Carbon Dioxide Reduction to Methanol with a Molecular Cobalt-Catalyst-Loaded Porous Carbon Electrode Assisted by a CIGS Photovoltaic Cell

Ruwen Wang, Etienne Boutin, Nicolas Barreau, Fabrice Odobel, Julien Bonin, Marc Robert

► To cite this version:

Ruwen Wang, Etienne Boutin, Nicolas Barreau, Fabrice Odobel, Julien Bonin, et al.. Carbon Dioxide Reduction to Methanol with a Molecular Cobalt-Catalyst-Loaded Porous Carbon Electrode Assisted by a CIGS Photovoltaic Cell. ChemPhotoChem, In press, 10.1002/cptc.202100035 . hal-03266423

HAL Id: hal-03266423

<https://hal.science/hal-03266423>

Submitted on 21 Jul 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Carbon Dioxide Reduction to Methanol with a Molecular Cobalt-Catalyst-Loaded Porous Carbon Electrode Assisted by a CIGS Photovoltaic Cell

Ruwen Wang,^[a] Etienne Boutin,^{[a][e]} Nicolas Barreau,^{[b]*} Fabrice Odobel,^[c] Julien Bonin^{[a]*} and Marc Robert^{[a][d]*}

[a] R. Wang, Dr. E. Boutin, Dr. J. Bonin, Prof. Dr. M. Robert

Laboratoire d'Electrochimie Moléculaire (LEM)

Université de Paris, CNRS

F-75006, Paris, France

E-mail: julien.bonin@u-paris.fr, robert@u-paris.fr

[b] Dr. N. Barreau

Institut des Matériaux Jean Rouxel (IMN)

Université de Nantes, CNRS

F-44000 Nantes, France

E-mail: nicolas.barreau@univ-nantes.fr

[c] Dr. F. Odobel

CEISAM UMR 6230

Université de Nantes, CNRS

F-44000 Nantes, France

[d] Prof. Dr. M. Robert

Institut Universitaire de France (IUF)

F-75005, Paris, France

[e] Present address: Laboratoire de la Science et de l'Ingénierie de l'Energie Renouvelable (LRESE)

École Polytechnique Fédéral de Lausanne

Lausanne 1015, Switzerland

Abstract: Conversion of CO₂ into valuable compounds, including fuels, with renewable energy source and sustainable compounds is a challenge addressed by artificial photosynthesis research. In particular, solar assisted electrochemical (EC) processes, in which electrons are furnished by a photovoltaic (PV) cell is a promising approach. A PV-EC system is described, consisting in a CIGS PV unit linked to a carbon electrode loaded with cobalt phthalocyanine as molecular catalyst, able to achieve the CO₂ reduction to CO and then to methanol in aqueous media with limited bias voltage. Using CO as starting material, a partial current density of ca. 0.6 mA cm⁻² for methanol is obtained at a bias voltage corresponding to a low 240 mV overpotential. Remarkably, the liquid fuel production can be sustained for at least 7h. Under ideal conditions, the CO₂-to-CH₃OH reaction shows a global Faradaic efficiency of 28 %.

On the path to the production of useful chemicals from CO₂ and renewable energy sources, solar induced processes are highly challenging.^[1] Converting light energy relies on the creation of charge carriers, *i.e.* electrons and/or holes, to start redox reactions such as water oxidation and CO₂ reduction. Basically, two approaches may be followed. A first one, generally referred to as photochemical, directly uses light to induce the chemical process through the generation of reacting excited states in homogeneous systems^[2] or through the formation of electron-hole pairs in semiconductors.^[3] A second one, combining photophysics and electrochemistry, decouples the light conversion and the chemical process by using, on one side, a photovoltaic (PV) device generating electrons and, on the other side, an electrochemical (EC) cell employing these electrons to drive the chemical reactions of interest.^[4] The latter approach presents two key advantages. First, it allows separating both tasks and thus the system can benefit from improvement of both sides independently. Second, the need for a photoactive molecule or material in the electrochemical unit is by-passed,

and thus the commonly encountered photodegradation of semiconductors in contact with an electrolyte is avoided. In that context, coupling a PV device to an electrochemical cell (PV-EC, Scheme 1) represents an attractive and emerging approach to utilize solar generated electrons to sustainably activate and convert CO₂ in a chemical reactor.^[4]

Scheme 1. Scheme of the PV-EC system combining a CIGS PV cell linked to a CoPc loaded carbon paper used as the working electrode in the EC unit for CO reduction to methanol.

