Deep-Sea Trenches of the Pacific Ocean: a Comparative Analysis of the Submarine Geomorphology Using Data Modeling by GMT, Python and R

Polina Lemenkova

► To cite this version:

Polina Lemenkova. Deep-Sea Trenches of the Pacific Ocean: a Comparative Analysis of the Submarine Geomorphology Using Data Modeling by GMT, Python and R. 2021, pp.431. 10.5281/zenodo.4892142 . hal-03245754

HAL Id: hal-03245754

<https://hal.science/hal-03245754>

Submitted on 2 Jun 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution| 4.0 International License

**Deep-Sea Trenches of the Pacific Ocean:
a Comparative Analysis of the Submarine Geomorphology
Using Data Modeling by GMT, Python and R**

by

Polina Lemenkova

June 2, 2021

Disclaimer

I do certify that the content of this thesis is my own, original work, written by me personally.
All maps and cartographic visualization have been plotted by me personally in GMT and QGIS.
All graphical illustrations have been plotted by me personally in Python, Octave, L^AT_EX and R.
Literature sources are cited where referenced.

June 2, 2021

Contents

Front Page	i
Disclaimer	i
Table of Content	ii
Abstract	ix
Keywords	xi
Lists	xii
List of Acronyms	xii
List of Equations	xv
List of Figures	xvi
List of Tools	xviii
List of Maps	xx
List of Tables	xxiii
Document Outline	1
1 Introduction	2
1.1 Background	4
1.1.1 Submarine geomorphology	4
1.1.2 Seafloor spreading	6
1.1.3 Historical milestones	6
1.1.4 Importance	7
1.2 Research problem	8
1.3 Research gap identification	9
1.4 Research objective	10
1.4.1 General objective	10
1.4.2 Specific objectives	10
1.5 Research questions	10
1.6 Hypothesis	11
1.7 Technical approach	12
2 Trenches of the Pacific Ocean	13
2.1 Kuril-Kamchatka Trench	13

2.2	Aleutian Trench	18
2.3	Middle America Trench	21
2.4	Peru-Chile Trench	26
2.5	Kermadec Trench	30
2.6	Tonga Trench	31
2.7	Vanuatu (New Hebrides) Trench	32
2.8	Vityaz Trench	33
2.9	New Britain (Bougainville) Trench	35
2.10	San Cristobal Trench	37
2.11	Philippine Trench	39
2.12	Manila Trench	45
2.13	Ryukyu Trench	48
2.14	Palau Trench	53
2.15	Yap Trench	55
2.16	Mariana Trench	57
2.17	Izu-Bonin Trench	64
2.18	Japan Trench	67
2.19	Puysegur Trench	72
2.20	Hikurangi Trench	75
3	Methodology	78
3.1	Data	78
3.2	Approaches	81
3.3	Generic Mapping Tools (GMT)	83
3.3.1	Bathymetric mapping	86
3.3.2	Seismic mapping	88
3.3.3	Velocity mapping	92
3.3.4	Histogram equalization	94
3.3.5	Geological mapping	97
3.3.6	Geoid modelling	98
3.3.7	3D mesh grid modelling	99
3.3.8	Gravity modelling	101
3.3.9	Automatic digitizing of the cross-section transecting profiles	103
3.3.10	Modelling 2D statistical histograms	105
3.3.11	Modelling 3D statistical histograms	106
3.3.12	Modelling statistical trends of the profiles gradient	106
3.3.13	Surface modelling	108
3.4	AWK	110
3.4.1	Advantages of AWK	110
3.4.2	Data pre-processing	111
3.4.3	Table reshaping	111
3.5	Octave/MATLAB	114
3.5.1	Advantages of Octave	114
3.5.2	Data processing	115
3.5.3	Data analysis	115
3.6	Quantum GIS	117
3.7	Statistical Algorithms	119
3.8	R language for marine geology	120

3.8.1	Analysis of bathymetric data distribution	120
3.8.1.1	Box plots	120
3.8.1.2	Histograms	121
3.8.1.3	Violin plots	121
3.8.1.4	Notched boxplots	122
3.8.2	KDE	122
3.8.3	Regression analysis	123
3.8.4	Comparative geospatial analysis by tectonic plates	126
3.8.5	Ridgeline, categorywise and circular plots	127
3.8.6	Dumbbell charts for data pairwise comparison by tectonic plates	128
3.8.7	Ranking dot plots by data grouping	129
3.8.8	Ternary diagrams, radar and pairwise double-Y axis charts	129
3.8.9	Ranking data for variation of the geomorphic steepness	130
3.8.10	Compositional charts of the determinants variations	131
3.8.11	Mosaic, silhouette and association plots	131
3.8.12	Clustering (<i>k</i> -means method), correlation ellipses and data partition	133
3.8.13	Hexagonal plots and data partition	134
3.8.14	Correlation analysis of impact factors	135
3.8.14.1	Pearson correlation	135
3.8.14.2	Spearman rank correlation coefficient ρ	136
3.8.14.3	Kendall τ coefficient	137
3.8.15	Calculation of the normalized steepness angle of the Mariana Trench	137
3.8.16	Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	138
3.8.17	Hierarchical cluster analysis	138
3.8.18	Scatterplot Matrices	140
3.8.19	Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)	142
3.8.20	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) to assess hypothesis testing	145
3.8.21	Euler-Venn Diagram	147
3.8.22	Word cloud	147
3.9	Python language for marine geology	148
3.9.1	Advantages of Python	148
3.9.2	Installing Python libraries	150
3.9.3	Data preprocessing	150
3.9.4	Pandas: a Python library for creating DataFrames	151
3.9.5	Python libraries: Matplotlib, NumPy, SciPy, Seaborn, StatsModels, Bokeh	152
3.9.6	Data Analysis	154
3.9.6.1	Letter-value plots	154
3.9.6.2	Violin plots	155
3.9.6.3	Hierarchically-clustered heatmaps	156
3.9.6.4	Histograms plotted by Python	156
3.9.6.5	Strip plots by Python	157
3.9.6.6	Euler-Venn diagrams by Python	157
3.9.6.7	Regression Analysis	158
3.9.6.8	Cluster heatmap and hexagonal data distribution and Kernel Density estimation	160
3.9.6.9	Correlograms by Python	160
3.9.6.10	Data distribution	162

3.9.6.11	Probability of the depths distribution by Kernel Density Estimation (KDE) plots	162
3.9.6.12	Data sorting and grouping	163
3.9.6.13	Hierarchical dendrogram and clustermap	164
3.9.6.14	Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	165
3.9.6.15	3D scatterplot matrices for data correlation	166
3.9.6.16	ANOVA	167
3.9.6.17	Flowchart network	168
3.9.6.18	Visualizing bathymetric pattern by stacked area charts	168
3.9.6.19	Stacked bar plots	169
3.9.6.20	Radar charts for analysis of depth distribution	170
3.9.6.21	Stacked bar charts for analysis of sediment thickness	170
3.9.6.22	Pie charts for circular visualization of the bathymetry vs tectonic plates	171
3.9.6.23	Design matrix and model fit summary by Ordinary Least Squares	171
3.9.6.24	Quantile statistics (QQ)	172
3.9.6.25	Weighted Least Squares	174
3.9.6.26	Isotonic regression	175
3.9.6.27	Dynamic regression model: SARIMA	176
3.10	L ^A T _E X for processing bathymetric data	177
4	Results	178
4.1	Classification of the submarine geomorphology	179
4.2	Kuril-Kamchatka Trench	186
4.3	Aleutian Trench	191
4.4	Middle America Trench	194
4.5	Peru-Chile Trench	196
4.6	Kermadec Trench	198
4.7	Tonga Trench	199
4.8	Vanuatu (New Hebrides) Trench	200
4.9	Vityaz Trench	201
4.10	New Britain (Bougainville) Trench	203
4.11	San Cristobal Trench	204
4.12	Philippine Trench	207
4.13	Manila Trench	213
4.14	Ryukyu Trench	216
4.15	Palau Trench	219
4.16	Yap Trench	221
4.17	Mariana Trench	224
4.18	Izu-Bonin Trench	231
4.19	Japan Trench	234
4.20	Puysegur Trench	237
4.21	Hikurangi Trench	239
5	Discussion	242
5.1	Novelty	242
5.2	Actuality	243
5.3	Reflections on submarine geomorphology	246
5.4	Perspectives of the IT tools for geology	247

6 Conclusion	248
6.1 Global Summary	250
6.2 Recommendations	252
6.3 Outlook and future developments	253
Author's Publications	254
A Programming codes	ii
A.1 Octave/Matlab language	ii
A.1.1 Octave/Matlab script for cartographic visualization of the bathymetric profiles	ii
A.2 T _E Xmacro language	iii
A.2.1 L ^A T _E Xcode to plot bathymetric profiles	iii
A.3 AWK language	iv
A.3.1 AWK shell script for table reshaping	iv
A.4 GMT shell/bash scripts	v
A.4.1 GMT script for bathymetric mapping, ETOPO1 (here: KKT)	v
A.4.2 GMT script for 3D mesh grid modelling, DEM based (here: AT)	vi
A.4.3 GMT script for bathymetry contour mapping (here: KKT)	vii
A.4.4 GMT script for geoid modelling (here: KKT)	viii
A.4.5 GMT script for geological World map	ix
A.4.6 GMT script for regional geological map (here: MAT)	x
A.4.7 GMT script for free-air gravity modelling (here: KKT)	xiii
A.4.8 GMT script for generating and plotting stacked cross-sectioning bathymetric profiles (here: MAT). Profiles info: 400 km long, spaced 20 km, sampled every 2km	xiv
A.4.9 GMT script for topographic surface modelling from the xyz ASCII data (here: MT)	xvi
A.4.10 GMT script for gridding xyz data by Nearest Neighbor algorithm (here: KKT)	xvii
A.4.11 GMT script for regression trend1d mixed models (here: AT)	xviii
A.4.12 GMT script for 2D bar histogram and rose diagram (here: MAT)	xx
A.4.13 GMT script for 3D bar histogram plot (here: KKT)	xxi
A.5 Python language scripts	xxii
A.5.1 Python code for statistical data analysis by violin plots	xxii
A.5.2 Python code for clustermap: bathymetric data distribution by profiles	xxii
A.5.3 Python code for histograms: distribution of the observation samples	xxiii
A.5.4 Letter-value plots for the Mariana Trench bathymetry	xxiv
A.5.5 Python code for the strip plots (here: Philippine Trench)	xxv
A.5.6 Python code for the correlogram matrices by Seaborn library	xxvi
A.5.7 Python code for the regression analysis	xxvii
A.5.8 Python code for Letter-value plots for the Mariana Trench bathymetry	xxvii
A.5.9 Python code for joint Hexagonal and KDE plots	xxviii
A.5.10 Python code for the correlogram plot (here: Pearson's method)	xxviii
A.5.11 Python code for a box-and-whisker plot for the Mariana Trench bathymetry	xxix
A.5.12 Python code for sorting data in stem plots: analysis of the angle steepness	xxx
A.5.13 Python code for plotting dendrograms	xxxi
A.5.14 Python code for 3D scatter plots: correlation of the factors (sediment thickness, slope steepness, bathymetry)	xxxi
A.5.15 Python code for ANOVA	xxxiii
A.5.16 Python code for KDE, example for the subplot (F)	xxxiii
A.5.17 Python code for stacked area charts on the bathymetry	xxxv

A.5.18	Python code for the faceted plot of the radar charts	xxxvi
A.5.19	Python code for the distribution of the bathymetric data by stacked bar plots	xxxvii
A.5.20	Python code for analysis of the distribution of sediment thickness, stacked bar charts	xxxviii
A.5.21	Python code for pie charts visualizing bathymetry vs tectonic plates	xxxix
A.5.22	Python code for QQ plots visualizing descriptive statistics for the bathymetry	xxxix
A.5.23	Python code for WLS calculations	xl
A.5.24	Python code for quantile (QQ) regression	xlii
A.5.25	Python code for SARIMA model	xliii
A.5.26	Python code for Euler-Venn diagrams	xliv
A.6	R language scripts	xlvi
A.6.1	R code to create histograms using (ggplot) library	xlvi
A.6.2	R code to generate boxplots 'whiskerplots' using default boxplot function	xlvi
A.6.3	R code for notched boxplot using (ggboxplot)	xlviii
A.6.4	R code to generate Quantile-Quantile (QQ) quantile statistics for faceted wrapped plot	xlix
A.6.5	R code for regression analysis for the bathymetric profiles	l
A.6.6	R code for cluster analysis, dendrograms	li
A.6.7	R code for correlogram by (ggplot) library	lii
A.6.8	R code for Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	liii
A.6.9	R code for k-means clustering	liv
A.6.10	R code for Pairwise Standard Scatter Plots of k-means Cluster Correlation (comparing 4 tectonic plates)	lv
A.6.11	R code for 'lollipop' charts and diverging bars by (ggplot) library	lvii
A.6.12	R code for Dumbbell chart by (ggalt) and (ggplot2) libraries	lix
A.6.13	R code for categorywise bar charts	lx
A.6.14	R code for dot plot with encircling by (ggalt) library	lxi
A.6.15	R code for double-Y axis using (LatticeExtra) library to perform comparative analysis of categories	lxiii
A.6.16	R code for circular barplot by library tidyverse	lxiv
A.6.17	R code for multiple strip plots divided groups, by LatticeExtra library	lxvi
A.6.18	R code for multiple panels by groups (tectonic plates, depths, slope angles)	lxvii
A.6.19	R code for density curves: data distribution	lxvii
A.6.20	R code to generate brackets for the specific regions along the Mariana Trench using library (pBrackets)	lxviii
A.6.21	R code for radar chart using (fmsb) library	lxx
A.6.22	R code for highlighted group in lollipop chart by libraries (ggsignif) and (tidyverse)	lxx
A.6.23	R code for Euler-Venn Diagram (logical correlation of objects) using (venn) library	lxxii
A.6.24	R code for animation using (gganimate), (gapminder) and (ggplot2) libraries: regression analysis plots for 25 bathymetric profiles	lxxiii
A.6.25	R code for 'violin' plots using (violinmplot) library: statistical analysis of bathymetric data distribution	lxxiv
A.6.26	R code for Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) using (FactoMiner), (factoextra) and (psych) libraries	lxxv
A.6.27	R code for hexagonal plot by library(hexbin): bathymetric data distribution, Mariana Trench	lxxvi
A.6.28	R code for ANOVA for Mariana Trench	lxxvii
A.6.29	R code for Ternary Diagram by (ggtern) library	lxxviii

A.6.30 R code for silhouette plot by (cluster) library	lxxx
A.6.31 R code for mosaic and association plots	lxxx
A.6.32 R code plot correlation ellipses using library(ellipse)	.lxxx
A.6.33 R code for level plot using (ggplot2) library: sediment thickness	.lxxx
A.6.34 R code for hierarchical edge funding by (ggraph), (igraph), (tidyverse), (RColor- Brewer) libraries: Mariana Trench project	lxxxii
A.6.35 R code for ridgeline plots by (ggridges) and (ggplot2) libraries	lxxxiii
A.6.36 R code for word could by libraries (wordcloud), (tm), (RXKCD): Mariana Trench project	lxxxiv
A.6.37 R code for 'waffle' plot by library (ggplot2): bathymetric data distribution . . .	lxxxiv
A.6.38 R code for 'waffle' plot by library (waffle): bathymetric data distribution . . .	lxxxv
A.6.39 R code for treemap by library (treemap)	lxxxvi
A.6.40 R code for network by (igraph) library: Mariana Trench project	lxxxvi
A.6.41 R code for scatterplot matrices by (GGally) library to assess data distribution, Mariana Trench	.lxxxvii
A.6.42 R code for diagonal scatterplot matrices by (car) library	lxxxix
G Indices	xc
G.1 Index of Places	xc
G.2 Index of Concepts	xciv
G.3 Index of Authors	xcix
Bibliography	cxii

Abstract

Geomorphological landforms of the oceanic trenches, their formation and variation of the geometric shapes is a question of special importance to the scientific community in marine geology. The actuality of this question has significantly increased since the beginning of the rapid development of the IT tools and methods of the advanced data analysis, yet its understanding remains patchy. Since the majority of the oceanic trenches are located along the margins of the Pacific Ocean, it plays a central role for their analysis and understanding their formation oceanic trenches.

Specific geological conditions, presence of the tectonic subduction zones, vast territory of the Pacific Ocean with complex circulation system, extension of the 'Ring of Fire', a seismically active belt of the earthquakes and volcanic, make the trenches of the Pacific Ocean highly sensitive to the factors affecting their formation which cause variations in their geomorphic shape forms. In this context, the most representative indicators of the variations in the deep-sea trenches are geological and tectonic factors, such as dynamics of lithosphere crust affecting speed and intensity of plates subduction, magnitude and frequency of the submarine volcanoes causing active sedimentation.

Nowadays, studying marine geological phenomena and complex processes by programming and scripting has been a powerful method. Rapid development of the advanced methods of data analysis presented such effective tools as GMT, Octave/MATLAB, R and Python. It is particularly efficient when applied to the massive amounts of marine geological data. Big data processing by advanced scripting is a crucial approach, as algorithms of libraries give access to the accurate and rapid data analysis [373]. Specific information about distant and hard-to-reach deep-sea trenches can be gained for precise visualization and analysis of their submarine geomorphology from local to regional and global scales.

However, despite all the efforts, there is a lack of uniformity in studying deep-sea trenches, a shortage of systematic mapping of the Pacific trenches and a lack of understanding of the geomorphological variation between the trench profiles in different parts of the ocean: southern and northern, eastern and western, and their response to the geological and tectonic local settings in the places of formation.

Therefore, this dissertation develops a systematic approach to monitoring and comparative analysis of the geomorphological shape forms of the deep-sea trenches formed under specific geological and tectonic conditions along the margins of the Pacific Ocean. The study area encompasses Pacific Ocean, and more specifically, includes 20 selected target trenches: Aleutian, Mariana, Philippine, Kuril-Kamchatka, Middle America, Peru-Chile, Palau, Japan, Kermadec, Tonga, Izu-Bonin, New Britain, San Cristobal, Manila, Yap, New Hebrides, Puysegur, Hikurangi, Vityaz and Ryukyu. These are the major trenches of the Pacific Ocean and, therefore, the most representative for the geomorphological modelling.

This dissertation identifies tectonic plates formation, slab subduction, historical geological development, earthquakes and submarine volcanoes as the primary types of impact factors affecting trenches formation. Secondary factors include ocean currents, sedimentation and biota contributing to the sedimentation. Seafloor geomorphology in hadal trenches is strongly affected by a variety of factors that necessarily affect the shape of their landforms. Using data modelling, the shapes of the profiles transecting the trenches in an orthogonal direction were compared and analyzed in order to highlight the differences and variations in their geomorphology.

The objective of this PhD study is to perform a geomorphological classification of the shape forms of the trenches through ordering them into groups based on the common characteristics of the trenches' landforms in plan and attaching labels to these groups. Following geomorphological profile shape types have been identified and trenches are classified into seven types: U-formed (in plan), V-formed (in plan), asymmetric, crescent-formed, sinuous-formed, elongated, cascade-formed. For each type (U, V, asymmetric and so on) characteristic steepness sub-types are identified: strong, very strong, extreme, steep, very steep. Valley slopes are classified as follows: very high, high, moderate, low, based on the curvature degree. Size and valley slope classes are analyzed in the context of physical environment and tectonic and geological development of the area of trench formation.

Technical aim of this PhD study was to experiment with and extend current methods of geospatial modelling for geomorphological classification of the submarine landforms of the trenches. Using methods of the advanced data analysis is crucial for the precise and reliable data processing, since understanding seafloor landforms can only be based on the computer-based data modelling due to their unreachable location. The selection of the methodology, tools and algorithms is explained by research objectives and goals. The specifics of the marine geology consists in the high requirements towards data processing. Datasets were processed, computed and analyzed in semi-automatic regime by Machine Learning (ML) approaches, using advanced algorithms of data analysis and effective visualization through application of the advanced programming tools and Generic Mapping Tools (GMT) scripting toolsets.

This dissertation presents an automated workflow enabling large-scale profile cross-sectioning aimed at transect geomorphological mapping, quantitative comparative analysis and classification of the 20 trenches of the Pacific Ocean. The methodology of the GMT includes algorithms of sequential scripting for the cartographic visualization and mapping, automatic digitizing of the cross-section transect profiles, and geomorphic modelling of the trenches. In total 50 modules of GMT scripting toolset were trained on extensive datasets collected from 20 trenches across the whole region of the Pacific Ocean. Using high-resolution bathymetric datasets (General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO), ETOPO1 and Shuttle Radar Topographic Mission (SRTM)), sample transects of the trenches were modeled, analyzed and compared. Variations in shape forms, steepness and curvature were analyzed by computed models for each trench. The tables were converted from QGIS plugins to Python libraries and R packages, and from GMT to Octave via AWK languages.

The results revealed variation in the shape and steepness of the submarine geomorphology in 20 trenches of the Pacific Ocean. A strong correlation between the geomorphic profile shapes with geological factors and level of tectonic activities (earthquakes, volcanism, speed of tectonic plate subduction) and the scale of trench steepness, curvature and shape unevenness is confirmed and analyzed. Geomorphological structure of the trenches and dynamics of the tectonic plates subduction are analyzed and assessed at each trench regionally (north, south, west and east Pacific).

The novelty of the study consists in presented systematic classification and comparative modelling of the geomorphic profiles of the deep-sea trenches by means of the sequential usage of the advanced scripting toolsets. Technical innovativeness consists in a combination of GIS, GMT, Python, AWK, R and

The actuality of this dissertation lies in its strongly multi-disciplinary nature demonstrating a combination of the following approaches: 1) systematic multi-source geospatial data analysis; 2) statistical data modelling and processing by libraries of the Python and R, AWK and Octave/Matlab; 3) geological literature analysis; 4) cartographic mapping and modelling by GMT shell scripts and visualization in QGIS. The dissertation contributes to the studies on

Technical scripts used for advanced statistical analysis are presented in full in the Appendix A for future replication and reproducible analysis in other trenches of the World Ocean.

Keywords

Keywords: Pacific Ocean; geomorphology; bathymetry; Aleutian Trench; Mariana Trench; Philippine Trench; Kuril-Kamchatka Trench; Middle America Trench; Peru-Chile Trench; Palau Trench; Japan Trench; Kermadec Trench; Tonga Trench; Izu-Bonin Trench; New Britain Trench; San Cristobal Trench; Manila Trench; Yap Trench; New Hebrides Trench; Puysegur Trench; Hikurangi Trench; Vityaz Trench; Ryukyu Trench; New Zealand; Central America; R; Python; Matplotlib; NumPy; SciPy; Pandas; Seaborn; geomorphic modelling, cartography, visualization, data analysis, programming language; statistics; spatial analysis; statistical analysis; cluster analysis; factor analysis; marine geology; geomorphology; bathymetry; algorithm; oceanography; GMT; GIS; QGIS, geoinformatics; GEBCO; ETOPO1; geodata; dataset;

Lists

List of Acronyms¹

AI	Artificial Intelligence	85
AOI	Area of Interest	104
ASL	Above Sea Level	188
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance	iv
ASCII	American Standard Code for Information Interchange	78
AT	Aleutian Trench	18
BAB	Back-Arc Basin	48
bash	Bourne Again SHell	104
BODC	British Oceanographic Data Centre	78
BSL	below sea level	49
CMT	Centroid Moment Tensor	41
CTAN	The Comprehensive TEX Archive Network	177
CTD	Conductivity-Temperature-Depth profiler	8
DCDB	Data Center for Digital Bathymetry	80
DEM	Digital Elevation Model	37
EPSG	European Petroleum Survey Group	117
GDAL	Geospatial Data Abstraction Library	83
GEBCO	General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans	x
GIS	Geographic Information System	1
GLM	Generalized Linear Models	125
GMT	Generic Mapping Tools	x
GNU	GNU's Not Unix	114
GPS	Global Positioning System	77
GRASS GIS	Geographic Resources Analysis Support System	12
GSFC	Goddard Space Flight Center	99
GSHHGD	Global Self-consistent, Hierarchical, High-resolution Geography Database	78
GUI	Graphical User Interface	83
GVP	Global Volcanism Program	80
EFA	Exploratory Factor Analysis	iv
EGM96	Earth Gravitational Model of 1996	80
ENVISAT	Environmental Satellite	81
ESRI	Environmental Systems Research Institute	81
ETOPO1	1 Arc-Minute Global Relief Model of Earth's topography	3
ETOPO5	5 Arc-Minute Global Relief Model of Earth's topography	80
FP	Fault Plane	91

¹The page is given where the glossary is first entered and defined

Acronyms	Contents
HCA	Hierarchical Cluster Analysis 164
HSD	Honest Significant Differences 145
HcT	Hypocenter Time 90
HT	Hikurangi Trench 75
IBM	Izu-Bonin Mariana arc 41
IBT	Izu-Bonin Trench 64
IDLE	Integrated Development and Learning Environment 150
IHO	International Hydrographic Organization 3
IRIS	Incorporated Research Institutions for Seismology 5
ISC	International Seismological Centre 80
IT	Information Technology 3
JT	Japan Trench 67
KT	Kermadec Trench 30
KDE	Kernel Density Estimation v
KKT	Kuril-Kamchatka Trench 13
KMZ	Keyhole Markup language Zipped 90
kwargs	Keyword arguments 93
KPR	Kyushu-Palau Ridge 41
LIPs	Large Igneous Provinces 97
LOESS	Locally Estimated Scatterplot Smoothing 119
OLS	Ordinary Least Square 153
MRC	Macquarie Ridge Complex 72
MAT	Middle America Trench 21
ML	Machine Learning x
MnT	Manila Trench 45
MORB	Mid-Ocean Ridge Basalts 60
MORVEL	Mid-Ocean Ridge VELOCITY 92
MT	Mariana Trench 32
NBT	New Britain Trench 35
NGA	National Geospatial Intelligence Agency 80
NGDC	National Geophysical Data Center 80
NetCDF	Network Common Data Form 101
NumPy	Numerical Python 8
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration 78
NIMA	National Imagery and Mapping Agency 99
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration 78
PaT	Palau Trench 53
PCA	Principal Component Analysis iv
PCT	Peru-Chile Trench 26
POSIX	Portable Operating System Interface 150
PSB	Philippine Sea Basin xx
PSP	Philippine Sea Plate 39
PsT	Puysegur Trench 72
PT	Philippine Trench 39
PVEL	Pacific VELOCITY 92
QGIS	Quantum GIS 7
QQ	Quantile-Quantile vii
QP	Quadratic Program 175

Acronyms	Contents
R/V Research Vessel	79
ROV Remotely Operated underwater Vehicle	81
RS Remote Sensing	7
RT Ryukyu Trench	48
SARIMA State Autoregressive Moving Average	176
SCT San Cristobal Trench	37
SIO Scripps Institution of Oceanography	79
SRTM Shuttle Radar Topographic Mission	x
SSH Sea Surface Height	203
SSL Secure Sockets Layer	153
SciPy Scientific Python	8
SPSG South Pacific Subtropical Gyre	31
SPOT Satellite Pour l’Observation de la Terre	81
SPSS Statistical Package for the Social Sciences	105
StatsModels Statistical Models	8
TT Tonga Trench	31
UPGMA Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Mean	161
USGS United States Geological Survey	24
UTM Universal Transverse Mercator coordinate system	117
VT Vanuatu Trench	32
VtT Vityaz Trench	33
WPGMA Weighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Mean	164
WGS84 World Geodetic System of 1984	90
WLS Weighted Least Squares	125
YT Yap Trench	55

List of Equations

3.1	Surface wave magnitude (Ms)	89
3.2	Body wave magnitude (mb)	89
3.3	GMT regression analysis formula (1)	106
3.4	GMT regression analysis formula (2)	107
3.5	GMT regression analysis formula (3)	107
3.6	GMT plotting residuals formula (4)	107
3.7	Linear regression analysis formula	125
3.8	Tri-cube weight function for LOESS	125
3.9	Savitzky-Golay smoothing filter for LOESS algorithm	125
3.10	G-test of maximum likelihood statistical significance	132
3.11	Euclidean distance metric	132
3.12	k-means Clustering equation	134
3.13	Pearson correlation coefficient	135
3.14	Spearman's ρ rank correlation coefficient	136
3.15	Kendall τ correlation coefficient	137
3.16	Smooth bootstrapping of dataset in hierarchical cluster analysis	139
3.17	Covariance matrix equality	140
3.18	Correlation matrix definition	141
3.19	Covariance 2D matrix mapping	142
3.20	Definition of factor analysis for random variables	143
3.21	Tukey's honest significance test (ANOVA)	145
3.22	Levene's test (ANOVA) to assess the equality of variances	145
3.23	Welch unequal variances t-test (ANOVA)	145
3.24	Shapiro-Wilk test of normality (ANOVA)	146
3.25	Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test (ANOVA)	146
3.26	Mathematical definition for statistical histogram	156
3.27	Regression model	158
3.28	Hierarchical clustering method (simple agglomerative approach)	161
3.29	Covariance matrix formula	161
3.30	Kernel density estimator of the the probability density function	162
3.31	Hierarchical dendrogram and clustermap algorithm WPGMA	164
3.32	PCA formula for the 1 st weight vector	165
3.33	PCA formula for the n^{th} weight vector	165
3.34	ANOVA definitional equation of sample variance	167
3.35	Computing Ordinary Least Square (OLS)	171
3.36	QQ statistics calculation	172
3.37	Gauss-Newton algorithm for non-linear least squares problem	175
3.38	Isotonic regression function of quadratic program	175
3.39	Simply ordered case for isotonic regression	175
3.40	Dynamic regression model (SARIMA) definition	176

List of Figures

3.3.1 Kuril-Kamchatka Trench: 2D histograms and rose diagrams of depths [409]	105
3.3.2 Kuril-Kamchatka Trench and Sea of Okhotsk: 3D histograms: depths distribution [409]	106
3.3.3 Middle America Trench: trend regression models for the cross-section profiles	107
3.5.1 Kuril-Kamchatka Trench: Octave graph based on AWK-reshaped table, north [410]	114
3.5.2 Kuril-Kamchatka Trench: Octave graph based on AWK-reshaped table, south [410]	115
3.8.1 Mariana Trench: boxplots on data distribution by profiles. R code: A.6.2	121
3.8.2 Mariana Trench: violin plots on depth distribution by profiles. R code: A.6.25	122
3.8.3 Mariana Trench: notched plots on depth distribution by profiles. R code: A.6.3	122
3.8.4 Mariana Trench: KDE for the 25 bathymetric profiles, R code: A.6.19	123
3.8.5 Example of the regression analysis: Mariana Trench, selected profiles	123
3.8.6 Philippine Trench: Regression analysis of 25 profiles. Plotting: R code A.6.5	124
3.8.7 Four tectonic plates, trench slope angle in the deepest point	126
3.8.8 Multiple panel by groups: tectonic plates, depth by profiles	127
3.8.9 Mariana Trench: Ridgeline plots	128
3.8.10 Mariana Trench: ranking dot plots by data grouping, by R code: A.6.12	128
3.8.11 Mariana Trench: ranking dot plots by data grouping, by R code: A.6.14	129
3.8.12 Pairwise double-Y axis charts showing correlation between factors	130
3.8.13 Mariana Trench: ranking data for steepness variation, R code: A.6.22	130
3.8.14 Mariana Trench: compositional chart of the geometry of the profiles, R	131
3.8.15 Mosaic and silhouette plots for the Mariana Trench	132
3.8.16 Association plots for the Mariana Trench	133
3.8.17 Mariana Trench: classification of the k-means clustering by plates, R code A.6.9	134
3.8.18 Mariana Trench: hexagonal data distribution, by R {hexbin} library.	135
3.8.19 Mariana Trench: Pearson and Spearman correlation matrices, by R code A.6.41	136
3.8.20 Mariana Trench: normalized steepness of the slope angles by profiles, R	138
3.8.21 Mariana Trench: hierarchical dendrogram tree of the profiles, by R code: A.6.6	139
(a) Unsorted dendrogram	139
(b) Sorted dendrogram	139
3.8.22 Mariana Trench: hierarchical clustering, R code: A.6.6	140
(a) Bootstrap resampling	140
(b) Bootstrap probability	140
3.8.23 Mariana Trench: scatterplot matrix of the correlation between variables. R.	141
3.8.24 Mariana Trench: Factor Analysis, R code A.6.26	143
(a) EFA correlation matrix	143
(b) iCLUST analysis	143
3.8.25 Mariana Trench: fragment of factor analysis, by R code A.6.26	144
(a) General factor saturation (ω/h)	144
(b) General factor saturation through omega coefficient: 3 factors ω	144

3.8.26 Mariana Trench: ANOVA hypothesis testing, by R code: A.6.28	146
3.8.27 Mariana Trench: Euler-Venn diagram, R code A.6.23	147
(a) Variables: tectonic plates	147
(b) Variables: geomorphology	147
3.9.1 Mariana Trench: KDE of the bathymetric profiles, Python (Matplotlib and Seaborn) [428]	148
3.9.2 Python-based word cloud (libraries: Wordcloud, Matplotlib)	149
3.9.3 Mariana Trench: letter-value plot for data distribution by profiles, Python	154
3.9.4 Mariana Trench: violin plots on depth ranges, Python, by code A.5.1 [415]	155
3.9.5 Mariana Trench: heatmap for the bathymetric data distribution by profiles. Python	156
3.9.6 Mariana Trench: histograms of data distribution by tectonic plates. Python. Source: [421]	157
3.9.7 Mariana Trench: Euler-Venn diagrams, Python [415]	158
3.9.8 Mariana Trench: area chart and facet grid of the profiles, Python (Seaborn)	159
3.9.9 Mariana Trench: facet grid of the regression analysis of the profiles, Python	159
3.9.10 Mariana Trench: hexagonal and KDE plots by profiles. Python code A.5.9	160
3.9.11 Mariana Trench: correlation matrices for bivariate analysis, Python	161
(a) Pearson's correlation (parametric approach)	161
(b) Kendal rank correlation (non-parametric approach)	161
3.9.12 Mariana Trench: box-and-whisker plot for data distribution by 25 profiles. [419]	162
3.9.13 Philippine Trench: KDE of the bathymetric profiles, Python (Matplotlib and Seaborn)	163
3.9.14 Mariana Trench: stem plot of the slope gradient steepness by 25 profiles.	163
3.9.15 Mariana Trench: hierarchical cluster dendrogram of the profiles. Python	164
3.9.16 Mariana Trench: PCA of the sediment thickness by 25 bathymetric profiles, 3D view.	165
3.9.17 Mariana Trench: 3D scatterplot of the relationships between environmental factors	166
3.9.18 Mariana Trench: one-way ANOVA box plots	167
3.9.19 Methodological network, [428]	168
3.9.20 Mariana Trench: bathymetric patterns visualized by stacked area charts.	169
3.9.21 Mariana Trench: stacked bar plots on depths variations by profiles, Python.	169
3.9.22 Mariana Trench: radar charts of the variation in bathymetric data	170
3.9.23 Mariana Trench: stacked bar charts showing geological factors. Python.	170
3.9.24 Mariana Trench: pie charts of the bathymetric data distribution by tectonic plates. Python.	171
3.9.25 Plotted QQ statistics for the data distribution	172
3.9.26 Quantile regression plotted for sediment thickness (m) versus geologic parameters	173
3.9.27 Weighted Least Squares plotted for data distribution.	174
3.9.28 Mariana Trench: isotonic regression for variables, Python [415]	175
3.10.1 Mariana Trench: selected bathymetric profiles. Plotting: $\LaTeX$	177
3.10.2 Mariana Trench: 3D-view of the 25 bathymetric profiles. Plotting: $\LaTeX$	177
4.2.1 Kuril-Kamchatka Trench: median stacked profiles	186
4.3.1 Aleutian Trench: modeled trend curves of the relief of the mean cross-section profile. [370]	192
4.3.2 Aleutian Trench: histogram chart on bathymetric data distribution by profiles. [370]	193
4.4.1 Middle America Trench: histograms of the samples distribution by cross-section profiles, [413]	195
4.5.1 Peru-Chile Trench: profiles in Chilean (A) and Peruvian (B) parts [411]	196
4.5.2 Peru-Chile Trench: histograms for the Peruvian and Chilean segments [411]	197
4.6.1 Kermadec and Tonga trenches: geomorphological modelling. Source: [420]	198
4.7.1 Kermadec and Tonga trenches: models of slope gradient trends by profiles. Source: [420]	199
4.7.2 Kermadec and Tonga trenches: histograms of the bathymetric data distribution. [420]	199

4.10.1 New Britain and San Cristobal trenches: graph model of the cross-section profiles	203
4.11.1 San Cristobal Trench: modeled trends of the slopes gradient by profiles	204
4.11.2 New Britain and San Cristobal trenches: histograms for bathymetric samples	206
4.12.1 Philippine Trench: faceted plot of the profiles. Plotting: QGIS	208
4.12.2 Philippine Trench: strip plots by tectonic plates and geology, Python code A.5.5 [414] .	209
4.12.3 Philippine Trench: samples distribution by tectonic plates. Plotting: Python, and R [414]	210
4.12.4 Philippine Trench: correlation matrix of variables (Spearman method), Plotting: R [414]	211
4.12.5 Philippine Trench: ranking geomorphology of the cross-section profiles. Plotting: R [414]	212
4.13.1 Manila Trench: transect of the digitized cross-section profiles	213
4.13.2 Manila Trench: histograms of the bathymetry	215
4.14.1 Ryukyu Trench: models of the slope gradient trends for the profile curves	217
4.14.2 Ryukyu Trench: histograms of frequency of the depth distribution	218
4.15.1 Yap and Palau trenches: geomorphological modelling of the profiles [412]	219
4.15.2 Yap and Palau trenches: statistical histograms on bathymetry [412]	220
4.16.1 Yap trench: trend model of the slope gradient direction [412]	221
4.17.1 Histograms on depths frequency: Mariana and Philippine trenches	225
4.17.2 Mariana Trench: graph of the 25 bathymetric profiles, QGIS [415]	226
4.17.3 Mariana Trench. Data distribution analysis: maximal depths by profiles, Python [415] .	227
4.17.4 Mariana Trench. Correlation, k-means Clustering and Data Grouping, R [422]	228
4.17.5 Results of the <i>k</i> -means clustering of the Mariana Trench with different <i>k</i> -values, R [422]	229
4.19.1 Japan Trench: modeling geomorphic cross-section profiles	234
4.19.2 Japan Trench: statistical analysis of the data distribution (histograms)	236
4.20.1 Comparative analysis on data distribution by Hikurangi, Puysegur and Hjort trenches . .	238
4.21.1 Geomorphic cross-sections by Hikurangi, Puysegur and Hjort trenches	240

List of Tools

Software and utilities used in the current work:

Main Tools:

- **Generic Mapping Tools:** used for cartographic mapping, modelling, automatic digitizing and spatial analysis.
- **R:** Programming language used for statistical analysis.
- **Python:** Programming language used for for statistical analysis.
- **Quantum GIS:** GIS used for mapping, digitizing, spatial analysis and cartographic visualization.
- **AWK:** Programming language used for tables reformatting and reshaping.
- **GNU Octave:** Programming language used for statistical analysis.

Auxiliary Tools:

- **L^AT_EX:** used for document typesetting and formatting
- **BibL^AT_EX:** used for for management of bibliography and reference database
- **Gretl:** Gnu Regression, Econometrics and Time-series Library used for statistical analysis

-
- **SPSS**: statistical software used for statistical analysis
 - **GIMP**: graphics software used for auxiliary drawing

List of Maps

1.0.1 Pacific Ocean: bathymetric map of the seafloor	2
1.1.1 Pacific Ocean: geologic map of main structures, GMT	4
1.1.2 Pacific Ocean: tectonic structures and main lineaments on the seafloor, GMT. [376]	6
2.1.1 Kuril-Kamchatka Trench and the Sea of Okhotsk: bathymetric map [418]	13
2.1.2 Kuril-Kamchatka Trench. Miscellaneous projections in GMT, [418]	14
(a) Mercator oblique projection	14
(b) Conic projection	14
2.1.3 Kuril-Kamchatka Trench: 3D-topographic mesh map. Source: [418]	15
2.1.4 Kuril-Kamchatka Trench [418]	16
(a) Geoid model with clipped land area	16
(b) Marine free-air gravity anomaly	16
2.1.5 Kuril-Kamchatka Trench [418]	16
(a) Topographic map, GMT	16
(b) Gravity surface model, GMT	16
2.2.1 Aleutian Trench: bathymetric map based on ETOPO1 grid, [370]	18
2.2.2 Aleutian Trench: contour bathymetric map, [370]	19
2.2.3 Aleutian Trench and Aleutian Islands: geoid model map, [370]	19
2.2.4 Aleutian Trench and Aleutian Islands: 3D mesh grid model, [370]	20
2.3.1 Middle America Trench: bathymetric map, ETOPO1 grid, [413]	21
2.3.2 Middle America Trench: topographic contour map, [413]	21
2.3.3 Middle America Trench: map of geological settings	22
2.3.4 Middle America Trench: vertical gravity model map, [413]	23
2.3.5 Middle America Trench: topographic surface map modeled by ASCII data, [413]	24
2.4.1 Peru-Chile Trench: bathymetric map, ETOPO1 [411]	26
2.4.2 Peru-Chile Trench: geological map [411]	26
2.4.3 Peru-Chile Trench: geoid regional model [411]	27
2.4.4 Peru-Chile Trench: map of gravity surface model [411]	28
2.5.1 Tonga and Kermadec trenches: bathymetric map, ETOPO1	30
2.6.1 Kermadec and Tonga trenches: transect segments; geologic and tectonic settings.	31
2.7.1 Vanuatu and Vityaz trenches: topographic map	32
2.8.1 Vanuatu and Vityaz trenches: geologic map	33
2.9.1 New Britain and San Cristobal trenches: topographic map	35
2.10.1 New Britain and San Cristobal trenches: geoid model map	37
2.10.2 New Britain and San Cristobal trenches: geological and tectonic map	38
2.10.3 New Britain and San Cristobal trenches: gravimetry model map	38
2.11.1 Philippine Sea Basin: GEBCO based bathymetric map	39
2.11.2 Philippine Sea Basin: Geologic map.	40
2.11.3 Geoid model of the Philippine Sea Basin (PSB)	41

2.11.4 Gravity model of the PSB	42
2.11.5 3D relief model: Philippine Trench (south segment)	43
2.12.1 Manila Trench: bathymetric map, SRTM 15 sec grid	45
2.12.2 Manila Trench [418]	46
(a) Geologic map	46
(b) Geoid model	46
2.12.3 Manila Trench: marine free-air gravity map	46
2.12.4 Manila Trench: 3D mesh map of the geomorphology	47
2.13.1 Ryukyu Trench and Arc, East China Sea and Philippine Sea: bathymetric map	48
2.13.2 Ryukyu Trench: geologic map, ETOPO1	49
2.13.3 Ryukyu Trench: geoid map	50
2.13.4 Ryukyu Trench: gravimetry map	51
2.13.5 Ryukyu Trench: 3D mesh geomorphological modelling	52
2.14.1 Yap and Palau trenches: geological map [412]	53
2.14.2 Yap and Palau trenches: geophysical gravity map [412]	54
2.15.1 Yap and Palau trenches: topographic map [412]	55
2.15.2 Yap and Palau trenches: geoid model [412]	56
2.16.1 Mariana Trench: bathymetric map	57
2.16.2 3D relief model: Mariana Trench, south segment	59
2.16.3 Earthquakes event map at PSB area: prime hypocentres and magnitude values	62
2.17.1 Izu-Bonin Trench: bathymetric map	64
2.17.2 Izu-Bonin Trench: geologic map	65
2.17.3 Izu-Bonin Trench	66
(a) Geoid model	66
(b) Free-air marine gravity model	66
2.18.1 Japan Trench: topographic map	67
2.18.2 Japan Trench: geological map	68
2.18.3 Japan Trench: geoid model map	69
2.18.4 Japan Trench: gravity model map	70
2.18.5 Japan Trench: 3D mesh model map of geomorphology	71
2.19.1 Hikurangi, Puysegur and Hjort trenches, New Zealand: GEBCO based topographic map	72
2.19.2 Hikurangi, Puysegur and Hjort trenches, New Zealand: geoid model	73
2.20.1 Hikurangi Trench, New Zealand: tectonic and geological settings	75
2.20.2 Hikurangi, Puysegur and Hjort trenches, New Zealand: Marine free-air gravity anomaly	76
3.1.1 Comparison of the resolution in datasets: GEBCO, SRTM, ETOPO1 and ETOPO5	79
3.3.1 North Pacific Ocean: contour map in the Orthographic azimuthal projection, GMT	84
3.3.2 Landmask of the shorelines (land vs water areas), GMT	85
3.3.3 Grid contour modelling by Nearest neighbor algorithm, KKT GMT	87
3.3.4 Grid contour modelling by XYZ2grd algorithm, GMT	87
3.3.5 Seismic map of the focal mechanisms: PSB margins	88
3.3.6 Velocity ellipses at PSB margins	92
3.3.7 Histogram equalization on the topography grids, ETOPO1	94
3.3.8 Histogram equalization on the topography grids, GEBCO	96
3.3.9 Kuril-Kamchatka Trench: map of geologic settings, [409]	97
3.3.10 Middle America Trench: geoid model	98
3.3.11 Mariana Trench: color geoid image with grey-shaded topography of the clipped areas, GMT	99

3.3.12 Middle America Trench: 3D topographic mesh model map	100
3.3.13 Middle America Trench: gravity model map	102
3.3.14 Izu-Bonin Trench: Digitizing cross-section profiles	103
3.3.15 Middle America Trench: gravity surface map modeled by ASCII data	108
3.3.16 Aleutian Trench: surface modelling from the ASCII xyz data. [370]	109
3.3.17 Aleutian Trench and Aleutian Islands: gravimetry map. [370]	109
3.6.1 Mariana Trench: map of the profiles and fragment of the coordinates	117
3.6.2 Philippine Trench: map and digitized cross-section profiles. QGIS	118
4.2.1 Kuril-Kamchatka Trench: enlarged view of the profiles	187
4.3.1 Aleutian Trench: digitized cross-section profiles. [370]	191
4.3.2 Aleutian Trench: topographic surface modelling from the ASCII xyz data. [370]	193
4.4.1 Middle America Trench: cross-section profiles on the selected segment, [413]	194
4.5.1 Peru-Chile Trench: digitized profiles of Peruvian and Chilean segments [411]	197
4.8.1 Vanuatu and Vityaz trenches: cross-section profiles map	200
4.8.2 Vanuatu and Vityaz trenches: histograms of the cross-section profiles	200
4.9.1 Vanuatu and Vityaz trenches: digitizing cross-section profiles	201
4.9.2 Vanuatu and Vityaz trenches: 3D models	202
4.11.1 New Britain and San Cristobal trenches: segments of the digitized profiles	205
4.12.1 Cross-section transect profiles: Philippine Trench	207
4.13.1 Manila Trench: two segments of the digitized cross-section profiles	214
4.14.1 Ryukyu trench: cross-section profiles of the southern and northern segments	216
4.16.1 Yap and Palau trenches: digitized cross-section profiles [412]	222
4.16.2 Yap and Palau trenches: 3D mesh modelling [412]	223
4.17.1 Cross-section transect profiles of the Mariana Trench	224
4.18.1 Izu-Bonin Trench: modeled graph of the cross-section profiles in two segments	231
4.18.2 Izu-Bonin Trench: 3D mesh model map	232
4.18.3 Izu-Bonin Trench: statistical histograms of the depth frequency	233
4.19.1 Japan Trench: map of the cross-sectional profiles along two segments	235
4.20.1 Puysegur and Hjort trenches, Macquarie Island Arc: Digitizing cross-section profiles	237
4.21.1 Hikurangi Trench, North Island, New Zealand: Digitizing cross-section profiles	239

List of Tables

1.1.1 Summary of the statistics on the 20 deep-sea trenches of the Pacific Ocean	5
3.1.1 Data sources, types and precision	80
3.2.1 Software and tools used in dissertation	81
3.3.1 Earthquake events for 1976/1977	90
3.3.2 Magnitude and Moment Tensor	91
3.4.1 GMT-derived table for the Cross profile #1	110
3.4.2 AWK-based reshaped table (fragment): location and depths (m) for the first 10 profiles .	112
3.7.1 Types of the statistical analysis used by Python and R libraries	119
3.8.1 Algorithms of the descriptive statistical analysis	120
3.8.2 Statistical algorithm of clustering, R code: A.6.9	133
3.9.1 Advantages of Python language for geo data analysis and processing	149
4.1.1 Mariana Trench: variations in gradient steepness of the right flank	181
4.1.2 Mariana Trench: variations in gradient steepness of the left flank	181

Document Outline

The content of dissertation: 104 maps, 101 Figures, 13 Tables, 40 listed equations, 937 cited references, in total 436 pages. The structure consists of 10 main chapters:

- *Chapter 1*: Introduction summarizing the scope, goal and aim of the research. Background section presents research focus and object (20 hadal trenches). Existing works are reviewed and discussed, research gap identified, problem formulated. Research objective gives the direction of the study divided by general objective (geospatial analysis) and specific objective (technical approaches). Research questions and hypothesis underline the introductory chapter. Abbreviations are introduced in the List of Acronyms.
- *Chapter 2*: Study Area (trenches of the Pacific Ocean). It gives a review of each of the 20 trenches of the Pacific Ocean presenting study area, geographic and geological settings, depicts geomorphic features of the trenches based on the existing research.
- *Chapter 3*: Methodology and Data. It describes used data, workflow of the [GMT](#), R, Python, AWK and Matlab scripting algorithms. The functionality of the applied tools is discussed, graphical output presented as graphs, diagrams and charts. Mathematical algorithms used in statistical data modelling and Geographic Information System ([GIS](#)) are presented and listed in the List of Equations. Tables summarizing statistical approaches and methods are presented in the List of Tables. Graphs and Maps are listed in the List of Figures and List of Maps. Full programming codes are provided in the Appendix [A](#). Advanced solutions of [GMT](#) toolset enabling high quality mapping by various cartographic techniques are discussed and described.
- *Chapter 4*: Results of the work: classification and comparison of the geomorphology of the 20 hadal trenches used by [GMT](#), R and Python statistical methods. The results are presented as a series of the thematic maps of geological and geophysical visualization of the 20 trenches of the Pacific Ocean and their geomorphic modelling.
- *Chapter 5*: Discussion obtained in this research and discusses findings in geostatistical analysis by R and Python. Besides, this chapter provides a detailed interpretation of the results suggesting impact factors affecting trench formation.
- *Chapter 6*: Conclusion on the undertaken research. It concerns the effects of the impact factors that influence geomorphic structure of the ocean trenches. In a global summary, it reflects the perspectives of the geostatistical analysis in marine geology, using [GMT](#) and programming languages in marine geology. The dissertation ends by providing recommendations for further studies and discussing perspectives and further outlook for similar research.

