A global asymptotic stability condition for a dimorphic Lotka-Volterra model with explicit indirect interactions

Mircea T. Sofonea^{1,2,*}

5 ¹MIVEGEC, CNRS, IRD, Université de Montpellier – 911 avenue Agropolis, B.P . 64501, 34394
Montpellier Cedex 5, France

²Université de Montpellier, place Eugène Bataillon, Montpellier, France

* Corresponding author (mircea.sofonea@normalesup.org)

Abstract

10 A two-species Lotka-Volterra model extended with an arbitrary number of indirect interactions through
diffusible and renewable compounds is presented according to its relevance in microbial community
modelling. After the determination of the system's fixed points and a short discussion over their local
asymptotic stability, Lyapunov's second method is applied to derive a sufficient condition of global
asymptotic stability. Biologically, this condition indicates the necessity for one microbial type to show
15 strong self-inhibition and the compounds to be fastly replaced.

Keywords: global stability, Lyapunov's Second Method, competitive Lotka-Volterra, exploitative
competition, public goods, spite.

1 Introduction

Non-linear dynamical systems hardly ever show closed-form solutions. Usually, their behaviour is investigated by determining their fixed points and studying their stability after linearisation in their neighbourhood [1, 2]. This straightforward method, however, cannot be applied to non hyperbolic fixed points and is usually inefficient to investigate the dynamics far from and between fixed points. Linearisation is especially unable to predict which locally asymptotically stable (LAS) fixed point the solution will eventually converge to or to detect non fixed point attractors such as limit cycles or chaos. These questions can be addressed using a global stability analysis, for which a suitable approach is known as Lyapunov's Second Method [3]. This method is based on the definiteness properties of a so-called Lyapunov function that needs to be identified for each fixed point of the dynamical system under study. The existence of such a function thus provides a sufficient condition for the global stability of the system.

Lyapunov functions have been widely used in mathematical biology and especially to model ecological communities [4, 5] for two reasons. The first one is that the dynamics of these systems emerge from the interactions between numerous individuals hence, assuming a well-mixed community, the encounters follow the classical non-linear mass action law [6, 7]. The second reason is that biologists seek for global asymptotic stability because it guarantees the convergence of the systems towards the same point whatever the initial conditions (which are poorly known in natural phenomena). Moreover, if a closed-form value of this globally asymptotically stable (GAS) fixed point can be found, the focal dynamics can be nested into long-term processes such as evolution, by means of a timescale separation assumption [8, 9].

Since their first formulations [10, 11], the Lotka-Volterra equations have been a fruitful source of models and generalizations and constitute undoubtedly one of the most studied theoretical frameworks in population biology and even beyond [5, 12]. Nowadays, Lotka-Volterra equations continue to receive a strong interest in the study of the stability of ecological communities [13] and are especially investigated within the popular topic of microbiome [14, 15].

In contrast with macroscopic free living organisms that often interact directly by contact (predation, competition or mutualism) [16], an important part of the interactions between symbiotic micro-organisms, may they be commensal, mutualist or parasitic, is carried through soluble compounds secreted in the medium which may have negative as well as positive effects on their growth [17, 18, 19].

Some bacteria for instance, secrete siderophores chelating molecules that harvest iron in the medium, which can be then imported within the cell provided that the bacterium possesses the

matching receptor [20, 21]. Cheating bacteria may not produce siderophores but still benefit from the siderophores produced by other bacteria [22]. On the other side, bacteriocins are secreted enzymes that break the bacterial wall. This detrimental compound may even affect the producing bacteria [23].

55 If pathogenic, the reproduction of the bacteria elicits the production of host defense molecules such as lactoferrin and siderocalin [24]. Analogous examples can be found for other microbes such as virus and protozoans [17]. It results from here that the dynamics of microbial communities are not shaped by simple direct competitive interactions but by a great set of indirect interactions with various effects on each microbe type [25, 26]. Such indirect interactions are lacking in the Lotka-Volterra equations,

60 which would need structural modifications to fit to microbial dynamics.

In this article, we consider the classical Lotka-Volterra equations to which we add an arbitrary number of indirect interactions through diffusible compounds, for which we seek a sufficient global stability condition and biologically interpret its closed-form expression. We restrict the model to two microbe types in order to derive the less stringent condition suited for major theoretical ecology and

65 evolutionary biology frameworks that rely – by virtue of their game theory origins [?, 8].

2 Model formulation

Let us consider two microbe types (may they be strains or species) labeled 1 and 2. Hereafter, unless stated otherwise, i will indifferently refer to 1 or 2 and k to the other type – that is the quantification $\forall (i, k) \in \{1, 2\}^2, i \neq k$ will be implied or simply reminded as $i = 1, 2; k = 2, 1$.

