



**HAL**  
open science

**Access to metal centers and fluxional hydride coordination integral for CO<sub>2</sub> insertion into [Fe<sub>3</sub>(μ-H)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> clusters**

Dae Ho Hong, Ricardo B. Ferreira, Vincent J. Catalano, Ricardo Garcia-Serres, Jason Shearer, Leslie J. Murray

► **To cite this version:**

Dae Ho Hong, Ricardo B. Ferreira, Vincent J. Catalano, Ricardo Garcia-Serres, Jason Shearer, et al.. Access to metal centers and fluxional hydride coordination integral for CO<sub>2</sub> insertion into [Fe<sub>3</sub>(μ-H)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> clusters. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 2021, 60 (10), pp.7228-7239. 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.1c00244 . hal-03266393

**HAL Id: hal-03266393**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03266393>**

Submitted on 1 Sep 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Published in final edited form as:

*Inorg Chem.* 2021 May 17; 60(10): 7228–7239. doi:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.1c00244.

## Access to Metal Centers and Fluxional Hydride Coordination Integral for CO<sub>2</sub> Insertion into [Fe<sub>3</sub>(μ-H)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> Clusters

Dae Ho Hong<sup>†</sup>, Ricardo B. Ferreira<sup>†</sup>, Vincent J. Catalano<sup>‡</sup>, Ricardo García-Serres<sup>§</sup>, Jason Shearer<sup>\*||</sup>, Leslie J. Murray<sup>\*†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Center for Catalysis and Florida Center for Heterocyclic Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, United States

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Nevada, Reno, Nevada 89557, United States

<sup>§</sup>Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, CEA, BIG, LCBM (UMR 5249), F-38054 Grenoble, France

<sup>||</sup>Center for Sciences & Innovation, Trinity University, San Antonio, Texas 78212, United States


### Abstract

CO<sub>2</sub> insertion into tri(μ-hydrido)triiron(II) clusters ligated by a tris(β-diketiminato) cyclophane is demonstrated to be balanced by sterics for CO<sub>2</sub> approach and hydride accessibility. Time-resolved NMR and UV-vis spectra for this reaction for a complex in which methoxy groups border the pocket of the hydride donor (Fe<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>L<sup>OMe/Me</sup>, **4**) result in a decreased activation barrier and increased kinetic isotope effect consistent with the reduced sterics. For the ethyl congener Fe<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>L<sup>Et/Me</sup> (**2**), no correlation is found between rate and reaction solvent or added Lewis acids, implying CO<sub>2</sub> coordination to an Fe center in the mechanism. The estimated hydricity (50 kcal/mol) based on observed H/D exchange with BD<sub>3</sub> requires Fe–O bond formation in the product to offset an endergonic CO<sub>2</sub> insertion. μ<sub>3</sub>-hydride coordination is noted to lower the activation barrier for the first CO<sub>2</sub> insertion event in DFT calculations.

### Graphical Abstract

\*Corresponding Author: Prof. Dr. L. J. Murray, Center for Catalysis and Florida Center for Heterocyclic Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, United States, murray@chem.ufl.edu, Prof. Dr. J. Shearer, Department of Chemistry, Trinity University, San Antonio, Texas 78212, United States, jshearer@trinity.edu.

Supporting Information. FT-IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR, ESI-MS spectra of the products, Kinetic analysis, and Crystal structures can be found in the Supporting Information Supporting Information (PDF)



### Keywords

bridging hydride; carbon dioxide reduction; kinetic study; transition metal hydride; high spin iron

## INTRODUCTION

Metal hydrides mediate various small molecule transformations in chemical and biological systems, including proton,  $\text{CO}_2$ , and  $\text{N}_2$  reduction.<sup>1–7</sup> Given such reactivity, metal hydrides have gathered substantial interest, especially in the context of renewable energy and carbon-neutral fuel synthesis as well as fertilizer production.<sup>8–12</sup> Mononuclear metal hydrides have been extensively studied in the fields of energy storage,<sup>13</sup> electrocatalysis,<sup>14,15</sup> and chemical synthesis.<sup>16,17</sup> Metal hydride compounds have predominantly employed strong field ligands, leading to well-defined acid-base reactivity profiles observed in many compounds. Far fewer examples of metal hydrides supported weak-field donors are known, and even fewer in which the hydrides adopt bridging coordination modes. Such hydride-bridged multimetallic assemblies are proposed as key intermediates in the activation of small molecules effected by metalloenzymes and heterogeneous catalysts. Notable examples of important hydride-bridged transients include the proposed structures of reduced intermediates of the FeMo-cofactor from molybdenum-dependent nitrogenases and of [NiFe]- or [FeFe]-hydrogenases,<sup>7,18–20</sup> H adatoms on the surface of various heterogeneous catalysts,<sup>21–23</sup> and copper hydrides clusters used to catalyze organic transformations (*e.g.*, conjugate reduction reactions).<sup>24,25</sup> Describing the factors governing reactivity of weak field supported hydride-bridged metal centers has broad relevance to chemical synthesis, industrial processes, and biological systems.

Weak-field ligands in which steric bulk limits the coordination number afford metal hydrides with diverse reactivity profiles, including reductive elimination, insertion, and H-atom transfer reactivity observed (Scheme 1).<sup>26–34</sup> For example, Holland and coworkers synthesized a series of  $\beta$ -diketiminate (or BDI) supported di( $\mu$ -hydrido)diiron complexes in which the H/D exchange with H<sub>2</sub>/D<sub>2</sub> and between isotopologues, bond metathesis with BEt<sub>3</sub>, insertion reactivity with CO<sub>2</sub> and various other possible electrophiles, and H<sub>2</sub> reductive elimination have been observed.<sup>35,36</sup> In contrast to these dimetallics which spontaneously assemble and exist in a monomer-dimer equilibrium, the tri( $\mu$ -hydrido)triiron complex templated by a tris( $\beta$ -diketimine)cyclophane (i.e., Fe<sub>3</sub>( $\mu$ -H)<sub>3</sub>L<sup>1</sup> or **2**) is remarkably specific for hydride transfer to CO<sub>2</sub> with minimal or no hydride transfer reactivity to other substrates.<sup>29</sup> Similar hydride transfer reactivity was also observed for the cobalt and the zinc congeners, leading to a hypothesis that the ligand pocket surrounding the  $\mu$ -hydride donors strongly influences kinetics and selectivity for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction to formate.<sup>29,34,37</sup> In addition, we also observed reductive elimination (*re*) of H<sub>2</sub> upon the reaction of **2** with CO. The resultant Fe<sub>3</sub>( $\mu$ -H)(CO)<sub>2</sub>L<sup>1</sup> product is competent for oxidative addition of H<sub>2</sub> at slightly elevated temperatures to regenerate **2**. Such reactivity suggested that the hydrides in **2** are fluxional as the hydride-hydride distances are substantially longer than that in H<sub>2</sub>.<sup>34</sup> A detailed understanding of the order of Fe–H bond breaking and C–H bond formation and the factors governing the observed substrate specificity are important for developing approaches to controlling specificity and reactivity. Herein, we report the effect of changes to the secondary coordination sphere—specifically, the consequence of a methoxy for ethyl substitution—on the rate of CO<sub>2</sub> insertion, effect of solvent and exogenous Lewis acids on reaction of **2** with CO<sub>2</sub>, hydride exchange between and transfer from **2** to boranes, and density functional theory simulations of the reaction coordinate to effect the transfer of the first hydride to CO<sub>2</sub> to generate the monoformate complex. The mechanistic picture that emerges is one in which hydrides shift coordination modes upon interaction with the substrate, an Fe–OCO interaction precedes hydride transfer—although this interaction is intimately related to the steric constraints—and that sterics of the pocket surrounding the hydride and the hydricity enforce the observed substrate selectivity.

## EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

### General considerations.

All manipulations except ligand synthesis were performed inside an N<sub>2</sub>-filled Innovative Technologies glovebox unless otherwise stated. Tetrahydrofuran (THF), benzene, toluene, and *n*-hexane were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, then dried using an Innovative Technologies solvent purification system, transferred under an inert atmosphere to the anaerobic chamber, and stored over activated 3 Å molecular sieves for at least 24 h prior to use. Benzene-*d*<sub>6</sub>, toluene-*d*<sub>8</sub>, and THF-*d*<sub>8</sub> were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, dried over CaH<sub>2</sub> or Na/benzophenone under reflux, then distilled, degassed and stored over 3 Å molecular sieves.

<sup>1</sup>H Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (<sup>1</sup>H NMR) spectra were recorded on a 500 MHz Varian Inova spectrometer or a 300 MHz Mercury spectrometer equipped with a three-channel 5 mm indirect detection probe with z-axis gradients. Chemical shifts were reported in  $\delta$  (ppm)

and were referenced to solvent resonances of  $\delta\text{H} = 7.16$  ppm for benzene- $d_6$ , 7.01 ppm for toluene- $d_8$ , and 3.58 ppm for THF- $d_8$ . Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded as solids on a Thermo Fisher iS5 instrument equipped with an ATR diamond iD7 stage and operated by the OMNIC software package.  $\text{H}_3\text{L}^1$ ,  $\text{Fe}_3\text{Br}_3\text{L}^1$  (**1**),<sup>38</sup>  $\text{Fe}_3\text{H}_3\text{L}^1$  (**2**),<sup>29</sup> and 1,3,5-tri(bromomethyl)-2,4,6-trimethoxybenzene<sup>39</sup> were prepared following the previous literatures.

### 1,3,5-Tri(azidomethyl)-2,4,6-trimethoxybenzene.

The title compound was synthesized using the protocol described for 1,3,5-tri(azidomethyl)-2,4,6-triethylbenzene.<sup>40</sup> Briefly, 1,3,5-tri(bromomethyl)-2,4,6-trimethoxybenzene (6.51 g, 14.6 mmol) was dissolved in acetone (150 mL), treated with  $\text{NaN}_3$  (3.80 g, 58.4 mmol, 4.0 equiv.), and the white suspension heated to reflux.  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (40 mL) was then added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 12 h. The product was extracted with dichloromethane, and the organic phase dried under vacuum to yield an orange-red oil (4.81 g, 14.4 mmol, yield 99%).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 298 K):  $\delta$  4.45 (s, 6 H), 3.92 (s, 9 H).

