Two Tetrahalooxomolybdate(V) Structures, [Ph₃PNPPh₃][MoOBr₄] and [PPh₄][MoOI₄(H₂O)]

James C Fethnger, Sundeep P Matramana, Rinaldo Poli

► To cite this version:

James C Fethnger, Sundeep P Matramana, Rinaldo Poli. Two Tetrahalooxomolybdate(V) Structures, [Ph₃PNPPh₃][MoOBr₄] and [PPh₄][MoOI₄(H₂O)]. Acta Crystallographica Section C: Crystal Structure Communications [1968-2013], 1998, 54 (2), pp.184-187. 10.1107/S0108270197010718 . hal-03368348

HAL Id: hal-03368348

<https://hal.science/hal-03368348>

Submitted on 8 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Two Tetrahalooxomolybdate(V) Structures, $[\text{Ph}_3\text{PNPPh}_3][\text{MoOBr}_4]$ and $[\text{PPh}_4][\text{MoOL}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})]$

JAMES C. FETTINGER, SUNDEEP P. MATTAMANA AND RINALDO POLI

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA. E-mail: jf96@umail.umd.edu

(Received 17 October 1996; accepted 30 July 1997)

Abstract

Both title compounds, bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium tetrabromooxomolybdate(V), $(\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{30}\text{NP}_2)[\text{MoOBr}_4]$, and tetraphenylphosphonium aquatetraiodooxomolybdate(V), $(\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{20}\text{P})[\text{MoOL}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})]$, form by air oxidation of solutions containing the $[\text{Mo}_3\text{X}_{12}]^{3-}$ anion, i.e. the bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium salt for $\text{X} = \text{Br}$ and the tetraphenylphosphonium salt for $\text{X} = \text{I}$. Both anions have been reported previously in different salts. The geometry of the tetrabromooxomolybdate(V) anion is square pyramidal with the oxo ligand occupying the apical position, whereas the aquatetraiodooxomolybdate(V) anion can be described as a pseudo-octahedron with the aqua and oxo ligands occupying *trans* positions.

Comment

The bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium tetrabromooxomolybdate(V) salt, (1), crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $\text{C}2/c$ with the central N atom of the $[\text{Ph}_3\text{PNPPh}_3]^+$ cation located on a twofold axis. The

$[\text{MoOBr}_4]^-$ anion is composed of a half-occupancy central Mo atom, two Br atoms and a single half-occupancy O atom located in close proximity to an inversion center. The inversion center generates two half-occupancy $[\text{MoOBr}_4]$ molecules. This molecule may be described as a central Mo atom with five terminal lig-

Fig. 1. The molecular configuration and labeling scheme for $[\text{Ph}_3\text{PNPPh}_3][\text{MoOBr}_4]$, indicating the ordered cation and the 50:50 disorder of the anion around the inversion center. Ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

ands, namely an oxo and four Br atoms, as shown in Fig. 1.

The tetraphenylphosphonium aquatetraiodooxomolybdate(V) salt, (2), crystallizes in the tetragonal space group $P4/n$ with the cation occupying a 4 position. The anion is located on a fourfold axis with a major and a minor orientation in such a way that, as for the $[\text{MoOBr}_4]^-$ anion, full-occupancy halogen atoms result from the overlapping of the two moieties. Two sets of Mo, O(oxo) and O(aqua) atoms are axially located on either side of the plane described by the four I atoms in a refined ratio of 0.71:0.29 (Fig. 2).