70 The microbial densities are non-negative variables $X_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ that vary through time $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ according to several processes. The first is an intrinsic growth quantified by the rate $\varrho_i \in \mathbb{R}$, which can be negative if the microbe is unable to grow without collective help. The second is the self density dependent effect emerging from direct interactions between individuals that belong to the same type and is quantified by $\eta_{i,i} \in \mathbb{R}$. Likewise, a cross density dependent effect can be defined as describing
75 the consequences of the inter-type direct interactions and is quantified by $\eta_{i,k} \in \mathbb{R}$ where the first index indicates the type that undergoes the interaction and the second index the one that produces it.

Finally, we consider that an arbitrary number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of diffusible compounds modulate microbial growth according to their non-negative concentration $Y_p \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and their respective effect $\gamma_{i,p} \in \mathbb{R}$ on
80 microbial type i 's growth. Whether they are microbial or host secretions, these compounds are likely to be produced at non-negative rates that are proportional to microbial densities through a positive factor denoted by $u_{i,p} \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Note that $u_{i,p} = 0$ if microbial type i does not contribute to compound p production. We moreover assume that these compounds are mostly removed from the medium through processes that are not related to their activity, such as self-denaturation, host degradation, dilution –
85 which is the case of a large class of secreted molecules namely those with a renewable activity such as enzymes (bacteriocins) or chelates (siderophores, lactoferrin). Their clearance is therefore proportional to their concentration through a positive factor denoted by $v_p > 0$ [27]. In the end, the microbial growth of the two types conforms to the following set of ODEs, with $i = 1, 2; k = 2, 1; p = 1, \dots, n$:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX_i}{dt} = \left(\varrho_i + \eta_{i,i}X_i + \eta_{i,k}X_k + \sum_{p=1}^n \gamma_{i,p}Y_p \right) X_i & =: f_i(\mathbf{w}), \\ \frac{dY_p}{dt} = u_{i,p}X_i + u_{k,p}X_k - v_pY_p, & =: g_p(\mathbf{w}), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{w} := \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & Y_1 & \dots & Y_n \end{bmatrix}^T$. For the sake of concision, we hereafter denote by $R_i(\mathbf{w})$ the quotient $f_i(\mathbf{w})/X_i$ which can be interpreted as the instantaneous *per capita* growth rate of microbial

type i . The Jacobian matrix associated to (1) is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{w}) &:= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \begin{bmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & g_1 & \cdots & g_n \end{bmatrix}(\mathbf{w}), \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} R_1(\mathbf{w}) + \eta_{1,1}X_1 & \eta_{1,2}X_1 & \gamma_{1,1}X_1 & \cdots & \gamma_{1,n}X_1 \\ \eta_{2,1}X_2 & R_2(\mathbf{w}) + \eta_{2,2}X_2 & \gamma_{2,1}X_2 & \cdots & \gamma_{2,n}X_2 \\ u_{1,1} & u_{2,1} & -v_1 & \mathbf{0}_{p-2}^T & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \mathbf{0}_{p-2} & \ddots & \mathbf{0}_{p-2} \\ u_{1,n} & u_{2,n} & 0 & \mathbf{0}_{p-2}^T & -v_p \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{0}_d$ denotes the zero vector of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

90 Because of the biological meaning of variables X_i and Y_i , we restrict the initial conditions (considered at $t = 0$) of (1) to the positive orthant $\mathcal{W} \equiv (\mathbb{R}_+)^{p+2}$. It is straightforward to see that $\mathcal{W}$ is positively invariant. Indeed, $X_i(t) = X_i(0) \exp\left(\int_0^t R_i d\tau\right)$, therefore $X_i(t) \geq 0, \forall t \geq 0$. Therefore, $\frac{dY_p}{dt} \geq -v_p Y_p$, from which it follows that $Y_p(t) \geq Y_p(0) \exp(-v_p t) \geq 0, \forall t \geq 0$. Hereafter, global properties are understood with respect to $\mathcal{W}$.

3 Fixed point determination

The sets $\mathcal{X}_i := \{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{p+2} : f_i(\mathbf{w}) = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_p := \{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{p+2} : g_p(\mathbf{w}) = 0\}$ define the i -th microbial density nullcline and the p -th compound concentration nullcline respectively. A fixed point $\mathbf{w}$ of (1) is such that $\forall (i, p) \in \{1, 2\} \times \{1, \dots, n\}, f_i(\mathbf{w}) = 0 = g_p(\mathbf{w})$. The set of fixed points $\mathcal{F}$ is thus the intersection of all nullclines [28], $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{X}_1 \cap \mathcal{X}_2 \cap \bigcap_{p=1}^n \mathcal{Y}_p$.