Among the possible CO₂ reduction products, molecules which can be directly used as fuels, such as methanol and methane, are of obvious strategic interest. However, their generation requires 6 or 8 electron and proton coupled transfers, respectively, which constitutes a major difficulty.^[5] Few studies have been dedicated to the reduction of CO₂ to methanol with

metal complexes. They generally reported trace amounts of the alcohol, with no isotope labeled experiments confirming CH_3OH originated from CO_2 .^[6] Homogeneous molecular catalysts are however of particular interest since they exhibit high activity and selectivity, possess a tunable structure, allow spectroscopic characterizations and a precise understanding of the reactivity. Notwithstanding, they commonly suffer from limited stability over time, are hardly recyclable and considered as not adequate for up-scaling requirements. Heterogenization of molecular catalyst thus appeared to be the track to follow to circumvent these drawbacks, taking advantage of solid materials as supports.^[7] Phthalocyanine (Pc) is a well-known family of catalysts^[8] which is industrially used as dyes for painting^[9] and are thus particularly appealing as low cost compounds. In an early study, Lieber *et al.* reported the generation of CO from CO_2 with carbon electrodes modified by absorbed cobalt phthalocyanine (CoPc) at an applied potential of -1.15 V vs. SSCE (silver-silver chloride electrode) in a citrate buffer aqueous solution at pH 5, reaching 3.7×10^5 turnover number (TON).^[10] The faradaic efficiency (FE) was modest (55 to 60%) with H_2 as the secondary product, with traces of oxalate and formate. This study however highlighted the great gain in stability once CoPc was immobilized on the electrode as compared with the homogeneous case. In a more recent study,^[11] Choi *et al.* showed that a sterically hindered CoPc π -stacked onto chemically converted graphene and deposited on a carbon paper electrode can achieve the electrochemical reduction of CO_2 into CO with up to 85% FE in aqueous solution (pH 6.8) at a potential of -0.79 V vs. RHE. Very recently,^[12] Reisner *et al.* reported the CO_2 -to-CO conversion on a hybrid electrode composed of a cobalt phthalocyanine complex bearing four phosphonic acid anchoring groups immobilized on mesoporous TiO_2 . In electrochemical conditions in potassium carbonate buffer solution, CO was obtained with 85% selectivity and 1949 TON after 2 h electrolysis at -1.09 V vs. NHE. Once assembled with a *p*-type silicon photoelectrode, it led to a photocathode that achieved CO_2 reduction to CO in aqueous conditions, under solar illumination and an applied bias of -0.53 V vs. SHE, with 939 TON and 66% selectivity, with H_2 as second product. In between these two examples, we demonstrated the first example of the electrochemical reduction of both CO_2 and CO into methanol with CoPc as molecular catalyst, in the form of a catalytic ink made of multi-walled carbon nanotubes and Nafion then deposited on a carbon paper electrode, in aqueous solutions at ambient temperature and pressure.^[13] These results were further confirmed a couple of months later, leading to higher Faradaic efficiency upon high dispersion of the catalyst onto its carbon support.^[14] We showed that CH_3OH is issued from CO_2 upon NMR studies using labelled $^{13}\text{CO}_2$ as reactant and we identified CO as an intermediate on the reaction path. Finally, we proposed to decouple the process to maximize efficiency, upon first realizing CO_2 -to-CO reduction in optimized conditions (pH 7.2) in a first electrochemical cell and then using CO as a reactant in a second cell in basic conditions (pH 13). A global Faradaic efficiency of 19.5% and a chemical selectivity of about 7.5% were calculated. Starting the catalytic process from CO is indeed advantageous since several robust processes exist to produce CO with high selectivity^[15] and it would allow bypassing the first reduction step of CO_2 which is considered as highly difficult. However, the overpotential was large (ca. 740 mV and 910 mV when using CO and CO_2 as reactant, respectively) and CH_3OH