Fig. 2. The molecular configuration and labeling scheme for the disordered $[\text{PPh}_4][\text{MoOL}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})]$ complex, showing the ordered cation and emphasizing the relative placement of the two orientations of the anion (major:minor = 0.71:0.29). Ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

The most interesting feature of each of these structures is the Mo—O(oxo) distance. In a recent contribution, where we reported the structure of base-free tetra-

iodomolybdate(V) (Gordon *et al.*, 1995) with an Mo—O distance of 1.61 (2) Å, we pointed out that the corresponding distance in a previously reported [MoOBr₄][−] salt, *i.e.* with the [PPh₄]⁺ counterion (Schumaker *et al.*, 1982), was too long [1.73 (1) Å], a possible reason being compositional disorder with other unknown species. For compound (1), this distance is 1.636 (4) Å, in agreement with previous results. The corresponding tetrachlorooxomolybdate(V) also shows a shorter Mo—O(oxo) distance, *e.g.* 1.61 (1) Å in the tetraphenylarsonium salt (Garner *et al.*, 1977) and 1.646 (6) Å in the bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium salt (Blake *et al.*, 1995); this latter compound and the tetrabromooxomolybdate(V) salt reported here are not isomorphous.

The Mo—O(oxo) distance in compound (2) is less reliable, given the partial overlap of electron densities between the oxo and aqua O atoms from the two disordered fragments (Fig. 2). In a previously reported structure containing the same anion, [(C₂H₅)₄N][MoO₄(H₂O)], such a distance was found to be 1.65 (1) Å (Bino & Cotton, 1979).

The average Mo—Br distance of 2.4802 (6) Å in compound (1) compares well with the distance of 2.469 (1) Å found for the same bond in the corresponding tetraphenylphosphonium salt (Schumaker *et al.*, 1982). The average Mo—I distance of 2.735 (3) Å in compound (2) compares well with the distance of 2.76 (1) Å found for the same bond in the corresponding tetraethylammonium salt (Bino & Cotton, 1979).

As has been discussed previously (Gordon *et al.*, 1995), coordination of an axial ligand to the tetrahalooxomolybdate(V) moiety reduces the O(oxo)—Mo—X angle. Accordingly, the O(oxo)—Mo—I angle in compound (2) [97.75 (6)° for the major orientation] is significantly less than the average O—Mo—Br angle in compound (1) [102.9 (6)°], and the *trans*-X—Mo—X bond angle is greater in compound (2) [164.49 (12)°] than in compound (1) [average 154.17 (5)°]. Similar values are found for the other base-free and base adducts of the same class (Gordon *et al.*, 1995).

Experimental

[Ph₃PNPPh₃]₃[Mo₃Br₁₂] was prepared by dissolution of [Ph₃PNPPh₃]₃[MoBr₄(thf)₂] (thf is tetrahydrofuran) in dichloromethane. Crystallization of this solution by cooling to 253 K afforded crystals of [Ph₃PNPPh₃]₃[MoOBr₄]. [PPh₄]₃[Mo₃I₁₂] was prepared as described previously (Gordon *et al.*, 1995). Crystallization of a dilute dichloromethane solution by diffusion of a heptane layer afforded crystals of [PPh₄]₃[MoO₄(H₂O)].

Compound (1)

Crystal data

(C₃₆H₃₀NP₂)[MoOBr₄]
M_r = 970.13

Mo Kα radiation
λ = 0.71073 Å

Monoclinic

C2/c

a = 27.960 (3) Å

b = 9.1643 (8) Å

c = 18.488 (2) Å

β = 131.137 (8)°

V = 3567.8 (6) Å³

Z = 4

D_x = 1.806 Mg m^{−3}

Cell parameters from 25 reflections

θ = 10.7–18.1°

μ = 4.967 mm^{−1}

T = 153 (2) K

Block

0.55 × 0.45 × 0.45 mm

Brown–yellow

Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer

ω scans

Absorption correction:

ψ scans (North, Phillips & Mathews, 1968)

T_{min} = 0.085, T_{max} = 0.107

3211 measured reflections

3142 independent reflections

2624 reflections with

I > 2σ(I)

