



**HAL**  
open science

## Enantioseparations of polyhalogenated 4,4'-bipyridines on polysaccharide-based chiral stationary phases and molecular dynamics simulations of selector–selectand interactions

Roberto Dallochio, Barbara Sechi, Alessandro Dessì, Bezhan Chankvetadze, Sergio Cossu, Victor Mamane, Robin Weiss, Patrick Pale, Paola Peluso

### ► To cite this version:

Roberto Dallochio, Barbara Sechi, Alessandro Dessì, Bezhan Chankvetadze, Sergio Cossu, et al.. Enantioseparations of polyhalogenated 4,4'-bipyridines on polysaccharide-based chiral stationary phases and molecular dynamics simulations of selector–selectand interactions. *Electrophoresis*, In press, 10.1002/elps.202100049 . hal-03331265

**HAL Id: hal-03331265**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03331265>**

Submitted on 1 Sep 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Enantioseparations of polyhalogenated 4,4'-bipyridines on**  
2 **polysaccharide-based chiral stationary phases and**  
3 **molecular dynamics simulations of selector-selectand**  
4 **interactions**

5 Roberto Dallochio,<sup>1</sup> Barbara Sechi,<sup>1</sup> Alessandro Dessì,<sup>1</sup> Bezhan Chankvetadze,<sup>2</sup>  
6 Sergio Cossu,<sup>3</sup> Victor Mamane,<sup>4,\*</sup> Robin Weiss,<sup>4</sup> Patrick Pale,<sup>4</sup> and Paola Peluso<sup>1,\*</sup>

7  
8 <sup>1</sup> Istituto di Chimica Biomolecolare ICB CNR, Sede secondaria di Sassari, Sassari, Italy.

9 <sup>2</sup> School of Exact and Natural Sciences, Institute of Physical and Analytical Chemistry, Tbilisi State  
10 University, Tbilisi, Georgia.

11 <sup>3</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze Molecolari e Nanosistemi, Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Mestre Venezia,  
12 Italy.

13 <sup>4</sup> Institut de Chimie de Strasbourg, UMR 7177, CNRS-Université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France.

14  
15 \*Correspondence should be addressed to the following authors:

16 Dr. Paola Peluso  
17 Istituto di Chimica Biomolecolare, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche  
18 Traversa La Crucca 3, Li Punti, 07100 Sassari, Italy  
19 [paola.peluso@cnr.it](mailto:paola.peluso@cnr.it)

20  
21 Dr. Victor Mamane  
22 Institut de Chimie de Strasbourg, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique and Université de  
23 Strasbourg  
24 1 rue Blaise Pascal, 67008 Strasbourg Cedex, France  
25 [vmamane@unistra.fr](mailto:vmamane@unistra.fr)

26  
27 **Keywords:** Bipyridines / electrostatic potential / enantiomer elution order / molecular  
28 dynamics / polysaccharide-based chiral stationary phases

29  
30 **Abbreviations:** **CSP**, chiral stationary phase; **DFT**, density functional theory; **EEO**,  
31 enantiomer elution order; **ESH**, explicit  $\sigma$ -hole; **MD**, molecular dynamics; **MP**, mobile  
32 phase; **NP**, normal phase; **TCIBP**, 3,3',5,5'-TetraChloro-2-Iodo-4,4'-BiPyridyl; **XB**,  
33 halogen bond

## 34 **Abstract**

35 2'-(4-Pyridyl)- and 2'-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-TCIBPs (TCIBP = 3,3',5,5'-tetrachloro-2-iodo-  
36 4,4'-bipyridyl) are chiral compounds that showed interesting inhibition activity against  
37 transthyretin fibrillation *in vitro*. We became interested in their enantioseparation since  
38 we noticed that the *M*-stereoisomer is more effective than the *P*-enantiomer. Based  
39 thereon, we recently reported the enantioseparation of 2'-substituted TCIBP  
40 derivatives with amylose-based chiral columns. Following this study, herein we  
41 describe the comparative enantioseparation of both 2'-(4-pyridyl)- and 2'-(4-  
42 hydroxyphenyl)-TCIBPs on four cellulose phenylcarbamate-based chiral columns  
43 aiming to explore the effect of the polymer backbone, as well as the nature and position  
44 of substituents on the side groups/moieties on the enantioseparability of these  
45 compounds. In the frame of this project, the impact of subtle variations of analyte and  
46 polysaccharide structures, and mobile phase (MP) polarity on retention and selectivity  
47 was evaluated. The effect of temperature on retention and selectivity was also  
48 considered, and overall thermodynamic parameters associated with the analyte  
49 adsorption onto the CSP surface were derived from van't Hoff plots. Interesting cases  
50 of enantiomer elution order (EEO) reversal were observed. In particular, the EEO was  
51 shown to be dependent on polysaccharide backbone, the elution sequence of the two  
52 analytes being *P-M* and *M-P* on cellulose and amylose *tris*(3,5-  
53 dimethylphenylcarbamate), respectively. In this regard, a theoretical investigation  
54 based on molecular dynamics (MD) simulations was performed by using amylose and  
55 cellulose *tris*(3,5-dimethylphenylcarbamate) nonamers as virtual models of the  
56 polysaccharide-based selectors. This exploration at the molecular level shed light on  
57 the origin of the enantiodiscrimination processes.

## 58 **1 Introduction**

59 In chiral chromatography, the basic components of the recognition process are chiral  
60 analyte, chiral stationary phase (CSP), and mobile phase (MP) [1]. In this molecular  
61 environment, the chromatographic separation process originates from consecutive  
62 single adsorption and desorption steps occurring on the CSP surface as the analyte  
63 moves along the column [2,3]. Intermolecular noncovalent interactions play a pivotal  
64 role in this process, and hydrogen bonds (HBs), halogen bonds (XBs), dipole-dipole,

65  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking, steric repulsive, and van der Waals interactions underlie the adsorption  
66 process and the formation of transient diastereomeric assemblies between the chiral  
67 selector and the enantiomer pair [4]. The overall stereoselective contact between  
68 chiral selector and enantiomer originates from the sum of single noncovalent  
69 interactions, which is defined as steric, electrostatic or hydrophobic depending on the  
70 structural and electronic features of the interacting partners. MP polarity impacts the  
71 overall process, affecting electron density distribution and associated electrostatic  
72 potential ( $V$ ) of the recognition sites [4,5] and, consequently, noncovalent interaction  
73 strength. A CSP represents a diffuse chirotopic environment. Indeed, as stated by  
74 Hirschmann and Hanson, chirality “*is an all-pervasive property, as it affects all parts*  
75 *of a chiral structure*” [6]. In the same perspective, Mislow and Siegel defined chirotopic  
76 “*any atom, and, by extension, any point or segment of the molecular model [...] that*  
77 *resides within a chiral environment*” [7]. On this basis, all sites of a CSP are in principle  
78 potentially able to participate in enantioselective contacts, contributing to enantiomer  
79 discrimination. This concept is particularly true for CSP with high density of chiral  
80 elements such as polysaccharide-based CSPs. Indeed, in addition to the presence of  
81 a large number of chiral centers, these polymeric CSPs are characterized by  
82 conformational chirality dependent on the helical twist generated by the specific  
83 glycosidic  $\beta$ - and  $\alpha$ -1,4-linkages in cellulose and amylose chain, respectively [8]. Thus,  
84 a number of noncovalent interactions can potentially occur into the polymeric groove  
85 but, actually, only some of them act to recognize the enantiomers of a given chiral  
86 analyte, depending on its particular structure, size and shape, the sum of geometry  
87 and electronic distribution. Given this context, subtle variations of analyte and CSP  
88 structures, and MP polarity may deeply impact retention and enantioseparation on  
89 polysaccharide carbamate-based CSPs. For this reason, with the aim to detect  
90 noncovalent interactions and recognition patterns, molecular design can be fruitfully  
91 used to obtain specific structures suitable for recognition studies in liquid-phase  
92 environment. In this frame, we recently demonstrated that the structure of the 2'-  
93 substituent has a pivotal impact on the enantioseparation of 2'-substituted TCIBPs  
94 (TCIBP = 3,3',5,5'-tetrachloro-2-iodo-4,4'-bipyridyl) (Fig. 1) on amylose-based CSPs  
95 [3]. Following this study, we report herein the comparative enantioseparation of both  
96 2'-(4-pyridyl)- (**1**) and 2'-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-TCIBPs (**2**) on four cellulose  
97 phenylcarbamate-based CSPs aiming to explore the effect of the polymer backbone,  
98 as well as the nature and position of substituents on the side groups/moieties on

