Hepatitis B Virus-induced modulation of liver macrophage function promotes hepatocyte infection

Suzanne Faure-Dupuy^{1,2,\$}, Marion Delphin^{1,\$}, Ludovic Aillot¹, Laura Dimier¹, Fanny Lebossé^{1,3}, Judith Fresquet¹, Romain Parent¹, Matthias Sebastian Matter⁴, Michel Rivoire⁵, Nathalie Bendriss-Vermare¹, Anna Salvetti¹, Danijela Heide², Lalo Flores⁶, Klaus Klumpp⁶, Angela Lam⁶, Fabien Zoulim^{1,3,7}, Mathias Heikenwälder², David Durantel^{1,7,+,*}, and Julie Lucifora^{1,+,*}

¹INSERM, U1052, Cancer Research Center of Lyon (CRCL), Université de Lyon (UCBL1), CNRS UMR_5286, France ;

²Division of Chronic Inflammation and Cancer, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany;

³Department of Hepatology, Croix-Rousse Hospital, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France;

⁴University Hospital of Basel, Institute of Pathology, Basel, Switzerland;

⁵INSERM U1032, Centre Léon Bérard (CLB), Lyon, France ;

⁶Novira therapeutics; part of the Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies;

⁷DEVweCAN Laboratory of Excellence, Lyon, France;

^{\$}authors contributed equally;

⁺ authors contributed equally;

***Corresponding authors:**

Dr. Julie Lucifora and Dr. David Durantel

Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Lyon (CRCL), UMR Inserm 1052 - CNRS 5286, 151 cours Albert Thomas, 69424 Lyon Cedex 03, France ; Phone: + 33 4 72 68 19 70 ; Fax : +33 4 72 68 19 71 ; E-mail: julie.lucifora@inserm.fr and david.durantel@inserm.fr

Key words: Liver macrophage, hepatitis B virus (HBV), phenotypic immune-modulation, IL-1 β , IL-10, anti-inflammatory, anti-viral effect.

Electronic word count of the main text: 6327

Number of figures: 9

Number of supplementary figures: 10

Number of tables: 7

Competing financial interest:

SFD, MD, LA, LD, JF, FL, RP, MR, NBV, MH have nothing to declare. FZ, JL, and DD received a research grant from Novira Therapeutics to perform experiments. AL, LF and KK were employees of Novira Therapeutics.

Financial support statements:

This work was supported by grants from ANRS (French national agency for research on AIDS and viral hepatitis), FINOVI (Foundation for innovation in infectiology), ARC (French Agency for Research against Cancer), Novira Therapeutics and INSERM. This work was also supported by the DEVweCAN LABEX (ANR-10-LABX-0061) of the "Université de Lyon", within the program "Investissements d'Avenir" (ANR-11-IDEX-0007) operated by the French National Research Agency (ANR). M.H. was supported by an ERC Consolidator grant (HepatoMetaboPath), the SFBTR 209 and SFBTR179 and the Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft, Zukunftsthema "Immunology and Inflammation" (ZT-0027). This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No 667273.

53

54 **Author contributions statements:**

- 55 - study concept and design: SFD, MD, JL, DD
- 56 - acquisition of data: SFD, MD, LA, LD, JF, DH, MH
- 57 - analysis and interpretation of data: SFD, MD, AL, KK, JL, DD
- 58 - drafting of the manuscript: SDF, JL, DD
- 59 - critical review of the manuscript: AL, KK, NBV, FZ, MH
- 60 - statistical analyses: SFD
- 61 - material support: MR, NBV, FL, RP, MSM, FZ,
- 62

ABSTRACT

Background and aims. Liver macrophages can be both involved in pathogen clearance and/or pathogenesis. To get further insight on their role during chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) infections, our aim was to phenotypically and functionally characterize *in vivo* and *ex vivo* the interplay between HBV, primary human liver macrophages (PLM) and primary blood monocytes differentiated into pro-inflammatory or anti-inflammatory macrophages (M1-MDM or M2-MDM, respectively).

Results. We evidenced the presence of HBc protein within macrophages in liver biopsies from HBV-infected patients and higher levels of anti-inflammatory macrophages markers, compared to non-infected ones. *Ex vivo* exposure of naive PLM to HBV led to a reduced secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines. Upon exposure to HBV or HBV-producing cells during differentiation and activation, M1-MDM secreted less IL-6 and IL-1 β , whereas M2-MDM secreted more IL-10 when exposed to HBV during activation. Finally, cytokines produced by M1-MDM, but not those produced by HBV-exposed M1-MDM, decreased HBV infection of hepatocytes.

Conclusions. Altogether, our data strongly suggest that HBV modulates liver macrophage functions to favour its establishment.

Lay summary: HBV modulates liver macrophages function in order to favour its establishment and likely its maintenance. It impairs the production of the antiviral cytokine IL-1 β while promoting that of IL-10 in the microenvironment. This phenotype can be recapitulated in naive liver macrophages or monocytes-derived-macrophages *ex vivo* by short exposure to the virus or cells replicating the virus, thus suggesting an “easy to implement” mechanism of inhibition.

Introduction

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) chronically infects around 250 million people worldwide (WHO data, 2016) and increases the risk of developing cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma ¹. Current treatments, mainly based on nucleos(t)ide analogues, reduce blood viremia to undetectable levels in the majority of patients, but do not achieve virus elimination from the liver ². New treatments, including immune-therapeutic components, are therefore needed in order to progress toward a functional cure for HBV.

HBV is a small DNA virus that persists as a covalently-closed-circular DNA (cccDNA) within the nucleus of liver parenchymal cells (hepatocytes). Viral RNAs, including mRNAs and the pre-genomic RNA (pgRNA) are transcribed from the cccDNA. The pgRNA is encapsulated within the nucleocapsid and converted into relaxed-circular DNA (rcDNA) by an HBV polymerase-mediated reverse-transcription step. Different viral products circulate in the blood of infected patients including HBe antigens (HBeAg), Dane particles (infectious particles), HBV RNA containing particles and empty (i.e., nucleocapsid free) enveloped subviral particles (SVPs). The latter three have envelope proteins at their surface and are indistinctly detected as HBs antigens (HBsAg) ². SVPs, which are produced in large excess compared to virions, are thought to play an important role in terms of immune subversion ³.

Several pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, IL-1 β and TNF α) and interferons (IFN α and IFN γ) were shown to induce a direct antiviral effect on HBV replication in hepatocytes ⁴⁻⁷, with IL-1 β being one of the most efficient at inhibiting already established HBV infections *in vitro* ⁴. IL-1 β is a pro-inflammatory cytokine produced upon inflammasome activation ⁸. In the liver, it is mostly produced by macrophages (M Φ) since hepatocytes do not possess functional inflammasomes ^{9,10}. Liver resident M Φ , named Kupffer cells (KC), represent 80% of the macrophage count in the body at steady state ¹¹. They are specialized in the detection of pathogens coming from the enteric circulations, as well as in the elimination of aging blood cells, through their high phagocytic capacity ¹¹. Upon inflammation, monocytes from the blood

circulation can be recruited in the liver and differentiate locally into MΦ that are called monocyte-derived-macrophages (MDM) ¹². KC and MDM have different embryonic origins and functions ¹³. *In vivo*, a wide range of different phenotypes of MΦ exists depending on their origin, activation status, localization, and their micro-environment ¹⁴. Inflammatory MΦ, commonly called M1, which are phenotypically described as CD40⁺ CD86⁺ HLA-DR⁺, are characterized by their capacity to secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines/chemokines, such as IL-1β, produce various antimicrobial factors, including nitric oxide (NO), and are therefore implicated in inflammation and elimination of pathogens ¹⁴. Anti-inflammatory MΦ, commonly called M2, express the arginase 1, mannose receptors, and the high affinity scavenger receptor CD163, and secrete anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-10 and TGFβ, as well as angiogenic factors, such as VEGF ¹⁴. M2 MΦ are involved in the resolution of inflammation and in tolerance mechanisms ¹⁴. They are also abundantly found in tumour microenvironment and are called tumour-associated MΦ (TAM) ¹⁵.

Several studies showed that HBV can influence the phenotype and biology of MΦ ¹⁶, but few have been performed using liver resident or infiltrating primary human cells. To get further insights into the role of liver MΦ in the establishment of HBV infection in hepatocytes, we used highly relevant model such as primary human liver MΦ, pro-inflammatory/M1-like or anti-inflammatory/M2-like MΦ differentiated *in vitro* from primary blood monocytes, liver biopsies, primary human hepatocytes (PHH), differentiated HepaRG cells (dHepaRG), and co-culture models.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient samples. Two different cohorts were analysed. The collection and analysis of human patient samples of the first cohort (Swiss/German cohort) was approved by the ethics committee of Northern Switzerland (EKNZ, Study ID: PB_2018-00308, 310/12), confirmed to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki, and was provided by the Department of Pathology, Basel, Switzerland. The biopsies were stained in Germany. Patients' characteristics are presented in **Table S1**. Samples from the second cohort were used under the French IRB 'CPP Sud-Est IV' approval #11/040 (2011) from the 'Biobanque INSERM CRCL Hépatologie (U1052)', France #DC2008-235 and stained in France. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient. Patients' characteristics are presented in **Table S2**.

Immunohistochemistry. Paraffin was removed from FFPE samples by successive alcohol bathes and epitopes were unmasked as previously described¹⁷. Endogen peroxidases were blocked by a 15 min exposition at room temperature with Dual Endogenous enzyme Block (DAKO S2003). Saturation was performed by two successive incubations at RT of 20 min with horse serum (VECTOR S-2012 Za0328) and 15 min with DAKO antibody diluent (DAKO S3022). Antibodies (**Table S3**) were incubated overnight at 4°C in DAKO antibody diluent. Samples were incubated for 15 min at RT with secondary antibodies (**Table S3**). Coloration was performed with DAB substrate (DAKO K3468) or permanent red substrate (DAKO K0640), and counterstaining with haematoxylin (SIGMA MHS1). Negative controls were performed using control IgGs (**Table S3**) or non-infected patients, and no staining was observed (**Figure S1**). Optical density ($OD = \log(\text{max intensity} / \text{mean intensity})$) of the DAB staining was quantified using Fiji (ImageJ) and the results are presented as 1/OD. For the CD68, HBcAg double staining, a BONDMax robotic staining machine (Leica) was used.