R_{int} = 0.020

θ_{max} = 24.98°

h = 0 → 33

k = 0 → 10

l = −21 → 16

3 standard reflections

frequency: 60 min

intensity decay: none

Refinement

Refinement on F²

R[F² > 2σ(F²)] = 0.029

wR(F²) = 0.070

S = 1.115

3142 reflections

274 parameters

All H atoms refined

w = 1/[σ²(F_o²) + (0.0316P)²

+ 8.5059P]

where P = (F_o² + 2F_c²)/3

(Δ/σ)_{max} < 0.001

Δρ_{max} = 0.563 e Å^{−3}

Δρ_{min} = −0.523 e Å^{−3}

Extinction correction:

SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993)

Extinction coefficient:

0.00013 (6)

Scattering factors from

International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$$U_{eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U^{ij} a_i^* a_j^* a_i \cdot a_j$$

	x	y	z	U _{eq}
Mo1†	0.27240 (3)	0.28171 (6)	0.02523 (4)	0.01996 (15)
Br1	0.23420 (2)	0.26375 (5)	0.11388 (3)	0.03889 (14)
Br2	0.29523 (2)	0.00942 (4)	0.05741 (3)	0.03816 (14)
O1†	0.3426 (2)	0.3561 (5)	0.1034 (3)	0.0252 (10)
P1	0.50172 (4)	0.87067 (8)	0.33347 (6)	0.0146 (2)
N1	1/2	0.8224 (4)	1/4	0.0202 (8)
C11	0.44031 (14)	0.7738 (3)	0.3196 (2)	0.0163 (6)
C12	0.38655 (15)	0.7294 (4)	0.2286 (2)	0.0214 (7)
C13	0.3394 (2)	0.6520 (4)	0.2163 (3)	0.0248 (8)
C14	0.3457 (2)	0.6181 (4)	0.2948 (3)	0.0284 (8)
C15	0.3982 (2)	0.6620 (4)	0.3847 (3)	0.0304 (8)
C16	0.4457 (2)	0.7401 (4)	0.3983 (2)	0.0250 (8)
C21	0.49200 (14)	1.0628 (3)	0.3395 (2)	0.0169 (6)
C22	0.4365 (2)	1.1180 (4)	0.3133 (2)	0.0232 (7)
C23	0.4295 (2)	1.2676 (4)	0.3148 (3)	0.0309 (8)
C24	0.4770 (2)	1.3601 (4)	0.3420 (3)	0.0313 (9)
C25	0.5328 (2)	1.3054 (4)	0.3682 (3)	0.0281 (8)
C26	0.5407 (2)	1.1567 (4)	0.3675 (2)	0.0217 (7)
C31	0.57546 (14)	0.8177 (3)	0.4480 (2)	0.0166 (6)
C32	0.6061 (2)	0.9001 (4)	0.5312 (2)	0.0237 (7)
C33	0.6617 (2)	0.8513 (4)	0.6167 (3)	0.0306 (8)
C34	0.6869 (2)	0.7202 (4)	0.6207 (3)	0.0313 (9)
C35	0.6576 (2)	0.6368 (4)	0.5405 (3)	0.0292 (8)
C36	0.6017 (2)	0.6839 (4)	0.4535 (3)	0.0230 (7)

† Site occupancy = 0.5.

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters ($\text{\AA}$, $^\circ$) for (2)

Mo1—O1	1.636 (4)	Mo1—Br1 ⁱ	2.4896 (7)
Mo1—Br2 ⁱ	2.4026 (7)	Mo1—Br2	2.5473 (7)
Mo1—Br1	2.4814 (7)		
O1—Mo1—Br2 ⁱ	102.4 (2)	Br1—Mo1—Br1 ⁱ	154.12 (3)
O1—Mo1—Br1	103.4 (2)	O1—Mo1—Br2	103.4 (2)
Br2 ⁱ —Mo1—Br1	88.41 (2)	Br2 ⁱ —Mo1—Br2	154.22 (3)
O1—Mo1—Br1 ⁱ	102.2 (2)	Br1—Mo1—Br2	86.06 (2)
Br2 ⁱ —Mo1—Br1 ⁱ	89.08 (2)	Br1 ⁱ —Mo1—Br2	85.08 (2)

Symmetry code: (i) $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, -z$.

