

Accounting for interspecific competition and age structure in demographic analyses of density dependence improves predictions of fluctuations in population size

Marlène Gamelon, Stefan J G Vriend, Steinar Engen, Frank Adriaensen, André A Dhondt, Simon R Evans, Erik Matthysen, Ben C Sheldon, Bernt-erik

Sæther

► To cite this version:

Marlène Gamelon, Stefan J G Vriend, Steinar Engen, Frank Adriaensen, André A Dhondt, et al.. Accounting for interspecific competition and age structure in demographic analyses of density dependence improves predictions of fluctuations in population size. Ecology Letters, 2019, 22 (5), pp.797 - 806. 10.1111/ele.13237 . hal-03282103

HAL Id: hal-03282103 https://hal.science/hal-03282103

Submitted on 9 Aug 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Accounting for interspecific competition and age structure in demographic analyses of
2	density dependence improves predictions of fluctuations in population size
3	
4	Marlène Gamelon ^{1,*} , Stefan J.G. Vriend ¹ , Steinar Engen ² , Frank Adriaensen ³ , André A.
5	Dhondt ⁴ , Simon R. Evans ⁵ , Erik Matthysen ³ , Ben C. Sheldon ⁵ , and Bernt-Erik Sæther ¹
6	
7	¹ Centre for Biodiversity Dynamics, Department of Biology, Norwegian University of Science
8	and Technology, 7491 Trondheim, Norway
9	² Centre for Biodiversity Dynamics, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Norwegian
10	University of Science and Technology, 7491 Trondheim, Norway
11	³ Evolutionary Ecology Group, University of Antwerp, Antwerp 2020, Belgium
12	⁴ Laboratory of Ornithology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14850, USA
13	⁵ Edward Grey Institute, Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3PS, UK
14	
15	*Corresponding author: <u>marlene.gamelon@ntnu.no;</u> telephone number: +47 73596051
16	stefan.vriend@ntnu.no
17	steinar.engen@ntnu.no
18	frank.adriaensen@uantwerpen.be
19	aad4@cornell.edu
20	srevans@me.com
21	erik.matthysen@uantwerpen.be
22	ben.sheldon@zoo.ox.ac.uk
23	bernt-erik.sather@ntnu.no
24	
25	Running title: Intra- and interspecific regulation in tits

26 Keywords: competitive interactions; *Cyanistes caeruleus*; density dependence; density

27 regulation; *Parus major*; population growth rate

28

29 **Type of article:** Letter

- 30 Number of words in the abstract: 146/150
- 31 Number of words in the main text: 5000/5000
- 32 Number of references: 69
- 33 Number of figures: 5
- 34 Number of tables: 1
- 35 Authorship: F.A., E.M., A.A.D., and B.C.S. contributed to data collection; F.A., S.R.E. and

36 S.J.G.V. prepared the datasets; M.G., S.E., F.A., E.M., B.C.S. and B.E.S. conceived the study;

37 M.G. conducted the analyses and wrote the manuscript and all authors contributed to

38 revisions on later manuscript versions.

Data accessibility: The data and the R scripts supporting the results are archived in Dryad

40 doi:10.5061/dryad.45873cg

41

42 Abstract

43 Understanding species coexistence has long been a major goal of ecology. Coexistence theory 44 for two competing species posits that intraspecific density dependence should be stronger than 45 interspecific density dependence. Great tits and blue tits are two bird species that compete for 46 food resources and nesting cavities. Based on long-term monitoring of these two competing 47 species at sites across Europe, combining observational and manipulative approaches, we 48 show that the strength of density regulation is similar for both species, and that individuals 49 have contrasting abilities to compete depending on their age. For great tits, density regulation 50 is driven mainly by intraspecific competition. In contrast, for blue tits, interspecific

- 51 competition contributes as much as intraspecific competition, consistent with asymmetric
- 52 competition between the two species. In addition, including age-specific effects of intra- and
- 53 interspecific competition in density-dependence models improves predictions of fluctuations
- 54 in population size by up to three times.
- 55

56 INTRODUCTION

57 Understanding species coexistence has long been a major goal in ecological studies 58 (Ellner et al. 2019). Most species live in guilds or communities alongside other ecologically 59 similar species, sometimes relying on common limiting resources. A major principle of 60 coexistence theory for two competing species is that intraspecific density dependence should 61 be stronger than interspecific density dependence (Chesson 2000). If interspecific competition 62 is stronger than intraspecific competition, one species will ultimately be excluded (see e.g. 63 Alatalo et al. 1985), which will change the composition of the entire ecological community 64 (Kokkoris et al. 1999; Chesson 2000). A recent review showed that, in plant communities, 65 intraspecific competition is indeed four to five times stronger than interspecific competition, 66 providing the basis for the maintenance of diversity in natural communities (Adler et al. 67 2018). Assessing the relative contribution of inter- and intraspecific competition to density 68 regulation is crucial to determine the chances of species persistence in a community. 69 Intraspecific density dependence causes a decrease of population growth rate with 70 increasing density of the focal population (Royama 1992; Turchin 1995; Berryman et al. 71 2002; Lande et al. 2002; Brook & Bradshaw 2006). Classical analyses of density dependence 72 are based on time series of population fluctuations, assuming that all individuals in the 73 population have an equal competitive effect (Krebs 2002). Evidence is accumulating, 74 however, that in age-structured populations, the strength of competitive effects vary along an 75 individual's lifetime (see e.g. Coulson et al. 2001; Lande et al. 2002; de Roos & Persson 76 2013). For example, in a great tit (*Parus major*) population, the youngest birds have the 77 strongest density-dependent effect on other individuals of the same age or older (Gamelon et 78 al. 2016). Young birds constitute the critical age classes for density dependence (sensu 79 Charlesworth 1972), in which the variation in the number of individuals most strongly affects 80 density regulation. Until now, however, no field study has examined how age-specific

81 competitive effects influence the population growth rates of sympatric species (see Cameron 82 et al. 2007 for laboratory settings). The question of how age-specific competitive effects 83 influence the growth rates of sympatric species has rarely been addressed because the 84 classical models of competition between two species A and B use linear combinations of their 85 two densities. For instance, the well-known Lotka (1925) and Volterra (1926) competition 86 model in continuous time measures the effect of species-specific densities N_A and N_B on 87 species-specific population growth rates λ_A and λ_B , where K_A and K_B are the species-specific 88 carrying capacities:

$$\log(\lambda_A) = r_A - \frac{r_A}{K_A}(N_A + \alpha_{AB}N_B),$$
$$\log(\lambda_B) = r_B - \frac{r_B}{K_B}(N_B + \alpha_{BA}N_A),$$

89 with α_{AB} and α_{BA} the competition coefficients corresponding to the per capita reduction in 90 growth caused by each additional individual of the other species. However, such models are 91 developed in the simple case of no age structure assuming the same ability for all individuals 92 to compete. In the real world, this assumption is unrealistic, and it is thus important to test 93 whether age structure can improve models of interspecific competition.