Primary cells purification and cells culture. Peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) from blood donors (Etablissement Français du Sang, EFS; code 895/1052) were isolated by Ficoll gradient (Histopaque®-1077, Sigma) as previously described¹⁸. Lymphocytes were separated from total PBMC by a Percoll gradient and further cultured in macrophage medium (RPMI

medium supplemented with 10% of decomplemented FBS and 50 U/mL of penicillin/streptomycin). Monocytes were purified by Percoll gradient followed by a negative selection with the Monocyte Isolation Kit II (Miltenyi Biotec) and cultured in macrophage medium. Monocytes were exposed during 6 days to 50 ng/mL of GM-CSF (R&D) or 50 ng/mL of M-CSF from (Peprotech) for M1-MDM or M2-MDM differentiation respectively. MDM were activated by a 3-hours stimulation with 10 ng/mL of LPS (Invivogen). Cells were washed three times with PBS and cultured in fresh medium for another 3 hours before a last medium exchange. Supernatants and cells were collected 24 h post stimulation (i.e. 18h accumulation). Liver cells were isolated from hepatic resections obtained in collaboration with three surgical departments of Lyon (Centre Léon Bérard, Hôpital de la Croix Rousse and centre hospitalier Lyon-Sud) with the French ministerial authorizations (AC 2013-1871, DC 2013 – 1870, AFNOR NF 96 900 sept 2011). After a two-step collagenase perfusion, the liver extract was filtered and centrifuged, as previously described ¹⁹. Primary Human Hepatocytes (PHH) were cultured on collagen layer and maintain in PHH medium (Williams medium supplemented with 5% of fetal clone II serum, 50 U/mL of penicillin/streptomycin, 1X glutamax, 5 µg/mL of bovine insulin, 5x10⁻⁵ M of hydrocortisone, and 2% of DMSO). Liver mononuclear cells (LMNC) or only liver MΦ were purified from the non-parenchymal cells mixture by respectively Ficoll gradients or a two phase iodixanol gradient ²⁰. Liver MΦ were isolated by negative selection using pan monocyte isolation kit (Miltenyi Biotec) and cultured in macrophage medium. HepaRG cells were cultured and differentiated as previously described ²¹.

Viral infection. Differentiated HepaRG (dHepaRG) or PHH were cultured and infected by HBV (PEG-precipitated) as previously described ²². As PEG-concentrated HBV virions cannot be used for exposition to immune cells, because PEG activates cells, blood monocytes, LMNC and liver MΦ were exposed to HBV inocula (or control medium), which were prepared by concentrating supernatant from HepAD38 ²³ (or from non-infected HepG2-NTCP respectively) by filtration/retention centrifugation using column with a cut off retention of 100 kDa (Merk Millipore; UFC710008). All virus inocula were tested for the absence of endotoxin (Lonza) and

characterized by analyses of the fractions from a 5.6-56% iodixanol gradient and analysed by ELISA, dot blot with HBV DIG-labelled probe²⁴ and western-blot (DAKO, B0586) (**Figure S2**). This allowed to rule out the presence of non-enveloped nucleocapsids that may activate immune cells, as HBc was shown to be a TLR2 ligand²⁵. Blood monocytes, LMNC and liver MΦ were exposed to at least three different batches of HBV (full inoculum concentrated by ultrafiltration) at a multiplicity of infection of 1000 vge/mL (if not stated otherwise). Viral titer superior to 10¹⁰ vge/mL were routinely obtained and uniquely used in order to allow a dilution of 100 to 1000 fold when preparing “infection medium” at a multiplicity of infection of 1000 vge/cell. This way, we limited the impact of “carried-away” contamination. For some experiments, virus was inactivated by a 30 min exposition to UVB. Stocks of adenoviruses (AAV) vector particles were generated by the vector Core of the University of Nantes by calcium phosphate transfection of HEK-293 cells as described previously using pDG8 (as a helper plasmid). The vector particles were purified on caesium chloride gradients as previously described²⁶ and genome particles/mL (gp/mL) were tittered by quantitative PCR (qPCR). AAV at a multiplicity of infection of 1000 gp/mL.

Cytochalasin D and cytokine treatments. M2-MDM were exposed to 1 µg/mL of Cytochalasin D (Sigma Aldrich; C8273-1MG) during their activation. As Cytochalasin D is diluted in DMSO, monocytes were exposed to a similar dose of DMSO as control. Cytokines references and used concentration are indicated in **Table S4**.

Quantification of secreted proteins by ELISA. HBeAg and HBsAg secretion were quantified using chemiluminescence immunoassay kit (Autobio) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Cytokines secretion were analysed using DuoSet ELISA (R&D system) following manufacturer’s instructions.

RNA extraction and RT-qPCR. Total mRNA from hepatocytes or MΦ were extracted with NucleoSpin® RNA II or NucleoSpin® RNA XS respectively (Macherey-Nagel). cDNA were synthesized using the SuperScript®III Reverse Transcriptase (Life technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. qPCR analyses were performed using “Express SYBR

GreenER™ qPCR SuperMix Universal” (Invitrogen). mRNA expression was assessed by comparative cycle threshold (Ct) method ($2^{-\Delta C_t}$); GUS and RPLP0 were used as housekeeping genes for hepatocytes and MΦ respectively. Primers sequences are presented in **Table S5**.

DNA extraction and cccDNA quantification. Total DNA were extracted using the NucleoSpin® Tissue kit (Macherey-Nagel) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Total intracellular DNA was digested for 45 min at 37°C with T5 exonuclease (epicentre) to remove rcDNA (relaxed circular HBV DNA) followed by a 30 min heat inactivation. cccDNA amount was quantified by qPCR analyses as previously described ¹.

Cytotoxicity assay. Seven days post treatments, hepatocytes cell viability was assessed by quantification of neutral red uptake by living cells, as previously described ⁴.

Lymphocytes activation. Lymphocytes were cultured in different M2-MDM conditioned media at a density of 300,000 cells/cm² for 2 hours. Cells were activated by a 22h exposition to 20 ng/mL of Phorbol 12-Myristate 13-Acetate (Sigma) and 500 ng/mL of Ionomycine. Activation was measured by assessment of TNF-α secretion.

Macrophage supernatants concentration. M1- or M2-MDM supernatants from at least five different donors were pooled and concentrated by ultrafiltration (using 10 kDa Amicon ultra-15 column; Merk-Millipore) following the manufacturer’s instruction. Cytokines concentration of the concentrated supernatants were assessed by ELISA before dilution to 1/100 in hepatocyte media (experiments from **Figure 9**) or dilution to 1/2 in macrophages media (experiments from **Figure 7**). Final concentrations are presented in **Table S6 and S7**.

Flow cytometry. Cells were washed extensively with PBS and removed from plate with 150 µl of versene-EDTA at 37°C for 5 min before centrifugation at 1300 rpm for 5 min at 4°C. Cells were incubated for 30 min at 4°C with primary antibody (**Table S3**). After extensive washes, cells were incubated with secondary antibody in the dark for 30 min at 4°C. After another wash, staining was measured by Flow Cytometry (BD FACSCALIBUR).

237 **Statistical analysis.** Results are presented as mean or median $\pm$ standard deviation and
238 analysed for statistical significance using Prism software. P values are indicated and non-
239 significance is indicated by “ns”.

RESULTS

HBV is associated with phenotypic changes in liver macrophages in infected patients.

To assess if HBV may closely interact with liver M Φ *in vivo*, levels of CD68 expression (identifying M Φ), CD163 (identifying anti-inflammatory M Φ ¹⁴) and HBc (identifying the HBV core protein) were analysed by immunochemistry on liver biopsies from two distinct cohorts of patients (see **Table S1** and **S2** for details on patients). As expected, signals for CD68, CD163 were detected in the sinusoidal space (i.e., in between hepatocytes where myeloid cells are), and no signals were observed with control antibodies (**Figure 1** and **S1**). Co-localized signals were observed for HBc and CD68 in liver samples from HBV infected patients (**Figure 1A** and **zoom in Figure 1B**) evidencing the presence of this HBV protein within liver M Φ . Of note, the proportion of M Φ co-stained with HBc varied from one patient to the other; approximatively 20% of all patients analysed lacked co-localisation between HBc and M Φ , 50% displayed less than 5% co-localisation, and 30% showed between 5% to 20% co-localisation (**Figure 1A**, **zoom in Figure 1B**). Furthermore, quantification of the signals highlighted a 1.8 fold increase in CD163⁺ myeloid cells in HBV-infected patient (+79%, p=0.0271) in the Swiss/German cohort and a 1.3 fold increase in the French cohort compared to the non-infected ones (**Figure 1C**, **1D**, **S1B**). Interestingly, a positive correlation between the level of HBc staining and the increase of CD163⁺ cells was observed (**Figure 1E**). Altogether, these data suggest that HBV interact with liver M Φ and may affect their phenotype and functions. We therefore performed several *ex vivo* analyses to investigate the influence of HBV on liver cells with a focus on liver M Φ that include Kupffer cells (KC) and monocyte derived M Φ (MDM)^{9,10}.

Ex vivo, HBV inhibits the activation of primary liver M Φ by direct exposure.

First, we investigated the impact of HBV particles and antigens (concentrated by filtration/retention from HepAD38 cell supernatants and characterized as shown on **Figure S1**)

on the secretion of cytokines by non-parenchymal primary human liver mononuclear cells (LMNC) freshly isolated from hepatic resections (5 donors) as previously described²⁷. Of note, we used a virus concentrated by filtration/retention rather than PEG concentration, as PEG per se activates macrophages. The basal levels of two prototypic cytokines, namely IL-1 β and IL-10, in non-stimulated LMNC from individual donors are shown in **Figure 2A**. These cells were either left untreated or exposed for 24 hours to total HBV inoculum immediately after their isolation and the same cytokines were dosed in the supernatant (**Figure 2B**). The mean concentration of secreted IL-1 β was 25% lower in HBV exposed LMNC preparations as compared to control whereas the mean IL-10 level was 64% higher (**Figure 2B**).