### 1,3,5-Tri(aminomethyl)-2,4,6-trimethoxybenzene.

The title compound was synthesized by a procedure analogous to that for 1,3,5-tri(aminomethyl)-2,4,6-triethylbenzene.<sup>41</sup> 1,3,5-tri(azidomethyl)-2,4,6-trimethoxybenzene (4.81 g, 14.4 mmol) and Pd/C (10 wt. % Pd, 0.200 g, 0.188 mmol, 1.3 mol%) was suspended in ethanol (100 mL) and transferred to a Parr bomb. The system was charged with  $\text{H}_2$  (700 psi) under stirring in an ice-water bath and then allowed to warm to room temperature and then stirred for 2 days. The resulting mixture was filtered over the ethanol-rinsed Celite® pad in a fine glass frit. Volatiles of the filtrate were removed under vacuum, resulting in a pale-yellow powder (3.54 g, 13.9 mmol, yield 96%).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 298 K):  $\delta$  3.84 (s, 6 H), 3.82 (s, 9 H).

### $\text{H}_3\text{L}^2$ .

1,3,5-tri(aminomethyl)-2,4,6-trimethoxybenzene (3.54 g, 13.9 mmol) and 2,4-pentanedione-2,2-(ethylene glycol) monoketal (3.26 g, 22.2 mmol, 1.6 equiv.) were dissolved in methanol (100 mL). The mixture was brought to reflux under dinitrogen for 2 days, when a pale-yellow suspension was formed. The mixture was then cooled to room temperature and filtered through a fine fritted glass funnel. The resulting pale-yellow powder was washed with methanol ( $3 \times 30$  mL) and water ( $3 \times 30$  mL) and dried under vacuum. Yield: 3.18 g (65 %).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 298 K):  $\delta$  10.48 (br. s., 3 H), 4.56 (s, 3 H), 4.31 (s, 12 H), 3.64 (s, 18 H), 2.03 (s, 18 H).  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (126 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 298 K)  $\delta$ : 160.03, 158.87, 123.34, 110.14, 93.79, 63.82, 40.85, 20.23. (+)ESI-MS ( $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ )  $m/z$  calcd. for  $\text{C}_{39}\text{H}_{54}\text{N}_6\text{O}_6$ : 703.4183, found: 703.4197.

### $\text{Fe}_3\text{Br}_3\text{L}^2$ (**3**).

$\text{H}_3\text{L}^2$  (217 mg, 0.308 mmol) and lithium  $\text{LiN}^i\text{Pr}_2$  (LDA, 134 mg, 1.22 mmol, 4.0 equiv.) were combined with THF (4 mL) and stirred for 10 min to afford a purple solution. All volatiles were removed from the solution, and the resulting dark purple residue was

combined with FeBr<sub>2</sub> (315 mg, 1.46 mmol, 4.7 equiv.) and toluene (20 mL). The mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 20 h, then the dark red mixture was filtered over the toluene-rinsed Celite® pad, and the filtrate was cooled down to -34 °C for 2 days, where dark red crystals were obtained (149 mg, 0.127 mmol, yield 42%). Crystals suitable for X-Ray diffraction were grown by slow evaporation of a saturated toluene solution of the complex. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, benzene-d<sub>6</sub>, 298 K) δ: 250.06 (2 H), 208.67 (2 H), 128.91 (4 H), 41.20 (4 H), 17.99 (6 H), 3.62 (1 H), -5.26 (12 H), -32.55 (18 H), -82.29 (2 H). FT-IR ν (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2920, 1579, 1512, 1390, 1338, 1100, 1004, 736, 572. Mößbauer parameters (80 K, zero-applied field, mm/s): δ/ E<sub>Q</sub> = 0.90/2.47 and 0.93/1.72. Combustion Anal. exp.(calc.) for C<sub>44.25</sub>H<sub>57</sub>Br<sub>3</sub>Fe<sub>3</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>6</sub> (Fe<sub>3</sub>Br<sub>3</sub>L<sup>2</sup>·0.75C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>8</sub>): C, 45.27(45.19); H, 4.80(4.88); N, 7.02(7.15).

#### Fe<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>L<sup>2</sup> (4).

**3** (134 mg, 0.121 mmol) was combined with toluene (4 mL), resulting in a red suspension at room temperature. To this suspension, a solution of KBet<sub>3</sub>H (49.2 mg, 0.357 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) in toluene (2 mL) was added dropwise under vigorous stirring. The system turned red-brown upon addition, and it was kept under 25 °C for 10 min. The resulting dark red-orange mixture was filtered over a Nylon filter paper, resulting in a black residue that was washed with toluene (2 mL). The combined filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford a dark brown solid. This dark brown solid was recrystallized in cold diethyl ether at -35 °C (72.5 mg, 0.077 mmol, yield 64%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, benzene-d<sub>6</sub>, 298 K) δ (ppm): 73.70 (12 H), 2.28 (3 H), -16.81 (18 H), -29.15 (18 H). FT-IR ν (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2918, 1592, 1522, 1397, 1348, 1343, 1228, 1112, 1008, 741, 499. Mößbauer parameters (80 K, zero-applied field, mm/s): δ/ E<sub>Q</sub> = 0.78/2.27. Combustion Anal. exp.(calc.) for C<sub>45</sub>H<sub>69</sub>Fe<sub>3</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>7.5</sub> (Fe<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>L<sup>2</sup>·1.5Et<sub>2</sub>O): C, 55.18(55.06); H, 6.58(7.09); N, 8.62(8.56).

#### Fe<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>2</sub>H)<sub>3</sub>L<sup>2</sup> (6c).

A solution of **4** in a benzene-d<sub>6</sub> was reacted with CO<sub>2</sub> as described in NMR kinetic experiment below except the temperature was maintained at 50 °C. After 2 days, the resulting product was subjected to analysis. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, benzene-d<sub>6</sub>, 298 K) δ (ppm): 216.64, 100.38, -2.66, -4.92, -7.08, -33.01, -49.84. FT-IR ν (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2916, 1938, 1690 (C=O of formate), 1520, 1401, 1340, 1105, 1005, 798. (+)ESI-MS ([M+2H]<sup>+</sup>) m/z calcd. for C<sub>42</sub>H<sub>56</sub>Fe<sub>3</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>12</sub>: 1004.2005, found: 1004.2347.

#### X-ray crystallography.

Single crystal X-ray diffraction was performed on a Bruker SMART Apex II CCD instrument at 100 K using graphite-monochromated Mo K $\alpha$  radiation. The crystals were covered in Paratone oil and mounted on glass fibers. Lorentz and polarization effects were corrected using SAINT, and the absorption corrections were applied using SADABS. The structures were solved using direct methods or Patterson syntheses using SHELXS and SHELXL.

#### Mößbauer spectroscopy.

In an N<sub>2</sub>-filled glovebox, samples were ground into fine powders, placed in Delrin sample containers, and sealed. Mößbauer spectra were measured either on a low-field Mößbauer

spectrometer equipped with a closed-cycle SHI-850–5 cryostat from Janis and SHI or an Oxford Instruments Spectromag 4000 cryostat containing an 8 T split-pair superconducting magnet. Both spectrometers were operated in constant acceleration mode in transmission geometry. The isomer shifts are referenced against a room temperature metallic iron foil. Analysis of the data was performed using the program WMOSS (WEB Research).

### NMR kinetic experiments: Reaction of 4 with CO<sub>2</sub>.

A solution of **4** in a benzene-*d*<sub>6</sub> and a capillary charged with a hexamethylbenzene standard solution were transferred to a J-Young NMR tube, and the tube was degassed by 5 cycles of freeze-pump-thaw. Concurrently, a 50-mL Schlenk flask was evacuated and charged with CO<sub>2</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub> was transferred from this flask to the headspace of NMR tube using a three-way valve. The NMR tube valve was then closed, and the tube was quickly shaken several times. The equilibrated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration was determined by following equation:

$$[\text{CO}_2]_{eqm} = n_{\text{CO}_2, total} \gamma_{soln} / V_{soln}$$

$$\gamma_{soln} = 1 / (1 + \mathcal{H} (V_{head} + V_{flask}) / n_{solv} RT)$$

Where  $R$ ,  $\gamma_{soln}$ ,  $V_{soln}$ ,  $V_{head}$ ,  $V_{flask}$ ,  $n_{solv}$  are the ideal gas constant, the ratio of CO<sub>2</sub> remained in solution, the volume of the solution, headspace volume of the J-young NMR tube, the volume of the Schlenk flask, and moles of solvent, respectively. The Henry constants,  $\mathcal{H}$ , of benzene and toluene are  $10.370 \times 10^6$  and  $9.705 \times 10^6$  Pa, respectively, at 298.15 K.<sup>42</sup>

NMR spectra were measured up to 7 d, with each spectrum measured using the same power, gain, and shimming parameters. After phase correction and reference peak assignment, baseline correction was performed by a Whittaker smoother method (Filter: 50, 28.57 Hz; Smooth factor: 10<sup>6</sup>) provided by MestReNova 8.1. Common integration ranges were used for all spectra, and absolute integral values were recorded and used for kinetic analysis. For resonances with small integrals, the baseline correction routine above resulted in negative integration values in some cases; therefore, a parallel baseline correction was performed with different parameters (Filter: 50, 28.57 Hz; Smooth factor:  $3 \times 10^4$ ) to account for these features. Absolute integration values ( $y$ ) over time ( $t$ ) were plotted for each integration range and fitted using one of the following equations:

For  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$  model,

$$A(t) = C_A \exp(-k_1 t)$$

$$B(t) = C_B \frac{k_1}{k_2 - k_1} [\exp(-k_1 t) - \exp(-k_2 t)]$$

$$C(t) = C_C \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{k_2 - k_1} [k_2 \exp(-k_1 t) - k_1 \exp(-k_2 t)] \right\}$$

For  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D$  model where only  $C(t)$  and  $D(t)$  are different from the previous model,

$$C(t) = C_C \frac{k_1 k_2}{k_2 - k_1} \left\{ \frac{\exp(-k_1 t) - \exp(-k_3 t)}{k_3 - k_1} - \frac{\exp(-k_2 t) - \exp(-k_3 t)}{k_3 - k_2} \right\}$$

$$D(t) = C_D \left\{ 1 - \frac{k_2 (k_3 \exp(-k_1 t) - k_1 \exp(-k_3 t))}{(k_3 - k_1)(k_2 - k_1)} + \frac{k_1 (k_3 \exp(-k_2 t) - k_2 \exp(-k_3 t))}{(k_3 - k_2)(k_2 - k_1)} \right\}$$

Where  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$ , and  $D$  are integrals as a function of time for resonance corresponding to the starting, intermediate, and product kinetic models for 2- or 3-step irreversible reactions;  $C_A$ ,  $C_B$ ,  $C_C$ , and  $C_D$  are arbitrary constants; and  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ , and  $k_3$  are the rate constants for each reaction step.

