Transposable elements and genome evolution: the case of *Drosophila simulans*

Christian Biémont, Cristina Vieira, Nathalie Borie, David Lepetit

► To cite this version:

Christian Biémont, Cristina Vieira, Nathalie Borie, David Lepetit. Transposable elements and genome evolution: the case of *Drosophila simulans*. *Genetica*, 1999, 107, pp.113-120. 10.1023/A:1003937603230 . hal-03270220

HAL Id: hal-03270220

<https://hal.science/hal-03270220>

Submitted on 24 Jun 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Transposable elements and genome evolution: the case of *Drosophila simulans*

Christian Biémont, Cristina Vieira, Nathalie Borie & David Lepetit

Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive, UMR C.N.R.S. 5558, Université Lyon1, 69622 Villeurbanne, France (Phone: (33) 4 72 44 81 98; Fax: (33) 7 78 89 27 19; E-mail: biemont@biomserv.univ-lyon1.fr)

Accepted 31 January 2000

Key words: colonization, *Drosophila*, dynamic, natural populations, transposable elements

Abstract

Drosophila simulans presents a large variation in copy number among various transposable elements (TEs) and among natural populations for a given element. Some elements such as *HMS beagle*, *blood*, *flea*, *tirant*, *coral*, *prygun*, *jockey*, *F*, *nomade* and *mariner* are absent in most populations, except in one or two which have copies on their chromosome arms. This suggests that some TEs are being awakened in *D. simulans* and are in the process of invading the species while it is colonizing the world. The elements *412* and *roo/B104* present a wide insertion polymorphism among *D. simulans* populations, but only the *412* copy number follows a temperature cline. One population (Canberra from Australia) has a very high copy number for the *412* element and for many other TEs as well, indicating that some populations may have lost control of some of their TEs. While the *412* transposition rate is similar in all populations, its transcription level throughout developmental stages varies with populations, depending on copy number. Populations with *412* copy number higher than 10–12 exhibit co-suppression, while the expression in populations with lower numbers depends on the insertion location. All these results suggest genomic invasions by *412* and other TEs during the worldwide spread of the *D. simulans* species.

Introduction

Transposable elements (TEs), which have been found in organisms as various as bacteria, arthropods, plants, and vertebrates (Robertson & Lampe, 1995; Heslop-Harrison et al., 1997; Löwer, Löwer & Kurth, 1996), were thought for a long time to be only parasitic elements. It is now evident that they have played an important role in evolution (McDonald, 1995; Kidwell & Lisch, 1997), and by promoting new genetic variability could still be of major importance for population adaptation. Hence, we need to know their dynamic in natural populations. We have, however, little information concerning the way TEs invade populations and on the mechanisms involved in the first step of the invasion. The best known examples are from *Drosophila melanogaster*, which has been invaded only recently by the *P* (Bonnivard & Higué, 1998) and *hobo* (Bonnivard, Higué & Bazin, 1997; Bonnivard et al., 1999) transposons, and the *I* non-

LTR retrotransposon, all elements involved in hybrid dysgenesis (Kidwell & Lisch, 1997). It has also been suggested that the *F* element, a non-LTR retrotransposon, has recently become transposed throughout the *D. melanogaster* genome (Dawid et al., 1981; Vaury, Bucheton & Péliçon, 1989). These findings imply that these TEs have been mobilized in a local natural population or have been acquired in that population as a result of horizontal transfer. They have then invaded the entire species by gradual diffusion of the flies. The *I* and *P* elements invaded the *D. melanogaster* species only during a 50-year period at the beginning of this century. Such rapid and recent invasions suggest that it should be possible to observe other TE mobilizations in present day local populations. We summarize in the present paper the recent information concerning the dynamics of various TEs in natural populations of *D. melanogaster* and *D. simulans*, focusing attention to the *412* element for which more data are available. We propose that the genome of the species

Table 1. Transposable element insertion site number in two isofemale lines from *D. simulans* natural populations