94 Great tits and blue tits (Cyanistes caeruleus) are hole-nesting bird species that compete 95 for cavities and food. Competition intensity varies across Europe (Møller et al. 2018) and 96 between habitats (Dhondt 2011). Long-term, individual-based monitoring of these two species 97 have been performed across Europe and two complementary approaches have been adopted to 98 understand how they compete. The first is observational, meaning that vital rates (e.g. 99 survival, fecundity) and emergent population descriptors (e.g. population size) are estimated 100 for these two sympatric species without changing the density of cavities (i.e., the number of 101 nest boxes remains constant throughout the study). The second approach is manipulative and 102 involves a change in the number or type of nest boxes over time (Torok & Tóth 1999; Dhondt

103 2011). Field experiments that manipulate density of one competitor and record the response of
104 the other species have provided significant insight into the role of interspecific competition in
105 the regulation of populations (see Connell 1983; Schoener 1983 for reviews).

106 Long-term studies of nestbox-breeding tits in the UK and in Belgium, consisting of 107 both observational and manipulative approaches, provide an opportunity to explore how age 108 classes of two competitive species affect each species' population growth rate. We adopted 109 several approaches to address these issues. First, using an integrated population model (IPM) 110 (see Schaub & Abadi 2011; Zipkin & Saunders 2018 for reviews), we estimated the age-111 specific numbers of the females of both species in all sites. This approach allows us to 112 account for observation errors in population censuses, as well as uncertainty in the age of 113 some individuals, which is crucial while investigating density dependence (Dennis et al. 114 2006; Lillegård et al. 2008; Abadi et al. 2012; Lebreton & Gimenez 2013; Schaub et al. 115 2013). Second, we compared the relative importance of intra- and interspecific competition 116 for both species in geographically spread sites. Third, we examined how the number of 117 individuals of both species in different age classes contributed to the observed variation in 118 population growth rates of both species. One can hypothesize that including interspecific 119 competition and age-specific contribution to density dependence in demographic analyses 120 would improve predictions of population growth. We tested this hypothesis in all sites for 121 both species by comparing predictions of population sizes from density-dependence models 122 accounting or ignoring age structure and interspecific competition.

123

124 MATERIAL AND METHODS

- 125 Overview of different density-dependence models
- 126 (1) Classical approach

127 The classical approach to studying density dependence consists of assessing the extent 128 to which population growth rate decreases with population size. The Ricker model is a simple 129 and common way of representing density-dependent feedback in the per-unit-abundance 130 growth rate (Ricker 1954; Dennis & Taper 1994). The Ricker model is the phenomenological 131 relationship between population growth rate in year t ($\lambda_t = \frac{N_{t+1}}{N_t}$) and population size N_t . It 132 takes the following form:

$$log (\lambda_t) = \gamma_{\lambda}' + \beta_N N_t + res_{\lambda_t}'$$
(1)

134 where γ_{λ} is the intercept, β_N is the regression coefficient providing a measure of the strength 135 of the density regulation, and res_{λ_t} are the residuals of the regression corresponding to the 136 variation in λ not explained by population size.

137

138 (2) Age-specific contribution to density dependence

To determine how the different age classes contributed to the observed variation in population growth rate, the previous phenomenological relationship (Eqn. (1)) can be broken down by age-specific numbers $N_{i,t}$ (see Gamelon *et al.* 2016). In the case where four age classes are considered, Eqn. (1) becomes:

143
$$\log (\lambda_t) = \gamma_{\lambda} + \beta_{N_1} N_{1,t} + \beta_{N_2} N_{2,t} + \beta_{N_3} N_{3,t} + \beta_{N_4} N_{4,t} + res_{\lambda_t}$$
(2)

144 where β_{N_i} are the age-specific regression coefficients.

145

146 (3) Age-specific contribution to density dependence including interspecific competition

147 To determine how the number of individuals in the different age classes from species 148 A and B contribute to the observed variation in population growth rate of species A ($\lambda_{A,t}$) and 149 B ($\lambda_{B,t}$), we break down the previous phenomenological relationship (Eqn. (2)) by species-150 specific numbers $N_{iA,t}$ and $N_{iB,t}$. In the case where four age classes are considered, Eqn. (2) 151 becomes:

152
$$\log (\lambda_{A,t}) = \gamma_{\lambda_A} + \beta_{N_{1,A}} (N_{1A,t} + \omega_A \times N_{1B,t}) + \beta_{N_{2,A}} (N_{2A,t} + \omega_A \times N_{2B,t}) +$$

153
$$\beta_{N_{3,A}}(N_{3A,t} + \omega_A \times N_{3B,t}) + \beta_{N_{4,A}}(N_{4A,t} + \omega_A \times N_{4B,t}) + res_{\lambda_{A,t}},$$

154
$$\log (\lambda_{B,t}) = \gamma_{\lambda_B} + \beta_{N_{1,B}} (N_{1B,t} + \omega_B \times N_{1A,t}) + \beta_{N_{1,B}} (N_{2B,t} + \omega_B \times N_{2A,t}) +$$

155

 $\beta_{N_{3,B}}(N_{3B,t} + \omega_B \times N_{3A,t}) + \beta_{N_{4,B}}(N_{4B,t} + \omega_B \times N_{4A,t}) + res_{\lambda_{B,t}}.$ (3)

where $\beta_{N_{i,A}}$ and $\beta_{N_{i,B}}$ are the age-specific regression coefficients when interspecific 156 157 competition is accounted for. In the first part of the equation, an ω_A value of 0 indicates that 158 only the age-specific numbers of species A matter. In this scenario, only intraspecific 159 competition explains variations in λ_A and interspecific competition has no impact on growth 160 rate (as in Eqn. (2)). In contrast, an ω_A value of 1 indicates that an individual of species B has 161 the same competitive effect on the population growth rate of species A as an individual of 162 species A. Hence, ω_A (and ω_B) provides a measure of the relative importance of inter- vs. 163 intraspecific competition on the total density regulation acting on λ_A (and λ_B). Noticeably, ω_A 164 corresponds to α_{AB} in the Lotka-Volterra competition model and ω_B to α_{BA} . However, our 165 model is more complex, since it includes density regulation acting among age classes. The strength of density regulation is given by the $\beta_{Ni,A}$ coefficients (with high $\beta_{Ni,A}$ indicating 166 167 strong density regulation). If the required high-quality data is available, the above formula can 168 easily be extended to more than two competing species and the competition coefficient ω_A can 169 be made age-specific to relax the assumption of similar age differences in competitive 170 strength for inter- and intraspecific competition.