### UV-visible absorption kinetic experiments: Reaction of 4 with CO<sub>2</sub>.

A Cary 50 spectrophotometer, equipped with Unisoku single-cell cryostat accessory to maintain the temperature within  $\pm 0.1$  °C, was used for all kinetics experiments. All measurements were performed in Schlenk-adapted cuvettes with a 1 cm optical path length. Baselines of all spectra were corrected by subtracting the spectrum of neat toluene at the same temperature. The typical procedure for sample preparation at variable temperature is described below.

A stock solution of **2** or **4** and a magnetic stir bar were transferred to a solid addition flask, which was connected to a Schlenk cuvette in the glovebox. After 5 freeze-pump-thaw cycles, the solution was transferred to the cuvette, and the cuvette was placed in the Unisoku cryostat. UV-visible spectra were recorded until no further change was observed. CO<sub>2</sub> gas was introduced to the evacuated headspace immediately prior to starting the kinetic measurement, and the solution was agitated for 1 min to saturate the solution with CO<sub>2</sub> under atmospheric pressure. The concentration of saturated CO<sub>2</sub> was calculated or referred from the previous literature.<sup>42,43</sup>

UV-visible spectra were recorded from 400–1000 nm with a 600 nm/min scan rate at a logarithmic time interval. The first point was discarded as the temperature and the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> had not been equilibrated. Kinetic traces for each reaction were generated by plotting absorbance ( $y$ ) versus reaction time ( $t$ ) at the peak wavelengths, which were analyzed using Origin v.8.5. Absorption at ten distinct wavelengths in the range of 405–495 nm with 10 nm interval were chosen, and the curves were fitted to the first-order kinetic equations for two- or three-step irreversible reactions with shared parameters of rate constants:

For the two-step  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$  model,<sup>44</sup>

$$Abs_{total}(t) = Abs_A(t) + Abs_B(t) + Abs_C(t) = \left( \epsilon_A \exp(-k_1 t) + \epsilon_B \frac{k_1}{k_2 - k_1} \left[ \exp(-k_1 t) - \exp(-k_2 t) \right] + \epsilon_C \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{k_2 - k_1} [k_2 \exp(-k_1 t) - k_1 \exp(-k_2 t)] \right] \right) \times [A]_0$$

Where  $[A]_0$ ,  $\epsilon_A$ ,  $\epsilon_B$ , and  $\epsilon_C$  are the initial concentration of the starting material and absorption constants of each  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  species at a specified wavelength, and  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are the pseudo-first-order rate constants.

This global analysis approach wherein the rate constants  $k_{1,obs}$ ,  $k_{2,obs}$ , and  $k_{3,obs}$  are shared over the wavelength range is critical because the rate constants tend to diverge to fit the absorption curves with convex and concave regions found in different reaction time course at different wavelengths.

### Electronic Structure Calculations.

All electronic structure calculations were performed using *ORCA* v 4.1. Geometry optimizations and transition state searches were performed using the BP86 level of theory using a broken-symmetry wavefunction, with the def2-tzvp basis set on all atoms, and Becke-Johnson damping function to account for dispersive forces (Grimme's D3). Geometry scans to identify starting structures for transition states and intermediates were performed by scanning the H–C bond for the insertion reaction and the Fe–O bond for the final rearrangement of the formate ligand to the monofomate product prior to transition state optimizations and intermediate geometry optimizations. Stationary points and transition states on the potential energy were verified by the absence of imaginary frequencies (stationary points) or the presence of one imaginary mode (transition state). Reported free energies were determined in a similar manner but used the PBE0 hybrid density functional as opposed to the BP86 density functional. Semiclassical room temperature ( $T = 298.15$  K) H/D kinetic isotope effects (KIEs) were estimated by employing an Eyring model:

$$k_H/k_D = \exp\left[\left(\Delta G^\ddagger_D - \Delta G^\ddagger_H\right)/RT\right]$$

To account for tunneling effects, a Wigner correction<sup>45</sup> to the semiclassical KIEs was applied by multiplying the Eyring KIEs with an H/D tunneling ratio correction ( $Q_H/Q_D$ ), where:

$$Q_i = 1 + u_i^2/24$$

and:

$$u_i = hv_i/k_B T$$

where  $h$  is the Planck constant,  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant and  $v_i$  is the transition state imaginary frequency.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Steric and secondary coordination sphere ligand effect for CO<sub>2</sub> insertion into bridging hydrides.

Previously, we reported the significant through-space coupling between bridging hydride and -CH<sub>2</sub>- of ethyl substituents in Zn isomer of Fe<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>L<sup>1</sup>(2).<sup>46</sup> To tune the steric and electrostatics of the pocket surrounding the hydride donor, ligand H<sub>3</sub>L<sup>2</sup> and the corresponding triiron complexes, Fe<sub>3</sub>Br<sub>3</sub>L<sup>2</sup> (3) and Fe<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>L<sup>2</sup> (4) were prepared in which the ethyl substituents on the two arene caps are replaced with methoxy groups (Scheme 2).<sup>29,38</sup>

Substituting the ethyl groups for smaller methyl groups or protons yield ligands exhibit poor solubility; thus, we opted for an ethyl for methoxy substitution to probe the role of sterics on reactivity. The crystallographic structure of **3** shows successful metalation of the three  $\beta$ -diketiminato arms (Figure S16).<sup>38</sup> The structures of the  $[\text{Fe}_3\text{Br}_3\text{N}_6]$  cores in **1** and **3** are isostructural with a minimal RMSD value of 0.34 between the two compounds (Figure 1a). The position of the terminal bromide contributes the most to this calculated RMSD as it resides much closer to the adjacent iron center in **3** than in **1** (4.329 vs. 5.224 Å, Figure 1a). Complexes **1** and **3** support our assertion that variations to the ligand architecture can be readily accomplished without sacrificing access to isostructural complexes.

The Mößbauer spectrum for a solid sample of **3** recorded under a zero-applied field is well-simulated with pair of quadrupole doublets centered at 0.90 and 0.93  $\text{mm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$  with  $E_Q$  values of 2.47 and 1.72  $\text{mm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ , respectively, which are comparable to those obtained for **1** (0.95–1.02  $\text{mm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ) (Figure 1b). The 2:1 ratio for the integrals of these Mößbauer absorptions suggests that Fe1 and Fe3 are equivalent with Fe2 as unique (Figure 1a). The difference between the isomer shifts for **1** and **3** are attributed to greater fluxional behavior of the bromide donors and flexibility afforded by the OMe-for-Et substitution in **3** as compared to **1**, which allows for interconversion between  $C_s$  and  $C_{2v}$  structures (the latter being a ladder-like configuration with the bromides as two  $\mu$ - and one  $\mu^3$ ). Zero-applied field spectra recorded on a solid sample of **4** were best fit with a single quadrupole doublet centered at 0.78  $\text{mm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$  with a quadrupole splitting of 2.27  $\text{mm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ , consistent with the anticipated  $D_{3h}$  molecular symmetry (Figure S15, Table S1).<sup>29</sup> These parameters are in excellent agreement with those obtained for **2** (*viz.*  $\delta = 0.79 \text{ mm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ,  $E_Q = 2.34 \text{ mm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ) and are consistent with tetrahedral-ligated high-spin iron(II) centers.<sup>29,47–49</sup> Gratifyingly, the similar parameters of **4** and **2** support that there is minimal, if any, interaction between the Fe centers and the methoxy O atoms in **4**. We conclude then that our ligand design is an effective secondary coordination sphere perturbation, allowing targeted evaluation of pocket electrostatic or steric effects on hydride reactivity.

An initial survey of the reactivity of **4** towards  $\text{CO}_2$  evidenced the faster reaction rate as compared to **2** with the same substrate under a dynamic atmosphere of  $\text{CO}_2$  (Scheme 3 and Figure S11).<sup>29</sup> To investigate the reaction kinetics and the intermediates formed during the reaction with  $\text{CO}_2$ , we exposed a degassed solution of **4** in benzene- $d_6$  to  $\text{CO}_2$  at 293–308 K, agitated the solution to minimize the saturation time, and monitored the reaction by *in situ*  $^1\text{H}$ -NMR spectroscopy using hexamethylbenzene as an internal standard. All well-resolved peaks between –200 to 250 ppm in the recorded spectra over the time course were integrated and plotted vs. time. Grouping resonances by their time constants, we infer the presence of three kinetically related species after addition of  $\text{CO}_2$  (Figure 2). The four resonances associated with the  $D_{3h}$  symmetric **4** decreased exponentially with the concomitant increase in intensity of eleven new signals of the first intermediate (**6a**). The concentration of **6a** maximized at ~30 min, then decreased over the subsequent 15 h with the concomitant appearance of 10 new resonances of the second intermediate (**6b**). The intensities of **6b** maximized at ~15 h and decreased over the subsequent 7 d to afford seven new signals corresponding to a third species. From the independent reaction under a dynamic  $\text{CO}_2$  atmosphere, the resonances for this final product are those for the  $C_{3v}$  symmetric triformate

complex **6c**. These data imply that the reaction follows a 4-component three sequential step reaction model (i.e., **A**→**B**→**C**→**D**), with the two intermediates **6a** and **6b** corresponding to the mono- and di-formato-triiron complexes, respectively.