Populations	Transposable elements													
	1731	297	bel	blood	coral	F	flea	gypsy	HMS	mariner	nomade	prygun	stalker	zam
									beagle					
Amieu (New Caledonia)	1-0	0-0	0-0	1-2	0-0	1-1	2-2	0-0	2-1	0-1	0-0	0-0	0-0	1-1
Canberra (Australia)	2-1	1-1	0-0	0-2	4-2	7-14	1-2	3-3	1-4	6-9	8-7	1-0	0-0	0-0
Cann River (Australia)	2-2	1-1	0-0	2-3	1-1	3-3	1-1	1-1	2-2	0-1	2-2	0-0	1-1	0-0
Eden (Australia)	0-2	1-1	1-0	4-3	3-2	1-1	3-5	0-2	0-2	0-2	5-1	0-0	0-0	0-0
Madagascar	1-1	0-0	1-1	0-5	0-0	0-0	3-2	2-2	10-12	1-3	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0
Madeira (Portugal)	1-1	2-1	1-1	10-10	1-2	0-0	4-4	2-0	5-2	1-1	1-0	0-0	2-2	0-0
Makindu (Kenya)	1-1	2-2	0-0	1-1	6-3	0-0	0-0	2-4	0-0	0-0	0-0	6-7	0-0	0-0
Moscow (Russia)	3-3	0-1	2-1	1-2	4-5	0-0	1-3	2-3	1-0	1-1	1-0	0-0	1-1	0-0
Noumea (Polynesia)	1-0	1-3	1-0	0-1	3-3	1-1	2-4	2-1	4-4	1-0	1-1	0-0	0-0	2-2
Papette (Polynesia)	0-0	2-2	0-2	4-2	0-0	13-0	3-5	4-3	4-4	0-0	6-5	0-0	0-0	0-0
Reunion Island	0-0	2-0	0-2	0-0	1-4	0-0	2-3	2-0	5-5	3-4	1-0	2-1	0-0	0-0
Valence (France)	0-0	1-1	0-0	4-5	1-1	0-0	6-2	0-0	0-2	0-0	4-1	0-0	0-0	0-0
Zimbabwe (Africa)	2-1	0-0	1-1	1-1	1-1	0-0	15-13	0-1	0-0	1-2	1-1	2-2	1-1	0-0

D. simulans is in the process of being invaded by some TEs, which appeared to have been first mobilized in local populations.

TE copy numbers in natural populations of *D. melanogaster* and *D. simulans*

An analysis of 34 elements in individuals from various natural populations of *D. melanogaster* and *D. simulans* has shown that *D. melanogaster* has higher average chromosomal insertion site numbers per genome than *D. simulans* for most TEs (Vieira et al., 1999). Although the distribution in insertion site number is globally normally distributed in *D. melanogaster*, large variation exists among populations of *D. simulans* for the elements *HMS beagle*, *blood*, *flea*, *tirant*, *coral*, *prygun*, *F*, *nomade* and *mariner*. As seen in Table 1, some of these elements are absent in most populations except one or two which have significantly higher copies. Moreover, within a given population, a line may have 1 or 2 copies of an element whereas the other line may be devoid of the element. Such data suggest that some elements have been mobilized in local populations and are at variance with the classical idea that the fewer copies of most TEs in *D. simulans* in comparison with *D. melanogaster* might reflect some specific evolutionary features of the *D. simulans* genome that have the effect of restraining the number of TE sequences in this species (Kimura & Kidwell, 1994). This latter idea

was supported by the observation that the maximum number of *P* sites in *P*-transformed *D. simulans* lines was much lower than the value found in natural or transformed populations of *D. melanogaster* (Rubin & Spradling, 1982; Daniels et al., 1987; Montchamp-Moreau, 1990), and that the rates of transposition and excision of a *mariner* element from *D. mauritiana* introduced into the germline of *D. melanogaster* are about sixfold greater than the rates in strains of *D. simulans* (Garza et al., 1991). These results suggest that a critical TE-threshold may be a species-dependent characteristic.

Our data favor the alternative, but not exclusive, hypothesis that the genome of *D. simulans* has not yet been invaded by many TEs, except by some of them such as *hobo*, *412* and *roo/B104*, and to a fewer extent, *I*, *doc*, *bari-1* and *helena*, with the elements *gypsy* and *ZAM* (two retroviruses), and *1731* (a LTR-retrotransposon), being equally abundant in both *D. melanogaster* and *D. simulans* (Vieira et al., 1999). In the opposite, *D. melanogaster* was invaded a long time ago by most of the TEs analysed, but only recently by *P* and *I* elements (Bucheton et al., 1984; Anxolabéhère, Kidwell & Periquet, 1988), and the *F* non-LTR retrotransposon, which is mostly restricted to the pericentromeric regions in *D. simulans* (Dawid et al., 1981; Vaury, Bucheton & Pélisson, 1989). We thus propose that TEs in the *D. simulans* genome are being awakened and amplified in some populations, as they were a long time ago in *D. melanogaster*. The main consequence of such a proposal is that the

Figure 1. Total number of euchromatic insertions for 30 transposable elements in populations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. The *D. melanogaster* populations considered were from Arabia, Argentina (Virasoro), Bolivia, China (Canton), Congo (Brazzaville), France (St Cyprien), Portugal (Chicharo), Reunion Island, Senegal, and USA (Seattle). Transposons: *bari-1*, *hobo*, *pogo*; LTR retrotransposons: 1731, 17.6, 297, 412, *bel*, *blood*, *burdock*, *copia*, *coral*, *flea*, *gypsy*, *HMS beagle*, *idefix*, *mdg1*, *mdg3*, *nomade*, *opus*, *prygun*, *roo/B104*, *springer*, *stalker*, *tirant*, *zam*; Non-LTR retrotransposons: *doc*, *F*, *I*, *jockey*.