99 enantioseparability of these compounds. This issue is of interest because recently  
100 compounds **1** and **2** showed relevant inhibition activity against transthyretin fibrillation  
101 *in vitro*, the *M*-enantiomer being more effective than the *P*-enantiomer [9]. In the frame  
102 of this study, the impact of subtle variations of analyte and cellulose-based CSP  
103 structures, and MP polarity on retention and selectivity was evaluated. The effect of  
104 temperature on retention and selectivity was also considered, and overall  
105 thermodynamic parameters associated with the analyte adsorption onto the CSP  
106 surface were derived from van't Hoff plots. Finally, a theoretical investigation based  
107 on molecular dynamics (MD) simulations [10] was performed by using amylose and  
108 cellulose *tris*(3,5-dimethylphenylcarbamate) (A-3,5diMe and C-3,5diMe) nonamers, as  
109 virtual models of the polysaccharide-based selectors, with the aim of exploring the  
110 origin of the enantiodiscrimination processes at the molecular level.

## 111 **2 Materials and methods**

### 112 **2.1 Chemicals**

113 Compounds **1** and **2** were synthesized, purified and characterized as reported [9].

### 114 **2.2 Chromatography**

115 An Agilent Technologies (Waldbronn, Germany) 1100 Series HPLC system (high-  
116 pressure binary gradient system equipped with a diode-array detector operating at  
117 multiple wavelengths (220, 254, 280, 360 nm), and a 20  $\mu$ l loop) was employed. Data  
118 acquisition and analyses were carried out with Agilent Technologies ChemStation  
119 Version B.04.03 chromatographic data software. The UV absorbance is reported as  
120 milliabsorbance units (mAU). Lux Cellulose-1 (coated) (cellulose *tris*(3,5-  
121 dimethylphenylcarbamate) (C-3,5diMe)), Lux Cellulose-2 (coated) (cellulose *tris*(3-  
122 chloro-4-methylphenylcarbamate) (C-3Cl,4Me)), Lux Cellulose-4 (coated) (cellulose  
123 *tris*(4-chloro-3-methylphenylcarbamate) (C-4Cl,3Me)), and Lux i-Cellulose-5  
124 (immobilized) (cellulose *tris*(3,5-dichlorophenylcarbamate) (C-3,5diCl)) were used as  
125 chiral columns (5  $\mu$ m, 250  $\times$ 4.6 mm) (Phenomenex Inc., Torrance, CA, USA). HPLC  
126 grade *n*-hexane (Hex), isopropanol (IPA), and methanol (MeOH) were purchased from  
127 Sigma-Aldrich (Taufkirchen, Germany). Analyses were performed in isocratic mode at  
128 25 °C. The flow rate (*FR*) was set at 0.8 ml/min. Dead time ( $t_0$ ) was measured by  
129 injection of tri-*tert*butylbenzene (Sigma-Aldrich) as a non-retained compound [11]. The

130 enantiomer elution order (EEO) was determined by injecting enantiomers of known  
131 absolute configuration [9]. The van't Hoff experiments were conducted at 5, 10, 15,  
132 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, and 45 °C by using a thermostat jacket equipped with a RE104  
133 LAUDA circulating water-bath (Lauda, Königshofen, Germany). When the temperature  
134 was changed, the column was allowed to equilibrate for 1 h before injecting the  
135 sample. Thermodynamic parameters were derived from the slopes and the intercepts  
136 of the van't Hoff plots (see Supporting Information for details) by linear regression  
137 analysis. Statgraphics Centurion XVI (Statpoint Technologies, Inc., Warrenton, VA,  
138 USA) was used for all linear regression analyses.

### 139 **2.3 Computational**

140 The 3D structures of compounds **1** and **2** and methyl 3,5-dimethylphenylcarbamate,  
141 methyl 3-chloro-4-methylphenylcarbamate, methyl 4-chloro-3-  
142 methylphenylcarbamate and methyl 3,5-dichlorophenylcarbamate, as frameworks  
143 representing the CSP side chains, were prepared using the build function, and model  
144 kits and tools provided by Spartan '10 Version 1.1.0 (Wavefunction Inc., Irvine, CA,  
145 USA) [12] for building and editing organic molecules. On this basis, molecular  
146 structures were generated and their refinement was performed by a MMFF procedure.  
147 Then, each structure was submitted to a conformational systematic search using  
148 MMFF, spanning all shapes accessible to the molecule without regard to energy. After  
149 the elimination of duplicates and high-energy conformers, a set of energetically  
150 accessible conformers was selected. For each conformer, geometry optimization was  
151 performed employing the DFT method with the B3LYP functional and the 6-311G\*  
152 basis set, and finally the respective Boltzmann distribution was constructed. Geometry  
153 optimization and computation of electrostatic potential isosurfaces ( $V_s$ ) and related  
154 parameters ( $V_s$  extrema,  $V_{s,max}$  and  $V_{s,min}$  values, given in au) were performed by  
155 using Gaussian 09 (DFT, B3LYP, 6-311G\*) (Wallingford, CT 06492, USA) [13]. Search  
156 for the exact location of such  $V_{s,max}$  and  $V_{s,min}$  was made through the Multiwfn code  
157 [14] and through its module enabling quantitative analyses of molecular surfaces [15].  
158 The AMBER18 Antechamber toolkit (University of California, San Francisco, USA) [16]  
159 was used to assign the generalized Amber Force Field (GAFF) atom type and the  
160 AM1-BCC type of charge to 4,4'-bipyridines **1** and **2**. The Gaussian 09 program (DFT,  
161 B3LYP, 3-21G\*) [13] was used for the *ab initio* geometry optimization calculation of  
162 the monomeric units of  $\beta$ -D- and  $\alpha$ -D-glucose-1,4-dimethoxy-*tris*(3,5-