Purified primary liver M Φ (PLM Φ) exposed to HBV or not were next stimulated with synthetic PAMPs; LPS was used to stimulate TLR4 and NLRP3 (NOD-like receptor family, pyrin domain containing 3) inflammasome²⁸, whereas LPS + poly(dA:dT) was used to stimulate TLR4 and AIM-2 (Absent In Melanoma-2) inflammasome⁹ (**Figure 2C**). The mean level of secreted IL-1 β was 31% lower in LPS-stimulated PLM Φ exposed to HBV, as compared to not exposed condition (**Figure 2D**). Similar results were obtained with HBV exposed, LPS and poly(dA:dT)-stimulated PLM Φ , for which the mean level of secreted IL-1 β was 35% lower (**Figure 2E**). Interestingly, the expression of *HIF1 α* mRNA, which encode a protein tightly associated to IL-1 β production and secretion in macrophages²⁹, was 70% lower in LPS-stimulated-PLM Φ exposed to HBV as compared to control (**Figure S3**). While the mean level of secreted IL-10 was not affected by HBV with both types of stimulations in our experimental conditions (**Figure 2D and 2E**), the mRNA levels of *VEGF*, an angiogenic factor expressed by anti-inflammatory cells³⁰, was 56% higher in LPS-stimulated-PLM Φ exposed to HBV, as compared to control cells (**Figure S3**).

Altogether these data suggest that HBV may interfere with the activation of PLM Φ .

Ex vivo, HBV interferes with blood monocyte differentiation and activation by direct exposure

During viral infections, a high quantity of immune cells, among which monocytes, are recruited to the inflammation/injury site to mount a strong pro-inflammatory response and contribute to infection control³¹. This response is subsequently limited by an anti-inflammatory response in order to start the scarring processes and prevent chronic inflammation³¹. Infiltrating monocytes differentiate into MΦ within the tissue¹⁴ and, in the case of the human liver, cannot be fully distinguished from resident MΦ.

To assess the effect of HBV on MΦ that experimentally mimic those derived from liver infiltrating monocytes, monocytes were purified from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) and differentiated, with well-established polarizing *in vitro* conditions, into M1-like MΦ (M1-MDM) upon GM-CSF or M2-like MΦ (M2-MDM) upon M-CSF stimulation³². The differentiation process is schematically presented in **Figure 3A**. M1-MDM cells derived from peripheral monocytes expressed and secreted, under a physiologic exposure to LPS (10 ng/mL), pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-6, IL-1β, and TNF-α, but not IL-10 (**Figure 3B, 3C, 3D, and 3E**). In contrast, *in vitro* differentiated M2-MDM cells expressed only residual levels of IL-6, IL-1β, and TNF-α, but secreted high level of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 in the same condition of physiologic LPS exposure (**Figure 3B, 3C, 3D, and 3E**). Upon exposure to HBV during differentiation and activation (i.e., 6 days exposure to HBV) (**Figure 3A**), GM-CSF-treated monocytes (i.e., intended to be differentiated into M1-MDM) activated with low LPS stimulation, secreted 34% and 60% less IL-6 and IL-1β respectively (**Figure 3F**). Accordingly, a decreased level of *HIF1α* mRNA was detected (**Figure S4A**). Under these conditions, no significant effect of HBV incubation was observed on the level of secreted TNF-α (**Figure 3F**). M2-MDM exposed to HBV during differentiation and activation secreted similar level of IL-10 than non-exposed cells (**Figure 3G**) but expressed lower *VEGF* mRNAs levels (**Figure S4A**).

We further investigated the effect of HBV on the activation of already differentiated M1-MDM or M2-MDM in response to physiologic LPS stimulation (**Figure 4A**). No difference was observed in the mean levels of secreted IL-6, IL-1 β , or TNF- α (**Figure 4B**). In contrast, lower mean mRNA level of *HIF1- α* was observed (**Figure S4B**). Differentiated M2-MDM cells showed a 2-fold increase of mean secreted IL-10 levels and an increase of mean *VEGF* mRNA levels in the presence of HBV (**Figure S4B**). These results were confirmed with different donors and duration of exposure to HBV (**Figure S5**). Moreover, increasing doses of HBV inoculum revealed increasing effect i) on the inhibition of IL-6 secretion by M1-MDM, exposed to the virus during their differentiation and activation, and ii) on the increase of IL-10 secretion by M2-MDM, exposed to the virus during their activation (**Figure 5A and 5B**).

Interestingly, the presence of cytochalasin D (Cyt D, an inhibitor of endocytosis and phagocytosis³³), during the activation of M2-MDM in the presence of HBV did not modify IL-10 secretion (**Figure 5C**), suggesting that the effect of HBV on M2-MDM activation might be independent of virus productive entry within macrophages. It is also worth noting that UV-inactivated HBV has a similar effect on PLM Φ (**Figure S6A**) and on M1- and M2-MDM (**Figure S6B**) secretions as compared to replication-component HBV, and that HBV-exposed liver M Φ or MDMs did not secrete HBeAg or HBsAg (**Figure S7**), highlighting that the effects of HBV on M Φ is independent of viral replication within cells. Moreover, no significant modification of cytokine secretion was observed when M1- or M2-MDM were exposed to concentrated supernatants from non-infected HepG2-NTCP during, respectively, their differentiation and activation or activation only (**Figure S6C and S6D**), suggesting that the effect is specific to HBV and not to a factor secreted by hepatocytes in the absence of HBV. Finally, adenoviruses (AAV), either expressing GFP or HBV genome, had no effect on M1-MDM secretions (**Figure 5D**), suggesting that the observed effect is specific of HBV particles and/or antigens. Of note, the cells exposed to AAV-HBV did not secrete HBeAg or HBsAg (**data not shown**).

HBV interferes with blood monocyte differentiation and activation in co-culture conditions

To exclude that the effect of secreted HBV components on M1-MDM differentiation and M2-MDM activation was due to the high amount of HBV experimentally used in previously presented *ex vivo* experiments, we set up co-cultures assays that allowed to study the effect of HBV components physiologically secreted from infected hepatocytes. Monocytes, which were intended to differentiate into M1-MDM and M2-MDM, were co-cultured with either non-infected HepG2-NTCP cells (as HBV negative control cells) or with HBV-producing HepAD38 cells during their respective differentiation (for M1-MDM) or activation (for M2-MDM) (**Figure 6A**). We confirmed the results obtained with cell free derived viruses and observed an even stronger effect of HBV since monocytes co-cultured with HBV-producing cells during their differentiation into M1-MDM, secreted between 46 and 85% lower mean levels of IL-6, IL-1 β , and TNF- α (**Figure 6B**). In contrast, M2-MDM co-cultured with HBV producing cells during their activation secreted 2.25-fold more IL-10 (**Figure 6C**).

Altogether these results suggest that exposure of peripheral monocytes to cell-free HBV and also cell-transmitted HBV components can interfere with their differentiation into M1-MDM, as indicated by the significantly reduced secretions of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-1 β . Moreover, an exposure to HBV during M2-MDM activation led to an increased secretion of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10.

HBV impairs lymphocyte activation.

IL-10 is well-known to impair lymphocyte activation³⁴. Therefore, we assessed if increase of IL-10 secretion induced by HBV (**Figure 4C**) would further impair this activation. Total lymphocytes were purified from peripheral blood and exposed to M2-MDM conditioned media for 2h before their activation with Phorbol 12-Myristate 13-Acetate (PMA) + ionomycine. Even though not significant, total lymphocytes exposed to M2-MDM supernatants secreted 17% less

TNF- α than the non-exposed ones (**Figure 7, (no-HBV M2-MDM)-CM**). Supernatants from M2-MDM exposed to HBV during their activation containing higher amounts of IL-10 (**Figure 4C and Table S6, (HBV stim M2-MDM)-CM**) significantly decreased TNF- α secretion (30%) by total lymphocytes (**Figure 7**).

Pro-inflammatory cytokines reduce the establishment of HBV infection in hepatocytes.

As previously shown, pro-inflammatory cytokines can directly block HBV replication in cells persistently infected *in vitro* and IL-1 β was the most efficient ⁴. To investigate the effects of M Φ -derived cytokines on the establishment of HBV infection in hepatocytes, dHepaRG cells or primary human hepatocytes (PHH) were treated 24h before and during the inoculation of cells with HBV with various recombinant pro-inflammatory cytokines (rhIL-1 β , rhIL-6, rhTNF- α , rhIL-18), anti-inflammatory cytokines (rhIL-10, rhTGF β), as well as with rhMCP-1, which is known to be secreted by both M1- and M2-M Φ (**Table S4**) ³⁵. RhIL-1 β treatment led to a 70-80% decrease of HBeAg and HBsAg secretion, HBV mRNA, and cccDNA formation, under conditions where cell viability of dHepaRG cells and PHH were not affected (**Figure 8**). Of note, a 24h pre-treatment with rhIL-1 β , followed by a withdrawal during HBV inoculation, was sufficient to decrease HBeAg and HBsAg secretion and HBV mRNA by 75% in dHepaRG (**Figure S8A**). In addition, treatment with rhIL-6 and rhTNF- α before and during HBV inoculation resulted in an approximately 50% decrease of HBeAg, HBV RNA, and cccDNA formation. HBsAg was lowered by ~50% with rhTNF- α and by ~25% with rhIL-6 treatment (**Figure S8B**). rhIL-18 had no effect on the establishment of HBV infection under these conditions (**Figure S8B**). Upon rhIL-10 treatment, we observed a slight increase of mean HBeAg and HBsAg levels in the supernatant of dHepaRG cells, but not in PHH. HBV RNA was modestly reduced in PHH treated with IL-10 before and during infection (**Figure 8B**). Other tested cytokines, TGF- β and MCP-1, had no significant effect on the establishment of HBV infection (**Figure S8B**). Of note, we calculated that around 250 pg/mL of rhIL-1 β and 25 ng/mL

of rhTNF α are necessary to obtain a 50% decrease of the establishment of HBV infection (**Figure S9**) whereas no further decrease was obtained with 20 ng/mL of rIL-6 (**Figure S9**) and no still no effect with increasing doses of rhIL-10 (**Figure S9**). Importantly, if the level of NTCP (sodium-taurocholate co-transporting polypeptide), the HBV receptor, was decreased in dHepaRG after 24h or 48h of treatment with IL-1 β (**Figure S10A**), it was not affected in PHH (**Figure S10B**), indicating that the measured anti-HBV effect was not uniquely due to hNTCP expression modulation. Collectively, these data indicate that pro-inflammatory cytokines, and IL-1 β in particular, are very efficient to inhibit the establishment of HBV infection in hepatocytes.