genome of the *D. simulans* species should gradually be invaded by the TEs mentioned above, with each TE going toward equilibrium for copy number at its own speed. Hence, the apparent critical TE-threshold, which is considered to be a species-dependent characteristic, may only reflect the fact that the populations are far from being at equilibrium for their copy number of most of their TEs because equilibrium state takes a long time to be achieved (Tsitrone, Charles & Biémont, 1999). The observation that elements such as *bari-1* and *hobo* have close copy number in both species means either that *D. simulans* cannot restrain these TEs or more likely that these elements have invaded the *D. simulans* genome long ago before the other TEs. Because *bari-1* and *hobo* are two transposons, it can be argued that *D. simulans* cannot restrain such elements, which differ from the LTR and non-LTR retrotransposons by their transposition mechanism. If this is true, then the argument that *D. simulans* restrains copy number because the maximum number of *P* sites (a transposon) in *P*-transformed *D. simulans* lines was much lower than the value found in natural or transformed populations of *D. melanogaster* can no longer hold. It may be that the populations or lines used in such experiments are more important than the species. The same reasoning as above can be applied to *gypsy* and *ZAM*, two retroviruses, which present 1–2 copies in average in both *D. melanogaster* and *D. simulans*. These elements may be limited in the genome either due to their being deleterious ret-

roviruses or of having invaded the two species only recently. Note that the putative endogenous retroviruses *roo/B104*, *tirant*, and 297 (*roo/B104*, as *gypsy* and *ZAM* has an env-like spliced RNA) have high insertion site number in *D. melanogaster*, suggesting either that this species cannot restrain these retroviruses or that these envelope-containing elements are not true infectious retroviruses.

The 412 retrotransposable element

Among the elements analysed in *D. simulans*, the LTR retrotransposons 412 and *roo/B104*, the non-LTR retrotransposons *I*, *doc*, and *helena*, and the transposon *hobo*, presented a high insertion site copy number with polymorphic insertion localisation (Vieira & Biémont, 1996b; Vieira et al., 1998, 1999). *D. simulans* has, however, fewer copies of these elements, except *hobo*, than *D. melanogaster*. We thus investigated the distribution of the 412 and *roo/B104* elements among natural populations worldwide (Vieira et al., 1998). We showed that the copy number of the 412 element but not *roo/B104* followed a cline with copy number increasing from south to north, and this cline was associated with minimum temperature (Vieira et al., 1998). These data reveal different spatial patterns for the two element families and strongly suggest that some factors in the environment, such as temperature, may interfere with the regulation of copy number. The

presence among the populations tested of one population (Canberra) with a very high copy number of the *412* element, suggests again that a local population may show mobilisation of some of its TEs. In this population the high copy number is not associated with a higher transposition rate (Vieira & Biémont, 1997), suggesting either that the *412* element encountered a temporary burst of transposition followed by a return to normal transposition rate, or that the copy number regulation involves processes independent on that rate. A human-induced migration of alien flies into the Canberra population cannot account for the high copy number because no other population showed such high value; or we have to suppose that mating of flies from different geographic origin can lead to the mobilization of the TEs, in agreement with the higher mutation rate observed in such crosses (Woodruff, Thompson & Lyman, 1979; Thompson & Woodruff, 1980).

The explanation of the difference in copy number of the *412* element among the natural populations could imply either particular sequences in *D. simulans* or specific regulation at the transcription level. We showed that while the canonical structure of the *412* element was conserved between *D. melanogaster* and *D. simulans*, a high structural polymorphism existed among populations with many rearranged copies (Cizeron & Biémont, 1999). *D. simulans* had more rearranged copies than *D. melanogaster*, with some specific copies present in all populations analysed. According to the idea that the rearranged copies should be quickly eliminated by natural selection (Brookfield, 1991), the high number of such copies in the *D. simulans* genome is compatible with recent mobilization and with the fact that selection has not had enough time to strongly reduce the number of these defective copies. Moreover, if one force limiting copy number is to rearrange copies, making them inactive, a functional heterogeneity in a transposable element family may thus have important consequences in limiting the increase in copy number as proposed by Brookfield and Badge (1997). Moreover, full-size and rearranged *412* copies were found both in the euchromatic and heterochromatic regions of the genome (Cizeron & Biémont, 1999). But rearranged copies of various transposable elements are often considered as old genomic components, usually embedded in heterochromatin (Crozatier et al., 1988; Bucheton et al., 1992; Busseau et al., 1994; Vauray et al., 1994), although Sun, Wahlstrom and Karpen (1997) have reported that centromeres may be composed of complete transposable elements. Thus, the fact that the *412* polymorphic

copies appear to be localized in both the heterochromatic and euchromatic genomic regions suggests that the *412* element has been mobilized in the genome of this species only recently, at least more recently than in *D. melanogaster*.