Compound (2)

Crystal data

(C₂₄H₂₀P)[MoO₄(H₂O)] $M_r = 976.93$

Tetragonal

 $P4/n$ $a = 13.258 (2) \text{ \AA}$ $c = 7.8926 (15) \text{ \AA}$ $V = 1387.3 (4) \text{ \AA}^3$ $Z = 2$ $D_x = 2.339 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$

Cell parameters from 25

reflections

 $\theta = 9.3\text{--}18.4^\circ$ $\mu = 5.000 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $T = 153 (2) \text{ K}$

Block

 $0.325 \times 0.150 \times 0.125 \text{ mm}$

Dark brown

Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4

diffractometer

 $\omega/2\theta$ scans

Absorption correction:

by integration

 $T_{\min} = 0.477, T_{\max} = 0.562$

1335 measured reflections

1225 independent reflections

1031 reflections with

 $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.040$ $\theta_{\max} = 24.96^\circ$ $h = -15 \rightarrow 0$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 15$ $l = -9 \rightarrow 0$

3 standard reflections

frequency: 60 min

intensity decay: none

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.055$ $wR(F^2) = 0.127$ $S = 1.195$

1225 reflections

77 parameters

H atoms not refined

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0482P)^2 + 17.3173P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 1.548 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -1.458 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$

Extinction correction:

SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993)

Extinction coefficient:

0.0002 (3)

Scattering factors from

International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C)

C4	0.0128 (8)	0.2418 (8)	0.1305 (15)	0.034 (3)
C5	0.0109 (8)	0.3135 (7)	0.2570 (14)	0.028 (2)
C6	-0.0668 (6)	0.3150 (7)	0.3766 (13)	0.022 (2)

† Site occupancy = 0.71. § Site occupancy = 0.29.

Table 4. Selected geometric parameters ($\text{\AA}$, $^\circ$) for (2)

I1—Mo1	2.7107 (8)	Mo1—O12	2.33 (2)
I1—Mo2	2.758 (2)	Mo2—O21	1.80 (3)
Mo1—O11	1.80 (2)	Mo2—O22	2.32 (3)
O11—Mo1—I1	97.75 (6)	O22—Mo2—I1	76.88 (13)
O12—Mo1—I1	82.25 (6)	I1 ⁱ —Mo2—I1	153.8 (3)
I1—Mo1—I1 ⁱ	164.49 (12)	I1—Mo2—I1 ⁱⁱⁱ	87.04 (6)
I1—Mo1—I1 ⁱⁱ	88.96 (2)	I1 ⁱⁱ —Mo2—I1 ⁱⁱⁱ	153.8 (3)
O21—Mo2—I1	103.12 (13)		

Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z$; (ii) $y, \frac{1}{2} - x, z$; (iii) $\frac{1}{2} - y, x, z$.