production quickly drops after 2 h. Encouraged by these results, we decided to explore the possibility of feeding the system with electrons coming from an illuminated PV cell so as to lower the applied bias voltage thanks to the photovoltage boost. In this purpose, we employed CIGS (copper indium gallium selenide) materials which possess a strong absorption in the visible range and tunable bandgaps by adjusting the In/Ga ratio (Scheme 1, see experimental section for description of the material).^[16] Moreover, CIGS-based PV cells recently reached high conversion efficiencies (above 24%).^[17] Following this strategy, we report about the use of a carbon paper porous electrode loaded with a CoPc catalyst and powered by a CIGS PV cell enabling to achieve the CO_2 to methanol conversion. Experiments were conducted at ambient pressure and temperature and in aqueous solutions. As previously reported,^[13] a catalytic ink was first prepared by mixing CoPc with multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) and Nafion resin in a mixture of ethanol and ethylene glycol, to obtain a stable colloidal solution (see Experimental Section for details). Ink deposition onto porous carbon paper was made by drop casting, and the operational catalytic film was obtained after a drying at 100°C .

Figure 1. Linear sweep voltammetry of the CIGS (PV) coupled to CoPc/carbon paper (EC) modified electrode with light off (black), light on (blue) and chopped-light (red) in 0.1 M KHCO_3 (pH 6.8) electrolyte, under CO_2 atmosphere ($S_{\text{CIGS}} = 0.9 \text{ cm}^2$ and $S_{\text{carbon paper}} = 0.5 \text{ cm}^2$).

We first explored the ability of the PV-EC assembly to drive the reduction of CO_2 . In Figure 1, linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) measurements show that no catalytic wave was observed in the absence of illumination, illustrating the need for photons and photovoltage from the CIGS cell to activate the catalyst and to reach the required potential to reduce CO_2 . Moreover, chopped-light experiments clearly showed that a significant 2-3 mA photocurrent (corresponding to 4-6 mA cm^{-2} relatively to the carbon electrode surface) was obtained upon illumination, associated with a typical photovoltage from the CIGS cell of ca. 660 mV. Typical profile (for example, Fig. 1, red signal) is generally explained as follows: the intensity of the current spike is consistent over the potential range and represents the reduction of the holes from the large quantity of electron/hole pairs that are created upon sudden light irradiation. At rather positive potential, excited electrons do not reach the surface since there is no or slow catalysis, they thus recombine quickly

with newly formed holes and the current concomitantly exponentially decays. At more negative potential, exited electrons are energetic enough to reach the interface and to participate to the catalytic process. The current decay is less pronounced and spike is smaller: all exited electrons are now collected and the reaction is only limited by the photovoltaic current. As can be seen in Table 1, entries 1-2, the main reduction product was CO with small amounts of H₂ and methanol. Based on our previous studies showing that CO is a key intermediate to further reduced compounds,^[13, 15, 18] we then explored the direct use of CO as starting reactant (Table 1, entries 3-8) in basic conditions, as described in our initial electrochemical study.^[18]

Figure 2. Current (solid line) and charge (dash-dot line) measured during controlled potential photo-electrolysis at -0.09 (red), -0.14 (blue) and -0.19 (black) V vs. RHE applied bias of the illuminated CIGS (PV) coupled to CoPc/carbon paper (EC) assembly in 0.1 M KOH (pH 13) electrolyte, under CO atmosphere, with $S_{\text{CIGS}} = 0.9 \text{ cm}^2$ and $S_{\text{carbon paper}} = 0.5 \text{ cm}^2$.