To test the idea that the variation in copy number of the *412* element depends on regulation of transcription, as proposed by Csink and McDonald (1990) for the copia element, we analysed the pattern of expression of the retrotransposable element *412* through developmental stages in various populations of *D. simulans* and *D. melanogaster* (unpublished results). We found that the expression pattern varied greatly with the population considered, and the total amount of transcripts over all developmental stages was lower in *D. melanogaster* than in *D. simulans*. In this latter species the total amount of transcripts increased with the number of copies over the chromosomes until reaching a peak for 11-12 copies and then decreased for higher copy numbers. *D. melanogaster*, which has higher copy number than *D. simulans*, had overall a lower global expression, but showed again variation in *412* expression pattern with populations. These results suggest that in the *D. simulans* populations with low *412* copy number, the expression pattern of this element is mostly dependent upon host cellular regulatory sequences of transcribed cellular genes near which the elements are inserted. In populations with high copy number the overall transcription is, on the contrary, submitted to a global repression. Chromatin-mediated silencing, homology-dependent gene silencing (co-suppression), and other kinds of global repression are proposed as defensive responses of host genomes to TE invasion (Yoder, Walsh & Bestor, 1997; McDonald, 1998; Jensen, Gassama & Heidmann, 1999). Regulation of TE transcription may thus imply host factors, chromatin conformation or internal cis-acting elements (Flavell et al., 1980; Scherer et al., 1981; Parkhurst & Corces, 1987; Cavarec & Heidmann, 1993). The *D. simulans* Canberra population is a special case of interest because it has a *412* copy number much higher than any other *D. simulans* populations (Vieira & Biémont, 1996a), and its high *412* expression seems not to be submitted to the global regulation (unpublished result). This population has either encompassed the normal cellular regulation existing for the *412* element, or it has gained new copies only recently as a result of a recent mobilization of the element (Vieira & Biémont, 1996a). Mobilization within local populations may have resulted from a horizontal transfer from another species,

or from a specific mobilization of inactive or deleted copies already present in the genome. Recombination between homologous elements, even with those in silent chromatin (Ke & Voytas, 1999), and stress induction may all be responsible for the initial steps of TE mobilization and genome invasion. Indeed, recombination and rearrangements between ancient inactive sequences are proposed for the 297 sequence (Martin, Wiernasz & Schedl, 1983) and the *I* elements (Bucheton et al., 1992; Dimitri, 1997). This is compatible with the observation of events in which one element is suddenly mobilized and invades the chromosome arms as reported for elements as diverse as *copia*, *gypsy*, *P* and *doc* in laboratory lines (Biémont, 1992, 1994) and *mdg3* and *412* in natural populations (Biémont et al., 1997; Vieira & Biémont, 1996a). The genome may thus either react to this invasion by producing rearranged copies (Brookfield, 1991), maintain a high copy number, or even keep on accumulating copies if the regulatory pathway of the copy number is overcome. The presence of particular rearranged sequences in all populations of *D. simulans* could thus reflect the reaction of the genome to this invasion at the first steps of this relatively recent event.

Invasion of the genome by TEs follows the species colonisation

The possibility of genome invasion by TEs during the worldwide spread of a species is a challenged idea that is particularly important because recent studies have shown that populations that colonize novel environments can evolve very rapidly in response to natural selection (Orr & Smith, 1998). The idea that TEs can contribute to this adaptation by creating new variability because of their mobilization by the novel environmental conditions is extremely appealing. As seen in the figure, the total amount of TEs determined in various populations of *D. melanogaster* increases from Africa to the other parts of the world. This is compatible with a worldwide colonization by *D. melanogaster* from Africa followed by mobilization of TEs under specific environments in some populations and intense fly migration, the result of which could be a decreased level of activity (Brookfield, 1996; Russel & Woodruff, 1999).

We should then focus our attention on natural populations of species that are in the process of invading new habitats, or living in novel stressful conditions, such as *D. simulans* (Lachaise et al., 1988; Hale &

Singh, 1991) which seems much more variable and may possess greater population substructuring than what we thought (Irvin et al., 1998; Labate, Biermann & Eanes, 1999; Hamblin & Veuille, 1999) with history of founder effects and possible admixture in recently established populations (Hamblin & Veuille, 1999); *D. malerkotliana*, which is spreading rapidly in the far East and tropical Africa (David & Tsacas, 1981); *D. buzzatii*, which has colonized the Iberian peninsula (Labrador, Selemé & Fontdevila, 1998); *Chymomyza amoena*, which has been recently introduced into Europe (Maca & Bächli, 1994; Withers & Allemand, 1998); and any other invading species known for their susceptibility to the environment (see Orr & Smith, 1998, for a review; Duvernell & Turner, 1999) and global change (Duke & Mooney, 1999). We thus need experiments on the sensitivity of TEs to environmental conditions because such a question is central for assessing their contribution to the adaptive capacities of natural populations and speciation.

