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## BACKGROUND



## GEMINATION IN ENGLISH

Previous studies have shown that English may have "morphological geminates" across morpheme or word boundaries (e.g. right time, unnecessary).

Gemination may be seen as a process of phonetic doubling or more appropriately for English - of phonetic length (Kaye 2005).
Previous studies have shown that English does have consonantal gemination in semantically compositional prefixed words, although it may vary depending on speech rate, the productivity of the prefix, the presence of stress on the following syllable and the nature of the following segments (Bauer 2003; Cruttenden 2014: 248; Ben Hedia \& Plag 2017; Kaye 2005; Oh \& Redford 2012; Videau 2013).
These studies have focused on prefixed words with the possible gemination of [l, n, m] (e.g. illegal, immoral, unnamed).
路

## METHODOLOGY

## MATERIALS

We selected 19 words in <irr-> varying in frequency, semantic transparency, presence or absence of stress on the second syllable and attestedness of the base.
The words are as follows: irradiate, irradiated, irradiation, irrational, irredentist, irrefutable, irregardless, irregular, irrelevance, irrelevant, irreplaceable, irresponsibility, irresponsible, irreverence, irrevocably, irrigation, irritate, irritation, irruption.

## THE TWO STUDIES

Youglish-based study
Automatic extraction of the 16 words in Youglish A maximum of 25 occurrences per gender per variety were selected.
Data analysis is not finished:
3 words were analysed by two authors
The remaining 13 words have only been analysed once at this stage

|  | UK | US |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males | 154 | 276 | 430 |
| Females | 90 | 203 | 293 |
|  | 244 | 479 | 723 |

Pilot production study
The informants read a wordlist so that we determine whether they are rhotic or not
They read a list of sentences containing the 19 words and taken from The Corpus of Contemporary American English (COCAE)
They read a list of the same 19 words very slowly, separating out the syllables $\rightarrow / r /$ attached to one or two syllables?

Various speech styles

|  | US | UK |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Males | 2 | 0 |
| Females | 2 | 3 |

## DATA ANALYSIS AND CODING

The data was analysed spectrographically in Praat (Boersma \& Weenik 2014) in order to perform two measurements: the length of [ $\mu$ ] and that of the whole word.

Each occurrence was analysed by two of the authors.


In both studies, the following variables were coded:
$>$ R-LENGTH: Length of [ $\mu$ ] in seconds
$>$ SPEECHRATE: Ratio of the number of segments in the word and its length in seconds
$>$ LOGFREQUENCY: log-transformed (as $\left.\log _{e}(x+1)\right)$ frequencies taken from SUBTLEX-UK and US and COCAE
$>$ ReLATIVEFREQUENCY: ratio of the frequency of the base and that of the derivative
> SECONDSYLLABLE: Stressed or Unstressed
> Gender: Male or Female
> SEmANTICTRANSPARENCY: the words were coded as TransParent or Opaque

The two varieties of English are analysed separately.

## PRELIMINARY RESULTS



Conclusion and perspectives

The analysis of the data needs to be refined but so far our results confirm the existence of a difference between British English and American English
In both varieties, we find effects of absolute frequency, stress in the second syllable and gender
The effects of semantic transparency support the segmentability hypothesis (Hay 2001). In previous studies, this hypothesis has not systematically been supported (see Plag \& Ben Hedia 2018)

## Follow-up

$>$ Possible study of <rr> in words with no morphological structure (e.g. ferry, arrow)
> Similar syllabification study with other cases of CC
$>$ Inclusion of the duration of [ I$]$ to determine whether the length of underlying $/ \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{s}$ might lead to longer surface $[\mathrm{I}] \mathrm{s}$
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