We next investigated whether cell culture supernatants from M1-MDM cells exposed or not with HBV would interfere with the establishment of HBV infection in hepatocytes. Even though, the final concentrations of IL-1 β and IL-6 were respectively 25 fold and 10 fold lower compared to the concentration of recombinant cytokines used above (**Figure 8**), treatment of dHepaRG cells with supernatants from differentiated M1-MDM cells (**Table 4 and 7**) inhibited HBV establishment as shown by a reduction of the mean HBeAg and HBsAg levels by ~25% (**Figure 9, (no-HBV M1-MDM)-CM**). Accordingly, dHepaRG treated with supernatants from M1-MDM exposed to HBV during activation, that contained similar amounts of pro-inflammatory cytokines to non-exposed M1-MDM (**Figure 4B and Table S7**), showed a 40% decrease of secreted HBV antigens (**Figure 9, (HBV stim M1-MDM)-CM**). In contrast, dHepaRG treated with concentrated supernatants from M1-MDM exposed to HBV during differentiation, containing less pro-inflammatory cytokines (**Figure 3F and Table S7**), showed similar levels of HBV markers to those measured in non-treated dHepaRG (**Figure 9, (HBV diff M1-MDM)-CM**).

Altogether, these data suggest that the pro-inflammatory secretome derived from M1-MDM can inhibit the establishment of HBV infection in hepatocytes. In addition, this antiviral effect is lost when monocytes are exposed to HBV during their differentiation.

DISCUSSION

Dendritic cells and MΦ in the liver are thought to play a major role in the orchestration of adaptive responses and can also produce cytokines that may directly affect HBV infection³⁶. We confirmed here that cytokines secreted by pro-inflammatory MΦ (including IL-1β and IL-6) strongly inhibit the establishment of HBV infection in hepatocytes. These results are in agreement with our previously reported data showing antiviral effects of these cytokines in already infected human hepatocytes⁴ and emphasize the potential direct role of pro-inflammatory cytokines in the control of HBV. Of note, even though we observed a decrease in the level of NTCP in dHepaRG treated with IL-1β as previously reported³⁷, NTCP levels were not changed by IL-1β treatment in primary human hepatocytes (PHH) under conditions that were sufficient for HBV inhibition. These results suggest that IL-1β can inhibit HBV establishment through an NTCP-independent but still ill-defined molecular mechanism.

Considering the antiviral potential of liver MΦ derived cytokines, the main scope of this study was to investigate if HBV could interfere with such macrophage pro-inflammatory functions to facilitate the establishment of hepatocyte infection. Using human primary cells, we observed (i) that primary liver MΦ (PLMΦ) exposed *ex vivo* to HBV secrete less pro-inflammatory cytokines upon synthetic PAMP stimulations, thus confirming our previously published data⁸, (ii) that M1-MDM also secrete less IL-1β and IL-6 upon activation if exposed to HBV or HBV-producing cells during differentiation and (iii) that the antiviral effect of M1-MDM conditioned medium is lost when cells were differentiated in the presence of HBV. These results are in accordance with those from previously published studies using animal models or macrophage cell lines that reported an ability of HBV to inhibit macrophage responses^{9,38–41}, and further demonstrate that HBV has evolved strategies to interfere with liver myeloid cells functions, in the absence of any *bona fide* replication (i.e. neo-synthesis of viral genome and proteins) in these cells.

Importantly, this phenotype was similar in naive liver macrophages or monocytes-derived-macrophages *ex vivo* by short exposure to the virus or cells replicating the virus, thus suggesting an “easy to implement” mechanism of inhibition, again irrespective of a proper replication of HBV in these cells. In addition, we showed that the inhibition of pro-inflammatory cytokines and increase of anti-inflammatory cytokines is dependent on the quantity of virus. However, to be maintained, such an inhibitory phenotype would require a constant exposure to the virus and/or viral components. In this respect, our data showing an increased inhibition of the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines by M1-MDM upon constantly renewed exposure to HBV via co-cultures with HBV-producing cells compared to a single exposure to concentrated virus support the latest hypothesis. In contrast, the recent study by Suslov and colleagues convincingly showed that the stimulation with synthetic PAMPS (including TLR4 ligands) was not antagonized in *ex vivo* cultivated HBV-positive biopsies⁴². In this case, the purification procedure and *ex vivo* conditions cultures in the absence of HBV virion or viral components might have reversed the HBV-inhibitory phenotype. Moreover, additional experimental pitfalls could explain these opposite results. First, the concentration of TLR4 ligands used in this study was far higher to what used here (20 µg/mL versus 10 to 100 ng/mL) and probably beyond physiologic conditions. Moreover, despite the commendable effort of this study to work with highly relevant biological materials, the viability of hepatocytes in these *ex vivo* maintained biopsies was limited and the viability as well as the functionality of other liver cells such as liver macrophages was not assessed. It would therefore be important to test if the addition of HBeAg/HBsAg/HBV virions in the culture medium of *ex vivo* cultured liver biopsies and the use of physiologic levels of stimulations would recapitulate the inhibitory phenotype we described here.

Importantly, we observed an increase of anti-inflammatory MΦ markers (CD68⁺ CD163⁺ cells) in liver biopsies from HBV-infected patients compared to those from non-infected patients of two different cohorts and an increase in the levels of IL-10 secreted by M2-MDM upon exposure to HBV during activation after *ex vivo* differentiation. These data suggest that HBV

may reinforce the already described liver immune tolerance¹⁶ to establish and/or maintain its infection. This is in accordance with studies reporting an increase of anti-inflammatory cytokine secretion (especially IL-10) induced by HBV in animal models and patients^{43–46} and a positive role of anti-inflammatory liver MΦ in HBV persistence⁴³. Here, we observed that HBV-induced increase of IL-10 secretion by M2-MDM might impair the activation of lymphocytes. As others had previously described^{43,47,48}, the increased IL-10 expression during HBV infection could favour a tolerogenic environment and the inhibition of functional adaptive immune response, compromising HBV elimination. As these anti-inflammatory MΦ are also implicated in affecting distinct oncogenetic processes (through the secretion of angiogenic factors, such as VEGF, and the impairment of an anti-oncogenic responses by the secretion of regulatory mediators, such as IL-10⁴⁹), it should be investigated if the HBV-induced modification of MΦ phenotypes may also play a role in HCC initiation and promotion.

We did not precisely determine which viral components and which HBV-infection related mechanisms influence cytokine secretion by MΦ. The secreted viral proteins (HBeAg, HBsAg) or viral proteins contained in virions (HBsAg, HBc, viral polymerase) may play a role in the modification of MΦ phenotype. Few data suggest that HBV can be internalized by MΦ (29,44). HBeAg¹⁰, HBsAg^{9,40} and the HBV capsid protein⁵² have been suggested to contribute to the inhibition of MΦ responses. In the present study, analyses of liver biopsies from HBV infected patient revealed a co-localisation between HBc and a macrophage marker (CD68; HBcAg). How HBc is delivered to liver macrophages remained to be addressed; it could be through a specific receptor, unspecific engulfment, or by phagocytosis of dying infected hepatocytes. Moreover, it is not clear yet in which subcellular compartment (e.g. organelles; lysosomes) stained HBcAg is located.

Of note, the use of recombinant viral proteins to clarify the involvement of viral proteins in the modification of MΦ responses may be suboptimal, as post-transcriptional modifications associated to a given protein produced in a given cell system (bacteria, yeast or mammalian cells) could be different as compared to those found in viral proteins from infected hepatocytes

and therefore have a different effect on immune cells. In addition, these modifications may vary during the different phases of HBV infection, giving the numerous functions of the viral proteins. HBV probably interferes with the activation of MΦ (and thereby cytokine secretion) at different levels. Indeed down-regulation of innate sensors such as TLR^{41,53,54} or inhibition of cell different signaling pathways^{39,40,47} have been reported. Epigenetic modulations induced by the fixation of one viral protein to gene promoters, as reported in hepatocytes⁵² and as also reported for other viruses^{55–57} might also be implemented in MΦ even though HBV do not replicate in those cells. Importantly, the different effects observed are specific of HBV as AAVs had no impact on M1- and M2-MDM secretions. Our data suggest that HBV productive entry into cells (i.e. with fusion of membrane between viral particles and cells) may not be absolutely required to induce phenotypic changes in macrophages. One of several viral proteins present in the inoculum or circulating in blood of patients (HBsAg and HBeAg) may bind to receptor(s) of macrophages involved in modulation of inflammatory responses. As described before, the activation of specific regulatory receptors at the surface of macrophages can trigger inhibition of pro-inflammatory cytokines secretion^{58–60}. It will therefore be important to determine the target(s) of HBV at the surface of macrophages responsible for the modulations observed in order to develop specific molecules targeting this interaction.