163 dimethylphenylcarbamate). The optimized structures were used to build nonamers (9-  
164 mer) of C-3,5diMe and A-3,5diMe, respectively [17]. C-3,5diMe was characterized by  
165 a left-handed threefold (3/2) helix according to the structure reported by Vogt and  
166 Zugenmaier [18], setting the dihedral angles of the units, defined by  $H_1-C_1-O-C_4(\Phi)$   
167 and  $H_4-C_4-O-C_1(\varphi)$  to  $60^\circ$  and  $0^\circ$  (Supporting Information, Fig. S1A). A-3,5diMe was  
168 characterized by a 4/3 left-handed helical structure according to the structure reported  
169 by Okamoto and co-authors [19,20], setting the dihedral angles of the units, defined  
170 by  $H_1-C_1-O-C_4(\Phi)$  and  $H_4-C_4-O-C_1(\varphi)$  to  $-68.5^\circ$  and  $-42.0^\circ$  (Fig. S1B). The terminal  
171 residues of the polymers were closed with methoxyl groups. The polymer structures  
172 were energy-minimized using the GAFF force-fields with AM1-BCC charges assigned  
173 with the Antechamber toolkit. The atoms of the backbone were fixed in their positions  
174 during the simulations by assigning a force constant of 20 kcal/mol so that, starting  
175 from the setting initial values, the applied restriction restrained the rotation of backbone  
176 dihedral angles of residues 2-8 (Fig. S2). The energies and the structure of the  
177 polymers were first prepared using 100 ns MD simulations (see Supporting Information  
178 for details about MD stages) with Hex/IPA 90:10 as medium. This structure was used  
179 in the final MD simulations. The AMBER18 software [16] was used to carry out 100 ns  
180 MD simulations. The initial positions of each enantiomer were determined by  
181 molecular docking (see Supporting Information for details). Solvent effect was taken  
182 into account by means of the explicit periodic solvent box (Hex:IPA 90:10). In this  
183 regard, the polysaccharide-analytes complexes were prepared for MD runs by  
184 solvating the system with an octahedral box with a  $10 \text{ \AA}$  radius polysaccharide cutoff  
185 by using Packmol-*memgen* [21,22] and an in-house script to manage solvent mixtures.  
186 100 ns of the trajectories from each case were considered for statistical analysis. The  
187 Chimera software (UCSF, San Francisco, USA) was used for visualization and  
188 analysis of the MD trajectories [23]. Interaction energies between the polysaccharide  
189 nonamer and the enantiomer were calculated, which include van der Waals (vdW) and  
190 electrostatic (el) energies.

## 191 **3 Results and discussion**

### 192 **3.1 Electrostatic potential analysis of analytes and chiral selectors**

193 For compounds **1** and **2**, the electrostatic potential maxima ( $V_{S,max}$ , Fig. 2, pale blue  
194 points) and minima ( $V_{S,min}$ , Fig. 2, red points) values were computed in order to inspect  
195 the electron charge density distribution on the main electron-poor (electrophile, Lewis  
196 acid) and electron-rich (nucleophile, Lewis base) recognition sites, respectively (Fig.  
197 2A,B). Recently,  $V$  analysis has been fruitfully used to gain insights on selector/analyte  
198 contacts by evaluating the electron charge density on molecular regions involved in  
199 noncovalent interactions [5,24,25]. In compounds **1** and **2**, the distinctive substituents  
200 located at 2'-position are a 4-pyridyl ring in **1** and a 4-hydroxyphenyl group in **2**.  
201 Moreover, both compounds contain a common 3,3',5,5'-tetrachlorinated motif which  
202 represents a symmetric hydrophobic region surrounding the chiral axis. Another  
203 hydrophobic region is present at the 2-position where an iodine atom is located as  
204 substituent. This halogen may act as halogen bond (XB) donor interacting through its  
205 electrophilic  $\sigma$ -hole with the nucleophilic regions of the CSP (Fig. 2C). Higher  
206 polarization was induced by the 4-pyridyl substituent (**1**:  $V_{S,max} = 0.0535$  au) at the  
207 position 2' of the 4,4'-bipyridinyl scaffold compared to the 4-hydroxyphenyl substituent  
208 (**2**:  $V_{S,max} = 0.0496$  au). In our previous studies, we demonstrated by chromatographic  
209 and computational analyses that the carbonyl oxygens of C-3,5diMe and A-3,5diMe  
210 are able, as Lewis bases, to form XBs with the electrophilic  $\sigma$ -hole regions of halogen  
211 substituents bound to the 4,4'-bipyridine rings [17]. HB sites are located on the  
212 aromatic substituents in 2'-position, a nitrogen as HB acceptor ( $V_{S,min} = -0.0658$  au)  
213 and a hydroxyl group as HB acceptor/donor ( $V_{S,min} = -0.0421$  au;  $V_{S,max} = 0.1128$  au),  
214 respectively. As the OH group in **2** is free to rotate around the C-O bond, the  
215 directionality of the HB sites on the OH may change, in principle making compound **2**  
216 more adaptable to the CSP chiral cavity than **1**. In the latter case, the rotation of the  
217 4-pyridyl substituent does not change the directionality of the HB involving the pyridyl  
218 nitrogen.

219 Chiral columns based on C-3,5diMe, C-3Cl,4Me, C-4Cl,3Me, and C-3,5diCl were  
220 selected for this study in order to evaluate the impact of aryl chlorination on their  
221 enantioseparation performances. All columns contain selectors based on the same  
222 cellulose backbone which is derivatized with distinctive side chains determining the  
223 stereoelectronic properties of each selector [8]. The effect of introducing chlorine in



224 the CSP structure is to modify the electron charge density distribution on the side chain  
 225 moieties, thus the electron charge density on both C=O and phenyl ring decreases ( $\pi$ -  
 226 acidity increases), whereas the acidity of the N-H increases [26]. This trend has been  
 227 confirmed by calculating  $V_{S,max}$  and  $V_{S,min}$  values on pivotal regions of the side chains  
 228 of C-3,5diMe, C-3Cl,4Me, C-4Cl,3Me, and C-3,5diCl (Table 1).

229 **Table 1.** Cellulose carbamate-based CSPs/columns used in the study, and  $V_{S,max}$  and  $V_{S,min}$   
 230 values associated with the main recognition sites (carbamate N-H and C=O)

Column <sup>a)</sup>	Ar (R',R''-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )	Abbreviation	$V_{S,min}$ C=O (au) <sup>b)</sup>	$V_{S,max}$ N-H (au) <sup>b)</sup>
Cellulose-1	3,5-dimethyl	C-3,5diMe	-0.0630	0.0827
Cellulose-2	3-chloro-4-methyl	C-3Cl,4Me	-0.0576	0.0902
Cellulose-4	4-chloro-3-methyl	C-4Cl,3Me	-0.0578	0.0910
i-Cellulose-5	3,5-dichloro	C-3,5diCl	-0.0532	0.0987

231 <sup>a)</sup> Lux series columns (Phenomenex Inc., Torrance, CA, USA). <sup>b)</sup>  $V_S$  values calculated at  
 232 DFT/B3LYP/6-311G\* level,  $V_{S,max}$  (Fig. 2C, maxima a) and  $V_{S,min}$  (Fig. 2C, minima b)

233  
 234 It is known that the introduction of chlorine increases the fraction of free N-H groups  
 235 [27], whereas the fraction of N-H involved in intramolecular HBs, contributing to  
 236 maintain the high-ordered structure of the CSP, decreases. This could produce for the  
 237 chlorinated CSPs a wider cavity available for the enantiomers with respect to the  
 238 dimethylated selector, the overall enantioseparation resulting from the balance of  
 239 carbamate polarity and intramolecular HB ability [27].