171

172 Great tits and blue tits as a case study

Great tits and blue tits are two competing, short-lived birds abundant in European gardens and woodlands as year-round residents (Perrins & McCleery 1989). They are cavitynesters and readily accept nest boxes as nesting sites, making it possible to monitor the entire breeding population. Nest boxes with a large entrance hole (32 mm) are suitable for both species whereas nest boxes with a small entrance hole (26 mm) almost completely excludegreat tits.

179 The data come from three long-term study sites near Antwerp (Boshoek and 180 Peerdsbos) and Ghent in Belgium, and from eight sites ("rounds") within Wytham Woods, 181 near Oxford in the UK. In all sites, both great and blue tit populations were monitored. In the 182 case of Wytham Woods, substantial differences (up to five fold) in nest box density between 183 rounds as well as differences in vegetation structure, physical geography, etc. coupled with 184 the large sample size, suggested that it would be appropriate to estimate effects at the level of 185 the round (see e.g. Garant et al. 2005). Lumping the rounds into one single population would 186 neglect such heterogeneity and ignore differences in population density as determined by 187 nestbox density. Further, one might expect competition for sites to be more pronounced when 188 boxes are at low density. Populations are open to immigration and emigration (Table 1, SI1). 189 At all sites except Peerdsbos, the number of nest boxes remained constant during the study 190 period (see Minot & Perrins 1986; Dhondt et al. 1990; Nour et al. 1998; Visser et al. 2003; 191 Garant et al. 2005; Dhondt 2010; Matthysen et al. 2011 and Table 1 for further details on the 192 study sites). In Peerdsbos, 33% of both large-holed and small-holed nest boxes were removed 193 in 1997.

194

195 **Demographic data**

196 Nest boxes were visited during the breeding season and three types of demographic197 data were recorded:

198 (1) For each species, the total number of breeding females (C_t) was recorded. As most 199 females start to breed at one year of age, the breeding population size is a good proxy for the 200 total number of females in the population (Dhondt *et al.* 1990).

201 (2) All nestlings and mothers were fitted with a uniquely numbered leg-ring to allow 202 identification. Additionally, mothers were aged (first-years versus adults [≥ 2 years]) based on 203 plumage characteristics. We assumed that previously unringed mothers recruited into the 204 population in the first year in which they were recorded breeding; some of these could not be 205 aged due to left-census truncation (those recruited as adults). The breeding females of known 206 age that are marked and monitored throughout their life provide capture-recapture (CR) data 207 of known age females. We grouped the breeding birds of known age into four age classes: 1, 208 corresponding to the first year of breeding (i.e., second calendar year of life); 2, 209 corresponding to the second year of breeding; 3 corresponding to the third year of breeding; 210 and 4, which groups breeding females aged 4 or more. 211 (3) Females locally ringed as nestlings were recorded as recruited to the breeding 212 population if they were observed breeding in a subsequent year. This gave the number of 213 females that successfully became a first-year breeding female in year *t*+1, termed the breeding 214 recruitment for year t (J_t) . This recruitment could be broken down by the age-class of the 215 mother (see Dhondt 1989 for evidence of age-specific recruitment): first year breeder, second 216 year, etc. This provided estimates of the number of recruits for mothers of age class *i* in year *t* 217 (J_{it}) . Also, we recorded the total number of breeding females of each age class i in year t 218 $(B_{i,t})$.

219

220 Annual age-specific numbers of females using an IPM

Our analyses were performed on each study site separately (see Fig. 1 for a schematic of the different analytical steps). For each species, we integrated the recorded number of breeding females (C_t), CR data of females of known age, and data on reproductive success (i.e., $B_{i,t}$ and $J_{i,t}$) into an integrated population model (IPM) (Schaub & Abadi 2011). This framework provides estimates of all the vital rates (survival, fecundity), the true total number

226 of females N_t and the true age-specific numbers of females $N_{i,t}$ for each year t with improved 227 precision and free of observation error (Besbeas et al. 2002; Abadi et al. 2010, 2012; Kéry & 228 Schaub 2012). The joint analysis of these three datasets thus allowed us to account for 229 observation error associated with the recorded number of counted breeding females (Lebreton 230 & Gimenez 2013). It also allowed us to account for the incomplete information on age 231 structure in the monitoring data (e.g. some females are of unknown age), for imperfect 232 detection (e.g. recapture probability is not 1) and for demographic stochasticity (Lande et al. 233 2002).

234 Inference is based on the joint likelihood, corresponding to the multiplication of the 235 likelihoods from the single datasets (CR data, data on reproductive success and population 236 count) (Kéry & Schaub 2012). The likelihoods of the different datasets were specified as 237 follows. For CR data of breeding females of known age, we used the Cormack-Jolly-Seber 238 model (Lebreton et al. 1992) which allows estimation of annual survival between age class i 239 and i+1 (S_{i,t}) and recapture (P_t) probabilities. For data on reproductive success, the observed 240 number of daughters locally recruited per age class $i(J_{i,t})$ is Poisson distributed with $J_{i,t}$ ~Poisson ($B_{i,t} \times F_{i,t}$), where $F_{i,t}$ is the recruitment rate of females of age class *i* at year *t*. 241 242 For the population count data, we used a state-space model (de Valpine & Hastings 2002) that 243 consisted of a process model describing how the population size and structure changed over 244 time as well as an observation model (Besbeas et al. 2002). We considered a pre-breeding 245 age-structured model with the four pre-defined age classes. The true age-specific numbers of 246 females $N_{i,t}$ corresponds to the sum of locally born females and immigrants. Assuming 247 independence among the datasets, the likelihood of the IPM corresponds to the product of the 248 likelihoods of the three different datasets, namely population counts, reproductive success 249 data and CR data (Kéry & Schaub 2012). The assumption of independence is violated in our 250 study because some of the breeding females may be found in the different datasets but, as

shown in a simulation study (Abadi *et al.* 2010), it is unlikely that it affects our parameter
estimates and their precision with the kind of data we used here.

253 The model was fitted within a Bayesian framework. To assess convergence, we ran 254 four independent chains with different starting values for a minimum of 100,000 MCMC iterations, with a burn-in of 50,000 iterations, thinning every 100th observation and resulting 255 256 in 2,000 posterior samples. We used the Brooks and Gelman diagnostic \hat{R} to assess the 257 convergence of the simulations and used the rule $\hat{R} < 1.1$ to determine whether convergence 258 was reached (Brooks & Gelman 1998). The analyses were implemented using JAGS version 259 3.4.0 (Plummer 2003) with package R2jags (Su & Yajima 2012). For a full description of the 260 IPM, the priors used and the R code to fit the IPM, see an example on another great tit 261 population (Gamelon et al. 2016).