Importantly, in our different experimental settings, we did not detect any cytokine secretion by LMNC, primary human liver macrophages or MDM exposed to cell-culture-produced HBV inocula or co-cultured with HBV producing cells (data not shown). This is in sharp contrast with chronic HCV or HIV infections that induces strong host responses^{61–64} but in accordance with studies that reported an absence of measurable innate immune responses in patients, animal or *in vitro* models upon primary HBV infection^{42,45,62,65–67} and contributed to define HBV as a stealth virus⁶⁸. Of course, as also shown here, this stealthy character could be due to active evasion and not complete passivity. In contrast, several groups have reported an induction of innate responses following exposure to HBV^{7,25,50,69,70}. The quality of the HBV inoculum used to perform the *ex vivo* analyses is probably very different from one study to the other since no

standard inocula are available and only very few manuscripts reported the characterization of their inocula as we did here (**Figure S2**). Indeed, different HBV producing cells, different culture conditions and concentration procedures may lead to different ratios of viral antigens, subviral particles and Dane particles as well as contaminating non-enveloped nucleocapsids, recognized by TLR2²⁵ or endotoxins, recognized by TLR4⁷¹ in the inocula and may explain the discrepancies between the studies.

From a therapeutic point of view, we here confirmed that IL-1 β is very efficient to inhibit HBV establishment and replication in hepatocytes. However, recombinant IL-1 β cannot be used systemically without risking severe side effects such as cytokine storms. New anti-HBV therapeutic options should aim at inducing the local and endogenous secretion of IL-1 β to induce an antiviral activity and prevent the development of pathogenesis. Different strategies may be considered to promote pro-inflammatory over anti-inflammatory phenotype in liver resident and infiltrating M Φ . For instance, the delivery of GM-CSF in cancerous tissue has been shown to induce tumour regression^{72,73} by promoting pro-inflammatory macrophages. Inversely, a monoclonal antibody against CSF-1R (colony stimulating factor 1 receptor) showed promising results in reducing the number of anti-inflammatory and tumour associated macrophages¹⁵. Alternatively, inhibitors of glutaminolysis, that modify the succinate/ α -ketoglutarate balance in macrophages⁷⁴ or specific inflammasome and/or PRR inducers could be evaluated⁷⁵.

In summary, we showed that HBV can modulate the resident and transiting-macrophage phenotypes to favour its establishment, and likely its maintenance in the liver. On the one hand, HBV escapes the antiviral effect of pro-inflammatory liver resident and infiltrating M Φ by interfering with their activation/differentiation and inhibiting the production of cytokines endowed with anti-HBV activities. Besides, HBV infection promotes the activation of anti-inflammatory M Φ and the production of IL-10 in the microenvironment to probably favour tolerance. Our data also suggest that therapeutic strategies promoting the differentiation of pro-inflammatory liver M Φ over anti-inflammatory ones or the shift from one to the other should

551 be tested to help breaking immune tolerance and promoting a functional cure of chronic HBV
552 infections.

REFERENCES

1. Werle-Lapostolle, B. *et al.* Persistence of cccDNA during the natural history of chronic hepatitis B and decline during adefovir dipivoxil therapy. *Gastroenterology* **126**, 1750–1758 (2004).
2. Durantel, D. & Zoulim, F. New antiviral targets for innovative treatment concepts for hepatitis B virus and hepatitis delta virus. *J. Hepatol.* **64**, S117–131 (2016).
3. Ait-Goughoulte, M., Lucifora, J., Zoulim, F. & Durantel, D. Innate antiviral immune responses to hepatitis B virus. *Viruses* **2**, 1394–1410 (2010).
4. Isorce, N. *et al.* Antiviral activity of various interferons and pro-inflammatory cytokines in non-transformed cultured hepatocytes infected with hepatitis B virus. *Antiviral Res.* **130**, 36–45 (2016).
5. Palumbo, G. A. *et al.* IL6 Inhibits HBV Transcription by Targeting the Epigenetic Control of the Nuclear cccDNA Minichromosome. *PLoS One* **10**, e0142599 (2015).
6. Xia, Y. *et al.* Interferon- γ and Tumor Necrosis Factor- α Produced by T Cells Reduce the HBV Persistence Form, cccDNA, Without Cytolysis. *Gastroenterology* **150**, 194–205 (2016).
7. Hösel, M. *et al.* Not interferon, but interleukin-6 controls early gene expression in hepatitis B virus infection. *Hepatol. Baltim. Md* **50**, 1773–1782 (2009).
8. Broz, P. & Dixit, V. M. Inflammasomes: mechanism of assembly, regulation and signalling. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **16**, 407–420 (2016).
9. Zannetti, C. *et al.* Characterization of the Inflammasome in Human Kupffer Cells in Response to Synthetic Agonists and Pathogens. *J. Immunol. Baltim. Md 1950* **197**, 356–367 (2016).
10. Yu, X. *et al.* HBV inhibits LPS-induced NLRP3 inflammasome activation and IL-1 β production via suppressing the NF- κ B pathway and ROS production. *J. Hepatol.* **66**, 693–702 (2017).
11. Krenkel, O. & Tacke, F. Liver macrophages in tissue homeostasis and disease. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **17**, 306–321 (2017).
12. Scott, C. L. *et al.* Bone marrow-derived monocytes give rise to self-renewing and fully differentiated Kupffer cells. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 10321 (2016).

- 579 13. Ginhoux, F. & Guilliams, M. Tissue-Resident Macrophage Ontogeny and Homeostasis. *Immunity*
580 **44**, 439–449 (2016).
- 581 14. Hume, D. A. The Many Alternative Faces of Macrophage Activation. *Front. Immunol.* **6**, 370
582 (2015).
- 583 15. Ries, C. H. *et al.* Targeting tumor-associated macrophages with anti-CSF-1R antibody reveals a
584 strategy for cancer therapy. *Cancer Cell* **25**, 846–859 (2014).
- 585 16. Yuan, F., Zhang, W., Mu, D. & Gong, J. Kupffer cells in immune activation and tolerance toward
586 HBV/HCV infection. *Adv. Clin. Exp. Med. Off. Organ Wroclaw Med. Univ.* **26**, 739–745 (2017).
- 587 17. Bollard, J. *et al.* Antitumor effect of everolimus in preclinical models of high-grade
588 gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine carcinomas. *Neuroendocrinology* **97**, 331–340 (2013).
- 589 18. Combes, A. *et al.* BAD-LAMP controls TLR9 trafficking and signalling in human plasmacytoid
590 dendritic cells. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, (2017).
- 591 19. Lecluyse, E. L. & Alexandre, E. Isolation and culture of primary hepatocytes from resected human
592 liver tissue. *Methods Mol. Biol. Clifton NJ* **640**, 57–82 (2010).
- 593 20. Hösel, M. *et al.* Toll-like receptor 2-mediated innate immune response in human
594 nonparenchymal liver cells toward adeno-associated viral vectors. *Hepatol. Baltim. Md* **55**, 287–
595 297 (2012).
- 596 21. Gripon, P. *et al.* Infection of a human hepatoma cell line by hepatitis B virus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
597 *U. S. A.* **99**, 15655–15660 (2002).
- 598 22. Luangsay, S. *et al.* Early Inhibition of Hepatocyte Innate Responses by Hepatitis B Virus. *J.*
599 *Hepatol.* (2015). doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2015.07.014
- 600 23. Iwamoto, M. *et al.* Evaluation and identification of hepatitis B virus entry inhibitors using HepG2
601 cells overexpressing a membrane transporter NTCP. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **443**, 808–
602 813 (2014).
- 603 24. Lucifora, J. *et al.* Detection of the hepatitis B virus (HBV) covalently-closed-circular DNA (cccDNA)
604 in mice transduced with a recombinant AAV-HBV vector. *Antiviral Res.* **145**, 14–19 (2017).

- 605 25. Cooper, A., Tal, G., Lider, O. & Shaul, Y. Cytokine induction by the hepatitis B virus capsid in
606 macrophages is facilitated by membrane heparan sulfate and involves TLR2. *J. Immunol. Baltim.*
607 *Md* 1950 **175**, 3165–3176 (2005).
- 608 26. Salvetti, A. *et al.* Factors influencing recombinant adeno-associated virus production. *Hum. Gene*
609 *Ther.* **9**, 695–706 (1998).
- 610 27. Faure-Dupuy, S. *et al.* Characterisation of Pattern Recognition Receptors (PRR) expression and
611 functionality in liver primary cells and derived cell lines. *submitted* (2018).
- 612 28. Yi, Y.-S. Caspase-11 non-canonical inflammasome: a critical sensor of intracellular
613 lipopolysaccharide in macrophage-mediated inflammatory responses. *Immunology* **152**, 207–217
614 (2017).
- 615 29. O’Neill, L. A. J. A broken krebs cycle in macrophages. *Immunity* **42**, 393–394 (2015).
- 616 30. Jetten, N. *et al.* Anti-inflammatory M2, but not pro-inflammatory M1 macrophages promote
617 angiogenesis in vivo. *Angiogenesis* **17**, 109–118 (2014).
- 618 31. Brempelis, K. J. & Crispe, I. N. Infiltrating monocytes in liver injury and repair. *Clin. Transl.*
619 *Immunol.* **5**, e113 (2016).
- 620 32. Chistiakov, D. A., Myasoedova, V. A., Revin, V. V., Orekhov, A. N. & Bobryshev, Y. V. The impact of
621 interferon-regulatory factors to macrophage differentiation and polarization into M1 and M2.
622 *Immunobiology* (2017). doi:10.1016/j.imbio.2017.10.005
- 623 33. Schliwa, M. Action of cytochalasin D on cytoskeletal networks. *J. Cell Biol.* **92**, 79–91 (1982).
- 624 34. Fiorentino, D. F. *et al.* IL-10 acts on the antigen-presenting cell to inhibit cytokine production by
625 Th1 cells. *J. Immunol.* **146**, 3444–3451 (1991).
- 626 35. Mantovani, A. *et al.* The chemokine system in diverse forms of macrophage activation and
627 polarization. *Trends Immunol.* **25**, 677–686 (2004).
- 628 36. Crispe, I. N. Liver antigen-presenting cells. *J. Hepatol.* **54**, 357–365 (2011).