### 240 3.2 Chromatographic screening

241 The enantioseparability of TCIBPs **1** and **2** was tested on coated C-3,5diMe, C-  
 242 3Cl,4Me, C-4Cl,3Me, and immobilized C-3,5diCl columns by using Hex/IPA 90:10 as  
 243 MP. A comparison between the behaviours of the four columns is reported in Figure 3  
 244 (see Supporting Information, Table S2 for numerical data).

245 Good selectivity was achieved for the enantioseparation of **1** on C-3,5diMe ( $\alpha = 2.82$ )  
 246 exclusively, whereas lower selectivity values ranging from 1.07 to 1.15 were obtained  
 247 in other cases. No enantioseparation was observed for **1** and **2** on C-3Cl,4Me and C-  
 248 4Cl,3Me, respectively. Retention of both enantiomers was higher for **1** (average  $k_1 =$   
 249 4.9; average  $k_2 = 6.4$ ) compared to **2** (average  $k_1 = 2.1$ ; average  $k_2 = 2.3$ ) in almost all  
 250 cases. The first eluted enantiomer of **2** showed higher retention only on C-3,5diMe ( $k_1$   
 251 (**2**) = 2.94 vs  $k_2$  (**1**) = 2.67). Given the presence of a HB acceptor (pyridyl nitrogen) as  
 252 a distinctive recognition site, for compound **1** retention of the first eluted enantiomer  
 253 tended to increase as the HB donor ability of the selector amidic N-H also increased  
 254 (towards more positive  $V_{S,max}$  values moving from C-3,5diMe to C-3,5diCl). The

255 opposite trend was observed for compound **2** due to the presence of a HB donor (OH  
256 hydrogen) as distinctive recognition site. In this case, retention of both enantiomers  
257 increased as the N-H  $V_{S,max}$  values and the electron charge density on the carbamate  
258 C=O decreased and increased (towards more negative  $V_{S,min}$  values moving from C-  
259 3,5diCl to C-3,5diMe), respectively. As a particular case, retention of the second eluted  
260 enantiomer of compound **1** increased moving from C-3,5diMe ( $k_2 = 7.54$ ) to C-3,5diCl  
261 ( $k_2 = 8.26$ ), whereas the two chloromethyl substituted C-3Cl,4Me and C-4Cl,3Me  
262 provided lower  $k_2$  values (4.78 and 5.15, respectively). On the other hand, EEO  
263 reversal was observed on C-3Cl,4Me and C-4Cl,3Me (*M-P*) for both compounds  
264 compared to the 3,5-disubstituted C-3,5diMe and C-3,5diCl (*P-M*), this evidence  
265 revealing the occurrence of a different adsorption mechanism [3,28-31] It is worth  
266 noting that EEO is also a key factor for the method development [32]. Indeed, as chiral  
267 separation methods are optimized for optical purity control of a chiral analyte, the  
268 possibility to modify the EEO may be advantageous in order to have the polluting  
269 enantiomer eluted first [28,31,32].

270 The addition of 5% MeOH to the MP was detrimental for retention and selectivity in  
271 almost all cases (Supporting Information, Table S3 and Fig. S3). However, for  
272 compound **1** on the C-3,5diMe the use of the mixture Hex/IPA/MeOH 90:5:5  
273 contributed to reduce elution time ( $k_1$ , -12%;  $k_2$ , -52%) keeping selectivity value  
274 acceptable ( $\alpha = 1.54$ ) (Fig. S3A,B). In this regard, it is worth noting that the addition of  
275 MeOH to the MP impacted retention of the second eluted enantiomer of compound **1**  
276 more on the C-3,5diMe ( $k_2$ , -52%) compared to the other chlorinated C-3Cl,4Me, C-  
277 4Cl,3Me, and C-3,5diCl ( $k_2$ , -17.6%, -32.6%, -34.4%, respectively). This suggested  
278 that a second key interaction involving the carbamate C=O possibly affected by 5%  
279 MeOH addition may participate in chiral recognition. In this regard, the involvement of  
280 a XB between the 2-iodine of compound **1** as XB donor and the carbonyl of the CSP  
281 as XB acceptor could be envisaged, the  $V_{S,max}$  value on 2-iodine being higher for **1**  
282 (0.0535 au) compared to **2** (0.0496 au).

283 This chromatographic results confirmed previous observations showing that the  
284 anisotropic properties of chiral substituted 4,4'-bipyridines strongly depend on the  
285 stereoelectronic features of the 2,2',3,3',5,5'-substituents bore by the orthogonal  
286 heteroaromatic rings, as a consequence of the atropisomeric motif [33,34]. For **1** and  
287 **2**, it was expected that the enantiodiscrimination degree should be related to the  
288 strength of noncovalent interactions involving both 2- and 2'- positions, due to the

289 symmetry of the 3,3',5,5'-tetrachloro pattern. On the other hand, the direct contribution  
290 to retention and selectivity of the 4,4'-bipyridine core was shown to be low, in particular  
291 due to the weakness of the pyridine nitrogens as HB acceptors ( $-0.0490 \text{ au} \leq V_{S,\text{min}} \leq$   
292  $-0.0426 \text{ au}$ ). However, in compound **1** three electron-withdrawing heteroaromatic  
293 substructures polarized iodine, thus contributing to its capability to exert XB.

### 294 **3.2.1 Effect of temperature on enantioseparation**

295 With the aim to explore the impact of temperature on enantioseparation, and compare  
296 the thermodynamic profiles of the cellulose-based CSPs as derived from van't Hoff  
297 analysis (see Supporting Information for details on van't Hoff and thermodynamic  
298 equations), retention and selectivity of compounds **1** and **2** on the four cellulose-based  
299 CSPs were determined at different temperatures from 5 to 45°C in 5°C increments  
300 (Supporting Information, Tables S4-S7) using Hex/IPa 90:10 as MP. Several papers  
301 have dealt with theory of adsorption phenomena in chromatography, and with methods  
302 for profiling temperature dependence of retention and selectivity and thermodynamic  
303 quantities associated with the adsorption of analytes on the CSP surface [35,36].  
304 Some studies stressed that thermodynamic quantities derived from the classical van't  
305 Hoff equation are macroscopic entities which do not account for surface heterogeneity  
306 of the CSPs that determines individually achiral and chiral features of  
307 enantioseparation [37]. On the other hand, thermodynamic parameters depend on  
308 analyte, MP and the diffuse chiral (chirotopic) environment profile of the CSP.  
309 Therefore, the nature of the analyte/CSP contact can be explored on the basis of  
310 thermodynamic considerations, and useful information can emerge by comparison of  
311 thermodynamic data of analogue analyte/CSP pairs as subtle variations of the  
312 chromatographic system occur. In addition, temperature is a useful variable to  
313 optimize enantioseparation [3,38,39].