Figure 2 shows the evolution of current with time during controlled potential photo-electrolysis (CPPE) under CO atmosphere at pH 13 and different applied bias voltage. Note that during the first 200 s, a chopped-light experiment was conducted to check for the photo-response of the system, which explains the shape of the current signal (same is true for Figures 3). Very interestingly, methanol was produced in significant amount, further illustrating that the formation of CO is an intermediary step to further reduction. After 2 h of CPE, a quite stable current of 1.18, 1.32 and 2.10 mA was observed at bias voltage of -0.09, -0.14 and -0.19 V vs. RHE respectively (Table 1, entries 3-5). The more negative the bias voltage the higher the total, with H₂ as main product and in increasing proportion. An optimal 19% FE for methanol was obtained at -0.14 V vs. RHE (Table 1, entry 4). At a positive bias voltage of +0.01 V vs. RHE, no current was observed (Table 1, entry 6) showing that no CO conversion occurred. Isotope labelled experiments under ¹³CO atmosphere were conducted and methanol analysis by NMR^[19] (Figure 4) indeed confirmed that CH₃OH originated from CO, with full splitting of the proton signal (methyl group).

Figure 3. Current (solid line) and charge (dash-dot line) measured during controlled potential photo-electrolysis at $E_{\text{applied}} = -0.14 \text{ V vs. RHE}$ of the illuminated CIGS (PV) coupled to CoPc/carbon paper (EC) assembly in 0.1 M KOH (pH 13) electrolyte, under CO atmosphere, with $S_{\text{CIGS}} = 0.9 \text{ cm}^2$ and $S_{\text{carbon paper}} = 0.5$ (red), 1 (blue) and 1.5 (black) cm^2 .

The influence of the surface area of the carbon paper electrode was investigated. At a fixed CIGS surface area of 0.9 cm^2 , three carbon paper electrode area, i.e. 0.5, 1 and 1.5 cm^2 were tested (Figure 3). While current was multiplied by a factor of ca. 2 when going from 0.5 (Table 1, entry 4) to 1 cm^2 (entry 7), almost no current increase was observed with a further increase to 1.5 cm^2 (entry 8). This seems to indicate that an insufficient amount of electrons was provided by the CIGS PV cell to fully feed an electrode of such area.

Figure 4. ¹H NMR spectrum showing the characteristic doublet signal of methanol (violet, CH₃ protons, $\delta = 3.16$ and 3.52 ppm , see inset) due to ¹³C, measured after 1 h CPE at $E_{\text{applied}} = -0.14 \text{ V vs. RHE}$ of the illuminated CIGS (PV) coupled to CoPc/carbon paper (EC) assembly in 0.1 M KOH (pH 13) electrolyte, under ¹³CO atmosphere, with $S_{\text{CIGS}} = 0.3 \text{ cm}^2$ and $S_{\text{carbon paper}} = 1 \text{ cm}^2$. A reference spectra obtained upon electrolysis under ¹²CO atmosphere is shown in light grey (the singlet due to CH₃ protons of CH₃OH appears at $\delta = 3.34 \text{ ppm}$).

Control experiments were conducted under argon atmosphere (Table 1, entry 9) and we observed no CO or methanol production, only H₂ being detected in the similar amount than under CO atmosphere (entry 7), suggesting an independent reaction pathway to hydrogen.

To evaluate the robustness of the PV-EC assembly and the evolution of the products formed with time, we conducted an extended CPE under CO atmosphere for 7 h, with periodic analysis of the both liquid and gaseous reduction products (Table 2). As can be seen in Figure 5, current, albeit a little fluctuating, remained quite constant over the electrolysis course, at a mean value of -1.75 mA, together with a linear evolution of the charge passed. Gas chromatography and ¹H NMR analysis of the products formed revealed that, first, FE for methanol was progressively lowered from 16% after the first CPE hour to 10% at 7 h CPE (Figure 6). Hydrogen FE was concomitantly increasing from 65 to 70%. Interestingly, this decay of FE for methanol starts after three hours of CPE, which is consistent with our previous report showing a couple of hours stability for methanol production.^[13] Cumulated molar concentration of methanol in the reaction mixture evolved linearly over the whole CPE course up to a total amount of 2.4 mM. Remarkably, the catalytic reaction occurs at a very low overpotential.