100 On one hand, all points of $\mathcal{F}$ satisfy

$$Y_p = \frac{1}{v_p} (u_{1,p}X_1 + u_{2,p}X_2), \quad p = 1, \dots, n \quad (3)$$

which allows us to rewrite R_i on $\mathcal{F}$ such as

$$\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{F} \implies R_i(\mathbf{w}) = \varrho_i + m_{i,i}X_i + m_{i,k}X_k, \quad i = 1, 2, k = 2, 1, \quad (4)$$

with

$$m_{i,j} := \eta_{i,j} + \sum_{p=1}^n \frac{\gamma_{i,p}u_{j,p}}{v_p}. \quad (5)$$

On the other hand, all points of $\mathcal{F}$ satisfy $(X_i = 0) \vee (R_i = 0)$ for $i = 1, 2$, where $\vee$ denotes the logical disjunction (or). By canceling either X_i or R_i for each type, it follows that there exist at most four different fixed points hereafter denoted by $\mathbf{w}_h, h = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Note that here and below we denote by $\mathcal{X}_i$ the microbial density i nullcline ($X_i = 0$).

The origin $\mathbf{0}_{p+2}$ is the trivial null fixed point $\mathbf{w}_0$. The monomorphic fixed point 1, $\mathbf{w}_1$, is given by solving the system $(R_1 = 0) \wedge (X_2 = 0)$, where $\wedge$ denotes the logical conjunction (and). Hence, $\mathbf{w}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \frac{u_{1,1}x_1}{v_1} & \dots & \frac{u_{1,n}x_1}{v_n} \end{bmatrix}^T$, where $x_1 := -\frac{\varrho_1}{m_{1,1}}$ is the stationary i -th monomorphic microbial density, provided that $m_{1,1} \neq 0$ (hereafter assumed). Analogously, the monomorphic fixed point 2 is $\mathbf{w}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x_2 & \frac{u_{2,1}x_2}{v_2} & \dots & \frac{u_{2,n}x_2}{v_n} \end{bmatrix}^T$, where $x_2 := -\frac{\varrho_2}{m_{2,2}}$, provided that $m_{2,2} \neq 0$ (hereafter assumed). The fourth fixed point is the dimorphic fixed point $\mathbf{w}_3$, which satisfies $(R_1 = 0) \wedge (R_2 = 0)$. These two equations represent the linear system

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_{1,1} & m_{1,2} \\ m_{2,1} & m_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \varrho_1 \\ \varrho_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

the solutions of which is $\begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 & \xi_2 \end{bmatrix}^T$ with $\xi_i := \frac{m_{i,k}\varrho_k - m_{k,k}\varrho_i}{m_{1,1}m_{2,2} - m_{1,2}m_{2,1}}$, provided that $m_{1,1}m_{2,2} \neq m_{1,2}m_{2,1}$ (hereafter assumed). It results from above that $\mathbf{w}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \frac{1}{v_1}(u_{1,1}\xi_1 + u_{2,1}\xi_2) & \dots & \frac{1}{v_n}(u_{1,n}\xi_1 + u_{2,n}\xi_2) \end{bmatrix}^T$.

We say that a fixed point is feasible when it belongs to $\mathcal{W}$. While $\mathbf{w}_0$ is always feasible, the three

other fixed points may not. Their feasibility conditions are $\text{sgn}\varrho_1 \neq \text{sgn}m_{1,1}$, $\text{sgn}\varrho_2 \neq \text{sgn}m_{2,2}$ and $\text{sgn}(m_{1,2}\varrho_2 - m_{2,2}\varrho_1) = \text{sgn}(m_{2,1}\varrho_1 - m_{1,1}\varrho_2) = \text{sgn}(m_{1,1}m_{2,2} - m_{1,2}m_{2,1})$ respectively, where sgn is the sign function.

120 4 Local asymptotic stability

Fixed point local asymptotic stability is addressed, as classically, through the sign of the real parts of the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix evaluated at the given point. In the case of the null fixed point, $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{w}_0)$ is a lower triangular matrix the diagonal of which is simply $\begin{bmatrix} \varrho_1 & \varrho_2 & -v_1 & \cdots & -v_p \end{bmatrix}^T$ hence $\mathbf{w}_0$ is LAS whenever $(\varrho_1 < 0) \wedge (\varrho_2 < 0)$.