To ensure that the priors for initial population numbers did not influence estimates of age-specific numbers during the first year of the study, we considered estimates provided by our IPM from the second year onwards (see SI2).

265

266 Age-specific contribution to density dependence including interspecific competition

267 As a derived parameter from the IPM, we computed the "observed" population growth rate of great tits (GT) in year t as $\lambda_{GT,t} = \frac{N_{GT,t+1}}{N_{GT,t}}$ for each posterior sample (2,000 in total) 268 269 and recorded its posterior mean. To determine how the (posterior means of the) age-specific 270 numbers of great tit N_{iGT} and blue tit N_{iBT} females contributed to the observed variation in λ_{GT} , 271 we applied Eqn. (3) with species A corresponding to great tit and species B to blue tit (BT). 272 As $N_{GT,t}$, $N_{iGT,t}$ and $N_{iBT,t}$ were estimated in the IPM model, sampling variance and observation 273 errors were accounted for. This approach thus precludes spurious detection of density 274 dependence (see Freckleton et al. 2006; Schaub et al. 2013; Gamelon et al. 2016 for a similar 275 approach). To determine the value of ω_{GT} (i.e., the relative importance of inter- and

276 intraspecific competition in the dynamics of great tits) that provides the best fit of Eqn. (3) to 277 the data, we calculated the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) (Burnham & Anderson 2002) 278 of Eqn. (3) for ω_{GT} ranging from 0 to 1 in increments of 0.005. The model with the lowest 279 AIC was considered as the best one, and its corresponding value of ω_{GT} was recorded. We did 280 not consider competitive exclusion as a possible scenario and thus prevented interspecific 281 competition from exceeding intraspecific competition in our analyses by restricting the 282 competition coefficients ω to values less than unity. By not allowing parameter values above 283 unity, the parameters are tested in a region in accordance with biological a priori and the 284 accuracy in the estimation is improved. We reported the estimates of the regression 285 coefficients $\beta_{Ni,GT}$ from the best model retained, which indicate how the number of females of 286 both species in age class N_i contributed to the observed variations in λ_{GT} .

For each site, we applied the same approach on blue tits to determine how the agespecific numbers of great tit (N_{iGT}) and blue tit (N_{iBT}) females contributed to the observed variation in blue tit population growth rate, $\lambda_{BT,i}$.

290

291 Implications for the dynamics of age-structured populations

For each population, from the estimates of ω_{BT} , $\beta_{Ni,BT}$ and $\gamma_{\lambda,BT}$ and the true agespecific numbers of females $N_{iGT,t}$ and $N_{iBT,t}$ during the study periods, we calculated the expected population growth rate $\lambda_{BT,expected1}$ (from Eqn. (3)). We compared it to the observed annual growth rate λ_{BT} during this period (i.e., estimated with the IPM) through a simple linear regression.

```
Second, Eqn. (2) was fitted, meaning that interspecific competition was ignored. From
these new estimates of \beta_{Ni,BT} and \gamma_{\lambda} and from true age-specific numbers of blue tit females
N_{iBT,t} between 1997 and 2016, we calculated the expected growth rate \lambda_{BT,expected2}. This was
then compared to the observed growth rate \lambda_{BT} with a linear regression.
```

301	Finally, Eqn. (1) was fitted.	meaning	that both	interspecific	competition a	and age-
	, (-	,	0				

302 specific contribution to density dependence were ignored. From these annual estimates of

303 $\beta_{N_{BT}}, \gamma_{\lambda}$ and from true total number of blue tits $N_{BT,t}$ between 1997 and 2016, we calculated

304 $\lambda_{BT,expected3}$ and compared it to the observed growth rate λ_{BT} .

- Analyses were performed with R software, version 3.4.3 (R Development Core Team 2017).
- 306
- 307 **RESULTS**
- 308 IPM

309 Age-specific numbers of females $N_{iGT,t}$ and $N_{iBT,t}$ varied over time, for both great tits 310 and blue tits in all sites (SI2). Survival and fecundity rates also fluctuated through years, and 311 generally differed among age classes (SI3,4). The recapture probability varied over years and 312 was generally high in all sites for both species (SI5).

313

314 Relative importance of inter- vs. intraspecific competition

For each site and each species, we estimated the value of ω_{GT} and ω_{BT} that provided the best fit of the model described in Eqn. (3) (Fig. 2). At eight out of 10 sites (excluding the manipulative experiment at Peerdsbos), ω_{GT} equals 0 (Fig. 2). This indicates small contribution of interspecific competition to the changes in population size of great tits, i.e. blue tits have little effect on the growth rate of the great tit population, λ_{GT} . At the two other sites, ω_{GT} equals 0.39, indicating that two to three blue tits have the same competitive effect as one great tit.

322 For blue tits, at seven out of 10 sites, accounting for interspecific competition (with 323 $\omega_{BT}>0$) in Eqn. (3) better explains variation in population growth rate λ_{BT} than ignoring 324 interspecific competition (with $\omega_{BT}=0$). Thus, the number of great tits present at a site affects 325 λ_{BT} . The relative importance of inter- vs. intraspecific competition ω_{BT} even reached unity for some sites (Fig. 2), indicating that one great tit has the same competitive effect as one blue tit. At the three other sites, ω_{BT} equals 0, indicating that the number of great tits has no effect on λ_{BT} .

329

330 Effects of age-class numbers on population growth rate

Negative β_{N_i} values indicate that higher number of females in age class N_i translates to 331 332 lower population growth rate. The β_{N_i} values were negative, positive, or not significant 333 depending on the species, the study sites and the age class (Fig. 3). Thus, the different age 334 classes did not contribute equally to the strength of density dependence. While in some sites, 335 age 1 and 2 had the strongest negative effect on λ (e.g. at Common Piece, Fig. 3), older age 336 classes contributed the most to density regulation in other areas (e.g. in the blue tit population 337 at Singing Way). The effects of age-class numbers on λ have the same order of magnitude for 338 both species (Fig. 3) indicating that they experience similar strength of density dependence.

339

340 Change in the number of nest boxes over time

341 In Peerdsbos, the removal of some nest boxes during the study period provides an 342 opportunity to explore the effect of a change in nest box number on the relative contribution 343 of inter- and intraspecific competition to the population dynamics. Prior to the removal in 344 1997, the effects of interspecific competition were close to intraspecific competition in both 345 species (ω_{GT} =0.730 and ω_{BT} =0.87, Fig. 2). One great tit had almost the same competitive 346 effect as one blue tit on λ_{GT} and one blue tit had the same competitive effect as one great tit on 347 λ_{BT} . In the second period (i.e., after 1997), the relative importance of interspecific competition 348 dropped for great tits ($\omega_{GT}=0.055$) and increased for blue tits ($\omega_{BT}=1$). Thus, interspecific 349 competition becomes negligible on λ_{GT} whereas on λ_{BT} , one blue tit tended to have the same 350 competitive effect as one great tit.