Chapter 1

Introduction

THE focus of this dissertation is oceanic trenches, the deepest areas of the Earth. Specifically, study object are 20 deep-sea trenches located along the margins of the Pacific Ocean (Fig. 1.0.1), Tab. 1.1.1. Worldwide, deep-sea ocean trenches are mostly formed in the locations of the subduction zones of plate, along which lithospheric tectonic plates subduct one under another. Geomorphological shape of the deep-sea trenches is highly variable (V-shaped, U-shaped, elongated to upside-down-ridge-like, circular-like, arcuate, symmetric or irregular: left- or right-sided), which is often related to the prevailing tectonic plate movements, geologic regime and substrate.

Map 1.0.1: Pacific Ocean: bathymetric map of the seafloor

Due to the specific geological conditions, oceanic trenches have unique structure and features formed as a result of the complex process. Morphologically presented as long, narrow, steep-sided depressions in the ocean bottom, trenches typically occur in the maximum oceanic depths, ca 7,300 up to > 11,000

m. There is a range of the factors influencing trench structure and formation: bathymetry, geography, geology and tectonics with complex interconnections among these determinants. The is focused upon comparative analysis of variability in geomorphological structure, classification of the cross-section profiles and formation of the 20 deep-sea trenches. The work continues investigations of the geology of the Pacific Ocean and highlights interplay between geomorphic, geological, tectonic and volcanic factors affecting submarine landform formation.

Remote location and complex bathymetry necessarily arises a need for the [ML GIS](#) approaches to visualize, model and map seafloor at such depths, to visualize and compare the geomorphology of the trenches and to assist in the development of the effective cartographic methods, technical tools and accurate datasets for submarine mapping contributing to our better understanding of the seafloor. This dissertation focuses on the comparative analysis of the submarine geomorphology of the trenches located in the Pacific Ocean by means of data processing, visualization mapping and modeling through [GMT](#) shell scripting, R and Python languages.

According to the International Hydrographic Organization ([IHO](#)) classification, trenches are 'long narrow, characteristically very deep and asymmetrical depressions of the sea floor, with relatively steep sides' [272]. The innovativeness of the work consists in the multi-disciplinary approach combining [GIS](#) analysis and statistical methods of R which contributes towards studies of ocean trenches, aimed at geospatial analysis of big data. Modelling patterns of the correlation between the geomorphic and geological features of the seafloor of the trenches is critical for proper ocean modelling.

Mapping deep-sea trenches has always been constrained both by their remote location and by a lack of high-quality bathymetric datasets on the seafloor. However, converging Earth observation advances (e.g. [GEBCO](#), 1 Arc-Minute Global Relief Model of Earth's topography ([ETOPO1](#)) datasets) and Information Technology ([IT](#)) technologies enable accurate mapping and modelling of the least reachable objects of the seabed over wide areas of the seafloor in hadal trenches. Utilization of the statistical approaches for comparative analysis of the trenches geomorphology enables to compare variations between their shape form and highlight different submarine landforms.

Rapidly developing [IT](#) methods has revolutionized seafloor mapping, enabling to collect contextual information for visualization of the bathymetry and thereby established the knowledge base for marine geo-science. Current dissertation contributes towards advancing of the marine geo-science through report detailing of the mapping of oceanic trenches.

In this framework, following key questions were addressed: which depths of the seafloor are the most repetitive for each of the trenches and how can knowledge on geological and tectonic settings be used to explain variations in their geomorphology. Large study area and limited datasets available to perform the study determined that these questions had to be answered through mapping and characterization of the cross-section modelling performed via [GMT](#), QGIS, Python and R.

The geomorphology of the oceanic trenches has been mapped and visualized insufficiently detailed comparing to the terrestrial areas the Earth, due to the complex submarine relief and the technical difficulties of seafloor observations. However, rapid development of new cartographic techniques, advanced methods of data modelling and visualization contributed to the progress in mapping and interpretation of the submarine geomorphology. Deep-sea trenches are mostly present a V- or U-shape in their cross-section profiles, yet can be more complex in form: trough-form, asymmetric, oblique, displaying riverine-like geometric system in a plain 2D (Fig. 4.12.1 and Fig. 4.17.1), oblique or 3D view (Fig. 2.11.5 and Fig. 2.16.2). Generally, trench geomorphology vary in slope steepness, curvature and depths.

1.1 Background

1.1.1 Submarine geomorphology

Oceanic trenches present a complex system with highly interconnected factors: geology (sediment thickness and tectonics including tectonic plates), bathymetry (coordinates, slope angle, depth values in the observation points) [400]. There are various geodynamic processes that influence tectonic rift dynamics and structure as well as and rifted margin geomorphology. Currently, the interest towards the geodynamics, the drivers and consequences of these processes was implemented as a key target goals of the oceanographic research in China [117]; [118]. Knowing and proper understanding of the driving factors affecting the ocean ecosystems gives an understanding of the possible dynamics, accumulation and location of the target ocean resources that are crucial for the economic development.

Geomorphological evolution of the oceanic trenches in the Pacific Ocean is largely controlled by a variety of factors including geological settings, tectonic plate movements [180], [202], [432], geophysical processes [580], [221], [666], submarine volcanism [589], [433], inducing intensity of sedimentation [400] and marine biological factors contributing to the sediment supply. Earlier studies on oceanic trenches highlighted relationship between the geomorphic patterns of the deep-sea channels, submarine fans and their topography related to the mid-ocean ridge tectonics, volcanism and dynamics, for instance of the Philippine back arc [542]; [90]; [181]; [221]; [220], as well as earthquakes and gravitation [498].

Map 1.1.1: Pacific Ocean: geologic map of main structures, GMT

The seafloor of the trench presents a background for all geological, oceanological and geochemical processes that are necessarily reflected in its shape. Understanding bathymetry of the ocean is crucial for the marina geological research. As noted by [132], the distribution of elevations on the Earth or hypsography is highly uneven. The majority of depths is occupied by deep basins (4–6.5 km) covered with abyssal plains and hills, while seafloor with ranges 2–4 km depth mostly consists of oceanic ridges and in total cover about 30% of the total ocean seafloor. Finally, the shallow areas and continental margins with 2 km depth and shallower cover only the least amount of area: 15% of the seafloor [508].

Finally, valley, seamounts, and submarine canyons are only the minor features of the seafloor. Given the importance of the deep-sea areas, study of trenches geomorphology with regards to the bathymetry is clear.

Table 1.1.1: Summary of the statistics on the 20 deep-sea trenches of the Pacific Ocean

No	Name	Max. depth (m)	Length (km)	Width (km)
1	Aleutian	8,109	3,400	59
2	Mariana	11,022	2,550	59
3	Philippine	10,540	1,320	65
4	Kuril-Kamchatka	10,542	2,900	59
5	Middle America	6,669	2,750	34
6	Peru-Chile	8,065	5,900	64
7	Palau	8,080	700	47
8	Japan	8,513	800	59
9	Kermadec	10,047	1,200	88
10	Tonga	10,882	1,375	78
11	Izu-Bonin	9,810	2,800	82
12	New Britain	9,140	335	70
13	San Cristobal	9,020	742	64
14	Manila	5,400	648	76
15	Yap	8,946	500	45
16	New Hebrides	7,600	1,200	34
17	Puysegur	6,300	835	65
18	Hikurangi	3,750	342	95
19	Vityaz	6,000	413	47
20	Ryukyu	7,790	2,250	38

This dissertation aims at geomorphological modelling, systematic mapping, spatial analysis and classification of the 20 oceanic trenches of the Pacific Ocean (Tab. 1.1.1). There are many attempts undertaken to understand, to what extent and how do the geophysical movements in the subduction zones affect the trench geomorphology, deformation and migration, [136]; [169]; [203]; [257]; [490]. General concepts and understanding of the functioning and current problems in research directions of the deep-sea trenches were implied in the current thesis.

The actuality of the studies of the ocean seafloor cannot be underestimated. Recent progress in modelling and predicting earthquakes and tsunamis in Pacific ocean are based on the data analysis applied for submarine regions [335]. For example, using global datasets of broadband and long-period seismograms, recorded as a time series ranging 2006-2014 from Incorporated Research Institutions for Seismology (IRIS), a clear descendance in the morphology of the Pacific Plate was detected, which becomes flattened at the base of the upper mantle [137]. Moreover, application of the geodynamics data related to the tsunami, its possible reasons and consequences, are presented recently reporting that the shallowest reaches of plate boundary subductions host substantial slips that generate large and destructive tsunamis [273]. Attempts towards studies of the ocean geomorphology, dynamics and intercorrelation between

various factors affecting its functioning are given by various research, [531]; [535]; [521]; [516].

1.1.2 Seafloor spreading

Seafloor spreading creates an axial rift and corrugated hills. Spreading ridges are formed by nearby faults where subduction of the cooled tectonic plate into the mantle causes creation of the deep ocean trenches usually accompanied by earthquakes. Subduction of the cooled plate into the mantle causes creation of the deep ocean trenches and here major earthquakes and tsunamis originate.

Map 1.1.2: Pacific Ocean: tectonic structures and main lineaments on the seafloor, GMT. [376]

There are 20 deep-sea trenches of the Pacific Ocean (Tab. 1.1.1), including major and minor ones. The classification of the tectonic plate boundaries according to their cross-correlation with the kinematical and geometrical properties of the plate boundaries should reflect their geodynamics. Previous works discussed a problem of the slab movement around the trench [180]; [183]; [239]. Bathymetric patterns of the seafloor of the Pacific Ocean (1.0.1) show more complex and deep structure in its western part. The geologic structure of the Pacific Ocean has a complex character (Fig. 1.1.1), [376]. Global seafloor fabric, magnetic lineation picks, slab contours and tectonic plates boundaries are presented on Fig. 1.1.2.

1.1.3 Historical milestones

The history of the studies of ocean geomorphology is being constantly developing throughout the 20th century until now. The chronology of the landmark research include, for instance: [121]; [233]; [673]; [235]; [3]; [314]; [205]; [42]. Understanding a variety of landforms in submarine geomorphology is a very complex task that ideally include a combination of the multi-disciplinary approaches: spatial data visualization, geostatistical analysis, geological modelling, etc.

The question of the formation and structure of the ocean trenches has long attracted science [543]; [551]. A variety of research has been done in various aspects of the trenches: bathymetric measurements,

to assess the volumes of mineral resources in the abyss, analysis of the pelagic and biotic communities, predicting earthquakes location and frequencies. Nowadays, sound statistical methods are elaborated as important additions for traditional GIS methods of geospatial analysis of the deep ocean trenches.

20th century is notable for systematic ocean exploration using Remote Sensing (RS) methods, Quantum GIS (QGIS) tools for data processing and a variety of the ML tools for data post-processing and statistical analysis. Many attempt of seafloor mapping and monitoring exist so far [84]; [271]; [354]; [574] and the advances in marine seafloor mapping are constantly developing. Mapping submarine geomorphic features and understanding factors of their variability centers several important components in the development of marine geology. 1st, support spatial marine observations and management; 2nd, supporting environmental monitoring of the oceans; 3rd, generating knowledge of the Earth's shape; 4th, economic monitoring and evaluation of the natural resources in the deep ocean that has a strategic aim of planning.

Rapid recent development of the IT technologies in 21st century enabled to analyze geological and oceanological stream data in large quantities which facilitates the studies of the marine geomorphology. In 21st century, the advantages of the application of modern IT technologies for marine geology include, above all, the possibility to apply programming languages for data analysis. A multi-disciplinary approach includes GIS geospatial analysis, algorithms of the data analysis provided by the scripting libraries of high-level programming languages, such as Python and R.

In the last 2 decades along with recent progress of the programming and ML, many research presented applications of Python and R. By testing functionality of packages, algorithms and methods, these works challenged data science and brought about a new field of study in geosciences. However, examples of the geological studies on ocean trenches mostly focus on slab subduction and tectonic plate movements [219]; [58]; [304]; [221]; [61]; [256]; [163], yet insufficient use of the programming applications, and mostly apply GIS methods [164]; [509]. Therefore, in marine geology domain, despite obvious importance of the linkage between GIS and programming languages, enough case studies are not yet available. Current thesis contributes to fill in this gap.

1.1.4 Importance

Advanced data computing and processing by a combined approach of the advanced scripting tools for data analysis (GMT, Python, R, Matlab, AWK) in marine geology is a promising dynamically developing extension of the existing traditional GIS. Shell scripting and programming languages enable to deal with massive amounts of the geological data. Batch processing of big geological datasets is possible through applying ML methods from the IT domains. Number of use cases in processing big data sets in the marine geology is increasing since they are produced in a machine-generated stream at cruise as output of sampling series massive as data arrays and captured streams of video and imagery.

The advantage of the numerical computing by programming languages lies in its effectiveness for the marine geology, specifically, its effectiveness and functionality when processing with big datasets. The ML tools are highly effective for processing arrays and tables containing data of thousands of samples. Correlation between various parts of the submarine geomorphology is very complex. For example, there are proven correlations between geographic locations of the trenches, shapes, geophysical settings and submarine geomorphology [539]; [650]; [297]; [304]; [305].

The value of the big data in marine geology is indisputable nowadays since larger datasets increase reliability and precision. However, the question is not about the need for the massive data processing and their storage but in *how* to manipulate effectively with big structured datasets, which ML algorithms and methods to apply for stream data processing in marine geology. Effective answer to these questions is given by programming algorithms that enable to process data arrays by complex approaches. As demonstrated in this dissertation, graph-based analysis of the geological data models by GMT, Python, R and Matlab with table reshaping by AWK enable to process data as a stream through shell scripting.

1.2 Research problem

The problem of proper understanding of the deep-sea areas in the ocean seafloor lies in its unreachable location. As noted by [290], there is a need to evaluate and proper understanding seafloor geomorphology for marine resource management. However, current knowledge on ocean functioning is relatively scarce. At the same time, modeling ocean seafloor is critical for the precise bathymetric mapping. The majority of the methods of ocean modelling have overlooked the Python programming approach for statistical analysis where large data sets are being processed by a set of the embedded mathematical algorithms.

Various papers reported problems of deep ocean slab dynamics, tectonics, [577]; [240], deep ecosystems functionings and development [634]; [586]; [111]; [103]; [635]. Many attempts have been made so far to study correlation between factors involved in trench formation. However, there are certain limitations in these studies caused by the study object: submarine trenches are the least reachable land form on the Earth that require application of advanced numerical modelling.

Besides, submarine erosion occurring within the main channel of the trench and adjusting slope areas, plays important role in sculpting geomorphology of the trenches. Due to their physical inaccessibility, oceanic trenches are distinct from other objects on the Earth. Various studies are reported on the geologic variations of the trench involving uneven distribution of various geomorphic phenomena across the seafloor [544]; [208]. Amongst these, the question of how the trench shape varies and what are the factors affecting its geomorphology is the most challenging in view of the importance of the deep segments for the whole ocean environment. The distribution of elevations on the Earth or hypsography is highly uneven. The majority of the depths is occupied by deep basins (4-6.5 km) while relatively few areas are covered by shallow zones. At the same time, a considerable pool of resources is hidden by the ocean depths which explains the actuality of the ocean research for the national economies.

Limitations in marine geological studies are imposed by the high cost of the marine expeditions. Using available open source geodata sets removed this problem by the use of low-cost geospatial data and their processing in GIS and open source programming language R and Python. Similarly, Python based statistical set of statistical libraries, such as Numerical Python (NumPy), Scientific Python (SciPy), Statistical Models (StatsModels), and Matplotlib present effective low-cost and easily available method for the marine oceanological data processing and modelling. Multiple approaches and GIS methods have been used so far to model ocean seafloor, the most unreachable part of the Earth. These include: RS-echo sounding [688], Conductivity-Temperature-Depth profiler (CTD) technique [704], acoustic methods, continual profiling with single-beam systems and bottom coverage capability, multi-beam swath-mapping systems, computer based modeling.

Regional studies of the marine geology of the trenches across the Pacific Ocean, [46]; [67], modelling and predictions made upon analysis of the geophysical settings of various trench, produced by these investigators were instrumental in understanding current issues of the marine geological studies. The concepts of these reports on seafloor spreading, tectonic slab subduction, continental drift, and plate tectonics in the Pacific Ocean were analyzed in the current research.

Understanding deep connections between various factors of the ocean trenches gives a key to proper modelling of the location of the mineral resources and prognosis of the dynamic processes, e.g. earthquakes. Studies of such complex objects require numerical approach. Solutions proposed by the advanced data processing proved to be effective tool for the analysis of the data sets in marine geology. For instance, linking raster datasets and geologic data on tectonic spreading lineaments with seafloor processes eventually shaping present bathymetric forms, it is possible to interpolate geomorphology patterns to the large scale to provide a framework in which a detailed seafloor mapping can be performed. Therefore, the importance of the precision in numerical computing for marine geology cannot be underestimated.

1.3 Research gap identification

This dissertation aimed to fill the gap in classification and systematic mapping of the deep-sea trenches of the Pacific Ocean. Technically, the gap consists in lack of multi-disciplinary research combining programming and Earth sciences research by demonstrating the advantages of the programming languages (e.g. Python, R, AWK) in marine geology. This work introduces application of such advanced data analysis applied to studies of the submarine geomorphology. Novelty of this approach consists in highlighting functionality of the high-level languages, Python and R, and applicability of the ML algorithms in marine geology, doing a step apart from the use of traditional GIS.

Methodologically, this dissertation contributed to fill in the gap in the fields by connecting ML analysis in marine geological data analysis with geomorphological analysis, gradient modelling and classification. Technical application of GMT, Python and R was performed to identify key algorithms assisting in geomorphological analysis. It advanced methodological testing of combination of several programming languages with GMT in marine geology with a case study of 20 trenches of the Pacific Ocean. Algorithms applied for the marine geological data set enabled to gain insights into the seafloor geomorphology. Statistical methods and advanced ML graph-based data analysis has a broad applicability to similar research aimed at modelling landform variations.

Practical purpose of this dissertation is to test statistical libraries of Python and R for geospatial data analysis, modeling and visualization and GMT shell scripting for geomorphological modelling. Effective and precise plotting demonstrates indisputable advantages of the ML in geology. Application of the programming significantly increases precision, speed and effectiveness of the data analysis. Accurate cartographic visualization of the datasets is crucial for proper classification, highlighting correlations and detecting dependancies such as geology, bathymetry, oceanography, tectonics. Precise mapping by means of GMT is strongly recommended for further application in marine geological studies, especially for analysis of geomorphology of the oceanic trenches.

Rapid development of methods in geospatial data processing recently grown in marine geology. However, use of high-level programming languages still require special focus and attention as lacking comprehensive introduction of the ML algorithms. Current state of research in geology includes methods supported mainly by GIS. While some work fragmentary include Python scripts as plugins embedded in GIS, relatively few works use programming in full [680]. On the other hand, supporting GIS methods by computer modelling contributes significantly towards understanding of the ocean trenches.

Hence, this dissertation has both scientific and practical implications for understanding spatiotemporal rates of the interplay between various factors affecting submarine structure of the trenches of the Pacific Ocean. Geomorphic modelling and comparative assessment of the bathymetry between major deep-sea trenches of the Pacific Ocean based on the automatic cross-section transecting and analysis of their geological, geophysical and tectonic settings, enables to highlight differences between their local structure and to visualize how their morphology vary in different segments.

The relationship between submarine geomorphology, bathymetry and tectonic settings of the trenches can be derived by comparing geomorphic features (e.g. slope steepness) and geological conditions (sedimentation) [400]. Variations in structure of the submarine geomorphology have origin in the palaeologic geological time caused by the variety of impact factors [671]. To evaluate relationships between factors affecting morphology of the trench, methods of the advanced modelling and statistical analysis can be applied [302]; [702]. Examples of the studies on correlation between various factors influencing ocean functioning are presented [547]; [666]; [205]. They aim to analyze the interconnections between geology, sedimentation and ocean landforms using available methods.

1.4 Research objective

1.4.1 General objective

- To analyze variations in geomorphological shape of the submarine geomorphology in 20 deep-sea trenches of the Pacific Ocean.
- To perform classification of the geomorphological types of the 20 deep-sea trenches based on their geometric properties, distinctness and similarities

The thesis aims at the analysis of the variation of the geomorphology of the 20 trenches of the Pacific Ocean in their various segments based on the applied statistical analysis supported by GMT, Octave, Python and R libraries.

1.4.2 Specific objectives

- To visualize and map local geological properties of the 20 deep-sea trenches based on the available datasets
- To visualize and map geophysical properties (marine free-air gravity and geoid model) of the 20 deep-sea trenches based on the available datasets
- To use methods of the advanced programming algorithms (Python and R) in order to receive models of the correlation between geological factors and trench geomorphology
- To apply GMT modules to systematically model cross-section identical profiles for 20 trenches for geomorphological comparative monitoring of their geometry
- To perform statistical analysis of the bathymetry for the 20 respective trenches, along the margins of the Pacific Ocean.

Combined application of the advanced tools of data analysis (Python, R, GMT, Octave, AWK) for the data analysis and modelling reveals a significant unevenness in the geomorphology in trenches along the margins of the Pacific Ocean, and a correlation between the geological factors affecting its structure.

1.5 Research questions

This study answers three questions aimed to describe and characterize variations and perform classification in the geomorphic types of the 20 trenches located along the margins of the Pacific Ocean, using advanced methods of data analysis (GMT, R, Python, AWK). To systematically record the cross-section profiles of the trenches and assess their geological, tectonic, geographic, oceanological and bathymetric settings, a combination of the statistical methods, GIS and programming were applied [417]. Hence, the research questions of this dissertation are as follows:

- Are deep-sea trenches distinct in geomorphology with varying in-situ geological and geographic conditions along the margins of the Pacific Ocean?
- What are the factors affect the geomorphology of the trenches and which of them are the most influencing ones ?
- What classification of the geomorphic shape forms in plan (orthogonal) view can be suggested for the trenches of the Pacific Ocean ?

1.6 Hypothesis

For research question 1 the Hypothesis H_0 claims: geomorphic types of the deep-sea trenches are not distinct from each other and independent with varying geographic location along the margins of the Pacific Ocean, which means $H_{01}: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \dots = \mu_n$. The alternative Hypothesis H_a claims the opposite statement: geomorphic types of the deep-sea trenches are distinct from each other and vary according to their geographic location (NSWE) along the margins of the Pacific Ocean, $H_{a1}: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2 \neq \mu_3 \neq \dots \neq \mu_n$.

For research question 2 the Hypothesis H_0 claims: geophysical, geological, bathymetric and geodetic information extracted from the attribute features of the GIS layers may explain interplay between the geomorphic variations of the selected hadal trenches located in the Pacific Ocean, which contains all aspects of interconnections of mentioned above geospatial factors, which means $H_{02}: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \dots = \mu_n$. The alternative Hypothesis H_a claims the opposite statement: information on geophysical and geological settings of the trenches cannot be used for the comparative mapping and analysis of the geomorphology of the trenches, because they do not provide enough thematic information and correlation to discriminate types of the submarine landforms, $H_{a2}: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2 \neq \mu_3 \neq \dots \neq \mu_n$.

For research question 3 the classification of the trenches has been performed based on the comparative analysis of the similarities and distinctiveness between the geometric shape forms and steepness by profiles. Central hypothesis of this research is: factor variables of geological origin or geospatial factors (i.e., geodetic location of the segments of the trench and tectonic properties of the underlying plates) explain variations of the geomorphology of the deep-sea trench. Hence, the hypothesis considered in the research objective where a set of the geological settings was explored by a GIS dataset.

A statistical testing by R and Python has been used to compare between various factors and geomorphic responses of the trenches, whether they are geomorphologically distinct and at least one pair of factors (slope steepness, geographic location, depths, tectonic plates, closeness of the submarine volcanoes, slope aspect) is statistically different and affecting trench geomorphology. One sample data set covers environmental factors of the trenches (i.e., geology, tectonics, geodetic features (descriptive statistical data on depths across the profiles), geospatial locations, sediment thickness [400] and active volcanism in the nearby area. Second sample data set is aimed to prove the hypothesis and perform comparative analysis on geomorphic and geological domains.

Variations of the factors affecting trench geomorphology is assumed to be normal as well as the equality of the statistical variances. The hypothesis testing was carried out using the ANOVA statistical test. The ANOVA test was performed by R library {car}. Thus, the key hypotheses of the research will be tested to prove whether the results of the research are accurate, reasonable and correct. The results have been interpreted on homogeneity of variance by means of one - way ANOVA tests. Since the p-value is < the significance level 0.05, there are significant differences between the groups of tectonic factors affecting trench formation. Tukey multiple pairwise comparisons test enabled to perform multiple pairwise comparison between the means of groups and shown 95% familywise confidence level of the results.

In contrast to the traditional existing approaches, the hypothesis of this dissertation presents improvements on the marine geological data processing and interpretation methods by applying advanced methods of data analysis and visualization: a combination of GMT cartographic scripting toolset and programming languages Python, R, Octave and AWK. Developed methodology enabled to model submarine geomorphology, allowed assessment of variations between their shape, and estimation of factors affecting trench formation by complex interplay of the geospatial factors.

1.7 Technical approach

Main technical tools of this research is open source GIS toolsets and programming languages: R, Python, Octave, AWK. Choosing right statistical methods for the seafloor modelling is a crucial step in planning research. Available data may vary depending on current research scope, be it structure of the sedimentary cover of the ocean trench, geometric features of the deep horizons (angle steepness), waves velocity characteristics as reflected by rock density, submarine earthquakes, deep ocean currents. Methodological approach require careful reflection of the data set and goal of the statistical analysis.

Marine geology data processed by the R and Python libraries present data models on the topography of the ocean floor and environmental variables. Such data available online as free GIS layers in vector and raster formats were used in current research. Ocean research methodology is based on application of various GIS with specific tools for geospatial raster or vector data processing. Both commercial ArcGIS and open-source Geographic Resources Analysis Support System (GRASS GIS) or QGIS are suited for the seafloor study, processing attribute data of the biological and geological resources of the hadal trenches, geophysical fields and tectonic plates [393]. The diversity of the scientific tasks and need to study various aspects of the trench structure recorded as objects in the machine system, led to the creation of the specialized geological and geophysical methods, GIS and different equipment and tools.

Although use of traditional GIS is generally recommended, programming presents more powerful tool for geospatial data processing, sometimes overlooked. Open source tools of Python, R, AWK and Octave were used extensively to set up the processing scripts enabling rapid and reproducible analysis. Python and R, are highly suitable for the statistical analysis in geosciences, as having powerful statistical and math libraries, highly effective for scientific computing and used in current research.

The proposed approach of the combined data analysis by GMT, QGIS, R and Python for geospatial and statistical analysis enabled accurate and efficient computation and modelling of the large datasets in marine geology. Challenge in the assessment of the geological big datasets (several thousand of samples) concerns the difficulty in manual identifying a correct algorithms in the computations and analysis of data distribution. The necessity to apply a precise ML algorithms recently increased in geographic sciences with respect to the importance of choosing effective method for data visualization and computation. The solutions to these problems are provided by Python's Pandas data frames: optimizing data structure, selecting correct parts from the data frame (columns, rows in data arrays), plotting.

In its geospatial part, this dissertation presents a GMT based automated workflow enabling large-scale profile cross-sectioning aimed at transect mapping in 20 trenches. It starts with cartographic mapping using high-resolution datasets (GEBCO, SRTM_15) and ends with automated cross-sectioning aimed at comparative analysis and classification of the geomorphic shape forms of the trenches. In total 50 modules of GMT scripting toolset were trained on extensive datasets collected from 20 trenches across the whole region of the Pacific Ocean.

This dissertation presents a computer-based approach by advanced data modelling. Classic approaches of the GIS mapping and other tools of geoinformatics [179], [133], RS, navigation charts and data modelling by cross-sections, [657]), statistical modelling by R and packages, e.g. dplyr, ggplot2, PMCMR, car [616]. Of these, GMT shell scripting and statistical modelling of the oceanological data sets by R and Python languages are the most cost-effective tools for studies on submarine geomorphology.

Chapter 2

Trenches of the Pacific Ocean

2.1 Kuril-Kamchatka Trench

Kuril-Kamchatka Trench ([KKT](#)) is an oceanic trench with depths more than 5000 m, located on the border of the Sea of Okhotsk located in the Far East, north-west Pacific Ocean (Fig. [2.1.1](#)).

Map 2.1.1: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench and the Sea of Okhotsk: bathymetric map [[418](#)]

Geographically, the [KKT](#) continues south-eastwards from the coast of the Kamchatka Peninsula, in parallel to the Kuril Island chain, and meets Hokkaido Island. Geologically, the [KKT](#) presents a boundary of the large subduction zone of the Pacific tectonic plate under the Okhotsk tectonic plate [[58](#)], which causes irregularity in its bathymetric shapes and geomorphic structure. The submarine geomorphology of the seafloor underlying the [KKT](#) is formed as a result of the complex interplay between the geological substrate, water column and a range of the geophysical processes and phenomena [[398](#)]. As a result,

the variations in the distribution of the geomorphic landforms in the trench were caused by a continuous effect of various factors through the whole history of the trench formation.

The Sea of Okhotsk is a semi-enclosed sea, connected in terms of bathymetry with the Pacific Ocean through the Bussol and Krusenstern straits [527]. The Sea of Okhotsk is not isolated, has maximal depths of 3374 m. It has deep-sea straits to the NW Pacific Ocean, the deepest of which are the Bussol with depths reaching 2300m [71], (Map 2.1.1). The Sea of Okhotsk is connected with the Pacific Ocean hydrologically through the Bussol Strait. The upper water masses of the **KKT** region are strongly influenced by the currents in opposite directions: the Oyashio coming from the Arctic southwards into the Pacific Ocean and the Kuroshio coming from the South China and flowing in northeast direction [45].

KKT is characterized by a geometrically long narrow, elongate, deep and asymmetrical depression of the seafloor with steep slopes. Together with Aleutian Trench, it presents contiguous complex covering area of 254,740km² [225]. The **KKT** is distributed along Kuril island arcs and Eurasian continent masses, developed in the association with an island-arc system where Pacific tectonic plate subducts below the North American and Okhotsk plates. The Okhotsk plate represents a region of active grabens lying southwards of a chain of small sedimentary basins in the Cherskii Mountains [656]. The geomorphic and topographic patterns of the land area of Kamchatka Peninsula are influenced by the climate and glaciological effects (glacier cirques) [33]. The **KKT** is divided by the Bussol Strait into southern and northern parts. Because geologic factors differ in northern and southern parts of the trench, it affects its geomorphology.

Southern part of the Greater Kuril Chain includes the large Kunashir, Iturup, and Urup islands constituting the islands-arc-trench system. This part is confined to the northwestern Pacific subduction zone [315]. The topographic and geomorphic features of the trench in its northern part are different from those located further southwards near the group of the seamounts.

Map 2.1.2: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench. Miscellaneous projections in GMT, [418]

Specific distinction of the **KKT** is its division into two parts: northern and southern segments separated by the Bussol Strait [398]. The geological settings of the study area is characterized by high seismicity, repeated earthquakes, and tectonic instability caused by plate subduction. Geological factors differ in northern and southern parts of the trench, which naturally affects its geomorphology. The comparisons on the northern and southern parts of the **KKT** seafloor are not assessed within the published literature. Therefore, the novelty of this study is a contribution towards the regional analysis of the geospatial variation of the trench geomorphology in its southern and northern parts. The formation

of the hadal trench is affected by the impacts of local geological and geophysical settings varying along the trench.

The tectonic properties of the **KKT** mutually affect both land and submarine geomorphology. Thus, as noted by [597], the location of the **KKT** at the meeting place of active Kuril-Kamchatka and Aleutian arcs causes the coastline of the Kamchatka Peninsula to be affected by strong tectonic activities. Fracture zones have variable influence on the uplift of the Kamchatka Peninsula. Geomorphic consequence include raise of the multi-level, highly uplifted marine terraces displaced along the active tectonic faults. Furthermore, the tectonic movements cause the uplift of the coastal sediments [597].

KKT belongs to the Chilean tectonic subduction type, which has a gentle subduction dip advancing at a speed of ca. 2 cm yr⁻¹ and inter-seismic elastic shortening of 1-2 mm yr⁻¹ [128]. Strong lateral velocity in the shallower mantle wedge accounts for both the compressional subduction tectonics and back arc compression in the **KKT**. This results in the seismic-velocity anomalies in the mantle under the **KKT**, as shown on the tomographic images by [761]. Despite the age of the Pacific Plate, there is no sharp variation of the age on the seafloor along the **KKT** [617], and the old Pacific Plate is rejuvenated at the north of the **KKT** around the Meiji Guyot seamount.

Map 2.1.3: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench: 3D-topographic mesh map. Source: [418]

The Kuril-Kamchatka subduction zone, a part of the circum-Pacific 'Ring of Fire', forms northern part of the Kuril-Kamchatka volcanic arc in the North-West Pacific Ocean [602]; [206], [398]. The subduction rate of the Pacific plate beneath the Eurasian Plate is ca. 79 mm yr⁻¹ [129]; [57] or 8-9 m per century along the **KKT** [568]. This causes high seismic and volcanic activities, making this region one of the most tectonically active, seismic regions of the world. More specifically, one of the most active volcanic arcs in the world is located on the eastern coast of Kamchatka [203]; [600]. A number of studies analyzed earthquakes across the region of the southern Sea of Okhotsk in the adjusting Kamchatka

Peninsula. Nowadays, there are 29 active, and ca. 300 extinct volcanoes located on the Kamchatka Peninsula near the [KKT](#) [689].

Map 2.1.4: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench [418]

Southern part of the KKT differ, as proved by recent findings [315] where it is demonstrated on the example of South Kuril that intense fluid thermal fluxes in the continental lithosphere cause of the formation of magma chambers and the development of volcanism and multi-metal ores. Over 700 earthquakes with hypo-centers >50 km are recorded in the [KKT](#) focal zone [41] Individual earthquakes show large variations in seismic slip and distribution of foreshocks and aftershocks that shows spatial heterogeneity in the stress level and geological settings along the tectonic plate boundary [642].

Map 2.1.5: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench [418]

Northern part of the **KKT** located northwards from the Bussol Strait presents a geographically complicated region with smaller islands than in other parts of the Kuril volcanic arc [525], Map 3.3.9. This area is notable for the abnormally high heat flow in the ocean floor. Reduced thermal thickness of the Pacific Plate upon its subduction into the northern **KKT** indicates underlying mantle plume and point out that thermal thickness of the underlying plate is lesser than expected comparing to the normal oceanic plate of such age [683]; [667]; [682]; [681].

Historically, the **KKT** subduction zone experienced a variety of large tsunamis along the trench [773]. Reports on the seismicity of the **KKT** are provided by numerous studies [307]; [204]; [643]. Maximal depth of the seismicity along the **KKT** changes gradually from ca. 600 km to ca. 300 km with latitude increasing in a central part from ca. 50° N to ca. 54° N [203], and then decreasing sharply to a depth of 100 km northwards. The rate occurrence of the tsunami on the Shikotan Island, the southern end of the Kuril Island Chain, is one per 250 yr.

The most active section of the **KKT** subduction zone is the southern Kuril Islands and Hokkaido sections with nine Mw 7.5-8.5 earthquakes [525]. Larger earthquakes estimated as Mw 9.0 occur only every ca. 500 yr on Iturup Island [275]. Such seismic situation in the **KKT** makes this area in the north-west Pacific coast a tsunami-prone region [216]. The focal mechanism of the strongest earthquakes ($M > 5$) in the southern part of the **KKT** affects the orientation of the trench in this part through the main fracture zones with respect to the direction and type of the geomorphic displacements. The formation of the **KKT** is affected by the impacts of local geological, geophysical and oceanological settings that resulted from the complex process of the tectonic plates movements and subduction. At the same time, there are local variations in northern and southern parts of the seafloor that influence the shape of the slopes. In the subduction zone of the Pacific Plate, these cross-sections of the tilted trench illustrate crustal processes of the subduction and deposition of deep-sea sediments into the trench in its both segments. The sediment dispersal system of the trench forms two distinct types: the first one is a trench-parallel and a second one is a trench-crossing system. The geological parameters visualized on the Map 3.3.9 show fracture lines that predominantly have south-eastern direction showing perpendicular stretching towards the trench general direction.

The geomorphology of the **KKT** includes main submarine landforms related to the sedimentation processes, volcanic activities, tectonics, geologic formation history and regional oceanographic processes. The most important of them are as follows:

- system of connected submarine canyons and channels;
- gullies, and deep-sea fans located on the slopes;
- turbidity currents;
- drifts, moats and abyssal channels driven by the ocean circulations;
- rotational deep-seated landslides triggered by the instability of the trench slopes and mass-transportation connected to the geologic underlying rocks structure;
- craters associated with deep-sea fluid-flow processes.

The formation of a variety of landforms including terraces and slopes of the trench reflects the complex action of a range of factors. These include tectonic, sedimentary, oceanographic, biochemical processes and hydrodynamic phenomena. As a result, morphological character of the seabed in both southern and northern part is depending on the local and regional factors. The Greater Kuril Chain forms a natural barrier dividing the Sea of Okhotsk basin from the Pacific Ocean by the chain of islands and adjacent **KKT**, and separated into 2 parts by the Bussol Strait. The lateral slopes of the **KKT** are formed by the cumulative effects of the geologic, seismic and sedimentary factors at the subducting tectonic plate boundaries.

2.2 Aleutian Trench

The Aleutian Trench (AT) is located in the North Pacific Ocean (Map 2.2.2) along the and Aleutian Islands (Fig. 2.2.1) in the area of tectonic plate subduction [144].

Map 2.2.1: Aleutian Trench: bathymetric map based on ETOPO1 grid, [370]

Geologically, the Aleutian Islands arc is classified as an accreting margin with most of the arc having a well-developed frontal prism formed in the Plio-Pleistocene. Central Aleutian volcanic front migrated northwards 30–60 km since the formation of the arc massif completed in the Late Eocene. AT has weak coupling between the surface tectonic plate and subducting slabs [761]. The seismicity of the Aleutian regions causes tsunami that affect far located regions [644] of which the 1946 was by far the most severe [23]. Further studies on the seismicity, earthquake sources and effects distribution in the region of the Aleutian Islands is developed in various studies [153], [156]. The tectonics of the Aleutian-Komandorsky chain that is proved [184] to be colliding with Kamchatka at the Kamchatka Peninsula.

Structural evolution of the Kamchatka-Aleutian triple junction area in the Mesozoic and Tertiary was affected by the subduction in Kronotskiy subduction zone and collision of the Kronotskiy arc against North-East Eurasia margin [8]. The Aleutian tectonics is deeply connected with Kuril-Kamchatka since the Aleutian transform fault separated the Kronotskiy terrane block from the Pacific plate [174]. Relevant studies [173], [249] develop further investigations on the crustal structure transition from oceanic to continental arc in the Aleutian Islands region. The geological composition of the andesite substrate in the AT results as melts from subducted Pacific ocean crust [313]. More details on the geological and biological uniqueness of the Aleutian islands area are provided in relevant research [614]. An interesting example of the existing cartographic work as contour bathymetric map dated 1945 can be found by [561].

Processes of the deep-sea terrigenous sedimentation are formed by the transfer of the erosion materials from the adjacent land. The main processes include transportation, deposition and re-deposition of the sedimentation materials. The origin of the deep ocean sedimentation is diverse. In general, apart from the sediments from the rivers, the terrigenous material goes to the oceans through iceberg melting and glacial deposits transferred to the seafloor, as well as dusty material moved by the aeolian processes.

Map 2.2.2: Aleutian Trench: contour bathymetric map, [370]

Map 2.2.3: Aleutian Trench and Aleutian Islands: geoid model map, [370]

Normally, the sediments transferred by rivers are deposited within the shelf sublittoral areas, and rarely reach deeper regions of the continental slope and, even less the abyssal basins. However, sediments deposited on the shelf may move to the deeper parts of the ocean including hadal trenches, due to further move from the shelf edge downwards, avalanche sedimentation, i.e. gravitational flows, which are caused

by the gravity. Deep currents flow through the trench ventilating its bottom water flowing through the West Pacific trenches originates from the Southern Ocean and Antarctic and flows northward [734].

Map 2.2.4: Aleutian Trench and Aleutian Islands: 3D mesh grid model, [370]

Sediments cover is less than 10 m thick and is composed of soft, bedded clay with sand-size ash fragments. This clayey sediment covers a very gentle terrace 500 m wide [119]. The turbidity currents causing deposition of turbidites are being generated on the outer shelf and upper continental slope, due to the precipitation and transport via the submarine canyons to the axial part of the trench. The speed of such sedimentation in the bottoms of trenches closely depends on the volumes of the incoming sediments ranging from 300 to 3000 mm/thousand years [281]. As a result of such sedimentation, over one kilometer of sediments have accumulated in the southern AT and Peru-Chile Trench over the last several hundred thousand years [370].

2.3 Middle America Trench

Middle America Trench (**MAT**) is located along the eastern margin of the Pacific Ocean, Map 2.3.1. Tested segment is focused on the Guatemala Trench, a southern part of **MAT**, located on the Cocos Plate between the Tehuantepec Ridge and stretching until Cocos Ridge, between the coordinates: 96°W-82°W, 7°N-17°N (Map 2.3.1), off Middle America (Map 2.3.3), [413].

Map 2.3.1: Middle America Trench: bathymetric map, ETOPO1 grid, [413]

The **MAT** is located in the place of the subduction of Cocos Plate, a young oceanic tectonic plate, beneath the Pacific Ocean off the coast of the Central America continent.

Map 2.3.2: Middle America Trench: topographic contour map, [413]

The Cocos Plate was created as a results of the break of Farallon Plate into two pieces that initiated

two tectonic plates: Nazca Plate and Cocos Plate. In turn, the Cocos Plate is bounded by the North American Plate to the northeast, the Caribbean Plate on the south, the Pacific Plate on the west and by the Nazca Plate to the south. The MAT is unique among other Pacific trenches, since its nature is complex and varies in its different parts.

The neotectonics of the MAT consists of two distinct parts with varying features of the oceanic plate and geology in northern and southern parts. The division between the both parts goes by the Tehuantepec Ridge, a linear undersea ridge located off the west coast of Mexico in the Pacific Ocean, a remnant of an old fracture zone formed as a fracture zone and transform fault along the East Pacific Rise that reoriented the fracture zone as a result of a change in the motion of the Pacific Plate [719].

Northern part of the MAT, located off Mexico, is sometimes referred to as Acapulco Trench. It is a minor hadal trench, a part of the East Pacific Rise that stretches from from Jalisco to Tehuantepec Ridge. The Acapulco region, was initiated by a large slip motion giving to the Honduras Platform an offset of ca. 800 km and cutting the Mexican structure off. In its northern part, the MAT goes along the continent of North America along Mexico up to the Middle America Transverse Zone. Because MAT runs very obliquely, it is not related to the neotectonic features of Mexico, [413].

Map 2.3.3: Middle America Trench: map of geological settings

The MAT borders the end of the North American continent up to the Middle America Transverse Zone. Tectonically, the southern end of the North American continent is a special place due to the changed direction of the plates compression: during the Late Cretaceous to the Paleocene, north-south compression between the North and South Americas was predominant, therefore the Caribbean thrust system was dominating, [413]. However, the situation changed since the Pliocene and the dominant movement now became a west-east strike slip [20]. The MAT is a hadal trench trending northwest, which marks the Caribbean-Cocos plate boundary in the east Pacific Ocean [218].

Southern part is MAT, located off Middle America. The minor study area where the transects of the cross-sectional profiles are located is bordered by the square with coordinates: 94°W-87°W, 11.5°N-15°N (Map 2.3.2). Southern part of the MAT is resulted from a subduction zone related to the Franciscan subduction zone of North America where the Isthmus of Panama supports a huge Tertiary volcanic

cover. Here MAT originated from an ancient subduction zone involving Mesozoic oceanic sediments and crust [20]. The Cocos Ridge serves as a border for the start of the wide continental margin characteristic of the MAT [558], [413]. The Upper Jurassic-Cretaceous-Tertiary oceanic sediments occur in the slope of the Guatemala Trench, a southern part of the MAT, as well as in the Nicoya Complex of Costa Rica. Deformation across a convergent tectonic plate boundary of MAT correlate with gravity-driven and subduction-driven tectonic processes.

Geochemistry of the sediments of the MAT is presented by the olivine-plagioclase phyric basalts, the only igneous rocks in the study area, and plagioclase phyric high-alumina basalts. Basalts with thickness layer of 7 m covered by 150 m of sedimentary layers were detected on the Cocos Plate section of MAT.