Induction of TE transpositions by environmental stresses has been demonstrated in only a few cases, in cultured cells and by wounding and abiotic stress in plants (Hirochika et al., 1996; Mhiri et al., 1997), in heated *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Candida albicans* (see Arnault & Dufournel, 1994, for a review), and in *Escherichia coli* after irradiation with UV light (Eichenbaum & Livneh, 1998). It was recently reported that exposure to UV-B of maize sperm at ozone depletion activated immobile Mutator transposons (Walbot, 1998). Since inactive retrotransposons constitute about half the maize genome, mobilization of even a small proportion of these TEs is enough to induce new genetic variation that could be used for adaptation. Some interpretations in *Drosophila* favor the mobilization of retrotransposon elements after heat shock, while other results show no effect of similar stresses (Arnault, Løevenbruck & Biémont, 1997), although heat shock clearly amplifies the amount of transcripts of elements like *copia* (Walbot, 1998). Indirect relationships between environmental factors and mobilization of TEs in *Drosophila* have been reported. For example, the somatic excision rate of the *mariner* transposon increases with the latitude of origin of *D. simulans* populations (Giraud & Capy, 1996) and is related to temperature (Chakrani, Capy & David, 1993). The *412* retrotransposon follows a temperature cline in natural populations of *D. simulans* as reported above (Vieira et al., 1998). Trans-acting regulatory factors that could depend on environmental conditions may regulate TE transposition and excision

rates, but there may also be an indirect effect due to host genes (Bhadra, Pal-Bhadra & Birchler, 1997), whose frequency varies with environmental conditions (Costa et al., 1992; Oakeshott et al., 1992). Migrations and the colonisation of new habitat may thus favor the confrontation between populations which did not meet previously, thus favoring exchange of TEs, as was proposed for the *P* element (Good et al., 1989) and the two species *Drosophila melanogaster* and *D. willistoni* (Daniels et al., 1990). Hybrids between species can even undergo specific amplification and activation of TEs, as in the hybrids of two species of Australian wallaby (*Macropus eugenii* and *Wallabia bicolor*), in which endogenous retroviral elements are amplified many times (O'Neill, O'Neill & Graves, 1998). Whether mobilisation of transposable elements in hybrids is a general process warrants further study, especially because transpositions in interspecific hybrids of *Drosophila* (Evgen'ev et al., 1982; Labrador et al., 1999) have been reported, while the mutation rate did not increase (Coyne, 1989). However, the mutation rate increased in crosses between geographically separated strains (Thompson & Woodruff, 1980).

Conclusion

It is as yet unclear how the new genetic variability resulting from TE mobilization is adaptive. The only experiment supporting this idea is the observation of additional selection response in *Drosophila* lines started from dysgenic crosses known to mobilize *P* elements (Pignatelli & Mackay, 1989). More knowledge on the ecology of *Drosophila* is thus necessary, but the possibility of genome invasion by TEs during the worldwide spread of a species is a challenged idea that warrants examination. Moreover, whether or not such a genomic invasion, which may follow the colonisation process of a species or the increase in connectivity between previously isolated communities, contributes to the C-value paradox of genomes (The DNA amount per genome varies among and even within species. Pagel & Johnstone, 1992; Sherwood & Patton, 1982; Uozu et al., 1997) remains to be determined. Researches should then include the integrated forms of retroviruses whose amount could increase in human societies as a result of increased connectivity between communities through transportation.

Understanding the mechanisms underlying the relationship between dramatic differences in TE copy

number of different species and among natural populations and their environmental conditions is central to understanding the population biology of TEs, and for assessing their contribution to the adaptive capacities of natural populations and speciation. The initially selfish genes will surely appear more and more as 'symbionts' that have played a major role in evolution and that may still provide genomic flexibility and variability for population adaptation.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, the Ministère de la Recherche, the Bureau des Ressources Génétiques, the Genome Programme of CNRS.

References

- Anxolabéhère, D., M.G. Kidwell & G. Periquet, 1988. Molecular characteristics of diverse populations are consistent with the hypothesis of a recent invasion of *Drosophila melanogaster* by mobile *P* elements. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 5: 252–269.
- Arnault, C. & I. Dufournel, 1994. Genome and stresses: reactions against aggressions, behavior of transposable elements. *Genetica* 93: 149–160.
- Arnault, C., C. Lœvenbruck & C. Biémont, 1997. Transposable element mobilization is not induced by heat shocks in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Naturwissenschaften* 84: 410–414.
- Bhadra, U., M. Pal-Bhadra & J.A. Birchler, 1997. A trans-acting modifier causing extensive overexpression of genes in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 254: 621–634.
- Biémont, C., 1992. Population genetics of transposable DNA elements. A *Drosophila* point of view. *Genetica* 86: 67–84.
- Biémont, C., 1994. Dynamic equilibrium between insertion and excision of *P* elements in highly inbred lines from an *M'* strain of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *J. Mol. Evol.* 39: 466–472.
- Biémont, C., C. Vieira, C. Hoogland, G. Cizeron, C. Lœvenbruck, C. Arnault & J.P. Carante, 1997. Maintenance of transposable element copy number in natural populations of *Drosophila melanogaster* and *D. simulans*. *Genetica* 100: 161–166.
- Bonnivard, E., C. Bazin, B. Denis & D. Higuët, 1999. A scenario for the hobo transposable element invasion, deduced from the structure of natural populations of *Drosophila melanogaster* using tandem TPE repeats. *Genet. Res. Camb.* 75: 13–23.
- Bonnivard, E. & D. Higuët, 1998. Stability of European natural populations of *Drosophila melanogaster* with regard to the *P-M* system: a buffer zone made up of *Q* populations. *J. Evol. Biol.* 12: 633–647.
- Bonnivard, E., D. Higuët & C. Bazin, 1997. Characterization of natural populations of *Drosophila melanogaster* with regard to the *hobo* system: a new hypothesis on the invasion. *Genet. Res. Camb.* 69: 197–208.
- Brookfield, J.F.Y., 1991. Models of repression of transposition in *P-M* hybrid dysgenesis by *P* cytotype and by zygotically encoded repressor proteins. *Genetics* 128: 471–486.