The removal of some nest boxes was associated with a two-fold increase of the strength of density regulation for great tits (Fig. 3). Together with a low ω_{GT} reported during the second period, these results indicate that great tits play a major role in their own regulation. However, the strength of density regulation was not stronger in the second period for blue tits (Fig. 3). Together with a high ω_{BT} , this means that blue tits were mainly limited by great tits in the second period, although with the same intensity as in the first period.

357

358 Implications for the dynamics of age-structured populations

After the removal in 1997 in Peerdsbos, great tits contributed greatly to the strong 359 360 density dependence acting on the dynamics of the blue tit population (ω_{BT} =1). This population 361 is a relevant case study for exploring how including interspecific competition in demographic 362 analyses might help to predict variations in population growth rate λ_{BT} . The posterior means 363 of λ_{BT} (on a log-scale) estimated through the IPM varied between -0.56 and 0.48, indicating 364 that the population decreased and increased over time (Fig. 4, grey lines). These fluctuations 365 were caused by the combined effect of both density-dependent and -independent factors (such 366 as climate variations). The expected growth $\lambda_{BT,expected1}$ predicted by our density-dependent 367 model accounting for age-specific contribution to density dependence and interspecific competition (Eqn. (3)) matched well with the observed variations in λ_{BT} (Fig. 4A, blue line). 368 369 This model explains 56% of the variance in λ_{BT} . However, $\lambda_{BT,expected2}$ predicted by a density-370 dependent model accounting for age-specific contribution to density dependence but ignoring interspecific competition (Eqn. (2)) provided a poorer fit (Fig. 4B, blue line), explaining only 371 372 36% of the variance in observed λ_{BT} . The classical approach (Eqn. (1)) assuming equal 373 contribution of all ages to density dependence and ignoring interspecific competition provided 374 an even poorer fit (Fig. 4C, blue line), explaining only 24% of the variance in observed λ_{BT} .

375 The blue tit population at Peerdsbos is not an exception with regards to improved 376 predictions of fluctuations in population size when both age structure and interspecific 377 competition are accounted for. Accounting for age and interspecific competition in 378 demographic analyses substantially improves our predictions of variations in growth rate for 379 most of the blue tit populations, by up to three times (Fig. 5). For great tit populations, while 380 accounting for age-specific contribution to density dependence improves the model fit (Fig. 5, comparison between Eqn. (1) and Eqn. (2)), accounting for interspecific competition has 381 382 rather little effect on the predictive power of the density-dependence model (Fig. 5, 383 comparison between Eqn. (2) and Eqn. (3)).

384

385 **DISCUSSION**

386 Fluctuations in size of natural populations are due to temporal variation in climate (see 387 e.g. Sæther et al. 2000, 2004; Coulson et al. 2001; Stenseth et al. 2003; Berryman & Lima 388 2006) and density dependence (Royama 1992; Turchin 1995). The relative importance of 389 environmental stochastic and deterministic (i.e. density-dependent) factors in affecting 390 population growth rates has long been debated (Andrewartha & Birch 1954; Nicholson 1957; 391 Turchin 1995; Coulson et al. 2004) but it is now accepted that both play an important role 392 (Leirs et al. 1997; Coulson et al. 2001; Boyce et al. 2006). Several studies of tits have shown 393 that both climate variation and density dependence induce spatio-temporal variation in 394 population dynamics (Sæther et al. 2003; Grøtan et al. 2009). Accordingly, in our study, 395 density dependence was present in all populations (negative β parameters, Fig. 3) and account 396 for up to 92% of the recorded variation in population growth (see e.g. the blue tit population 397 at Great Wood, Fig. 5). Within a population, both intra- and interspecific competition 398 contributed to the density regulation. These contributions were age-dependent. Our findings 399 question the assumptions commonly made when estimating the strength of density

400 dependence (Krebs 2002), that i) interspecific competition is negligible; and ii) all individuals 401 in the population have an equal competitive effect. Relaxing these assumptions greatly 402 improves predictions of fluctuations in population size in age-structured populations. 403 Variation in population growth rates is better predicted when interspecific competition and 404 age-specific contribution to density dependence are accounted for. In populations limited by 405 intra- and interspecific competition such as blue tit populations, we strongly recommend the 406 use of a scalar function describing how several age classes of competitive species affect the 407 population growth rate negatively.

408

409 Contribution of inter- and intraspecific competition to changes in population size

410 Classical models of competition between two species such as Lotka (1925) and 411 Volterra (1926) use linear combinations of the two densities and ignore age structure. Here, 412 we provide a straightforward method to estimate the relative importance of intra- vs. 413 interspecific competition in age-structured populations. When close to 0, the competition 414 coefficient (here called ω) indicates that only intraspecific competition explains variations in 415 population growth rate λ , whereas close to 1, it indicates a similar contribution of intra- and 416 interspecific competition to observed variations in λ . A value above unity would indicate that 417 interspecific competition is higher than intraspecific competition, and that the coexistence 418 between the two species only results from immigration. A proper evaluation of this hypothesis 419 would require a spatially-explicit competition model.

Based on long-term monitoring of two competing species, we found that the relative contribution of interspecific competition to density dependence (ω values) is species-specific, with interspecific competition being more important in blue tits than in great tits. This indicates asymmetric competition, in accordance with previous studies that have shown that when great tit population density is high, great tits direct high levels of aggression against

425 blue tits during competition for food or breeding sites (Dhondt 2011). The increased relative 426 importance of interspecific competition ω_{BT} for the blue tit population at Peerdsbos after the 427 removal of some nest boxes probably results from interspecific competition for roosting sites 428 in winter, as shown in multiple experiments (Dhondt 2011). Great tits can even exclude most 429 blue tits if all nest boxes are suitable for both species, through higher rates of dispersal in blue 430 tits (Dhondt 2011). Conversely, great tit population growth was only slightly sensitive to blue 431 tit population density and was mainly limited by intraspecific competition. Intraspecific 432 competition is common in great tits and well documented (Both et al. 1999). An experimental 433 study showed that competition among conspecifics in great tit could lead to higher juvenile 434 dispersal (Kluyver 1971). Similarly, there is compelling evidence that at high density, great 435 tits occupy lower quality territories, leading to reduced clutch size (Perrins 1979; Dhondt et 436 al. 1992). In contrast to the situation for blue tits, our analyses suggest that density regulation 437 in great tit populations mainly operates through intraspecific competition.