The petrographic features of MAT basalts are typical for the basalts of the ocean floor: subaphyric, with plagioclase phenocrysts dominant over olivine phenocrysts, composed of abundant plagioclase microlites, olivine, clinopyroxene and rare spinel microcrysts, partly devitrified brown glass. [300]. However, while some of the basalts are similar to the oceanic tholeiites, others have a composition transitional to island-arc tholeiitic basalts. As described by [135], this may indicate presence of magmatism in the zones of convergence of the oceanic and continental or island-arc crust. Petrochemical setting of the MAT basalts show compositions typical for the oceanic tholeiites and transitional to island-arc tholeiites with advanced differentiation of high-alumina varieties in both types of rocks. Namely, such transitional-type basalts differ from rocks of the oceanic and island-arc basalts by values of TiO_2 concentration and the $\text{K}_2\text{O}/\text{K}_2\text{O}/\text{Na}_2\text{O}$ ratio, [413].

Map 2.3.4: Middle America Trench: vertical gravity model map, [413]

Among other deep-sea trenches, chemically similar to MAT tholeiitic basalts are detected in the transects of Philippine and Yap trenches. Young age of some basalts from the oceanward slopes of the MAT may indicate evidences of the local magmatism caused by the zone of convergence of oceanic and continental crust located nearby. This explain the eruption of the transitional basalts as oceanic and island-arc tholeiites in the MAT. Secondary minerals of the basalts of MAT in studied transects include smectite and chlorite, as well as talc, calcite, phillipsite, mica, and mixed-layer chlorite-montmorillonite also fill veins in the basalts of the trench [346].

The MAT runs parallel to the ancient structures that can be explained by the processes of paleosubduction. On the contrary, the Acapulco Trench, which is a northern part of the MAT, intersects geologic structures of the southern Mexico. The differences in the south and northern parts of the MAT are notable

on the land- and oceanward sides. Thus, the impact of the Tehuantepec and Cocos ridges differ on the seaward side, but very weak on the landward side of the **MAT**. Thus, on the oceanward side, both ridges restrict the **MAT** off the Middle America continental shelf, but on the continental side, the Tehuantepec Ridge produces only a slight offset in the geological structures, whereas the Cocos Ridge raises them as a whole [20]. A system of major, active transform faults 'Motagua-Polochic system' consists of the Motagua Fault and Polochic Fault (Map 2.3.3, north), [413].

The Motagua Fault runs across the Middle America, continuing offshore until it merges with the **MAT** near the Acapulco forming part of the tectonic boundary between the North American Plate and the Caribbean Plate. The Polochic fault lies north and continues Motagua Fault in parallel, sharing motion between the North American and Caribbean Plates [523]. The Motagua Fault caused several major earthquakes, including the 7.5 Mw Middle America 1976 earthquake. The geologic structure of the **MAT** includes Paleozoic crystalline basement rocks, Mesozoic intrusive igneous rocks and sedimentary rocks spanning the Cretaceous to the Pleistocene. The subduction of the Cocos Plate in the Paleogene caused eruption of the volcanoes, which resulted in active melting and formation of magma. The volcanoes are mainly located along the Pacific Volcanic Chain (Map 1.1.1) that runs in parallel to the **MAT**.

Map 2.3.5: Middle America Trench: topographic surface map modeled by ASCII data, [413]

Northern part of the study area is distanced by the location of Chortis Block, a group unit of the rocks originated as continental crust in Nicaragua. The Nicoya Peninsula (south-east on Map 2.3.3) is formed by a volcanic-sedimentary series determined by radiolarian assemblages as Upper Jurassic and Cretaceous [663]. The distribution of the ophiolites and other geologic features is presented on Map 2.3.3, [185]. The schematic map of the geological setting of the study area is visualized on Map 2.3.3 by available data from the **GMT** and United States Geological Survey (**USGS**) sources: bathymetry, tectonic plates movement directions, distribution of volcanoes, ophiolites, ridges and tectonic slabs in the **MAT** area.

Marine biological analysis of the samples taken from the **MAT** [677] shows calcareous benthic, planktonic foraminifers, and calcareous nannoplankton recovered within sediment cores along the trench axis that show relatively high faunal diversity. Their presence at great depth indicates rapid sediment transport and burial. The abundance of the biogenic silica throughout the sediment section indicated that continental slope of **MAT** had high biological productivity environment. The conglomerates of the trench indicate

rapid sedimentation of the deposits accumulated in a trench slope that proved a relatively quiet environment of sediment deposition [112]. The samples from the MAT revealed areas composed of hemipelagic muds containing substantial biogenic components that proves a high degree of bioturbation: the samples consist of diatoms, radiolarians, sponge spicules, calcareous nannoplankton, and foraminifers of lower to middle bathyal benthic species [678].

The 'Glomar Challenger' expedition sampling shown that selected spots on MAT predominantly consist of dark olive gray biogenic muds. The terrigenous component of the sediments is dominant and consists of clay minerals and minor contributions of volcanic glass. Biogenous contribution is secondary and consists of ca. 50% diatoms and nannofossils and biogenic debris (foraminifers and sponge spicules), [413].

Brief sedimentation history of the MAT area was summarized by [345]: the sedimentation on the continental slope was supplied by a smectite- and plagioclase-rich terrigenous land source area during the Late Cretaceous. In middle Miocene a volcanic source existed in MAT area, as proved by the volcanic glasses followed by the persisted volcanoclastic constituents. Major sediment types of MAT include turbidites, hemipelagic sediments, chalk, basalt and slope sediments [638], [413]. Sedimentation processes on the MAT began in the early Miocene with an increased accumulation of the metalliferous foraminifer-nannofossil ooze above the basalt basement. The basal chalk, a source of Fe and Mn, presents a typical mid-oceanic metalliferous sediment type, located near the spreading center of MAT. Sedimentation time gap at MAT in the middle Miocene to Early Pliocene refers to a radiolarian-bearing pelagic clay and weak supply of terrigenous sediment at this period, which in turn may indicate remote location of the site from the land masses. The Cocos Plate moved away from its spreading center toward the subduction zone in the MAT during this period.

During Pleistocene, the hemipelagic sedimentation was substituted for the turbidite accumulation that reached present location on the trench seafloor. Sites located at the juncture of the Middle America slope and the MAT seafloor contain biogenic debris, including wood fragments. The sequence of the Quaternary trench-filling turbidites is either faulted or deposited against a fault scarp in lower Miocene chalk on the detected sites of the MAT [674], [114].

Lithologic variation and differing compaction states at the MAT show [162] that sediments on the continental slope have identical compaction as sediments in the trench, yet their compaction curve is intermediate between pelagic clays and diatom ooze. The sediments in the MAT have intermediate compaction level between the pelagic clays and terrigenous sediments, which is caused by the mix of pelagic sediments with terrestrially derived turbidites. Another particular feature of the MAT consists in partly consolidated lower Miocene to Quaternary hemipelagic muds that contain vertical-subvertical veins, <2 mm thick, spaced from one to several millimeters apart. Apart from the MAT, such morphologically analogous structures were obtained from the inner slope of the Japan Trench [396], [393].

Ocean crust of the Cocos Plate subducting beneath the landward slope of the MAT has a linear horst and graben topography of hundreds m relief. This passive assimilation of oceanic material occurs without disturbing topography shape. The subduction of the horst and graben in the MAT area is largely passive and locally active. Mid-slope area has rugged topography covered by thick slope deposits, while lower slope is smooth except local brakes by benches. Upper and middle slope areas are correlated with strong magnetic anomalies. Rough midslope topography may reflect erosion following the Paleocene uplift and local subsidence in the early Miocene. Slope deposits covered the MAT landward slope, correlate with the increased arc volcanism indicated by ash layers [260]. A thick sequence of the terrigenous sediments was discovered seaward of the MAT [678]. More detailed insight to the origins of the geomorphology of the MAT and factors affecting formation of its landforms, have been studied in relevant papers [676], [10], [675], [22], [9].

2.4 Peru-Chile Trench

The Peru-Chile Trench (PCT), alternatively called Atacama Trench [187], is the longest hadal trench of the Pacific Ocean (Map 2.4.1). It stretches on 5,900 km from Ecuador to Chile $6^{\circ}00'N$, $81^{\circ}50'W$ to $39^{\circ}00'S$, $75^{\circ}00'W$ [172], Map 2.4.1.

Antarctic plates beneath the South American Plate. As followed by its name and location, PCT can be logically divided into two parts: Peruvian and Chilean one. The Chilean part is longer than Peruvian, stretching in a NS direction along 4,300 km, from $-18^{\circ}S$ to $-56^{\circ}S$.

Map 2.4.1: Peru-Chile Trench: bathymetric map, ETOPO1 [411]

In its total length, the trench covers an area of ca. 590,000 km² [14]. The PCT is shallower comparing to other trenches of the Pacific Ocean (64 km width). Reaching maximal depth of 8,065 m, it is deepest trench of the South Pacific Ocean. The PCT is formed by the subduction of the Nazca and

Map 2.4.2: Peru-Chile Trench: geological map [411]

Due to the specific geologic, geotectonic, geomorphic and climatic characteristics, the region of the PCT is exposed to a wide variety of hazardous processes such as earthquakes, local tsunamis, generated between the PCT and Chilean coasts, volcan-

ism and continent-specific geological hazards, e.g. floods, landslides and mass movements, as briefly discussed below.

Map 2.4.3: Peru-Chile Trench: geoid regional model [411]

Volcanism intensity in the study area can be illustrated by recent documented tsunamigenic giant earthquakes: Valdivia earthquake, the largest ever recorded ($M_w = 9.5$), 1960 and the Maule earthquake ($M_w = 8.8$), 2010 [104].

Bathymetry of the PCT has following distinguished features. The continental margin of Southern Chile between 36°S and 39°S has intermediate shelf of width 20-30 km [728]. Here the gradient of the continental slope is inclined at 2.5° - 4.0° with frequent irregularities: plateaus, slope basins and escarpments as steep as 10° . South Chilean slope is dissected by four major and some minor meandering submarine canyons (Biobío, Imperial, Tolten and Calle-Calle) connected to the large rivers flowing off the continent.

The Biobío Canyon ends in submarine fans where it enters the trench [711]. Slightly sinuous axial channel is observed in the Chilean Trench, with a width of a 7-10 km and depth ca. 150 m [728]. It originates from the Chacao Canyon at 41°S and connected to the exits of the largest submarine canyon systems of the continental margin (Calle-Calle, Tolten, Biobío and San Antonio Canyons).

Nazca Plate forms oceanward boundary of the PCT, elevating ca. 100-400 m comparing to the trench and showing parallel geological lineaments. Nazca Plate has chains of 2-km-high seamounts aligned almost parallel to the complex subduction of the Valdivia and Mocha Fracture Zones [109], Map 2.4.2. More details on the complexity of the processes in the oceanic fracture zones, affected by high degree of hydration in the crust and upper mantle is discussed by [105]. Geophysical setting of the study area in the Peru-Chile area are shown on Map 2.4.4.

The PCT is formed by the subduction of the Oligocene oceanic crust of the Nazca Plate and Antarctic tectonic plates beneath the South American Plate at the PCT. The convergence rate of the Nazca Plate is 6.76.9 cm/a at an angle of ac. 80° [192]. The tectonic setting of the trench is complex, formed by a set of the processes of tectonic plates subduction, sediments accretion and their implications on the PCT. The Mocha crustal block, configured by the PCT, Mocha and Valdivia fracture zones, lies on the Nazca Plate between ca. 37.5° and 40°S . It separates two segments Maule segment to the north and Chiloé segment to the south [104].

Subduction processes in a historical context of the evolution of the Nazca and Antarctic tectonic

plates and their formation along the western margin of South America has been thoroughly described (e.g., [653]; [530]; [664]; [81]; [662]; [72]; [659]. Central Andes is a typical example of the convergent continental margin where the forearc, an important element directly reflects the interaction between the subducting and overriding plates [536]. The dynamic interaction between the active Chile Ridge and the continental margin determines the Taitao Triple Junction (46°–47° S).

North of the triple junction, Nazca Plate is subducted beneath the South American Plate in an ENE direction at a rate of 8.4 cm/year. To the south, the Antarctic Plate subducts along the E direction at only 2.4 cm/year [88]. The Nazca Plate adjacent the PCT is placed at the eastern boundary of the East Pacific Rise, which is the fastest-spreading ocean ridge on Earth. The Nazca Plate is bordered by two microplates: Easter microplate and the Juan Fernandez microplate. In turn, these include three major seamount chains: the Easter Seamount Chain, the Nazca Ridge, and the Carnegie Ridge [46]. The huge earthquake of 1960 resulted because of the subduction of the Mocha fracture zone which caused stress concentration and rupture of the Nazca Plate [100]; [727].

Main geomorphological structural units in Chile from west to east are Coastal Cordillera, Central Depression or Central valleys, and Andean Cordillera. The distinguished submarine geomorphological features of the PCT area include submarine ridges: Juan Fernández Ridge, Copiapó Ridge, Taltal Ridge, Nazca Ridge, and Iquique Ridge. The Iquique Ridge is composed of several seamounts (b1.5 km high) surrounded by a broad region of shallow seafloor, swelling up to 250 km wide in some areas and >500 m in elevation. The submarine Taltal Ridge intersects the PCT at 25° S offshore [108]. Other geomorphic features are O'Higgins Guyot and Eastern seamount chain (Map 2.4.2). The place of bending of the PCT on two parts (Peru and Chile, respectively) is the Arica bend (Map 2.4.2).

The geomorphology of the subducted slab in the PCT region changes from flat to the steep one along its path beneath the Andes. The region where the Juan Fernandez Ridge is subducting beneath the continental margin (27°–33° S) correlates with

a flat-slab subduction zone [88]. On the contrary, in north- and southwards direction from this area, the Wadati-Benioff zone, i.e. a zone of seismicity corresponding to the down-going tectonic slab in a subduction zone [605], is steeper.

Map 2.4.4: Peru-Chile Trench: map of gravity surface model [411]

The flat-slab subduction zone is located northwards of the Taitao Triple Junction, or Chile Triple Junction [43] where geomorphological feature of the Chilean Andes is characterized by the

transverse river valleys that separates the Coastal Cordillera from the Andean Cordillera (27°-33° S). Southwards 33° S, the geologic and geomorphic features in the Peru-Chile Trench region change due to the dip change of the subduction zone [88]. [536] report existence of >60 individual large-scale landslides located within the hyper-arid coastal Cordillera of the Atacama Desert, Northern Chile adjacent to the PCT. The system of such giant landslides, developed as a combination of rock avalanches and multiple rotational failures, is located along the coastline within a distance of ca. 650 km and contributes to the sedimentation of the PCT. Other sources of sediments can include earthquakes, e.g. sediment thickness in the PCT area in the region of 1960 earthquake ranged from ca. 300 to 700 m, thus having significantly increased sedimentation [604].

Over 50% of the world's deep-sea trenches are classified as sediment starved or partly sediment filled [193]. Northern part of the PCT, that is Peruvian segment, is classified as 'sediment starved', while southern part (Chilean segment) is 'partly sediment filled', as illustrated by [192]. As a response of sediment loading at the trench, the downward deflection of the trench plays a crucial role in determining its inlet sediments capacity (the amount of the subducted sediments). Weak and hot oceanic plates show more downward deflection at the trench due to sediment loading comparing to the old oceanic plates [107]. Thus, weak oceanic plate involves a larger space for sediment subduction.

From the environmental aspect of view, the Peru-Chile is considered as eutrophic, that is having high biological productivity and organic matter on the seafloor of the trench [348]. [187] illustrates the uniqueness of the biodiversity within the PCT system as follows: high concentrations of

the nutritionally-rich organic matter also at 7800-m depth, high nematode densities (>6000 ind. 10cm⁻²). The geomorphology of the trench is crucial for the deep-sea biology. Geographic location and geomorphic structure of the trench have affects on its biomass. Trenches located closer to the continents receive more inputs of the organic matter from the adjacent shelves. This creates more food supplies for the continental slope with steep angles and less food for the slopes with low gradients.

The availability of the nutrients largely relies on the flux of the depositing organic-rich matter [720]. The content of the geological CO₂ burial rates varies in the seafloor, with the increase in the Pacific basin [85]. Two distinctly different domains of the seafloor exist, on which carbon burial takes place: surfaces located by the fringes of the continents and the constantly submerged surfaces of continental slopes and the deep sea. The mixture of the geochemical components located at various depths of the continental slope and trench implies that sediments from upper to lower slope incorporate into a single bed [728]. Examples of the geochemical complex circulation, system of deposition and transport include [655]; [757]; [364].

Specifically for the PCT, larger downward deflection of the plate is found along the Chiloé segment than along the Maule segment [109]. Hence, according to the sedimentation conditions, the PCT can be divided into two main provinces: the main sediment-free province extending 8°-32° S and the sedimentary province extending 33°-57° S [231]. The margin off Isla de Chiloé is characterized by a largely sedimented segment of the PCT. This is caused by the extension of turbidite deposits seaward reaching 250 km from the trench axis [106]. The region close to the Taitao Triple Junction is nearly devoid of sediments and has rapid narrowing of the forearc region [43].

2.5 Kermadec Trench

Kermadec Trench (**KT**) is located in southwest Pacific Ocean, eastwards from Australia. It is located 120 km off the New Zealand with axis continuing from ca. 26°-36° S. It is the 5th deepest trench in the world with a maximum depth of 10,177 m [285] m and a length of 1500 km [288], Map 2.5.1.

Map 2.5.1: Tonga and Kermadec trenches: bathymetric map, ETOPO1

Southward continuation of the **KT** is Hikurangi Trough located eastwards off the North Island of New Zealand lying between the southern end of the Cook Strait and the Chatham Rise. A convergence at maximal rate ca. 249 mm/yr along the Tonga-Kermadec island arc system makes it one of the most seismically active subduction zones in the world [54].

Detailed description of the environmental, geological and tectonic settings of the **KT** is in [685]; [12]; [615]; [684]. The majority of research on **KT** focus on the biota communities of the deep-sea ecosystems [358], [360], [576] or tectonic plates in the Pacific Ocean [146], [706]. Example of the morphological heterogeneity affected by the geographic isolation of the **KT** gives a case study of New Hebrides and **KT**, SW Pacific Ocean [347]. The effects of the geographical proximity and geological ages of the **KT**, bottom current patterns and bathymetry on the patterns of the biogenetic structure are explained by geographical isolation and demographic independency of the marine species which preserve species endemism [626].

2.6 Tonga Trench

Tonga Trench (TT) is located in southwest Pacific Ocean, eastwards from Australia northwards from the KT. The study area is focused on two hadal trenches located in south-west Pacific Ocean northwards from Australia and New Zealand: KT and Tonga. Their brief geographic description can be characterized as follows.

Map 2.6.1: Kermadec and Tonga trenches: transect segments; geologic and tectonic settings.

Its closeness to the Antarctic makes it to be one of the coldest trenches in the world [47]. KT runs parallel to the Kermadec Ridge (southern part of the Tonga-Kermadec Ridge) with geomorphology of V-shape formed by tectonic subduction of the Pacific Plate under the Indo-Australian Plate extending from approximately 26°-36° S near the northeastern tip of New Zealand's North Island [359]. The specific conditions of the tectonic setting in the study area is the subduction of the Pacific Plate at a rapid rate beneath the Indo-Australian Plate along the Tonga-Kermadec Trench [86], Map 2.6.1, right.

Similar to KT, TT belongs to the South Pacific Subtropical Gyre (SPSG) biogeochemical province and has the same primary productivity rate of 87 g C m⁻² y⁻¹ [751]. TT is adjacent, continuing KT northwards with axis stretching from ca. 15°-26° S. Located in close proximity to the KT, it is separated by a sill located on the Tonga Platform [747] and by the Louisville Seamount Chain [289] (Map 2.6.1, right). With maximum depths of 10,882 m, TT is the 2nd deepest trench in the world [60]. Both trench axes have roughly 30° slight from the longitude line. Due to the complex interaction of various factors (ocean currents, closeness to the Antarctica) the deep Pacific Ocean seafloor underlying the trenches is notable for variable surface productivity [505]. Further studies on TT, its environment and communities can be found in relevant literature [706]; [161]; [237].

2.7 Vanuatu (New Hebrides) Trench

The Vanuatu Trench (**VT**) (alternative former spelling: New Hebrides Trench) is located in Fiji Basin, East Australia [388].

Map 2.7.1: Vanuatu and Vityaz trenches: topographic map

The region is notable for complex geophysical settings which resulted in the formation of the trenches, high seismicity, geodynamic complexity and seismic instability. Vanuatu (New Hebrides) trench-arc system divides the New Hebrides back-arc troughs and the active North Fiji marginal basin. The Vanuatu arc stretches ca. 1200 km in the SW Pacific Ocean located in the area with coordinates 10°–23° S, 160°–180° E (Fig. 2.7.1). Narrow **VT** is bordered by the Vanuatu volcanic archipelago (New Hebrides), which is a submersed part of an oceanic island arc that extends between 12° S and 25° S, between the Torres to Hunter Islands [294], 1000 km to the northwest of the **KT**. Geomorphology of the **VT** is reported [234] to have masses of rubble, talus slopes and fragmented outcrops [407].

VT is a shallower trench of ca. 7156 m. Relative to other neighbour trenches (**KT**, Mariana Trench (**MT**)), it is warmest, and underlies intermediate bio-productivity [505]. **VT** has developed in a situation of complex tectonic settings. More specifically, it is located in a double convergent boundary between the Australian and Pacific tectonic plates [36]. The tectonic development of the **VT** has two distinct subduction stages [294]: 1) subduction of the Pacific Plate under the Indian-Australian plate westward direction; 2) subduction of the Indo-Australian plate beneath the North Fiji back-arc basin at the margin of the Pacific Plate, north-eastwards direction. Therefore, the convergence is marked by two opposite subduction zones, defined by the **VT** to the west and the **TT** to the east, resulting in an asymmetric opening of the North Fiji back-arc basin [21].

2.8 Vityaz Trench

Vityaz Trench (**VtT**) is located in the North Fiji Basin, East Australia [388]. It belongs to the New Hebrides trench-arc system, caused by the Indo-Australian subducting tectonic plate and a subduction of the d'Entrecasteaux ridge [91]. This area is notable for the double convergent complex boundary where Pacific Plate subducts under the Indian-Australian plate westwards, and Indo-Australian plate beneath the North Fiji back-arc basin northeastwards [388].

Map 2.8.1: Vanuatu and Vityaz trenches: geologic map

Previous surveys on bathymetry, magnetism, seismicity and focal mechanism in the region between the southern New Hebrides Arc and North Fiji Basin enlighten the geodynamic complexity and instability of the area [526], [388]. An active volcano Mt. Yasur is located in Tanna Island of the Vanuatu arc [692]. Discussions on the geologic setting of the **VT** exist in literature [555]; [691]; [721]; [519]; [68]; [598], where particular attention is paid to geochemistry, mineralogy, magmatism, volcanology, seismicity and tectonics of the region [407]. The New Hebrides island arc consists of numerous volcanoes that frequently form islands situated in a tectonically complex arc-backarc system [44], [79], Fig. 2.8.1.

Three flat, mainly covered by forests, islands of Maré, Lifou and Ouvéa may illustrate tectonic deformation at various distances from the **VT**. Loyalty Islands serve as tension cracks resulting from the elastic bulging of the Australian plate before its subduction at the **VT** [62]. The New Hebrides archipelago is a complex reversed-arc system that divided into four major volcanic provinces [83]:

1. Western Belt is an Early Miocene extinct volcanic arc in the frontal arc;
2. Eastern Belt formed in the Middle Miocene, a calc-alkaline arc volcanism;
3. Marginal Province submerged in the Early Pleistocene is shown by volcanic activity;

4. Central Chain is a present volcanic line continues Marginal Province volcanism;

The anomalous morphology of the central New Hebrides arc is caused by the subduction of the D'Entrecasteaux aseismic ridge which enters the central part of the VT. Besides, there is a deformation distributed over numerous spreading ridges in Lau and North Fiji back-arc basins. Geometry of Lau and North Fiji back-arc basins and segmentation of the New Hebrides arc are significantly influenced by the subduction of aseismic ridges: Louisville, D'Entrecasteaux and Loyalty [594], [388] (Fig. 2.8.1). The basaltic rocks from the central and southern islands of the New Hebrides (Aneityum, Tanna, Erromango, Efate, Emae, Tongoa and Epi), have geochemical features typical of island arc volcanics. The basalts from Futuna Island display characteristics typical of calc-alkaline rocks [147].

Conversion of the two tectonic plates at the ocean margins causes sinks or subduction of the oceanic plates. The mechanism of faulting in the subducting plates at the trench is well described [777]; [776]. The terrestrial crust appearing at the top of this new layer is formed either by new masses of the terrestrial crust caused by the arc volcanism, or because of the piled up sediment deposits coming from the subducting lower tectonic plate [262]. Therefore, ocean margins with high seismicity, repeated earthquakes and volcanism indicate areas of the collision and movements of the ocean plates and active sedimentation, where trenches are located. Such and other geodynamic processes of the deep subduction take place of the Pacific Plate underneath cause extensional events and volcanism [779]. Specific changes in the geochemical content of the underlying seafloor has also been detected.

Factors affecting plate subduction include hydrothermal factors [763], buoyancy forces, rheology of mantle minerals, stabilities of hydrous minerals, partial melting, mechanisms and rates of melt migration, kinetics of phase transformations, mechanisms of deep earthquakes, geochemical recycling, and the processes of continental collision that result from the subduction [545]. One fundamental problem concerns the depth to which subducted lithosphere penetrates into the mantle because this is related to the scale of mantle convection and the Earth's evolution.

Finally, active sedimentation on the bottom of the seafloor leads to the accumulated amount at rifted margins which contributes to the formation of the VtT. Sediments outflowing further to the ocean provide important geological bodies and resources. Natural hazards taking place in the ocean, strongly correlate with submarine earthquakes and volcanic eruptions during active rifting [74]. Moreover, there is a certain correlation between the high oceanic features and thickness of the subduction channels and earthquake rupture segments [108]. VtT result from the complex geodynamic processes that continuously shape the surface of the trench's seafloor [64], [407]. Nowadays, the its seafloor demonstrates footprints of the many continuous steps of the seafloor evolution.

Tectonic plates act as giant radiators of the heat cooling, thickening, and gradually subsiding by progressing from ridge to trench. For example, it explains the appearance of the double seismic zone beneath the Mariana island arc [647]. In such a way, tectonic plates form the large-scale pattern of the system of ridges and deep ocean basins. The deformation of the upper plates together with surface migration of the ocean trenches of the Pacific Ocean are the prevailing observables of the dynamics of the inter parts of the plates [546].

2.9 New Britain (Bougainville) Trench

The New Britain Trench (**NBT**) (a.k.a. Bougainville Trench) is located eastwards off Papua New Guinea as northern borders of the Solomon Sea, Pacific Ocean (Map 2.9.1) [383]. The region is one of the world's most active subduction zones at the triple junction of the Pacific Plate, Indo-Australian Plate and Solomon Sea Plate. The Woodlark Basin subducts beneath the Solomon Islands arc region, forming a double-sided subduction zone [760]. As the region is situated in the complex zone of the convergence at the tectonic plates boundary, it is trapped between the converging Ontong Java Plateau and Australia. Geologically, the area belongs to one of the most prospective for intrusion-related mineral deposits [250], [383].

Map 2.9.1: New Britain and San Cristobal trenches: topographic map

NBT is defined by the 6,000 m isoline. It is a narrow, 50-75 km wide trench extending northeasterly from the eastern end of the Huon Gulf along the south coast of New Britain [529]. Its name is derived from the homonymous New Britain Island from the New Britain arc (Map 2.9.1) [383]. Its western extension are the north-dipping Ramu-Markham Fault zone and the West Bismarck arc [1]. Northern part is characterized by the Bismark Sea back-arc basin (Fig. 2.10.2) where active rifting and seafloor spreading take place. The Bismark Sea back-arc basin comprises the South and North Bismarck microplates separated by the Bismarck Sea fault [708]. The islands of eastern Papua New Guinea and the Solomon islands are island arc terranes, surrounding **NBT**, formed adjacent to the boundary between the Australia and Pacific plates [25].

The subduction along the **NBT** is crucial for the tectonic development in Papua New Guinea because comparing to other Pacific trenches, it differs in its seismic structure [502]. Thus, it indicates a steeply dipping ($>80^\circ$) structure to upper mantle depths (630 km), unlike other Pacific trenches where dips range between 45° - 60° . Active volcanic arc along the north coast of New Britain Island (Fig. 2.10.2, red triangles) correlates with the subduction curve along the **NBT** [383]. More discussion on **NBT** tectonics

and structure exist in existing papers [737]; [110].

2.10 San Cristobal Trench

San Cristobal Trench (**SCT**) stretches in SE direction from the junction from the New Britain along the group of Solomon Islands: Bougainville, Choiseul, Santa Isabel, Malaita until it reaches San Cristobal Island from which it derived the name. The Woodlark Basin is adjacent to the **SCT** to the southwest and to the south of the Solomon Islands arc region (Fig. 2.10.2) [383]. It is a young (ca. 5 Ma) oceanic basin that subducts beneath the **NBT** [760]. The eastern part of the Solomon Plate bordering the **SCT**, enters the front of the Pacific Plate. As a consequence, it results of significant earthquakes along the Solomon Plate [756].

Map 2.10.1: New Britain and San Cristobal trenches: geoid model map

The environment of the hadal trench presents a complex system with highly interconnected factors affecting geomorphological structure, formation and development of the trench: slabs and tectonics plates, bathymetry, geographic location, geologic structure of the underlying basement and sediment thickness [433]. Further detailed explanation on structure and these factors can be found in existing literature [124]; [171]; [716]; [298]; [503]; [625]; [252] describing bathymetry, geomorphology, sedimentation, submarine canyons and terraces of the **NBT**. However, these work are mainly restricted to the western part of the Solomon Sea and are based on the existing data at that time. Current study proposes a comparative analysis of the **NBT** with its contiguous **SCT**. Moreover, this study is supported by modern datasets (**SRTM** 15 sec Digital Elevation Model (**DEM**), gravity and geoid grids) using fine cartographic toolset **GMT**.

Map 2.10.2: New Britain and San Cristobal trenches: geological and tectonic map

Map 2.10.3: New Britain and San Cristobal trenches: gravimetry model map

2.11 Philippine Trench

Philippine Trench (PT) is located in the axe of the collision of the Philippine Sea Plate (PSP) and Sunda Plate, west Pacific Ocean.

Map 2.11.1: Philippine Sea Basin: GEBCO based bathymetric map

PSB is marked by the complex interaction of three lithospheric plates: Eurasian, Australian and the PSP, which includes collision, subduction and accretion. Old, heavy and large ($103,300,000 \text{ km}^2$) Pacific plate plays the major role comparing to the Australian Plate ($47,000,000 \text{ km}^2$) and the PSP $5,500,000 \text{ km}^2$ [7]. The morphology of the Pacific plate has a low dip angle at shallow depths. The PSP, a large and tectonically complex region of the western Pacific located between the Pacific, Eurasian and Australian plates, is the worlds largest marginal basin plate [664]. The PSP has two back-arc basins formed in Oligocene to Miocene period: Parece Vela and Shikoku Basins (Fig. 2.11.2).

Slab dynamics is one of the important driving forces for the trench formation affecting the mechanisms of its migration (retreat or advance). It is therefore crucial to characterize the origin of the subducting slab morphology in the deep mantle identifying the features of subduction zones, which are among the fundamental issues of solid Earth [761]. Effects of slab mineralogy and phase chemistry on the subduction dynamics (buoyancy, stress field) kinematics (rate of subduction and plate motion), elasticity (deformation and seismic wave speed), thermometry (effects of latent heat, isobaric superheating) and seismicity (adiabatic shear instabilities) are discussed previously [56].

Map 2.11.2: Philippine Sea Basin: Geologic map.

The geologic map shown on Fig. 2.11.2 indicates boundaries between the lithospheric plates (solid red lines). Yellow fronts denote trench axes. Tectonic slab contours are depicted by the red dashed lines. Green arrows and relevant numbers indicate the convergence rates (mm/year) along the trenches. Magenta triangles denote hydrothermal areas mostly located along the trench. The morphology and development of the subducted slabs are complex [97], on either mantle flow or convective layering at 660 km depth. Slabs can deflect horizontally in the upper mantle transition zone beneath some convergent margins whereas penetration to lower mantle depths occur beneath other island arc segments. As a result, different styles of the subduction across the upper mantle transition zone affect trench formation and are reflected in its geomorphology.

Double seismic zone beneath the Mariana Island arc is explained [647] by the following conceptual scheme of the processes of the global plate tectonics. Subduction of the cooled plate into the mantle causes formation of the deep ocean trenches where, as a consequence, earthquakes and tsunamis originate. Active volcanism and seismic situation around Mariana arc show recorded events at the cross-sections of the earthquake transects. Subducting lithospheric plates act as giant radiators of the heat cooling, thickening, and progressively subsiding from ridge to trench. As a result, spreading seafloor, affected by

moving plates, creates an axial rift, corrugated hills, and ridges, formed by the nearby faults.

Plate subduction along the Izu-Bonin Mariana arc (**IBM**) trench caused trench roll-back, arc rupture and back-arc rifting of two interconnected back-arc basins: Parece Vela and Shikoku Basins. The Kyushu-Palau Ridge (**KPR**), a remnant arc of the active **IBM** system results from the spreading of the Shikoku Basin (Fig. 2.11.2). It plays a key role in the subduction process of the west Philippine Sea Plate: the subduction zone here is characterized by the **KPR** subducting beneath the Kyushu Arc (Fig. 2.11.2) [82]. A set of various geo dynamic processes including subduction-interface rheology, phase-transition buoyancy, slab stagnation, rollback of mantle and ridge-push effects, cause significant trench motion of the **IBM** detected as advance by global plate-motion observations [101].

Map 2.11.3: Geoid model of the **PSB**

Seismic phenomena in the **PSB** (Fig. 3.3.5) can be explained as the result of tectonic processes at the edges of the lithospheric plates that spread apart at the ocean ridges along the large strike-slip faults and converge at the hot and weak volcanic island arcs of **IBM** and the Philippines. Seismicity map (Fig. 3.3.5) in the **PSB** area shows that most earthquakes are confined to the marginal areas presenting a narrow, continuous belts around large stable areas of the **PSP**. The activity differs by divergence and convergence zones being moderate in the zones of plate divergence and including deep shocks at shallow depths in the zones of plate convergence. Seismic data on focal mechanisms are presented at Centroid Moment Tensor (**CMT**) catalogue which gives a relative direction of tectonic plates motion throughout active

belts. Focal mechanisms point at relative motions of the lithosphere plates determined from magnetic and topographic data associated with the zones of plate divergence.

Map 2.11.4: Gravity model of the [PSB](#)

A phenomena of the deep-sea trench includes its migration that depends primarily on the age at the trench [165], [217]: located on the place of the subduction plate boundary at a given time, the trench may change its location over time as a result of the complex processes of the global plate tectonics. As proved by [350], trench migration and hence, geomorphic fluctuations, depends on the lower plate parameters and controlled by the subducting plate velocity V_{sub} . From the more recent publications on [PT](#) dynamics it has been noted [761] that fluctuations in the trench dynamics affect slab morphology and character. Another particular feature of the [PT](#) regions is tectonic plate tearing in the northwestern corner of the subducting [PSP](#) discussed in detailed by [497].

[766] keep track of the lateral fluctuations in the upper mantle structure of the [PSB](#), which is later more detailed studied by [767] with a more close focus on the geophysics across the Philippine Sea. In some previous case studies [542]; [524]; [570], using available methods of the oceanographic mapping existing at that time, the geomorphic patterns of the deep-sea channels, submarine fans and their topography were analyzed with regards to the deep-water sedimentation [134], mid-ocean ridge tectonics and volcanism, dynamics of the Philippine back arc [90]; [181]; [220], earthquakes and gravitation [499].

There are proven relationships between the geomorphic structure of the submarine landforms and sedimentation in the selected parts of the Ocean [138]. Other factors include oceanology as underwater currents [197], geology [225], tectonics [226], earthquakes in the Western PSP [496], accumulation of the sedimentation that goes into the hadal trenches through the canyon-channel systems [113].

Map 2.11.5: 3D relief model: Philippine Trench (south segment)

PT is located along the eastern edge of the Philippine archipelago, which is a deformed orogenic belt resulting from the collision of oceanic and continental blocks [310]. Here, the PSP subducts under the Philippine archipelago (4°-15° N), which is a part of a convergence zone between the Eurasian plate (Sunda block) and the PSP [596]. Subducting PSP cause a high-velocity anomaly in the KPR area. The PSP tears and forms a slab window corresponding to the KPR subduction [82]. Tearing of the tectonic plate in the northwestern corner of the subducting PSP is further discussed by [497]. The PSB is a complicated region, notable for complex bathymetry and tectonic plate shape [753], consisting of many different patchworks of the seafloor ages [101].

Because of the location in the Philippine and Sunda tectonic slabs collision, the Philippine Fault is proven to have motion in its dynamics [245]; [765]; [758]; [646]; [311]; [282]. The northwestward mo-

tion of the Philippine Sea Plate is partially absorbed by the subduction of first, the **PT** moving westwards, second, the East Luzon Trough located in the north-east, and third, by the Philippine Fault. Along with geologic factors, tectonic instability of the Philippine Sea Trench necessarily causes variations in the structure of its geomorphology, which is studied in this paper.

PT has been studied with respect to the geophysical processes and geological settings at selected sample points margins. This interest is in part due to correlation that exist between tectonic plate movements and historical evolution of the geological processes [242]; [671]; [611]; [130]; [89]. There is also evidence of deep-sea species existing on the seafloor of the **PT** which provides a habitat to a certain examples of the hadal crustaceans shrimps such as amphipods [238]. **PT** supports geomorphic evidence of the tectonic deformation resulting from the westward **PSP** subduction along the trench, as reconstructed by [611] who detected a raised marine platforms and terraces along the 80-km-long coastline of the Philippine coasts.

Heterogeneous nature of its submarine geomorphology resulting in depths and steepness variations at the local level (ca 1200 km) is caused by crustal deformation along the Philippine Fault, Luzon originated from Eocene [771], [701]. Specifically, the fault reveals left-lateral slip of the Philippine archipelago from the northwest corner of Luzon to the southeast end of Mindanao. Therefore, it is often challenging to distinguish the multiple spatial variables of the tectonic and geological factors with those of depth distribution and gradients in deep-sea trenches.

Simulated tectonic evolution of the southern Japan [228] shown that it was caused by the subduction of the Pacific and **PSP** and the collision of the **IBM** arc: geomorphological and geological data on plate movements suggest that subsidence affected the entire **PSB** after which the area of subsidence narrowed until the basin's uplift. [167] identified the geodynamic processes in the crust and mantle of the Philippines archipelago which induce geographic differences in azimuthal tomographic anisotropy between the central and southern Philippines. Recorded earthquake events at the 11 cross-sections of the earthquake transects visualized at three groups of depths: 0-60, 60-350 and > 350 km, demonstrating active volcanism and seismicity around the Philippine archipelago and trench.

Further reading on tectonics and geologic settings of the **PT** can be found in relevant papers, e.g. with more detailed discussions on spatial variation in seismic earthquake data [52]; shape form of the subducting slabs [35]; tectonics of the Parece Vela Basin [583]; subduction system of the **PSP** [251]; questions of fault topography patterns and quaternary faulting along the Philippine Fault zone, Central Luzon [245]; highlighted accreted terranes in the northern part of the Philippine archipelago [310]. Recent surveys revealed the presence of peridotites, gabbros, and massive and pillowed flows of the Mesozoic ophiolite belt. Major, trace and rare earth elements of the volcanic rocks show subduction-related influences on formation of the island arc [214]. Mixed sedimentary consolidated rocks were formed by the submarine erosion from the abyssal plain area located near the **PT** and transported to the trench, as well as submarine canyons followed by water currents [425].

Some more studies of the **PSP** have investigated its geophysical settings with a special focus on magnetism and reconstruction models highlighting its complex tectonic history, origin and motion development, seismicity and earthquakes [221]; [220]; [765], [408]. Other efforts have been focussed on interpreting the geophysical and tectonic settings of the **PSP**. Thus, [766] discussed lateral fluctuations in the upper mantle structure of the **PSB**, studied more detailed by [767] with a close focus on the geophysics across the **PSB**.

2.12 Manila Trench

Manila Trench (**MnT**) is located in South China Sea, west Pacific Ocean, west of the islands of Luzon and Mindoro in the Philippines (Map 2.12.1), [404].

Map 2.12.1: Manila Trench: bathymetric map, SRTM 15 sec grid

The area of the **MnT** is located in the Manila subduction zone at the Philippine Sea plate boundary where it moves in the northwest direction toward the Eurasian plate with a high convergence rate [514], [406]. The **MnT** with its maximal depth of the trench about 5,400 m [511] and stretching in almost vertical North-South direction is created by the subduction of the Eurasian Plate (through its part Sunda Plate) under the Philippine Sea Plate. Specific geological structure of the **MnT** causes potential repetitive earthquakes and seismicity [749].

Another frequent hazard caused by the subducting slab of the Eurasian plate beneath the **MnT** (Map 2.12.2, left) is destructive tsunamis causing catastrophic damages in the source area and along the coast-lines of the Luzon Island. The hypocenters of the tsunami of the **MnT** are located at the depths <100 km [641]. The tsunami hazard from the **MnT** source has been assessed in more details in several research papers [571], [35], [690]. The zone of the Eurasian Plate subduction explains the belt of volcanoes in the **MnT** area (Map 2.12.2, left), on the west side of the Philippine island of Luzon. The area between the northernmost Manila subduction zone and southern Taiwan is considered a transition from subduction to initial collision and a weakly coupled nature for the northern part of the **MnT** [96], [406].

The geological complexity of the **MnT** is also expressed by the connection with the **PT** where a horizontal mantle flow exists between the **MnT** and the **PT**. It is caused by the collision between the Palawan block and the Philippine Mobile Belt, and movement off the South China Sea slab [167], [406],

[404]. Various factors cause the formation of the MnT. Being a hadal trench, it presents a special area of the ocean seafloor with distinct geomorphological structures characterized by the notable depths and steep gradient angles, located in the zones of the continental margin tectonic plates bending.

Map 2.12.2: Manila Trench [418]

Map 2.12.3: Manila Trench: marine free-air gravity map

The convergence between the two plates forming the MnT is roughly northwestward [406]. There

is a high-velocity zone present in the crust and upper mantle beneath the Luzon Arc where the **MnT** is stretching [140]. All these factors briefly mentioned to illustrate the tectonic settings of the study area indicate high seismicity and special tectonic zone where the **MnT** has been formed. The seafloor of the hadal trench presents a complex structure combined of various landforms: mid-ocean ridges, transform faults, ocean plains complicated by chains of seamounts, minor ridges, trenches and plateaus.

Map 2.12.4: Manila Trench: 3D mesh map of the geomorphology

Factors influencing geomorphic development, structure and bathymetric patterns of the trench are diverse. To mention some of them: geological, hydro-chemical, geothermal, climatic, tectonic and bathymetric determinants. The steepness of the Manila geomorphology varies: the dip angles along the **MnT** increasing gradually southwards from ca. 25° at the latitudes between 18° and 21° N, 32° at the latitude 17° N, and nearly vertical at the latitude 14° N [93]. The tectonic front of the **MnT** continuing northward into the frontal thrust faults in the western Taiwan shows active plate boundary between the Eurasian and Philippine Sea plates in the Luzon-Taiwan region [768].

The structure of the northern **MnT** has been studied in various papers focused on the problems of crustal structure and deformation in the north of the **MnT** [493], [232], [340]. Among other findings, the increasing dip angle of the **MnT** from north to south has been discovered. The **MnT** loses its sharp bathymetric pattern in the early collision zone and gradually becomes a less well-defined deformation front [491]. However, the comparative analysis of its southern and northern parts is still missing. Therefore, current paper contributes to better understanding of the **MnT** by comparative analysis of its geomorphology in the northern and southern segments.

2.13 Ryukyu Trench

The Ryukyu Trench (RT) is a 1400 km long hadal oceanic trench, stretching along the southeastern edge of the Ryukyu island arc, between the Philippine Sea, Taiwan Island and Kyushu Island, southern Japan, Pacific Ocean [13] (Map 2.13.1) [392]. The trench was formed as a result of the subduction of the oceanic crust of the Philippine Sea Plate beneath the continental crust of the Eurasian Plate [142]. The aim of the research is to analyze differences in the geomorphology and bathymetry of the northern and southern parts of the trench using GMT [743].

Map 2.13.1: Ryukyu Trench and Arc, East China Sea and Philippine Sea: bathymetric map

The bathymetric pattern of the RT varies in its northern and southern parts which reflects the correlation between the geomorphic shape of the hadal trench, steepness of its gradient slope angles and tectonic settings in the area. Thus, in the northern part of the trench, the subduction of the Philippine Sea Plate is notable for shallow depths and steepness, reaching only ca. 11° . On the contrary, the angle becomes steeper, reaching 70° below ca. 70 km. The region located to north-west of the Ryukyu volcanic island arc is a Back-Arc Basin (BAB), a depression of the Okinawa Trough (Map 2.13.2) [392].

The subduction of the Philippine Sea tectonic plate causes eruption of the approximate 34 volcanoes (Map 2.13.2) and earthquakes producing tsunamis. In contrast, the slab dip in the central and southern parts of the RT is more gentle, reaching $40\text{--}50^\circ$ at 70 km depth [333], [517], [392]. There are three groups

of islands on the forearc basement stretching over the Ryukyu arc [322]. The Kerama Fault, about 250 km wide (Map 2.13.2), separates the southern from the central group of islands.

Map 2.13.2: Ryukyu Trench: geologic map, ETOPO1

The RT reaches depths of 7000 m below sea level (BSL) and the forearc slope between the forearc island chain and the trench is between 130 to 160 km wide. The northern group has Yaku-shima and Tanaga-shima and a 200 km long Tokara Channel gap between the island groups [582]. The tectonics of the hadal trench reflects evolutionary processes of the building seamounts and the structure of the Earth's crust. Therefore, it causes variations in the lithological patterns, geological conditions of the rocks formation, stratigraphy and sedimentary settings of the ocean seafloor formation during Jurassic, Mesozoic and Cretaceous. Double subduction system of the RT reflects the complex trench motions as an expression of the interaction between the subducting plates and the underlying mantle of the RT. The Pacific plates subducts beneath the Philippine Sea Plate, which is in turn subducting beneath the Eurasian Plate along the RT [166], [392].

Geomorphology of the ocean seafloor results from the complex geodynamic processes. These demon-

strate traces of the continuous evolution and shape seabed surface as a system of the mid-ocean ridges, transform faults, vast ocean plains complicated by chains groups, ridges and plateaus as well as minor forms such as terraces, seamounts and hills, 3D Map 2.13.5. Various factors affect the trench geomorphology and in turn, are reflected in its complex structure and functionality of the submarine environment. To mention some of them: effects of the magmatism [317], seismicity [217], volcanism and hydrothermal system [549], [550].

Map 2.13.3: Ryukyu Trench: geoid map

Another example is the effect of the thickness of the subduction channels and earthquake rupture segments on the geomorphology of the oceanic landforms. Thus, the spatial differences of the **RT** geomorphology is reflected in its sediment composition that change systematically from lighter off northern Ryukyu arc to heavier along the central part of the Ryukyu arc. This is explained by the dominance of the terrigenous and pelagic sedimentation off of the northern and central Ryukyu arc, respectively [679], [392].