To simplify our kinetic analysis, we flooded the reaction with CO<sub>2</sub> and fit the integrals of the resonances for each of the four observed species as a function of time using a pseudo-first order **A**→**B**→**C**→**D** model (Figure 2 and Table 1). From the spectra recorded at 25 °C, the integrals for resonances corresponding to **4** decrease exponentially and are well fit with the proposed model to afford  $k_{1,obs} = 2.1(2) \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , which is 20 times faster than that of **2** (viz.  $1.1(1) \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , Table S4). From the kinetic model employed, we can also extract experimental values for  $k_{1,obs}$  from kinetic profiles of **6a** and **6b**; the determined values of  $2.0(2) \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $1.2(2) \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$  for **6a** and **6b**, respectively, are comparable to that determined from the decay of **4**. The small  $k_{1,obs}$  value of **6b** is attributed to underestimation of the integrals for resonances of **6b** at early reaction times (<2h) as these peaks are broad and poorly resolved (Figure S17). An identical value of  $k_{2,obs}$  of  $1.2(1) \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$  was determined from the decay and formation of **6a** and **6b**. The formation of **6c** is too slow for reliable determination or modeling of the rate constants. Changing one hydride in **6a** to a formate in **6b** results in a ten-fold decrease in the rate of CO<sub>2</sub> insertion, implying that the electronic environment of the iron center plays an important role in the reaction.

We also employed variable temperature UV/visible spectrophotometry of reaction mixtures to measure the rate constants for these sequential reactions independently (and validate our NMR methodology) as well as to determine the activation parameters for reaction of **4** with CO<sub>2</sub>. The spectra were modeled using the sequential 4-component kinetic model as above and globally fitted the absorption vs. time data. Unlike the NMR kinetic analysis, deleterious reactions which introduce minor quantities of as-yet-identified side products were observed for all steps, but common rate constants for the **4**-to-**6c** pathway were consistent between methods. This difference likely arises from trace impurities in the gas stream coupled to the differences in the scale and static vs. dynamic atmospheres used for the NMR and UV/visible experiments. Nonetheless, the first reaction rate constant ( $k_{1,obs}$ ) determined from the NMR and UV/visible data are in excellent agreement; however, the second rate constant ( $k_{2,obs}$ ) appears overestimated as we cannot separate this rate constant from contributions of those for an unproductive decomposition side reaction. Consequently, our variable-temperature data allow determination of  $H^\ddagger$ ,  $S^\ddagger$  and  $G^\ddagger_{298 \text{ K}}$  values for the first CO<sub>2</sub> insertion event only; these values are as 12.1(5) kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>, -26(2) e.u., and 19.7(7) kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Using the previously reported thermodynamic activation parameters for CO<sub>2</sub> insertion into **2**,<sup>50</sup> we surmise that the difference in the free energies of activation for CO<sub>2</sub> insertion for **2** and **4** ( $G^\ddagger_{298 \text{ K}} = 1.7 \text{ kcal/mol}$ ) arises almost exclusively from the larger enthalpy of activation with  $H^\ddagger = 2.6 \text{ kcal/mol}$  for **2** vs. **4**. These data then suggest comparable order within both transition states, as one might expect given that **1** and **3** are isostructural, and that the steric or electrostatic changes resulting from the OMe for Et substitution reflect a decrease in the energetic cost to assemble the transition state.

The methoxy for ethyl substitution can influence the sterics and the electrostatics of the pocket surrounding the bridging hydride. To dissect which effect likely dominates here, we

employed SambVca to estimate the buried volume around the bridging hydride in **2** and **4**.<sup>51</sup> In the absence of a crystal structure for **4** but armed with spectroscopic data equivalent to **2**, we modeled **4** as replacing the CH<sub>2</sub> units in the ethyl substituents of **2** to O atoms. The resultant buried volumes calculated for these structures were 82.8% for **2** and 80.1% for **4** (Figure 3).<sup>52,53</sup> We note that the twenty-fold increase in  $k_{1,obs}$  correlates with a ~3% reduction of the buried volume. A series of nickel pincer complexes are reported to have a 500- to 647-fold rate enhancement for inner-sphere CO<sub>2</sub> insertion—including the interaction between a metal center and CO<sub>2</sub> in the transition state—upon reducing the buried volume around the terminal hydride by 6–7%,<sup>43</sup> suggesting that the modest acceleration observed for **4** compared to **2** is consistent with primarily a steric effect. Decreasing the sterics of the pocket also serves to increase access to the metal centers, and we cannot discriminate between the possible contributions of steric accessibility to the hydride vs. metal ion; that is, rate enhancements as a function of sterics may be similar for both inner and outer sphere pathways.

### Solvent and Lewis acid effect for CO<sub>2</sub> insertion.

Choice of the reaction solvent or addition of cations can enhance the rate of the CO<sub>2</sub> insertion into terminal hydride complexes when a charge is developed for the reaction intermediate.<sup>8,43,54,55</sup> Dielectric constants ( $\epsilon$ ) of the solvents have been employed to correlate with the rate enhancement by the stabilization of the polar intermediate;<sup>56</sup> however, ANs<sup>43,54,57,58</sup> and solvatochromic constants ( $E_T(30)$ )<sup>55</sup> have also been considered for transition metal mediated transformations. We examined diethyl ether, benzene, 1,4-dioxane, tetrahydrofuran, and acetonitrile as solvents for the reaction of **2** with CO<sub>2</sub> (Figure S31 and Table S5). We observe the changes to the rate constant  $k_{1,obs}$  as a function of reaction solvent, but there is no discernable correlation between  $k_{1,obs}$  and any of the parameters  $\epsilon$ , AN, or  $E_T(30)$ . Likewise, addition of alkali cations has negligible effect on the rate (Table S6). We also compared the effect of anions and ionic strength, and again, observe rate constants that are within error of reactions lacking such additives. We conclude that the reaction must be proceeding with either minimal charge accumulation on the O-atom of the CO<sub>2</sub> substrate molecule—inconsistent with an outer-sphere pathway—or that there is limited steric access of solvents and exogenous cations to the reaction center.

### Estimating thermodynamic hydricity of **2**.

To isolate the hydride insertion event from the thermodynamic compensation afforded by formate coordination, we estimated the hydricity of the Fe–H–Fe units by reaction of **2** with a series of boranes. We envisioned this hydride abstraction reaction to proceed by an outer sphere hydride transfer pathway. We selected the following boranes as these compounds cover a broad range of hydricities: BEt<sub>3</sub> (24), BPh<sub>3</sub> (36), BD<sub>3</sub> (50), and tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane or BCF (65). The values in parentheses are the calculated hydricities of conjugate borohydrides in kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup> determined by DFT-level simulations.<sup>59</sup> Whereas complex **2** is unreactive towards BEt<sub>3</sub> (as expected given the synthetic route to **2**) and BPh<sub>3</sub>, complete consumption of **2** is observed by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectroscopy upon reaction with BCF (Figure S12). We then examined reaction with BD<sub>3</sub> insofar as the hydricity of borane is intermediate between BPh<sub>3</sub> and BCF. Here, we elected to use BD<sub>3</sub> rather than BH<sub>3</sub> to evaluate the reversibility of hydride abstraction.<sup>35,60</sup> Reaction of **2** with BD<sub>3</sub> in toluene

resulted in broad distribution of isotopologues of **2** based on the number of satellite peaks, which appear for each resonance corresponding to **2** (Figure 4). For example, the peaks at 80 ppm and -20 ppm are each well resolved into 4 peaks with the ratio of the integrals being 1:3:3:1. This ratio suggests a statistical mixture of available isotopically labelled complexes (*viz.*  $[\text{Fe}_3\text{H}_3]^{3+}$ ,  $[\text{Fe}_3\text{H}_2\text{D}]^{3+}$ ,  $[\text{Fe}_3\text{D}_2\text{H}]^{3+}$ , and  $[\text{Fe}_3\text{D}_3]^{3+}$ ) results from the reversible hydride-deuteride exchange. In addition, new resonances are also observed in the region of 150 to -50 ppm, which could arise from complex products with reduced symmetry, as one might anticipate for a cationic triiron dihydride compound or a borohydride adduct. Given the equilibrium mixture observed and the near equivalent distribution of the various isotopologues, we estimate the hydricity of **2** as comparable to that of borane, and consistent with precedent.<sup>61</sup> Given that  $\Delta G_{\text{H}^-}(\text{HCOO}^-) = 44 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  and the estimated hydricity for **2**, reaction of **2** with  $\text{CO}_2$  requires formate coordination to compensate for an otherwise endergonic  $\text{CO}_2$  insertion in the Fe-H bonds in **2**. Previously, the bond dissociation free energy for an Fe-OC(O)H bond in a mononuclear iron complex was estimated at  $<-8 \text{ kcal/mol}$ , and a similar bond strength would be sufficient to favor the observed  $\text{CO}_2$  to formate conversion here.<sup>62</sup>