438

439 Age-specific contribution to density regulation

440 We found that the strength of density regulation (β parameters) is comparable for great 441 and blue tits. However, individuals differ in their contribution to density dependence 442 dependent on their age. Previous work that focused on a single great tit population (Gamelon 443 et al. 2016) provided support for the important role of the youngest age classes in density 444 regulation. Our current findings suggest that, even if young females consistently contribute to 445 density regulation, older individuals also play an important role, in one-third of the 446 populations. Although it is beyond the scope of this study, the fact that some ages appear to 447 be important in driving density regulation at some sites but not others is deserving of further 448 study, and could be attributable to variation in local environmental conditions.

449

450 Conclusion

We studied the population dynamics of two co-occurring and ecologically competing 451 452 bird species in the UK and Belgium. The two species exhibit similar strength of density 453 regulation, and individuals of different ages play contrasting roles in that regulation. While in 454 blue tits, interspecific competition can be as important as intraspecific competition in 455 determining this regulation, great tit populations show little sensitivity to the local density of 456 blue tits. Beyond the interspecific differences, we detected among-site differences in the 457 strength of density regulation (β parameters) and the relative importance of interspecific 458 competition (ω values). Variation in ecological conditions (e.g. availability of food resources, 459 cavities) could explain such discrepancies. While we focused on pairwise interactions, more 460 complex interactions with other competitors present in some of the areas may affect the 461 growth rates of great tit and blue tit populations. Expanding our approach to more than two 462 competitor populations offers exciting avenues of research (Levine et al. 2017).

463

464 Acknowledgments

We warmly thank two anonymous referees and the Editor for their helpful comments on
previous drafts of this paper. We are grateful to all those who have collected data. We also
warmly thank Marcel E. Visser for stimulating discussions. This work was supported by the
Research Council of Norway through its Centres of Excellence funding scheme (project
number 223257).

470

471 **References**

472 Abadi, F., Gimenez, O., Arlettaz, R. & Schaub, M. (2010). An assessment of integrated
473 population models: bias, accuracy, and violation of the assumption of independence.
474 *Ecology*, 91, 7–14.

- Abadi, F., Gimenez, O., Jakober, H., Stauber, W., Arlettaz, R. & Schaub, M. (2012).
 Estimating the strength of density dependence in the presence of observation errors using integrated population models. *Ecol. Model.*, 242, 1–9.
- Adler, P.B., Smull, D., Beard, K.H., Choi, R.T., Furniss, T., Kulmatiski, A., *et al.* (2018).
 Competition and coexistence in plant communities: intraspecific competition is stronger than interspecific competition. *Ecol. Lett.*, 21, 1319–1329.
- 481 Alatalo, R.V., Gustafsson, L., Lundberg, A. & Ulfstrand, S. (1985). Habitat shift of the
 482 willow tit *Parus montanus* in the absence of the marsh tit *Parus palustris*. Ornis
 483 Scand. Scand. J. Ornithol., 16, 121–128.
- 484 Andrewartha, H.G. & Birch, L.C. (1954). *The distribution and abundance of animals*.
 485 University of Chicago Press.
- Berryman, A. & Lima, M. (2006). Deciphering the effects of climate on animal populations:
 diagnostic analysis provides new interpretation of Soay sheep dynamics. *Am. Nat.*,
 168, 784–795.
- Berryman, A.A., Lima Arce, M. & Hawkins, B.A. (2002). Population regulation, emergent
 properties, and a requiem for density dependence. *Oikos*, 99, 600–606.
- Besbeas, P., Freeman, S.N., Morgan, B.J.T. & Catchpole, E.A. (2002). Integrating markrecapture-recovery and census data to estimate animal abundance and demographic
 parameters. *Biometrics*, 58, 540–547.
- Both, C., Visser, M.E. & Verboven, N. (1999). Density-dependent recruitment rates in great
 tits: the importance of being heavier. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, 266, 465.
- Boyce, M.S., Haridas, C.V., Lee, C.T. & The Nceas Stochastic Demography Working Group.
 (2006). Demography in an increasingly variable world. *Trends Ecol. Evol.*, 21, 141–
 148.
- Brook, B.W. & Bradshaw, C.J.A. (2006). Strength of evidence for density dependence in
 abundance time series of 1198 species. *Ecology*, 87, 1445–1451.
- Brooks, S.P. & Gelman, A. (1998). General methods for monitoring convergence of iterative
 simulations. J. Comput. Graph. Stat., 7, 434–455.
- Burnham, K.P. & Anderson, D.R. (2002). Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A
 Practical Information-Theoretic Approach. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Cameron, T.C., Wearing, H.J., Rohani, P. & Sait, S.M. (2007). Two-species asymmetric
 competition: effects of age structure on intra- and interspecific interactions. *J. Anim. Ecol.*, 76, 83–93.
- 508 Charlesworth, B. (1972). Selection in populations with overlapping generations. III.
 509 Conditions for genetic equilibrium. *Theor. Popul. Biol.*, 3, 377–395.
- 510 Chesson, P. (2000). Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.*,
 511 31, 343–366.
- 512 Connell, J.H. (1983). On the Prevalence and Relative Importance of Interspecific
 513 Competition: Evidence from Field Experiments. *Am. Nat.*, 122, 661–696.
- Coulson, T., Catchpole, E.A., Albon, S.D., Morgan, B.J.T., Pemberton, J.M., Clutton-Brock,
 T.H., *et al.* (2001). Age, sex, density, winter weather, and population crashes in Soay
 sheep. *Science*, 292, 1528–1531.
- 517 Coulson, T., Rohani, P. & Pascual, M. (2004). Skeletons, noise and population growth: the
 518 end of an old debate? *Trends Ecol. Evol.*, 19, 359–364.
- 519 Dennis, B., Ponciano, J.M., Lele, S.R., Taper, M.L. & Staples, D.F. (2006). Estimating
 520 density dependence, process noise, and observation error. *Ecol. Monogr.*, 76, 323–
 521 341.
- 522 Dennis, B. & Taper, M.L. (1994). Density dependence in time series observations of natural
 523 populations: estimation and testing. *Ecol. Monogr.*, 64, 205–224.