RT presents a structural trap in the continental margins of the Pacific Ocean since the sediments are carried by the ocean currents passing through the system of trenches on the west of the Pacific [392]. The sediment types of the **RT** include among others mud diapirs, hemipelagic sediments, mud-supported breccia, fossil planktonic foraminifera, calcareous nannofossils [723]. The content of the planktonic foraminifera varies according to the seasonal warming of surface waters and vertical mix as a result of the NW monsoon [750].

Map 2.13.4: Ryukyu Trench: gravimetry map

Seismic investigations of the **RT** area show that the sedimentary thickness is ca. 2-3 km on the oceanic plate, oceanic crust thickness is 5-6 km. The upper mantle material underlying the oceanic plate has low seismic velocities, explained by the geochemical processes of the mantle peridotites [330]. Submarine margins of the continents can be divided into two types: the passive and active ones, depending on the features of the geomorphology and geology. The active margin in the Ryukyu area is located off northern Taiwan in the southern Ryukyu forearc (Map 2.13.2), [392]. A mega-splay fault system associated with great earthquakes in the south of the Ryukyu region is described by [254]. Indeed, the southwestern Ryukyu system is characterized by the edge regime that is heavily influenced by the juxtaposing continental lithosphere [344].

Although the seafloor of the **RT** is separated vertically from the euphotic zone, it serves as a depositional center for the organic carbon accumulation. This is caused by the geomorphological factors: steep slopes enable lateral transport from the adjacent continental margins [284]. Studies on the spatial biodiversity of the **RT** show [320] low values at shallow depths, but increased with water depth reaching maximum between the trench floors and abyssal plains. This proves that bathymetric patterns of the deep-sea biomass of the **RT** spatially differ in various regions of the trench.

Composite overlay of the 3D topographic mesh model
on top of the 2D geoid contour plot
Region: the Ryukyu Islands and Ryukyu Trench, West Pacific Ocean

Map 2.13.5: Ryukyu Trench: 3D mesh geomorphological modelling

Such discrepancies reflect differences in the environmental conditions, e.g. primary productivity level. This is also supported by the discoveries of the migration patterns in the **RT** area where reefs and geomorphic landforms serves as a land bridge for the migration of terrestrial organisms from Okinawa-jima to Miyako-jima [16].

2.14 Palau Trench

The Palau Trench (**PaT**) is located in the Philippine Sea, northwards from the Papua-New Guinea and eastwards from the Philippines, west Pacific Ocean (Map. 2.15.1). The geological settings of the **PaT** area is shown on Map 2.14.1. **PaT** with the greatest depth at 8,080 m (situated in its southern part) has a straight shape of the geometric form, stretching from south-west to north-east (Map map: YPT1 and Map map: YPT6), located within a square 133°-136° E and 6°-8° N, east from the Yap Islands.

Map 2.14.1: Yap and Palau trenches: geological map [412]

One of the most unique characteristics of the **PaT** is its short distance (35 km) between the trench axis and the coast of the Palau Islands westwards [332]. The geology of the **PaT** includes sequences of serpentinized peridotite, basalt and limestone on its landward slope and a huge block of coral-reef limestones at depths 4,900 m to 6,500 m [332]. **PaT** is connected with the Kyushu-Palau Ridge in the north and Ayu Trough in the south. Yap and **PaT** are distinguished from the flat-bottomed Ayu Trough by their more similar to V shape in the cross section.

The Islands of Palau located westwards to the **PaT** are composed of volcanic rocks capped by coral reef limestone. This indicates that the Palau islands were located along the volcanic front earlier [332]. The tectonic situation of Yap and **PaT** is on the **PSP**. The **PSP** is the tectonic plate completely surrounded by convergent boundaries of the tectonic plates [758]. The tectonic settings of Yap and **PaT** presents a complex region of convergence among three tectonic plates: the **PSP**, the Pacific and the Caroline plates.

Hence, Yap and PaT belong to the subduction zones surrounding PSP along its eastern boundary.

Map 2.14.2: Yap and Palau trenches: geophysical gravity map [412]

Increasing developments of the ocean resource exploration caused concerns on ocean areas and PaT geomorphology, formed as a result of complex set of processes [401]. The data analysis revealed deep interconnections between its submarine geomorphology and impact factors: geology and bathymetry. The structure of the submarine geomorphology of the PaT is a complex interplay of these processes. Various factors affect its geomorphic structure, geometry of shape, formation and development. The most important include the following: sediments, tectonics and stratigraphy, bathymetry, geographic location, slope aspect degree; geologic structure of the underlying basement, ocean streams determining local geomorphological conditions that depend on the sediment deposition processes.

Main morphostructure elements of the seafloor of the PaT are the outskirts of the continents, tectonic plates and ocean bedrock. The structure of the trench and the nature of its geomorphic shape are therefore greatly complicated by the multiple secondary tectonic disturbances: system of faults, displacements of grabens, horsts and lateral geologic shifts. The interconnection of these complex processes is also illustrated by recent findings [240] that demonstrates correlation between the back-arc deformation and upper continental tectonic plate velocity.

2.15 Yap Trench

Yap Trench (YT) is located in the Philippine Sea, northwards from the Papua New Guinea, eastwards from the Philippines, west Pacific Ocean (Map. 2.15.1). YT with the greatest depth at 8,292 m is ca. 700 km long and 50 km wide [654]. It stretches from the trench axis to Yap islands arc with a characteristic 'J' form [362]. It is morphologically divided into the northern and southern segments with the boundary between the two segments at 8°26'N [182].

Map 2.15.1: Yap and Palau trenches: topographic map [412]

The Yap-Palau subduction zone lies southwards off the Mariana arc-trench system. The Caroline Plate subducts here northwestwards beneath the Philippine Sea Plate. The most prominent geological structures in the Caroline Plate are Caroline Ridge (Map 2.14.1), a buoyant oceanic plateau, and Sorol Trough, spreading in the middle of the ridge [139].

YT and PaT are formed in the place of the PSP subduction and oceanic lithosphere sinking to the mantle. As sinking PSP moves deeper into the mantle, the fluids are released from the mantle rock. This cause melting of the overlying mantle. New magma (molten rock) rises to the surface and erupts violently forming volcanoes and arcs of islands along the convergent tectonic plate boundary. Therefore, earthquakes are common in the subduction zone of the PSP (Map 2.15.1, red triangles).

Map 2.15.2: Yap and Palau trenches: geoid model [412]

YT and **PaT** form a typical trench-arc-basin system which includes deep trench, island arcs, and back-arc basin, a Parece Vela Basin, located in the eastern side of the Philippine Sea Plate (Map. 2.14.1). Such complex tectonic structure of the region is reflected in notable heterogeneity of the crustal and upper mantle velocity structures beneath the Philippine Sea Plate [578]. Necessarily, it affects the submarine seafloor topography and its geomorphic shape. Environment of the **YT** and **PaT** (biochemical and ecological setting) are described in relevant papers [757]; [751]; [512].

Syntheses of the tectonic and magmatic evolution of the region of the Philippine Sea, **YT** and **PaT** can be found in further works [39], [599], [672], [581], [717]. Water mass properties and deep currents in the northern **YT** were observed and discussed recently [512].

2.16 Mariana Trench

Geographic Location **MT** is the deepest part of the World Ocean with a maximal depth of $10,984 \pm 25$ m (95%) at 11.329903° N / 142.199305° E at the Challenger Deep [188]. As the deepest place on the Earth, it is constantly deserving attention of the scientific world [89]; [119]; [166]; [180]; [709]; [712]. The arc of the **MT** can be fitted into a square with coordinates 140° E- 148° E, 11° N- 25° N (Fig. 2.16.1). Geographic location of the edge of the **MT** along the coasts of the continents or island arcs is explained by the subduction of tectonic plates at the boundaries of their collision: Mariana, Pacific, Philippine, Caroline tectonic plates [278].

Map 2.16.1: Mariana Trench: bathymetric map

MT is one of the 37 known deep-water trenches of the World Ocean, 28 of which located in the margin areas of the tectonic plates of the Pacific Ocean [607], and the rest is as follows: 5 are located in the Atlantic Ocean [63] and 5 in Indian Ocean [215]. With a total length of about 2,550 km, **MT** creates a complex of the deeply interrelated factors and processes of tectonic plates. **MT** is a strongly elongated, arched in plan and lesser rectilinear, narrow topographic depression of the seafloor, crescent-like in its geometric plain shape. It is located in the west Pacific Ocean, 200 km to the east of the Mariana Islands, eastwards of the Philippine Islands and China, Fig. 2.16.1. Its transverse profile is asymmetric: the slope is higher on the side of the Mariana island arc, dissected by the deep underwater canyons.

Seafloor bathymetry varies seaward of the trench axis: the Challenger Deep area, southwest of Guam, is associated with the deepest relief sounding of $10,984 \pm 25$ m (95%) at 11.329903° N / 142.199305° E,

and axial vertical loading [188]. The Challenger Deep is up to 2 km deeper than the average depth along the axis of the MT [178]. MT has complex geomorphic structure and unevenness in profiles stretching SW where its geomorphological structure varies. The geomorphology is subjected to various phenomena that affect its shape. These include bathymetry (depths), tectonics plates, geology (sedimentation) and geometry (slope steepness). Stretching for hundreds kilometers, MT is formed as a result of the subduction of the Pacific Plate beneath the PSP. The subduction boundaries have a classified 'Mariana type' where the coupling between the two plates is either weak or non-existent [724]. Westward slope of the MT presents a barren trench wall with no uplift, while eastern part is the border along which western edge of the Pacific Plate is subducting beneath the smaller Mariana Plate. As a result, the geomorphic feature of the MT is its very steep slopes and depths of 3-5 km width of the bottoms rarely exceeding 5 km in breadth [567].

MT has complicated steps of various shapes and sizes, caused by active tectonic and processes of sedimentation. The steepness of its geomorphic depth averages in 4-5°, but its individual parts can be limited to steeper slopes as subjects to the gravitational flow system of the submarine canyons and valleys [312] [574]; [226]. Complex distribution of the various geomorphic material on the adjacent abyssal plains of the ocean contributes to the formation of the geomorphic features of the particular region of the MT. The main area of the seafloor of the trench has prevailing depths of >3000 m, a seabed being the most ancient parts of the ocean floor formed in the late Jurassic. To date, research on MT and IBM arc has focused on aspects of the tectonic processes of plate subduction [166], faulting and deformation [777], [778], geometry of the lithospheric gradient dips [61], plate motion, geologic structure and depths of slabs [243]. [39], petrogenetic studies on geochemic traces of volcanic rocks from the MT pointed at its tectono-magmatic evolution.

Bathymetry Recent bathymetric and topographic analyses [544] indicate that thin lithosphere in the IBM region (20 km) might have been intensely deformed during tectonic evolution of the region. It may explain notable variation in microstructure of samples taken from the ground on the MT, which range from coarse granular and intensely elongated texture to fine porphyroclastic and fine-grained equigranular textures. Submarine relief of the MT is marked by complicated steps of various shapes and sizes, caused by active tectonic and processes of sedimentation. NW Pacific Ocean is specially characteristic for the vast areas of the bottom of the basins occupied by depressions >6000m. The structure of the MT has following general pattern: a major total area is being occupied by the abyssal depths (3-6 km), while the extreme depths >6 km, are smaller in comparison to the previous areas [724]. The abyssal make up relatively small part of the total area of the MT, while the 2nd ones cover about 2/3 of the whole.

Depths >6000 m are confined mainly to the deepest part of the trench located in its SW part, although individual depressions to depths of 6-7 km, rarely up to 7.5 km, occur in the central part of the basins along the trench [557]. Typical depths of the Mariana seafloor are 4000-5000 m where mid-ocean ridges and deep-sea basins are formed by numerous seamounts and deep-sea depressions are located. There are differences in the velocity of the longitudinal seismic waves in the geological layers of the MT structure: water and sedimentary layer have speed of 3.5-6.2 km/s, basalt layer has speed of 6.5-7.0 km/s. The layer of gabbro and banded gabbro are located beneath. The boundary of the crust-mantle is made by a sharp increase in the velocities from 7 to 8 km/s (the boundary of the Mohorovičić (Moho)). Upper mantle is the region with velocities of 8.0-8.2 km/s [189]. Analogues of the oceanic crust on the ground are ophiolites. The average thickness of the crust of this type under the platforms is ca. 40 km [215]. The regions with bark continental type in the ocean are fragments of continental mass formed as a result of the formation of the modern ocean floor causing migration and slow movement of the trench [265]. MT has a distinctive morphological feature of the convergent plate boundaries, along which lithospheric plates move towards each other, located about 200 km parallel to a volcanic island arc [308].

Composite overlay: 3D topographic mesh model on top of 2D geoid contour plot
 Region: Mariana Trench
 Data: ETOPO 5 arc min grid, Geoid Image 9.2 5 min grid

Map 2.16.2: 3D relief model: Mariana Trench, south segment

The formation of the trench is caused by the complex interconnection of various factors [188]. A trench marks the position at which the subducting slab descends beneath another lithospheric slab [130]. General form of the bathymetry of the MT is inclined toward the margin plate being connected with the ocean basic zone. It has high and steep island or continental slopes and more low and gentle slopes from the south-eastern side. The trench has a distinctive morphological feature of the convergent Pacific plate boundaries, along which lithospheric plates move towards each other. Various narrow steps are often found on the slopes of the trench. On the longitudinal profiles of the bottom of the MT there are many deepwater detected transverse steps and thresholds connected by movements of the Earth's crust along transverse faults [777]. These thresholds can play important role in the evolution of the deep water trough. For example, they control the intensity of its filling by sediment precipitation. In this process very important are the streams of the precipitation moving along the bottom from the part of the trough which is closer to the continent [703]. At the bottom of the trough there is a transverse threshold, which can present kind of a dam, in front of which there is accumulation of a thick layer of sediment precipitation.

Geologic development Geologic development of the MT took place in several phases shaped by the influence of various factors: geologic conditions, volcanic submarine areas, hydrological settings of the currents and sedimentation. Seafloor bathymetry of the trench varies significantly in seaward axis. The Caroline Ridge is located off the trench axis at a distance of ca. 250 km. A prominent trench-parallel belt of seamounts with trench-perpendicular width of ca. 250 km, is located <1350km. Another prominent group of wide seamounts intersects the trench at distance of 1600-2000 km [774]. The effects of the volcanism on the biological communities [321] proved correlation between earthquakes and the assemblage structures. Because rapid sedimentation is induced by turbidity currents, the organic matter content increased in the topmost layers which indicate that disturbances to the deep-sea sediments affects vertical distribution structure of meiofauna in the trench.

The seabed structure of the MT is composed in the following way from top to bottom: a sedimentary cover, a basalt of the leitic composition, a complex of parallel dykes of diabbases, an isotropic gabbro, a banded gabbro-ultrabasic complex lying on the mantle ultrabasites [77]. The trench advances toward the upper plate corresponding to the subduction of very old, Mesozoic, oceanic lithosphere. Mariana Arc lavas are enriched in Molybdenum (Mo) and have $\delta^{98/95} \text{Mo} > \text{Mid-Ocean Ridge Basalts (MORB)}$. This implicates the addition of a Mo-rich fluid with $\delta^{98/95} \text{Mo} \sim +0.05\%$ to the mantle wedge beneath most islands [175]. Thin Quaternary clayey sediments overlie a 400 m thick alternating sequence of the Early Cretaceous quartz-trachyte pillow lava and Early to Late Cretaceous radiolarian cherts in the oceanward slope of the MT [244]. MT belongs to the one of the deepest 45% of the oceans depth range, and its unique topography disrupts the continental shelf-slope-rise to abyssal plain continuum, resulting in an array of deep isolated habitats. The often abrupt and distinct topography is likely to further promote speciation through high hydrostatic pressure, remoteness from surface derived food sources and geographic isolation [159].

Lithology Lithological structure and sediment thickness are among other factors affecting MT formation. Relatively few other trenches, comparing to MT, also formed on the boundaries of the tectonic plates, but usually at a greater distance from the continents in the rift zones. Therefore, sedimentation processes within MT are deeply impacted by the location near the rift zones that are mainly associated with the formation of the underwater mountain ranges and spreading. Their expansion in the sides of the neighboring lithospheric plates is a result of the rise towards MT. The outflow to the surface of the ocean floor of the substance of the upper layer of the Earth's mantle. As a result of the unevenness of this process, the transversed faults are formed that have excellent conditions for sedimentation accumulation. According to available geophysical data [145], the oceanic crust of the tectonic plates surrounding MT is composed by a number of layers. Therefore, the impact of the sediment subduction on the trench dynamics is relatively high [253].

MT is the largest structural trap located in the continental margins of the Pacific Ocean: the sediments are being carrying by the ocean waves in a clockwise direction, passing through the trenches on the west of the Pacific (i.e. Kermadec Trench and the TT through the Samoan Passage) flowing northwest across the equator to the MT. Its geomorphic conditions create ideal conditions for the sedimentation intercepting almost all clastic material originating from the adjacent land. Accumulation of the terrigenous sedimentation on the MT seafloor depends on various factors: climatic and hydrodynamic local settings, tectonics, seafloor bathymetry, geomorphology, type and size of particles. Large areas of active sedimentation can be distinguished where speed and volumes are very high, as well as local areas of active sedimentation, or on the contrary, dominating erosion areas. Sedimentation occur in various sediment traps, with some areas noted by areal sedimentation. The processes of the sedimentation within the MT are highly uneven.

Geomorphic structure MT has a complicated geomorphic structure with various types of the continental tectonic plate boundaries producing a unique type of its seafloor bathymetry. The seafloor of the trench is a background, on which all the processes are reflected [131]. Main morphostructure elements of the seafloor are the outskirts of the continents and of the ocean bedrock. The structure of the trench and the nature of its relief are greatly complicated by the multiple secondary tectonic disturbances, i.e. by the occurrence of faults and displacements on of graben, horsts and lateral geologic shifts [507].

Among other trenches, MT is distinct for its edge type associated with the marginal tectonic plate subduction processes [69]. It is formed in the process of plates subduction. Its submarine terrain has a complex structure, the scale of which sharply exceeds the dimensions forms of the Earth's surface on the margin continents. These include the system of the mid-ocean ridges, crossing transform faults, deep-sea ridges, vast ocean plains complicated by chains and mountain groups and plateaus [293]. The width of the continental shelf of the margin plates connecting to the MT occupies varies from a few tens to thousands km with average bottom slope 17-25° [606].

Areas with seamounts at the trench axis are marked by gentle trench geomorphology, smaller axial vertical loading, and bulgy topography at the outer-rise. Mariana Arc and West Mariana Ridge border the trench on the west side. The Caroline Ridge and a group of Caroline Islands are located southwards off the trench axis at distance of about 0-250 km. A prominent trench-parallel belt of seamounts with trench-perpendicular width of about 250 km, is located at around 1350km BSL. Another notable group of wide seamounts, intersects the trench at a distance of 1600-2000 km BSL [774]. Morphological structure of the of the MT has following pattern: a majority of the seafloor is occupied by the abyssal depths (3-6 km) followed by the extreme depths (> 6 km). Depths of > 6 km are restricted mostly to the deepest part of the trench located in the south-western part of the trench (area of Challenger Deep), although depressions of 6-7.5 km BSL, occasionally occur in the central part of the trench crescent [557]. Typical depths of the MT seafloor are 4-5 km [422], [424].

Seafloor of the MT is composed by the oceanic crust forming rift zones of the mid-ocean ridges with a capacity of 5-10 km [557]. MT is complicated and constituent of the interrelated factors forming its tectonic structure. Deformations of the trench respond to the coupling between the upper and lower plates: coupling relating to the continental slab age-buoyancy [642]. Back-arc deformation roughly correlate with upper continental tectonic plate velocity [240]. Trench migration rates are chiefly controlled by lower continental tectonic plate velocity [350], which depends on the tectonic slab age buoyancy [92]. Main ridge axes of the MT represent a place where the upwelling magma encounters cold seawater in the location of tectonic plates. Hydrothermal cooling of magma at the ridge axes causes recycling of the entire volume of the water in the trench column. In this way it provides nutrients for biological communities in the abyssal zone of trench [353], [522], [269]. Examples of interconnection of the system are illustrated by biological communities [186], [348].

Geomorphic structure of the MT is complicated by the longitudinal ridges, steps, large landslide, bodies and ledges. Transverse profile of the MT is strongly asymmetric: slopes are higher on the side of the island arc, dissected by deep underwater canyons with narrow steps. MT has complicated steps of various shapes and sizes, caused by active tectonic and sediment processes. Continental slopes are high, reaching up to several thousand m and inclining to 3-6° (in the SW part up to 30-40°), upper boundary of which coincides with the edges of the shelf (depths 150-200 m). Slopes of the passive margins are strongly complicated by the terraces, ledges, marginal plateaus and canyons. Slopes of the margins are steeper, reaching can 5-7 km in height. Seafloor geomorphology with very steep escarpment of the narrow MT does not play a crucial role for ocean water mixing [223]. Interplay between topography and turbulence of the ocean waves includes impacts of the inertial and meridionally directed tidal inertio-gravity waves, responsible for turbulence and variation of water masses mix according to the depth stratification. At the base of the continental slope of passive margins formed by the adjacent tectonic plates, continental foot forms accumulative body. In turn, it is formed by merged cones of the removal

and plumes of the suspension flows and submarine landslides with abyssal sedimentation.

Map 2.16.3: Earthquakes event map at PSB area: prime hypocentres and magnitude values

Submarine margins of continents, or transition zones, depend on the features of the relief and geology. Accordingly, they are divided into 2 types: the passive and active ones. The 1st ones include the shelf, that is mainland bank, the slope and the mainland foot. Having complicated relief structure with marginal seas, island arcs and deep-sea gutters, MT belongs to the active type of trench. Due to the geodynamic reasons, MT motion, migration and upper plate deformation can be described as the result of the response to the mantle flow and imbalance between the forces exerted by lower and upper continental plates at the plate interface.

Cracks MT is notable for well-developed systems of cracks in the structure. Cracks of the MT are abundantly developed at the edge, in the middle and upper parts of the terrace [240]. The formation of these cracks is caused by gravitational instability: horizontal extension is due to the trench-ward increase of the dip angle of the seafloor surface. Besides, it cause slope instability due to gravity pull. The cracks on the surface of the seafloor on the oceanward slopes of the MT are attributed to tensile rock failure. Cracks are elongated nearly parallel to the strike of the cliffs, some are aligned, branching or merging have no vertical nor lateral displacement. This can be explained by open tension fractures. Surface edges of the MT cracks are sharp, indicating young origin with a noticeable pressure ridge caused by mud

overflow during closure of cracks. Cracks are formed at topographically specific areas, at the horizontally stretched surface of the down-going plates under tensional stress, on the horizontal or very gentle slopes above steep cliffs. Cracks are caused by a combination of gravitational slope instability at the edges of the slope or top of the ridge [280] and additional inertia during earthquake shaking [129], [658].

Submarine earthquakes Deep earthquakes are important factors causing system of cracks of the MT. Transformation faulting is the mechanism for deep earthquakes [319]. Experimental observations [209], [75], [318] indicate a shear instability that develops during the incipient transformation of metastable olivine to wadsleyite or ringwoodite. Horizontal tensional stress during the earthquake shaking triggers development of the system of cracks in the MT, as stress difference under static conditions is caused by the slope inclination. The majority of the cracks within the MT are open tensile failures and are situated in areas of very gentle slope or horizontal parts of the seabed, in most cases near the edges of steep cliffs which delimit the terraces. There is no lateral nor vertical dislocations on both sides of the cracks, so they are open fractures.

Igneous volcanic areas MT is important integral feature of the active continental margins that naturally includes deep plate subduction. Subduction of slabs of oceanic lithosphere into the deep mantle involves a wide range of the geophysical and geochemical processes and is of major importance for the physical and chemical evolution of the Earth [546]. Thus, it is noticed [277] that the continuity of the slab across gaps in the Wadati-Benioff seismic zone between deep earthquake clusters and shallow seismicity and they argue that only the deep earthquakes beneath the north Fiji basin and the deep events beneath New Zealand may occur as detached events with no mechanical connection to the surface [388]. Using the observation and triggering mechanism of high-frequency oceanic T-waves, [28], it has been argued [213], [243] for the mechanical continuity of most slabs across the deep seismicity gap.

Recent studies revealed [165] that MT advance toward the upper plate corresponding to the subduction of very old, Mesozoic oceanic lithosphere. The Mariana Arc lavas are relatively enriched in Molybdenum (Mo) and have $\delta^{98/95} \text{Mo}$ significantly greater than MORB (apart from the samples from the island of Agrigan). This implicates the addition of a Mo-rich fluid with $\delta^{98/95} \text{Mo}$ ca. -0.05% to +0.05% to the mantle wedge beneath most islands [175]. Recently updated analyses of global plate motions indicate that significant trench advance is also rare on Earth, being largely restricted to the Marianas-Izu-Bonin arc [101]. The effects of trench migration on the descent of subducted slabs are discussed by [211]. The global plate tectonics movements shaping the seafloor bathymetry can be described as follows.

The seafloor spreading fabric in the MT is obscured by the absence of magnetic anomaly lineations. Elongated topographic structures accompanied by plate bending exist in the oceanward slope of the Challenger Deep [774]. The Japanese Lineation set is a Mesozoic magnetic anomaly lineation, it exists in the East Mariana Basin, east of the MT [566], which means that the subducting plate along the southern part of the MT is a part of the Pacific plate formed in the Mesozoic.

Other remarkable topographic feature of the MT includes a set of many elongated ridges and escarpments accompanied by the plate bending on the outer slopes with two existing distinct strikes for these structures. The elongated structures have two strikes: N85°E and the same as the trench axis; N70° E. Most bending-related structures except those near the trench axis, were formed by the reactivation of the seafloor spreading fabric. The presence of cracks is another distinguished geological feature of the MT [580]. MT has many open prominent cracks on its surface, up to a few meters deep and a few hundred meters long on the diatomaceous clayey sediment surfaces of its oceanward slopes. The shape of the cross-section is Y-shaped. More precisely, the bottom is a very narrow (1-3 km), yet in some places wide (several tens of kilometers) flattened.

2.17 Izu-Bonin Trench

The Izu-Bonin Trench (**IBT**), also known as Izu-Ogasawara Trench is a hadal trench located in west Pacific Ocean and lies south of Japan (Map 2.17.3), [405].

Map 2.17.1: Izu-Bonin Trench: bathymetric map

Longitudinally, it extends from near Tokyo, Japan to Guam [30]. In its structure, the trench continues the Japan Trench. The **IBT** is formed in the area of active tectonic subduction of the Cretaceous (135 Ma) Pacific Plate beneath the Philippine Sea Plate [652], [405]. As a consequence of this subduction the following structures are formed: a volcanic arc of the Izu and Bonin Islands on the Izu-Bonin-Mariana Arc system and the Shikoku Basin as a backarc basin (Map 2.17.2). The **IBT** is bordered on the east by the Ogasawara Plateau [573], [405].

In its historical development, the Izu Bonin arc has two major periods of arc formation that differ in duration, composition, and volcanology. The first lasted ~5 to 6 million years and produced voluminous boninitic-tholeiitic magmas. The second post-42 Ma Izu Bonin arc formation period produced a classical volcanic arc with stratocones [30]. The formation of the Shikoku backarc basin took place in the early Miocene, ca. 30-15 Ma [707]. A new period of along-strike arc rifting began about 2.5 Ma ago [279]. The historical development of the oceanic trench is mirrored in its seabed structure, which is well structured, including various layers: a sedimentary cover, a basalt of the leucitic composition, a complex of parallel dykes of diabbases, gabbro and gabbro-ultrabasic complex lying on the mantle ultrabasites.

Map 2.17.2: Izu-Bonin Trench: geologic map

The trench extends to nearly 10 km depth. The 200 km wide forearc region includes inner trench slope with a basal terrace and seamounts, outer arc connected with the Bonin Islands and a thick forearc sedimentary basin east of the active arc volcanoes. The backarc region encompasses active rift basins and cross-chains of submarine arc volcanoes extending into the Shikoku Basin [127]. Northern end of the Izu-Bonin arc is a juvenile oceanic arc colliding with the Honshu arc, a mature island arc since Middle Miocene Saito and Tani, 2017).

A chain of serpentine seamounts is exposed at the forearc slope 40-50 km west of the IBT axis. Seismically, few large earthquakes ($> M7.0$) [306] are detected at depths < 100 km (red circles on Map 2.17.2) and many large earthquakes at depths 100-300 km (green circles on Map 2.17.2). The Boso triple junction located on the north of the Izu-Bonin Collision Zone (Map 2.17.2) is the northern end of the arc colliding with the Honshu Island arc as a consequence of the northwestward migration of the Philippine Sea Plate [579], [405].

The IBT is boarded by the Philippine Sea tectonic plate in the west. The Philippine Sea is a geologically complicated region notable for complex bathymetry and plate shape [753] and a complex patchwork of seafloor ages. The bounding subduction zones of the the Philippine Sea Plate exhibit significant NS variation in slab morphology [101]. As a results of the interplay of these factors, the trench is consisting of the Izu (north) and the Bonin (south) separated roughly by the Ogasawara Plateau. Thus, seafloor ageing affects advancing trench through the subduction of progressively older and stiffer lithospheric

material [165], which was further discussed by [281] who pointed on asymmetric spreading caused by ridge migration in the Izu-Bonin region in view of age difference between the oldest forearc basalt and the crust of the Amami Sankaku Basin.

Map 2.17.3: Izu-Bonin Trench

More detailed description of the Izu-Bonin tectonics, geophysical, petrological and geochemical settings, volcanism and seismic characteristics, analysis and interpretation of geomorphological, geological, and thermochronological data, are reported in relevant publications [270]; [18]; [670]; [587]; [228]; [202]. Hence, the region of the Izu-Bonin-Mariana Arc is now one of the best and intensively studied oceanic arcs. However, lesser attention is given to the submarine geomorphology of the IBT as a deep-sea geomorphic structure. Referring the lack of such research, current study aims at visualizing the trench by mapping and modelling its geomorphic form using cartographic approach of the GMT.

Morphologic development of the IBT took place in several phases shaped by the impact of various factors: geologic conditions, volcanic submarine areas, and inner ocean currents and sedimentation. Factors affecting formation, geomorphic development and bathymetric patterns of the trench are diverse. The most important ones include tectonic development, geological, hydro-chemical, biological, geothermal, climatic, tectonic, bathymetric and geomorphological determinants, deep ocean currents that bring sediments to the trench bottom and contributing towards accumulation of the sediment thickness. Impact of lithosphere is illustrated by a constant exchange of matter and energy between the submarine volcanoes located nearby [266]. The hydrosphere influence on the MT is reflected by deep ocean currents bringing sediments to the trench bottom and contributing towards accumulation of the sediment thickness [253]. The trench is located in the collision of the tectonic plates. It has a distinctive morphological feature of the convergent Pacific Plate boundaries, along which lithospheric plates move towards each other. Not all seismic zones in subducted slabs of the IBT are continuous and that some deep events seem to occur isolated from those at shallower depths.

2.18 Japan Trench

The Japan Trench (**JT**) is located in western part of the Pacific Ocean, eastwards off Japan (Map 2.18.1) [396]. The geologic and tectonic settings in the region of the **JT** are strongly influenced by the subduction of the Pacific Plate beneath northeastern Japan and North American Plate along the **JT** (Map 2.18.2), which is well described in the previous works [261], [393]. The region of the **JT** is a convergent zone associated with many earthquakes [762]. It belongs to the circum-Pacific seismic belt (a.k.a. 'Ring of Fire') where large earthquakes originate within the areas of sedimentation on upper forearc slopes [17], [396], [393].

Map 2.18.1: Japan Trench: topographic map

The structure of the trench presents a typical arc-trench system [520]. In terms of tectonic geomorphology, the arc-trench system is a type of the mobile belts with bathymetric and topographic relief formed under the impact of tectonic plates subduction, active volcanism, repetitive earthquakes and orogenesis. The geological complexity of the **JT** results in the high seismicity of the area, which is well studied in various works [565], [259]; [500]; [67], [393]. The geology of Japan trench-arc system consists of Jurassic to Neogene accretionary prisms and Neogene to Quaternary sedimentary and volcanic rocks caused by volcanism during the opening of the Sea of Japan in the middle Miocene [730], [396].

Map 2.18.2: Japan Trench: geological map

The Cretaceous-Paleogene granitic rocks are exposed in several regions, especially on the fore-arc side. High seismicity of the region can be illustrated by several large interplate earthquakes that have affected the Tohoku region along the JT during the last century [752]. Because JT is located on the tectonic plates subduction zone, the earthquakes here belong to the largest and most destructive earthquakes in the world [292]. Many open cracks on the diatomaceous clayey sediment surfaces of oceanward slopes of the northern JT were detected by [580]. The surface of the seabed outside the cracks (i.e. normal seabed) appears to be coated by ferroman-ganese oxides [283], and is overlain by fine pelagic mud, containing dominantly diatoms, silicoflagellates, radiolarians and sponge spicules, together with a considerable amount of detrital quartz and ash fragments.

Northern and southern parts of the JT demonstrate variations in their geologic features with heterogeneously distributed seismicity around the JT. Most of the large earthquakes with magnitudes > 7 occurred in the northern forearc region of the trench. For example, the Tohoku-Oki earthquake of 2011, is the largest earthquake that took place in forearc region of the Northeast Japan subduction zone, west off the JT [356]; [274], through the shallow part of the subduction zone to the seafloor surface. It triggered a devastating tsunami with massive damages along the northeast coast of Honshu, Japan [292].

Map 2.18.3: Japan Trench: geoid model map

Southern part of the trench is seismically more 'quiet': no large earthquakes were recorded during recent centuries [552], except for the 1938 Shioya-oki earthquake. The subduction zone characterizes northern part of the trench [718]. In a 60 km buffer gap off the trench axis, a decollement structure with soft sediments is located. The zone of this structure between two tectonic plates decouples shear stresses, which in turn, forms an aseismic zone. Comparing two devastating earthquakes: the 1968 Tokachi-Oki and the 1994 Sanriku-Haruka-Oki, two distinct heterogeneities along the plate boundary at 40°10'N, located exactly at the southern boundary of the two earthquakes were detected [230]. This well illustrates the direct affect of the plate tectonics triggering earthquake events that naturally lead to significant deformations in the geomorphic landforms. It further explains the coincidence of the focal regions and the structure with slower velocities in the lower crust.

The subduction of the seamount chain penetrating to the Pacific Plate possibly take place. It can further create irregular geomorphic landforms. When these seamounts subduct deep, they collapse due to the mechanical affects from the free water released by a collapsing seamount. In turn, it may rise into the island-arc mantle and the lower crust. This brief illustration of the deep correlation of the tectonic and geological processes that result in actual land forms and geomorphological patterns. Seismic

investigations of the **JT** axis shown [66] variations in the frictional resistance along a décollement on the structural development of the frontal wedges near the subduction zones.

Map 2.18.4: Japan Trench: gravity model map

The observed slope sediments between the inner and outer wedge may have accumulated during the growth of supercritical wedge. Seismic surveys indicate [334] that shallow areas at the Tohoku earthquake experienced significant deformation. During this event multiple faults slipped above and below the pelagic clay layer [610].

The geophysical features of the **JT** area were discussed [500]: comparison of the **JT** Nankai subduction zone for the distribution of the stress state [396], [393]. Based on the correlations between strength and temperature, the deep seismicity is likely to result from plastic instabilities, with the distribution of earthquakes being related to strength distribution and therefore slab mineralogy. A complex rheological structure for subducting slabs has been proposed by Karato, Riedel, and Yuen [308], due to the effects of grain size reduction during phase transformations. Based on their model, rapidly subducting and therefore relatively cold slabs should be weaker than slowly subducting warm slabs. Not all seismic zones in subducted slabs are continuous and that some deep events seem to occur isolated from those at shallower depths [277].

Composite overlay of the 3D topographic mesh model
on top of the 2D geoid contour plot

Region: Japan trench

Perspective view azimuth: 165/45°

Data: ETOPO 5 arc min grid, World Geoid Image 9.2 5 min grid

Map 2.18.5: Japan Trench: 3D mesh model map of geomorphology

Structure, magnetism, and dynamics of subduction zones, the continuation of the low wave speed, high attenuation (low Q), and seismically anisotropic wedge are normally near 400 km depth beneath some back arcs. This may be explained by the persistence of hydrous phases to that depth and that magnetic systems are not limited to near-surface regions of the mantle. The correlation also exist between the dehydration of the slab, rupture nucleation and crustal earthquake triggering.

2.19 Puysegur Trench

Puysegur Trench (**PsT**) has ca. 800 km in length, stretching from the most southern tip of the South Island to the Macquarie Triple Junction [387].

Map 2.19.1: Hikurangi, Puysegur and Hjort trenches, New Zealand: GEBCO based topographic map

The Macquarie Fault Zone is associated with the Alpine Fault, which is the right-lateral transform fault boundary separating the **PsT** and the northern **KT** [37], [387]. The Macquarie Ridge Complex (**MRC**) is a dominantly translational structure accommodating right-lateral motion between the Australian and Pacific Plates and **PsT**, where Australian lithosphere subducts beneath the southwest corner of New Zealand on the Pacific Plate. The plate boundary south of New Zealand is located along the **MRC** which is crucial for understanding the tectonic structure of the region. Comparing to the Hikurangi, **PsT** and Hjort are younger (started at 168 Ma) and less developed [700], [387]. The Indo-Australian Plate subducts beneath the Puysegur Bank and the Fiordland Massif forming **PsT**.

Hjort Trench continues **PsT** southwards from the Macquarie Island (Fig. 2.19.1) [387]. It presents a linear topographic depression south of Macquarie Island in the southwest Pacific Ocean (Fig. 2.20.1).

Hjort Trench lies in an area of transpression where plate boundary transitions from a transform boundary to a convergent one. Hence, this region shows how a transform boundary with a vertical (near-vertical) transform fault becomes an area of under-thrusting [540]. The deepest point of Hjort Trench is ca. 6.3 km b.s.l. Eastwards, the Hjort Ridge follows the general curve of the Hjort Trench, separating it from the Hjort Plateau, [387].

Map 2.19.2: Hikurangi, Puysegur and Hjort trenches, New Zealand: geoid model

Unique tectonic setting of the region consist in the connection of two subduction zones in the north (Hikurangi margin) and in the south (Puysegur margin), connected by an oblique continental collision along the Alpine Fault located in the South Island of New Zealand. This cause variations in the submarine geomorphic structure of the trenches which is also referred as 'subduction scissor' [608]. The

Puysegur/Hjort subduction is highly oblique (dextral) and directed southwards. The Hikurangi subduction is directed northwestwards. Hence, the South Island is caught in between by the 'subduction scissor'. In the absence of available high-resolution information and detailed mapping on the seabed geomorphology of the Hikurangi, Puysegur and Hjort trenches, a GMT approach to map their seafloor on a local scale were undertaken. Since seafloor of the deep-sea trench represents an integrated response to a complex range of factors, such as oceanographic, geological and tectonic conditions which eventually define the present shape of the seabed landforms, an integrated approach was used to visualize, model and map three trenches on the New Zealand margin [387].

Hjort Trench is characterized by an oblique subduction zone geoid anomaly. Large 1924 earthquake occurred in the northern Hjort Trench which suggest a thrust focal mechanism and active subduction of young oceanic lithosphere beneath older oceanic lithosphere [87]. Frequent seismic events, most less than 20 km deep, characterize the transpression along this plate boundary [515]. The southern segment of the Alpine Fault on the South Island continues into the Tasman Sea Basin and finishes at the PsT (Fig. 2.20.1). The oceanic Indo-Australian Plate subducts eastwards through the PsT under the Pacific Plate [387].

Geologic events during the tectonic historical records of this region, such as Eocene-Oligocene rifting as well as Miocene-Quaternary strike-slip, crustal thinning in the Solander Basin, development of the oblique subduction zone in the PsT, are studied by processing seafloor relief data and interpretation of the seismic and bathymetric cross-section profiles acquired over the PsT area [541]; [351]; [352]. Effects of slab mineralogy and phase chemistry on the subduction dynamics (buoyancy, stress field), kinematics (rate of subduction and plate motion), elasticity (deformation and seismic wave speed), thermometry (effects of latent heat, isobaric superheating) and seismicity (due to adiabatic shear instabilities) are well discussed [56]. The morphology and development of the subducted slabs are more complex than modeled [97], on either whole mantle flow or convective layering at 660 km depth. Apparently, slabs can deflect horizontally in the upper mantle transition zone beneath some convergent margins whereas penetration to lower mantle depths can occur beneath other island arc segments. Different styles of the subduction across the upper mantle transition zone and trench formation.

Various physical and chemical processes interact during tectonic plates subduction, and the complexity of the system necessitates an interdisciplinary approach involving seismology, mineral physics, geochemistry, petrology, structural geology, rock mechanics, and geodynamic modeling. For example, phase transformation kinetics determine buoyancy, rates of subduction and, therefore, thermal structure, with the latter feeding back to affect the kinetics.

Rheology and large scale slab dynamics is affected by mineral transformations and their kinetics. The rheology of mantle minerals, though having considerable importance in controlling the subduction process, is exceedingly difficult to study experimentally, due to the experimental limitations, since rheology at pressures of the transition zone and lower mantle [309]. An increase of intrinsic density or viscosity with depth as well as phase transitions with a negative Clapeyron slope can all inhibit or delay deep subduction, tectonic conditions and relative motion of the tectonic plates at Earth's surface play important role. The effects of subduction of crustal rocks during continental collision consists in following subduction to depths >90km. Slices of continental crust exhumed back to the surface very rapidly, thus ensuring the survival of the high-pressure minerals.

2.20 Hikurangi Trench

The Hikurangi Trench (HT) (sometimes also referred as trough) is located on the Hikurangi margin, 500 km long 480 km wide [572], northeast from the North Island [387].

Map 2.20.1: Hikurangi Trench, New Zealand: tectonic and geological settings

The Pacific Plate subducts beneath the Indo-Australian Plate at the HT; Indo-Australian Plate subducts beneath the Pacific Plate at the Puysegur and Hjort trenches, Fig. 2.20.1. Two subduction margins are

joined by the dextral transform Alpine Fault west off New Zealand. The area selected for the dataset lies in southern Pacific Ocean and encompasses region off New Zealand coast (Fig. 2.19.1) [387].

Map 2.20.2: Hikurangi, Puysegur and Hjort trenches, New Zealand: Marine free-air gravity anomaly

This area is characterized by a geologically complex history and current situation with oblique subduction zone and subduction scissors. Such complex bathymetry exhibits considerable geomorphological variability among the Hikurangi, Puysegur and Hjort trenches: there are differences caused by seamounts and seafloor obstructing natural curvatures of the trenches. The toponymy of the HT vary in the existing literature due to its specific geomorphology: in some works it is referred as 'trench', e.g. [102]; [619]; [620]; [621], in other works – as 'trough', e.g. [31]; [210]; [295]; [296].

The tectonic location of the Hikurangi is at the southern end of the 1000 km long Tonga-Kermadec-

Hikurangi subduction systems, where Pacific Plate subducts obliquely southwards beneath the Indo-Australian Plate [32] and Indo-Australian Plate has a strike-slip motion at 4 cm/yr, Fig. 2.19.1 [387]. Comparing to the KT reaching up to 9000 m, HT is shallow (ca. 3000 m).

Pacific Plate dips at HT at a gentle angle of about 3° for at least 100 km beneath the trench and then further steepens beneath the North Island [29]. The Alpine Fault stretches sub-parallel to the western edge of the HT (Fig. 2.19.1). The speed of subduction on the Hikurangi Margin varies with a general trend of increase northwards: 34 mm/yr in the south (westward off Bounty Trough), 38 mm/yr in its central part (North Island) and 47 mm/yr in the north (North Island) according to [620]. The Hikurangi north-west dipping subduction zone is old (started ca. 20 Ma). The subducted slab has a well defined WadatiBenioff zone and steepens from shallow angles near the surface to near-vertical at depths >100 km [26].

The geophysical and geological settings of the Hikurangi margin vary along the trench from south to north [731]. Thus, interseismic coupling is strong and deep in the south, then gradually shallows northwards and becomes shallow and weak in the north. Southern region is characterized by accretionary wedge and tectonic contraction in the forearc. Northern region is characterized by frontal subduction erosion, numerous seamounts, and a slightly extensional upper plate.

Kinematics of the Hikurangi margin studied by Global Positioning System (GPS) measurements of the horizontal velocity fields [572] shown changes in faulting along the margin and clockwise tectonic block rotations caused by collision of the Hikurangi Plateau and Chatham Rise with HT and subduction of oceanic crust northeast of the North Island [387].

The Hikurangi Plateau initially formed a part and now separated from the largest oceanic plateau on Earth, the Ontong Java Plateau, located in the southwestern Pacific Ocean [569]. The Hikurangi Margin and continental shelf of the North Island of the New Zealand is well studied through various geologic investigations, more specifically: bathymetric, hydro-acoustic investigations [31], active tectonics. Palaeogeographic reconstruction of the region of Hikurangi introduced by [296] with respect to the evolution of its current topography/bathymetry was done using advanced methods of the thermochronology.

Slab dynamics is one of the most important drivers for the trench formation, dynamics and migration. Many factors affect speed and direction of the trench migration. These include plate-mantle coupling, slab interactions with the mantle transition, plate geometry, kinematics, strain rate, temperature, fluid pressure, deformation mechanisms, as well as mantle rheology [166]. Understanding mechanisms of trench migration (retreat or advance) is crucial to characterizing the driving forces of Earth's tectonics plates, the origins of subducting slab morphologies in the deep mantle, and identifying the characteristics of subduction zones systems, which are among the fundamental issues of solid Earth science [761].

Further findings in exploration geology in the HT with a special focus on methane seepage and gas hydrates in the underlying sediments are relatively well studied (e.g. [210]; [732]; [323]; [591]; [337]; [338]; [534]); studies on past (Holocene) subduction earthquakes, coseismic coastal deformation and tsunami [102]; [50] which later on largely affected submarine geomorphology of the Hikurangi margin forming submerged terraces [49].

Chapter 3

Methodology

Current dissertation contributed towards the development of the advanced approaches of data analysis demonstrating the combined use of [GMT](#), Python, AWK, R and Octave/Matlab. [IT](#) approaches in Earth sciences are effective method. Statistical data analysis was implemented by [ML](#) algorithms. Seamless integration of the multi-dimensional data by R and Python statistical packages enabled to perform marine geodata analysis and proper selection of the optimal algorithms to process the data features. The fully provided codes written on the programming language make this research easily repeatable and accessible for other scientists. The adoption of the open source programming rapidly replacing the commercial technologies, Python and R will soon become popular among the marine geologists. This research contributes towards the development of the technical implementation of the [ML](#) algorithms for effective processing of the geodata.

3.1 Data

DATA used in the dissertation for modelling and visualization include raster and vector types. Data are listed in details in Table 3.1.1. High-quality geospatial data sets are crucial for the geospatial data analysis in a big research project. The importance of the quality of the input data is explained by the fact that it affects the final results: high-precision data always results in the reliability of the output. Therefore, the selection, assessment and integration of relevant data was initial step of the cartographic project. Selecting correct data significantly improves the quality of the results, and the interpretation of the trench geomorphology. The more it is actual for the bathymetric and geomorphic mapping where precision is the key factor for the research output [686], [744], [401].