### Kinetic isotope effect for $\text{CO}_2$ insertion.

We measured the kinetic isotope effect (KIE) for the first  $\text{CO}_2$  insertion step to investigate the contribution of the hydride transfer in transition state. Deuteride complexes, **2-D<sub>3</sub>** and **4-D<sub>3</sub>**, were prepared by the reaction of  $\text{LiBEt}_3\text{D}$  with the bromide complexes, **1** and **3**. Previously, we communicated the KIE of 1.08(9) for reaction **2** with  $\text{CO}_2$  in toluene (Scheme 4).<sup>50</sup> We re-determined the KIE for the reaction in benzene to nullify any potential effect from solvent and to isolate the effect of the OMe for Et-substitution on KIE. A value of 1.0(1) was obtained for **2/2-D<sub>3</sub>** within the limits of error on our previous measurement. One anticipates that an outer-sphere mechanism is likely to have a substantial kinetic isotope effect arising from formation and cleavage of C-H and M-H bonds, respectively, in the transition state. Indeed, inverse KIE values were reported for  $\text{CO}_2$  insertion into terminal hydride complexes of rhenium or nickel, and the lack of a KIE for **2** and a small normal KIE for **4** imply that an outer sphere mechanism is unlikely (Scheme 4).<sup>43,56</sup>

The KIE near to unity is intriguing since it suggests two plausible scenarios: 1) hydride transfer from iron to carbon minimally contributes to the transition state<sup>63</sup> or 2) the late transition state has similar zero-point energy gap as the starting material, and reflected in the bond dissociation free energies of the C-H being formed and the Fe-H bond(s) being broken. The comparable hydricities of **2** and  $\text{CO}_2$  are consistent with the second scenario, although one might anticipate a larger primary KIE. Consistent with appreciable hydride transfer in the transition state, we observe an increase of the KIE to 1.50(4) upon reducing the steric crowding of the pocket as in **4/4-D<sub>3</sub>**. These KIE results and the observed first order dependence on  $[\text{CO}_2]$  can be rationalized based on the relative rates for an initial association of the  $\text{CO}_2$  with the iron center as compared to the hydride transfer step, although any changes in hydride coordination during the reaction will complicate this analysis. Specifically, reduced steric pressure around the Fe center enhances the rate of  $\text{CO}_2$  binding relative to hydride transfer, resulting in a greater observed contribution of the Fe-H bond cleavage and C-H bond forming to the overall activation barrier. Having exhausted the

kinetic methodologies at our disposal, we sought to complement and elucidate the mechanistic details using density functional methods.

### Computationally Derived Reaction Pathway.

To improve our understanding of CO<sub>2</sub> insertion by these tri-hydride complexes, we computationally explored the hydride transfer reaction between **2** and CO<sub>2</sub> to yield **5a** to construct viable mechanisms for formate formation. Geometry optimizations of **2** and **5a** using a broken symmetry wave function (PBE0/def2-tzvp/D3) yielded structures that were consistent with the crystallographic data obtained for the two complexes. Both compounds were found to be weakly antiferromagnetically coupled with  $J = -116.23$  (**2**) and  $-27.15$  cm<sup>-1</sup> (**5a**). We therefore utilized a broken symmetry approximation for transition state searches and optimization of intermediate structures.

Attempts to construct reaction pathways for hydride insertion into CO<sub>2</sub> by **2** yielded two viable mechanisms involving the direct insertion of a hydride into the CO<sub>2</sub> molecule (Figure 5). In both viable mechanisms, we find that CO<sub>2</sub> forms a weak van der Waals complex with **2**, **2**•CO<sub>2</sub>, with the CO<sub>2</sub> molecule associating within a pocket formed by two of the ethyl-arms of the aromatic rings. There is no bonding interaction between the two iron atoms and CO<sub>2</sub> from analysis of the Laplacian of the electron density of **2**•CO<sub>2</sub>. Alternate mechanisms, such as a CO<sub>2</sub> adduct formed with the central C atom of a BDI arm, were not apparent from our calculations. CO<sub>2</sub> insertion with minimal rearrangement of the Fe<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub> core affords a first transition state that is substantially higher in energy than that for a mechanism involving a coordination mode change for one hydride donor (23.8 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> vs 8.6 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> relative to **2**•CO<sub>2</sub>). There ~7 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> difference between the experimentally derived activation free energy and the activation free-energy of the rate-determining step in the low-energy pathway is a reasonable deviation from experiment considering the approximations used in the computational model.<sup>64</sup> H/D KIEs for the first step of the reaction were estimated by replacing the hydride H with D in the calculated structures, and then employing an Eyring model to which the tunneling correction of Wigner was applied.<sup>45</sup> The higher energy pathway yielded a calculated H/D KIE = 5.0 while the lower energy pathway yielded a calculated H/D KIE = 1.8. Given both its activation energy and calculated KIEs we chose to focus on the lower-energy pathway for further analysis, however, we note that neither pathway can be ruled out based on the available data and the approximations/assumptions made in both the computational model and KIE calculations.

As the CO<sub>2</sub> molecule approaches a bridging hydride in the lower energy pathway, one of the oxygen atoms of CO<sub>2</sub> associates with an iron atom with a shift in coordination mode of a hydride from a μ to a μ<sub>3</sub> coordination mode. After traversing **TS1**, the reaction branches with two possible intermediates formed, differing in energy by 11.0 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. The higher energy intermediate ( $G = 7.1$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> relative **2**•CO<sub>2</sub>) comprises a monodentate terminal formate whereas the lower energy intermediate ( $G = -3.9$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) results from a rotation of the formate with the formate bound in a μ-1κO,2κO' or μ-1,3 mode. These two intermediates evolve to virtually indistinguishable transition states ( $G^\ddagger = 8.5$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>), resulting in the formation of the product **5a** ( $G = -4.5$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> relative **2**•CO<sub>2</sub>).

Although the higher energy reaction pathway was not explored in detail, both mechanisms involve association of an oxygen atom from CO<sub>2</sub> with one of the iron atoms in the Fe<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub> core. Furthermore, the calculated Fe–O interaction at **TS1** is consistent with the observed insensitivity of the reaction to exogenous Lewis acids insofar as an intramolecular one is present. We surmise that the sterics of the pocket which influence the Fe–O interactions in **TS1** and **TS2** lead to the differences between the KIE for reaction of **2** vs. **4** with CO<sub>2</sub>. The reduced steric bulk of the hydride pocket in **4** as compared to **2** results in a greater contribution of Fe–H cleavage and C–H bond formation to **TS1** as compared to association of CO<sub>2</sub> with the cluster and the reduces the barrier to reorientation of the formate to a bridging coordination mode as it progresses through **TS2**.

### Implications for reactivity of polynuclear iron hydrides.

From our computational studies, the Fe<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub> cluster readily distorts to a  $\mu^3$  mode with minimal energy input, implying that these weak field ligated metal hydrides are quite fluxional. We posit that the hydrides coordination modes change in response to the local electronic environment of any one metal center within the cluster. Focusing on the structure of **TS1** and comparison to our previous report of reaction of **2** highlights this idea. Two hydrides shift coordination modes upon interaction with the Lewis acidic C atom of the CO<sub>2</sub> substrate. In **TS1**, the lengthening of one Fe–H bond and formation of an Fe–O interaction occur together with the shift of a second hydride to the central  $\mu_3$  position. One can envision that the interaction with CO<sub>2</sub> serves to effectively trap the  $\mu_3$ -hydride, allowing for the downstream reaction. Such an interpretation would be consistent with the reaction of **2** with CO wherein H<sub>2</sub> is reductively eliminated, and the final product contains a  $\mu_3$  hydride (Scheme 5). One possibility is capture of a transient short-lived di( $\mu$ -hydrido)( $\mu_3$ -hydrido)triiron(II) species by either CO<sub>2</sub> or CO. Coordination to an Fe center is envisioned in the case of CO whereas the interaction of the Lewis acidic C of CO<sub>2</sub> with one  $\mu$ -hydride leads to Fe–H bond elongation and ultimate cleavage. Alternatively, the coordination modes change upon interaction with substrate; that is, electronic changes at the Fe centers upon substrate binding effect the shift. In either model, the substrate must present a Lewis basic site to stabilize the  $\mu_3$ -hydride isomer. Indeed, the observed hydricity and the near concomitant need for a donor is consistent with the lack of reactivity of **2** with other substrates (*e.g.*, Me<sub>3</sub>SiCCH, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>3</sub>CN), despite evidence that ligand sterics in other complexes of this ligand (*viz.* intermediate formed from Cu<sub>3</sub>(N<sub>2</sub>)L<sup>1</sup> with O<sub>2</sub>) do not preclude reaction with substantially larger substrates (*e.g.*, dihydroanthracene).<sup>29</sup> Although we cannot rigorously exclude a rapid pre-equilibrium between two conformers of the Fe<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub> core (*viz.* all  $\mu$ -H and a less symmetric structure), such a scenario would be challenging to reconcile with the results reported here and that in prior reactivity studies of **2**. Indeed, absence of scrambling of hydride ligands between **2** and its tri(deuteride) isotopologue suggests that similar fluxional coordination is not accessible in this system as compared to the related tri( $\mu$ -sulfide) compound.<sup>65</sup> In the case of CO<sub>2</sub>, hydride transfer is the ultimate outcome to generate formate whereas the  $\pi$ -acidity of the CO donor favors a reductive elimination pathway. Thus, the coordinative plasticity of the hydrides in this polyiron polyhydride leads to common structure types from which the reaction outcome can bifurcate. Such plasticity is likely a common theme to weak field ligated polyiron systems,<sup>28,33,35,36</sup> and underpins the reactivity of the various reduced states of FeMoco.<sup>4</sup> Similar

rearrangement of the hydrides at the  $E_4$  state could access a hydride-decorated dinitrogen bound form of FeMoco to liberate  $H_2$ .<sup>7</sup> In addition, the observed plasticity in this polyiron system is reminiscent of the facile migration of H adatoms on metal surfaces, such as iron surfaces competent for dinitrogen fixation,<sup>66</sup> implying that such molecular systems are useful models for catalysis at metal surfaces.