- Brookfield, J.F.Y., 1996. Models of the spread of non-autonomous selfish transposable elements when transposition and fitness are coupled. *Genet. Res.* 67: 199–209.
- Brookfield, J.F.Y. & R.M. Badge, 1997. Population genetics models of transposable elements. *Genetica* 100: 281–294.
- Bucheton, A., R. Paro, H.M. Sang, A. Pélisson & D.J. Finnegan, 1984. The molecular basis of IR hybrid dysgenesis in *D. melanogaster*: identification, cloning and properties of the I factor. *Cell* 38: 153–163.
- Bucheton, A., C. Vaury, M.C. Chaboissier, P. Abad, A. Pélisson & M. Simonelig, 1992. I elements and the *Drosophila* genome. *Genetica* 86: 175–190.
- Busseau, I., M.C. Chaboissier, A. Pélisson & A. Bucheton, 1994. I factors in *Drosophila melanogaster*: transposition under control. *Genetica* 93: 101–116.
- Cavarec, L. & T. Heidmann, 1993. The *Drosophila* copia retrotransposon contains binding sites for transcriptional regulation by homeoproteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 21: 5041–5049.
- Chakrani, F., P. Capy & J.R. David, 1993. Developmental temperature and somatic excision rate of mariner transposable element in three natural populations of *Drosophila simulans*. *Genet. Sel. Evol.* 25: 121–132.
- Cizeron, G. & C. Biémont, 1999. Polymorphism in structure of the retrotransposable element 412 in *Drosophila simulans* and *D. melanogaster* populations. *Gene* 232: 183–190.
- Costa, R., A.A. Peixoto, G. Barbujani & C.P. Kyriacou, 1992. A latitudinal cline in a *Drosophila* clock gene. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* 250: 43–49.
- Coyne, J.A., 1989. Mutation rates in hybrids between sibling species of *Drosophila*. *Heredity* 63: 155–162.
- Crozatier, M., C. Vaury, I. Busseau, A. Pélisson & A. Bucheton, 1988. Structure and genomic organization of I elements involved in I-R hybrid dysgenesis in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 16: 9199–9213.
- Csink, A.K. & J.F. McDonald, 1990. Copia expression is variable among natural populations of *Drosophila*. *Genetics* 126: 375–385.
- Daniels, S.B., S.H. Clark, M.G. Kidwell & A. Chovnick, 1987. Genetic transformation of *Drosophila melanogaster* with an autonomous P element: phenotypic and molecular analyses of long-established transformed lines. *Genetics* 115: 711–723.
- Daniels, S.B., K.R. Peterson, L.D. Strausbaugh, M.G. Kidwell & A. Chovnick, 1990. Evidence for horizontal transmission of the P transposable element between *drosophila* species. *Genetics* 124: 339–355.
- David, J.R. & L. Tsacas, 1981. Cosmopolitan, subcosmopolitan and widespread species: different strategies within the *Drosophilid* family (Diptera). *C.R. Soc. Biogéol.* 57: 11–26.
- Dawid, I.B., E.O. Long, P.P. DiNocera & M.L. Pardue, 1981. Ribosomal insertion-like elements in *Drosophila melanogaster* are interspersed with mobile sequences. *Cell* 25: 399–408.
- Dimitri, P., 1997. Constitutive heterochromatin and transposable elements in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetica* 100: 85–93.
- Duke, J.S. & H.A. Mooney, 1999. Does global change increase the success of biological invaders? *Trends Genet.* 14: 135–139.
- Duvernell, D.D. & B.J. Turner, 1999. Variation and divergence of Death Valley pupfish populations at retrotransposon-defined loci. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 16: 363–371.
- Eichenbaum, Z. & Z. Livneh, 1998. UV light induces IS 10 transposition in *Escherichia coli*. *Genetics* 149: 1173–1181.
- Evgen'ev, M.B., G.N. Yenikolopov, N.I. Peunova & Y.V. Ilyin, 1982. Transposition of mobile genetic elements in interspecific hybrids of *Drosophila*. *Chromosoma* 85: 375–386.
- Flavell, A.J., S.W. Ruby, J.J. Toole, B.E. Roberts & G.M. Rubin, 1980. Translation and developmental regulation of RNA encoded by the eukaryotic transposable element copia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 77: 7107–7111.