Raster data include bathymetric and topographic grids. Based on an analysis of the [ETOPO1](#) maps for the 20 trenches, suitable segments were selected for plotting sample data on the cross-section profiles. The geoid and gravity grids were visualized based on the CryoSat-2, Jason-1 raster grids. Usage of such data as [GEBCO](#) (supported by British Oceanographic Data Centre ([BODC](#))), [ETOPO1](#) (from National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration ([NOAA](#)), [11]), [SRTM](#) (supported by National Aeronautics and Space Administration ([NASA](#))), CryoSat-2 and Jason-1 raster grids enables to perform advanced spatial analysis that were demonstrated further in relevant sub-sections. Data analysis and processing grids were performed using [GMT](#),

Vector data include global layers of coastlines, continents and shorelines embedded in the [GMT](#) and downloaded high-resolution American Standard Code for Information Interchange ([ASCII](#)) xyz topographic and gravity data sets from open source repositories ([USGS](#)). Coastal borders on all maps were based on the Global Self-consistent, Hierarchical, High-resolution Geography Database ([GSHHG](#)) to visualize thematic geologic maps for the area of the trenches. High-resolution geo data collection was performed from the web-site [USGS](#) and available embedded [GIS](#) layers of [GMT](#) [740], [741], [687]. A

subset of regional geological settings was collected from the unified catalog of the Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO). Geologic lineaments, ridges, volcanic spots, seafloor fabric, lines of tectonic plates boundaries, rate of movements and fracture zones were mapped through the batch use of several GMT modules and converted to image files by GMT 'psconvert' module. Topographic descriptions of the submarine features were referenced to the existing IHO database of names.

Map 3.1.1: Comparison of the resolution in datasets: GEBCO, SRTM, ETOPO1 and ETOPO5

Other data used in current dissertation included high-resolution bathymetric dataset GEBCO [191]; [553]). The GEBCO project aimed at high precision mapping of the ocean seafloor originated as early as origins at the beginning of the 20th century and since then up to now have been developed by several oceanographic organizations [537]; [735].

Regular updates of the GEBCO dataset grid, Research Vessel (R/V) based bathymetric observation and hydrographic meetings [170] maintain both the accuracy of GEBCO grids [705] and the actuality of

names of the submarine objects [693]. There are other data sets commonly used in the geographic studies ([SRTM](#), [ETOPO1](#), 5 Arc-Minute Global Relief Model of Earth's topography ([ETOPO5](#))). However, [GEBCO](#) resolution of the 15 seconds grids gives a reliable accuracy comparing to the other raster data (Fig. 3.1.1). Using [GEBCO](#) grid was done by [GMT](#) scripting toolset using existing methodologies and technical documentation available both on [GEBCO](#) [343] and [GMT](#) [743].

Table 3.1.1: Data sources, types and precision

No	Data	Origine	Type and Source
1	ETOPO1 , ETOPO5	NOAA	1 arc-min global relief model raster grid [11]
2	Global CMT Catalog	Harvard CMT	[154], [149]
3	Earth Gravitational Model of 1996 (EGM96)	National Geospatial Intelligence Agency (NGA)	described by [651]; [648]
4	GSHHGD	GMT embedded dataset	[740]
5	GEBCO	BODC , IHO Data Center for Digital Bathymetry (DCDB)	15-sec DEM raster grid [537], [553], [207]
6	SRTM	NASA	15-sec DEM raster grid
7	DEM	National Geophysical Data Center (NGDC)	NOAA
8	Toponymic Gazetteer	IHO	[271], [272]
9	Global Volcanism Program (GVP) database	compiled by Smithsonian Institution	U. S.
10	International Seismological Centre (ISC) database	catalogue of the seismicity and earthquakes	[276]; [157]; [745]
11	Google Earth Pro	Keyhole, Inc.	U. S.
12	Geologic datasets	tectonic plate boundaries, slabs, lineaments, trenches, ophiolites, volcanoes	SIO

High precision data resources and grids were selected and chosen from the variety of the available datasets, as a ground basis of this research as summarized in the Tab. 3.1.1. General bathymetric maps were based on a cartographic visualizing of the [ETOPO1](#) raster grid [11], enlarged visualization by [SRTM](#) [168] and [GEBCO](#), both 15 seconds resolution. High-quality bathymetric [ETOPO1](#) and [ETOPO5](#) data were used and compared to perform mapping and geomorphological modelling (Fig. 3.1.1).

3.2 Approaches

GENERAL methodological scheme of the presented research is summarized in Tab. 3.2.1. Traditional methods of the marine geological modelling include using GIS based processing of the RS images, such as for instance aerial photos, Satellite Pour l'Observation de la Terre (SPOT)3, SPOT4 and Environmental Satellite (ENVISAT) data, or producing digital maps based on the data capture in the field [62]. The methodology of the research in submarine geomorphology is traditionally being supported by the GIS software, mainly in geological modeling. Geomorphological studies of the hydrological effects often use GIS modeling and digital terrain analysis for geospatial analysis [48].

Table 3.2.1: Software and tools used in dissertation

No	Tools	Methods	Approach
1	GMT	Cartography	GIS mapping, modelling. Profiles automatic digitizing by modules. Comparative geospatial analysis. Classification
2	QGIS	Cartography	GIS mapping. Profiles manual digitizing by plugins
3	AWK	Data conversion	Table reshaping
4	R	Statistical libraries	Modelling, statistical analysis, graph plotting
5	Python	Statistical libraries	Modelling, statistical analysis, graph plotting
6	Octave	Visualization	Modelling, statistical analysis, graph plotting
7	BibL ^A T _E X	Bibliography	Literature review
8	L ^A T _E X	Compiling	Typesetting

Riverine morphological analysis [51] uses methodology based on experimental fieldwork, setup of network development combined with spatial data analysis by GIS. Other examples include application of aerial imagery of the analysis of the river deltas discharge time series, and land cover and morphological planimetric changes by methods of combined GIS based overlay of the topographic maps, air photographs, and satellite images [19], [395].

Recent methodological example of the Environmental Systems Research Institute (ESRI) ArcGIS application [40] shown riverine geomorphology covering large spatial extents by assessment of their structure, functioning and geomorphology using free datasets, GEarthView plugin for QGIS and algorithms of the RS data processing. ArcGIS based research is presented by [532] focused on a regional scale analysis of changes in channel width and pattern. SAGA GIS are suited for environmental analysis and remote sensing data processing [395], [384], [390]. The assessment of the magnitude and direction of changes caused by flood management in the low land rivers is presented by [258]. By cross-section profiles plotting large datasets of the multi-source data were analyzed: sedimentary cores, hydrographic surveys and historic map to visualize degrees of the involved engineering activities and infrastructure resulting in channel and floodplain modification that affect fluvial processes.

Combined methods of field surveys, seismic profiles echo sounding and classification of the Landsat TM imagery is a core approach [268]. The approach towards submarine canyon mapping is presented as a Remotely Operated underwater Vehicle (ROV)-based habitat mapping program using GEBCO map cartography [267]. The proposed methodology of modeling hydro-morphological degradation [328] is based on various environmental parameters of particular ecological importance (longitudinal and transverse banks, flow diversity, depth and width variance, substrate type and diversity). An application of the Matlab ProcessV3 for hydrological modeling was presented by [357]. Effective approach of the assessment of status and trends in marine fisheries and ecosystems considering marine geomorphology as proxy for marine habitats is proposed by [241].

On the contrary with given above examples, current dissertation makes an accent on using high-level

programming languages and scripting approach for processing large data frames imported from [GIS](#).

3.3 Generic Mapping Tools (GMT)

MAIN toolset used in this research is GMT with modules functionality described in relevant documentation and software release announcement [743]. Comparing to the traditional GIS software, the advantages of the GMT consist in its high efficiency for the scripting based cartographic workflow rather than Graphical User Interface (GUI) as well as open source availability. General scheme of the methodology in GMT included following steps:

- Bathymetric mapping based on the extracted ETOPO1 grid by GMT modules 'grdcut' and 'grdim-age';
- mapping, visualizing and analysis of the thematic data sets: marine gravity and geoid,
- topographic and gravimetric surface modelling: based on the xyz ASCII data;
- cartographic data processing by 'psbasemap', 'psxy', 'grdcontour' for contour plotting;
- 3D-mesh modelling;
- automatically digitized set of the orthogonal cross-stacked profiles by 'grdtrack' module along the selected segment of the trench with track 400 km long, spaced 20 km, sampled 2km along;
- visualizing mean, median values and plotting trends for the profiles by 'trend1d' module;
- descriptive statistical analysis through the visualized histograms.

The use of the GMT was presented as mapping in some works, e.g. [255]. Examples of other advanced tools for geological data analysis include, e.g., PHASER diffractometer, direct observations received from the R/V cruises, observations from DEM [195]. The mapping in the presented dissertation is based on the available data sets and those embedded in the GMT [688].

GMT scripting toolset was selected as a geospatial tool for raster grids processing, mapping and automated digitizing of the geomorphological profiles. The GMT was initially developed by P. Wessel and P. Smith [743], maintained and improved to finally become a powerful open source scripting toolset for the geospatial analysis and cartographic visualization.

In contrast with classic GIS, GMT is completely based on the UNIX-based shell scripting approach with command-line usage without graphical GUI interface. Thus, it is not a classical GIS. Using the full powerful functionality of the GMT requires writing a script with numerous arguments for the fine-tuning and setting up of every cartographic element: projections, labels, north direction, major and minor grids, titles, color palettes, legends, vector elements, annotations, map elements (coastlines, directional roses, scale bars) etc.

Hence, the functionality of the GMT approach consists in its scripting when individual features for the cartographic objects can be defined in each line of the GMT code. Each small code line presents a reference to a specific GMT module. Combined together as a sequential script, the codes are used for mapping. As demonstrated further in the presented codes (see Appendix for the GMT scripts), a great advantage of the GMT is a high cartographic functionality of the toolset enabling to fully adjust and refine aesthetics of the cartographic elements: fonts, lines width and type, layout, a large variety of the visualization, plotting, modelling, advanced cartographic modules (mapping projections, modelling, grid raster data processing), color scales etc. [741].

However, the high cost of the steep learning curve of the GMT as well as its non-visual command line based operation pays off by its powerful functionality and excellent visualization. The GMT is compatible with Unix commands (cut, rm, echo) and Geospatial Data Abstraction Library (GDAL) raster

processing [393], it operates both with raster and vector data, produces high-quality maps and figures, has embedded functions for descriptive statistical analysis (histograms). All this makes it one of the most preferred data visualization and mapping toolsets by the cartographers.

North Pacific Ocean (Orthographic azimuthal projection)

Map 3.3.1: North Pacific Ocean: contour map in the Orthographic azimuthal projection, GMT

As the utility of the seafloor-mapping technology, a GMT scripting was demonstrated with a case study for comparative analysis of the 20 trenches. Because the GMT is a scripting toolset consisting of modules, several main modules were used for preparing maps. The auxiliary GMT modules were used for mapping and cartographic visualizations. Methods of data visualization through GMT modules include cross-section profiles, topographic modelling, thematic geologic mapping, modelling geoid and gravity fields. A number of GMT techniques and modules (e.g. `grdhisteq`, `pscoast`, `pshistogram`, `pstext`, `gmtset`, `gmtdefaults`, `grdcut`, `makecpt`, `grdimage`, `psscale`, `grdcontour`, `psbasemap`, `nearneighbor` for KKT, Figure and `gmtlogo`, `psconvert`) were used to visualize, model and map seafloor characteristics, as well as texture and shape of the cross-section profiles. A 'gmtinfo' module was used to get information about data tables from the raster grids. Auxiliary GMT modules were used for technical data processing, for example, 'gmtwhich' to find the full paths to the files given on the command line, a 'gmt.conf' module was used to define configuration of the GMT parameters. Interpretation of the topographic profiles, geological sampling, gravity modelling and statistical analysis was also made by means of the GMT scripting toolset. A combination of GMT modules was applied for modelling, analysis, comparison and visualization of the variations in the geomorphology of the trenches.

ML approach of GMT was used for semi-automatic plotting, visualization, modelling, mapping and interpretation of the three hadal trenches located in southern Pacific Ocean. Sequential use of GMT modules enabled to gain further insights into their geomorphic shape, and to compare accuracy of the data grid interpretation approaches based on the scripting concept aimed to visualize cross-section transects.

Indeed, GMT cross-section stacking methodology as performed in this research proved to be a successful means of visualizing and plotting geomorphological models applied for the submarine bathymetry by effectively minimizing the hand-made cartographic routine. Indeed, using GMT enables to automatically digitize profiles, then to perform statistical analysis by plotting histograms and model trends for the general shape of the profiles using mathematical models of the lines approximation.

Several GMT modules were tested and applied for the cartographic visualization of the marine free-air gravity, geoid, bathymetric mapping, geomorphic modelling and statistical data analysis. Existing semi-automated methods of cartographic digitizing (e.g. Autotrace based) [661], [442] or sequential use of the specialized software for bathymetric mapping, Hydrosweep DS-2 [470], CARIS HIPS [443], GMT for mapping using CARIS HIPS data by grids and coastlines was driven largely by the developed algorithms of the ML. Application of the Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the cartographic routine, significantly minimizes the handmade routine and subjectivity. On the contrary, it increases the overall precision of the data processing and accuracy of visualization. The GMT proposes more advanced solutions in the automatization of the cross-sections through the ML based digitizing that results in a series of the profile transects across the 20 trenches of the Pacific Ocean.

Map 3.3.2: Landmask of the shorelines (land vs water areas), GMT

Applying GMT techniques to mapping trenches enabled to model profiles and visualize geologic settings. Each trench was distinguished by specific geomorphic shape of the submarine landform, geologic substrate, complexity of the tectonic settings and historical development of the lithospheric plates movements in this area. Bathymetry data were modeled by GMT cross-section profiling for the trenches and compared. Maximal depths in the trench segments derived from digitized GEBCO and ETOPO1 grids were used for comparative analysis and visualized at histograms.

3.3.1 Bathymetric mapping

MAPPING oceanic trench geomorphology data from the bathymetric maps should be based on the high resolution data to highlight isolines enabling to interpret steepness and gradient which requires certain cartographic interpretation. High-resolution bathymetric data is important for mapping trench shape in a cross-section and to identify clearly its geomorphology. Pixel size at a coarse resolution bathymetric maps (e.g. [ETOPO5](#)) may reduce accuracy, therefore, [ETOPO1](#) and [GEBCO](#) dataset grids were chosen for bathymetric mapping.

The [ETOPO1](#) global relief model was modeled using `grdimage` module of [GMT](#). Examples of trenches are presented in corresponding section (Map [2.2.1](#)), [KKT](#) (Fig. [2.1.1](#)). The `psbasemap`, `grdcontour` [GMT](#) modules were used to map base map elements (e.g. contours of the land, geoid and bathymetry, net grids and titles) on the bathymetric maps. Each module consists of the small code line. Combined together as a script, they were used to produce and visualize maps. For example, the [GMT](#) modules `grdimage` was main module used to map the bathymetric grid of the [RT](#) (Map [2.13.1](#)) using [GMT](#) code:

```
gmt grdimage GEBCO_2019.nc -Cmyocean.cpt -R120/134/20/33 -JM6i -P -I+a15+ne0.75 -Xc -K > $ps
```

For example, in case of Tonga-Kermadec region, the `-R` command was used to cut off part of the image was by the given coordinates: `-R140/195/-50/-5` from the whole grid in WESN way. As can be noticed, the southern hemisphere has negative coordinates.

The color palette was made using `'makecpt'` module from the available `'geo'` palette adjusted according to the data range (for example, the Tonga-Kermadec area had topography from -11000 to 4500. The cartographic projection, for the bathymetric map was selected as traditional Mercator, which was set up using the following command: `'-JM6i'` means Mercator projection, with 6 inches width of the map; `'-P'` means portrait orientation; `'-K'` means continue of the script (not finalized). The legend on the left part of the bathymetric maps was added using `'psscale'` module by following code snippet (here example is given for the Kermadec region, Map [2.5.1](#)):

```
gmt psscale -Dg131/-50+w14.8c/0.4c+v+o0.3/0i+ml Rtkk_relief.nc -J -Cmyocean.cpt
-FONT_LABEL=8p,Helvetica,dimgray -FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=5p,Helvetica,dimgray
-Baf+l"Topographic color scale" -IO.2 -By+lm -O -K » $ps
```

For the case of Middle America Trench, the general bathymetric map (Fig. [2.3.1](#)) was visualized using a sequence of [GMT](#) modules: `'grdcut'` module for cutting area from the global grid `earth_relief_01m.grd` by selecting coordinates `-R263/278/7/17`, following by `'grdimage'` module for visualizing the grid itself. Several auxiliary modules were then used both for cartographic purposes (color scale, scale bar, directional rose, grid ticks and lines) and for the map embellishment ([GMT](#) logo) using available techniques [688]. The shoreline vector layers were driven from the existing GMT data sets [740]: tectonics, bathymetry, geomorphology and geology.

The [GMT](#) modules `psconvert`, `psscale` were used for visualizing scale bar on the maps, [GMT](#) module logo to add [GMT](#) logo below the maps, `pstext` [GMT](#) module was used for adding annotations on the maps, `psxy` module - for plotting geologic lineaments and sample points. The bathymetric data are presented as plan and oblique shaded-relief grids to highlight the major and distinct seafloor landforms of the [MAT](#) (Fig. [2.3.1](#)) which was mapped using the script provided in full in Appendix [A.4.1](#).

Cartographic functionality of the GMT is assessed by technical visualization of the produced maps, models and graphs as well as tested variety of map projections. In this study, following various cartographic projections were used for plotting maps: Mercator (Fig. [2.19.1](#), [2.20.1](#), [3.3.7](#), [3.3.8](#), [4.21.1](#), [4.20.1](#)), Mollweide pseudo-cylindrical homolographic equal-area, Eckert IV equal-area pseudo-cylindrical, Lambert Azimuthal Equal-Area (Fig. [2.19.2](#)), Oblique Mercator (Fig. [2.20.2](#) by GMT, Orthographic azimuthal projection (Fig. [3.3.2](#)), Polyconic projection, Cylindrical Equal-Area Gall-Peters, Transverse Mercator cylindrical, Fig. [2.16.1](#). Conic equal-area Albers projection for map with digitized lines of the

profiles (on Fig. 7. Location of the cross-sectioning 25 profiles in [419]) is plotted by GMT.

Map 3.3.3: Grid contour modelling by Nearest neighbor algorithm, KKT GMT

Map 3.3.4: Grid contour modelling by XYZ2grd algorithm, GMT

3.3.2 Seismic mapping

FOCAL mechanisms (Fig. 3.3.5) were drawn using 'psmeca' GMT module that reads dataset values from ASCII file and generates a PostScript code plotting focal mechanisms. Centroid moment tensor solutions are shown for shallow depth earthquakes of $M_w < 10$ along the PSB margins from 1976 to 2010. Earthquakes along the tectonic plate subduction zone are shown by GMT using data from Global CMT Project.

Map 3.3.5: Seismic map of the focal mechanisms: PSB margins

Focal mechanisms depict a commonly accepted theory of the focal depths of the earthquake, showing a depth from the surface to the earthquake's origin (hypocenter). Given dataset contains significant amount of shallow earthquakes of the PSB with focal depths of several tens kilometers (mostly < 50), intermediate earthquakes with focal depths from 70 to 200 kilometers, and also a few earthquakes of PSB with deep focus reaching depths > 500 kilometers, Table 3.3.1. The foci of the most PSB earthquakes are concentrated in the crust and upper mantle, that is, originate in shallow parts of the Earth's interior.

The original dataset of the focal mechanism solutions are from the was taken from the Global CMT Catalog, formerly known as the Harvard CMT catalog [155]. Technical parameters are set up as follow-

ing:

- Moment magnitude (M_w) < 10 ;
- Surface wave magnitude (M_s) < 10 ;
- Body wave magnitude (M_b) < 10 ;
- Data time span: 1976-2010.

While magnitude is a widely understood concept, describing the energy of the earthquake release on a logarithmic scale, some technical details are presented in Table 3.3.1 and Table 3.3.2 used for mapping velocity (Fig. 3.3.6), assigning and interpreting magnitudes. Introduced in the 1930's, the Richter Scale is the best known scale for measuring the magnitude of earthquakes [559]. L-waves (Surface waves) magnitude, creating the strongest disturbance within the upper layers of the Earth, is computed by the following algebraic Formula 3.3.2:

$$MS = \log_{10}(A/T) + 1.66 \log_{10}(D) + 3.30 \quad (3.1)$$

where T is the measured wave period and D is the distance in radians.

On the contrary, earthquakes occurring deep in the Earth do not generate large surface waves. Therefore, a body wave magnitude (M_b) is scaled based on the seismic waves penetrating through the Earth's interior (body) [559]. Body wave magnitude (mb) is measured based on the maximum amplitude A by a Formula 3.3.2:

$$Mb = \log_{10}(A/T) + Q(D, h) \quad (3.2)$$

where T is the measured wave period and Q is an empirical function of focal depth h and epicentral distance D [559].

Moment magnitude (MW) relies on an underlying robust physical and mathematical development through converting seismic moment using a formula calibrated to agree with MS over much of its range. Others parameters are taken as default ones.

Data are collected in both GMT 'psvelomeca' and GMT 'psmeca' input formats and tested. Final solution was for GMT 'psmeca' (the reason is for the GMT version 5.4.5 compatibility). The focal mechanisms are derived from a solution of the earthquakes' moment tensor, which is estimated by an analysis of the observed seismic wave forms.

Technically, the seismic moment tensor (Harvard CMT, with zero trace) was plotted (Fig. 3.3.5) by 'psmeca' module using the following GMT code snippet:

```
gmt psmeca -R CMT.txt -J -Sd0.5/8/u -Gred -L0.1p -Fa/5p/it -Fepurple -Fgmagenta -Ft -W0.1p -Fz
-Ewhite -O -K >> $ps
```

The scale (0.5) was adjusted to the scaling of the 'beach ball' radius, which is proportional to the magnitude. Here the '-Sd0.5/8/u' implies the scale, label size and annotation placement below the 'beach ball'. Filling of the extensive quadrants was defined by -E parameter; -L parameter defines drawing the 'beach ball' outline of 0.1 pt. Shaded compressional quadrants of the focal mechanism 'beach ball' are colored by -Gred function. The -Fa/5p/it option was used to plot size, P_axis_symbol and T_axis_symbol, to compute and plot P and T axes with symbols (selected inverse triangle (i) and triangle (t)).

Focal mechanisms (Fig. 3.3.5) visualized as commonly accepted in geophysical mapping 'beachballs' show a representation of the lower half of the focal sphere as viewed from above the focus at the earthquake epicenter and respective zones of compression and dilatation. Based on the recorded dataset of

Table 3.3.1: Earthquake events for 1976/1977

Location code	Date	Centroid Time	Lat (°N)	Lon (°E)	Depth	HD*	CHT**
021576A PHILIPPINE ISL	1976/2/15	1:54:30.3 GMT	13.12	126.02	15.6	4.2	7.2
060776A LUZON PHILIPPINE ISL	1976/6/7	7:36:0.3 GMT	14.23	125.07	24.4	4.8	4.9
081676B LEYTE	1976/8/16	16:11:58.7 GMT	7.07	123.75	33.0	21.7	51.4
081776A LEYTE PHILIPPINE ISL	1976/ 8/17	1:11:16.5 GMT	10.08	126.10	47.3	2.6	6.3
081776B MINDANAO	1976/8/17	4:19:39.8 GMT	7.14	123.01	15.0	8.7	12.5
092976A MINDANAO	1976/9/29	21: 2:31.5 GMT	6.61	124.24	15.0	1.8	-1.2
110776B MINDANAO	1976/11/7	17: 9:13.7 GMT	8.35	126.86	42.1	6.2	7.6
112276A MINDANAO	1976/11/22	4:22:20.7 GMT	7.03	123.58	60.0	3.0	-4.6
121476A RYUKYU ISLANDS	1976/12/14	16: 6:49.2 GMT	28.12	130.64	15.0	3.8	4.8
010177C SOUTH OF HONSHU JAPAN	1977/ 1/1	11:33:45.9 GMT	30.62	136.80	476.5	1.8	4.3
010777B TAIWAN REGION	1977/1/7	19:36:48.6 GMT	20.78	120.01	10.0	2.5	1.7
010877A LUZON PHILIPPINE IS.	1977/1/8	6:41:10.9 GMT	15.77	122.73	13.3	1.6	6.8
011577A PHILIPPINE ISL	1977/1/15	10:49: 8.8 GMT	12.80	126.06	32.9	2.2	3.0
011777A BONIN ISL REGION	1977/1/17	6:23:40.7 GMT	26.40	142.74	13.9	3.4	4.6
011977B MINDANAO	1977/1/19	13:54: 9.8 GMT	5.01	126.56	50.1	4.8	5.3
012077A MINDANAO, PHILIPPINE	1977/1/20	20:51:17.2 GMT	8.00	122.70	41.8	2.3	2.3
022777A MARIANA ISLANDS	1977/ 2/27	18:34:15.0 GMT	18.21	145.30	592.6	2.1	6.5
022877B MINDANAO, PHILIPPINE IS	1977/2/28	1:50:38.7 GMT	8.87	127.01	55.8	2.3	6.8
030277B MINDANAO, PHILIPPINE IS	1977/3/2	9:53:26.4 GMT	6.62	123.53	33.4	6.0	3.2

*Half duration; **Centroid Hypocenter Time (HcT)

the P-waves, compressional longitudinal waves, a graphical model shows red areas of the 'beachballs' as upward moving, i.e. compressional motion, while white areas— a downward, dilatational motion. Determining geological information, such as rupture of the Earth's surface, it depicts two nodal planes, fault and auxiliary ones. For instance, auxiliary plane intersects the line of fault plane between the circle and its center of the 'beachball' with the general rule that the closer the intersection is to the center, the more dominant is the strike slip. Increase of the the curvature of the crossing hemispheres denotes shallower dip. The strike of a nodal plane is measured in degrees around the circle from north to the line of the nodal plane. Based on existing classification [559], various focal plane solutions are shown:

1. normal fault striking north, dipping E/W at ca. 45°
2. oblique fault, right or left lateral and reverse slip
3. oblique fault, reverse slip dominant, with some right/left lateral
4. oblique fault, strike slip dominant, attitude ca. N°40W / 70°W (right/left lateral), or N35°E / 70°E (left/right lateral).

Earthquakes event map at PSB area (Fig. 2.16.3) shows prime hypocentres and magnitude values by color and size of the circles, respectively. The map was plotted on the basis of the ISC-EHB Bulletin, overlaid on the Google Earth map as a file in Keyhole Markup language Zipped (KMZ), which is a file extension for a placemark file used by Google Earth Pro. The geographic coordinates include x,y,z components in decimal degrees defined by the World Geodetic System of 1984 (WGS84). The overlay is visualized on Fig. 2.16.3. The explanation of the map is as follows. Circle size shows magnitude values. Circle color shows hypocenter depths, km (from red: 0 to dark blue/black: > 600).

A multi-source dataset applied for seismic mapping includes a compilation of GEBCO, ETOPO1, ETOPO5, CMT, EGM96, GVP and GSHHGD sources. Combined studies of seismic and tectonic settings (focal mechanism, velocity, geological lineaments), volcanic activity and general topography of the

ocean seafloor are essential for understanding complicated bathymetry and geomorphic patterns of the trenches in the PSB. A multi-source GIS data analyze on the southern side of the PSB and discussion of the current situation and historical evolution of the geology of this area was performed and presented.

Table 3.3.2: Magnitude and Moment Tensor

Location code	Moment Tensor	Mw*	Mb**	Ms***	SM****	FP ₁ *****	FP ₂ *****
021576A Philippine Isl	Expo=25 -5.140 3.270 1.860 -1.560 0.510 -4.690	6.5	6.1	6.1	6.47e+25	322/39/-73	121/53/-104
060776A Luzon	Expo=25 -0.930 5.570 -4.630 -1.810 2.820 -5.740	6.5	6.1	6.4	8.35e+25	249/67/180	339/90/23
081676B Mindanao	Expo=27 9.330 0.240 -9.570 1.450 -3.340 4.330	8.0	6.4	7.9	1.09e+28	341/35/92	158/55/-89
081776A Leyte Philippines	Expo=25 -1.250 0.390 0.860 -0.500 0.070 -0.570	6.0	6.0	5.7	1.34e+25	340/39/67	131/55/-108
081776B Mindanao	Expo=26 -1.220 5.310 -4.100 -1.920 1.330 2.110	7.1	6.2	6.8	5.71e+26	214/64/-172	120/83/-26
081776B Mindanao	Expo=24 -4.620 2.220 2.400 -0.530 -1.810 1.870	5.7	6.0	5.4	4.78e+24	232/35/-76	34/56/-100
110776B Mindanao	Expo=26 1.540 0.230 -1.770 0.990 0.610 -0.170	6.8	6.0	6.8	2.03e+26	162/39/49	30/62/118
112276A Mindanao	Expo=23 2.030 4.260 -6.290 1.780 2.020 0.220	5.1	6.0	0.0	6.07e+23	136/59/14	38/78/148
121476A Ryukyu Isl	Expo=25 -4.160 2.940 1.230 -2.490 0.330 1.350	6.4	6.3	6.2	4.62e+25	230/33/-114	78/61/-75
010177C Honshu Japan	Expo=24 -0.324 0.799 -0.475 1.014 -0.356 0.401	5.4	5.2	0.0	1.34e+24	33/32/-163	289/81/-59
010777B Taiwan Region	Expo=24 -3.009 -0.044 3.054 1.185 -2.128 -0.023	5.7	5.7	5.1	3.88e+24	194/29/-62	343/65/-105
010877A Luzon Philippines	Expo=23 6.364 2.443 -8.807 1.626 -1.176 2.219	5.2	5.3	0.0	8.16e+23	8/43/118	152/53/67
011577A Philippine Isl Reg	Expo=24 -1.842 1.248 0.594 -0.737 0.570 -1.652	5.5	5.6	4.6	2.41e+24	311/34/-88	128/56/-91
011777A Bonin Isl Reg	Expo=24 4.813 0.539 -5.352 0.757 4.521 0.467	5.8	5.6	5.6	6.87e+24	164/25/71	5/66/99
011977B Mindanao	Expo=25 1.646 -0.866 -0.781 -0.990 0.267 1.834	6.2	5.8	5.9	2.45e+25	161/40/130	293/61/62
012077A Mindanao	Expo=24 0.044 1.453 -1.496 0.517 0.947 1.691	5.5	5.4	4.9	2.49e+24	111/65/3	20/87/155
022777A Mariana Islands	Expo=24 -1.502 -0.378 1.880 -0.671 -0.473 -0.742	5.5	5.0	0.0	2.04e+24	136/48/-132	10/56/-53
022877B Mindanao	Expo=24 2.750 -1.458 -1.292 0.444 -0.274 0.678	5.5	5.7	0.0	2.46e+24	313/39/92	130/51/88
030277B Mindanao	Expo=25 3.195 -3.570 0.375 0.728 -1.526 2.261	6.4	6.1	6.1	4.34e+25	271/41/53	135/58/117

*Moment magnitude (Mw); ** Body wave magnitude (Mb); ***Surface wave magnitude (Ms); ****SM: Scalar Moment; *****Fault Plane (FP); *****strike/dip/slip.

3.3.3 Velocity mapping

VELOCITY ellipses with 95% confidence in rotated convention (red) and in (N,E) convention (green), on a **PSB** region were plotted using 'psvelo' module of **GMT**, Fig. 3.3.6. Theoretical background of the best-fitting angular velocities and Mid-Ocean Ridge VElocity (**MORVEL**) is presented by [128] who described and modeled geologically current motions of 25 tectonic plates using geologically determined and geodetically constrained subsets of the global circuit. The datasets include Pacific VElocity (**PVEL**)

Map 3.3.6: Velocity ellipses at **PSB** margins

Velocity ellipses at **PSB** margins from 1976 to 2010 in (N, E) convention (green) and rotated convention (red). Rotational wedges (purple 'gear wheels'): by 'psvelo' module of **GMT**. Data: Global **CMT** Project. Contour: **GEBCO** grid. Technical visualization of the velocity map (Fig. 3.3.6) was performed

using following GMT code snippet:

- Velocity ellipses in (N,E) convention: `'gmt psvelo CMT.txt -R -J -W0.3p,green -L -Ggreen -Se0.1/0.95/5 -A0.2p -O -K >> $ps'`. Here, the Vscale gives the scaling of the velocity in inches; the CMT.txt is the dataset; parameters -R and -J indicate the region (in this case coordinates: -R120/152/4/35)
- Velocity ellipses in rotated convention: `'gmt psvelo CMT.txt -R -J -W0.3p,red -Sr0.1/0.95/5 -Ggreen -A0.2p -O -K >> $ps'`. Here the 'Sr0.1/0.95/5' is the main Keyword arguments (kwargs) showing the Vscale (0.1 inch), Confidence (0.95) and Fontsize (5pt).
- Rotational wedges: `'gmt psvelo CMT.txt -R -J -W0.05p -L -Sw0.5/1.e7 -D2 -Gslateblue2 -Elightgray -A0.2p -O -K >> $ps'`. Here, the '-Sw0.5/1.e7' indicates Rotational wedges with kwargs as Wedge_scale and Wedge_mag. The '-D2' parameter enables to rescale the uncertainties of velocities by sigma_scale (in this case 2 scale was set up).

The respective red and green circles with confidence ellipses are PVEL dataset estimates, which use a plate circuit to estimate subduction across the plate subduction zones in the west Pacific. According to [129], relative to the PSP, the Pacific Plate rotates counter-clockwise around a pole near the southern end of the plate boundary. The best-fitting angular velocity indicates rapid decrease of the convergence rates southward, from $49 \pm 0.7 \text{ mmyr}^{-1} (1\sigma)$ at the northern end of the IBT to $9 \pm 0.8 \text{ mmyr}^{-1}$ of orthogonal subduction along the southern YT, south off MT [128].

The PSP-Pacific plate velocities are estimated to fit the interval 1 mmyr^{-1} and 2° along the lithospheric plate boundary [668], which reflects the overlap in the GPS stations used to estimate the motions of the two plates. The existence of the Caroline plate was first proposed by [738] who estimated its motions from a synthesis of marine seismic, bathymetric, and seismologic observations from its boundaries with the Pacific and Philippine plates. Located in the western equatorial Pacific immediately south of the PSP (Fig. 2.11.2), it remains the poorly understood and enigmatic with uncertainties about the style and rate of its present deformation caused by scarcity of reliable kinematic data.

3.3.4 Histogram equalization

THE smoothness of the grids intensities for GEBCO and ETOPO1 was improved by passing the output of 'grdgradient' to 'grdhisteq' with a case example of the Hikurangi, Puysegur and Hjort trenches [387].

New Zealand region, Hikurangi, Puysegur and Hjort trenches:
Histogram equalization on topography grid
ETOPO1 DEM Global Relief Model 1 arc min resolution

Map 3.3.7: Histogram equalization on the topography grids, ETOPO1

The histogram equalization was performed by key **GMT** module 'grdhisteq' to enhance visualization of the various ranges of the comparison of two grids (**ETOPO1** and **GEBCO**) depending on their frequency distribution (Fig. 3.3.7 and Fig. 3.3.8). Four subplots were generated to find values dividing grid files into equal area patches. The grdhisteq module performs a histogram equalization of raster images. Using 'grdhisteq', the **ASCII** data values dividing the range of the initial raster data into cells segments was written to an output file. A -C16 argument defines 16 cells. The resulting raster grid has an equal area in the images. Using 'makecpt' **GMT** module this output was then colored according to the actual bathymetric values by following code: `gmt makecpt -Crainbow -T- 9000/3000 > t.cpt`. The explanations for the subplot with four maps (Fig. 3.3.7 for **ETOPO1** and Fig. 3.3.8 for **GEBCO**) is as follows:

1. Top left: The original map (top left) visualizes initial raster (artificial cpt rainbow) to highlight changes in elevation;
2. Top right: equalized raster grid;
3. Low left: normalized raster grid derived using -N argument that stands for Gaussian output, used to receive data with smooth Gaussian distribution. The default standard normal scores was used for grid normalization.
4. Low right: quadratic equalization

The subplot on the low right of the presented Figures 3.3.7 and 3.3.8 shows quadratic equalization plotted using following snippet: `gmt grdhisteq hpt_relief.nc -Gout.nc -Q`. Here, the -Q argument is output selecting quadratic histogram equalization, unlike the default linear one. The -T-9000/3000 argument means range of the topographic elevations. The image was then visualized using 'grdimage' module by code snippet:

```
$gmt grdimage hpt_relief.nc
```

```
-I+a45+nt1 -Ct.cpt -JM3i -Y6i -K -P -Bpxg5f5a10 -Bpyg4f2a8 -Bsxg5 -Bsyg4 -BWSne > $ps
```

In this code, the -Ct.cpt argument passes cpt created in the previous step for visualization; the '-JM3i' arguments explains the Mercator projection with 3 inches width; '-Y6i' argument plots the map with 6 inches distance from the previous one by Y axis; '-K' argument refers to the continuation of the code. The annotation was added using Unix 'echo' prog: `echo 172 - 33Original"|gmt pstext -Rhpt_relief.nc -J -F +jBL +f12p -T -Gwhite@10 -Dj0.1i -O -K >> $ps`.

The 'grdhisteq' **GMT** module enables to write a raster grid with statistics based on cumulative distribution function. That means, after applying the 'grdhisteq' module, the output raster file has relative elevations in the same locations as the input file (that is, x, y). However, the values are modified to reflect their place in cumulative distribution with reference to the initial input file. This illustrates the principle of the equalization of the topographic grids by **GMT** module 'grdhisteq', as visualized on Fig. 3.3.7 for **ETOPO1** and Fig. 3.3.8 for **GEBCO**, respectively. The difference in grids intensities for **GEBCO** and **ETOPO1** raster grids can be clearly seen on the subplot 4 on quadratic equalization. The grid was smoothed prior to use by applying 'blockmean' module of the **GMT**: it interpolated the original values in the table by smoothing algorithm. Two other modules were tested as well: 'blockmedian' and 'blockmode' as pre-processors before running surface to avoid aliasing in a grid.

The effect of using different histogram equalization algorithms was examined using subplots for data on **GEBCO** and **ETOPO1** covering study area for three trenches (Fig. 3.3.7 and Fig. 3.3.8). Equalization compression for bathymetry grids were tested as comparison for four grids: original, equalized, normalized, quadratic algorithms. The results for this step are demonstrated in Fig. 3.3.7 and Fig. 3.3.8 showing a visual summary of a grid equalization by different **GMT** algorithms. Consistent cpt across all raster dataset grids where applied for better comparison. Differences can be seen between the values calculated at quadratic equalization at **ETOPO1** and **GEBCO**. From the analysis of histogram equalization

algorithms it is notable that there are variations in the grids values obtained depending on the method: equalized, normalized, quadratic algorithms. This in itself means that the topic is worthy of further elaboration. Through the demonstrated examples (Fig. 3.3.7 and Fig. 3.3.8) the effects of using different algorithms varying with raster dataset grids equalization can be notable.

Map 3.3.8: Histogram equalization on the topography grids, GEBCO

3.3.5 Geological mapping

GEOLOGICAL mapping (example of the **KKT** shown on Map 3.3.9) is based on the use of the sequence of the **GMT** modules, the most important of which are the following. Example of the geological mapping of the hadal trench using **QGIS** with a case study of the **MT**, the deepest trench on the Earth includes application of R programming and various statistical approaches towards data modelling. The scope of the research is more focused on the geological assessment than statistical analysis. Therefore, the special attention was paid to mapping geological lineaments, faults, trench extent, location of the Large Igneous Provinces (**LIPs**), volcanic spots and earthquakes in the **KKT** (Map 3.3.9). Various depth of the earthquakes are presented in different colors, as well as fracture zones, ophiolites, magnetic anomalies and extension of the tectonic slabs (Map 3.3.9).

Geological settings in the Kuril–Kamchatka area

Map 3.3.9: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench: map of geologic settings, [409]

The geological layers (lines and points) were added on the thematic geological maps. Plotting of the vector elements on the map is done by '-W' command, e.g. '-Wthinnest,red'. Each code is saved to the initially created file using »ps command. The 1st mention of this command goes with single bracket, e.g. '> \$ps'. Then all the elements added to the map overlay the same. In this sense, there is certain similarity with **GIS** layers and a sequence of codes in the **GMT** script. The initial files with extension gmt (e.g. ridge.gmt) are tables with attribute data (coordinates and values) in native **GMT** format. Example of the geological mappings for the Kermadec-Tonga region is on Map 2.6.1, right, **KKT** (Map 3.3.9). **GMT** module psxy was used for adding annotations on the maps, plotting geologic lineaments and sample points. The psbasemap and grdcontour **GMT** modules were used to map base map elements: bathymetry contours, net grids, titles and subtitles. The full **GMT** codes for geological mapping are presented in Appendix, for contour mapping A.4.3, regional mapping A.4.6 and global geologic map A.4.5.

3.3.6 Geoid modelling

THE geoid model is a complex function that depends on various geophysical phenomena, such as permanent Earth tide, postglacial land uplift, sea level changes, polar drift and other parameters [151], [76], [236]. The geoid model was analyzed to determine the oceanographic properties of the study area: a mathematical shape that of the ocean surface on the Earth with the sole impact of the gravity and rotation, with absent other influence (e.g. winds and tides). A modeled geoid presents a smooth yet irregular surface with visualized shape resulted from the uneven distribution of the mass on the Earth's surface.

The sequence of GMT modules (gmtset, grd2cpt, grdimage, pscoast, grdcontour, psbasemap, psscale, psimage, logo, pstext, psconvert) was used to map the geoid model for the trench by GMT code areas for plotting contours of the terrestrial and water areas, geoid and bathymetry, net grids and basic cartographic elements such as titles, scales, annotations. Each GMT module consists of the set of code lines, which, combined together as a script produce and visualize maps.

The geoid modelling of the RT (Map 2.13.3) was done using following code: `gmt grdimage geoid.egm96.grd -I+a45+nt1 -R120/134/20/33 -JD127/24/27/30/6i -Cgeoid.cpt -P -K > $ps` Example of modelling geoid of MAT area is on Map 3.3.10. The additional cartographic elements were added using GMT basemap module: grid, title, coastline and GMT pscoast module. The full script is presented in Appendix A.4.4.

Map 3.3.10: Middle America Trench: geoid model

There are various existing gravity and geoid concepts that originate from differing numerical applications and approaches in processing of the permanent Earth's tide [152]. Modelling geoid and gravity was plotted using sequence of several GMT modules (to be more specific: `img2grd`, `grd2cpt`, `grdimage`, `pscoast`, `makecpt`, `grdcontour` and `psbasemap`) based on the existing methodology [420], [418]. The data are global marine gravity model from CryoSat-2, Jason-1, free-air gravity grids, Scripps Institution of Oceanography [649]: `grav/grav_27.1.img` and `grav/curv_27.1.img` via `topex.ucsd.edu`.

The geoid data grids used for current study and retrieved from the website [geoid data grids](#) are based on the EGM96 with $n=m=360$ and WGS 84 reference system [528]: `geoid.egm96.grd`. The EGM96 has reference ellipsoid the same as WGS 84, but higher spatial resolution and more accurate geoid. The

model is based on the fully-normalized, unitless spherical harmonic coefficients. The data are made public by National Imagery and Mapping Agency (NIMA), the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC), and the Ohio State University.

Map 3.3.11: Mariana Trench: color geoid image with grey-shaded topography of the clipped areas, GMT

The EGM96 geopotential model is a spherical harmonic model of the Earth's gravitational potential complete to degree and order 360 (Lemoine et al., 1998). The EGM96 contains fully-normalized, unitless spherical harmonic coefficients and their standard deviations for a gravitational model from 2°, to 360°. These coefficients are consistent with scaling values of gravitational models [613]. The data are based on the surface gravity data covering various regions of the globe including southern hemisphere.

3.3.7 3D mesh grid modelling

THE 3D mesh gridding aimed to visualize and highlight the topographic and bathymetric landforms and patterns of the region of the trenches, through dividing the input grid model into smaller shapes formed after discretization of the geometric model of the area.

Map 3.3.12: Middle America Trench: 3D topographic mesh model map

Hence, the purpose of the 3D-mesh gridding (example for the MT: Map 3.3.12) was to create an overlay that highlights the geomorphic surface of each of the trenches and adjusting continental steep slope. Therefore, unlike in case of bathymetric mapping (Fig. 2.3.1) where the data were taken as (ETOPO1, lower resolution grid was used (ETOPO5). This was done to avoid small-scale irregularities possible in case of the high-resolution data which tend to visually overload the derived topographic attributes, making 3D mesh overlay visually complex. This allowed a smoother visualization of the 3D-mesh polygons by removing unnecessary details in 3D plotting using GMT code.

The 3D modelling consists in the following steps. the data were initially extracted from the ETOPO5 grid using the following (snippet for the Vanuatu) [407]: `gmt grdcut earth_relief_05m.grd -R160/170/-25/-10 -Gvv3d_relief.nc`. The ETOPO5 was selected to plot the 3D with aim to reduce the grid size and hence to save computer memory space. The next step of the 3D modelling is a calculation of the regular 3D grid mesh using GMT parameters of the 'grdview' module. The dimension of the grid was chosen in a way which ensures that every point of the trench axis is located within the grid boundaries. For example, the coordinates for Vityaz were selected as 163°173°-15°0° and for Vanuatu [407]: 160°170°-25°-10°. Visualization parameters of the 3D models can be summarized as follows. Grid extent was in 160/45 azimuthal direction rotation with the grid ranges from 160° to 173° for both grids, in latitudinal direction

from -25° to 0° , and in vertical direction from -9,000 km depths up to 1000 km. On 'Z' argument the grid was extended by 4cm as a visual distance between the 3D and basemap 2D, to enable interpreted them better. The 2D mesh was divided into small simple polygons with curved contour lines and color palette highlighting the topography. The 3D map was then overlaid with 2D geoid.

The background geoid contour (a plane grayscale map below the map, Map 3.3.12) was plotted using GMT module 'grdcontour'. The geoid field data of the 2D map were taken from the ETOPO1 dataset are given by following code (here: Vanuatu) [407]: `gmtgrdcontourearth_relief_01m.grd -JM6.0c -R160/170/-25/-10-p160/45-C500-Gd6c-Wthinnest,dimgray-Y5.5c-P-K>$ps`. In order to ensure that grids are visually depict geomorphic shapes of the surfaces, the '-Qsm' argument was selected to specify 's' for the surface plot, and 'm' to have mesh lines drawn on top of surface. For the vertical component, an option '-N-9000+glightgray' was selected to draw a plane at the -9,000 m level of bathymetry. Final 3D model of the two subplots is shown on Fig. 4.9.2. The contour lines are given by every one with annotations at every five (-C1 -A5). The rotation of the 3D mesh grid was chosen at the angle most corresponding to the visualization of the trenches, after several trials at different angles, depending on the oblique rotation and depth by GMT code. A plane was drawn at -7500 m s z-level with dimgray color provided via the +g modifier to highlight the frontal facade between the 3D plane and the data perimeter and -Wf0.1p,red setting used for the pen outline.

After the data were compared and optimal resolution selected, the rotation azimuth was set as $-p165/30^{\circ}$ with an overlay distance from the geoid contour at 4,5 cm. As a result of the combination of several GMT modules, 3D mesh model of the trenches (example of the Aleutian: Fig. 2.2.4) was computed and visualized. They show the 3D model rotated in $165/45^{\circ}$ overlapping the contour map of the geoid. Several GMT modules were used, e.g. 'pscoast' module used for mapping coastal lines and contour, 'psscale' module was used for mapping color legend strip showing visual colors, 'pstext' module was used to add textual explanations on the map. The Network Common Data Form (NetCDF) grid format [618] was processed as a grid file. The cartographic routine include adding elements on the map: plotting ticks, selecting lines for grids, adding directional rose and legend, selecting proper projection. Topographic data were collected from the ETOPOS covering seafloor bathymetry and coastal topography of the area of each trench. The modelling was performed using GMT module 'grdview' followed by a sequence of the GMT modules: `grdcut`, `grd2cpt`, `grdcontour`, `pscoast`, `grdview`, `logo`, `psconvert`. Additionally, some UNIX progs (`rm`, `echo`) were used. The output 3D model is presented at (Map 3.3.12). The full GMT code is available in Appendix, A.4.2.