## CONCLUSION

In summary, we demonstrated that the marked effect of metal ion access towards hydride transfer in an iron hydride cluster, and, notably, the role of minor changes in the sterics from ethyl in **2** to methoxy in **4** within the secondary coordination sphere of the metal centers on the specificity towards  $CO_2$  over other substrates. Indeed, reaction of **4** with  $[Fe_3H_3]^{3-}$  cluster, which shares the  $D_{3h}$ -symmetric structure with  $Fe_3H_3L^1$  (**2**) as confirmed by  $^1H$  NMR and Mössbauer spectra but presented 20-fold enhanced rate for the reaction with  $CO_2$ .

In the reaction for the hydride transfer from **2** to  $CO_2$ , the critical role of  $CO_2$  binding to iron center is supported by marginal KIE and insensitivity of added salts. The donor-free hydricity of **2**, estimated as  $50 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  by the hydride-deuteride exchange with  $BD_3$ , is larger than that of formate ( $44 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ) and supports the key role of Fe–O bond formation on the overall reaction progress. This experimental result is confirmed by computational methods, in which the structure of **TS1** arises from formation of a  $\mu^3$  hydride with coordination of O to Fe. These results point to similar effects in other hydride-bridged polynuclear metal species and offer a strategy for the designed control of reactivity in such species.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

D.H.H, R.B.F, and L.J.M. acknowledge the National Institutes of Health (R01-GM123241). Mass spectrometry data were collected by the University of Florida Mass Spectrometry Research and Education Center on instrumentation purchased with award from the National Institutes of Health (S10 OD021758-01A1). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. J.S. acknowledges National Science Foundation (CHE-1854854). R.G.S. acknowledges Labex ARCANE (ANR-11-LABX-0003-01).

## REFERENCES

- (1). Schilter D; Camara JM; Huynh MT; Hammes-Schiffer S; Rauchfuss TB Hydrogenase Enzymes and Their Synthetic Models: The Role of Metal Hydrides. *Chem. Rev* 2016, 116 (15), 8693–8749. 10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00180. [PubMed: 27353631]
- (2). Reijerse EJ; Pham CC; Pelmeshnikov V; Gilbert-Wilson R; Adamska-Venkatesh A; Siebel JF; Gee LB; Yoda Y; Tamasaku K; Lubitz W; Rauchfuss TB; Cramer SP Direct Observation of an Iron-Bound Terminal Hydride in [FeFe]-Hydrogenase by Nuclear Resonance Vibrational Spectroscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2017, 139 (12), 4306–4309. 10.1021/jacs.7b00686. [PubMed: 28291336]
- (3). Appel AM; Bercaw JE; Bocarsly AB; Dobbek H; DuBois DL; Dupuis M; Ferry JG; Fujita E; Hille R; Kenis PJA; Kerfeld CA; Morris RH; Peden CHF; Portis AR; Ragsdale SW; Rauchfuss TB; Reek JNH; Seefeldt LC; Thauer RK; Waldrop GL *Frontiers, Opportunities, and Challenges in*

- Biochemical and Chemical Catalysis of CO<sub>2</sub> Fixation. *Chem. Rev* 2013, 113 (8), 6621–6658. 10.1021/cr300463y. [PubMed: 23767781]
- (4). Khadka N; Dean DR; Smith D; Hoffman BM; Rauegi S; Seefeldt LC CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction Catalyzed by Nitrogenase: Pathways to Formate, Carbon Monoxide, and Methane. *Inorg. Chem* 2016, 55 (17), 8321–8330. 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.6b00388. [PubMed: 27500789]
- (5). Nishibayashi Y Recent Progress in Transition-Metal-Catalyzed Reduction of Molecular Dinitrogen under Ambient Reaction Conditions. *Inorg. Chem* 2015, 54 (19), 9234–9247. 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b00881. [PubMed: 26131967]
- (6). Hoffman BM; Lukoyanov D; Yang Z-Y; Dean DR; Seefeldt LC Mechanism of Nitrogen Fixation by Nitrogenase: The Next Stage. *Chem. Rev* 2014, 114 (8), 4041–4062. 10.1021/cr400641x. [PubMed: 24467365]
- (7). Rohde M; Sippel D; Trncik C; Andrade SLA; Einsle O The Critical E4 State of Nitrogenase Catalysis. *Biochemistry* 2018, 57 (38), 5497–5504. 10.1021/acs.biochem.8b00509. [PubMed: 29965738]
- (8). Bernskoetter WH; Hazari N Reversible Hydrogenation of Carbon Dioxide to Formic Acid and Methanol: Lewis Acid Enhancement of Base Metal Catalysts. *Acc. Chem. Res* 2017, 50 (4), 1049–1058. 10.1021/acs.accounts.7b00039. [PubMed: 28306247]
- (9). Sordakis K; Tang C; Vogt LK; Junge H; Dyson PJ; Beller M; Laurency G Homogeneous Catalysis for Sustainable Hydrogen Storage in Formic Acid and Alcohols. *Chem. Rev* 2018, 118 (2), 372–433. 10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00182. [PubMed: 28985048]
- (10). Boddien A; Mellmann D; Gärtner F; Jackstell R; Junge H; Dyson PJ; Laurency G; Ludwig R; Beller M Efficient Dehydrogenation of Formic Acid Using an Iron Catalyst. *Science* 2011, 333 (6050), 1733–1736. 10.1126/science.1206613. [PubMed: 21940890]
- (11). Nielsen M; Alberico E; Baumann W; Drexler H-J; Junge H; Gladiali S; Beller M Low-Temperature Aqueous-Phase Methanol Dehydrogenation to Hydrogen and Carbon Dioxide. *Nature* 2013, 495 (7439), 85–89. 10.1038/nature11891. [PubMed: 23446345]
- (12). Jia H-P; Quadrelli EA Mechanistic Aspects of Dinitrogen Cleavage and Hydrogenation to Produce Ammonia in Catalysis and Organometallic Chemistry: Relevance of Metal Hydride Bonds and Dihydrogen. *Chem. Soc. Rev* 2013, 43 (2), 547–564. 10.1039/C3CS60206K.
- (13). Klankermayer J; Wesselbaum S; Beydoun K; Leitner W Selective Catalytic Synthesis Using the Combination of Carbon Dioxide and Hydrogen: Catalytic Chess at the Interface of Energy and Chemistry. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2016, 55 (26), 7296–7343. 10.1002/anie.201507458.
- (14). Qiao J; Liu Y; Hong F; Zhang J A Review of Catalysts for the Electroreduction of Carbon Dioxide to Produce Low-Carbon Fuels. *Chem. Soc. Rev* 2014, 43 (2), 631–675. 10.1039/C3CS60323G. [PubMed: 24186433]
- (15). Ceballos BM; Yang JY Directing the Reactivity of Metal Hydrides for Selective CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction. *PNAS* 2018, 115 (50), 12686–12691. 10.1073/pnas.1811396115. [PubMed: 30463952]
- (16). Deutsch C; Krause N; Lipshutz BH CuH-Catalyzed Reactions. *Chem. Rev* 2008, 108 (8), 2916–2927. 10.1021/cr0684321. [PubMed: 18616323]
- (17). Ye Y; Kim S-T; Jeong J; Baik M-H; Buchwald SL CuH-Catalyzed Enantioselective Alkylation of Indole Derivatives with Ligand-Controlled Regiodivergence. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2019, 141 (9), 3901–3909. 10.1021/jacs.8b11838. [PubMed: 30696242]
- (18). Lukoyanov DA; Khadka N; Yang Z-Y; Dean DR; Seefeldt LC; Hoffman BM Hydride Conformers of the Nitrogenase FeMo-Cofactor Two-Electron Reduced State E<sub>2</sub>(2H), Assigned Using Cryogenic Intra Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Cavity Photolysis. *Inorg. Chem* 2018, 57 (12), 6847–6852. 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.8b00271. [PubMed: 29575898]
- (19). Brecht M; van Gestel M; Buhrke T; Friedrich B; Lubitz W Direct Detection of a Hydrogen Ligand in the [NiFe] Center of the Regulatory H<sub>2</sub>-Sensing Hydrogenase from *Ralstonia eutropha* in Its Reduced State by HYSCORE and ENDOR Spectroscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2003, 125 (43), 13075–13083. 10.1021/ja036624x. [PubMed: 14570480]
- (20). Mebs S; Senger M; Duan J; Wittkamp F; Apfel U-P; Happe T; Winkler M; Stripp ST; Haumann M Bridging Hydride at Reduced H-Cluster Species in [FeFe]-Hydrogenases Revealed by Infrared Spectroscopy, Isotope Editing, and Quantum Chemistry. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2017, 139 (35), 12157–12160. 10.1021/jacs.7b07548. [PubMed: 28825810]


