A machine Learning pipeline to track dynamics of a population of nanoparticles during in situ Environmental Transmission Electron Microscopy in gases

Khuram Faraz, Thomas Grenier, Christophe Ducottet, Thierry Epicier

Track & Symposium Selection: **A04 - New Frontiers in In-Situ Electron Microscopy in Liquids and Gases (L&G EM FIG Sponsored)**

A machine Learning pipeline to track dynamics of a population of nanoparticles during in situ Environmental Transmission Electron Microscopy in gases

Khuram Faraz[1,2], Thomas Grenier[3], Christophe Ducottet[2], Thierry Epicier[1,4]

[1]Univ Lyon, INSA-Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, MATEIS, UMR 5510, 69621 Villeurbanne Cedex, France
[2]Universite Lyon, UJM-Saint-Etienne, CNRS, Institut Optique Graduate School, Laboratoire Hubert Curien, UMR 5516, 42023 Saint-Etienne, France
[3]Univ Lyon, INSA-Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, CREATIS, UMR 5220, INSERM U1206, 69621 Villeurbanne Cedex, France.
[4]Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, IRCELYON, UMR 5526, 69626 Villeurbanne, France

Tracking the dynamics of supported nanoparticles (NPs) during the conditioning and under reactive conditions of heterogeneous nanocatalysts is an essential key for the optimization of their activity and durability [1]. Whereas Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) has always been a privileged technique owing to its spatial resolution, the availability of Environmental techniques, either in a dedicated ETEM of in close cells increases the potential of TEM experiments since they provide a way to follow directly such an evolution under gas and at high temperature. Features that are of significant importance are typically the mobility of NPs (surface diffusion, anchorage effects on their support), their size evolution (e.g. growth by coalescence or Ostwald Ripening, disappearance by dissolution of sublimation).
Probably one of the main concerns is, as always, the poor representativity of TEM results in terms of statistical meaning. This issue is of stringent importance when video sequences are acquired during in situ experiments, since the production of large sets of data renders their analysis and interpretation very tedious and time consuming. This is however a reasonable strategy to obtain more statistics.
Whereas detailed treatments can confidently be performed manually when analyzing a few NPs from relatively narrow regions of interest (see for example [2-3]), new automation approaches are required to achieved a reasonable statistical relevance. This becomes to be more and more possible owing to the constant development of easy-to-use machine learning routines as already performed in this field by a few groups (e.g. [4-5]).
This contribution will present a complete pipeline dedicated to the tracking of a NP population evolving under in situ gas and temperature conditions, with the aim of enabling a thorough analysis of their evolution according to the previously mentioned interactions and features regarding mobility and size evolution.
It consists in several steps which (see figure 1) will be detailed and illustrated in the case of Scanning TEM imaging of a Pd-delta-Alumina catalytic system followed during in situ calcination under oxygen in a dedicated FEI-Titan ETEM microscope [6]:

- Proper registration of successive images (frames) from continuous sequences
- Robust detection of NPs using the well-known Convolutional Neuronal Network (CNN) U-net [7] assisted by a verification of the 'Treacy-Rice' analysis of scattered intensities [8]
- Dedicated training and fine tuning of the CNN using large quantities of realistic annoted simulated images
- Identification of trajectories using an energy criteria-based approach (referred to as Multiple Object Tracking method [9]) derived from the continuous energy minimization tracking developed by [10]
- Automatic analysis of 'fusion' events (i.e. NPs coalescence) [11].



Figure 1: general synoptic of the NP tracking analysis.

References

[1] Y. Zhou et al., Nano Today, 20 (2018) 101-120.
[2] T.W. Hansen et al., Accounts of Chemical Research, 46 8 (2013) 1720-1730.
[3] T.E. Martin et al., ChemCatChem, 7 (2015) 3705-3711.
[4] J.P. Horwath et al., npj Computational Materials 6 (2020) #108.
[5] L. Yao et al., ACS Cent. Sci. 6 (2020) 1421-1430.
[6] T. Epicier et al., Catalysis Today, 334, 15 (2019), 68-78.
[7] 0. Ronneberger et al., Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. 9351 (2015) 234–241.
[8] M.M.J. Treacy, S.B. Rice, J. Microsc. 156 (1989) 211–234.
[9] Y. Xu et al., IET Computer Vision 13 4 (2019) 355-368.
[10] A. Milan et al., IEEE Trans Pattern Analysis & Machine Intelligence, 38 10 (2016) 2054-2068.
[11] The authors thank the support of the French National Research Agency (ANR) through the cooperative project '3DCLEAN' n° 15-CE09-0009-01 and of the EUR SLEIGHT https://manutech-sleight.com/). CLYM (www.clym.fr) is acknowledged for the access to the ETEM and IFPEN (Solaize, F) for providing samples.