3.3.8 Gravity modelling

GRAVITY model is used to support geophysical investigations, geological prognosis as well as geological resources management. Here the GMT script is presented for modelling gravity from m the 'grdimage' GMT module, and 'img2grd' module was used for the data conversion. The combination between the GMT modules and cartographic features enables to properly visualize thematic map by the GMT tools. The essential GMT elements include selecting map projections, fonts, map layout, grid, adding elements on the map. Gravity data showing free-air gravity anomalies covering study area including terrestrial and marine regions, were taken from the data sets based on the 1 arc-minute resolution CryoSat-2 and Jason-1 satellite-derived raster grids described in detailed in relevant studies [651], [648], [687], [649], for the trenches and surrounding regions. Gravity data revealed ground information for the geologic and geophysical settings of the study area, as free-air gravity anomalies reflect subsurface density variations [141]. The gravity map was plotted using GMT following code (here example for the RT):

```
gmt grdimage gravRT.grd -I+a45+nt1 -R105/123/8/24 -JY114/12/6.5i -CgravRT.cpt -P -K > $ps.
```

Map 3.3.13: Middle America Trench: gravity model map

Here the `-R` function shows the selected region, the `JY114/12/6.5i` stands for the cylindrical equal-area Gall-Peters projection and 6,5 inches the overall dimensions of the map; `-I+a45+nt1` shows the illumination parameters and `-CgravRT.cpt` selects the color palette created on the previous step.

Chosen color palette (`gravRT.cpt`) shows the transition from lower (red) values (lower than 20 mGal) to higher values (bright blue) gravity values (over 90 mGal). The gravity modelling (example: Fig. 3.3.17) was performed using the following script. The example of the `RT` is on Map 2.13.4, `MAT`: Map 3.3.13 and Map 2.3.4. The full GMT code of modelling gravity is available in Appendix, A.4.7.

Gravity and bathymetric data were examined to obtain a visualization and better understanding of the seafloor fabric and structures within the `PSB`. The `EGM96` gravity grid was visualized based on the with the satellite altimetry data [688] producing gravity map of the `PSB` (Fig. 2.11.4). The subset of the `img` file was extracted through the following `GMT` shell script (example for the Kuril-Kamchatka Trench): Appendix, A.4.7, (Vertical gravity for Kuril-Kamchatka Trench).

3.3.9 Automatic digitizing of the cross-section transecting profiles

CROSS-SECTION profiling is a common technique used in geosciences to receive a sample of the selected segment in the study area and analyze variability of the studied elements along the track. Examples of the cross-section area presented: DEM cross-profile [772]. Semi-automated methods have been explored in cartography, driven largely by the advantages of using machine-learning algorithms in cartographic routine, thus minimising handmade routine, subjectivity in processes of the digitizing and vectorizing of the bathymetric data.

Map 3.3.14: Izu-Bonin Trench: Digitizing cross-section profiles

Example of such works is presented by [661]. The GMT proposes more advanced solution in the automatization of the cross-sections through ML based digitizing that results in a series of the profile transects across the trench [739]. Two methods were tested in visualizing the profile by GMT arguments: median '-Sm' and the mean '-Sa'. Of these two, median was selected as the best one representing the empirical nature of the trench's shape. Although mean method shows fine interpolation accuracy, median is more favorable, since visual representation of the trench lies within a reasonable reflection of the submarine geomorphology.

The geomorphological modelling consisted in plotting sets of the cross-section orthogonal profiles in perpendicular direction to the main trench axis, and then comparing their parameters for each of the 20 trenches. Plotting cross-sectional profiles, or transects along the selected segment of the study area is a common technique in geological investigations. Examples of the geological cross-section profiles plot-

ting and data analysis can be seen in [639], [556]. In this study, the orthogonal cross-section bathymetric profiles were drawn automatically using presented [GMT](#) script across the trenches. Because the scope of the current work is rather large (20 trenches of the Pacific Ocean), the automatization of the process was crucial point in the methodology and a key decision for selecting [GMT](#).

To analyze the geomorphology of the trenches, the method developed by [741] was adapted in this study where the original focus was set on the modelling terrains, since the oceanic trenches have a similar geomorphic setting with reverse general shape form. In this method, the observed elevations, Z , along the cross-section transects is read into a table, using [GMT](#) 'grdtrack' module along the selected segment of the trench with track 400 km long, spaced 20 km and samples taken 2 km along the corresponding line [420]; [418]. These recorded bathymetric measurements are then read into the input table from which a graph was plotted denoting the profile shapes (example for the Philippine and [MT](#): Fig. 4.12.1 and Fig. 4.17.1).

The profiles have length of 400 km, that is from -200 to +200 km from the trench axis, spaced 20 km, sampled every 2 km to achieve evenness of the sampling for the trenches. The depths values were calculated by each profile, and their mean and median values were modeled thereafter. Mean values are notable for smoothing the splines rather as a mathematical functions which lesser reflects the geographic phenomena of the objects. The uncertainties of the actual geomorphology are expected to exceed the theoretical interpolation of the actual geomorphology represented on the graphs. Hence the shape of the trenches is highlighted as median using -Sm argument, example is visualized by red line on Fig. 4.21.1.

The combination of several GMT modules was used for mapping geomorphological profiles, as shown in GMT. Plotting and stacking of the profiles was done using using 'grdtrack' module of [GMT](#) by statistical mean, through the following main lines of the code based on the 'tkt_relief.nc' raster in [NetCDF](#) format. Minor [GMT](#) modules used for plotting cartographic elements included 'psxy', 'gmtlogo', 'psconvert', as well as Unix 'echo' utility. For example, with a case of the Philippine Trench, the target area for the was selected off Mindanao Island, the 2nd largest island in the Philippines, situated in the southern part of the archipelago. The Area of Interest (AOI) square is 126.62°E 10.7°N 127.27°E 8.0°N. The AOI for the [MT](#) is located in the southwestern part of the crescent (Fig. 2.11.1) and is bounded on the east by the Guam Island and on the south by the [YT](#) (Fig. 2.11.2) encircled by the target square of 141.2°E 11.0°E 143.8°E 11.65°N, capturing the Challenger Basin.

A sequence of the GMT codes was used for plotting transects as follows (example for Puysegur). A 'cat' Unix 'cat' utility was used to plot target coordinates. The line of transect with start and end points was plotted using 'psxy' module. Generating cross-track profiles 400 km long, spaced 20 km, sampled every 2 km and saving them in a table (here: tableP.txt) was done using GMT code (Appendix A.4.8). Other examples of modelling and digitizing a series of the cross-section profiles along the two trenches: Hikurangi and Puysegur is presented on Fig. 2.19.2, performed using [GMT](#) and to plot the graphs using retrieved tables (Fig. 2.20.2) [387]. Example is presented on Fig. 2.6.1, left. The trench segment and end points were plotted and profiles written into table with modelling done at the next step.

The annotations were added using Unix utility 'echo'. The advantage of the [GMT](#) for the automated profiles digitizing lies in the increased precision and speed of the plotting and highlighted submarine geomorphic features of the trench. For the case of [MAT](#) (Map 4.4.1), the cross-section profiles were taken through the key sea floor features at coordinates 268.4°W 13.0°N 271.9°W 11.6°N to show the variety of the steepness angles. Full [GMT](#) Bourne Again SHell ([bash](#)) code and characterization of the module options and elements in comments including UNIX progs (echo, rm, cat) are provided in Appendix, A.4.8.

3.3.10 Modelling 2D statistical histograms

STATISTICAL data modelling in geology is an important tool for the data analysis [125], [116]. The statistical techniques of the data analysis are widely used in geospatial studies, applied and described in previous works: [563]; [98]; [645]; [633]. Proper data interpretation always include testing several algorithms, selecting appropriate approaches and statistical packages [299]. This thesis applied the histogram techniques showing data distribution (depths), along the profiles. Unlike traditional statistical data analysis that utilizes standard tools for this purpose, such as R and Python [55]; [196], MATLAB/Octave, Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) IBM Statistics [645], [425], Gretl [426], this research demonstrated the possibility to perform statistics directly from the cartographic GMT toolset using embedded statistical modules.

Figure 3.3.1: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench: 2D histograms and rose diagrams of depths [409]

Plotting statistical 2D histograms and rose diagrams was done using GMT code by 'pshistogram' and 'psrose' modules, respectively (KKT: Fig. 3.3.1). It shows statistical 2D histograms and rose diagrams for comparative analysis of depths distribution in the southern and northern part of the KKT. Rose diagram shows the aspect of the slopes. Modelling digitized cross-section profiles was followed by a comparison of the two sets either in southern and northern segments of one trench, or comparison of the two neighboring trenches. The statistical modelling and digitizing cross-section profiles were done using GMT modules pshistogram; and 'grdtrack'. The statistics by histograms was performed using a sequence of the GMT modules: gmtset, psrose, pshistogram, pslegend, logo and psconvert. The histogram plotting demonstrated the frequency of the data distribution against bathymetric variables. The full GMT code of modelling 2D statistical histograms and rose diagrams is presented in in Appendix, A.4.12.

3.3.11 Modelling 3D statistical histograms

EXAMPLE of the 3D modelling of the histograms is presented on Fig. 3.3.2 (here: the KKT). The full GMT code of modelling 3D statistical histograms is presented in Appendix, A.4.13.

Figure 3.3.2: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench and Sea of Okhotsk: 3D histograms: depths distribution [409]

3.3.12 Modelling statistical trends of the profiles gradient

VARIOUS approaches in modelling trends are presented to show the variety of the mathematical algorithms embedded in the GMT tool set. The trend curves were modeled using trend1d module by the following code: `gmt trend1d -Fxm stackRTn.txt -Np1 > model.txt` Using generated table the statistical data processing were performed: modeling trend regression for the cross-section profiles (Fig. 3.3.3), computing trends for the profiles and plotting two types of the statistical histograms, that is rose diagram and standard box histogram (Fig. 4.4.1). A sequence of the codes was applied to model of the mathematical approximation of the slope gradient trends by profiles (example is visualized on Fig. 4.7.1). For example, the basic LS line shown on the Fig. 4.7.1, E (lower left) was plotted using following methodology combining GMT and Unix utilities. Four types for the mathematical models were used to analyze the curvature of the profiles, GMT regression analysis Formula 3.3.12:

$$m_2t = a + b * t \quad (3.3)$$

GMT regression analysis Formula 3.3.12:

$$m_3t = a + b * t + c * t^2 \quad (3.4)$$

Figure 3.3.3: Middle America Trench: trend regression models for the cross-section profiles

GMT regression analysis Formula 3.3.12:

$$m_5t = a + b \cdot t + c \cdot t^2 + d \cdot \cos 2\pi \cdot t + e \cdot \sin 2 \cdot \pi \cdot t \quad (3.5)$$

GMT regression analysis Formula 3.3.12:

$$\varepsilon(t) = y(t) - m_5t \quad (3.6)$$

Example of the resulting graph of the trend regression models for the **MAT** was plotted on Fig. 3.3.3. The GMT scripts used for the cartographic visualization and modelling of the statistical trends are based on GMT codes provided in Appendix, A.4.11.

3.3.13 Surface modelling

THE dataset of this research was augmented by free **ASCII** data on gravity and topography as xyz table, collected from the available source in a table format: **USGS**. The data range was set to the following coordinates square (here with a systems of 0-360; 0-90 rotation): Lon 263°/278°; Lat 7°/17°. According to the gravity data inspection (gmt info grav_MAT.xyz), the range for the data is as follows: total number of points = 554115; minimal value is -157.1 mGal at point with coordinates 263.0083° 7.0076°; maximal value is 452.4 mGal at coordinate 278.0083° W/ 17.0032° N. Based on this, the color palette was adjusted accordingly to the data range: gmt makecpt -Ccyclic.cpt -V -T-160/455 > surface.cpt where -T-160/455 shows the min/max data. Thereafter, before modelling surface, the data were interpolated for the correction for the source data by generated 1 by 1 minute block for the mean values from the raw **ASCII** xyg table. Afterwards the data were processed by 'gmt surface grav_MAT_BM.xyg -R263/278/7/17 -T0.25 -I30s -GSurface_MAT.nc -Vv' command, which modeled the surface from the xyz data. Both topographic surface modelling (Fig. 2.3.5) and gravity surface mapping (Fig. 3.3.15) are based on the modelling numerical **ASCII** data in xyz format to the **NetCDF** format [618].

Map 3.3.15: Middle America Trench: gravity surface map modeled by ASCII data

Gradient illumination was added to intensify the surface with azimuth 45° using gmt 'grdgradient' module with shading azimuth gradient 45°. It highlighted topographic pits, furrows and submarine landforms on the seafloor of the **MAT**. The data were coded by the cyclic color palette (cyclic.cpt) with the resulting image: Fig. 3.3.15. Raster image output was made by GMT code 'gmt grdimage Surface_MAT.nc -Csurface.cpt -R263/278/7/17 -JM6i -P -ISurface_MAT.int -Xc -K > ps'. Additional cartographic elements (ticks, legend, scale bar, grid ticks, directional rose, etc) were added by GMT modules. The 1 m bathymetry and xyz grids were interpolated to 30-sec m resolution and grids derived from bathymetry and gravity were visualized. Gravity contours (mGal) were derived with tension factor

of the curvature splines at 0,25. Final data were exported as a 30-sec resolution grid spacing in [NetCDF](#) grid. Full GMT code for surface modelling (codes for gravity surface: codes for topographic surface of the xyz [ASCII](#) data is available in [A.4.9](#) and [A.4.10](#).

Map 3.3.16: Aleutian Trench: surface modelling from the ASCII xyz data. [370]

Map 3.3.17: Aleutian Trench and Aleutian Islands: gravimetry map. [370]

3.4 AWK

3.4.1 Advantages of AWK

AWK, a domain-specific programming language designed for the text processing, was used in this dissertation for table reshaping. The AWK programming language was created at Bell Labs in the 1970s [6] and its name is derived from the surnames of its authors: Alfred Aho, Peter Weinberger, and Brian Kernighan. The GNU implementation of AWK is called GAWK. The available documentation and information on AWK was used extensively in this research [697], [5], [494]. AWK language is highly suitable for sorting multi-field records in a table due to its specially designed syntax and embedded algorithms. Other possibilities include managing small databases, generate reports based on tables, validating data, producing indexes, performing document-preparation tasks, extracting pieces of data for further processing, sorting data [627].

AWK is a data-driven scripting language and a powerful tool for data extraction integrated with commands of Unix operating system and can be run from the console. It consists of a set of codes-actions that can be taken against to operate with streams of table data [630]. AWK enables to extract or transform text, produce formatted records [628]. It uses string datatype, associative arrays indexed by key strings, and regular expressions [629]. Based on this, AWK was selected as a powerful advanced tool for the processing of tables.

Table 3.4.1: GMT-derived table for the Cross profile #1

No	Coordinates, lon	Coordinates, lat	Location, m	Azimuth, grad	Depth, m
1	149.353224965	41.5059926426	-200.065204481	326.61376193	-5388.91250029
2	149.34000552	41.5210101811	-198.064782729	326.61376193	-5386.2095364
3	149.326779938	41.5360262051	-196.064356317	326.605000128	-5378.97817425
4	149.313548214	41.5510407131	-194.063925248	326.596231663	-5363.02735362
5	149.300310343	41.5660537036	-192.063489519	326.587456531	-5336.36810313
6	149.287066319	41.5810651752	-190.063049132	326.578674725	-5300.09852962
7	149.273816136	41.5960751262	-188.062604087	326.569886241	-5249.45260443
8	149.260559789	41.6110835552	-186.062154384	326.561091074	-5188.08489127
9	149.247297273	41.6260904606	-184.061700023	326.552289219	-5116.35098797
10	149.234028582	41.6410958411	-182.061241004	326.54348067	-5051.39489267
11	149.22075371	41.6560996949	-180.060777327	326.534665422	-5070.64221477
12	149.207472652	41.6711020207	-178.060308993	326.525843469	-5345.61405245
13	149.194185402	41.6861028169	-176.059836002	326.517014808	-5504.76112339

Sequential use of the AWK programming language has been integrated with GMT for geospatial data analysis with a case study of the KKT. Practical technical aim is to analyze and compare bathymetry in the southern and northern part of the trench using digitized cross-section profiles. The initial mapping and geospatial analysis was performed in GMT. The GMT was used for mapping based on ETOPO1 grid and automatic digitizing of the profiles crossing the trench perpendicularly.

The processed geodata were received in a numerical form as a complex multi-field table for each segment. These tables were generated by the GMT in its native format and could not be directly processed by MATLAB/Octave. Therefore, tables were exported to AWK. The table was restructured, sorted and

reshaped by the AWK script. Tab 3.4.1 illustrates Initial table derived from the GMT for the Profile #1, KKT. The geometric model of each profile in Tab. 3.4.1 is as follows: the trench valley corresponds to the zero value of the segment, that is the origin of the plot. Left and right parts of the segments stretch to the 200 and -200 m, correspondingly as shown on X axis. The direction of each profile is NW-SE, that is from the Sea of Okhotsk and Greater Kuril Chain directed to the Pacific Ocean.

The conceptual idea of the use of AWK consists in a chain of data processes by GMT, reshaped by AWK and further converted to Octave. Technical experiment aimed at the analysis of the geomorphic differences of the KKT located in the north-west Pacific Ocean (Fig. 2.1.1) through the analysis of its cross sectioning profiles. For this purpose, the profiles were automatically digitized by the GMT and then the data were converted to the AWK where the tables were reshaped. Further processing and plotting of tables was done in Octave. The geographic aim is to visualize the difference in the northern and southern parts of the trench separated by the Bussol Strait (Fig. 2.1.1).

The use of AWK was to enabled table reshaping for regional geomorphological variations in the trench in its southern and norther parts [410]. The table generated directly by GMT was not readable by Octave, therefore reshaping in AWK was applied. The experimental batch sequence of the data processing through three different tools is advantageous, because they were used sequentially for each step of the data analysis where they suit best: GMT for mapping, geospatial data analysis and generating series of profiles, AWK for reshaping complex tables, and Octave for plotting graphs of the selected profiles. The explanation of the methodology is as follows.

3.4.2 Data pre-processing

BATHYMETRIC mapping, modelling, geospatial analysis and plotting profiles by GMT modules using codes provided in Appendix. Map was visualized (Fig. 2.1.1) using ETOPO1 raster grid. Profiles were generated using GMT code. The enlarged view of the profiles is shown on Map 4.2.1 where numbering starts from S to N for both segments. Stacked profiles with a median as a red line and error bars are shown on Fig. 4.2.1. The profiles contained coordinates and depths in each point across the profile (by 2 km). 62 profiles were plotted for northern and 52 profiles for the southern parts of the trench.

These data were stored as two tables in GMT format. The fragment of the initial table is shown on Tab. 3.4.1. The geological conditions associated with the studied geomorphology were analyzed using available literature on the geology of KKT. The geospatial data visualization methods included the following steps: selecting cartographic projections, choosing fonts and cartographic layout, ticks, scale bar, legend, annotations, color palettes, etc. Because the table produced by the GMT automatically during digitizing process (Tab. 3.4.1) was not compatible with GNU Octave and could not be used directly for plotting, the AWK table reshaping was then performed in the 2nd step.

3.4.3 Table reshaping

THE second step consisted in reshaping the tables generated automatically by GMT in previous step. These tables were reshaped using AWK programming provided in Appendix. Only necessary columns and rows were selected from the initial tables using AWK script. As a result, two tables were reshaped into the new ones, as shown on Tab. 3.4.2 (fragment) where each column contained depth values by the profiles which could be processed by Octave. Tab 3.4.2 illustrates AWK-reshaped new table containing location and depths (m) for the first 15 rows.

The AWK part of the research followed the GMT aimed at table processing. The .csv table was run directly as a file following by a set of the temporary files (tempfiles) created to store the selected columns from the initial tables as part of a script pipeline. The AWK script (see Appendix) was used for reshaping

initial table (Tab. 3.4.1) that was received directly from the GMT as a result of the automatically digitized profiles.

Table 3.4.2: AWK-based reshaped table (fragment): location and depths (m) for the first 10 profiles

location	profile1	profile2	profile3	profile4	profile5	profile6	profile7	profile8	profile9	profile10
-200,07	-5388,91	-5066,99	-5096,23	-5007	-5095,57	-5089,61	-5111,86	-5105,17	-5230,93	-5387,38
-198,06	-5386,21	-5056,08	-5087,92	-4990,77	-5111,1	-5113,8	-5126,26	-5116,11	-5242,93	-5395,11
-196,06	-5378,98	-5056,92	-5076,23	-4991,77	-5127,27	-5143,67	-5136,49	-5127,79	-5263,13	-5400,87
-194,06	-5363,03	-5068,51	-5063,31	-4998,02	-5125	-5174,22	-5137,67	-5145,92	-5286,53	-5405,93
-192,06	-5336,37	-5084,85	-5052,82	-5000,48	-5102,27	-5197,73	-5131,9	-5174	-5300,04	-5412,8
-190,06	-5300,1	-5099,18	-5047,83	-5001,55	-5077,16	-5207,11	-5117,67	-5204,43	-5304,92	-5417,57
-188,06	-5249,45	-5109,15	-5047,52	-5005,99	-5057,1	-5203,18	-5092,88	-5222,58	-5303,37	-5410,98
-186,06	-5188,08	-5114,52	-5049,58	-5008,41	-5041,25	-5189,31	-5067,21	-5232,12	-5295,12	-5394,34
-184,06	-5116,35	-5117,15	-5039,51	-5017,05	-5031,61	-5169,68	-5049,59	-5232,31	-5281,9	-5380,4
-182,06	-5051,39	-5123,59	-5024,97	-5029,04	-5029,31	-5146,61	-5043,71	-5220,18	-5265,19	-5367
-180,06	-5070,64	-5133,68	-5020,5	-5038,68	-5034,43	-5125,47	-5044,13	-5190,62	-5245,44	-5364,2
-178,06	-5345,61	-5147,98	-5028,46	-5045,35	-5040,97	-5109,78	-5043,65	-5147,64	-5224,38	-5350,02
-176,06	-5504,76	-5156,31	-5042,72	-5048,1	-5047,01	-5102	-5033,99	-5108,91	-5201,76	-5320,17
-174,06	-5511,42	-5150,97	-5057,82	-5048,89	-5051,76	-5108,89	-5018,05	-5082,59	-5179,36	-5282,81
-172,06	-5475,67	-5137,74	-5073,48	-5057,95	-5052,26	-5132,15	-4991,71	-5070,96	-5155,37	-5246,77

This table was then reshaped by AWK into the second table (Tab. 3.4.2) that now had structured view with columns containing only necessary data: depths (m) and profile length (from -200 to 200 m, according to the stretch). The main task of the reshaping was to select necessary data from the initial huge table that contained 12726 rows 5 columns. Therefore, during this procedure the unnecessary rows were removed, and only necessary columns were picked. The AWK research steps were done consequentially as explained below stepwise.

Initially, the working directory was set up to store all the files (both temporary and main ones) in this folder (cd /Users/pauline/Documents/Octave) which was then controlled by pwd check. Then the input file (table2.csv) was read in into AWK environment in units (records) processed by the embedded syntax rules of the AWK one record at a time line by line [143]. Each record was automatically split into peaces, that is fields of the table making it more convenient for AWK to work on the parts of a table [631].

1. At the first step the series of the temporary files was created where all the preliminary results were stored using Unix: mktemp utility. Several kinds of tasks occurred repeatedly when working with table file received from the GMT: extracting one by one columns from the initial file and then storing them as separate files. Therefore, certain lines were extracted from the table and the rest was discarded at this step.
2. The initial GMT tables for two segments of the profiles contained 62 and 52 profiles for northern and southern parts, respectively. Therefore, at this step only two necessary columns were selected using AWK predefined variable FS (field separator) as space. That means, in both input and output data (output field separator as OFS) the items were separated by single spaces.
3. Now only profile 1 was selected and save as a separate table with X and Y (location and depths).

4. Only Y column (field 5) was taken from the initial table. This column contained depths for all profiles, for example for the northern segment these are 12726 rows.
5. The profile I was selected consequentially from the Y column. This is done using command printing section of file between two regular expressions (regex). Patterns in awk control the execution of rules when its pattern matches the current input record. This tables now contained information for the depths in all points along the profile nr. 7. Then the same procedure was repeated for all other profiles. The regular expression that matches the text of the table ('/-L0-07/,/-L0-08/') is put between the slash lines, like this: /regular expression/. Consequently, this pattern was repeated for the northern segment for the profiles of i number: 1, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, 42, 49, 56, 62. The output data are stored as tables.
6. At this step the single Y column of the profile i was added to the table. Then, the output was saved to table. Making these modifications in the table was done using patterns (see AWK script), but leaving the rest of the table file alone. The same procedure was repeated for the southern segment using the same regular expression as a template.
7. Now the header and tail were deleted from the output table using the AWK expression that prints only lines which do not match regex.
8. The auxiliary files were removed by the rm.
9. The number of lines was counted for initial table. In this case initial table had 12726 lines.
10. Finally, the number of lines was counted for the output table. In this case the final table had only 201 lines (against previous 12726).

As can be noticed, the presented AWK script consists of expressions that are the basic building blocks of AWK patterns and actions. An expression performed in each step of the script evaluates to a value that was printed and consequentially passed to the next expression at the following step in the script. For the case of the temporary files (Step-5), a series of expressions assigned a new value to the table variables using an assignment operator. AWK expressions extensively used in the script served as a pattern for the selected geomorphological profiles along the whole length of the trench, thus facilitating the repeatability of the research steps.

Final output table was reshaped in view, that is columns became rows. Azimuth and absolute coordinates were deleted from the table. As a result, the output table contained 201 rows and 11 columns and initial long table has been reshaped. The new structure of the table is as follows: column 1 contains cross-section line 400 km long (-200:200 km). Columns 2-11 contains depths for the cross-section profiles. Unix programs and utilities used additionally included: mktemp for creating a series of temporary files and rm for removing preliminary temporary files. The final table was then processed in GNU Octave language for the visualization of the cross-section profiles.

3.5 Octave/MATLAB

3.5.1 Advantages of Octave

GNU Octave is an open source high-level interactive language, designed for numerical computations by J. B. Rawlings and J. G. Ekerdt. It is compatible with Matlab and is suitable for a variety of scientific tasks: linear and nonlinear equations, numerical linear algebra, statistical analysis, numerical experiments [150]. A significant well-known advantage of the Octave consists in its compatibility with Matlab: although there are some minor differences in their syntax, GNU's Not Unix (**GNU**) Octave is known as a free open-source analogue of the commercial licensed Matlab which is widely used in both academia and industry by thousands researchers and engineers worldwide.

Figure 3.5.1: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench: Octave graph based on AWK-resaped table, north [410]

Therefore, Octave scripts may be converted to the Matlab environment using the syntax and power of Matlab. Technically, as Matlab, **GNU Octave** has various built-in functions, operates with arrays, vectors, strings, booleans, scalars and matrices, enables plotting of the high-quality graphics, performs mathematical computations, operates with variables and different data types, as demonstrated further in this paper (Appendix: **GNU Octave** codes). The Octave was used in this work for plotting several geomorphological profiles.

The experimental novelty of using Octave is integration of this typically statistical tool with geospatial mapping in **GMT** via **AWK**. Combined together for the geospatial data analysis, these tools enabled to model submarine geomorphology of the **KKT**. Therefore, the main goal is to develop technical methodology for the batch processing of the geospatial data by the smooth stepwise **GMT-AWK-Octave** workflow chain. This technical workflow also supported geomorphic studies helping to visualize and better understand structure of the trench. Usually, similar research is maintained in the **GIS**, but applying advanced data processing scripting tools facilitates research through the automatization. Automatically digitized transect profiles are precise, finely plotted and statistically correct comparing to the hand-made vector drawing using **GIS** (e.g. ArcGIS, MapInfo, or **QGIS**).

3.5.2 Data processing

BECAUSE the total amount of the **KKT** profiles overstepped 100 (62 and 52 for the northern and southern trench segments), only selected profiles were visualized. For this purpose, the modified tables were converted to **GNU** Octave language for visualizing and plotting selected profiles, as further described in this section. Finally, the geomorphology was analyzed and two segments compared.

Figure 3.5.2: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench: Octave graph based on AWK-reshaped table, south [410]

Plotting graphs of the selected profiles by the **GNU** Octave was based on the code provided in Appendix. After the table was converted to the necessary format through AWK manipulations, it was then processed by the GNU Octave scripting and two graphs showing northern and southern segments of the trench were plotted (Fig. 3.5.1 and Fig. 3.5.2) by Octave scripts. The GNU Octave scripting was used for visualizing and plotting graph and geomorphological analysis for the selected profiles.

Trench segments were visualized on graphs (Fig. 3.5.1 and Fig. 3.5.2) plotted based on the tables reshaped by AWK in the previous step. The profiles were selected taking into account qualitative and quantitative assessment of data. Quantitative comparability consists in the repeatability of the sampling intervals: every 7th profile in the N segment and every 5th profile in the S segment until profile 40 and profile 52. Qualitative selection was based on the analysis of the bathymetric shapes: similar profiles were removed to avoid repeatability and only varying profiles were compared.

Visualization of the selected graphs of the geomorphology was done by plotting using available technical documentation [518]. Fig. 3.5.1 and Fig. 3.5.2 show selected graphs of the profiles made on basis of the table reshaped by AWK and visualized in GNU Octave using script of m-file, example of N segment. S segment was repeated using the same script template in corresponding table and necessary minor amendments (numbers of profiles, depths for the S segment).

3.5.3 Data analysis

USING Octave, a comparative analysis of the geomorphology in selected profiles crossing the **KKT** was performed visualizing the V shape of the **KKT** which can be notice in a series of the cross-section profiles (Fig. 4 and 5). The Octave graphs (Fig. 4 and Fig. 5) highlighted

geomorphic patterns in northern and southern parts of the trench visualizing variations in depths and geomorphic shapes. Southern part of the trench proved to have deeper bathymetric ranges and steeper trench gradient slope which is caused by the intensive seismicity and volcanic activities in this particular area of the trench.

The series of the SW-NE-aligned orthogonal bathymetric profiles (Fig. 2) show gradual changes in the geomorphology of the **KKT** along the islands from the Greater Kuril Chain northwards from the Bussol Strait: Simushir, Ketoi, Ushishir, Rasshua, Matua, until it finally approaches the north-eastern end of the Sea of Okhotsk and Bering Sea. GMT/AWK/Octave sequential data processing, automatic digitizing of the orthogonal profiles and graphical plotting based on various algorithms of the data analysis have been tested as an experimental design of this research, aimed to visualize two morphological patterns along the southern and northern segment flanks of the **KKT**.

Trench migration rates are also mainly controlled by the lower continental tectonic plate velocity [350], which in turn depends on the tectonic slab age buoyancy [92]. More detailed study on the tectonic impact goes beyond the scope and size of this manuscript which aims at development of the technical methodology of the batch geodata processing by GMT, AWK and Octave as a chain methodological workflow. As a suggestion for further studies on this phenomena, a comparative analysis of the subduction speed of the tectonic plates in northern and southern parts of the trench could be performed in a separate research based on the availability of the precise geophysical and seismic data.

Combination of various data analysis tools, such as **GMT** scripting toolset, AWK and Octave programming languages for sorting and reshaping data, plotting graphs and mapping enabled to create geospatial analysis of the selected specific areas of the Pacific Ocean: the **KKT**. Successful application of the programming languages and advanced statistical tools towards geospatial data analysis has been demonstrated in various papers: Python [770], [424], Fortran language [4], [94], R language [633], [434], [429], [422]. However, only few examples were given so far specifically for AWK language and its application is traditionally limited by the IT domains [603], [726]. Current work contributes to filling the gap in the need for using such effective language as AWK [492] in the geological and Earth sciences and absence of the practical examples and full codes that are always useful besides technical manuals.

Understanding complex interrelation and spatial variation of the geological and geophysical factors affecting the **KKT** is crucial to analysis of its geomorphic patterns. Various factors contribute to the trench geomorphology and shape: motion of the Pacific plate subduction to the adjacent small Okhotsk plate, seismicity and location of the earthquakes. Special geophysical settings, geological parameters and special sedimentation processes induced by active volcanism and seismicity in the region are among the crucial factors affecting trench geomorphology. Geological settings of the Kamchatka region in general are notable for the mountains chains in the adjacent land, recorded active seismicity and magmatism, steep gradients of the mountains Hokkaido and Sakhalin islands, and Kamchatka Peninsula, as well as frequent earthquakes and erodible lithology. Necessarily, these factors contribute towards the active sedimentation processes which in turn affect geomorphology of the trench. Large amounts of the sediments are being transported to the Sea of Okhotsk and then driven in SE direction from the Hokkaido and NW from the Kamchatka Peninsula to the neighboring **KKT**.

3.6 Quantum GIS

QGIS part of this project was performed in version 3.6.1 Noosa. Submarine geomorphology was studied through the spatial and statistical analysis of the cross-section profiles digitized across the trenches. QGIS data processing included various aspects of the data processing: data search from the web resources, data storage in QGIS platform, digitizing and geospatial processing using GIS plugins, geospatial analysis, data capture and export to the csv tables, transfer data sets to R and Python libraries, statistical processing, modelling and visualizing graphical plots.

Map 3.6.1: Mariana Trench: map of the profiles and fragment of the coordinates

The QGIS plugins splits several complex tasks into smaller and more manageable components: reading coordinates, crossing profile lines. The GIS digitizing workflow are illustrated on the Fig. 3.6.2. The fragment of the map and coordinates are shown on Fig. 3.6.1: map of the digitized profiles (left) and coordinates for the selected profile (Nr. 21), Table (right): (1) fragment in geographic coordinate system European Petroleum Survey Group (EPSG) Geodetic Parameter Dataset: EPSG 4326 WGS84. (2), right: fragment in Cartesian coordinate system, re-projected The QGIS methodology includes following steps:

- Geospatial data were collected as thematic layers and uploaded to GIS project including their attributes and coordinate system parameters: bathymetry, geology, topography, tectonics, sediment thickness, slope angle, aspect class, igneous volcanic zones, ophiolites, etc.
- A QGIS project has been projected into the Universal Transverse Mercator coordinate system (UTM) cartesian coordinate system (square N-55).
- 25 cross-section bathymetric profiles were drawn manually across the trench by 'Add Line Feature / Digitizing Toolbar'. Each profile has a length of 1000 km and a distance between each 2 is 100 km. The profiles geometry was digitized along the trenches, attributes (profiles names, coordinates) entered (Fig. 3.6.1)
- The line has been copied and re-entered for the next 24 profiles.
- Numbering of the profiles goes consequently from the north to the south, from 1 to 25.
- Intersections between the lines and bathymetry was done by 'Vector / Analysis Tools / Lines Intersection'. This resulted in the array of the points along each profile. Each point has depth value and a reference number.
- Each profile contained 518 observation sample points, XY coordinates, elevation depth data and thematic geological data (e.g. thickness of the sediment layer) stored in an attribute table. The total data intakes consisted in pool of 12.590 points.

- 'Lat Lon Tools' plugin adding projected coordinated was installed to activate QGIS option of adding XY values to the Spreadsheet.
- Lat/lon coordinates were added to the attribute table of each intersection line by Lat Lon Tools plugin. This plugin was selected as external map support, point digitizing tool to capture and zoom to profiles coordinates. Attribute tables contained data in various segments.
- The coordinates were added to attribute tables of each corresponding profile using plugin function Lat Lon Tools / Conversion / Point layer to fields. The initial 25 layer's latitude, longitude (Y, X) were added and copied into the text fields in the new layers.
- The topography of the 25 cross-section profiles (Fig. 4.12.1) was plotted using ProfileFromPoints plugin of QGIS. The topography data were plotted along a centerline of the cross-section profiles by elevation Z (depth). The resulting profiles are shown on Fig. 3.6.2.
- The attribute information has been derived from each profile and stored in a table containing coordinates, depths and thematic information.
- The tables were exported from QGIS (Export / Save Features As) into csv format for each of the 25 profiles, respectively. The csv data were read into R and Python for statistical analysis using Pandas and NumPy libraries.

Map 3.6.2: Philippine Trench: map and digitized cross-section profiles. QGIS

Cross-sectioning transects (Fig. 4.12.1) were digitized in QGIS and their coordinates and attribute values collected as a csv table. A GIS methodology consists in a stepwise illustration of the creating a series of 25 bathymetric profiles, Mariana Trench by digitizing routing of the profiles. Using queries of the QGIS, the data on geology of the underlying surface was retrieved: local geomorphic conditions, variation in the lithology and sedimentation transport processes. Geology and tectonics as attributes were used to analyze their effects on variations in trench geomorphology. Prior to statistical analyses, the bathymetry of the cross-sections on the joint faceted plot was visually inspected (Fig. 4.12.1).

3.7 Statistical Algorithms

THE methodology of the current research includes a range of the mathematical algorithms designed for statistical analysis [609]. The functionality of these methods has been tested using statistical libraries of the scripting languages R, Python, Octave and [GMT](#). The statistical methods includes various types summarized in a Table 3.7.1. Various statistical methods were developed for application at geospatial research [733]; [585]; [632]. Existing powerful technologies provided by R, Python and Matlab/Octave enable to perform precise computations and statistical analysis of the geodata and to create data frames.

Table 3.7.1: Types of the statistical analysis used by Python and R libraries

No	Type	Example
1	Data grouping & partition	Clustering (k-means method), hierarchical clustering, dendrogram trees, clustermaps with marginal dendrograms
2	Correlation analysis	Covariance scatterplot matrices; Correlation ellipses; Pearson, Spearman, Kendall correlograms, 3D correlation plots
3	Regression analysis	Linear regression; Locally Estimated Scatterplot Smoothing (LOESS)
4	Data distribution	Boxplots; QQ , Violin/Letter-value plots; KDE for analysis of the probability of data distribution
5	Exploratory analysis	Ranking dot plots with areas; PCA ; EFA ; ANOVA
6	Quantitative variables	Pairwise double Y-axis plots; Ridgeline plots, Dumbbell charts, Diverging bars
7	Data sorting	Circular Bar plots, Stemplots; Radar charts
8	Set theory	Euler-Venn diagrams; Conceptual analysis Mindmaps, Word clouds
9	Compositional charts	Categorywise bar charts; 'Waffle' charts
10	Descriptive statistics	Histograms, Heatmaps; line plots, circular pie charts, area charts, strip plots, hexagonal plots, facet grids, stacked area charts for data range across trench segments; stacked bar plots for data distribution by tectonic plates; stacked bar charts;
11	Qualitative variables	Mosaic plots; Silhouette plots; Hierarchical edge plots

The [ML](#) and data mining for the marine geological research is nowadays one of the most important technical approaches. Using programming based [ML](#) algorithms ensure the precision, effectiveness and objectiveness of the big data set processing, which is always the case for marine geological research. This enables to process big data dividing them into compatible data sets for statistical analysis. Thus, one can select attribute tables specifically for magmatism of the nearby areas to analyze the possibilities of the earthquakes, or to focus on the bathymetric properties and distribution of various depth values across the selected profiles. Statistical analysis of the geospatial data by histograms, [KDE](#) Plots, boxplots, regression analysis and other statistical methods has been presented by Python. Tab. 3.8.1 illustrates a summary of the approaches of the statistical analysis used in current dissertation.

3.8 R language for marine geology

R programming language is especially attractive to the geologists for the scientific computing due to its logical and straightforward syntax and multi-functional standard libraries [380]. Using R statistical libraries, the unevenness of various factors affecting trench geomorphic structure has been studied. These include such factors as sediment thickness, slope steepness, angle aspect, depth at the basement and magmatism of the nearby areas. Methods includes following major R libraries: {ggplot2} for regression analysis, Kernel density curves, compositional charts; {ggalt} for Dumbbell charts for data comparison by tectonic plates, ranking dot plots for correlation analysis; {vcd} for mosaic plots, silhouette plots for compositional similarities among the bathymetric profiles, association plots; {car} for ANOVA.

Table 3.8.1: Algorithms of the descriptive statistical analysis

No	Type	Method	Method
1	Variables Relationship	Ternary diagrams	Link
2	Advanced methods	ANOVA Hypothesis testing	SARIMA
3	Dataset dimension	EFA	PCA
4	Advanced methods	Association plots	Level plots, Hexagonal binning

Methodological scheme of the statistical analysis of the bathymetric profiles includes four general steps of the statistical analysis of the submarine geomorphology. The geospatial analysis aims to extract a more regular impact factors of the geologic morphology development, whereas cluster analysis and correlation matrices extracts groups and classes from a total cloud of observation points. Statistical analysis performed by means of R scripting [65] is composed of separate written codes and algorithm for data processing. R codes presented below call for various statistical formulae and algorithms used by the ML for data processing.

3.8.1 Analysis of bathymetric data distribution

The data distribution for the bathymetric profiles has been computed using various existing methods of the statistical analysis [702] of R, e.g.: plotting histograms using standard libraries or {ggpubr} library for plotting common legend, QQ faceted wrap script for cowplot with labels, 3D scatterplot, hierarchical network by {igraph} library, animated approach to run animated sequence for 25 bathymetric profiles showing regression analysis, Mariana Trench, hierarchical network of the environmental factors affecting trench by libraries {ggraph} and {igraph}. Methodological approach of the assessment of data distribution may change depending on the structure and size of the data frame. However, the most essential statistical analysis in analysis of the marine geological data start by question of data distribution. Computing and plotting Kernel distribution curves, boxplots, regression lines (probability of bathymetric data across profiles), quantile statistics, empirical distribution density function and other methods can be distinguished as the most useful for data processing.

3.8.1.1 Box plots

The boxplot of depths distribution show summary statistics: range and quartiles of the observation points across the cross-section profiles. A boxplot (Fig. 3.8.1) graphically displays frequencies of the bathymetric observations data set of the 25 profiles of MT. It plots frequency, or count, on the depth on y-axis (vertical) and measured variable (number of profile) on the x-axis. The boxplot was generated by the default R library (stat) graphically representing five most important descriptive values for a bathymetric data set: A.6.2. These values include the following bathymetric values: minimum value, the 1st quartile

(0.25), the median, the 3rd quartile (0.75), and the maximum value (absolute depth). When graphing this five-number summary, only horizontal axis displays values, while vertical line is placed above each of the summary numbers showing depth values. A box is drawn around the middle three lines (1st quartile, median, and 3rd quartile) and 2 lines are drawn from the boxes edges to the 2 endpoints (minimum and maximum depths values) using R code: [A.6.2](#)

Figure 3.8.1: Mariana Trench: boxplots on data distribution by profiles. R code: [A.6.2](#)

3.8.1.2 Histograms

The histogram plots (Fig. 4) [422] show normal distribution of the depth observation data by the cross-section profiles, their outliers, skewness, median, mean, average, maximal, minimal and quartile distribution values. For each of 25 bathymetric profile various colors are taken for the following statistical data of depth values along the profile: black curves stands for normal distribution, blue curves for density distribution. Vertical dashed lines: purple median values, green mean values. The histograms was drawn by R library {ggplot2}. On the 1st step a single histogram for each of 25 profiles was created. The full R script to create one histogram is as follows (here: for the profile Nr. 1, further applied for every one from 25 profiles by changing the name of the file from 01 to 02, and so on to 25). Using this script further 25 profiles were created, consequently, p01, p02, ... p25. The combination of histograms of the 25 profiles on one layout was done using following R script: [A.6.1](#). The histograms illustrate the frequency of the score occurrences in a continuous data set of the bathymetric depths that were divided into classes showing variation in the samples of the depths, assumptions of the underlying statistical distribution of observation points values.

3.8.1.3 Violin plots

The violin plots (Fig. [A.6.25](#)) show Kernel probability density distribution of the bathymetric observations, as multimodal distributions with multiple peaks. The {violinplot} package was installed and

activated prior to the current work. Kernel density distribution plot as shown on the Fig. 3.8.2 was created using library {violinmplot} of R in a combined plot, which includes calculated quantiles for 0.25 and 0.75 of the data pool.

Figure 3.8.2: Mariana Trench: violin plots on depth distribution by profiles. R code: [A.6.25](#)

3.8.1.4 Notched boxplots

Another way of representing boxplots is 'notched boxplots'. These were computed and visualized in R using code [A.6.3](#) aimed to show depths distribution with majority of the data from -3000 to -5000 m and outliers (the deepest points) show that from profile 1 to 16 general depth increase with the deepest values at profiles 10 and 11. The notched boxplot on Fig. 3.8.3 shows the shallowest parts of the trench located on profiles 24 and 25 where MT crosses YT, near Yap Island in west Pacific Ocean, [422].

Figure 3.8.3: Mariana Trench: notched plots on depth distribution by profiles. R code: [A.6.3](#)

3.8.2 KDE

The KDE is a non-parametric way to estimate the probability density function of a random variable of the samples. The KDE was performed by smoothing data points across the sample points in the profiles 1:25 of the trench. The KDE can be endowed with properties such as smoothness or continuity of the

bathymetric data by using a suitable kernel. Technically, besides {violinplot} library, a **KDE** function can be implemented in R through density, {bkde} function in the {KernSmooth} library, and through {kde} function in the {ks} library: Fig. 3.8.4. To use {kde.R} function, it is not required to install special packages. The **KDE** shows the majority of the depths of the trench between 3000 and 6000 m. The graph was made as a combined plot (Fig. 3.8.4) enabling to compare overlapping and max aptitude of the density curves for the profiles and depth distribution by tectonic plates.

Figure 3.8.4: Mariana Trench: KDE for the 25 bathymetric profiles, R code: [A.6.19](#)

3.8.3 Regression analysis

Regression analysis was done to estimate the relationships between variables using existing methods [301]. The principle of the regression analysis is based on the analysis of the probability of the data values according to their actual distribution.

Figure 3.8.5: Example of the regression analysis: Mariana Trench, selected profiles

A regression analysis represents outlying depths by each profile, as shown on Fig. 3.8.5, code (3 profiles) or 1 profile and faceted plot of 25 profiles.

Figure 3.8.6: Philippine Trench: Regression analysis of 25 profiles. Plotting: R code [A.6.5](#)

For the assessment of the variation in the geomorphology of the trench, it is of significant advantage to visualize the data set using regression analysis (Fig.3.8.6). Regression analysis determines the range, general trend in data distribution and scale of the changes in the observed bathymetry by analyzing changes in the values of the elevation and (Fig. 3.8.6). The following R codes were used for the four

methods of the regression analysis visualized on Fig. 3.8.6: A.6.5. Advantages of plotting a faceted graph consists in visualizing a stream of the data at one look. The algorithms and approaches for the regression analysis use existing methods [80]; [247]; [125] and embedded in {ggplot} R library. This methodology utilizes the relation between two or more quantitative depth variables so that one variable can be predicted from another. Thus, one can estimate the probability that the depth values will be located in this or that interval of values profile by profile according to the machine-based algorithm of the probability of their distribution.