- (21). Honkala K; Hellman A; Remediakis IN; Logadottir A; Carlsson A; Dahl S; Christensen CH; Nørskov JK Ammonia Synthesis from First-Principles Calculations. *Science* 2005, 307 (5709), 555–558. 10.1126/science.1106435. [PubMed: 15681379]
- (22). Ertl G Reactions at Surfaces: From Atoms to Complexity (Nobel Lecture). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2008, 47 (19), 3524–3535. 10.1002/anie.200800480.
- (23). Mager-Maury C; Bonnard G; Chizallet C; Sautet P; Raybaud P H<sub>2</sub>-Induced Reconstruction of Supported Pt Clusters: Metal–Support Interaction versus Surface Hydride. *ChemCatChem* 2011, 3 (1), 200–207. 10.1002/cctc.201000324.
- (24). Churchill M. Rowen.; Bezman SA; Osborn JA; Wormald John. Synthesis and Molecular Geometry of Hexameric Triphenylphosphinocopper(I) Hydride and the Crystal Structure of H<sub>6</sub>Cu<sub>6</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub>. HCONMe<sub>2</sub> [Hexameric Triphenylphosphino Copper(I) Hydride Dimethylformamide]. *Inorg. Chem* 1972, 11 (8), 1818–1825. 10.1021/ic50114a015.
- (25). Dhayal RS; van Zyl WE; Liu CW Polyhydrido Copper Clusters: Synthetic Advances, Structural Diversity, and Nanocluster-to-Nanoparticle Conversion. *Acc. Chem. Res* 2016, 49 (1), 86–95. 10.1021/acs.accounts.5b00375. [PubMed: 26696469]
- (26). Andres H; Bominaar EL; Smith JM; Eckert NA; Holland PL; Münck E Planar Three-Coordinate High-Spin Fe<sup>II</sup> Complexes with Large Orbital Angular Momentum: Mössbauer, Electron Paramagnetic Resonance, and Electronic Structure Studies. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2002, 124 (12), 3012–3025. 10.1021/ja0123271. [PubMed: 11902893]
- (27). DeRoshia DE; Mercado BQ; Lukat-Rodgers G; Rodgers KR; Holland PL Enhancement of C–H Oxidizing Ability in Co–O<sub>2</sub> Complexes through an Isolated Heterobimetallic Oxo Intermediate. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2017, 56 (12), 3211–3215. 10.1002/anie.201612010.
- (28). Yu Y; Sadique AR; Smith JM; Dugan TR; Cowley RE; Brennessel WW; Flaschenriem CJ; Bill E; Cundari TR; Holland PL The Reactivity Patterns of Low-Coordinate Iron–Hydride Complexes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2008, 130 (20), 6624–6638. 10.1021/ja710669w. [PubMed: 18444648]
- (29). Lee Y; Anderton KJ; Sloane FT; Ermert DM; Abboud KA; García-Serres R; Murray LJ Reactivity of Hydride Bridges in High-Spin [3M–3(μ-H)] Clusters (M = Fe<sup>II</sup>, Co<sup>II</sup>). *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2015, 137 (33), 10610–10617. 10.1021/jacs.5b05204. [PubMed: 26270596]
- (30). Gehring H; Metzinger R; Braun B; Herwig C; Harder S; Ray K; Limberg C An Iron(II) Hydride Complex of a Ligand with Two Adjacent β-Diketiminato Binding Sites and Its Reactivity. *Dalton Trans.* 2016, 45 (7), 2989–2996. 10.1039/C5DT04266F. [PubMed: 26757878]
- (31). Hein NM; Pick FS; Fryzuk MD Synthesis and Reactivity of a Low-Coordinate Iron(II) Hydride Complex: Applications in Catalytic Hydrodefluorination. *Inorg. Chem* 2017, 56 (23), 14513–14523. 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.7b02199. [PubMed: 29144749]
- (32). Thompson CV; Arman HD; Tonzetich ZJ A Pyrrole-Based Pincer Ligand Permits Access to Three Oxidation States of Iron in Organometallic Complexes. *Organometallics* 2017, 36 (9), 1795–1802. 10.1021/acs.organomet.7b00144.
- (33). Ott JC; Blasius CK; Wadepohl H; Gade Lutz. H. Synthesis, Characterization, and Reactivity of a High-Spin Iron(II) Hydrido Complex Supported by a PNP Pincer Ligand and Its Application as a Homogenous Catalyst for the Hydrogenation of Alkenes. *Inorg. Chem* 2018, 57 (6), 3183–3191. 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.7b03227. [PubMed: 29474088]
- (34). Anderton KJ; Knight BJ; Rheingold AL; Abboud KA; García-Serres R; Murray LJ Reactivity of Hydride Bridges in a High-Spin [Fe<sub>3</sub>(μ-H)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> Cluster: Reversible H<sub>2</sub>/CO Exchange and Fe–H/B–F Bond Metathesis. *Chem. Sci* 2017, 8 (5), 4123–4129. 10.1039/C6SC05583D. [PubMed: 28603601]
- (35). Dugan TR; Bill E; MacLeod KC; Brennessel WW; Holland PL Synthesis, Spectroscopy, and Hydrogen/Deuterium Exchange in High-Spin Iron(II) Hydride Complexes. *Inorg. Chem* 2014, 53 (5), 2370–2380. 10.1021/ic4013137. [PubMed: 24555749]
- (36). Yu Y; Brennessel WW; Holland PL Borane B–C Bond Cleavage by a Low-Coordinate Iron Hydride Complex and N–N Bond Cleavage by the Hydridoborate Product. *Organometallics* 2007, 26 (13), 3217–3226. 10.1021/om7003805. [PubMed: 18725998]
- (37). Hong DH; Knight BJ; Catalano VJ; Murray LJ Isolation of Chloride- and Hydride-Bridged Tri-Iron and -Zinc Clusters in a Tris(β-Oxo-δ-Diimine) Cyclophane Ligand. *Dalton Trans.* 2019, 48, 9570–9575. 10.1039/C9DT00799G. [PubMed: 31012886]

- (38). Guillet GL; Sloane FT; Ermert DM; Calkins MW; Peprah MK; Knowles ES; ižmár E; Abboud KA; Meisel MW; Murray LJ Preorganized Assembly of Three Iron(II) or Manganese(II)  $\beta$ -Diketiminato Complexes Using a Cyclophane Ligand. *Chem. Commun* 2013, 49 (59), 6635–6637. 10.1039/c3cc43395a.
- (39). Li H; Homan EA; Lampkins AJ; Ghiviriga I; Castellano RK Synthesis and Self-Assembly of Functionalized Donor– $\sigma$ –Acceptor Molecules. *Org. Lett* 2005, 7 (3), 443–446. 10.1021/ol047597y. [PubMed: 15673260]
- (40). Ruppel S; Schulte to Brinke C; Hahn FE Synthesis and Coordination Chemistry of a Tris(Benzene-*o*-Dithiolato)- Functionalized Ligand as a Siderophore Analog. *Z. Naturforsch. B* 2013, 68 (5–6), 551–556. 10.5560/znb.2013-3069.
- (41). Wallace KJ; Hanes R; Anslyn E; Morey J; Kilway KV; Siegel J Preparation of 1,3,5-Tris(Aminomethyl)-2,4,6-Triethylbenzene from Two Versatile 1,3,5-Tri(Halosubstituted) 2,4,6-Triethylbenzene Derivatives. *Synthesis* 2005, 2005 (12), 2080–2083. 10.1055/s-2005-869963.
- (42). Fogg PGT Carbon Dioxide in Non–Aqueous Solvents At Pressures Less Than 200 KPA; Elsevier, 1992. 10.1016/C2009-0-00247-5.
- (43). Heimann JE; Bernskoetter WH; Hazari N; Mayer JM Acceleration of CO<sub>2</sub> Insertion into Metal Hydrides: Ligand, Lewis Acid, and Solvent Effects on Reaction Kinetics. *Chem. Sci* 2018, 9 (32), 6629–6638. 10.1039/C8SC02535E. [PubMed: 30310595]
- (44). Espenson J *Chemical Kinetics and Reaction Mechanisms*, 2 edition.; McGraw-Hill Education: New York etc., 2002.
- (45). Lars M; William H Jr., S. In *Reaction Rates of Isotopic Molecules*; Robert E Krieger Publishing Company: Malabar, Florida, 1987.
- (46). Ermert DM; Ghiviriga I; Catalano VJ; Shearer J; Murray LJ An Air- and Water-Tolerant Zinc Hydride Cluster That Reacts Selectively With CO<sub>2</sub>. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2015, 54 (24), 7047–7050. 10.1002/anie.201501539.
- (47). Beinert H; Holm RH; Münck E Iron-Sulfur Clusters: Nature’s Modular, Multipurpose Structures. *Science* 1997, 277 (5326), 653–659. 10.1126/science.277.5326.653. [PubMed: 9235882]
- (48). Dugan TR; Holland PL New Routes to Low-Coordinate Iron Hydride Complexes: The Binuclear Oxidative Addition of H<sub>2</sub>. *Journal of Organometallic Chemistry* 2009, 694 (17), 2825–2830. 10.1016/j.jorganchem.2009.04.005.
- (49). Albers A; Demeshko S; Pröpper K; Dechert S; Bill E; Meyer F A Super-Reduced Diferrous [2Fe–2S] Cluster. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2013, 135 (5), 1704–1707. 10.1021/ja311563y. [PubMed: 23320988]
- (50). Hong DH; Murray LJ Carbon Dioxide Insertion into Bridging Iron Hydrides: Kinetic and Mechanistic Studies. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem* 2019, 2019 (15), 2146–2153. 10.1002/ejic.201801404. [PubMed: 31787843]
- (51). Poater A; Cosenza B; Correa A; Giudice S; Ragone F; Scarano V; Cavallo L SambVca: A Web Application for the Calculation of the Buried Volume of N-Heterocyclic Carbene Ligands. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem* 2009, 2009 (13), 1759–1766. 10.1002/ejic.200801160.
- (52). Poater A; Ragone F; Giudice S; Costabile C; Dorta R; Nolan SP; Cavallo L Thermodynamics of N-Heterocyclic Carbene Dimerization: The Balance of Sterics and Electronics. *Organometallics* 2008, 27 (12), 2679–2681. 10.1021/om8001119.
- (53). Poater A; Ragone F; Mariz R; Dorta R; Cavallo L Comparing the Enantioselective Power of Steric and Electrostatic Effects in Transition-Metal-Catalyzed Asymmetric Synthesis. *Chem.–Eur. J* 2010, 16 (48), 14348–14353. 10.1002/chem.201001938. [PubMed: 21082623]
- (54). Creutz C; Chou MH Rapid Transfer of Hydride Ion from a Ruthenium Complex to C1 Species in Water. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2007, 129 (33), 10108–10109. 10.1021/ja074158w. [PubMed: 17661471]
- (55). Heimann JE; Bernskoetter WH; Hazari N Understanding the Individual and Combined Effects of Solvent and Lewis Acid on CO<sub>2</sub> Insertion into a Metal Hydride. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2019. 10.1021/jacs.9b05192.
- (56). Sullivan B. Patrick.; Meyer TJ Kinetics and Mechanism of Carbon Dioxide Insertion into a Metal-Hydride Bond. A Large Solvent Effect and an Inverse Kinetic Isotope Effect. *Organometallics* 1986, 5 (7), 1500–1502. 10.1021/om00138a035.