37. Le Vee, M., Gripon, P., Stieger, B. & Fardel, O. Down-regulation of organic anion transporter expression in human hepatocytes exposed to the proinflammatory cytokine interleukin 1beta. *Drug Metab. Dispos. Biol. Fate Chem.* **36**, 217–222 (2008).
38. Real, C. I. *et al.* Hepatitis B virus genome replication triggers toll-like receptor 3-dependent interferon responses in the absence of hepatitis B surface antigen. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 24865 (2016).
39. Wu, J. *et al.* Hepatitis B virus suppresses toll-like receptor-mediated innate immune responses in murine parenchymal and nonparenchymal liver cells. *Hepatol. Baltim. Md* **49**, 1132–1140 (2009).
40. Wang, S. *et al.* Hepatitis B virus surface antigen selectively inhibits TLR2 ligand-induced IL-12 production in monocytes/macrophages by interfering with JNK activation. *J. Immunol. Baltim. Md 1950* **190**, 5142–5151 (2013).
41. Visvanathan, K. *et al.* Regulation of Toll-like receptor-2 expression in chronic hepatitis B by the precore protein. *Hepatol. Baltim. Md* **45**, 102–110 (2007).
42. Suslov, A., Boldanova, T., Wang, X., Wieland, S. & Heim, M. H. Hepatitis B Virus Does Not Interfere with Innate Immune Responses in the Human Liver. *Gastroenterology* (2018). doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2018.01.034
43. Xu, L., Yin, W., Sun, R., Wei, H. & Tian, Z. Kupffer cell-derived IL-10 plays a key role in maintaining humoral immune tolerance in hepatitis B virus-persistent mice. *Hepatol. Baltim. Md* **59**, 443–452 (2014).
44. Li, M. *et al.* Kupffer Cells Support Hepatitis B Virus-Mediated CD8+ T Cell Exhaustion via Hepatitis B Core Antigen-TLR2 Interactions in Mice. *J. Immunol. Baltim. Md 1950* **195**, 3100–3109 (2015).
45. Dunn, C. *et al.* Temporal analysis of early immune responses in patients with acute hepatitis B virus infection. *Gastroenterology* **137**, 1289–1300 (2009).
46. Bility, M. T. *et al.* Hepatitis B virus infection and immunopathogenesis in a humanized mouse model: induction of human-specific liver fibrosis and M2-like macrophages. *PLoS Pathog.* **10**, e1004032 (2014).

- 654 47. Jiang, M. *et al.* Toll-like receptor-mediated immune responses are attenuated in the presence of
655 high levels of hepatitis B virus surface antigen. *J. Viral Hepat.* **21**, 860–872 (2014).
- 656 48. Li, H. *et al.* Hepatitis B virus particles preferably induce Kupffer cells to produce TGF- β 1 over pro-
657 inflammatory cytokines. *Dig. Liver Dis. Off. J. Ital. Soc. Gastroenterol. Ital. Assoc. Study Liver* **44**,
658 328–333 (2012).
- 659 49. Tang, X., Mo, C., Wang, Y., Wei, D. & Xiao, H. Anti-tumour strategies aiming to target tumour-
660 associated macrophages. *Immunology* **138**, 93–104 (2013).
- 661 50. Boltjes, A. *et al.* Kupffer cells interact with hepatitis B surface antigen in vivo and in vitro, leading
662 to proinflammatory cytokine production and natural killer cell function. *J. Infect. Dis.* **211**, 1268–
663 1278 (2015).
- 664 51. Tohidi-Esfahani, R., Vickery, K. & Cossart, Y. The early host innate immune response to duck
665 hepatitis B virus infection. *J. Gen. Virol.* **91**, 509–520 (2010).
- 666 52. Gruffaz, M. *et al.* The nuclear function of Hepatitis B capsid (HBc) protein is to inhibit IFN
667 response very early after infection of hepatocytes. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md.)* 276A (2013).
- 668 53. Huang, Y.-W. *et al.* Reduced Toll-like receptor 3 expression in chronic hepatitis B patients and its
669 restoration by interferon therapy. *Antivir. Ther.* **18**, 877–884 (2013).
- 670 54. Lebossé, F. *et al.* Intrahepatic innate immune response pathways are downregulated in
671 untreated chronic hepatitis B. *J. Hepatol.* **66**, 897–909 (2017).
- 672 55. Sen, P., Ganguly, P. & Ganguly, N. Modulation of DNA methylation by human papillomavirus E6
673 and E7 oncoproteins in cervical cancer. *Oncol. Lett.* **15**, 11–22 (2018).
- 674 56. Bonnaud, E. M. *et al.* Borna disease virus phosphoprotein modulates epigenetic signaling in
675 neurons to control viral replication. *J. Virol.* **89**, 5996–6008 (2015).
- 676 57. Adhya, D. & Basu, A. Epigenetic modulation of host: new insights into immune evasion by
677 viruses. *J. Biosci.* **35**, 647–663 (2010).

- 678 58. Linger, R. M. A., Keating, A. K., Earp, H. S. & Graham, D. K. TAM Receptor Tyrosine Kinases:
679 Biologic Functions, Signaling, and Potential Therapeutic Targeting in Human Cancer. in *Advances*
680 *in Cancer Research* **100**, 35–83 (Academic Press, 2008).
- 681 59. Monney, L. *et al.* Th1-specific cell surface protein Tim-3 regulates macrophage activation and
682 severity of an autoimmune disease. *Nature* **415**, 536 (2002).
- 683 60. Trajkovic, V., Sweet, M. J. & Xu, D. T1/ST2—an IL-1 receptor-like modulator of immune
684 responses. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* **15**, 87–95 (2004).
- 685 61. Shi, X. *et al.* Impact of HBV replication in peripheral blood mononuclear cell on HBV intrauterine
686 transmission. *Front. Med.* (2017). doi:10.1007/s11684-017-0597-5
- 687 62. Wieland, S., Thimme, R., Purcell, R. H. & Chisari, F. V. Genomic analysis of the host response to
688 hepatitis B virus infection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **101**, 6669–6674 (2004).
- 689 63. Doyle, T., Goujon, C. & Malim, M. H. HIV-1 and interferons: who’s interfering with whom? *Nat.*
690 *Rev. Microbiol.* **13**, 403–413 (2015).
- 691 64. Heim, M. H. & Thimme, R. Innate and adaptive immune responses in HCV infections. *J. Hepatol.*
692 **61**, S14–25 (2014).
- 693 65. Fletcher, S. P. *et al.* Transcriptomic analysis of the woodchuck model of chronic hepatitis B.
694 *Hepatol. Baltim. Md* **56**, 820–830 (2012).
- 695 66. Stacey, A. R. *et al.* Induction of a striking systemic cytokine cascade prior to peak viremia in acute
696 human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection, in contrast to more modest and delayed
697 responses in acute hepatitis B and C virus infections. *J. Virol.* **83**, 3719–3733 (2009).
- 698 67. Mutz, P. *et al.* HBV Bypasses the Innate Immune Response and Does not Protect HCV From
699 Antiviral Activity of Interferon. *Gastroenterology* (2018). doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2018.01.044
- 700 68. Wieland, S. F. & Chisari, F. V. Stealth and Cunning: Hepatitis B and Hepatitis C Viruses. *J. Virol.* **79**,
701 9369–9380 (2005).
- 702 69. van Montfoort, N. *et al.* Hepatitis B Virus Surface Antigen Activates Myeloid Dendritic Cells via a
703 Soluble CD14-Dependent Mechanism. *J. Virol.* **90**, 6187–6199 (2016).

704 70. Cheng, X. *et al.* Hepatitis B virus evades innate immunity of hepatocytes but activates cytokine
705 production by macrophages. *Hepatology. Baltim. Md* **66**, 1779–1793 (2017).

706 71. Park, B. S. & Lee, J.-O. Recognition of lipopolysaccharide pattern by TLR4 complexes. *Exp. Mol.*
707 *Med.* **45**, e66 (2013).

708 72. Kim, J. H. *et al.* Systemic Armed Oncolytic and Immunologic Therapy for Cancer with JX-594, a
709 Targeted Poxvirus Expressing GM-CSF. *Mol. Ther.* **14**, 361–370 (2006).

710 73. Mastrangelo, M. J. *et al.* Intratumoral recombinant GM-CSF-encoding virus as gene therapy in
711 patients with cutaneous melanoma. *Cancer Gene Ther.* **6**, 409 (1999).

712 74. Liu, P.-S. *et al.* α -ketoglutarate orchestrates macrophage activation through metabolic and
713 epigenetic reprogramming. *Nat. Immunol.* **18**, 985–994 (2017).

714 75. Demento, S. L. *et al.* Inflammasome-activating nanoparticles as modular systems for optimizing
715 vaccine efficacy. *Vaccine* **27**, 3013–3021 (2009).

716

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: An HBV protein co-localized with liver MΦ and the proportion of anti-inflammatory MΦ is higher in CHB liver biopsies. HBc (HBV capsid protein), CD68 (total macrophage) and/or CD163 (M2 macrophage), in liver biopsies from non-infected or HBV-infected patients (Table S1), were stained by immune-histo-chemistry (IHC). (A,B) Representative photos of HBc (brown arrows) and CD68 (pink arrows) stainings. (C) Representative photos of CD163 stainings. (A,B,C) Bars represent 50 μm. (D) Quantification of CD163 stainings. Horizontal bars represent the median of values and data were submitted to Kruskal Wallis test. ns = not significant. (E) Correlation curve between CD163 and HBc stainings.

Figure 2: Levels of pro-inflammatory markers decreased in liver MΦ exposed to HBV. (A,B) Total LMNC were isolated from liver resection and exposed or not to HBV for 24h. (C,D,E) Liver MΦ were isolated from liver resection, exposed or not to HBV for 24h and stimulated 24h later with (C,D) 100 ng/mL of LPS (TLR4-L) or (C,E) 100 ng/mL of LPS + 100 ng/mL of Poly (dA:dT) (AIM2-L) for another 24h. (A-E) Supernatant were collected and the levels of IL-1β and IL-10 were assessed by ELISA. Data are presented either as non-normalised secretion or as ratio to non-exposed cells. Results are the median of five independent experiments (with five different donors) each performed with three biological replicates. Horizontal bars represent the median and data were submitted to Wilcoxon test. ns = not significant.