Regression analysis and faceted plot wrapping for 25 bathymetric profiles were executed by R library {ggplot2}. Using non-parametric regression analysis the conditional expectation of the dependent variable (that is depth values) was estimated given the independent variables. The confidence interval for each of 25 profiles was done by 4 methods, visualized by the faceted plot wrapping for the series data comparison. The regression analysis reveals how typical value of the criterion variable changes are, when any of the independent variables change, while other independent variables are fixed. The Formula 3.8.3 describing regression equation describing the relationship between two variables used in this research is as follows:

$$Y = a + bX + e(1) \quad (3.7)$$

The literature refers [301] to three major applications of the regression analysis including general loess method ('glm'), loess method ('lm') and LOESS, all of which were tested in this research. A smooth curve through a set of data points obtained with LOESS statistical technique is called a LOESS curve, Fig. 3.8.6 and 3.8.5. LOESS data smoothing is based on the mathematical assumption that a function can be approximated in a neighborhood by a low-order polynomial and simple models can be fit to data easily. LOESS uses the tri-cube weight function computed by Formula 3.8.3:

$$w(x) = (a - |d|^3)^3 \quad (3.8)$$

The subsets of data used for each Weighted Least Squares (WLS) fit in LOESS are determined by a nearest neighbors algorithm using Savitzky-Golay filter, applied to a dataset for smoothing the data 3.8.3

$$Y_j = \sum_{i=\frac{1-m}{2}}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} C_i y_{j+i}, \quad \frac{m-1}{2} \leq j \leq n - \frac{m-1}{2} \quad (3.9)$$

Where dataset includes n x_j, y_j points ($j = 1, \dots, n$), where x is an independent variable and y_j is an observed value, treated with a set of m convolution coefficients, C_i .

Hence, the quantiles were drawn by calling (geom-quantile) function of R 3.8.5. The Generalized Linear Models (GLM) method was used to give a symbolic description of the linear predictor of depth variability within each bathymetric profile, and a description of the error distribution in a range of the depth observation points. Example of statistical quantile-quantile (QQ) plot for the 25 cross-sectioning profiles is given on Fig. 3 in [426]. Quantiles graphically depict groups of the depth values through their distribution mode, according to the methods of the descriptive statistics.

The spacings between the different parts of the box indicate the degree of the dispersion, i.e. spread, skewness in the bathymetric data, and show outliers. The data outside the upper and lower quartiles are the outliers that are plotted as individual points. The outliers are the non-usual values of the depths indicating specific features of the geomorphology with extra deep values. The histograms show probability of the density distribution of the bathymetric observation by the profiles, i.e. how likely is that the data will belong to this or that part of the group values within certain depth range.

3.8.4 Comparative geospatial analysis by tectonic plates

Mariana Trench is located in the collision of four tectonic plates: Mariana, Philippine Sea, Pacific and Caroline. Therefore, a comparative analysis of multidimensional data was performed to analyze inter-relationships between the geographic location of the specific parts of the trench and factors that may impact changes in the geomorphic systems. Using existing methodology [73], various dependences between the impact factors were tested: Fig. 7 in [422].

First, the distribution of various environmental factors affecting the morphology of the trench were analyzed: slope angle, sediment thickness, depth and closeness of the igneous volcanic zones to the selected bathymetric profiles.

Second, changes in the trench deepest angle, calculated as $\text{tg}^\circ(A/H)$, where A is the width, and H is the maximal depth of the profile, were calculated for each of the 25 bathymetric profiles and compared, respectively.

Figure 3.8.7: Four tectonic plates, trench slope angle in the deepest point

Third, the depth distribution by four tectonics plates was visualized using multiple scatter function of R. A pairwise correlation of the environmental factors was performed by the scripting approach of R, which is convenient not only for looping over parts of the geomorphic model of the Mariana Trench, but also for pairwise iterating over bathymetric and geomorphic variables for the comparative analysis. Called functions 'geom-smooth', 'geom-point' and 'geom-quantile' were used to draw smoothed conditional means and to perform regression analysis. The multiple panel strip plots were generated in a combined plot using {LatticeExtra2} package of R by strip plot function.

The distribution of the slope angle, sediment thickness, depth and closeness of the igneous volcanic zones towards Mariana Trench, by four tectonic plates is shown on Fig. 7 in [422]. It shows distribution of slope angle, sediment thickness, depth and igneous volcanic zones, by four tectonic plates. The R code used: A.6.17 (for several strip plots on one layout) (single strip plot with multiple parameters). The multiple panel by groups analyzing four tectonic plates, trench slope angle in the deepest point, depth

scope (min-max) by profiles using overlapping points, are computed and visualized in R code: [A.6.18](#), scatterplot with overlapping points and brackets using library {pBrackets} [A.6.20](#).

Figure 3.8.8: Multiple panel by groups: tectonic plates, depth by profiles

Variation of the slope angle in the deepest point and depths by 25 bathymetric profiles of the Mariana Trench according to four tectonic plates that is crosses is illustrated on Fig. 3.8.7 and Fig. 3.8.8 (overlapping points approach), [422]. The graphs show variation of the slope angle in the deepest point and depths by bathymetric profiles of the Mariana Trench for four tectonic plates: Mariana, Caroline, Pacific and Philippine, respectively.

3.8.5 Ridgeline, categorywise and circular plots

The ridge plots (R: [A.6.35](#) combine information about statistical data on 25 bathymetric profiles: arithmetic density distribution, mean and standard deviation along the profiles in a faceted way, (Fig. 3.8.9). They have lines extending horizontally from the boxes indicating variability of the depths distribution across all the profiles. The code was written in {ggridges} and {ggplot2} R libraries [A.6.35](#). To create categorywise plot, first, the table was read into R: Second, the tables columns were merged calling {merge} function from library(data.table) by environmental variables: depth, tectonics, slope angles. Third, the new data frame (DFDT) was created. Forth, a plot function was called by the code using data frame created in previous step: [A.6.13](#) and a graph was visualized (Fig. 12 in [422], left).

To better understand the behavior of the spatial distribution in elevations in a stream view, a ridge line technics of the landforms data visualization was applied by R [609]. From the graph showing ridge lines (Fig. 3.8.9) one can see comparative visualization of the density distribution of depths by profiles. Ridgeline profiling provides insight into the statistical distribution of the depth and elevation ranges of the trench and archipelago. From these profiles (Fig. 3.8.9), it is possible to comparatively analyze and observe distribution of an elevation values varied for 25 cross-section profiles. The elevation curves illustrate ranges across the profiles transversal to the main channel of the trench (Fig. 3.8.9).

A {tidyverse}, another R package, was used to visualize a circular plot showing the comparative analysis of the bathymetric ranged by the sediment thickness. The algorithms and syntax of R coding was applied from the available manuals [609]; [329]. The circular bar plot shows distribution of depths

by tectonic plates (Fig. 12 in [422] right, and the distribution of the sediment thickness (%) according to the slope steepness by profiles. To create circular barplot divided by groups, following code was used: R: A.6.16.

Figure 3.8.9: Mariana Trench: Ridgeline plots

3.8.6 Dumbbell charts for data pairwise comparison by tectonic plates

Since Mariana Trench is located in the collision of four tectonic plates, the comparative testing of the values distribution by the tectonic plates has been completed through the Dumbbell chart plotting.

Figure 3.8.10: Mariana Trench: ranking dot plots by data grouping, by R code: A.6.12

Dumbbell chart is a visualization aimed to give an insight of how the margin tectonic plates constitute to the Mariana Trench pairwise. It is one of the new statistical methods that initially was widely used in biosciences, yet can be applied to spatial analyses when it is necessary to take pairwise comparison of the data distribution from any thematic layer. Plotting was carried out by calling R libraries {ggplot2} and

{ggalt} by code: [A.6.12](#). Dumbbell chart demonstrates pairwise distribution of the bathymetric points constituting the continental plates, to show the composition of the sedimentary coverage by four tectonic plates, Fig. [3.8.10](#) [429].

3.8.7 Ranking dot plots by data grouping

Pairwise correlation was visualized by ranking dot plots, an effective tool to perform data grouping by variables (tectonic plates). Plotting distribution of the sample points by igneous volcanic areas aimed at visualizing areas affected by magmatism. Large igneous volcanic areas contribute towards localization of possible earthquake zones. As can be seen (Fig. [3.8.11](#)), the volcanic areas increase along the Mariana Trench SW. Alike to the volcanic areas, variation of the steepness slope was performed by ranking dot plot: profiles with the steepest angles across the trench are located in the NE with a slight decrease towards the SW. The tg° of the profiles were calculated by a standardized formula: a relation of the max depth by the profiles divided by the width of each corresponding profile.

Figure 3.8.11: Mariana Trench: ranking dot plots by data grouping, by R code: [A.6.14](#)

Crucial points (Fig. [3.8.11](#)) were selected using library {ggalt} by calling following R code: [A.6.14](#). The distribution of the steepness values across the trench were shaped by the components of the igneous volcanic areas near the profiles, as seen from the Fig. [3.8.11](#): profiles # 20, 22, 24, 8 and 11 with notable amount of igneous volcanic observation points (over 180) correlate with steepness angle.

3.8.8 Ternary diagrams, radar and pairwise double-Y axis charts

Ternary diagrams and radar charts show the triple correlation of several factors across tectonic plates: slope morphology classes, tectonics, igneous volcanic areas, aspect degree, slope angle, sediment thickness [416]. The programming R code for the ternary diagrams is R: [A.6.29](#). Radar chart was plotted by {fmsb} library: [A.6.21](#) (plotting radar chart for depth distribution within bathymetric profiles, Mariana Trench (modelling distribution of the profiles across the trench)).

The pairwise double-Y axis enables to analyze the effect of the *bi*-factor correlation between the slope angle and other variables (Fig. [3.8.12](#)). The following script runs the model in each case, calculates the X-Y dependences and places both graph on one plot, which is very convenient for comparative studies. The following is a code for the double-Y axis with correlations "Slope angle", "Sediment thickness"

by library {LatticeExtra} in R in consecutive steps: [A.6.15](#). At the end of each run, the final results are copied to the p1, p2 and p3 plot, and afterwards combined three graphs on one plot, [422].

Figure 3.8.12: Pairwise double-Y axis charts showing correlation between factors

3.8.9 Ranking data for variation of the geomorphic steepness

Geomorphic variation distinguishes a certain segment of the profile of the trench from neighbor areas.

Figure 3.8.13: Mariana Trench: ranking data for steepness variation, R code: [A.6.22](#)

Variation in geomorphology changes in shape that varies from one segment to another depending on the tectonic properties and geospatial location. Correlation between the geomorphic shape with geologic settings and geographic location revealed that the submarine geomorphology of the Mariana Trench

is influenced by the geospatial settings of the underlying tectonic plates that affect its shape and cause variation of the steepness across the trench segments. The variation of the shape form is strongly affected by the geospatial location of the trench crescent.

Variations in the presented bathymetric profiles shows (Fig. 3.8.13) trench varying in shape across its crescent: five divided regions varying in steepness degree, ranging from the strong slope, very strong slope, extreme slope and very steep slope. The unsorted spatial series of the profiles (Fig. 3.8.13, left) only shows the consequent distribution of the slopes, so we cannot judge their variations, but only general range. Upon sorting and ranking profiles (Fig. 3.8.13, right), the segments with similar geomorphic slope steepness are ranked and grouped. Ranking data was based on the geomorphic steepness using R libraries and existing mathematical algorithms [609]. The methodology for plotting Fig. 3.8.13 consists in the application of the R code A.6.22.

3.8.10 Compositional charts of the determinants variations

The constitution of the system composition has been visualized by the categorical plotting. One of the methods enabling categorical plotting is presented by compositional charts, sometimes referred to as waffle charts.

Figure 3.8.14: Mariana Trench: compositional chart of the geometry of the profiles, R

The principal approach of this method is to demonstrate the division of the whole system by parts in percentage. Several R libraries were used to perform technically compositional plotting, of which the {ggplot2} was selected as the most effective (A.6.37) comparing to the {waffle} library (A.6.38): it enables to control the appearance of the plot by adjusting details (colors, font size, types, faceted plotting). The compositional chart is aimed to compare distribution of the data across the area, and composition of the aspect class and slope angle for the trench. As can be seen (Fig. 3.8.14), the category very steep slope is the dominant among all other geomorphological types of the slope degree of the Mariana Trench. Likewise, N and SW aspect of the slope direction are best describing the geometry of the northern and southern part of the trench, respectively.

3.8.11 Mosaic, silhouette and association plots

Mosaic chart aims at categorical comparison of the geomorphological features of the trench by four tectonic plates (Fig. 3.8.15). Visualizing mosaic plot is a statistical method that involves a subdivision of a rectangular tiles into the areas that represent the conditional relative frequency for a cell in the contingency table. The algorithms and approaches used in mosaic plotting vary slightly. Upon examination

of possible packages, the R library `{vcd}` was selected for this research (R code: A.6.31). Using `{vcd}` library, each tile is colored to show the deviation from the expected frequency, that is residuals, from a Pearson X2 or likelihood ratio G -test showing maximum likelihood statistical significance by Formula 3.8.11:

$$G = 2 \sum_i O_i * \ln\left(\frac{O_i}{E_i}\right) \quad (3.10)$$

The algorithm set includes execution of the R code. The convenience of the mosaic plot for the geomorphological analysis of the ocean trenches consists in its visual representation of the association between the environmental variables. Thus, it gives an overview of the data structure and enables to recognize relationships between the different environmental variables. Conversely, boxes across categories constituting Pacific and Mariana plates have similar areas. The area of the tiles, the bin size, includes the identification of the sampling data, giving the proportional value to the number of observations within that category.

Figure 3.8.15: Mosaic and silhouette plots for the Mariana Trench

The interpretation and validation of consistency within clusters of geomorphologic and geological variables have been tested using silhouette plotting (Fig. 3.8.15). This technique provides a succinct graphical representation of the suitability and fitness of each from 518 observation points across 25 bathymetric profiles within the clusters. The silhouette value measured similarity of the points derived from the thematic layers (sediment thickness, slope steepness) to the cluster by cohesion and comparison them with other clusters, and separating them from the distinct points. Lower sediment thickness area lie within one class while a class of areas with high level of sediment level fits to another.

The silhouette was calculated with Euclidean distance metric by calling library `{cluster}` using following R code: A.6.30. The Euclidean distance metric is computed by Formula 3.8.11:

$$\|a - b\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_i (a_i - b_i)^2} \quad (3.11)$$

The R script plots the silhouettes, returns the values in the n-by-1 vectors. A deviations from the independence of rows and columns containing bathymetric data and environmental variables is presented in a two-dimensional contingency table of the Cohen-Friendly association plots (Fig. 3.8.16). Extended asso-

Table 3.8.2: Statistical algorithm of clustering, R code: [A.6.9](#)

No	Step
1	Computed hierarchical clustering and cutting the tree in the k-clusters
2	Computed pairwise standard scatter plot of k-means cluster correlation
3	Computed pairwise standard scatter plot of k-means cluster correlation
4	Computed centers (2 to 7 were tested, in total 6 centers) of each cluster
5	Created groups of the correlation matrix tested by distributions on the plates

ciation plot in R reveals relationships between the variables by the `assoc()` function in the `{vcd}` package. In this case, it is tectonic plates and geomorphological values (bathymetric depths, slope steepness).

Figure 3.8.16: Association plots for the Mariana Trench

Pearson’s residuals on the association plot report test for the statistical goodness of fit: it enables to assess the model fit at each of samples for each profile for the generalized linear models within the context of the chi- square, as shown further in [429]. It has been computed as a raw residual divided by the square root of the variance function. Technically, association plot method includes R code: [A.6.31](#).

3.8.12 Clustering (*k*-means method), correlation ellipses and data partition

Finding correlations among the geomorphic factors that impact the morphological formation of the profiles was done by cluster analysis and correlation ellipses. The *k*-means clustering is a machine learning technique for classification performs data partition into set up number of clusters [488], [444]. K-means clustering was done by R library `{factoextra}` using codes for (pairwise cluster analysis) and cluster analysis.

The goal of the *k*-means clustering was to perform partition of the dataset of the observations across the trench into clusters, in which each depth observation belongs to the cluster with the nearest mean, serving as a prototype of the cluster. Clustering is sensitive to the initial random selection of the cluster centers. Therefore, several clusters were tested starting from 2 to 7. This function provides a solution using a hybrid approach by combining the hierarchical clustering and the *k*-means methods. The workflow procedure include following steps: Tab. [3.8.2](#).

The k -means of the clustering of the trench profiles was computed and visualized in R by code A.6.9, Fig. 14, the k -means clustering with different k -values is shown on Fig. 15, [422]. The k -means cluster analysis has been performed using set of cluster centers as the initial cluster centers. The algorithm worked iteratively to assign each profile to one of the groups (2 to 7) based on the morphometric features of the trench across these profiles that were clustered based on their geomorphic feature similarity. The results of the k -means clustering are shown on the Fig. 3.8.17, further details are given in [423]. The pairwise standard scatter plot of k -means cluster correlation distributed by four tectonic plates is shown on Fig. 3.8.17.

Figure 3.8.17: Mariana Trench: classification of the k -means clustering by plates, R code A.6.9

The k -means cluster analysis was done using following R code: A.6.9. The mathematical background is as follows. k -means clustering aims to partition the n observations into $k (\leq n)$ sets $S = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k\}$ so as to minimize the within-cluster sum of squares (WCSS) by the Formula 3.8.12:

$$\arg \min \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{x \in S_i} \|x - \mu_i\|^2 = \arg \min \sum_{i=1}^k |S_i| \text{Var} S_i \quad (3.12)$$

Where μ_i is the mean of points in S_i . The advantages of the k -means clustering applied for Mariana Trench consists in the algorithm nature: rather than defining groups before looking at the data, clustering enabled to find and analyze the groups in the profiles that have formed organically. As the structure of the tectonics and trench geomorphic properties are rather complex, clustering facilitated data partition and grouping. The correlogram ellipses were generated to visualize correlation between the factors affecting the morphology of the trench [422]. The methodological approach includes calling {ellipse} library and executing following script: A.6.32.

3.8.13 Hexagonal plots and data partition

Plotting hexagonal plot was performed using R library {hexbin}. This plot shows how bathymetric data are distributed by the profiles in terms of frequency: how often the values are being repeated by

profiles. Hexbin plots serve as a useful alternative to scatter plots, as the data are dense. Therefore, plotting each point individually in this case is not effective: the data would be indistinguishable on the graph. Hence, the {hexbin} library was used to plot the range of the depths values within Mariana Trench using the R code: A.6.27. Studies revealed that major depth observation points of the Mariana Trench are located in between the -3000 and -5000 meters (Fig. 3.8.18), as also discussed by [212]. The significant point is that sediment thickness changes notably both within the trench by profiles (1:25) and between four adjacent tectonic plates: Philippine Sea, Pacific, Mariana and Caroline. Data grouping and partition was performed by dividing angles across the trench on to classes (Fig. 19 left [422]). The level plot heatmap (Fig. 19 right [422]) shows classes of the sediment thickness by Mariana Trench and modelling correlation between sediment thickness, slope angle and bathymetry, was built by R code: A.6.33.

Figure 3.8.18: Mariana Trench: hexagonal data distribution, by R {hexbin} library.

3.8.14 Correlation analysis of impact factors

Correlation coefficient is a numerical measure of direction and strength of the linear correlation between variables, i.e. how strong a relationship is between geomorphological, bathymetric and geological factors is across four different tectonic plates. Three methods of computing correlation analysis were tested: Pearson correlation, Spearman correlation, Kendall correlation [434]. Additionally, numerical correlogram, correlation and cross-correlations matrices were generated to analyze environmental impact factors for the trench. The correlogram matrices are computed and visualized by R scripting libraries.

3.8.14.1 Pearson correlation

Developed by Karl Pearson from a related idea of Francis Galton in the 1880s, a Pearson product moment correlation coefficient, a. k. a. the bivariate correlation, is a measure of the linear correlation between two variables by Formula 3.8.14.1:

$$r = \frac{\sum (x - mx)(y - my)}{\sqrt{\sum (x - mx)^2 \sum (y - my)^2}} \quad (3.13)$$

In this case, the environmental variables and geomorphological factors were tested by Pearson correlation: sediment thickness, slope angle and class, aspect degree, hill shade in values, location of igneous

volcanic zones across the abyssal valley, tectonics by 4 plates, bathymetric values, that is max/min depths, median, means for each profile, tan angle°. Pearson correlation has a value between +1 and −1, where 1 is a total positive linear correlation, 0 is no linear correlation, and −1 is total negative linear correlation. It is presented on Fig.3.8.19, computed and visualized by R script: A.6.41.

Figure 3.8.19: Mariana Trench: Pearson and Spearman correlation matrices, by R code A.6.41

3.8.14.2 Spearman rank correlation coefficient ρ

The environmental variables were tested by Spearman rank correlation coefficient ρ : sediment thickness, slope angle and class, aspect degree, hill shade in values, location of igneous volcanic zones across the abyssal valley, tectonics by four plates, bathymetric values, max/min depths, median, means for each profile, tan angle°. Named after Charles Spearman, a Spearman non-parametric ρ coefficient is handling ties between the variables less properly comparing to the previously computed Pearson coefficient (Fig.3.8.19). The Spearman's ρ was computed on sets of paired rankings between the factors. On Fig.3.8.19 the more correlated variables are represented by the colors of green. The size of the circle also depends on the correlation value. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient is denoted by ρ or r_s . It is given by the following formula [563]:

$$r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \sum (d_i^2)}{n(n^2 - 1)} \quad (3.14)$$

where

$d_i = \text{rg}(X_i) - \text{rg}(X_j)$ is the difference between the 2 ranks of each observation, n is the number of observations.

Here d_i represents the difference in the ranks given to the values of the variable for each data item received and processed from the csv table via library {data.table}. The formula of Spearman's rank correlation coefficient ρ is applied for case with no tied ranks. Technically, R script for Pearson correlation was changed for case of Spearman's ρ by following code: A.6.41. On Fig.3.8.19 the measure of rank correlation, that is a statistical dependence between the rankings of two variables has been computed using {GGalt} library by R code: A.6.41. Spearman correlation shows (Fig.3.8.19) the relationship between every pair of two variables described as a monotonic function and comparing following factors: tectonics, geology, geographic, bathymetric and magmatism. Spearman's correlation assesses monotonic relationships, whether linear or not, between the available factors. If there are no repeated data values, a

perfect Spearman correlation of +1 or 1 occurs when each of variables is a perfect monotone function of the other.

3.8.14.3 Kendall τ coefficient

Similar to Spearman, Kendall τ coefficient to measure rank correlation, invented by M. Kendall, is a non-parametric test for statistical dependence between environmental ordinal (or rank-transformed) variables. Kendall rank correlation distance is defined as follows:

$$\tau = \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum sgn(x_i - x_j) sgn(y_i - y_j) \quad (3.15)$$

Kendall rank correlation τ coefficient is statistically used to measure ordinal association between two measured quantities: geological factors in this case. Based on non-parametric hypothesis τ coefficient test for statistical dependence, it was computed by R code [A.6.41](#). It measures rank correlation: the similarity of the orderings of the data when ranked by each of the quantities. Unlike Spearman's, Kendall can handle ties. There are three Kendall τ statistics: τ -a, τ -b, and τ -c, of which τ -b is specifically adapted to handle ties.

By τ -b statistics, each pair of members of the environmental variables (tectonics, geological factors, geographic location, magmatism, sediment thickness) was tested against igneous volcanic spots. It has the same ordinal value by a divisor term. The latter represents geometric mean between the number of environmental factor pairs that are not tied on factor 1 and the number not tied on 2. Visualized Kendall τ -correlation (Fig. 7) for geomorphological factors of the trench and numerical scatterplot matrix are computed by R code: [A.6.41](#), [433], libraries {Matrix}, {mclust}, {correlplot}. Numerical scatterplot matrix of correlation between the factors and cross-correlation matrix of the depths in profiles of the trench (Fig. 9, [433]) are plotted by R code: [A.6.7](#) and visualized by library {ggimage}.

3.8.15 Calculation of the normalized steepness angle of the Mariana Trench

The normalized steepness was computed as a steepness of dominance hierarchies of the slope angle (Fig. [3.8.20](#)).

Figure 3.8.20: Mariana Trench: normalized steepness of the slope angles by profiles, R

Steepness is defined as the absolute slope of the straight line fitted to the normalized scores. The normalized scores were obtained on the basis of dominance indices corrected for chance or by means of the proportions of wins. Given an observed data set, it computed hierarchy's steepness and estimated statistical significance by means of a randomization test for the steepness of the trench (here: example of the normalized steepness angles by the bathymetric profiles, Mariana Trench, single-colored (here: plotting 'lollipop charts' and diverging bars of the Mariana Trench bathymetry)).

3.8.16 PCA

The PCA (Fig. 10, [433]) performed using R code A.6.8 enabled to visualize eigenvectors as showing major direction and vector length for the principal components affecting categorical values. Through the PCA a statistical procedure using an orthogonal transformation to convert a set of bathymetric depth observations of possibly correlated variables, was performed. Thus, the direction of the eigenvectors shows depths, highly influenced by its geomorphic settings: location by 25 profiles and similarities between the profiles.

3.8.17 Hierarchical cluster analysis

In this dissertation, the main method of the determining clusters of the environmental variables affecting trench formation is the algorithm provided by cluster analysis for recognition of the data patterns, executed by {dendextend} R library, code A.6.6, [402]. R enabled to perform stepwise cluster analysis, data partition, sorting and grouping. Comparative analysis of the multi-dimensional data enabled to analyze inter-relationships between the factors impacting changes in the morphology and dynamics of the trench system. The most efficient method was computing hierarchical dendrogram clustering with p-values called by R libraries {dendextend}, {gridExtra}, {magrittr}, {methods} and {gridGraphics} [402]. Used hierarchical clustering method is default {hclust}.

The results of the algorithm are visualized as a plotted dendrogram. Working flowchart include following steps: creating unsorted and sorted dendrograms (Fig. 3.8.21), cross-comparison of the both, hierarchical clustering using p -values, and dendrogram by clustering using bootstrap probability method (Fig. 3.8.22). Bootstrapping is based on using a scheme that creates new data sets through reweighting and resampling the initial dataset. Given a set of N data points, the weighting assigned to data point i in a new data set D^J is $w_i^J = x_i^J x_{i-1}^J$, where x^J is a low-to-high ordered list of $N - 1$ uniformly distributed random numbers on $[0, 1]$ preceded by 0 and succeeded by 1. Using this approach, a small amount of normally distributed random noise is added onto each resampled data. This is equivalent to sampling from a KDE of the data by Formula 3.8.17:

$$\hat{f}_h(x) = \frac{1}{ng} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h}\right) \quad (3.16)$$

where h is the smoothing parameter, K is a symmetric KDE function with unit variance, $\hat{f}_h(x)$ is a standard kernel estimator. This sampling process was repeated in a loop several times until the data were sorted and grouped (Fig. 3.8.22).

The initial step included created unsorted dendrogram. Several adjustments were applied thereafter: changed and colored labels based on real profiles groups category, colored branches based on the procedure of cutting dendrogram tree into the clusters. Then dendrogram was sorted using ML algorithm. After the reflection of the unsorted dendrogram on the sorted according to the data distribution by sample values, the model proceeded to the comparison of the two dendrograms (Fig. 4, [434]). Compared dendrograms formed cluster kernels. Further processing was completed on the machine by an embedded mathematical algorithm of R that filter out less significant profiles and compares them to the kernel ones. The data are stored to make final step: clustering using bootstrap probability. Thus, there are 4 modifications of the initial model consisted of cross-section bathymetric profiles of the trench.

Figure 3.8.21: Mariana Trench: hierarchical dendrogram tree of the profiles, by R code: A.6.6

Hierarchical dendrogram clustering method was selected due to its flexibility: this type of the ML is used specially for unlabelled data, i.e. without defined categories or groups, aimed to understand data possible allocation into groups. As the structure of the tectonics and trench geomorphic properties are rather complex, the dendrogram clustering facilitated data partition though defining the resulting groups, with similar morphology, distance to the volcanic areas, slope angles and sediment thickness. Used R script for cluster analysis: A.6.6.

Figure 3.8.22: Mariana Trench: hierarchical clustering, R code: [A.6.6](#)

To summarize methodology of clustering, the advantages consist in usability of R libraries and algorithms at one time to understand the best option for the data set. The approach is dendrogram by the {dendextend} library aimed at data partition according to the geospatial properties [402]. A table in (.csv) format was read as data frame into R, and a script was called based on mathematical algorithm of data processing. It calculated variables (geology, bathymetry, geomorphology, sedimentation and tectonics), and divided the dataset into clusters according to their similarity. Once the data are analyzed by the ML, the visualization of the groups and data partition were performed.

3.8.18 Scatterplot Matrices

Scatterplot (covariance) matrix is an advantageous approach for the analysis of the big datasets containing multi-source data. Covariance matrix is a square matrix giving the covariance between each pair of elements in a dataset. It illustrates determinant for linear correlation between multiple variables, are efficient way to highlight the environmental variables that might have similar correlations to the geomorphic structure of the trench. Mathematical definition of the covariance matrices is given in Formula 3.9.6.9:

$$K_{XX} = cov[X, X] = E [X - \mu_x)(X - \mu_x)^T] = E [XX^T] - \mu_x \mu_x^T \quad (3.17)$$

The matrix is used to solve the problem of selection of the crucial impact factors affecting the hadal formation. The application is supported by purely practical algorithms of data partition that is, inspection of the possible determinants. The data with multiple variables congregating a geo-system are particular suitable to be used for the scatterplot matrix. The matrices correlation for tectonic plates were executed by {Ggally}, {data.table} and {ggplot2} libraries.

Figure 3.8.23: Mariana Trench: scatterplot matrix of the correlation between variables. R.

The matrix of Pearson correlation coefficients between each of the random variables in a given dataset, closely related to the covariance matrix is defined by Formula 3.8.18:

$$\text{corr}(X) = (\text{diag}(K_{XX}))^{-\frac{1}{2}} K_{XX} (\text{diag}(K_{XX}))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.18)$$

where $\text{diag}(K_{XX})$ is the diagonal matrix X_i of the variances (diagonal elements) of K_{XX} for $i = 1, \dots, n$. The R script, based on this mathematical definition, was used to assess correlation between factors affecting trench geomorphology: A.6.41. The bathymetric determinant of the 4 distinct groups of the cross section profiles cause certain variability in the sediment thickness of the basement, slope angle steepness degree, angle aspect, depth at the basement and statistical values (means, median and absolute minimum). A magmatism of the nearby area is notable among other factors. The closeness of the igneous volcanic areas contribute towards earthquake frequency across tectonic plates. The results demonstrated that cross-section profiles of the trench can be divided into clusters according to their properties, e.g. bathymetry, geographic location (latitude and longitude), geological (width of the sediment thickness), magmatism (large igneous polygon areas) and tectonic (location of the tectonic plates nearby).

The algorithms consist of taking variables to show correlation of their values with others across the range of the dataset. Scatterplot matrix shows similarity at the diagonal view, with increasing dissimilarity as the differences in the geologic and tectonic values increase. It analyzes correlation between the factors. Correlation function shows similarities between the characteristics of the tectonic plates. When depth increases (Fig. 1, [430], right center), correlation with sediment thickness increases to a maximum.

As sample points change their position from plates, correlation increases to a maximum, because geologic settings vary accordingly. A notable peak in the correlation between the magmatism of the igneous volcanic areas and slope° indicates the dependancies between the geomorphology and tectonics.

In a resulting covariance mapping the values of the $\text{cov}(X,Y)$ or $\text{pcov}(X,Y | I)$ matrix are plotted as a 2-dimensional map (Fig. 3.8.23) by following Formula 3.8.18:

$$[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n] = \begin{bmatrix} X_1(t_1) & X_2(t_1) & \dots & X_n(t_1) \\ X_1(t_2) & X_2(t_2) & \dots & X_n(t_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X_1(t_m) & X_2(t_m) & \dots & X_n(t_m) \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.19)$$

The method of the scatterplot matrix shows appropriate visualization for the large dataset overstepping thousands of the observation points. Scatterplot matrix displays values for typical variables for a dataset: depths, aspect, slope° of the transects, sediment thickness, volcanic areas, topographic settings (max/min/mean depths, by profiles). The diagonal view of the scatterplot matrix gives an inside in to the relationships between these factors. Based on the scatterplot matrix it can be roughly determined that there is a linear correlation between several geological variables: sediment thickness have correlations to the slope angle degree and a depth values.

Scatterplot matrix enables to visualize several approaches (Fig. 3.8.23): scatter plots, boxplots with residuals, margin plots and surface plots, R code A.6.41. The effects of factors that increase sedimentation (location on tectonic plates, steepness) may hidden other environmental triggers underlying sedimentation responses. This is also induced by the biomass accumulation in the deep layers of the trench. Changes in patterns of the deep currents and intensity affected by submarine volcanism may cause increase sedimentation. This proves a feedback mechanism between the marine geological and biological factors. The submarine sedimentation in the oceanic trenches spatially correlate to the geological and tectonic factors and to the deformation features that induce submarine volcanism and closeness of the igneous volcanic areas. In such cases, sedimentation response rapidly.

The results indicate (Fig. 3.8.23) that sediment sources at the Mariana Trench are connected with trench tectonics. The relationships and links between the sediment thickness, slope angle steepness and profiles depth of the trench are shown on (Fig. 3.9.11). The sediment thickness gives a response towards the steepness and the depth. The rate of the submarine sediment accumulation is critical due to the preconditioning by these factors. It is also sensitive to the triggering factors: ocean deep currents contributing to the sedimentation and topographic variability observed in the cross-section profiles. R proved to be an effective tool for modelling scatterplot matrices that show distribution of the factors affecting geomorphic properties of the oceanic trench by distinct unevenness of the factors [609].

3.8.19 EFA

Factor analysis and PCA are based on R script: A.6.26 using dataset [431]. The $\text{fa}()$ function from R was used (A.6.26), with the following primary arguments: r : the correlation matrix; N_{factors} : number of factors to be extracted; rotate : one of several matrix rotation methods, such as varimax or oblimin. R libraries for scripting simulations were used to model principal factors affecting the trench morphology. Mathematical definition of the statistical model is as follows.

There is a given set of p observable random variables, $x_1, \dots, x_p$ with means $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p$, unknown constants l_{ij} and κ unobserved random variables F_j (common factors, as they influence all the observed random variables), where $i \in 1, \dots, p$ and $j \in 1, \dots, k$ where $k < p$. Then the definition can be described by Formula 3.8.19:

$$x_i - \mu_i = l_{i1}F_1 + \dots + l_{ik}F_k + \epsilon_i \quad (3.20)$$

Here, the ε_i are unobserved stochastic error terms with zero mean and finite variance, which may not be the same for all i . The schematic process of factor analysis includes grid generation, model setup, execution and analysis of the results with visualized correlation matrix showing the most important impacts factors according to their values. The results of the correlation matrix are shown on Fig. 4, [432]. The standardized loadings based upon correlation matrix of factor analysis of the trench (Fig. 5 [432]) show impact values for each factor.

Figure 3.8.24: Mariana Trench: Factor Analysis, R code [A.6.26](#)

A {FactoMiner} library was used for cluster analysis iCLUST (Fig. 3.8.24). The goal is the same as for the factor or components analysis, but methodologically, it reduces the complexity of the data and attempts to identify homogeneous sub-groups. Here, EFA aims to extract more regular impact factors of the geologic morphological development, whereas cluster analysis and hierarchical dendrogram extract the groups and classes in a total cloud of the bathymetric observation points. Factor analysis results in illustrating cross-influences of the most notable factors: geological, geomorphological, tectonic, geographic and environmental parameters. This enabled to quantitatively analyze correlation between the actual shape of the trench and its impact factors (Fig. 6 [432]). Fm: one of several factoring methods, such as pa (principal axis) or ml (maximum likelihood), as shown on the code: [A.6.26](#).

The ω testing was done to find out two alternative estimates of the reliability that take into account hierarchical structure of the inventory data ω (Fig. 3.8.25). It shows general factor saturation in a simple way (ω_h) (left) and through 3 factors ω coefficient (right). These were called using omega function for factor analysis, R code [A.6.26](#). The computed coefficient omega (hierarchical) (ω_h) is an estimate of the general factor saturation of the performed test. Various factoring methods were tested to better describe data factors. Nevertheless, fa() function provided the best results used for common factoring (Fig. 7 [432]). The oblique rotation (rotate = oblimin) was used, which recognizes that there is some correlation between geomorphological factors affecting trench formation.

Figure 3.8.25: Mariana Trench: fragment of factor analysis, by R code [A.6.26](#)

The principal axis factoring ($fm = "pa"$) was used, as the identifying the underlying constructs in the data was not necessary in this case. The EFA matrix is shown on Fig. 3.8.24, left. Once all cross-section profiles are inspected, the assessment of the bathymetric sample points and other parameters (depth, sediment thickness) were met by factor analysis correlation matrix: Fig. 3.8.24. Factor loadings (Fig. 5 in [432]) enable to assess the results of the factor solutions. Thus, one can see that sediment thickness (factor solution = 0.65), slope angle steepness (factor solution = 0.61), and Mariana Plate location (factor solution = 0.74) have high factor loadings >0.5 around 0.7 on the first factor (PA1).

Therefore, these factors are the most influencing and representative for the trench morphology. The sediment thickness is mostly impacted by the slope steepness. 2 geophysical indicators were particularly tested in the comparative analysis. It was found that slope degree and amplitude has important impact on the sediment thickness, while aspect degree has lesser effect. The second column (PA2) reveals (Fig. 5 [432]) that calculated tg° slope angle has a factor solution = 0.98 (extremely high), following by depth distribution (factor solution = 0.76). General factor saturation (ωh) and 3 factors ω coefficient are shown on Fig. 3.8.25.

The location of the Caroline and Philippine Plates do not affect that much trench with negative factor solutions (-0.79 and -0.70, respectively), by lower loading on PA2 (factor solutions = 0.25 and 0.12) and a slight loading on factor PA1. This suggests that statistics is less related to the concept of Caroline and Philippine Plates than Mariana Plate and its geomorphic settings, e.g. tg° slope angle steepness, sediment thickness. On the resulting table (Fig. 5, [432]) one can see that each factor accounted for around 20% (0.26% and 0.18%) of the variance in responses leads to a factor solution accounted for 100% of the total variance (Cumulative proportion PA2: 1.00) in the trench morphology. Finally, these factors are correlated in-between at 0.15 and recall that this choice of the oblique rotation allowed for the recognition of this relationship. The hypothesis testing was sufficient, with following factors having the highest score: tg° slope angle, sediment thickness, Mariana Plate location.

3.8.20 ANOVA to assess hypothesis testing

The results have been interpreted on the homogeneity of variance by means of the one-way ANOVA series of tests (Fig. 3.8.26). Several ANOVA test methods were executed. The brief description of their advantages and particularities is given below. The Tukey Honest Significant Differences (HSD) multiple pairwise-comparisons was applied to the ANOVA results. The Formula 3.8.20: for Tukey's test is as follows:

$$q_s = \frac{Y_A - Y_B}{SE} \quad (3.21)$$

where Y_A is the larger of the two means being compared, Y_B is the smaller of the two means being compared, and SE is the standard error of the sum of the means. Since ANOVA test is significant, the Tukey HSD test was computed by R code: A.6.28. TukeyHSD() takes the fitted ANOVA as an argument. This test enabled to perform multiple pairwise-comparison between the means of groups. The library {multcomp} was used to perform a Pairewise t-test and to calculate pairwise comparisons between the group levels with the corrections for the multiple testing using R function pairwise.t.test().

The Levene's test was used to analyse the homogeneity of variances at the next step of ANOVA testing by calling function leveneTest() in the car package: A.6.28. This is an inferential statistic used to assess the equality of variances for a variable calculated for two or more groups by Formula 3.8.20:

$$W = \frac{N - k}{k - 1} * \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k N_i (Z_i - Z_{..})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} (Z_{ij} - Z_i)^2} \quad (3.22)$$

Where k is the number of different groups to which the sampled cases belong; N_i is the number of cases in the i group; N is the total number of cases in all groups; Z_{ij} is received from the value of the measured variable for the j case from the i group.

Comparing to the Pairewise t-test, the advantages of the Levene's test is its lesser sensitiveness towards the departures from the normal distribution. Afterwards, the Welch t-test was executed in parallel to the oneway.test() in experimental mode defined by the following Formula 3.8.20:

$$t = \frac{\hat{X}_1 - \hat{X}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{N_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{N_2}}} \quad (3.23)$$

The Welch-test does not require that assumption have been implemented in the function oneway.test() which make is more suitable comparing to the last one.

Figure 3.8.26: Mariana Trench: ANOVA hypothesis testing, by R code: [A.6.28](#)

The Shapiro test was alternatively used to analyze variance of the residuals to assess a test of normality (Fig. 3.8.26) by Formula 3.8.20:

$$W = \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{x})^2} \quad (3.24)$$

Finally, a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test was applied to finish with a series of ANOVA testing. The test statistic is given by Formula 3.8.20:

$$H = (N - 1) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^g n_i (\bar{r}_i - \bar{r})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^g \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (r_{ij} - \bar{r})^2} \quad (3.25)$$

where: n_i is the number of observations in group i ; $r_{i,j}$ is the rank (among all observations) of observation j from group i ; N is the total number of observations across all groups; $\bar{r}_i$ is the average rank of all observations in group i ; $\bar{r}$ is the average of all the $r_{i,j}$. The result have been interpreted on homogeneity of variance by means of one-way ANOVA tests. Since the p-value (Fig. 3.8.26) is less than the significance level 0.05, one can conclude that there are significant differences between the groups highlighted with "***" in the model summary, that is four tectonic plates. The Tukey multiple pairwise-comparisons test enabled to perform multiple pairwise-comparison between the means of groups and demonstrated 95% family-wise confidence level of the results. The plotted homogeneity of variances of the results are shown in Fig. 3.8.26.

3.8.21 Euler-Venn Diagram

Logical visualization of the results as Euler-Venn diagram (Fig. 3.8.27) was plotted as illustration of correlations between the impact factors affecting trench geomorphology. The Euler-Venn diagram shows 4 and 6 factors (Fig. 3.8.27), plotted to visualize possible crossings between the variables and the most notable parameters using {venn} R library by script: A.6.23

Figure 3.8.27: Mariana Trench: Euler-Venn diagram, R code A.6.23

The Euler-Venn diagrams enable to analyze logical factors crossing and categories affecting morphology. It shows possible logical relationships between entries of a datasets: tectonic plates, sediment thickness; geometry of the trench (slope°, aspect class, hill shade group class derived as quantitative values from GIS); morphology; volcanism and depths. The area of the shape is proportional to the number of elements it contains, which is useful for explaining complex hierarchies and overlapping definitions as the case of factors affecting trench morphology (Fig. 3.8.27). Mariana plate crosses all other plates, yet the most correlation has with Pacific Plate. Comparing crossings between categories: sediment thickness and slope angle, igneous zones and trench slope°. Upon analysis of possible combinations, intersections and similarities between categories, one can see the relationship between the factors (Fig. 3.8.27).

3.8.22 Word cloud

The integrated use of GIS, statistical methods by R scripting applied for geological data processing aimed at a multi-disciplinary approach to study spatial distribution of the impact factors affecting trench geomorphology schematically presented on Fig. 22 [422] by R code A.6.34. The interpretation and classification of the spatial data were used to estimate factors of the trench geomorphology. Cumulated flowchart of methods, approaches and data was done by {wordcloud} library by R code A.6.36.

3.9 Python language for marine geology

3.9.1 Advantages of Python

Python, an object-oriented, high-level programming language of general purpose applied for marine geological data analysis and data processing still remains a relatively novel approach. Usually applied in IT domain applications, such as computer data analysis or data science, Python initially released in 1991 by [640] can effectively be used in the marine geology as demonstrated in this section. Among other languages, Python has become increasingly popular [495], [533] both in industry and academia. There are various significant advantages of the Python that make it a stand-alone language among the others. The advantages of Python can be summarized in a concise way in a Tab. 3.9.1 as following answer to the main question of this subsection:

Figure 3.9.1: Mariana Trench: KDE of the bathymetric profiles, Python (Matplotlib and Seaborn) [428]

The polymorphism embedded to the Python syntax enables to seamless overload standard operators to adjust their behavior in the context of the current task. Since Python is a very-high-level and constantly evolving language, it has flexible arrays. Another feature of Python is its of the inheritance that scripts lets create subclasses containing qualities of their upper-level classes. GIS plugins do not able to perform a Python-level data analysis with the same effectiveness and visualization, e.g. such Python libraries as NumPy, SciPy, Matplotlib [399].

The syntax of the Python codes include operating with both immutable objects (such as strings and tuples) and mutable sequences of mixed types of items (such as lists). Besides, the division of the code into a visible interface and run makes the coding intuitive and fast. Moreover, the important feature of the Python consists in its object-oriented approach: encapsulating the long lists of the arguments and passing them into the objects makes the implementation of the code effective and not overloading the computer memory which is freed shortly after the last reference to it has been removed [399]. Python's ability to read-in, manipulate, and write data to and from .csv files is a key feature enabling effective data analysis. Finally, syntax coding in Python can be formatted in readable and very structured style due to the long history of the language development.

In view of these advantages, Python was selected as a refined tool due to its powerful functionality and effective coding solutions. Hence, this dissertation introduces a broad application of the Python for data modelling based on the application of its embedded mathematical algorithms and statistical libraries:

Table 3.9.1: Advantages of Python language for geo data analysis and processing

No	What ?	How ?	Where ? (Libraries)
1	Beauty of graphs	Color palettes, lines and design solution	Seaborn, Matplotlib, Bokeh
2	Precision of data analysis	processing arrays, data frames	Pandas, NumPy, SciPy
3	Elegance of coding	IDLE, Jupyter Notebook	All libraries

Matplotlib, NumPy, SciPy, StatsModels [399]. The effectiveness of the data computing and visualization by Python is the key factor for applying its functionality in this dissertation. Some approaches of the scientific visualization and methods of the data analytics discussed previously by [115] were considered in this research.

Python provides an appropriate base for the geospatial analysis of the factors that affect the geomorphology of the trenches in their distinct parts. Python-based part of the research implemented the proposed statistical approach using SciPy, NumPy and Pandas libraries of Python and embedded algorithms. Applied methods of Python defines the variability in local geomorphological structure of the oceanic trenches. Spatial correlation between variables (sediment thickness, gradient degree of the cross-section profiles, geospatial location of the segments of the trench) were detected. Processing large data sets with variable numeric values: geomorphic, geologic and tectonic and geometric values (degree of slope steepness of profiles) were processed by Python and its libraries for statistical analysis and graphical plotting. The perspectives of Python application lies in its repeatability by existing codes. Proposed methodology can guide similar research on the analysis of the geologic variables in the trenches, in context of marine geologic analysis.

Python codes demonstrated in this dissertation are provided in full for repeatability of the methods in similar case studies (as summarized on Fig. 3.9.2). There are many approaches to analyze big data sets in marine science, and many of them are based on the use of GIS in various contexts: e.g. marine biology, bathymetric mapping, navigation, marine geology for exploration, etc. The novelty of using Python lies in the attempt to perform a multidisciplinary approach that combine programming with marine geological analysis. Most of the current GIS tools do not include the power functionality of Python for the statistical analysis of the large data sets in full and this dissertation contributes to the methodological development of the technical tools in marine geology.

Figure 3.9.2: Python-based word cloud (libraries: Wordcloud, Matplotlib)

In view of the multidisciplinary of the Python algorithms, methods and codes demonstrated with a case study of the given data frame can effectively be applied in other aspects of the geological data, including data taken from the R/V observations.

3.9.2 Installing Python libraries

The statistical analysis and programming algorithms were widely applied from the existing descriptions of the mathematical methods and computing approaches, [633]; [725]; [222]; [224]; [341]. Data processing was performed in Integrated Development and Learning Environment (IDLE), a Python editor and interpreter. Processing tables was done using multi-module NumPy fundamental library for fast computing and effective operating with arrays and matrices [575].