125 One can also derive the necessary and sufficient condition of local asymptotic stability of the monomorphic fixed points $\mathbf{w}_1$ and $\mathbf{w}_2$ provided that they are LAS in their respective monomorphic space $\mathcal{W}_i := (\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{X}_k) \setminus \mathcal{X}_i$. (Note that $\mathcal{W}_i$ is the subspace of $\mathcal{W}$ where the focal microbial density is positive while the other microbial type is constrained to zero.) Indeed, $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{w}_2)$ is a lower triangular block matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_1(\mathbf{w}_2) & \mathbf{0}_{p+1} \\ \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{J}_{1,1}(\mathbf{w}_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

130 where $\mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} u_{1,1} & \cdots & u_{1,p} \end{bmatrix}^T$ and $\mathbf{J}_{1,1}(\mathbf{w}_2)$ is the sub-matrix of $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{w}_2)$ without the first row and the first column. Therefore, assuming that $\mathbf{w}_2$ is LAS in $\mathcal{W}_2$ (which is equivalent to the statement that $\mathbf{J}_{1,1}(\mathbf{w}_2)$ has only negative real part eigenvalues), $\mathbf{w}_2$ is LAS in $\mathcal{W} \setminus \mathcal{X}_2$ as well if and only if $R_1(\mathbf{w}_2) < 0$ that is equivalent to $\varrho_1 < -m_{1,2}x_2$. Likewise and by permutation, $\mathbf{w}_1$ is LAS in $\mathcal{W} \setminus \mathcal{X}_1$ provided that is LAS in $\mathcal{W}_1$ if and only if $\varrho_2 < -m_{2,1}x_1$.

135 It is straightforward that (1) can show bistability in $\mathcal{W}$. Indeed, in the simplest case of $n = 0$, the matrices $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{w}_1)$ and $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{w}_2)$ become

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\varrho_1 & -\frac{\eta_{1,2}}{\eta_{1,1}}\varrho_1 \\ 0 & \varrho_2 - \frac{\eta_{2,1}}{\eta_{1,1}}\varrho_1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} \varrho_1 - \frac{\eta_{1,2}}{\eta_{2,2}}\varrho_2 & 0 \\ -\frac{\eta_{2,1}}{\eta_{2,2}}\varrho_2 & -\varrho_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

respectively. Therefore, $\mathbf{w}_1$ and $\mathbf{w}_2$ are both feasible and LAS whenever $(0 < \varrho_2 < \frac{\eta_{2,1}}{\eta_{1,1}}\varrho_1) \wedge (0 < \varrho_1 < \frac{\eta_{1,2}}{\eta_{2,2}}\varrho_2)$. (Note that for $n \geq 1$, bistability in $\mathcal{W}$ can easily be found numerically.)

Nonetheless, the necessary and sufficient condition for the non-trivial fixed points to be LAS
140 cannot be determined in the most general case. For this reason, given an initial condition, the long term behaviour of the solution of system (1) cannot be predicted in the general case unless an identified global asymptotic stability condition is satisfied.

5 Global asymptotic stability condition

If deriving the global asymptotic condition for the Lotka-Volterra model for two competing species to be globally stable is a classical textbook exercise [29], one cannot extrapolate this condition to the present model as the arbitrary number of compounds do influence the dynamics of the system. Following [3], it is well known that a feasible fixed point $\mathbf{w}^* \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{W}$ is GAS in $\mathcal{W}^* := \mathcal{W} \setminus (\mathcal{X}_1 \cup \mathcal{X}_2)$ (to be understood as its attraction basin equals $\mathcal{W}^*$) if there is a Lyapunov function $V \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{W}^*, \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (\alpha) & V(\mathbf{w}^*) = 0 \text{ and } \forall \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}^* \setminus \{\mathbf{w}^*\}, V(\mathbf{w}) > 0, \\ (\beta) & \frac{dV}{dt}(\mathbf{w}^*) = 0 \text{ and } \forall \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}^* \setminus \{\mathbf{w}^*\}, \frac{dV}{dt}(\mathbf{w}) < 0. \end{cases}$$

For the sake of concision, we refer to these properties using their respective labels α and β from now on.

In the following, we focus on the case of the dimorphic fixed point $\mathbf{w}_3$ and show in the appendix that the global asymptotic stability condition that emerges also applies to the three other fixed points.

Inspired by the previous works [4, 30], we define V as

$$\begin{aligned} V(\mathbf{w}) := & a_1 \left(X_1 - \left(1 + \log \frac{X_1}{\xi_1} \right) \xi_1 \right) + a_2 \left(X_2 - \left(1 + \log \frac{X_2}{\xi_2} \right) \xi_2 \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^n b_p \left(Y_p - \frac{1}{v_p} (u_{1,p} \xi_1 + u_{2,p} \xi_2) \right)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where a_1, a_2, b are arbitrary positive real numbers.