314 The thermodynamic quantities derived from van't Hoff plots (Fig. 4) are reported in  
315 Table S8 (Supplementary information). On this basis, the following remarks emerged:

316 i) compounds **1** and **2** showed different thermodynamic profiles, and the temperature  
317 dependence pattern was observed to be a function of the 2'-substituent structure and  
318 of the CSP type;

319 ii) for compounds **1** and **2** the enantioseparations were enthalpy-driven on the 3,5-  
320 disubstituted CSPs because the temperature range was below the calculated  $T_{ISO}$ ,  
321 and the thermodynamic ratio  $Q = \Delta\Delta H / (298 \times \Delta\Delta S) > 1$  [40] ( $157^\circ\text{C} \leq T_{ISO} \leq 587^\circ\text{C}$ ;

322  $1.44 \leq Q \leq 2.85$ ) (Fig. 4A,B,G,H). On the contrary, the enantioseparations were shown  
323 to be entropy-driven on the 3,4-disubstituted CSPs in almost all cases (Fig. 4C,E,F) ( $-$   
324  $70^{\circ}\text{C} \leq T_{ISO} \leq 15^{\circ}\text{C}$ ;  $0.68 \leq Q \leq 0.97$ ). These different thermodynamic profiles could  
325 explain the EEO reversal from *P-M* to *M-P* observed as the substitution pattern of the  
326 CSP phenyl rings changes from the 3,5- to 3,4-disubstitution;

327 iii) for compound **1** partial separation was observed on C-3Cl,4Me in the range 30-  
328  $45^{\circ}\text{C}$  ( $1.017 \leq \alpha \leq 1.035$ ) (Supporting Information, Fig. S4A), whereas for compound  
329 **2** on the C-4Cl,3Me very low enantioseparation was detectable at  $45^{\circ}\text{C}$  exclusively ( $\alpha$   
330  $= 1.018$ ) (Fig. S4B);

331 iv) in the case of compound **2** enantioseparation on C-3Cl,4Me, the thermodynamic  
332 profiles revealed the presence of two concurrent mechanisms in the range  $5-45^{\circ}\text{C}$ , an  
333 entropy controlled ( $T_{ISO} = -55^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $Q = 0.73$ ) at low temperature and an enthalpy  
334 controlled mechanism ( $T_{ISO} = 97^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $Q = 1.24$ ) at higher temperature. The two  
335 mechanisms coalesced between 30 and  $20^{\circ}\text{C}$ , providing at  $25^{\circ}\text{C}$  the best value of  
336 selectivity ( $\alpha = 1.07$ ), and a concave profile for the plot  $\ln \alpha = f(1/T)$  (Supporting  
337 Information, Fig. S5);

338 v) on this basis, enantioseparation of compounds **1** and **2** could in some cases be  
339 optimized by varying the temperature. For compound **1** on C-3,5diMe, elution time  
340 could be reduced at  $45^{\circ}\text{C}$  maintaining good selectivity ( $\alpha_{25^{\circ}\text{C} \rightarrow 45^{\circ}\text{C}} = 2.83 \rightarrow 2.32$ ). In  
341 the other cases, enantioselectivity was almost independent of the temperature  
342 variation (Fig. S5). However, for **1** on C-4Cl,3Me, the enantioseparation under entropic  
343 control could be optimized at  $45^{\circ}\text{C}$  ( $\alpha_{25^{\circ}\text{C} \rightarrow 45^{\circ}\text{C}} = 1.11 \rightarrow 1.13$ ). For both compounds **1**  
344 and **2** on C-3,5diCl, enantioseparation could be optimized under enthalpic conditions  
345 at  $5^{\circ}\text{C}$  ( $\alpha_{25^{\circ}\text{C} \rightarrow 5^{\circ}\text{C}}$  (**1**)  $= 1.10 \rightarrow 1.12$ ;  $\alpha_{25^{\circ}\text{C} \rightarrow 5^{\circ}\text{C}}$  (**2**)  $= 1.14 \rightarrow 1.16$ ).

## 346 **4 Molecular dynamics simulations**

347 As depicted in Figure 5, C-3,5diMe and A-3,5diMe [3] showed complementary  
348 enantioseparation ability towards compounds **1** and **2**. Indeed, compound **1** (Fig. 5A)  
349 ( $\alpha = 2.82$ ) was enantioseparated on the C-3,5diMe better than compound **2** (Fig. 5C)  
350 ( $\alpha = 1.14$ ), whereas **2** (Fig. 5D) ( $\alpha = 1.26$ ) was enantioseparated on the A-3,5diMe with  
351 selectivity higher than compound **1** (Fig. 5B) ( $\alpha = 1.04$ ). A backbone-dependent  
352 reversal of EEO was also observed, the elution sequence being *P-M* and *M-P* on C-

353 3,5diMe and A-3,5diMe, respectively. In addition, thermodynamic analysis evidenced  
 354 an enthalpic contribution to free energy difference ( $\Delta\Delta G^\circ$ ) associated to the  
 355 enantioseparations higher for C-3,5diMe ( $Q = 1.44, 1.60$ ) compared to A-3,5diMe ( $Q$   
 356  $= 1.04, 1.08$ ). The enthalpic contribution to enantioseparation was higher for  
 357 compound **2** compared to **1**, the difference being more pronounced on C-3,5-diMe  
 358 ( $\Delta Q_{1,2} = 0.16$ ) compared to the amylose-based selector ( $\Delta Q_{1,2} = 0.02$ ).

359 On this basis, with the aim to explore the molecular basis of these chromatographic  
 360 behaviors, a theoretical investigation based on MD simulations was performed by  
 361 using C-3,5diMe and A-3,5diMe nonamers as virtual models of the polysaccharide-  
 362 based selectors.

363 The 100 ns MD simulations in the AMBER force field [41] were performed by using the  
 364 mixture Hex/IPA 90:10 as a virtual solvent in accord with the experimental conditions  
 365 used in the chromatographic studies. With the aim to confirm the hypothesis that a XB  
 366 involving the 2-iodine substituent of the enantiomer (*M*)-**1** could contribute to the high  
 367 adsorption on C-3,5diMe ( $t_R = 30.25$  min), the explicit  $\sigma$ -hole (ESH) concept [42,43]  
 368 was used to model the electrophilic electron charge density depletion on the iodine  
 369 atom [17] (see Supporting Information for details). For both analytes, the simulations  
 370 were performed with and without ESH in order to also evaluate the MD results when  
 371 the electrophilic character of iodine is suppressed. The total interaction energies  
 372 calculated for (*M*)- and (*P*)-enantiomers of **1** and **2** in their complexes with each of the  
 373 polysaccharide nonamer are summarized in Table 2.

