m}]^{-0.947}$ (adj. $R^2 = 0.9997$). However, for sufficiently large electrodes ($a > 15 \mu\text{m}$), this design requires recession depths $d > 3 \mu\text{m}$ that exceed the capabilities of the manufacturing process. Therefore, we optimize the UCD design ($a = 45 \mu\text{m}$, Fig. 1E) by splitting it into n concentric sections of radii a_n separated by two- μm -thick insulators. The obtained surface is then optimized in terms of $\Sigma_n(a, e_z, e_r, c_r)_n$ using the downhill simplex method that minimizes $\max |\bar{J}|$.

Fig. 1G compares an optimized shape ($n = 2, d = 2.3 \mu\text{m}$, 2- μm -wide insulator at $a_1 = 27 \mu\text{m}$) to a UCD and a planar disk designs of the same radius. Peak $|\bar{J}| = 0.54 \text{ A}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$ is a 74% decrease comparing to a disk electrode, but about twice that of the UCD. The optimized design could be realized on a miniature high-resolution planar shank, as it requires $d \leq 3 \mu\text{m}$. The effect of the proposed electrodes on safe charge injection limits is to be characterized in future studies.

References

- [1] Lopez CM, Putzeys J, Raducanu BC, Ballini M, Wang S, Andrei A, et al. A neural probe with up to 966 electrodes and up to 384 configurable channels in 0.13 μm SOI CMOS. *IEEE Trans Biomed Circuits Syst* 2017;11:510–22. doi:10.1109/TBCAS.2016.2646901.
- [2] Gimsa J, Habel B, Schreiber U, Rienen U van, Strauss U, Gimsa U. Choosing electrodes for deep brain stimulation experiments—electrochemical considerations. *J Neurosci Methods* 2005;142:251–65. doi:10.1016/j.jneumeth.2004.09.001.
- [3] Merrill DR, Bikson M, Jefferys JGR. Electrical stimulation of excitable tissue: design of efficacious and safe protocols. *J*

Figure 1. Electrodes for a planar high-resolution DBS lead ($V_0 = 1 \text{ V}$). **a.** Surface charge density ρ_q distribution over a square $S = 10^{-4} \mu\text{m}^2$ electrode. **b.** Microphotograph of a $10^{-4} \mu\text{m}^2$ TiN electrode corroded at edges due to voltage-transient measurements *in vitro* [$\max(I) = 1 \text{ mA}$]. The pattern of damage agrees well with ρ_q or, equivalently, $|\bar{J}|$ distributions. **c.** Formulation of the problem. **d.** Definition of the electrode geometry and parameters. **e.** UCD electrode of radius a recessed to a depth $d = 0.2077a$ [10]. **f.** Average current density $|\bar{J}|$ of a UCD electrode depends on radius a . **g.** Current density distributions over radial components of $a = 45 \mu\text{m}$ electrodes: optimized ($d = 2.3 \mu\text{m}$, $\max |\bar{J}| = 0.54 \text{ A}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$), disk ($\max |\bar{J}| = 2.1 \text{ A}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$), and UCD [10] ($d = 9.3 \mu\text{m}$).

- Neurosci Methods 2005;141:171–98. doi:10.1016/j.jneumeth.2004.10.020.
- [4] McCreery DB, Agnew WF, Yuen TG, Bullara L. Charge density and charge per phase as cofactors in neural injury induced by electrical stimulation. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 1990;37:996–1001.
- [5] McIntyre CC, Grill WM. Finite element analysis of the current-density and electric field generated by metal microelectrodes. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering* 2001;29:227–35. doi:10.1114/1.1352640.
- [6] Wei XF, Grill WM. Current density distributions, field distributions and impedance analysis of segmented deep brain stimulation electrodes. *J Neural Eng* 2005;2:139–47. doi:10.1088/1741-2560/2/4/010.
- [7] Butson CR, McIntyre CC. Role of electrode design on the volume of tissue activated during deep brain stimulation. *J Neural Eng* 2006;3:1–8. doi:10.1088/1741-2560/3/1/001.
- [8] Howell B, Grill WM. Evaluation of high-perimeter electrode designs for deep brain stimulation. *J Neural Eng* 2014;11:046026. doi:10.1088/1741-2560/11/4/046026.
- [9] Wang B, Petrossians A, Weiland JD. Reduction of edge effect on disk electrodes by optimized current waveform. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2014;61:2254–63. doi:10.1109/TBME.2014.2300860.
- [10] Ksienski DA. A minimum profile uniform current density electrode. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 1992;39:682–92. doi:10.1109/10.142643.
- [11] Bossetti CA, Birdno MJ, Grill WM. Analysis of the quasi-static approximation for calculating potentials generated by neural stimulation. *J Neural Eng* 2008;5:44. doi:10.1088/1741-2560/5/1/005.
- [12] Karban P, Mach F, Kùs P, Pánek D, Doležal I. Numerical solution of coupled problems using code Agros2D. *Computing* 2013;95:381–408. doi:10.1007/s00607-013-0294-4.
- [13] Farin G. Algorithms for rational Bézier curves. *Computer-Aided Design* 1983;15:73–7. doi:10.1016/0010-4485(83)90171-9).
- [14] Jackson JD. *Classical electrodynamics*. 3rd ed. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons; 1999.