The function V is obviously continuously differentiable on $\mathcal{W}^*$. Moreover, its first two terms are of the form $h(x) := x - c - c(\log x - \log c)$ with $c > 0$. Given that the first derivative of h is $h'(x) = 1 - \frac{c}{x}$ and its second derivative is $h''(x) = \frac{c}{x^2} > 0$, it follows that $\operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbb{R}_+^*}(f) = c$ and $\min_{\mathbb{R}_+^*}(f) = 0$. Therefore, and since V is a separable function in each component of $\mathbf{w}$, it follows that $V(\mathbf{w}) > 0$ for all $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}^* \setminus \{\mathbf{w}_3\}$ and $V(\mathbf{w}_3) = 0$, condition (α) holds.

Before calculating the time derivative of V , let us remind that $R_i(\mathbf{w}_3) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Therefore, the following holds for all $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}$, and $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_i(\mathbf{w}) &= R_i(\mathbf{w}) - R_i(\mathbf{w}_3), \\ &= \eta_{i,1}(X_1 - \xi_1) + \eta_{i,2}(X_2 - \xi_2) + \sum_{p=1}^n \gamma_{i,p} \left(Y_p - \frac{1}{v_p} (u_{1,p} \xi_1 + u_{2,p} \xi_2) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

It follows from here that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dV}{dt}(\mathbf{w}) &= a_1 (X_1 - \xi_1) R_1(\mathbf{w}) + a_2 (X_2 - \xi_2) R_2(\mathbf{w}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{p=1}^n b_p \left(Y_p - \frac{1}{v_p} (u_{1,p} \xi_1 + u_{2,p} \xi_2) \right) (u_{1,p} X_1 + u_{2,p} X_2 - v_p Y_p), \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^2 a_i \eta_{i,1} (X_i - \xi_i) (X_1 - \xi_1) + a_i \eta_{i,2} (X_i - \xi_i) (X_2 - \xi_2) \\
&\quad + \sum_{p=1}^n \left((a_1 \gamma_{1,p} + b_p u_{1,p}) \left(Y_p - \frac{1}{v_p} (u_{1,p} \xi_1 + u_{2,p} \xi_2) \right) (X_1 - \xi_1) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (a_2 \gamma_{2,p} + b_p u_{2,p}) \left(Y_p - \frac{1}{v_p} (u_{1,p} \xi_1 + u_{2,p} \xi_2) \right) (X_2 - \xi_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - b_p v_p \left(Y_p - \frac{1}{v_p} (u_{1,p} \xi_1 + u_{2,p} \xi_2) \right)^2 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

160 which is equivalent to the following quadratic form

$$\frac{dV}{dt}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_3)^T \cdot (\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P}^T \cdot \mathbf{D}) \cdot (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_3), \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{D}$ is the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(a_1, a_2, b_1, \dots, b_p)$ and

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \eta_{1,1} & \eta_{1,2} & \gamma_{1,1} & \cdots & \gamma_{1,n} \\ \eta_{2,1} & \eta_{2,2} & \gamma_{2,1} & \cdots & \gamma_{2,n} \\ u_{1,1} & u_{2,1} & -v_1 & \mathbf{0}_{p-2}^T & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \mathbf{0}_{p-2} & \ddots & \mathbf{0}_{p-2} \\ u_{1,n} & u_{2,n} & 0 & \mathbf{0}_{p-2}^T & -v_p \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows from (9) that property (β) holds if and only if $\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P}^T \cdot \mathbf{D}$ is negative definite (which is equivalent to the negativity of its associated quadratic form).

A theorem from [31](p. 137) allows the existence of such a positive diagonal matrix $\mathbf{D}$ provided that the matrix $\mathbf{P}$ is strictly row diagonally dominant. It formally states that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\forall q \in \mathbb{N}^*, \forall \mathbf{A} = (a_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in \{1, \dots, q\}^2}, \\
&(\text{diag}(\mathbf{A}) \succ 0) \wedge \left(\exists (k_j)_{j \in \{1, \dots, q\}} > 0 : \forall i \in \llbracket 1; q \rrbracket, k_i a_{i,i} > \sum_{j \in \{1, \dots, q\} \setminus \{i\}} k_j |a_{i,j}| \right) \\
&\implies \exists \mathbf{C} = \text{diag}(c_j)_{j \in \{1, \dots, q\}} > 0 : (\mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^T \cdot \mathbf{C}) \text{ is positive definite.} \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying this theorem to $-\mathbf{P}$ with the particular set of positive real numbers $(k_j)_{j \in \{1, \dots, p+2\}} :=$

165 $(\kappa, 1, \kappa, \dots, \kappa)$ (the biological relevance of which is exposed in the discussion), it results that if there exists a scaling quantity $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\eta_{1,1} > \frac{1}{\kappa} |\eta_{1,2}| + \sum_{p=1}^n |\gamma_{1,p}|, \\ -\eta_{2,2} > \kappa |\eta_{2,1}| + \kappa \sum_{p=1}^n |\gamma_{2,p}|, \\ v_p > u_{1,p} + \frac{1}{\kappa} u_{2,p}, \quad \forall p \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \end{array} \right. \quad (11)$$

then there exists $(a_1, a_2, b_1, \dots, b_p) \in (\mathbb{R}_+^*)^{p+2}$ that ensures property (β) .