To further characterize the electrode durability, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) measurements were conducted before, during (2 h) and at the end (7h) of the electrolysis. XPS spectrum (Fig. S4a and Table S1) in the N 1s region exhibited three separated peaks attributed to the pyridinic, pyrrolic and oxidized N atoms at 398.5, 399.96, and 402.0 eV binding energy, respectively. The spectrum in the Co 2p region (Fig. S4b) showed two peaks centered at 781.1 (2p_{3/2}) and 796 eV (2p_{1/2}), respectively. Both confirm the presence of the CoPc catalyst on the electrode surface. Along the course of electrolysis, both pyridinic N and Co gradually decreased, by a final factor of ca. 3, suggesting CoPc desorption rather than decomposition since catalytic activity is maintained.

ICP measurements confirmed such interpretation with a similar decrease in the cobalt amount on the surface over the electrolysis course (Table S2).

Figure 5. Current (solid line) and charge (dash-dot line) measured during extended controlled potential photo-electrolysis at -0.14 V vs. RHE applied bias of the illuminated CIGS (PV) coupled to CoPc/carbon paper (EC) assembly in 0.1 M KOH (pH 13) electrolyte, under CO atmosphere, with $S_{\text{CIGS}} = 0.3 \text{ cm}^2$ and $S_{\text{Carbon paper}} = 1 \text{ cm}^2$.

Finally, since the same catalyst can be used for reducing first CO₂ to CO, and then CO to methanol, pH and electrode potential could be adjusted to maximize each partial reduction process. The first CO₂-to-CO pure electrochemical step can be achieved with typical 95% Faradaic efficiency in neutral pH conditions as already shown^[15] while the second step may be achieved with a PV-EC cell as described in this study. From the total number of transferred electrons, a global Faradaic efficiency of 28% is calculated and the chemical selectivity is equal to ca. 11.6% (Fig. S3).

Table 1. PV-EC CO₂ and CO electrochemical reduction performance with CoPc decorated carbon paper electrode powered by a CIGS PV cell.

Entry	Substrate	Electrolyte	Time (h)	E_{applied} (V vs. RHE)	$S_{\text{carbon paper}}$ (cm ²)	S_{CIGS} (cm ²)	$m_{\text{cat.}} : m_{\text{MCNTs}}$	η^a (mV)	i_{total} (mA)	CH ₃ OH		CO		H ₂	
										FE (%)	i (mA)	FE (%)	i (mA)	FE (%)	i (mA)
1	CO ₂	0.1 M KHCO ₃ pH 6.8	1	-0.36	0.5	0.9	1:15	390	2.35	1.2	0.03	105	2.35	7	0.16
2			2	-0.46	0.5	0.9	1:15	490	2.83	0.3	0.01	108	2.83	8	0.23
3	CO	0.1 M KOH pH 13	2	-0.19	0.5	0.9	1:15	290	2.10	12	0.25	-	-	87	1.83
4			2	-0.14	0.5	0.9	1:15	240	1.32	19	0.25	-	-	65	0.86
5			2	-0.09	0.5	0.9	1:15	190	1.18	8.5	0.10	-	-	60	0.71
6			2	+0.01	0.5	0.9	1:15	90	-	0	-	-	-	-	-
7			1	-0.14	1	0.9	1:15	240	2.75	21	0.58	-	-	73	2.01
8	Ar		1	-0.14	1.5	0.9	1:15	240	2.85	15	0.43	-	-	78	2.22
9			1	-0.14	1	0.9	1:15	240	3.39	-	-	-	-	73	2.47

a. η is the overpotential for the considered reaction and is defined as: $\eta = E^0(\text{reactant/product}) - E_{\text{applied}}$ (see Supporting Information for calculation of $E^0(\text{CO/CH}_3\text{OH})$ at pH 13).

Figure 6. Evolution of the faradaic efficiency in H₂ (green) and methanol (blue) and of methanol concentration (wine diamonds) during the extended controlled potential photo-electrolysis at $E_{\text{applied}} = -0.14$ V vs. RHE of the illuminated CIGS (PV) coupled to CoPc/carbon paper (EC) assembly in 0.1 M KOH (pH 13) electrolyte, under CO atmosphere. $S_{\text{CIGS}} = 0.3 \text{ cm}^2$ and $S_{\text{Carbon paper}} = 1 \text{ cm}^2$.