Figure 3: HBV interferes with M1-MDM differentiation. Monocytes were purified from peripheral blood mononuclear cells, differentiated into (B-F) M1-MDM (upon GM-CSF culture) or (B-E,G) M2-MDM (upon M-CSF culture) in the presence or not of HBV before stimulation with 10 ng/mL of LPS for 3 hours. (A) Schematic representation of the experiment. Medium

change is indicated by dotted arrows. (B-G) Levels of the indicated secreted cytokines were assessed by ELISA and analysed either as non-normalised secretion or as ratio to non-exposed cells. Results are the mean of six independent experiments (with six different donors) each performed with three biological replicates. Horizontal bars represent the median and data were submitted to Wilcoxon test. ns = not significant.

Figure 4: HBV increases M2-MDM activation. Monocytes were purified from peripheral blood mononuclear cells, differentiated into (B) M1-MDM (upon GM-CSF culture) or (C) M2-MDM (upon M-CSF culture) and stimulated with 10 ng/mL of LPS for 3 hours in the presence or not of HBV. (A) Schematic representation of the experiment. Medium change is indicated by dotted arrows. (B-C) Levels of the indicated secreted cytokines were assessed by ELISA and analysed as ratio to non-exposed cells. Results are the mean of six independent experiments (with six different donors) each performed with three biological replicates. Horizontal bars represent the median and data were submitted to Wilcoxon test. ns = not significant.

Figure 5: HBV effect on macrophages is dependant of virus proteins quantity but independent of viral entry and replication. (A-D) Monocytes were purified from peripheral blood mononuclear cells, then differentiated into M1-MDM (upon GM-CSF culture; panels A and D) or M2-MDM (upon M-CSF culture; panels B and C) and stimulated with 10 ng/mL of LPS for 3 hours. Cells were exposed or not to HBV, Cytochalasin D, AAV-GFP or AAV-HBV during their differentiation and activation (A,D), or their activation (B,C). (A-D) Levels of the indicated secreted cytokines were assessed by ELISA and analysed as ratio to non-exposed cells (A-B: MOI 0; C: Mock; D: HBV-). Results are the mean of two to five independent experiments (with two or five different donors) each performed with three biological replicates. Horizontal bars represent the median and data were submitted to Wilcoxon test. ns = not significant.

770 **Figure 6: HBV-producing cells impair M1-MDM differentiation and enhance M2-MDM**
771 **activation.** Monocytes were purified from peripheral blood mononuclear cells, differentiated
772 into (B) M1-MDM (upon GM-CSF culture) or (C) M2-MDM (upon M-CSF culture) and
773 stimulated with 10 ng/mL of LPS for 3 hours, in the presence of non-infected HepG2-NTCP
774 (HBV- cells) or HepAD38 (HBV+ cells) during their differentiation or activation, respectively.
775 (A) Schematic representation of the experiment. Medium change is indicated by dotted arrows.
776 (B, C) Levels of the indicated secreted cytokines were assessed by ELISA and analysed as
777 ratio to cells exposed to HBV- cells. Results are the median of five independent experiments
778 (with five different donors) each performed with three biological replicates. Horizontal bars
779 represent the median and data were submitted to Wilcoxon test. ns = not significant.

780

781 **Figure 7: HBV induces further immunosuppressive properties to M2-MDM.** Lymphocytes
782 were purified from peripheral blood mononuclear cells and exposed to media (mock) or LPS-
783 stimulated M2-MDM in the absence (no-HBV M2-MDM) or presence (HBV stim M2-MDM) of
784 HBV during their stimulation (CM; conditioned medium) for 2h before stimulation with 20 ng/mL
785 of PMA and 500 ng/mL of ionomycin. Supernatants were collected 22h later and the levels of
786 TNF- α assessed by ELISA. Results, presented as ratio to non-treated cells (mock), are the
787 mean +/- standard deviation of three independent experiments (lymphocytes from three
788 different donors) each performed with three biological replicates. Data were submitted to
789 Wilcoxon test. ns= not significant.

790

791 **Figure 8: IL-1 β strongly inhibits establishment of HBV infection in hepatocytes.** (A)
792 dHepaRG cells or (B) PHH were treated with 1 ng/mL of recombinant IL-1 β or 20 ng/mL of
793 recombinant IL-10, 24h before and during the infection with HBV. Seven days post infection,
794 supernatants were collected and levels of HBeAg and HBsAg quantified by ELISA. Cells were

harvested, total RNA or DNA extracted, and levels of HBV RNAs and cccDNA quantified by RT-qPCR or qPCR analysis. Cell viability was assessed by neutral red uptake assay. Results, presented as ratio to non-treated cells, are the mean $\pm$ standard deviation of three independent experiments (three batches of dHepaRG cells and three donors of PHH) each performed with three biological replicates. Data were submitted to Wilcoxon test.

Figure 9: HBV prevents the antiviral effect of M1-MDM on HBV establishment. dHepaRG cells were treated 24h before and during HBV infection with concentrated supernatants from LPS-stimulated M1-MDM (CM: conditioned medium pooled from at least five independent experiment) exposed to HBV during their differentiation or during their stimulation. Seven days post infection, supernatants were collected and levels of HBeAg and HBsAg quantified by ELISA. Cells were harvested, total DNA extracted and levels of cccDNA quantified by specific qPCR analysis. Cell viability was assessed by neutral red uptake assay. Results, presented as ratio to non-treated cells (mock), are the mean $\pm$ standard deviation of three independent experiments each performed with three biological replicates. Data were submitted to Wilcoxon test. ns= not significant.

Figure 1

A. LMNC

B. LMNC

C. Liver-M Φ

D. LPS-stimulated-Liver M Φ

E. (Poly (dA:dT) + LPS)-stimulated-Liver M Φ

Figure 2

A.

B.

C.

D.

E.

F. M1-MDM (secretion)

G. M2-MDM (secretion)

Figure 3

A.

B. M1-MDM (secretion)

C. M2-MDM (secretion)

Figure 4

A. M1-MDM

B. M2-MDM

C. M2-MDM

D. M1-MDM

Figure 5

B. M1-MDM

C. M2-MDM

Figure 6

Figure 7

A. **dHepaRG**

B. **PHH**

Figure 8

Figure 9

Supplementary figure 1: The levels of anti-inflammatory macrophages are higher in liver biopsies from HBV infected patients (French cohort). (A,B) Liver biopsies from healthy controls (HC), and HBV positive (HBV) patients were stained with control or target specific antibodies. Representative photos of the stainings are shown. The black bars represent 50 μ m. (B) The levels of positive CD163 signals were quantified compared to the levels of positive CD68 signals. Horizontal bars represent the median of values and data were submitted to Kruskal Wallis test.

Supplementary figure 2: Representative characterization of HBV inoculum. Supernatants from HepAD38 were concentrated by ultracentrifugation and characterized by analysis of the fractions from a 5.6-56% iodixanol gradient. Viral parameters were assessed in each fractions by ELISA (HBeAg and HBsAg), dot blot (HBV DNA), and western-blot (HBc) analyses. Representative characterization of one of the three HBV inoculums used for this study.

LPS-stimulated-Liver MΦ

Supplementary figure 3: Levels of *HIF1α* and *VEGF* mRNAs in liver MΦ exposed to HBV. Liver MΦ were isolated, exposed or not to HBV for 24h before stimulation with 100 ng/ml of LPS for 3h. Cells were harvested, total RNA were extracted and the levels of the indicated mRNA were assessed by RT-qPCR. Results represent the mean of three independent experiments (three different donors). Horizontal bars represent the median and data were submitted to Mann Whitney test.

A. MDM exposed to HBV during their differentiation

B. MDM exposed to HBV during their activation

Supplementary figure 4: Levels of *HIF1α* and *VEGF* mRNAs in MDM exposed to HBV. (A-B) Monocytes were purified from peripheral blood mononuclear cells, differentiated into M1-MDM (upon GM-CSF culture) or M2-MDM (upon M-CSF culture) in the presence or not of HBV (A) during differentiation and activation or (B) during stimulation with 10 ng/ml of LPS for 3 hours. (A, B) Cells were harvested, total RNA were extracted and the levels of the indicated mRNA were assessed by RT-qPCR. Results represent the mean of three independent experiments (three different donors). Horizontal bars represent the median and data were submitted to Mann Whitney test.

B. M1-MDM and M2-MDM exposed to HBV according to protocol 1

C. M1-MDM and M2-MDM exposed to HBV according to protocol 2

D. M1-MDM and M2-MDM exposed to HBV according to protocol 3

Supplementary figure 5: HBV interfere with M1-MDM differentiation and increase M2-MDM activation.

Monocytes were purified from peripheral blood mononuclear cells, differentiated into M1-MDM (upon GM-CSF culture) or M2-MDM (upon M-CSF culture) and stimulated with 10 ng/ml of LPS for 3 hours, and HBV was added at different time points. (A) Schematic representation of the experiment. Media removals are indicated by dotted arrows. (B-D) Levels of the indicated secreted cytokines were assessed by ELISA and analysed as ratio to non-exposed cells. Results are the mean of three independent experiments (with three different donors) each performed with three biological replicates. Horizontal bars represent the median and data were submitted to Wilcoxon test.

A. Liver MΦ

B. M1-MDM

C. M1-MDM

D. M2-MDM

Supplementary figure 6: UV-inactivated HBV has similar effect as HBV on cytokines secretion by liver MΦ and/or M1-MDM and concentrated medium from non-infected hepatocytes does not modified cytokines secretion. (A) Liver MΦ were isolated from liver resection, exposed to medium (HBV-) or to an UV-inactivated HBV (UV-IN HBV+) for 24h, and stimulated with 100 ng/ml of LPS (TLR4-L) or 100 ng/ml of LPS + 100 ng/ml of Poly (dA:dT) (AIM2-L) for another 24h. (B,C,D) Monocytes were differentiated into M1-MDM (upon GM-CSF culture) or M2-MDM (upon M-CSF culture) in the presence or not of (B) HBV inactivated with UV light or (C,D) concentrated medium of non-infected of HepG2 (HepG2-CM), during M1-MDM differentiation and activation or during M2-MDM activation, before stimulation with 10 ng/ml of LPS for 3 hours. (A,B,C,D) Cytokine levels were assessed by ELISA and analysed as ratio to non-exposed cells (HBV- or Mock). Results are the median of one or four independent experiments (with one to four different donors) performed with three biological replicates. Horizontal bars represent the median and data were submitted to Wilcoxon test.