Overall, provided that $\mathbf{w}_3 \in \mathcal{W}^*$, the set of inequalities (11) represents a sufficient condition for V to be a Lyapunov function hence a sufficient condition for $\mathbf{w}_3$ to be GAS on $\mathcal{W}^*$. In the appendix, we
 170 show that this condition also guarantees the global asymptotic stability of the three other fixed points in relevant subspaces of $\mathcal{W}$ provided that they are LAS in these spaces.

6 Discussion

In this work we present an extension of the two-species Lotka-Volterra equations that captures indirect interactions through a potentially large number of diffusible and renewable compounds which are relevant for investigating microbial communities [18].

As the classical Lotka-Volterra model without compounds ($n = 0$), the vector field shows four fixed points that identify to no microbial persistence ($\mathbf{w}_0$), two monomorphic equilibria ($\mathbf{w}_1$ and $\mathbf{w}_2$) and one dimorphic equilibrium ($\mathbf{w}_3$), the closed form expressions of which can be found (which is not the case for a higher number of microbial types). However, accounting for compounds increases the dimensionality of the system hence it prevents the derivation of closed form expressions for local asymptotic stability conditions of the fixed points in the general case. These conditions are, moreover, not enough to prove their global asymptotic stability as in the without compound model [29].

Using an argument of generalized row diagonal dominance, we here identify a region of the parameter space in which global asymptotic stability of the extended model is achieved. In this region, all attractors are fixed points and there is at most one attracting fixed point. Moreover, the identification of the globally attracting fixed point reduces to at most two simple algebraic conditions (related to feasibility, see section 3, or local asymptotic stability, see appendix).

The sufficient condition for global asymptotic stability provided here has a clear biological interpretation. Indeed, considering a small positive scaling quantity κ ($0 < \kappa \ll 1$), the three conditions in (11) read as first, microbial type 1 undergoes a strong self density-dependent inhibition and is hardly affected by external interactions, second, microbial type 2 undergoes a self density-dependent inhibition that may be very low and third, the turn-over of the diffusible compounds is high and the microbial type 2 contributes less to their production. This result conforms to the rule that the geometric mean of the intra-type competition has to be greater than the geometric mean of the inter-type competition to insure the global stability of the coexistence equilibrium in the classical Lotka-Volterra model [13]. Here, microbial type 1 is responsible for the high intra-type and the low competition geometric means, thus leaving a certain freedom to the traits of microbial type 2.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Samuel Alizon, Yannis Michalakis, Yves Dumont and Alain Rapaport
200 for their helpful comments and the French Ministry of Higher Education and Research, CNRS and
IRD for their support.

References

- [1] Grobman DM. Homeomorphism of systems of differential equations. Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR. 1959;128(5):880–881.
- 205 [2] Hartman P. A lemma in the theory of structural stability of differential equations. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society. 1960;11(4):610–620.
- [3] Lyapunov AM. The general problem of the stability of motion. International Journal of Control. 1992;55(3):531–534.
- [4] Goh BS. Global stability in many-species systems. The American Naturalist. 1977;p. 135–143.
- 210 [5] Hofbauer J, Sigmund K. Adaptive dynamics and evolutionary stability. Applied Mathematics Letters. 1990;3(4):75–79.
- [6] Waage P, Guldberg CM. Studies concerning affinity. J Chem Educ. 1986;63(12):1044.
- [7] McCallum H, Barlow N, Hone J. How should pathogen transmission be modelled? Trends in Ecology & Evolution. 2001;16(6):295–300.
- 215 [8] Geritz SAH, Kisdi E, Meszén G, Metz JAJ. Evolutionarily singular strategies and the adaptive growth and branching of the evolutionary tree. Evolutionary Ecology. 1998;12:35–57.
- [9] Metz JAJ, Staňková K, Johansson J. The canonical equation of adaptive dynamics for life histories: from fitness-returns to selection gradients and Pontryagin’s maximum principle. Journal of Mathematical Biology. 2016;72(4):1125–1152.
- 220 [10] Lotka AJ. Elements of physical biology. Williams and Wilkins; 1925.
- [11] Volterra V. Variations and fluctuations of the number of individuals in animal species living together. Journal du Conseil Permanent International pour l’Exploration de la Mer. 1928;3(1):3–51.
- [12] Hernández-Bermejo B, Fairén V. Lotka-Volterra representation of general nonlinear systems. Mathematical Biosciences. 1997;140(1):1–32.
- 225 [13] Barabás G, Michalska-Smith MJ, Allesina S. The Effect of Intra- and Interspecific Competition on Coexistence in Multispecies Communities. The American Naturalist. 2016;188(1):E1–E12. PMID: 27322128.