- 524 Dhondt, A.A. (1989). The effect of old age on the reproduction of Great Tits *Parus major* and
 525 Blue Tits *P. caeruleus. Ibis*, 131, 268–280.
- 526 Dhondt, A.A. (2010). Effects of competition on great and blue tit reproduction: intensity and
 527 importance in relation to habitat quality. *J. Anim. Ecol.*, 79, 257–265.
- 528 Dhondt, A.A. (2011). *Interspecific competition in birds*. Oxford University Press.
- 529 Dhondt, A.A., Adriaensen, F., Matthysen, E. & Kempenaers, B. (1990). Nonadaptive clutch
 530 sizes in tits. *Nature*, 348, 723–725.
- 531 Dhondt, A.A., Kempenaers, B. & Adriaensen, F. (1992). Density-dependent clutch size
 532 caused by habitat heterogeneity. *J. Anim. Ecol.*, 61, 643–648.
- 533 Ellner, S.P., Snyder, R.E., Adler, P.B. & Hooker, G. (2019). An expanded modern
 534 coexistence theory for empirical applications. *Ecol. Lett.*, 22, 3–18.
- Freckleton, R.P., Watkinson, A.R., Green, R.E. & Sutherland, W.J. (2006). Census error and
 the detection of density dependence. *J. Anim. Ecol.*, 75, 837–851.
- Gamelon, M., Grøtan, V., Engen, S., Bjørkvoll, E., Visser, M.E. & Sæther, B. (2016). Density
 dependence in an age-structured population of great tits: identifying the critical age
 classes. *Ecology*, 97, 2479–2490.
- Garant, D., Kruuk, L.E.B., Wilkin, T.A., McCleery, R.H. & Sheldon, B.C. (2005). Evolution
 driven by differential dispersal within a wild bird population. *Nature*, 433, 60–65.
- 542 Grøtan, V., Sæther, B.-E., Engen, S., Van Balen, J.H., Perdeck, A.C. & Visser, M.E. (2009).
 543 Spatial and temporal variation in the relative contribution of density dependence,
 544 climate variation and migration to fluctuations in the size of great tit populations. *J.*545 *Anim. Ecol.*, 78, 447–459.
- Kéry, M. & Schaub, M. (2012). Bayesian Population Analysis using WinBUGS: A
 hierarchical perspective. Academic Press, Boston.
- 548 Kluyver, H.N. (1971). Regulation of numbers in populations of great tits (*Parus m. major*).
 549 *Proc Adv Study Inst Dyn. Numbers Pop Oosterbeek 1970*, 507–523.
- Kokkoris, G.D., Troumbis, A.Y. & Lawton, J.H. (1999). Patterns of species interaction
 strength in assembled theoretical competition communities. *Ecol. Lett.*, 2, 70–74.
- 552 Krebs, C.J. (2002). Two complementary paradigms for analysing population dynamics.
 553 *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, 357, 1211–1219.
- Lande, R., Engen, S., Saether, B.-E., Filli, F., Matthysen, E. & Weimerskirch, H. (2002).
 Estimating density dependence from population time series using demographic theory and life-history data. *Am. Nat.*, 159, 321–337.
- Lebreton, J.-D., Burnham, K.P., Clobert, J. & Anderson, D.R. (1992). Modeling survival and
 testing biological hypotheses using marked animals: a unified approach with case
 studies. *Ecol. Monogr.*, 62, 67–118.
- Lebreton, J.-D. & Gimenez, O. (2013). Detecting and estimating density dependence in
 wildlife populations. *J. Wildl. Manag.*, 77, 12–23.
- Leirs, H., Stenseth, N.C., Nichols, J.D., Hines, J.E., Verhagen, R. & Verheyen, W. (1997).
 Stochastic seasonality and nonlinear density-dependent factors regulate population
 size in an African rodent. *Nature*, 389, 176–180.
- Levine, J.M., Bascompte, J., Adler, P.B. & Allesina, S. (2017). Beyond pairwise mechanisms
 of species coexistence in complex communities. *Nature*, 546, 56–64.
- Lillegård, M., Engen, S., Sæther, B.-E., Grøtan, V. & Drever, M.C. (2008). Estimation of
 population parameters from aerial counts of north american mallards: a cautionary
 tale. *Ecol. Appl.*, 18, 197–207.
- 570 Lotka, A.J. (1925). *Elements of physical biology*. Williams and Wilkins Company, Baltimore.
- 571 Matthysen, E., Adriaensen, F. & Dhondt, A.A. (2011). Multiple responses to increasing
 572 spring temperatures in the breeding cycle of blue and great tits (*Cyanistes caeruleus*,
 573 *Parus major*). *Glob. Change Biol.*, 17, 1–16.

- 574 Minot, E.O. & Perrins, C.M. (1986). Interspecific interference competition nest sites for blue
 575 and great tits. J. Anim. Ecol., 55, 331–350.
- Møller, A.P., Balbontín, J., Dhondt, A.A., Remeš, V., Adriaensen, F., Biard, C., *et al.* (2018).
 Effects of interspecific co-existence on laying date and clutch size in two closely
 related species of hole-nesting birds. *J. Anim. Ecol.*, 87, 1738–1748.
- 579 Nicholson, A.J. (1957). The Self-Adjustment of Populations to Change. *Cold Spring Harb.*580 *Symp. Quant. Biol.*, 22, 153–173.
- Nour, N., Currie, D., Matthysen, E., Van Damme, R. & Dhondt, A.A. (1998). Effects of
 habitat fragmentation on provisioning rates, diet and breeding success in two species
 of tit (great tit and blue tit). *Oecologia*, 114, 522–530.
- 584 Perrins, C. (1979). *British Tits*. First Edition edition. Collins, London.
- Perrins, C.M. & McCleery, R.H. (1989). Laying Dates and Clutch Size in the Great Tit. *Wilson Bull.*, 101, 236–253.
- 587 Plummer, M. (2003). JAGS: A Program for Analysis of Bayesian Graphical Models Using
 588 Gibbs Sampling. In: *Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Distributed*589 *Statistical Computing*. Hornik K, Leisch F, Zeileis A, Vienna, Austria, pp. 20–22.
- 590 R Development Core Team. (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- 591 Ricker, W.E. (1954). Stock and Recruitment. J. Fish. Res. Board Can., 11, 559–623.
- de Roos, A.M. & Persson, L. (2013). *Population and community ecology of ontogenetic development*. Princeton University Press, Princeton and Oxford.
- 594 Royama, T. (1992). *Analytical Population Dynamics*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- 595 Sæther, B.-E., Engen, S., Møller, A.P., Matthysen, E., Adriaensen, F., Fiedler, W., *et al.*596 (2003). Climate variation and regional gradients in population dynamics of two hole597 nesting passerines. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.*, 270, 2397–2404.
- 598 Sæther, B.-E., Sutherland, W.J. & Engen, S. (2004). Climate influences on avian population
 599 dynamics. In: *Birds and Climate Change*, Advances in Ecological Research.
 600 Academic Press, pp. 185–209.
- 601 Sæther, B.-E., Tufto, J., Engen, S., Jerstad, K., Røstad, O.W. & Skåtan, J.E. (2000).
 602 Population dynamical consequences of climate change for a small temperate songbird.
 603 Science, 287, 854–856.
- Schaub, M. & Abadi, F. (2011). Integrated population models: a novel analysis framework for
 deeper insights into population dynamics. *J. Ornithol.*, 152, 227–237.
- Schaub, M., Jakober, H. & Stauber, W. (2013). Strong contribution of immigration to local
 population regulation: evidence from a migratory passerine. *Ecology*, 94, 1828–1838.
- Schoener, T.W. (1983). Field Experiments on Interspecific Competition. Am. Nat., 122, 240–
 285.
- Stenseth, N.C., Viljugrein, H., Saitoh, T., Hansen, T.F., Kittilsen, M.O., Bølviken, E., *et al.*(2003). Seasonality, density dependence, and population cycles in Hokkaido voles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 100, 11478–11483.
- Su, Y. & Yajima, M. (2012). R2jags: a package for running jags from R. R package version
 0.03-08.
- Torok, Já. & Tóth, Lá. (1999). Asymmetric competition between two tit species: a reciprocal
 removal experiment. J. Anim. Ecol., 68, 338–345.
- Turchin, P. (1995). Population regulation: old arguments and a new synthesis. In: *Population Dynamics*. N. Cappucino & P.W. Price, New York, pp. 19–40.
- de Valpine, P. de & Hastings, A. (2002). Fitting population models incorporating process
 noise and observation error. *Ecol. Monogr.*, 72, 57–76.
- Visser, M.E., Adriaensen, F., Balen, J.H. van, Blondel, J., Dhondt, A.A., Dongen, S. van, *et al.* (2003). Variable responses to large-scale climate change in European Parus
 populations. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.*, 270, 367–372.