Overall, we have showed very promising results on the combination of a CIGS PV cell with a molecular catalyst deposited on a carbon electrode to achieve the solar assisted CO₂ to CO to methanol reduction in aqueous media. The origin of carbon products was asserted by isotope labelled experiments. In this PV-EC system, the electrochemical moiety is only based on abundant elements (carbon, cobalt) and is operational at ambient pressure and temperature, the employed molecular catalyst belonging to an already industrially used class of compounds, *i.e.* phthalocyanines. Thanks to the remarkable efficiency of the CIGS unit, able to furnish a large photovoltage and thus to feed the EC unit, the formation of mM amounts of methanol was reached after few hours of CPPE at very moderate applied bias. First stability tests are highly encouraging, having no great loss of activity during several hours even if progressive catalyst desorption occurs on the long term. Our results indicate that the performance of the system depend on the surface area matching between the PV cell and the electrode. More work is under way to gain both in understanding and on productivity.

Table 2. Current (i) and faradaic efficiency (FE) during controlled potential photo-electrolysis at $E_{\text{applied}} = -0.14$ V vs. RHE, with CoPc:MWCNT mass ratio of 1:15, in 0.1 M KOH (pH 13) electrolyte, under CO atmosphere, with $S_{\text{CIGS}} = 0.3 \text{ cm}^2$ and $S_{\text{Carbon paper}} = 1 \text{ cm}^2$.

Time (h)	i_{total} (mA)	CH ₃ OH		H ₂	
		FE (%)	i (mA)	FE (%)	i (mA)
1	1.74	16.2	0.28	65.9	1.15
3	1.75	15.6	0.27	63.3	1.11
4	1.74	13.6	0.24	66.2	1.15
5	1.73	12.1	0.21	69.2	1.2
6	1.73	10.7	0.19	69.3	1.20

7 1.73 10.5 0.18 70.2 1.21

Experimental Section

Chemicals and materials. Cobalt phthalocyanine (CoPc, β form, 97% dye content, Sigma Aldrich), ethylene glycol (EG, reagent plus > 99%, Sigma Aldrich), ethanol (EtOH, Merck, analysis grade), Nafion® (perfluorinated ion exchange powder, 5 wt% solution in low aliphatic alcohol/H₂O, Sigma Aldrich, diluted to 2.9% with ethanol) were used without further purification. Multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs, 6-9 nm diameter, 5 μ m length, > 95% Carbon, Sigma Aldrich), carbon paper (Toray Carbon Paper, TGPH-60, Alfa Aesar) were used as received. CO₂, ¹²CO and Argon were supplied by Air Liquide, whereas ¹³CO was supplied by Sigma-Aldrich.

Preparation of the catalytic ink. 3 mg of MWCNTs were dispersed in 2 mL EG/EtOH 1:1 (v/v) mixture followed by 30 min sonication. 1 mg CoPc was dissolved in 1 mL EG/EtOH mixture and then 200 μ L was added to the MWCNTs suspension. The suspension was further sonicated for 30 min. Finally, Nafion was added (2.9%, 30 μ L) and the complete mixture was sonicated to obtain the final catalytic ink. 75 μ L ink was then drop casted on carbon paper to obtain the working electrode.

CIGS cell characterizations. Cu(In,Ga)Se₂ (CIGS) thin films were synthesized following a modified so called CuPRO-process, as described elsewhere.^[20] The gallium to indium ratio within the films was large enough to yield an absorber band gap of 1.6 eV, deduced from absorption threshold of the external quantum efficiency, Fig. S1. The glass/Mo/CIGSe structures were covered with n-type CdS, deposited by chemical bath deposition (CBD), and sputtered ZnO/ZnO:Al bilayer. For the sample operated herein, no electron collection grids were applied to the solar cells, which is at the origin of the limited device fill factor (FF). The typical photovoltaic parameters deduced from current-voltage characteristics (Fig. S2) range like: Voc = 680-700 mV, Jsc = 18.0-18.5 mA/cm², FF = 58-62 % leading to photovoltaic conversion efficiency of ~ 8 %.