- [14] Pepper JW, Rosenfeld S. The emerging medical ecology of the human gut microbiome. Trends
230 in Ecology & Evolution. 2012;27(7):381–384.
- [15] Coyte KZ, Schluter J, Foster KR. The ecology of the microbiome: Networks, competition, and
stability. Science. 2015;350(6261):663–666.
- [16] Begon M, Townsend CR, Harper JL. From individuals to ecosystems. Blackwell Publishers
Hoboken; 2005.
- 235 [17] Hellard E, Fouchet D, Vavre F, Pontier D. Parasite–Parasite Interactions in the Wild: How To
Detect Them? Trends in Parasitology. 2015;31(12):640–652.
- [18] Bashey F. Within-host competitive interactions as a mechanism for the maintenance of parasite
diversity. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2015;370(1675).
- [19] Mideo N. Parasite adaptations to within-host competition. Trends in Parasitology.
240 2009;25(6):261–268.
- [20] Neilands J. Siderophores: structure and function of microbial iron transport compounds. Journal
of Biological Chemistry. 1995;270(45):26723–26726.
- [21] Wandersman C, Delepelaire P. Bacterial iron sources: from siderophores to hemophores. Annual
Review of Microbiology. 2004;58:611–647.
- 245 [22] Ghoul M, West SA, McCorkell FA, Lee ZB, Bruce JB, Griffin AS. Pyoverdinin cheats fail to invade
bacterial populations in stationary phase. Journal of Evolutionary Biology. 2016;.
- [23] Riley MA, Chavan MA. Bacteriocins. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg; 2007.
- [24] Skaar EP. The battle for iron between bacterial pathogens and their vertebrate hosts. PLOS
Pathogens. 2010;6(8):e1000949.
- 250 [25] Rath CM, Dorrestein PC. The bacterial chemical repertoire mediates metabolic exchange within
gut microbiomes. Current Opinion in Microbiology. 2012;15(2):147–154.
- [26] Dobson A, Cotter PD, Ross RP, Hill C. Bacteriocin production: a probiotic trait? Applied and
Environmental Microbiology. 2012;78(1):1–6.
- [27] Alizon S, Lion S. Within-host parasite cooperation and the evolution of virulence. Proceedings
255 of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2011;278(1725):3738–3747.

- [28] Simonyi E, Kaszás M. Method for the dynamic analysis of nonlinear systems. *Chemical Engineering*. 1968;12(4):314–324.
- [29] Zeeman ML. Hopf bifurcations in competitive three-dimensional Lotka–Volterra systems. *Dynamics and Stability of Systems*. 1993;8(3):189–216.
- 260 [30] Takeuchi Y. Global dynamical properties of Lotka–Volterra systems. World Scientific; 1996.
- [31] Berman A, Plemmons RJ. Nonnegative matrices. *The Mathematical Sciences, Classics in Applied Mathematics*. 1979;9.

Appendix

A Global asymptotic stability of the null and monomorphic fixed points

Here, we show that if conditions (11) are satisfied, then $\mathbf{w}_0$, $\mathbf{w}_1$ and $\mathbf{w}_2$ are GAS in their relevant spaces, namely $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{X}_2$, $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{X}_1$ and $\mathcal{W}$ for $\mathbf{w}_0$, and $\mathcal{W}_i$ and $\mathcal{W} \setminus \mathcal{X}_i$ for $\mathbf{w}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, as long as they belong and are LAS in these spaces. A distinct Lyapunov function has to be defined for each fixed point and the global asymptotic stability has to be separately investigated on each space.

Let us start by the null fixed point, for which one can consider the following function defined on $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$

$$V_0(\mathbf{w}) := a_1 X_1 + a_2 X_2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^n b_p Y_p^2 \quad (12)$$

Property α clearly holds on $\mathcal{W}_1$, $\mathcal{W}_2$ and $\mathcal{W}$. As for property β , the calculation of $\frac{dV_0}{dt}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dV_0}{dt}(\mathbf{w}) &= a_1 R_1(\mathbf{w}) X_1 + a_2 R_2(\mathbf{w}) X_2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=1}^n b_p Y_p (u_{1,p} X_1 + u_{2,p} X_2 - v_p Y_p), \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^2 a_i \varrho_i X_i + a_i \eta_{i,i} X_i^2 + a_i \eta_{i,k} X_i X_k \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=1}^n ((a_1 \gamma_{1,p} + b_p u_{1,p}) Y_p X_1 \\ &\quad + (a_2 \gamma_{2,p} + b_p u_{2,p}) Y_p X_2 \\ &\quad - b_p v_p Y_p^2), \end{aligned}$$

hence $\frac{dV_0}{dt}(\mathbf{w})$ can be written as

$$\frac{dV_0}{dt}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \cdot (\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P}^T \cdot \mathbf{D}) \cdot \mathbf{w} + a_1 \varrho_1 X_1 + a_2 \varrho_2 X_2.$$