374 **Table 2.** Binding energies ( $E_{int}$ ) (kcal/mol) and component contributions ( $E_{el}$ ,  $E_{vdW}$ ) for the  
 375 association of (*M*)-**1**, (*P*)-**1**, (*M*)-**2**, and (*P*)-**2** with C-3,5diMe ( $EEO_{exp} = P-M$ ) and A-3,5diMe  
 376 ( $EEO_{exp} = M-P$ )

TCIBP	C-3,5diMe				A-3,5diMe			
	$EEO_{calc}$	$E_{int}$	$E_{el}$	$E_{vdW}$	$EEO_{calc}$	$E_{int}$	$E_{el}$	$E_{vdW}$
<b>1</b>	<i>P</i>	-30.63	-3.43	-27.20	<i>M</i>	-32.16	-6.06	-26.10
	<i>M</i> *	-33.23	-12.08	-21.15	<i>P</i>	-35.48	-6.03	-29.45
<b>2</b>	<i>P</i>	-31.76	-3.55	-28.21	<i>M</i>	-38.30	-9.49	-28.81
	<i>M</i>	-36.29	-13.78	-22.51	<i>P</i>	-41.25	-8.29	-32.96

377 \* Explicit  $\sigma$ -hole was introduced on 2-iodine of (*M*)-**1**

378 The reported energies are mean values which were calculated from 5000 complexes  
 379 obtained by snapshots taken every 20 ps from the 100 ns MD trajectories. The  
 380 interaction energy ( $E_{int}$ ) between enantiomer and selector is calculated on the basis of  
 381 the energies of the selector-enantiomer complex, the selector and the enantiomer (eq.  
 382 1)

383  $E_{\text{int}} = E_{\text{total}} - E_{\text{enantiomer}} - E_{\text{polysaccharide-based selector}}$  (1)

384 where the  $E_{\text{int}}$  term derived from the contributions of the van der Waals (vdW) and the  
385 electrostatic (el) interaction terms (eq. 2).

386  $E_{\text{int}} = E_{\text{el}} + E_{\text{vdW}}$  (2)

387 In Figure 6, representative snapshots and noncovalent interactions from the simulated  
388 MD trajectories of **1** and **2** complexes with C-3,5diMe (**A-D**) and A-3,5diMe (**E-H**) are  
389 depicted. The following remarks emerged:

390 i) in accord with a previous observation [17], MD simulation provided a more compact  
391 structure for A-3,5diMe nonamer (with smaller cavities) compared to the C-3,5diMe;

392 ii) coherently, in all simulations involving the A-3,5diMe nonamers, the bulky iodine  
393 substituent protruded out of the polymer groove (Fig. 6F,G,H) or was oriented towards  
394 the void inside the groove (Fig. 6E), thus no XB was detected even if the ESH was  
395 introduced on the iodine. This finding is in accord with our previous observations on  
396 the detrimental effect of the compact structure of A-3,5diMe on XBs involving iodine  
397 [17];

398 iii) analogously, in all cellulose-based complexes involving the (*P*)-**1**, (*P*)-**2**, and (*M*)-**2**  
399 enantiomers, modelled either with and without ESH, the iodine was oriented outside  
400 the polymer (Fig. 6A,C,D);

401 iv) otherwise, a XB between the 2-iodine and the carbamate C=O was detected in the  
402 complex (*M*)-**1** / C-3,5diMe as the ESH was introduced on the iodine of the analyte. In  
403 this case, the calculated EEO (Table 2) is fully consistent with the experimental elution  
404 sequence. On the contrary, the simulation performed without ESH correction provided  
405 a theoretical EEO not consistent with experimental EEO showing that the electrophilic  
406 feature of iodine has a pivotal role in the enantiodiscrimination. On this basis, the high  
407 retention of the enantiomer (*M*)-**1** was related to a four-component noncovalent  
408 interaction pattern consisting of one HB, two  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions and a XB (Fig. 6B);

409 v) for each MD simulations, the  $E_{\text{vdW}}$  component was found to be the major contribution  
410 to the interaction energy. Indeed, in all cases, hydrophobic contacts between the  
411 haloaromatic scaffold of the analyte and the surface of the polymer appeared to govern  
412 analyte / selector association along with distinctive HBs and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions;

413 vi) the fit of both enantiomers (*P*) on the C-3,5-diMe was very similar in accord with  
414 the close chromatographic retention values observed for the two *P*-enantiomers ( $t_R$  (**1**)  
415 = 13.00 min,  $t_R$  (**2**) = 14.00 min);

416 vii) in both **2** / A-3,5diMe complexes, each enantiomer is bound to the polysaccharide  
417 surface with the 4-hydroxyphenyl part protruding deeply inside the groove, and with  
418 the hydroxyl group engaged in HBs with carbamate sites, while buried into the  
419 hydrophobic environment generated by the nonpolar regions of the polymer. This  
420 profile is consistent with the high retention of both enantiomers of **2** on A-3,5diMe ( $t_R$   
421 (*M*) = 26.31 min,  $t_R$  (*P*) = 32.24 min) [3];

422 viii) finally, it is interesting to note that on A-3,5diMe the 2'-(4-pyridyl) substituent was  
423 found in the external part of the surface (Fig. 6E;F), whereas the 2'-(4-hydroxyphenyl)  
424 substituent penetrated into the groove of the CSP, being blocked inside by HB  
425 interactions. Indeed, in this case, the hydroxyl group (and its associated recognition  
426 sites) is free to rotate around the C-O bond, protruding inside the chiral cavity as a  
427 molecular drill and making the analyte more adaptable compared to compound **1**.

## 428 **5 Concluding remarks**

429 The enantioseparation of TCIBPs **1** and **2** on 3,5-disubstituted (C-3,5diMe and C-  
430 3,5diCl) and 3,4-disubstituted (C-3Cl,4Me and C-4Cl,3Me) cellulose-based CSPs and  
431 related recognition mechanisms were explored through a multidisciplinary approach  
432 based on chromatographic and thermodynamic analysis, electrostatic potential  
433 analysis and MD simulations. Under NP elution conditions, lower selectivities were  
434 obtained in almost all cases compared to amylose-based selectors, which we had  
435 used in a previous study. The enantioseparation of 2'-(4-pyridyl)-TCIBP on C-3,5diMe  
436 represented an exception, and good selectivity could be obtained by using Hex/IPA  
437 90:10 as MP ( $\alpha$  = 2.82). Under these elution conditions, the analysis time was rather  
438 long (> 30 minutes). However, good selectivities could be obtained with shorter elution  
439 time by adding 5% MeOH to the MP (Hex/IPA/MeOH 90:5:5) ( $t$  < 20 min;  $\alpha$  = 1.54) or  
440 by increasing elution temperature to 45°C ( $t$  < 22 min;  $\alpha$  = 2.32).

441 EEO reversals dependent on the substitution pattern of the phenyl group of the CSPs  
442 were observed, the elution sequence being *P-M* and *M-P* on the 3,5- and 3,4-  
443 disubstituted CSPs, respectively, for both analytes. In particular, temperature-  
444 dependent enantioseparations performed in the range 5-45 °C allowed for identifying

445 enthalpy- ( $T_{ISO} \geq 157^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $Q > 1$ ) and entropy-controlled ( $T_{ISO} \leq 15^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $Q < 1$ ) profiles for  
446 3,5- and 3,4-disubstituted CSPs, respectively.