- (57). Konno H; Kobayashi A; Sakamoto K; Fagalde F; Katz NE; Saitoh H; Ishitani O Synthesis and Properties of  $[\text{Ru}(\text{Tpy})(4,4'\text{-X2bpy})\text{H}]^+$  ( $\text{Tpy}=2,2':6',2''\text{-Terpyridine}$ ,  $\text{Bpy}=2,2'\text{-Bipyridine}$ ,  $\text{X}=\text{H}$  and  $\text{MeO}$ ), and Their Reactions with  $\text{CO}_2$ . *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2000, 299 (2), 155–163. 10.1016/S0020-1693(99)00488-0.
- (58). Kang P; Cheng C; Chen Z; Schauer CK; Meyer TJ; Brookhart M Selective Electrocatalytic Reduction of  $\text{CO}_2$  to Formate by Water-Stable Iridium Dihydride Pincer Complexes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2012, 134 (12), 5500–5503. 10.1021/ja300543s. [PubMed: 22390391]
- (59). Heiden ZM; Lathem AP Establishing the Hydride Donor Abilities of Main Group Hydrides. *Organometallics* 2015, 34 (10), 1818–1827. 10.1021/om5011512.
- (60). Heintz RA; Theopold KH; Neiss TG Unusually Large Isotope Effects on NMR Chemical Shifts of Paramagnetic Organometallic Compounds. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 1994, 33 (22), 2326–2328. 10.1002/anie.199423261.
- (61). Mock MT; Potter RG; Camaioni DM; Li J; Dougherty WG; Kassel WS; Twamley B; DuBois DL Thermodynamic Studies and Hydride Transfer Reactions from a Rhodium Complex to  $\text{BX}_3$  Compounds. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2009, 131 (40), 14454–14465. 10.1021/ja905287q. [PubMed: 19754124]
- (62). Fong H; Peters JC Hydricity of an Fe–H Species and Catalytic  $\text{CO}_2$  Hydrogenation. *Inorg. Chem* 2015, 54 (11), 5124–5135. 10.1021/ic502508p. [PubMed: 25549663]
- (63). Gómez-Gallego M; Sierra MA Kinetic Isotope Effects in the Study of Organometallic Reaction Mechanisms. *Chem. Rev* 2011, 111 (8), 4857–4963. 10.1021/cr100436k. [PubMed: 21545118]
- (64). Liu Z; Patel C; Harvey JN; Sunoj RB Mechanism and Reactivity in the Morita–Baylis–Hillman Reaction: The Challenge of Accurate Computations. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys* 2017, 19 (45), 30647–30657. 10.1039/C7CP06508F. [PubMed: 29116284]
- (65). Buratto WR; Ferreira RB; Catalano VJ; García-Serres R; Murray LJ Cleavage of cluster iron–sulfide bonds in cyclophane-coordinated  $\text{Fe}_n\text{S}_m$  complexes. *Dalton Trans.* 2021, 50, 816–821. 10.1039/D0DT03805A. [PubMed: 33393563]
- (66). Qian J; An Q; Fortunelli A; Nielsen RJ; Goddard WA Reaction Mechanism and Kinetics for Ammonia Synthesis on the Fe(111) Surface. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2018, 140, (20), 6288–6297. 10.1021/jacs.7b13409. [PubMed: 29701965]


**SYNOPSIS**

Metal hydrides are key reactive species for a variety of chemical processes. Notably, bridging hydrides in enzyme cofactors or as surfaced adsorbed H-atoms are competent for proton, CO<sub>2</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub> reduction. Here, we examine the specific CO<sub>2</sub> insertion into a weak-field supported tri( $\mu$ -hydrido)triiron(II) clusters and evidence the balancing role between sterics for CO<sub>2</sub> approach and hydride accessibility on this reaction.




**Figure 1.**

a) Structure comparison of the  $[\text{Fe}_3\text{Br}_3\text{N}_6]$  core of **1** (red) and **3** (blue). Two-headed arrow highlights the narrowed distance between iron and terminal bromide of **3**. b) Mössbauer spectrum of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{Br}_3\text{L}^1$  (**1**, top) and  $\text{Fe}_3\text{Br}_3\text{L}^2$  (**3**, bottom). The black noisy lines represent the experimental data. The colored lines are simulated quadrupole doublets, as described in the text (see Table S1 for simulation parameters), whereas the black, solid line is a composite spectrum obtained by combining individual doublets.




**Figure 2.**

Changes of  $^1\text{H}$  NMR integration values grouped by species **4** (a), **6a** (b), **6b** (c), and **6c** (d) for reaction of **4** with  $\text{CO}_2$  (95 mM) in benzene- $\text{d}_6$  at 25  $^\circ\text{C}$ . Resonances are specified in the legend for which the integrations were fit using an  $\text{A} \rightarrow \text{B} \rightarrow \text{C} \rightarrow \text{D}$  as described in the experimental. Fitted rate constants from **4**, **6a**, and **6b** were used to simulate the data for **6c**. Each set of points represents the integration for the corresponding chemical shift as described in the legend. Peaks with minor contributions are omitted for clarity.



**Figure 3.**


The affected area for the topographic steric maps around the bridging hydride of **2** (left, green circle), and the maps of **2** (middle, %V<sub>Bur</sub>=82.8%) and **4** (right, %V<sub>Bur</sub>= 80.1%). C, N, H, and Fe are represented as grey, blue, white, and orange spheres with atomic radii, respectively.




**Figure 4.**

(a)  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of **2** in  $\text{toluene-d}_8$ . Peaks are marked as follows:  $-\text{CH}_2-$  of aminomethyl A,  $-\text{CH}-$  of beta-ketiminate B,  $\text{CH}_3-$  of  $\beta$ -ketiminate C,  $\text{CH}_3-$  of ethyl D,  $-\text{CH}_2-$  of ethyl E, and solvent and solvent impurities S. (b)  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum from mixing equimolar amounts of **2** and deuterated borane THF adduct ( $\text{BD}_3\cdot\text{THF}$ ) in  $\text{toluene-d}_8$  for 20 h. Peaks from the minor species are denoted as \*. All four isotopologues ( $\text{H}_3$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{D}$ ,  $\text{HD}_2$  and  $\text{D}_3$ ) are visible as two groups of four nearby peaks at A (c) in a statistical 1:3:3:1 ratio, which are located spatial vicinity to the bridging hydrides/deuterides. Relative intensities were determined through the deconvolution of the peak with Lorentzian functions (green:  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum, red: fitted Lorentzian functions for each component, black: sum of all


component functions). Only the parts of the spectra from  $\delta$  160 to  $-60$  ppm are shown for clarity.




**Figure 5.** Hydride insertion mechanism for the conversion of  $2 \cdot \text{CO}_2$  to **5a** showing the rearrangement of the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{H}_3$  core. Free energies are given in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. Inset figures display ball-and-stick configuration of metal clusters. C, N, O, H, and Fe are represented as grey, blue, red, white, and orange spheres. Bonds formed during the reaction are represented as sky-blue sticks.

Holland *et al.*Metathesis  
with  $\text{BEt}_3$ 


Yes

Fryzuk *et al.*


Yes

Limberg *et al.*

N.A.

Murray *et al.*Metathesis  
with  $\text{BEt}_3$ 


No

Murray *et al.*


No

**Scheme 1.**


Reported multi-iron complexes with bridging hydrides coordinated by  $\beta$ -diketiminato-type ligands.

**Scheme 2.**


Ligand and complex synthesis. <sup>a</sup> Benzyl potassium for  $\text{H}_3\text{L}^1$ , LDA for  $\text{H}_3\text{L}^2$ , r.t. in THF, 10 min <sup>b</sup> 80 °C for **1**, 50 °C for **2** in  $\text{C}_7\text{H}_8$ , 20h <sup>c</sup> r.t. in  $\text{C}_7\text{H}_8$ , 10 min

**Scheme 3.**


Reaction product of trihydride complexes (**2** or **4**) with  $\text{CO}_2$ .



$0.58 \pm 0.03$  (THF)  
 $0.55 \pm 0.11$  (Acetone)  
 $0.52 \pm 0.05$  (MeCN)  
 Sullivan *et al.*




$0.61 \pm 0.15$  (THF)  
 $0.79 \pm 0.18$  (MeCN)  
 Hazari *et al.*



**2** ( $R_1 = \text{Et}$ )  
 $1.08 \pm 0.09$  (toluene)  
 $1.00 \pm 0.14$  (benzene)  
**4** ( $R_1 = \text{OMe}$ )  
 $1.50 \pm 0.04$  (benzene)  
 Murray *et al.*

**Scheme 4.**

Hydride complexes with reported KIE values for CO<sub>2</sub> insertion.



**Scheme 5.**  
Proposed associative pathway for H<sub>2</sub>/CO exchange.

**Table 1.**Rate constants determined for conversion of **4** to **6a** by CO<sub>2</sub> in benzene.

Method	[CO <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>[a]</sup> (mM)	T (K)	Fitting target	$k_{1,obs}$ ( $\times 10^{-3} s^{-1}$ )	$k_1$ ( $\times 10^{-2} M^{-1}s^{-1}$ )
NMR	105	298	<b>4</b>	2.1(2)	2.0(2)
	105	298	<b>6a</b>	2.0(2)	1.9(2)
	105	298	<b>6b</b>	1.2(2)	1.1(2)
UV-vis	110	293	405–495 nm	1.6(1)	1.4(1)
	105	298		2.16(7)	2.05(7)
	100	303		2.82(7)	2.82(7)
	96	308		4.0(1)	4.2(1)

<sup>[a]</sup>Calculated. See the experimental section for detail.