Normal data collection procedures were used for both compounds. For compound (1), refinement of the heavy-atom model with SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993) converged well and all H atoms were located directly from two subsequent difference Fourier maps and allowed to refine freely. The C—H distances were found to range from 0.78 (4) to 0.95 (4) $\text{\AA}$ (average 0.890 $\text{\AA}$), while the U_{eq} values ranged from 0.012 (8) to 0.041 (11) $\text{\AA}^2$ (average 0.028 $\text{\AA}^2$), clearly supporting refinement of these atoms. For compound (2), after the initial location of the Mo and I atoms, it soon became apparent that disorder was present because of the appearance of a relatively large peak, $15 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$, approximately 1 $\text{\AA}$ from the initially found Mo atom on the opposite side of the L_4 plane. The occupancies of these two atoms were initially refined resulting in an Mo1:Mo2 ratio of 0.71:0.29. Additional difference Fourier maps also revealed the presence of two large peaks, 9.2 and $7.9 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$, which were input as O atoms for an oxo and an aqua species. Other peaks also appeared along the Mo1—Mo2 vector and eventually two sets of atoms were chosen such that the ensemble was fully occupied by both an oxo and an aqua species. The atoms of the (Mo1, O11, O12):(Mo2, O21, O22) ensemble had their overall occupancies optimized, resulting in a ratio of 0.709 (3):0.291 (3), nearly identical to the initial ratio. The occupancies were fixed at 0.71:0.29. Attempts were made to refine all of the anisotropic displacement parameters independently but the O atoms were uncooperative. Therefore, the final refinement included two EADP (Sheldrick, 1993) constraints, with all displacement parameters set identical, for the two sets of atoms, Mo1 = O11 = O12 and Mo2 = O21 = O22. A SAME (Sheldrick, 1993) restraint was also added to force the major and minor contributors to be nearly identical. Refinement of the structure converged smoothly. H atoms bonded to C atoms were placed in calculated positions; these were dependent on both the type of bonding at the C atom and the temperature (153 K in this case), with the aromatic H atoms calculated with C—H = 0.93 $\text{\AA}$ and $U(\text{H})$ set equal to $1.2U(\text{parent})$. The two aqua H atoms were not calculated. A final difference Fourier map possessed three large peaks, 1.55, 1.05 and $1.03 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$, within 1.2 $\text{\AA}$ of the I atom; the next two highest peaks, 0.95 and $0.86 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$, were within 0.5 $\text{\AA}$ of Mo1, while the remainder of the map was featureless with $|\Delta\rho| < 0.62 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$.

For both compounds, data collection: CAD-4 EXPRESS (Enraf-Nonius, 1994); cell refinement: CAD-4 EXPRESS; data reduction: XCAD-4 (Harms, 1997) and SHELXTL (Siemens, 1994); program(s) used to solve structures: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990); molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

Table 3. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ($\text{\AA}^2$)

$$U_{eq} = (1/3)\sum_i \sum_j U^{ij} a_i^* a_j^* a_i a_j.$$

	x	y	z	U_{eq}
I1	0.08406 (5)	0.13377 (5)	0.68544 (9)	0.0290 (3)
Mo1†	1/4	1/4	0.7318 (3)	0.0180 (5)
O11†	1/4	1/4	0.960 (2)	0.0180 (5)
O12†	1/4	1/4	0.436 (2)	0.0180 (5)
Mo2§	1/4	1/4	0.6061 (8)	0.0208 (12)
O21§	1/4	1/4	0.378 (3)	0.0208 (12)
O22§	1/4	1/4	0.900 (3)	0.0208 (12)
P1	-1/4	1/4	1/2	0.0164 (10)
C1	-0.1435 (7)	0.2447 (7)	0.3622 (12)	0.019 (2)
C2	-0.1413 (7)	0.1727 (8)	0.2332 (13)	0.025 (2)
C3	-0.0634 (9)	0.1706 (9)	0.1193 (14)	0.036 (3)

We are grateful to the NSF (grant CHE-9058375) for support of this work

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: TA1139). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Bino, A. & Cotton, F. A. (1979). *Inorg. Chem.* **18**, 2710–2713.
- Blake, A. J., Parsons, S., Downs, A. J. & Limberg, C. (1995). *Acta Cryst.* **C51**, 571–573.
- Enraf-Nonius (1994). *CAD-4 EXPRESS*. Version 5.1/1.2. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Garner, C. D., Hill, L. H., Mabbs, F. E., McFadden, D. L. & McPhail, A. T. (1977). *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* pp. 853–858.
- Gordon, J. C., Mattamana, S. P., Poli, R. & Fanwick, P. E. (1995). *Polyhedron*, **14**, 1339–1342.
- Harms, K. (1997). *XCAD-4. Program for the Reduction of CAD-4 Diffractometer Data*. University of Marburg, Germany.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). *Acta Cryst.* **A24**, 351–359.
- Schumaker, C., Weller, F. & Dehnicke, K. (1982). *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **495**, 135–147.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). *Acta Cryst.* **A46**, 467–473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). *SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1994). *SHELXTL*. Version 5.03. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.