447 The molecular bases of the complementary enantioseparation profiles and the  
448 backbone-dependent EEO reversal obtained for **1** and **2** on C-3,5diMe (*P-M*) and A-  
449 3,5diMe (*M-P*) were explored by MD simulations. Interaction energies calculated from  
450 100 ns MD trajectories provided EEOs which were fully consistent with the  
451 experimental elution sequences. Analysis of calculated energies, analyte / selector  
452 complexes and noncovalent interaction patterns evidenced a) the more compact  
453 structure of the amylose-based polymer compared to the cellulose-based  
454 polysaccharide, and its capability to envelop the analytes which are able to penetrate  
455 into the cavity, b) the dominant contribution of van der Waals interactions to the overall  
456 analyte / selector binding, c) the pivotal role of the distinctive HB sites at the 2'-position  
457 of the TCIBP scaffold, inducing diverse adsorption mechanisms due to distinctive  
458 electronic and steric properties, d) the noncovalent interaction pattern causing the high  
459 adsorption of the enantiomer (*M*)-**1** on C-3,5diMe, and finally e) the contribution of a  
460 XB interaction to the adsorption of (*M*)-**1** on C-3,5diMe.

## 461 **Acknowledgements**

462 This work has been supported by Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italy (Dipartimento  
463 di Scienze Molecolari e Nanosistemi DSMN, ADIR funds).

## 464 **Conflict of interest**

465 The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

## 466 **6 References**

467 [1] Scriba, G. K. E., *J. Chromatogr. A* 2016, 1467, 56-78.

468 [2] Lämmerhofer, M., *J. Chromatogr. A* 2010, 1217, 814-856.

469 [3] Peluso, P., Sechi, B., Lai, G., Dessì, A., Dallochio, R., Cossu, S., Aubert, E.,  
470 Weiss, R., Pale, P., Mamane, V., Chankvetadze, B., *J. Chromatogr. A* 2020, 1625,  
471 461303.



472 [4] Peluso, P., Mamane, V., Dallochio, R., Dessì, A., Cossu, S., *J. Chromatogr. A*  
473 2020, 1623, 461202.

474 [5] Peluso, P., Chankvetadze, B., *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2021, 1141, 194-205.

475 [6] Hirschmann, H., Hanson, K. R., *Top. Stereochem.* 1983, 14, 183-229.

476 [7] Mislow, K., Siegel, J., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1984, 106, 3319-3328.

477 [8] Chankvetadze, B., *Trends Anal. Chem.* 2020, 122, 115709.

478 [9] Dessì, A., Peluso, P., Dallochio, R., Weiss, R., Andreotti, G., Allocca, M., Aubert,  
479 E., Pale, P., Mamane, V., Cossu, S., *Molecules* 2020, 25, 2213.

480 [10] Peluso, P., Dessì, A., Dallochio, R., Mamane, V., Cossu, S., *Electrophoresis*  
481 2019, 40, 1881-1896.

482 [11] Koller, H., Rimböck, K. -E., Mannschreck, A. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1983, 282, 89-94.

483 [12] Shao, Y., Molnar, L. F., Jung, Y., Kussmann, J., Ochsenfeld, C., Brown, S. T.,  
484 Gilbert, A. T. B., Slipchenko, L. V., Levchenko, S. V., O'Neil, D. P., Di Stasio Jr, R. A.,  
485 Lochan, R. C.; Wang, T., Beran, G. J. O., Besley, N. A., Herbert, J. M., Lin, C. Y.,  
486 VanVoorhis, T., Chien, S. H., Sodt, A., Steele, R. P., Rassolov, V. A., Maslen, P. E.,  
487 Korambath, P. P., Adamson, R. D., Austin, B., Baker, J., Byrd, E. F. C., Dachsel, H.,  
488 Doerksen, R. J., Dreuw, A., Dunietz, B. D., Dutoi, A. D., Furlani, T. R., Gwaltney, S.  
489 R., Heyden, A., Hirata, S., Hsu, C. -P., Kedziora, G., Khalliulin, R. Z., Klunzinger, P.,  
490 Lee, A. M., Lee, M. S., Liang, W. Z., Lotan, I., Nair, N., Peters, B., Proynov, E. I.,  
491 Pieniazek, P. A., Rhee, Y. M., Ritchie, J., Rosta, E., Sherrill, C. D., Simmonett, A. C.,  
492 Subotnik, J. E., Woodcock III, H. L., Zhang, W., Bell, A. T., Chakraborty, A. K.,  
493 Chipman, D. M., Keil, F. J., Warshel, A., Hehre, W. J., Schaefer, H. F., Kong, J., Krylov,  
494 A. I., Gill, P. M. W., Head-Gordon, M., *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2006, 8, 3172-3191.

495 [13] Frisch, M. J., Trucks, G. W., Schlegel, H. B., Scuseria, G. E., Robb, M. A.,  
496 Cheeseman, J. R., Scalmani, G., Barone, V., Mennucci, B., Petersson, G. A.,  
497 Nakatsuji, H., Caricato, M., Li, X., Hratchian, H. P., Izmaylov, A. F., Bloino, J., Zheng,  
498 G., Sonnenberg, J. L., Hada, M., Ehara, M., Toyota, K., Fukuda, R., Hasegawa, J.,  
499 Ishida, M., Nakajima, T., Honda, Y., Kitao, O., Nakai, H., Vreven, T., Montgomery, J.  
500 A. Jr., Peralta, J. E., Ogliaro, F., Bearpark, M., Heyd, J. J., Brothers, E., Kudin, K. N.,  
501 Staroverov, V. N., Keith, T., Kobayashi, R., Normand, J., Raghavachari, K., Rendell,  
502 A., Burant, J. C., Iyengar, S. S., Tomasi, J., Cossi, M., Rega, N., Millam, J. M., Klene,  
503 M., Knox, J. E., Cross, J. B., Bakken, V., Adamo, C., Jaramillo, J., Gomperts, R.,

504 Stratmann, R. E., Yazyev, O., Austin, A. J., Cammi, R., Pomelli, C., Ochterski, J. W.,  
505 Martin, R. L., Morokuma, R. L., Zakrzewski, V. G., Voth, G., Salvador, P., Dannenberg,  
506 J. J., Dapprich, S., Daniels, A. D., Farkas, O., Foresman, J. B., Ortiz, J., Cioslowski,  
507 J., Fox, D. J., Gaussian 09, Revision B. 01; Gaussian. Inc.: Wallingford, CT, USA,  
508 2010.

509 [14] Lu, T., Chen, F., *J. Comp. Chem.* 2012, 33, 580-592.

510 [15] Lu, T., Chen, F., *J. Mol. Graph. Model.* 2012, 38, 314-323.

511 [16] Case, D. A., Ben-Shalom, I. Y., Brozell, S. R., Cerutti, D. S., Cheatham, T. E. III,  
512 Cruzeiro, V. W. D., Darden, T. A., Duke, R. E., Ghoreishi, D., Gilson, M. K., Gohlke,  
513 H., Goetz, A. W., Greene, D., Harris, R., Homeyer, N., Huang, Y., Izadi, S., Kovalenko,  
514 A., Kurtzman, T., Lee, T. S., LeGrand, S., Li, P., Lin, C., Liu, J., Luchko, T., Luo, R.,  
515 Mermelstein, D. J., Merz, K. M., Miao, Y., Monard, G., Nguyen, C., Nguyen, H.,  
516 Omelyan, I., Onufriev, A., Pan, F., Qi, R., Roe, D. R., Roitberg, A., Sagui, C., Schott-  
517 Verdugo, S., Shen, J., Simmerling, C. L., Smith, J., Salomon-Ferrer, R., Swails, J.,  
518 Walker, R. C., Wang, J., Wei, H., Wolf, R. M., Wu, X., Xiao, L., York, D. M., Kollman,  
519 P. A., AMBER 2018, University of California, San Francisco, 2018.