Electrode characterizations. The composition of the CoPc loaded porous carbon electrode was determined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) recorded on an ESCALAB 250 spectrometer (Thermo Sci.) accompanied by a microfocalized X-ray source (K α Al 1486.6 eV) and a double monochromator. Inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) was conducted with an iCAP 6300 ICP-OES CID spectrometer (Thermo Sci.). A test was performed with a RACHID detector including a diode array, a peristaltic pump, and argon plasma (analyzes present at 50 rpm, 1150 W). The CoPc MWCNTs carbon paper electrode was immersed in 2 mL 69% nitride acid for 20 h and then diluted to 20 mL solution to get the sample for ICP measurement.

Controlled potential electrolysis. PV-EC experiments were performed with a PGSTAT 302N Autolab potentiostat-galvanostat. The cell volume was 32.5 mL, containing 6 mL of electrolyte (7 ml for the 7 h CPE). Solutions were systematically purged with Ar for 15 min to remove atmospheric O₂, and then saturated with the gas of interest by bubbling more than 20 min before any measurement. Irradiation was simulated with a 150-

W xenon lamp (Oriel Instrument) with light source positioned at 5 cm from the PV cell.

The external CIGS PV cell (front) was connected in series with a carbon paper electrode. Front (–) and back (+) lateral contacts of the cell were taken on AZO and Mo layers with copper wire, respectively. Regarding the EC part, a standard three-electrode configuration was employed with a Pt mesh as the counter electrode, which was separated from the working compartment by a glass frit bridge filled with the same electrolyte, and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as reference electrode (Ref). Potentials were measured relative to a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as Ref and converted into relative hydrogen electrode (RHE) using Eq. (1):

$$E(V \text{ vs. RHE}) = E(V \text{ vs. SCE}) + 0.242 + 0.059 \times \text{pH} \quad (1)$$

Reduction products analysis. Gaseous products evolution in the sample headspace during PV-EC experiments were followed with an Agilent Technologies 7820A gas chromatography (GC) system equipped with a thermal conductivity detector and a CP-CarboPlot P7 capillary column (27.46 m in length and 25 μm internal diameter), with argon as carrier gas (9.5 mL min^{-1} constant flow, 0.5 bar constant pressure). Temperature was set to 150 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for the detector and to 34 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for the oven. Injection was performed with a 250 μL Hamilton gas-tight sample-lock syringe. CO and H_2 molar amounts were determined through the conversion of GC peaks area into mole using individual calibration curves. Liquid phase products were analyzed with a Bruker AC 400 MHz NMR, for which tubes were filled with 480 μL solution: 392 μL of the sample solution, 40 μL of 4 mM DMSO (Sigma Aldrich, anhydrous 99.8%) as internal standard and 48 μL of D_2O (Sigma Aldrich, 99% atoms D) as a lock solvent. A minimum of 128 scans were accumulated with water pre-saturation method and using a relaxation time of 25 s. Quantification was made relative to the DMSO peak.

Acknowledgements

R.W. thanks the China Scholarship Council for her PhD fellowship (CSC student number 201806890019). Partial financial support from the French National Agency for Research (ANR-16-CE05-0010-01), from the Institut Universitaire de France (IUF), and from Région des Pays de la Loire (project “Hybrid Z-scheme,” program LUMOMAT) is also gratefully acknowledged.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: CO_2 reduction • methanol • molecular supported catalysis • CIGS • electrochemical catalysis