- Garza, D., M. Medhora, A. Koga & D.L. Hartl, 1991. Introduction of the transposable element mariner into the germline of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* 128: 303–310.
- Giraud, T. & P. Capy, 1996. Somatic activity of the mariner transposable element in natural populations of *Drosophila simulans*. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* 263: 1481–1486.
- Good, A.G., G.A. Meister, H.W. Brock, T.A. Grigliatti & D.A. Hickey, 1989. Rapid spread of transposable P elements in experimental populations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* 122: 387–396.
- Hale, L.R. & R.S. Singh, 1991. Contrasting patterns of genetic structure and evolutionary history as revealed by mitochondrial DNA and nuclear gene-enzyme variation between *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Drosophila simulans*. *J. Genet.* 70: 79–90.
- Hamblin, M.T. & M. Veuille, 1999. Population structure among african and derived populations of *Drosophila simulans*: evidence for ancient subdivision and recent admixture. *Genetics* 153: 305–317.
- Heslop-Harrison, J.S., A. Brandes, S. Taketa, T. Schmidt, A.V. Ver-shinin, E.G. Alkhimova, A. Kamm, R.L. Doudrick, T. Schwar-acher, A. Katsiotis, S. Kubis, A. Kumar, S.R. Pearce, A.J. Flavell & G.E. Harrison, 1997. The chromosomal distribution of *Ty1-copia* group retrotransposable elements in higher plants and their implications for genome evolution. *Genetica* 100: 197–204.
- Hirochika, H., K. Sugimoto, Y. Otsuki, H. Tsugawa & M. Kanda, 1996. Retrotransposons of rice involved in mutations induced by tissue culture. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 93: 7783–7788.
- Irvin, S.D., K.A. Wetterstrand, C.M. Hutter & C.F. Aquadro, 1998. Genetic variation and differentiation at microsatellite loci in *Drosophila simulans*: evidence for founder effects in new world populations. *Genetics* 150: 777–790.
- Jensen, S., M-P. Gassama & T. Heidmann, 1999. Taming of transposable elements by homology-dependent gene silencing. *Nature Genetics* 21: 209–212.
- Ke, N. & D.F. Voytas, 1999. cDNA of the yeast retrotransposon Ty5 preferentially recombines with substrates in silent chromatin. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 19: 484–494.
- Kidwell, M.G. & D.R. Lisch, 1997. Transposable elements as sources of variation in animals and plants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 94: 7704–7711.
- Kimura, K. & M.G. Kidwell, 1994. Differences in P element population dynamics between the sibling species *Drosophila melanogaster* and *D. simulans*. *Genet. Res.* 63: 27–38.
- Labate, J.A., C.H. Biermann & W.F. Eanes, 1999. Nucleotide variation at the runt locus in *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Drosophila simulans*. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 16: 724–731.
- Labrador, M., M. Farré, F. Utzet & A. Fontdevilla, 1999. Interspecific hybridization increases transposition rates of Osvado. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 16: 931–937.
- Labrador, M., M.C. Selemé & A. Fontdevilla, 1998. The evolutionary history of *Drosophila buzzatii*. XXXIV. The distribution of the retrotransposon Osvado in original and colonizing populations. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 15: 1532–1547.
- Lachaise, D., M. Cariou, J.R. David, F. Lemeunier, L. Tsacas & M. Ashburner, 1988. Historical biogeography of the *Drosophila melanogaster* species subgroup. *Evol. Biol.* 22: 159–227.
- Löwer, R., J. Löwer & R. Kurth, 1996. The viruses in all of us: Characteristics and biological significance of human endogenous retrovirus sequences. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 93: 5177–5184.