520 [17] Peluso, P., Mamane, V., Dallochio, R., Dessì, A., Villano, R., Sanna, D., Aubert,  
521 E., Pale, P., Cossu, S., *J. Sep. Sci.* 2018, 41, 1247-1256.

522 [18] Vogt, U., Zugenmaier, P., *Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem* 1985, 89, 1217-1224.

523 [19] Yamamoto, C., Yashima, E., Okamoto, Y., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002, 124, 12583-  
524 12589.

525 [20] Ye, Y. K., Bai, S., Vyas, S., Wirth, M. J., *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2007, 111, 1189-1198.

526 [21] Martínez, J. M., Martínez, L., *J. Comput. Chem.* 2003, 24, 819-825.

527 [22] Martínez, L., Andrade, R., Birgin, E. G., Martínez, J. M., *J. Comput. Chem.* 2009,  
528 30, 2157-2164.

529 [23] Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Couch, G. S., Greenblatt, D. M.,  
530 Meng, E. C., Ferrin, T. E., *J. Comput. Chem.* 2004, 25, 1605-1612.

531 [24] Peluso, P., Cossu, S., *Chirality* 2013, 25, 709-718.

532 [25] Yamada, Y., Ohyama, K., Onodera, G., Kuriyama, M., Kishikawa, N., Kuroda, N.,  
533 *J. Chromatogr. A* 2015, 1425, 173-179.

534 [26] Chankvetadze, B., *J. Chromatogr. A* 2012, 1269, 26-51.

- 535 [27] Chankvetadze, B., Chankvetadze, L., Sidamonidze, S., Kasashima, E., Yashima,  
536 E., Okamoto, Y., *J. Chromatogr. A* 1997, 787, 67-77.
- 537 [28] Matarashvili, I., Chankvetadze, L., Fanali, S., Farkas, T., Chankvetadze, B. *J. Sep.*  
538 *Sci.* 2013, 36, 140-147.
- 539 [29] Vaňkátová, P., Kubíčková, A., Cigl, M., Kalíková, K. *J. Supercrit. Fluids* 2019, 146,  
540 217-225.
- 541 [30] Jakubec, P., Douša, M., Nováková, L. *J. Sep. Sci.* 2020, 43, 2675-2689.
- 542 [31] Vaňkátová, P., Kubíčková, A., Kalíková, K. *Electrophoresis* 2020,  
543 10.1002/elps.202000350.
- 544 [32] Tok, K. C., Gumustas, M., Jibuti, G., Suzen, H. S., Ozkan, S. A., Chankvetadze,  
545 B. *Molecules* 2020, 25, 5865.
- 546 [33] Peluso, P., Mamane, V., Aubert, E., Cossu, S., *J. Chromatogr. A* 2014, 1345, 182-  
547 192.
- 548 [34] Peluso, P., Mamane, V., Aubert, E., Cossu, S., *Electrophoresis* 2017, 38, 1830-  
549 1850.
- 550 [35] Asnin, L. D., Stepanova, M. V., *J. Sep. Sci.* 2018, 41, 1319–1337.
- 551 [36] Sepsey, A., Horváth, E., Catani, M., Felinger, A., *J. Chromatogr. A* 2020, 1611,  
552 460594.
- 553 [37] Fornstedt, T., *J. Chromatogr. A* 2010, 1217, 792-812.
- 554 [38] Ianni, F., Pataj, Z., Gross, H., Sardella, R., Natalini, B., Lindner, W., Lämmerhofer,  
555 M., *J. Chromatogr. A* 2014, 1363, 101-108.
- 556 [9] Matarashvili, I., Kobidze, G., Chelidze, A., Dolidze, G., Beridze, N., Jibuti, G.,  
557 Farkas, T., Chankvetadze, B., *J. Chromatogr. A* 2019, 1599, 172-179.
- 558 [40] Tanács, D., Orosz, T., Szakonyi, Z., Le, T. M., Fülöp, F., Lindner, W., Ilisz, I.,  
559 Péter, A., *J. Chromatogr. A* 2020, 1621, 461054.
- 560 [41] Maier, J. A., Martinez, C., Kasavajhala, K., Wickstrom, L., Hauser, K. E.,  
561 Simmerling, C., *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 2015, 11, 3696-3713.
- 562 [42] Ibrahim, M. A. A., *J. Mol. Model.* 2012, 18, 4625-4638.
- 563 [43] Kolar, M., Hobza, P., Bronowska, K., *Chem. Commun.* 2013, 49, 981-983.

## 564 Supporting information

565 **Supporting information file:** Additional HPLC, thermodynamic and computational  
566 data.

567

### 568 FIGURE CAPTIONS

569 **Figure 1.** Structures of chiral 4,4'-bipyridines **1** and **2** and cellulose-based chiral  
570 stationary phases.

571 **Figure 2.** Maps of potential recognition sites of compounds **1** (**A**) and **2** (**B**), and of  
572 carbamate side chain of C-3,5diMe (**C**) described in terms of  $V_{S,max}$  (pale blue) and  
573  $V_{S,min}$  (red) (values are reported in au) representation. For values associated to the  $V$   
574 extrema **a** and **b** see Table 1.

575 **Figure 3.** Correlation between retention range ( $k$  values) on four cellulose-based CSPs  
576 for compounds **1** and **2** and  $V_{S,max}$  and  $V_{S,min}$  values calculated on each cellulose  
577 carbamate recognition site (N-H and C=O).

578 **Figure 4.** In  $k_M$  and In  $k_P$  vs  $1/T$  plots for the enantioseparation of **1** and **2** on C-3,5diMe,  
579 C-3Cl,4Me, C-4Cl,3Me, and C-3,5diCl (Hex/IPA 90:10,  $FR = 0.8$  ml/min, temperature  
580 range 278.15-318.15 K).

581 **Figure 5.** Chromatograms of enantioseparations of compounds **1** and **2** on C-3,5-diMe  
582 (**A** and **C**, respectively) and A-3,5-diMe (**B** and **D**, respectively) [3], MP = Hex/IPA  
583 90:10,  $FR = 0.8$  ml/min,  $T = 25^\circ\text{C}$ .

584 **Figure 6.** Representative snapshots and noncovalent interactions from the simulated  
585 MD trajectories of **1** and **2** complexes with C-3,5diMe (**A-D**) and A-3,5diMe (**E-H**).

586

### 587 TABLE CAPTIONS

588 **Table 1.** Cellulose carbamate-based CSPs/columns used in the study, and  $V_{S,max}$  and  
589  $V_{S,min}$  values associated with the main recognition sites (carbamate N-H and C=O)

590 **Table 2.** Binding energies ( $E_{int}$ ) (kcal/mol) and component contributions ( $E_{el}$ ,  $E_{vdW}$ ) for  
591 the association of (*M*)-**1**, (*P*)-**1**, (*M*)-**2**, and (*P*)-**2** with C-3,5diMe ( $EEO_{exp} = P-M$ ) and  
592 A-3,5diMe ( $EEO_{exp} = M-P$ )

593