- 624 Volterra, V. (1926). Fluctuations in the abundance of a species considered mathematically.
 625 *Nature*, 118, 558.
- 626 Zipkin, E.F. & Saunders, S.P. (2018). Synthesizing multiple data types for biological
 627 conservation using integrated population models. *Biol. Conserv.*, 217, 240–250.

629	Table 1 Eleven sites included in the study where intra- and interspecific density dependence
630	were investigated. Displayed are the study sites (in Belgium and the UK), the study period
631	during which demographic data were collected on great tits and blue tits, and the number of
632	marked great tit (N_{GT}) and blue tit (N_{BT}) females as part of capture-recapture programs. The
633	number of nest boxes provided to tits was reduced at Peerdsbos in 1997 and remained
634	constant in the other sites.

Study sites	Study period	Monitored females
Boshoek	1994-2016	N_{GT} =1634; N_{BT} =1348
Ghent	1994-2011	N_{GT} =399; N_{BT} =136
Peerdsbos	1980-1996 / 1997-2016	N_{GT} =805; N_{BT} =778
Bean Wood	2001-2016	N_{GT} =379; N_{BT} =372
Broad Oak	2003-2016	N_{GT} =636; N_{BT} =682
Common Piece	2003-2016	N_{GT} =357; N_{BT} =361
Extra	2008-2016	N_{GT} =930; N_{BT} =536
Great Wood	2008-2016	N_{GT} =597; N_{BT} =436
Marley	2001-2016	N_{GT} =553; N_{BT} =455
Marley Plantation	2001-2016	N_{GT} =479; N_{BT} =305
Singing Way	2001-2016	N_{GT} =514; N_{BT} =319
	Boshoek Ghent Peerdsbos Bean Wood Broad Oak Common Piece Extra Great Wood Marley Marley Plantation Singing Way	Botady sitesBotady periodBoshoek1994-2016Ghent1994-2011Peerdsbos1980-1996 / 1997-2016Bean Wood2001-2016Broad Oak2003-2016Common Piece2003-2016Extra2008-2016Great Wood2008-2016Marley2001-2016Marley Plantation2001-2016Singing Way2001-2016

636 Figure 1 Schematic of the different analytical steps to investigate intra- and interspecific 637 density dependence. In each study site, demographic data including capture-recapture (CR) 638 data are collected on great tits (GT, yellow) and blue tits (BT, blue). In each site, data are 639 analyzed within an Integrated Population Model (IPM) and time series of population size (N_t) , 640 population growth rate λ_t , age-specific survival rates $(S_{i,t})$, fecundity rates $(F_{i,t})$ and numbers 641 $(N_{i,t})$ are obtained for each species in each location. Outside the IPMs, these parameters then 642 feed density-dependence models ignoring (Eqns. 1 & 2) or accounting (Eqn. 3) for 643 interspecific competition. In this latter case, β_{N_i} corresponding to the age-specific 644 contribution to density dependence and ω , the relative importance of inter- vs. intraspecific 645 competition, are estimated for both species at a given location. 646 647 Figure 2 Boxplot showing the relative importance of inter- vs. intraspecific competition on 648 the total density regulation acting on great tit's population growth rate ω_{GT} (in yellow) and on 649 blue tit's population growth rate ω_{BT} (in blue) across sites. Values equal to 0 indicate no 650 interspecific competition and values equal to 1 indicate a similar competitive effect of great tit 651 and blue tit. Numbers refer to the study sites (see correspondence in Table 1). 652 653 Figure 3 Columns show the effects of the number of breeding females N_i in age class i of 654 both species on great tit population growth rates λ_{GT} (log-transformed) (in yellow) and on blue 655 tit population growth rates λ_{BT} (log-transformed) (in blue) in the eleven study sites (in rows).

656 Displayed are the means of the regression coefficients β_{N_i} and their associated standard

errors. Negative values indicate that higher number of females in the age class N_i translates to

lower population growth rate. The boxplots summarize the effects of the number of breeding

659 females N_i in age class *i* of both species on λ_{GT} and λ_{BT} across sites.

660

661 **Figure 4** Blue tit population growth rate (λ_{BT} , on a log-scale) at Peerdsbos between 1997 and 662 2016. Grey lines correspond to the observed growth rate λ_{BT} (i.e., estimated through the IPM) 663 with its 95% confidence interval. Blue lines (and their 95% confidence intervals) correspond 664 to A) growth rate $\lambda_{BT,expected1}$ predicted by a density-dependent model accounting for age-665 specific contribution to density dependence and both intra- and interspecific competition 666 (Eqn. (3)); **B**) growth rate $\lambda_{BT.expected2}$ predicted by the same model as that in A) but ignoring 667 interspecific competition (Eqn. (2)); C) growth rate $\lambda_{BT,expected3}$ predicted by the same model as 668 that in B) but assuming equal contribution of all ages to density dependence (i.e., classical 669 approach, Eqn. (1)).

670

Figure 5 Coefficient of determination (R²) between observed population growth rates and predicted ones from Eqn. (3) (i.e. by a density-dependent model accounting for age-specific contribution to density dependence and both intra- and interspecific competition), Eqn. (2) (i.e. ignoring interspecific competition but accounting for age-specific contribution) and Eqn. (1) (i.e. classical approach) for great tit (in yellow, one color per site) and blue tit populations (in blue, one color per site). Numbers refer to the study sites (see correspondence in Table 1).