- Maca, J. & G. Bächli, 1994. On the distribution of *Chymomyza amoena* (Loew), a species recently introduced into Europe. *Bull. Soc. ent. Suisse* 67: 183–188.
- Martin, G., D. Wiernasz & P. Schedl, 1983. Evolution of *Drosophila* repetitive-dispersed DNA. *J. Mol. Evol.* 19: 203–213.
- McDonald, J.F., 1995. Transposable elements: possible catalysts of organismic evolution. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 10: 123–126.
- McDonald, J.F., 1998. Transposable elements, gene silencing and macroevolution. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 13: 95–98.
- Mhiri, C., J.B. Morel, S. Vernettes, J.M. Casacuberta, E. Lucas & M.A. Grandbastien, 1997. The promoter of the tobacco Tnt1 retrotransposon is induced by wounding and by abiotic stress. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 33: 257–266.
- Montchamp-Moreau, C., 1990. Hybrid dysgenesis in *P*-transformed lines of *Drosophila simulans*. *Evolution* 44: 194–203.
- Oakeshott, J.G., J.B. Gibson, P.R. Anderson, W.R. Knibb, D.G. Anderson & G.K. Chambers, 1992. Alcohol dehydrogenase and glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase clines in *Drosophila melanogaster* on different continents. *Evolution* 36: 86–96.
- O'Neill, R.J.W., M.J. O'Neill & J.A.M. Graves, 1998. Under-methylation associated with retroelement activation and chromosome remodelling in an interspecific mammalian hybrid. *Nature* 393: 68–72.
- Orr, M.R. & T.B. Smith, 1998. Ecology and speciation. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 13: 502–506.
- Pagel, M. & R.A. Johnstone, 1992. Variation across species in the size of the nuclear genome supports the junk-DNA explanation for the C-value paradox. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* 249: 119–124.
- Parkhurst, S.M. & V.G. Corces, 1987. Developmental expression of *Drosophila melanogaster* retrovirus-like transposable elements. *EMBO J.* 6: 419–424.
- Pignatelli, P.M. & T.F.C. Mackay, 1989. Hybrid dysgenesis-induced response to selection in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genet. Res. Camb.* 54: 183–195.
- Robertson, H.M. & D.J. Lampe, 1995. Distribution of transposable elements in arthropods. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 40: 333–357.
- Rubin, G.M. & A.C. Spradling, 1982. Genetic transformation of *Drosophila* with transposable element vectors. *Science* 218: 348–353.
- Russell, A.L. & R.C. Woodruff, 1999. The genetics and evolution of the *mariner* transposable element in *Drosophila simulans*: worldwide distribution and experimental population dynamics. *Genetica* 105: 149–164.
- Scherer, G., J. Telford, C. Baldari & V. Pirrotta, 1981. Isolation of cloned genes differentially expressed at early and late stages of *Drosophila* embryonic development. *Dev. Biol.* 86: 438–447.
- Sherwood, S.W. & J. Patton, 1982. Genome evolution in Pocket Gophers (genus *Thomomys*). II. Variation in cellular DNA content. *Chromosoma* 85: 163–179.
- Sun, X., J. Wahlstrom & G. Karpen, 1997. Molecular structure of a functional *Drosophila* centromere. *Cell* 91: 1007–1019.
- Thompson, Jr., J.N. & R.C. Woodruff, 1980. Increased mutation in crosses between geographically separated strains of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 77: 1059–1062.
- Tsitrone, A., S. Charles & C. Biémont, 1999. Dynamics of transposable elements under the selection model. *Genet. Res.* 74: 159–164.
- Uozu, S., H. Ikehashi, N. Ohmido, H. Ohtsubo, E. Ohtsubo & K. Fukui, 1997. Repetitive sequences: cause for variation in genome size and chromosome morphology in the genus *Oryza*. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 35: 791–799.
- Vaury, C., A. Bucheton & A. Pélisson, 1989. The β -heterochromatic sequences flanking the I elements are themselves defective transposable elements. *Chromosoma* 98: 215–224.
- Vaury, C., M.C. Chaboissier, M.E. Drake, O. Lajoinie, B. Dastugue & A. Pélisson, 1994. The *doc* transposable element in *D. melanogaster* and *D. simulans*: genomic distribution and transcription. *Genetica* 93: 117–124.
- Vieira, C., P. Aubry, D. Lepetit & C. Biémont, 1998. A temperature cline in copy number for *412* but not *roo/B104* retrotransposons in populations of *Drosophila simulans*. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* 265: 1–5.
- Vieira, C. & C. Biémont, 1996a. Geographical variation in insertion site number of retrotransposon *412* in *Drosophila simulans*. *J. Mol. Evol.* 42: 443–451.
- Vieira, C. & C. Biémont, 1996b. Selection against transposable elements in *D. simulans* and *D. melanogaster*. *Genet. Res.* 68: 9–15.
- Vieira, C. & C. Biémont, 1997. Transposition rate of the *412* retrotransposable element is independent of copy number in natural populations of *Drosophila simulans*. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 14: 185–188.
- Vieira, C., D. Lepetit, S. Dumont & C. Biémont, 1999. Wake up of transposable elements following *Drosophila simulans* worldwide colonization. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 16: 1251–1255.
- Walbot, V., 1998. UV-B damage amplified by transposons in maize. *Nature* 397: 398–399.
- Withers, P. & R. Allemand, 1998. *Chymomyza amoena* (Loew), drosofile nouvelle pour la France (Diptera Drosophilidae). *Bull. mens. Soc. linn. Lyon* 67: 159–160.
- Woodruff, R.C., J.N. Thompson & R.F. Lyman, 1979. Intraspecific hybridization and the release of mutator activity. *Nature* 278: 277–279.
- Yoder, J.A., C. Walsh & T.H. Bestor, 1997. Cytosine methylation and the ecology of intragenomic parasites. *Trends Genet.* 13: 335–340.