Supplementary figure 7: HBV did not replicate in macrophages. Liver MΦ and dHepaRG were exposed to HBV for one day and M1-MDM and M2-MDM for six days. Supernatant were collected and levels of HBeAg and HBsAg were determined by ELISA seven days post exposure. Data are presented as mean +/- standard deviation of three independent experiments (three batches of dHepaRG and three different donors) each performed in three biological replicates.

A.

B.

Supplementary figure 8: Effect of recombinant cytokines on the establishment of HBV infection. Differentiated HepaRG cells were treated with the indicated recombinant cytokines (A) 24h before or (B) 24h before and during infection with HBV. (A,B) Seven days post infection, supernatants were collected and levels of HBeAg and HBsAg were quantified by ELISA. Cells were harvest, total RNA or DNA were extracted and levels of HBV RNAs and cccDNA were quantified by RT-qPCR or qPCR analyses. Cell viability was assessed by neutral red uptake assay. Results, presented as ratio to non-treated cells, are the mean +/- standard deviation of three independent experiments each performed with three biological replicates. Data were submitted to Wilcoxon test.

Supplementary figure 9: Dose response of recombinant cytokines on the establishment of HBV infection. Differentiated HepaRG cells were treated with the indicated recombinant cytokines 24h before and during infection with HBV. Seven days post infection, supernatants were collected and levels of HBeAg and HBsAg were quantified by ELISA. Cell viability was assessed by neutral red uptake assay. Results, presented as ratio to non-treated cells, are the mean $\pm$ standard deviation of two independent experiments each performed with three biological replicates.

Supplementary figure 10: NTCP levels in dHepaRG and PHH treated with IL-1 β . (A) Differentiated HepaRG cells were treated with recombinant IL-1 β , and IL-10 for 24h or 48h. (B) PHH were treated with recombinant IL-1 β , and IL-10 for 48h. (A-B) NTCP protein expression was analysed by flow cytometry. Results are presented in percentage normalised to the non-treated cells. Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SD of three independent experiments (three batches of dHepaRG cells and three donors of PHH). Data were submitted to Kruskal Wallis test.

Table S1. Patients characteristic of the Swiss/German cohort (livers biopsies analyzed by IHC). N: negative; P: positive.

ID	Group	Pathology	Grading hepatitis*	Staging hepatitis*	HBsAg (% hepatocytes)	HBcAg (N or P)	Delta-Antigen (N or P)	Fibrosis (1 to 4)	Necro-inflammatory activity
P#1	Non-infected controls (HC)	Moderate hepatitis	-	-	0	N	N	1	-
P#2		Unclear	-	-	0	N	N	-	-
P#3		Minimal steatohepatitis	-	-	0	N	N	-	-
P#4		Severe sclerosing steatohepatitis	-	-	0	N	N	-	-
P#5		Sclerosing steatohepatitis	-	-	0	N	N	-	-
P#6		Moderate to high sclerosing hepatitis	-	-	0	N	N	-	-
P#7		High sclerosing steatohepatitis	-	-	0	N	N	-	-
P#8		Moderate sclerosing steatohepatitis	-	-	0	N	N	-	-
P#9		Minimal steatohepatitis	-	-	0	N	N	-	-
P#10		Minimal sclerosing steatohepatitis	-	-	0	N	N	-	-
P#11		Moderate sclerosing steatohepatitis	-	-	0	N	N	-	-
P#12		Minimal sclerosing steatohepatitis	-	-	0	N	N	-	-
P#13		High sclerosing steatohepatitis	-	-	0	N	N	2	-
P#14	HBV-infected patients (HBV)	Chronic Hepatitis B	H-2	H-3-4	20	N	N	-	-
P#15		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-3	I-1	90	P	N	1	1
P#16		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-3	I-2	<5	N	N	-	-
P#17		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-3	I-3	<1	N	N	-	-
P#18		Chronic Hepatitis B	H-2	H-2	50	P	N	-	-
P#19		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-5	I-2	20	N	N	2	1
P#20		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-3	I-1	10	N	N	-	-
P#21		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-4	I-2	60	N	N	2	1
P#22		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-3	I-1	10	N	N	1	1
P#23		Chronic Hepatitis B	H-2	H-3-4	20	N	N	-	-
P#24		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-9	I-6	70	N	N	-	-
P#25		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-6	I-6	<1	N	N	4	1
P#26		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-3	I-1	5	N	N	2	1
P#27		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-7	I-6	>90	P	N	4	2
P#28		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-11	I-3	80	N	N	3	3
P#29		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-4	I-4	80	N	N	1	1
P#30		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-13	I-6	80	P	N	4	3
P#31		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-2	I-1	70	N	N	1	1
P#32		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-2	I-0	90	N	N	0	1
P#33		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-1	I-0	95	P	N	0	1
P#34		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-2	I-1	70	N	N	1	1
P#35		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-2	I-3	5	N	N	3	1
P#36		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-6	I-2	5	N	N	2	1
P#37		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-2	I-2	10	N	N	1	1
P#38		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-5	I-5	5	N	N	2	2
P#39		Chronic Hepatitis B	I-10	I-4	50	N	N	3	2

* H=Hytiroglou; I=Ishak et al.

Table S2. Patients characteristic from the French cohort (livers biopsies analyzed by IHC). N: negative; P: positive.

ID	Group	Age	Sexe	Treatment	HBsAg (IU/mL)	HBeAg (N or P)	HBV DNA (IU/mL)	cccDNA/cell	Total HBV DNA/cell	rcDNA/ cell	Replicative activity	ALAT (IU/ml)	Fibrosis (1 to 4)	Necro-inflammatory activity
P#1	Healthy control (HC)	84	F	None	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P#2		66	M	None	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P#3		76	M	None	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P#4		49	M	None	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P#5	HBV infected (HBV)	23	F	None	6511	N	31	0,02	0,74	0,72	31,59	15	1	1
P#6		36	M	None	1555	N	50	0,09	12,09	11,99	127,86	42	1	0
P#7		42	F	None	53,13	N	86	0,03	0,71	0,68	20,50	35	0	1
P#8		59	M	None	5926	N	440	0,02	1,89	1,87	89,17	27	1	0
P#9		40	M	None	10681	N	541	0,08	5,54	5,45	65,07	65	1	0
P#10		42	M	None	3250	N	25 238	0,41	3,09	2,68	6,58	78	3	1
P#11		42	M	None	1052	N	27 343	0,80	38,57	37,76	46,93	47	1	1
P#12		45	M	None	1205	N	17 263	0,18	2,33	2,15	11,74	62	1,5	0
P#13		32	M	None	26910	N	93 709	0,13	11,12	10,98	82,79	115	1	1
P#14		60	M	None	7170	N	1 511 762	0,34	3,75	3,41	10,12	45	1	1
P#15		28	M	None	8069	P	32 000 000	6,35	4005,87	3999,52	629,75	68	1	1
P#16		34	M	None	10539	P	139 181	0,18	28,61	28,43	155,61	61	3	1
P#17		32	M	None	66820	P	68 275 329	2,04	1975,58	1973,55	968,95	106	1	1
P#18		30	M	None	8051	P	15 800 000	4,47	5734,49	5730,02	1281,31	75	2	1

Table S3. Antibodies’ information.

TARGET PROTEIN	TYPE	COMPANY	CATALOG NUMBER	NEGATIVE CONTROL ANTIBODY
CD163	IgG1	Abcam	ab74604	Biolegend 400143
CD68	IgG	Abcam	ab955	Abcam ab18448
HBc	Polyclonal	Diagnostic Biosystems	RP 017-05	-
ANTI- RABBIT	IgG/HRP	DAKO	P0448	
ANTI- MOUSE	IgG/HRP	DAKO	P0447	
NTCP	IgG	Abcam	ab175289	Secondary antibody only

Table S4. Recombinant cytokine references and working concentration

Cytokine	Reference	Concentration
TNF α	Life Technologies PHC3016	50 ng/ml
IL-1 β	MBL International Corporation JM4128-10	1 ng/ml
IL-6	R&D Systems 206-IL/CF	10 ng/ml
IL-18	MBL International Corporation B003-5	10 ng/ml
IL-10	Biovision # 4155-10	20 ng/ml
TGF- β 1	Biovision # 4342-5	5 ng/ml
MCP-1	R&D 279-MC-010	1 ng/ml

Table S5. Primers sequences

GENE	FORWARD	REVERSE
GUS	CGTGGTTGGAGAGCTCATTTGGAA	ATTCCCCAGCACTCTCGTCGGT
HBV	GGAGGGATACATAGAGGTTCTTGA	GTTGCCCGTTTGTCTCTAATTC
HBV cccDNA	ATGGTGAGGTGAACAATGCT	GACTCTCTCGTCCCCTTCTC
RPLP0	CACCATTGAAATCCTGAGTGATGT	TGACCAGCCCAAAGGAGAAG
HIF1α	CCACCTCTGGACTTGCCTTT	CTCCATGGTGAATCGGTCCC
VEGF	AGGGCAGAATCATCACGAAGT	AGGGTCTCGATTGGATGGCA

Table S6. Supernatant from LPS-activated M2-MDM from one donor was collected and the indicated cytokines were determined by ELISA.

MDM	M2	
Cytokines (ng/ml)	HBV -	HBV + protocol 2
IL-6	0	0
IL-1β	0,02	0,03
IL-12	0	0
TNFα	0	0
IL-10	3,3	5,7

Table S7. Supernatant from LPS-activated M1-MDM from at least five different donors were pooled, concentrated by ultrafiltration and diluted in dHepaRG medium to the final concentration indicated.

MDM	M1		
Cytokines (pg/ml)	HBV -	HBV + protocol 1	HBV + protocol 2
IL-6	1063	585	828
IL-1β	41	4	42
TNFα	1829	1492	1749
IL-10	39	26	40