Now, let us recall from section 4 that $\mathbf{w}_0$ is LAS on $\mathcal{W}$ iff $(\varrho_1 < 0) \wedge (\varrho_2 < 0)$. Therefore, if $\mathbf{w}_0$ is LAS on $\mathcal{W}$, $\frac{dV_0}{dt}(\mathbf{w}) < \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \cdot (\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P}^T \cdot \mathbf{D}) \cdot \mathbf{w}$, hence if (11) then V_0 is a Lyapunov function than proves the global asymptotic stability of $\mathbf{w}_0$ on $\mathcal{W}$.

If stability is investigated on $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{X}_k$, then all occurrences of X_k vanish, only $\varrho_i < 0$ is required for local asymptotic stability and the quadratic form reduces to dimension $p + 1$. The application of

theorem (10) then provides sufficient conditions of the form

$$\exists \kappa' > 0 : \left(-\eta_{i,i} > \kappa' \sum_{p=1}^n |\gamma_{i,p}| \right) \wedge (\kappa' v_p > u_{i,p}, p = 1, \dots, n) \quad (13)$$

with $\kappa' > 0$. It is straightforward to see that these conditions are weaker than, and therefore implied by, (11).

As for the monomorphic fixed points $\mathbf{w}_i, i = 1, 2$, one can consider the following function defined on $\mathcal{W}_i \setminus \mathcal{X}_i$

$$V_i(\mathbf{w}) := a_i \left(X_i - \left(1 + \log \frac{X_i}{x_i} \right) x_i \right) + a_k X_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^n b_p \left(Y_p - \frac{u_{i,p}}{v_p} x_i \right)^2. \quad (14)$$

It is straightforward to see that property α holds on $\mathcal{W}_i$ and $\mathcal{W} \setminus \mathcal{X}_i$.

The derivation of V_i with respect to time leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dV_i}{dt}(\mathbf{w}) &= a_i (X_i - x_i) R_i(\mathbf{w}) + a_k R_k(\mathbf{w}) X_k \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=1}^n b_p \left(Y_p - \frac{u_{i,p}}{v_p} x_i \right) (u_{1,p} X_1 + u_{2,p} X_2 - v_p Y_p). \end{aligned}$$

Restricting the investigation to $\mathcal{W}_i$, and applying relation (8) to $R_i(\mathbf{w})$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dV_i}{dt}(\mathbf{w}) &= a_i \eta_{i,i} (X_i - x_i)^2 + \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=1}^n \left((a_i \gamma_{i,p} + b_p u_{i,p}) \left(Y_p - \frac{u_{i,p}}{v_p} x_i \right) (X_i - x_i) - b_p v_p \left(Y_p - \frac{u_{i,p}}{v_p} x_i \right)^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is analogous to the aforementioned reduced quadratic form of dimension $p + 1$. Hence, V_i satisfies property β on $\mathcal{W}_i$ under the conditions (13) which are implied by (11), that is $\mathbf{w}_i$ is GAS in $\mathcal{W}_i$, provided $\mathbf{w}_i \in \mathcal{W}_i$.

Finally, coming back to $\mathcal{W} \setminus \mathcal{X}_i$ leads to $\frac{dV_i}{dt}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_i)^T \cdot (\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P}^T \cdot \mathbf{D}) \cdot (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_i) + a_k R_k(\mathbf{w}_i) X_k$. Now recalling that $\mathbf{w}_i$ is LAS in $\mathcal{W} \setminus \mathcal{X}_i$ if and only if $\mathbf{w}_i$ is LAS in $\mathcal{W}_i$ and $R_k(\mathbf{w}_i) < 0$, it results that if conditions (11) hold and $R_k(\mathbf{w}_i) = \varrho_k - \frac{m_{k,i}}{m_{i,i}} \varrho_i < 0$, then

$$\frac{dV_i}{dt}(\mathbf{w}) < \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_i)^T \cdot (\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P}^T \cdot \mathbf{D}) \cdot (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_i) < 0, \text{ hence } \mathbf{w}_i \text{ is GAS in } \mathcal{W} \setminus \mathcal{X}_i \text{ as well.}$$