- [1] M. T. Spitler, M. A. Modestino, T. G. Deutsch, C. X. Xiang, J. R. Durrant, D. V. Esposito, S. Haussener, S. Maldonado, I. D. Sharp, B. A. Parkinson, D. S. Ginley, F. A. Houle, T. Hannappel, N. R. Neale, D. G. Nocera, P. C. McIntyre, *Sustain. Energ. Fuels* **2020**, *4*, 985-995.
- [2] aK. A. Grice, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *336*, 78-95; bH. Rao, C.-H. Lim, J. Bonin, G. M. Miyake, M. Robert, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 17830-17834; cH. Rao, L. C. Schmidt, J. Bonin, M. Robert, *Nature* **2017**, *548*, 74-77.
- [3] aC. Peng, G. Reid, H. Wang, P. Hu, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *147*, 030901; bB. Kumar, M. Llorente, J. Froehlich, T. Dang, A. Sathrum, C. P. Kubiak, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2012**, *63*, 541-569.
- [4] aW.-H. Cheng, M. H. Richter, I. Sullivan, D. M. Larson, C. Xiang, B. S. Brunschwig, H. A. Atwater, *ACS Energy Lett.* **2020**, *5*, 470-476; bC. E. Creissen, M. Fontecave, *Adv. Energy Mater. Early view*, 2002652.
- [5] aK. Kobayashi, K. Tanaka, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *16*, 2240-2250; bJ. Resasco, A. T. Bell, *Trends in Chemistry* **2020**, *2*, 825-836; cW. Zhang, Y. Hu, L. Ma, G. Zhu, Y. Wang, X. Xue, R. Chen, S. Yang, Z. Jin, *Adv. Sci.* **2018**, *5*, 1700275.
- [6] aK. Kusuda, R. Ishihara, H. Yamaguchi, I. Izumi, *Electrochim. Acta* **1986**, *31*, 657-663; bM. Abdinejad, A. Seifitokaldani, C. Dao, E. H. Sargent, X.-a. Zhang, H. B. Kraatz, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.* **2019**, *2*, 1330-1335; cS. Kapusta, N. Hackerman, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1984**, *131*, 1511-1514.
- [7] aM. E. Louis, T. G. Fenton, J. Rondeau, T. Jin, G. Li, *Comments Inorg. Chem.* **2016**, *36*, 38-60; bS. Zhang, Q. Fan, R. Xia, T. J. Meyer, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2020**, *53*, 255-264.
- [8] J. H. Zagal, S. Griveau, J. F. Silva, T. Nyokong, F. Bedioui, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *254*, 2755-2791.
- [9] S. Q. Lomax, *Stud. Conserv.* **2005**, *50*, 19-29.
- [10] C. M. Lieber, N. S. Lewis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 5033-5034.
- [11] J. Choi, P. Wagner, S. Gambhir, R. Jalili, D. R. MacFarlane, G. G. Wallace, D. L. Officer, *ACS Energy Lett.* **2016**, *4*, 666-672.
- [12] S. Roy, M. Miller, J. Warnan, J. J. Leung, C. D. Sahm, E. Reisner, *ACS Catal.* **2021**, *11*, 1868-1876.
- [13] E. Boutin, M. Wang, J. C. Lin, M. Mesnage, D. Mendoza, B. Lassalle-Kaiser, C. Hahn, T. F. Jaramillo, M. Robert, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 16172-16176.
- [14] Y. Wu, Z. Jiang, X. Lu, Y. Liang, H. Wang, *Nature* **2019**, *575*, 639-642.
- [15] E. Boutin, L. Merakeb, B. Ma, B. Boudy, M. Wang, J. Bonin, E. Anxolabéhère-Mallart, M. Robert, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2020**, *49*, 5772-5809.
- [16] M. Asaduzzaman, M. Hasan, A. N. Bahar, *Springerplus* **2016**, *5*, 578.
- [17] aN. E. I. Boukourt, S. Patané, *Optik* **2020**, *218*, 165240; bN. Mufti, T. Amrillah, A. Taufiq, Sunaryono, Aripriharta, M. Diantoro, Zulhadjri, H. Nur, *Sol. Energy* **2020**, *207*, 1146-1157.
- [18] H. Rao, L. C. Schmidt, J. Bonin, M. Robert, *Nature* **2017**, *548*, 74-77.
- [19] T. Chatterjee, E. Boutin, M. Robert, *Dalton Trans.* **2020**, *49*, 4257-4265.
- [20] P. Tsoulka, A. Rivalland, L. Arzel, N. Barreau, *Thin Solid Films* **2020**, *709*, 138224.

Entry for the Table of Contents

A combination of a CIGS PV cell with a molecular cobalt catalyst loaded on a porous carbon electrode was able to achieve solar assisted CO₂ reduction to CO and then to methanol. Using CO as a reactant, a partial current density for alcohol production close to 0.6 mA cm⁻² was obtained at low overpotential (ca. 240 mV). This PV-EC system works at ambient conditions and in aqueous conditions, with excellent stability over time.