Current research uses the most recent version of Python: 3.7.2. installed via pip. The installation of the Python libraries and dependancies has been implemented via command line in bash terminal (bash is a Portable Operating System Interface (POSIX)-compliant shell). Because this process is not trivial, a brief explanation of the crucial installation steps is provided below. Upon installing the core packages of Python, the settings were adjusted. The package installer for Python pip version 19.0.3 was installed to ensure further installation of necessary libraries:

```
$ curl https://bootstrap.pypa.io/get-pip.py -o get-pip.py
```

Afterwards, the pip was installed using following command:

```
$ python get-pip.py.
```

The next step included the installation of the Apples xcode tools:

\$ xcode-select install. Then, two crucial python packages have been installed via the console utility pip. A NumPy, a Python based fundamental package for scientific computing providing convenient and fast N-dimensional array manipulation. A SciPy package, depending on the NumPy, has been installed as well. SciPy is used for the math computations, science, and engineering. Pandas, the most popular data manipulation package in Python has been installed for processing data in tabular format.

The installation of these packages was done using pip in command line bash terminal for SciPy version 1.1.0, NumPy version 1.14.5, and Pandas version 1.1.0, stepwise:

```
$ pip install scipy $ pip install numpy $ python3 -m pip install pandas.
```

Additionally, plotting packages assisting in visualizing the data: Matplotlib and Seaborn version 0.10.0, have been installed using commands:

```
$ sudo python3 -m pip install matplotlib
```

```
$ python3 -m pip install seaborn.
```

The advantages of the Matplotlib consists in its versatility and capability of creating a significant variety of scientific plots. Seaborn package is build atop of the Matplotlib. It combines the power of the Matplotlib with more intuitive approach for the scientific data visualization. After all necessary libraries have been successfully installed, the statistical analysis has been performed using available geo dataset on the sample trenches.

3.9.3 Data preprocessing

The QGIS part of the research produced an optimized representative sampling dataset consisting of 25 cross-section profiles having in total 12.590 bathymetric observation points. The data were read-in as a table in a .csv format and processed by algorithms in Python libraries: Pandas for reading and processing tables, NumPy for array analysis, Matplotlib for large dataset modelling, analysis and visualization. Importing data from table with variables in Comma Separated Value (.csv) file was done using Python Pandas library, installed in the previous step.

Data were converted from the attribute QGIS tables, imported and stored into .csv tables. These included vector layers, the coordinates and thematic attribute data in numerical or string format. Further data processing was implemented by Python. These include cross-section profiles having data from various vector GIS layers used to visualize several thematic maps from the project: bathymetry, geographic coordinates and depths, information on geological provinces, tectonics, geometric properties of

the trench: steepness angles of the profiles and bathymetry, ophiolite areas, large igneous provinces and lithology, seamounts, igneous volcanic zones, sediment thickness, tectonic plates boundaries in the area.

The data.frames were created by Pandas for storing tabular 2D data. Using read_csv() function the .csv files were read into Pandas DataFrames data type. Current working folder for the Python scripting was defined as follows:

```
>>> import os
>>> os.getcwd()
>>> os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python').
```

Defining working folder is crucial, since it indicates the path to the data storage, manipulating and reading by Python libraries.

3.9.4 Pandas: a Python library for creating DataFrames

Geologic and tectonic data attributes were imported into the Python using Pandas library a csv table. Pandas, a data management library of Python enabled perform data management and manipulation, e.g. logical queries for spatial analysis. Pandas was used for various manipulations with geological data: loading from csv table, viewing, editing content, analyzing data range and structure, selecting target rows and columns, automation of the data processing, manipulation and inquiries, examining the descriptive basic statistic on data, etc.

Therefore, the start of this project initialized with the loading an existing of the GIS data set into a Pandas environment. The csv file was imported into the Pandas DataFrame using command: df = pd.read_csv("Tab-Bathymetry.csv"). The initial table for the bathymetry (a case of Mariana Trench) had 517 rows and 18 columns. At the second step, the data were analyzed by logical queries in Pandas environment for tables. Selecting necessary columns or rows and their attributes in Pandas is relatively straightforward. Thus, using iloc and loc as operations for retrieving data from Pandas data frames, necessary columns were selected for modelling by logical selections.

For example, X = df.iloc[:, 1:13] was done for the case of selecting 12 first columns in the bathymetry table. Alternative syntax would be (for the case of 4 columns) X = df.iloc[:, [1, 2, 3, 4]] for smaller data sets where the number of columns could be defined manually. Similarly, selecting necessary row during the data analysis was done using loc[] function, e.g. B = df.loc[12] returned the bathymetric observation nr. 13 (starting from 0). For instance, selecting the slope classes for geomorphic analysis across the Mariana Trench was done using following Python snippet code:

```
1 very_steep = data['slope_class'] == 10,
2 extreme = data['slope_class'] == 9,
3 strong = data['slope_class'] == 8,
```

which returns a boolean expression of True/False for all 25 profiles. Selecting a particular profile and corresponding geologic columns for this profile was done using following code snippet (example of profile nr. 15):

```
1 profile_15 = data.loc[data['profile'] == 15, ['sedim_thick', 'slope_angle', 'igneous_volc']]
```

Analyzing the geological data set is possible through the logical queries by Pandas, for example, to find the maximal bathymetric depth, or to find a profile that has the thickest layer of sediments. This is done by the codes:

```
1 # What is the maximal depth? (bathymetric analysis)
2 data['Min'].min()
```

```

3 [Out 3]: 10.600 m.
4 # What is the maximal sediment thickness? (geologic analysis)
5 data['sedim_thick'].max()
6 [Out 3]: 142
7 # What is the maximal slope angle steepness? (geomorphic analysis)
8 data['slope_angle'].max()
9 [Out 3]: 70

```

Other examples of the logical queries:

```

1 # How many bathymetric samples data are there for each tectonic plate?
2 data['plate_pacif'].value_counts()
3 # How many data belong to each of the slope class?
4 data['slope_class'].value_counts()
5 # Show summary displaying statistics for the selected variable: Mariana tectonic
   plate
6 data['sedim_thick'].describe()

```

This returns a descriptive statistics on the column of sediment thickness: count 25.000000 mean 112.040000 std 27.967958 min 46.000000 25% 98.000000 50% 125.000000 75% 135.000000 max 142.000000 Name: sedim_thick, dtype: float64

```

1 # Grouping data by clusters using variable is done in groupby():
2 data.groupby(['slope_class']).groups.keys()
3 [Out 4]: dict_keys([6, 7, 8, 9, 10]) # that is, 5 slope classes of the geomorphic
   steepness\index[concepts]{geomorphology}.
4 # Analyzing how many profiles have specific slope steepness using groupby():
5 data.groupby('slope_class', as_index=False)[['profile']].sum() # produces Pandas DataFrame

```

Similar logical queries are possible through Pandas environment. All manipulations with data were done using Jupyter Notebook. Data were examined and viewed to make sure that columns and rows are correctly processed. For example, a `df.shape` function was used to know the exact number of rows and columns., or `df.ndim` to check up the dimension of the array; `df.head()` and `df.tail()` for analysis of the first and last rows of the data frame. The types of each column were checked using `.dtypes` function.

3.9.5 Python libraries: Matplotlib, NumPy, SciPy, Seaborn, StatsModels, Bokeh

General functioning of Python is well described in the existing references and manuals [585]; [592]; [595]. Using Python libraries enables to process large marine geological datasets effectively, to apply advanced computation algorithms, to create namespaces while working with modules. Python's modules contain packed classes, objects, functions and constants.

Processing geodata received from the attribute tables as vector layers was performed by Python libraries. Necessary installed libraries include Matplotlib, NumPy and SciPy. The SciPy library has many modules used for numerical computations: statistical data transformation, simulation, modeling, visualization by ML algorithms. The Matplotlib library is the main library used for statistical data processing [264], visualization and plotting. Its installation was done using command via bash terminal (example for Matplotlib): `$ python3 -m pip install matplotlib`.

The next step included installing certificates to enable connections to the websites containing data frames in Python 3.7.1 on the Mac OS Mojave 10.14.3. This step was necessary, because the Python 3.x has no certificates. This action required a post-install step installing the 'certifi' package, version

certifi-2018.11.29. To validate Secure Sockets Layer (SSL) connections the following command has been implemented in the `bash` terminal:

```
$ sudo /Applications/Python 3.7/Install Certificates.command
```

Jupyter Notebook, an open-source web application for Python, was installed by command:

```
$ sudo python3 -m pip install jupyter
```

It is used to store and share Python codes, equations and plot visualizations with legends. Running Jupyter Notebook was done by call:

```
$ jupyter notebook. All Python scripts were processed, saved and exported via Jupyter Notebook.
```

Libraries and their dependancies were installed by pip: `NumPy` and `SciPy`:

```
$ python3 -m pip install numpy
```

```
$ python3 -m pip install scipy
```

`NumPy` is effective tool for processing arrays, sorting and selecting of read-in data from the tables. `NumPy` creates a multidimensional array object from a given table.csv. Using Python's syntax and semantics, it operates with matrices using logical, bit-wise, functional operations with elements, and performs a series of the routines for fast operations on arrays [575]. `NumPy` is based on the object-oriented approach, mathematical and logical manipulations with table using 'ndarray'.

Scripts, modules and codes were written using reference semantics and built-in functions of `NumPy` and Python. Saved scripts included written codes that parse command lines and perform graphs plotting by executing functions and modules. The namespaces of `NumPy` were imported from `numpy.core` and `numpy.lib` by calling:

```
>>> import numpy as np
```

The depending libraries have been installed as well. These include `Jinja2`, `NumPy`, `Pillow`, `PyYAML`, `Six`, `Tornado`. Manipulating with tabular data stored in csv files was implemented in `Pandas` optimized for the high-level processing of tabular data. The `Matplotlib` library was installed and imported to customize plots.

`Matplotlib` library was selected as a main tool for graphical plotting by Python, as it enables operating statistical analysis and plot figures using `pyplot` functions: plotting and adjusting the areas, visualizing statistical outputs, selecting colors for the plots, adding labels etc. `Seaborn` library enables to perform refine plotting, modelling and analysis of a large set of marine geological data aimed at understanding poorly explained submarine geomorphic structure of the oceanic trenches.

`StatsModels` library was used for linear regression applied for data interpretation. The aim is to investigate correlation between various factors: bathymetry, geomorphology, tectonic plates and their correlation. The methodological approach include data analysis, modelling, plotting and visualizing. Statistical modelling by `StatsModels` include several tested algorithms:

1. weighted least square linear regression between geological variables;
2. autocorrelation;
3. design matrix;
4. Ordinary Least Square (OLS) regression;
5. quantile regression

Spatial and statistical analysis of the correlation between factors aimed at detecting of geological and geodetic factors affecting steepness and shape of the trench. Following factors were analyzed: geology (sediment thickness), geographic location of the trench, tectonic plates and depths records along the profiles: max, mean, min values, and statistical calculations of the 1st and 3rd quantiles. The study revealed correlations between sediment thickness, distinct variations in submarine geomorphology and sampling across the trench segments.

3.9.6 Data Analysis

The profiles were analyzed by modelling in Python. A statistical modelling aimed at the analysis of the relationships between the attribute factors use main existing statistical methods. During statistical testing and experiments, numerous approaches [70]; [714]; [585]; [584] provided by Python [StatsModels](#) and [Matplotlib](#) libraries were used as the core algorithms. The statistical analysis was done using table containing attribute values of the marine geospatial parameters. Topographic values of the profiles were derived from the table with depths of samples. Min/max and quartile values were read into table. Despite variations in density of the sample data caused by geomorphic shapes (ranging from extremely steep slope to gentle slope), it was possible to merge and integrate the results of the vector overlay.

Statistical analysis was based on Python libraries that include mathematical algorithms and common approaches for data processing and analysis [123]; [65]; [99]. The [KDE](#) analysis was carried out in [Seaborn](#) library using mathematical algorithms described by [98]. Visualized results of combining five [KDE](#) plots for the bathymetric cross-section profiles are shown on (Fig. 3.9.1). It can be seen that combining five profiles enables to compare the geomorphology of the trench and significantly increases recognition of the variations, depth and heights from plotting single profiles separately. Thus, the range of the density distribution in the elevations across the archipelago and trench can be seen (Fig. 3.9.1).

3.9.6.1 Letter-value plots

The features shown on the letter-value plot (Fig. 5) are distribution of the bathymetric data samples across 25 profiles. The statistical approach is derived from the Tukey's original boxplot principle [248]. The letter-values plot shows a large number of quantiles in the bathymetric sample set, defined as 'letter values'. The layout of this plot is similar to a boxplot, modified and improved. Besides plotting a non-parametric representation of a depths distribution across the profiles, where data distribution corresponds to the observations, it plots more quantiles.

Figure 3.9.3: Mariana Trench: letter-value plot for data distribution by profiles, Python

Letter-values plot gives more deep inside into the shape of the bathymetric data distribution. Hence, it can be seen clearly that the maximal depths of the Mariana Trench are located in the south-western part of its crescent. Comparing to the boxplot, the letter-value plot provides more detailed information in the tails using letter values on the bivariate big real data sets demonstrate to be more useful due to the more detailed approach on data analysis. The letter-value plots were done using Python code [A.5.8](#).

Technical implementation of the letter values plots was based on the existing methods [248]; [246] embedded in Seaborn library. More sophisticated theoretical explanation on the boxplots is given [538]). Comparing box plots code with letter value plots, the letter value plots are better suited to the marine geological datasets, as they represent detailed information in the tails by letter values (Fig. 3.9.3) showing data distribution. The samples shown on the letter-value plot illustrate actual range of the samples (Y axe) by 25 profiles (X axe). The median, mean and quantiles are shown as horizontal lines on each box representing the set of the samples across each of the profile. The plots for the 25 profiles include reliable estimates of their corresponding quantiles (as Tukeys boxplot) showing outliers (profiles 2 and 3) labeled as observations beyond the most extreme letter values.

Calculation of the pairwise Pearson correlations and plotting correlation matrix between the geological, geomorphic and bathymetric factors was done in R. Methods to plot correlation matrix (Fig. 4.12.4) include R based data analysis that embed computing correlograms for the data arrays [201]. The methods and approaches of the Matplotlib and Seaborn libraries used for plotting figures by Python language were derived from the existing literature describing statistical algorithms [263]. Finally, the geomorphic steepness of the slopes was tested (Fig. 4.12.5) using ranking plotting algorithm available in R libraries {ggsignif}, {graphics} and {tidyverse}.

3.9.6.2 Violin plots

Analysis of data distribution for depths across the 1000km hydrographic profiles using violin plot approach by script. This was done using Python's `sb.catplot()` function for categorical data analysis by code A.5.1. The violin plot (Fig. 3.9.4) combines a box plot with KDE aimed to analyze the distribution of the bathymetric data.

Figure 3.9.4: Mariana Trench: violin plots on depth ranges, Python, by code A.5.1 [415]

Inside the violin quartile and whiskers are shown from the boxplot. As violin plot uses the KDE, the wider portion of the violin plot shows, the higher density of the bathymetric observations. Vice versa, and narrow regions indicate very deep or very shallow values with lower density, rare across the bathymetric profile. The presented plot shows distribution of the bathymetric values: depths along the profiles.

The inter quartile ranges in the boxplot and higher density portion in KDE are placed in the same region of their categories within the violin plot. There is a notable decrease of depth in profiles 23, 24, 25 (Fig. 3), crossing Caroline Plate, which may be caused by changes in local geologic settings. Profiles 21 to 24 show the deepest depth in data range for profiles 21 and 22. Since tectonic attribute values differ significantly, a comparative analysis of the data variation across tectonic plates was undertaken.

3.9.6.3 Hierarchically-clustered heatmaps

Cluster map was plotted by Seaborn function `seaborn.clustermap()` by Python code A.5.2 to group bathymetric values by ranges in 25 profiles. Cluster map is a method applied in this data analysis showing a matrix plot dataset represented as a hierarchically-clustered heat map. Core technique of the `clustermap` implies graphical representation of the bathymetric data ranging from 0 to -10.000 m, where samples are located in cells of a matrix, represented as colors (Fig. 3.9.5).

Figure 3.9.5: Mariana Trench: heatmap for the bathymetric data distribution by profiles. Python

Structured representation arranges depth values by their semantic similarity using clustering method ignoring outliers in colormap limits. To plot `clustermap`, Python assigns each of the 518 samples to a specific cluster of depths, divided into 6 groups with max cluster of depths >7.500 m. Cluster centers serve as local target points with largest node resting at the center and attracting other values of depths that belong to this cluster. `Clustermap` (Fig. 3.9.5) highlights groups of samples with similar depths by colors assigned as increasing darkness to the deepest values.

3.9.6.4 Histograms plotted by Python

In a general mathematical sense, a histogram is a function m_i that counts the Nr. of samples that fall into each of the groups (bins), while graph of a histogram is a graphical way to visualize a histogram. Thus, if n is the total number of samples and k is the total number of bins, the histogram m_i meets the following conditions, Formula 3.9.6.4:

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i \quad (3.26)$$

The data set contained environmental variables separately for tectonic plates to be analyzed. This required merging columns by category tectonic plates. Therefore, columns with the plate names were melted into one class to enable data analysis by plates. The data were processed by code A.5.4. The histograms show underlying frequency distribution of a submarine topography of the trench (Fig. 3.9.6). It allows data distribution (normal), as well as outliers, skewness. The data are distributed and colored by tectonic plates.

Figure 3.9.6: Mariana Trench: histograms of data distribution by tectonic plates. Python. Source: [421]

3.9.6.5 Strip plots by Python

Visualizing strip plots showing the relationship between the sediment thickness and slope angles as compared by tectonic plates was done by Python code A.5.5. The particularity of the scatter strip plots (`stripplot()`) lies in its nature: this is a categorical type of plot showing variable dependance (max depths by profile) upon another variable (tectonic plates). It represents depth values by 25 profiles sorted along tectonic plates. One can see the difference on data distribution. Pacific and Mariana plates are comparable, while Philippine Plate covers the majority of the data. As can be seen on Fig. 3.9.6, the majority of the observation points are located in the Pacific and Philippine plates, following by Mariana, while Caroline only covers a few points [421]. Sedimentation processes differ significantly by four tectonic plates, due to the overall complexity of the sedimentation, trigger factors and mechanisms.

3.9.6.6 Euler-Venn diagrams by Python

The Euler-Venn diagram were used for visualizing logical relations between geological variable. It shows modelling intersection and correlation of various factors for four cases where overlapping in values or concepts are visualized. Fig. 3.9.7 (A) shows cumulative total sediment thickness by tectonic plates. Fig. 3.9.7 (B) shows detected igneous volcanic spots. Fig. 3.9.7 (C) shows slope degree and Fig. 3.9.7 (D) shows methodological overlay showing the approaches of this work: GIS data mapping and

digitizing, geological analysis and Python and R programming languages. Fig. 3.9.7 shows modelling intersection and correlation of various factors in the Mariana Trench project. In particular, it shows A): Bathymetric observations by plates, cumulative computing; B): Sediment thickness, slope degree and closeness of the volcanic spots; C): Subdivision of the slope steepness by classes; D): Methodological approaches. The Euler-Venn diagram was done by Python code A.5.26.

Figure 3.9.7: Mariana Trench: Euler-Venn diagrams, Python [415]

3.9.6.7 Regression Analysis

The regression models propose that Y_i is a function of X_i and β , with e_i representing an additive statistical error term (residual) that stands in for unmodeled determinants of Y_i or random statistical noise, Formula 3.9.6.7:

$$Y_i = f(X_i, \beta) + e_i \quad (3.27)$$

Initially, 25 profiles were visualized by area chart and facet grid (Fig. 3.9.8). This gives an overview of the geometry (shape and steepness) and bathymetry (depths) of the profiles.

Figure 3.9.8: Mariana Trench: area chart and facet grid of the profiles, Python (Seaborn)

Figure 3.9.9: Mariana Trench: facet grid of the regression analysis of the profiles, Python

Second, the regression analysis for the 25 profiles (Fig. 3.9.9) has been done using `lplot()` function which is a figure-level function that combines `regplot()` and `FacetGrid()` functions of the Matplotlib, Python. The regression analysis was done using Python code A.5.7.

3.9.6.8 Cluster heatmap and hexagonal data distribution and Kernel Density estimation

Hexagonal mapping is another useful approach for analysis of the big data sets when there are many observation points that may overlap. In this case, the data set comprised 12.950 points. Hexagonal binning that plots density rather than points showing concentration between data values in specific profiles (Fig. 3.9.10, right). Depth points are binned into gridded hexagons and distribution (the number of depths ranges per hexagon). As a result, it is displayed (Fig. 3.9.10, right) using the density of color within the hexagons. The KDE is a non-parametric approach that visualized the probability of the density of a depth variables by profiles (Fig. 3.9.10, left). Joint Hexagonal and KDE plots are drawn by Python code A.5.9.

Figure 3.9.10: Mariana Trench: hexagonal and KDE plots by profiles. Python code A.5.9

The color palette was selected as reversed, i.e. the most deep values are visualized as light purple values, and vice versa. The x axis shows the bathymetric profiles, the y axis shows the observation points in each profile. The color shows the depth recorded values. The left y axis shows the sub-division of the observations into hierarchical dendrograms starting from the two groups and soon, grouped based on the similarity of the depths. The records of geologic data (sediment thickness), submarine topography (slope angle degree and steepness by profiles) and bathymetry (absolute depth values: maximal and mean) on the map was also analyzed with respect to relationship in the dependence of the parameters.

3.9.6.9 Correlograms by Python

Correlograms, or covariance matrices, give the background for all standard multivariate techniques. Correlograms allow to check randomness of data, and analyze data structure [176]. Three correlation methods research were tested (Pearson, Kendall and Spearman to visualize the relationship between the trench variables and representing a matrix of correlations schematically in forms numbers placed within the shaded squares (two of the three methods are shown on Fig. 3.9.11).

Figure 3.9.11: Mariana Trench: correlation matrices for bivariate analysis, Python

The Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Mean (**UPGMA**) algorithm constructs a rooted tree (dendrogram) that reflects the structure present in a pairwise similarity matrix (or a dissimilarity matrix). The mean distance between elements of each cluster is computed by Formula 3.9.6.9:

$$\frac{1}{|A| * |B|} \sum_{x \in A} \sum_{y \in B} d(x, y) \quad (3.28)$$

The numbers give the degree of the correlation, ranging from -1.0 to 1.0. In the shaded rows, each cell is given the assigned color, explained in the legend, subdivided into four classes ranging from two to four depending on the sign of the correlation. The intensity of color is scaled in proportion to the magnitude of the correlation. Several variations have interpreted the criterion of similarity of the properties and inter-relationships. A represented clustering of the matrix (a. k. a. heat map), made by Python code A.5.10, shows the variables with the displayed correlations. Plotting correlation matrix induces a partial-ordering on the geological variables as contiguous clusters. The covariance matrix K_{xx} is the matrix whose (i, j) entry is the covariance estimated by Formula 3.9.6.9:

$$K_{x_i x_j} = cov[X_i, X_j] = E[(X_i - E[X_i])(X_j - E[X_j])] \quad (3.29)$$

where the operator E denotes the expected value (mean) of its argument. The associated names of the environmental variables (slope angles, sediment thickness, max/min and mean depth values) are shown on the correlogram (Fig. 3.9.11) annotated on the axes. The matrices were done by NumPy and Pandas objects read in as tables and imported by Seaborn library. The data were processed by Python code A.5.6. The correlograms show dependancies between the factors affecting trench based on the relationships of the values.

The effectiveness of the correlograms lies in its properties: the diagonal shows distribution of each of the geological variables by a representative square plot which helps to analyze the relationship between the pairs of numerical variables within a matrix. Correlation between each pair of variables is visualized through a numerical symbols and highlighted by colors. The use of both Pearson and Kendall correlation techniques (Fig. 3.9.11) is used for exploratory analysis to observe a matrix of geological variables.

3.9.6.10 Data distribution

The box plots were made to show data distribution by 25 profiles via Python code [A.5.11](#) based on the existing statistical methods [177]; [34]; [538]. Vertical lines extending from boxes (whiskers) indicate variability of depths outside the upper and lower quartiles.

Figure 3.9.12: Mariana Trench: box-and-whisker plot for data distribution by 25 profiles. [419]

The depth ranges increases along the axis of the trench, from north to south, with a constant slope gradient, until it reaches depths $>11,000$ m (profiles 20 and 21, Fig. 3.9.12). Correlation between the factors is higher in the SW of the trench and decreased towards SW. The statistical analysis of samples of the dataset shown correlation between depths and location of the profiles.

3.9.6.11 Probability of the depths distribution by KDE plots

An implementation of the fundamental frequency estimation is presented by KDE. The algorithm of KDE is based on a frequency-domain approach. It was applied to visualize probability of the depth ranges and bathymetric patterns in various segments of the trench, Fig. 3.9.1 and 3.9.13.

Mathematically, KDE is a non-parametric way to estimate the probability density function of a random variable. The $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is a univariate independent, identically distributed sample drawn from distribution with a density f . Estimating shape of this function f is based on its kernel density estimator by Formula 3.9.6.11:

$$\hat{f}_h(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_h(x - x_i) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x - x_i}{h}\right) \quad (3.30)$$

where K is the kernel, i.e. a non-negative function and $h > 0$ is a smoothing parameter, the bandwidth. This method was implemented using Python code [A.5.16](#). Python libraries Pandas, Matplotlib, Seaborn and OS were used to process data by an embedded algorithms to obtain probability frequency.

Figure 3.9.13: Philippine Trench: KDE of the bathymetric profiles, Python (Matplotlib and Seaborn)

3.9.6.12 Data sorting and grouping

A geomorphological analysis (Fig. 3.9.14), based on Python code A.5.12, uses computed values of the slope steepness^o by profiles in series of 25 transects assuming constant values of the slope aspect, using depths and sample points as a reference.

Figure 3.9.14: Mariana Trench: stem plot of the slope gradient steepness by 25 profiles.

The analysis shown that profiles 6, 9, 3, 7, 23 and 24 have similar steepness and can be grouped as the least steep, as shown by the reverse values of the angles tg° . Conversely, profiles 20, 21, 22, and 18 have are deepest which can be explained by changes in geometry of the trench at various geospatial locations.

3.9.6.13 Hierarchical dendrogram and clustermap

The Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA) as shown on Fig. 3.9.15, was done using SciPy embedded algorithm of clustering based on the weighted average linkage clustering algorithm, Weighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Mean (WPGMA). Mathematical background to the data partition is as follows. The WPGMA algorithm constructs a rooted tree dendrogram that reflects the structure presented in a pairwise distance similarity matrix. At each step, the nearest two clusters, i and j , are combined into a higher-level cluster $i \cup j$. Then, its distance to another cluster κ is simply the arithmetic mean of the distances between κ and members of $i \cup j$: Formula 3.9.6.13:

$$d_{(i \cup j, \kappa)} = \frac{d_{(i, \kappa)} + d_{(j, \kappa)}}{2} \quad (3.31)$$

It demonstrates an approach of the method of cluster analysis used to build a hierarchy of bathymetric clusters based on the segmentation of the topographic features among 25 cross-section profiles. The dendrogram (Fig. 3.9.15) shows geomorphic similarity among profiles crossing the trench. Specifically, it illustrates the subdivision of the bathymetric values within each cluster composed by the U-shaped link between a main cluster and its branches representing bathymetric grouping. The dendrogram showing the hierarchical dendrograms clusters was plotted by Python code A.5.13 in five steps.

Figure 3.9.15: Mariana Trench: hierarchical cluster dendrogram of the profiles. Python

The choice of an appropriate metric influences the shape of the clusters, since elements may be close to each other according to one distance and farther away to the other. Two first forks of the U-link ('parents' of clusters) indicate which clusters were merged, while the length of each two corresponding branches visualize the distance between the children. Marginal dendrograms are represented on the Clustermap that shows another approach of data grouping in statistical analysis where main area of the plot show ranging data and marginal dendrograms clusters of the similarity groups.

3.9.6.14 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

The **PCA** was made by **PCA** function from Scikit Learn library of Python (from `sklearn.decomposition` import **PCA**). **PCA** is a statistical procedure that applies orthogonal transformation to convert a dataset of probably correlated variables to a new dataset of linearly uncorrelated variables, principal components. **PCA** is mathematically defined as an orthogonal linear transformation that modifies the data to a new coordinate system. In this way, the greatest variance by scalar projection of the data lies on the first coordinate (1st principal component), the 2nd greatest variance on the 2nd coordinate, etc.

Using orthogonal transformation procedure embedded in **PCA** to convert a set of samples on sediment thickness and possibly correlated variables into a set of values of the linearly uncorrelated variables [2]. In order to maximize variance, the first weight vector $w(1)$ in **PCA** satisfies Formula 3.9.6.14:

$$w_1 = \operatorname{argmax}_{\|w\|=1} = \left\{ \sum_i (t_1)_i^2 \right\} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\|w\|=1} = \left\{ \sum_i (x_i * w)^2 \right\} \quad (3.32)$$

The n^{th} component is found by subtracting the 1st $k-1$ components from X by Formula 3.9.6.14:

$$\hat{X}_k = X - \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} X w_s w_s^T \quad (3.33)$$

Using **PCA** is especially useful technique in case of marine geological large datasets and other implications of the big geodataset with many variables for each sample for exploratory data analysis [301]. The **PCA** enables to better visualize variations: sediment thickness, slope steepness and depth ranges.

Figure 3.9.16: Mariana Trench: **PCA** of the sediment thickness by 25 bathymetric profiles, 3D view.

The **PCA** on the bathymetric spatial series was performed to assess the overall spatial geomorphic variability of the cross-section profiles and their similarities (Fig. 3.9.16). For this calculation the sediment thickness as a categorical value of the studied area was used.

3.9.6.15 3D scatterplot matrices for data correlation

The analysis data by correlation was performed using 3D scatterplot showing dependancies between the environmental factors, Fig.3.9.17. 3-dimensional scatterplots (3D plots) enable to discover relationships between three variables at the same time. Geometrically transformed display 3D techniques of Python aims at finding transformations of the 3-dimensional datasets.

A point affine projection of the dataset into a 3D space represented in classic (X, Y, Z) format is a technique approach of the 3D scatterplot matrices. This is a data visualization method which links 3 factors, encouraging and imploring to access possible causal relationship between the plotted variables. The graph is made by means of the `ax.scatter()` function of the Matplotlib library using Python code A.5.14 in 3 steps.

Figure 3.9.17: Mariana Trench: 3D scatterplot of the relationships between environmental factors

The 3D scatterplot matrices (Fig. 3.9.17) show variability of the geological, geometric and bathymetric factors (that is, sediment thickness, steepness of slope degrees and depth values) that is similar to the model by four tectonic plates. The sediment thickness is broadly correlated with the slope steepness degree, showing consistent geographic patterns by two pairs of plates: firstly, Mariana and Pacific, and secondly, Caroline and Philippine (Fig. 3.9.17).

3.9.6.16 ANOVA

The purpose of the **ANOVA** method in Python part of this dissertation was to test significant differences between the means of the marine geological variables, that is sedimentation, tectonic and geospatial factors. The definitional equation of sample variance is as follows in Formula 3.9.6.16:

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2 \quad (3.34)$$

Where the divisor is called the degrees of freedom, the sum presents the sum of squares, the result is the mean square and the squared terms are deviations from the sample mean. The fundamental technique is a partitioning of the total sum of squares into components related to the effects used in the model. Test differences in means for groups of these variables for the statistical significance was done by existing algorithms [194]; [554]; [24]. The **ANOVA** is accomplished by analyzing variance: partitioning total variance into the components, due to true random error (i.e., within-group) and the components that are due to differences between the means.

Figure 3.9.18: Mariana Trench: one-way **ANOVA** box plots

Computed F values (0.270259 and 0.459078) and statistical significance obtained from testing with **ANOVA** shows the effect of depth and model scenario on the sediment thickness estimated through the **StatsModels** library of Python (`statsmodels.stats.anova anova_lm`) by embedded function **ANOVA** by Python code A.5.15, and Pandas for table data processing. The correlation cluster dendrogram between a spatial series of the transects shows grouping between the profiles based on their geomorphic similarities. One-way **ANOVA** box plots were computed and plotted to show relationship between the selected environmental, bathymetric and geomorphological variables based on the analysis of similarities.

Deep-sea sediments have consistent correlation with the slope class of the steepness, Fig. 3.9.18 (c). Lower effect has the location of the igneous volcanic areas near the trench on the geometry of the

profiles, as referred by the angle° (Fig 3.9.18, d). While shape of the trench is less steep and absolute depths are lower in the NE part of the trench (Pacific and Mariana plates), the bathymetry is substantially deeper in its SE part (Caroline and Philippine Sea plates). These findings also support variations in the deformation of the subducting plate along the Mariana Trench [778] detected by analysis of flexural bending and normal fault features. Normal faults initiated outwards and grew toward the trench axis, and the trench relief and maximum fault throws are greater in the S (5 km and 320 m) comparing to the N and C (2 km and 200 m).

3.9.6.17 Flowchart network

Data analysis is aimed to find potential influence from various geological and tectonic factors affecting geomorphology of the trenches. Statistical analysis of the data set in marine geology requires adequate tools for big data processing. In this context, a Python-based methodology is combined with GIS geospatial data analysis. This thesis uses libraries Pandas, NumPy and SciPy. Data processing involves subsampling of the auxiliary masked data frames from the initial large dataset that only consists of target variables: sediment thickness, slope angle degrees and bathymetric samples. Flowchart includes 3 stages (Fig. 3.9.19) visualized as logical parts: QGIS data processing; statistical analysis; spatial analysis.

Figure 3.9.19: Methodological network, [428]

1st block consisted in processing marine geological data by QGIS: data import, digitizing, data export into .csv tables, processing in Python. The cross-section transects were digitized. Their attribute tables contained numerical data on geology, tectonics, volcanism and bathymetry by sample points along each profile. 2nd block contained in data interpretation and approaches of the statistical data analysis. 3rd block presents geospatial analysis by visualizing interplay between geological and tectonic variables. Mathematical algorithms were applied by libraries [38] SciPy, NumPy.

3.9.6.18 Visualizing bathymetric pattern by stacked area charts

Plotting stacked area charts is one of the key approaches In marine geology to visualize depths range of the aimed to analyze, to what extent depths may reach in particular trench segment. Apart from visual clearance of the plot (Fig. 3.9.20), showing maximal abrupt depth by profiles 20 and 21 (SW of the Mariana), there are other features in this approach.

Various marine geological phenomena can be investigated in the data set by adding color ranges for stepwise visualization in the plot: the shallowest parts of the seafloor with minimal depths, 3rd quar-

tiles, mean, median depths, 1st quartile. This visualizes the variability of the geomorphic patterns by the segments that highlight bathymetric variability across the profile.

Figure 3.9.20: Mariana Trench: bathymetric patterns visualized by stacked area charts.

The methodology was implemented by Python code [A.5.17](#). Following Python libraries were used to plot stacked area charts: Pandas, [NumPy](#), Matplotlib, Seaborn and OS.

3.9.6.19 Stacked bar plots

Variations in the distribution of the bathymetric data by stacked bar plots (charts) were made by following Python libraries: [NumPy](#), Pandas, Matplotlib and OS (Fig. [3.9.21](#)), using Python code [A.5.19](#).

Figure 3.9.21: Mariana Trench: stacked bar plots on depths variations by profiles, Python.

3.9.6.20 Radar charts for analysis of depth distribution

Radar chart is a special case of the statistical analysis in marine geological datasets. It is an interesting visualizing method in data analysis as graphical visualization of the multivariate data. Here they are presented in form of 2-dimensional circular chart of 6 quantitative variables with depths on axes attribute values on the circular axe: maximal, 3rd quartile, median, mean 3rd quartile and minimal values. Various values that can be visualized by profiles, which requires a faceted multi-plots technique possible in radar charts. Fig. 3.9.22 presents a series of the radar charts showing depth variation by selected profiles, plotted by libraries Math, Pandas, NumPy, Matplotlib, Seaborn and OS. The algorithm was based on Matplotlib library, Python code A.5.18.

Figure 3.9.22: Mariana Trench: radar charts of the variation in bathymetric data

3.9.6.21 Stacked bar charts for analysis of sediment thickness

Figure 3.9.23: Mariana Trench: stacked bar charts showing geological factors. Python.

Analysis of the distribution of sediment thickness by profiles and its correlation with closeness of the igneous volcanic areas as by distance is visualized by the stacked bar charts (Fig. 3.9.23) by Python code A.5.20 using libraries NumPy, Matplotlib, Pandas and OS.

3.9.6.22 Pie charts for circular visualization of the bathymetry vs tectonic plates

Figure 3.9.24: Mariana Trench: pie charts of the bathymetric data distribution by tectonic plates. Python.

Regarding categorial distribution methods, a pie chart plotting is based on the analysis of the distribution of the bathymetric values by tectonic plates (Fig. 3.9.24). Circular visualization of the bathymetry showing relationship between tectonic plates and distribution of the data by pie charts was performed by Python code A.5.21 in 3 steps by libraries Pandas, NumPy, Matplotlib and OS.

3.9.6.23 Design matrix and model fit summary by Ordinary Least Squares

Variables of the geologic interest were stored in a table consisting of 18 rows where numeric information describes geology, bathymetry, geodesy and tectonics of the trench. To fit most of the models covered by [StatsModels](#) Python library, the design or regressor matrix was created using existing approaches [160]; [70]; [548]. The first is a matrix of endogenous variables of sediment thickness, which show the response or geological regress and varying environmental conditions: geographic location, depth or tectonic plate. The design matrix `dmatrix` shows the results of the first six lines representing values of explanatory variables in a set of geological attributes, Mariana Trench. The computing of the [OLS](#) by [StatsModels](#) of Python based on Formula 3.9.6.23:

$$b_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})} \quad (3.35)$$

Where, n is the sample size; x is a constant and a scalar regressor; y is a random regressor, sampled together with x ; h is the number of lags being tested. Each row of the calculated OLS coefficient estimates is an individual bathymetric profile with the successive columns corresponding to the geologic and oceanographic variables and their specific values across the profiles.

3.9.6.24 Quantile statistics (QQ)

Used algorithm is very straightforward with a selected function of `qqplot()` by `StatsModels`. The QQ regression is a common abbreviation for quantile by quantile statistical plot. The plot shows (Fig. 3.9.27, [424]) one quantile against another across various geological parameters: Sediment thickness; Slope angle degrees; four tectonic plates; distribution of the samples of igneous volcanic areas. Technically, the plotting was performed using Python code A.5.22 for each corresponding plot. The QQ statistics calculation has been based on the following Formula 3.9.6.24 after [513]:

$$Q = n(n+2) \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{l_k^2}{n-k} \quad (3.36)$$

Where, n is the sample size; ρ is the sample autocorrelation at lag k , and h is the number of lags being tested. The comparison of the six subplots enables to analyze the form of their shape against a straight line. The quantiles are bathymetric sample observations with geologic attribute values placed in the ascending order. The QQ statistics is used over the pool of the sampling data to study their distribution. A QQ statistic is a visual representation of the quantiles of a standard normal distribution of the geological data set across the trench, showing their variation in space.

Quantile regression estimates conditional median and other quantiles of the response geological variables. Upper two rows of the plot show (Fig. 4 in [424]) data distribution for sediment thickness (m) versus geologic parameters across tectonic plates, data distribution for the cumulative sediment thickness and slope angle degree by profiles. The quantile regressions were plotted for the following variables: A) Sediment thickness; B) Slope angle degrees; C) Pacific Plate; D); Philippine Plate E) Mariana Plate; F) Volcanic spots, Fig. ?? by Python code A.5.24 by `StatsModels`.

Figure 3.9.25: Plotted QQ statistics for the data distribution

Essentially, quantile regression is another approach of the linear regression used for the conditional median of the response geologic variable given changing bathymetric values southwards across the

trench. The quantitative results of the quantile regression (Tab. 3 in [424]) show computations for quantile regression for the sediment thickness against geologic parameters and tectonic plates: A) Pacific Plate B) Philippine Plate C) Mariana Plate D) Caroline Plate E) Cumulative sediment thickness F) Slope° by profiles.

Figure 3.9.26: Quantile regression plotted for sediment thickness (m) versus geologic parameters

Each QQ profile has a given value for data distribution by quantiles across the trench profiles. The distribution of the geologic residuals show data correlation for several cases: frequency of data distribution by plates, sediment thickness, range of depths for max values and geomorphic shape as slope°. The results represent the computed numerical values of the quantile regression.

3.9.6.25 Weighted Least Squares

A **WLS** for the geological variables analysis data distribution: by tectonic plates, sediment thickness, bathymetry and slope°. Following variables are visualized: A) Pacific Plate, B) Philippine Plate, C) Mariana Plate, D) Sediment thickness, E) Depths (max); F) Slope angle degree.

A **WLS** is a statistical approach representing a special case of the generalized least squares. **WLS** is a standard approach in regression analysis to approximate the solution of the overdetermined systems for complex marine geological systems. The least squares algorithms has two sub-types: linear or ordinary least squares and nonlinear least squares.

Figure 3.9.27: Weighted Least Squares plotted for data distribution.

In scope of this dissertation, only linear least squares were tested: **OLS** and its generalization, a **WLS**. The off-diagonal entries of the correlation matrix of geological residuals are null variances of the observations, yet unequal along the covariance matrix. The calculation is based on the principle of the Gauss-Newton algorithm that solves a non-linear least squares problem by modifying a Newton's method for finding a min of a function. The computation is based on general approach of the existing

equation [59], Formula 3.9.6.25:

$$S(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i^2(\beta) \quad (3.37)$$

Where m functions $r = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$ (residuals) of n variables $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$, with $m \geq n$

The calculations of the WLS for the data se shows computations of the WLS modelling for the data distribution by plates, [424], done according to the reported procedures [665]; [696] by the Python code snippet A.5.23.

3.9.6.26 Isotonic regression

The statistical numerical method of the isotonic regression was applied as a non-metric multidimensional scaling aimed at fitting a line to a sequence of the observations provided the line has variation in forms, that is non-decreasing and or non-increasing everywhere across the observations. Furthermore, the line lies most close to the observations pool. The concept of the isotonic regression has been introduced by [339] and further developed by [53]. The principle of the computation algorithm of the isotonic regression lies in the following Formula 3.9.6.26 of the problem of Quadratic Program (QP):

$$\min \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (x_i - a_i)^2 \quad (3.38)$$

subject to $x_i \leq x_j$ for all $(i, j) \in E$, where x_i and x_j are constraints; E are the edges or the is the set of pairs (i, j) for each constraint; w is a weights vector; n is a number of observations. The isotonic estimator, g minimizes the weighted least squares-like condition by Formula 3.9.6.26:

$$\min_{g \in A} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (g(x_i) - f(x_i))^2 \quad (3.39)$$

Where, A is the set of all piecewise linear, non-decreasing continuous functions; f is a known function. Further references on isotonic regression and its applications are given by ???. The presented plot of the isotonic regression (Fig.3.9.28) shows a non-decreasing approximation of a function while minimizing the mean squared error on the training bathymetric data set of the 25 profiles.

Figure 3.9.28: Mariana Trench: isotonic regression for variables, Python [415]

The benefit of this kind of a model is consists in the flexibility of the model, that is, the function does not assume any form for the target function such as linearity. Thus, the real data o the observed values

by 25 profiles can be compared with the isotonic curves, as can be seen on the isotonic regression graph for the selected variables: geological data on sediment thickness layer (A) and maximal depths recorded by 25 profiles (B) (Fig. 3.9.28). Further references are given by [363].

3.9.6.27 Dynamic regression model: SARIMA

The methodology of the dynamic regression model is based on the StatsModels embedded algorithm [665]. The abbreviation State Autoregressive Moving Average (**SARIMA**) stands for the model, initially developed by [15]. The concept of the application of the **SARIMA** time series estimation and post estimation lies in the following. Changes in variables taken as time lags in classic dynamic regression models are applied towards profiles (1 to 25). Unlike in time series case when ARIMA fits the univariate models with time-dependent disturbances, this case applies space-dependent disturbances, crossing sampling (X axe), [424]. Given a time series data X_t where t is an integer index and the X_t are real numbers, an ARMA (p' , q) model is given by Formula 3.9.6.27:

$$\left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{p'} \alpha_i L^i\right) X_t = \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^q \theta_i L^i\right) \varepsilon_t \quad (3.40)$$

The model includes both dependent and independent variables, the selected type of **SARIMA** was **SARIMAX** (Python code A.5.25). The first group consists in changing variables: geologic settings and bathymetry (depths). The 2nd group (independent variables) is presented by the profiles lags that cross the trench with the distance between each of 100 km and the length of 1000 km. This cross-section profiles are taken as independent variables. Therefore, the dependent variables differ spatially in the segments of the trench. Python code for used **SARIMAX** algorithm and Statespace Model Results, [424]. Tested case is a Mariana Trench tectonics: sediment thickness across the Philippine Sea Plate. The model fits univariate model of the geomorphic structure of the trench by independent values of the distribution of the bathymetric samples by profiles with dependent disturbances of depths. Fitting the model was done using Python code A.5.25.

The conducted statistical data modelling and several types of the regression analysis were aimed to compare variance in the geological datasets of the trench explained by the complex interplay of the geomorphic, geological and oceanological attributes of the data and bathymetric factors of their location at several segments. Several linear models of correlation between factors were computed, analyzed and explained by the groups of variables. Tested environmental variables of the Mariana Trench include four main geological factors (location on the tectonic plates, slope steepness degree, sediment thickness of the layer and bathymetric depth and submarine volcanism) and attributes of the 25 cross-section bathymetric profiles (mean values, maximal depths, median values and two quartile sub-division of the data sets) and the shared variances between environment and attributes.

Shared variance arises due to correlations between the factor of sediment thickness and slope angle degree, e.g. because the attributes of the sediment accumulation are influenced by the trench shape apart from the directly or indirectly depending on the oceanological conditions of the submarine currents. The dynamic regression model is possible using Python function embedded in **StatsModels** library: State Autoregressive Moving Average. The model shows autocorrelation of the data by bathymetric profiles. The results demonstrated a correlation between the geological variables and geospatial location of the samplings across the trench, which proves the interplay between multiple factors affecting submarine geomorphology.

3.10 L^AT_EX for processing bathymetric data

Bathymetric GIS data processing by QGIS and L^AT_EX is an experimental approach of the GIS data processing after imported through QGIS digitizing, possible L^AT_EX using available available resources [331].

Figure 3.10.1: Mariana Trench: selected bathymetric profiles. Plotting: L^AT_EX

After a square of the area was crossed by the profiles, the .csv table was imported for processing in L^AT_EX. The coordinated were saved in a table with three columns: elevations, latitude and longitude. Some necessary packages have been installed from The Comprehensive TEX Archive Network (CTAN). The visualization of the profiles has been performed in L^AT_EX. Technically, following T_EXcode was used: A.2.1. QGIS processing resulted in cross-section profiles.

Figure 3.10.2: Mariana Trench: 3D-view of the 25 bathymetric profiles. Plotting: L^AT_EX

Chapter 4

Results

4.1 Classification of the submarine geomorphology

Oceanic trenches present important part of the oceanic lithosphere. They are developed on the oceanward side of both island arcs and orogens that form above subduction zones. Deep-sea trenches are the largest linear depressed features of the Earth's surface, notable for their depth and continuity. For example, the PCT is 4500 km long and reaches depths of 7–8 km BSL. The trenches in the western Pacific Ocean are typically deeper than those of the eastern Pacific Ocean, the greatest trench depths, of 10–11 km, detected in the Mariana and Tonga-Kermadec trenches.

Following geomorphological profile shape types have been identified and trenches are classified into seven types: U-formed (in plan), V-formed (in plan), asymmetric, crescent-formed, sinuous-formed, elongated, elongated to upside-down-ridge-like, cascade-formed, circular-like, arcuate, symmetric or irregular: left- or right-sided.

For each geomorphological type (U, V, asymmetric and so on) characteristic steepness sub-types are identified: strong, very strong, extreme, steep, very steep. Furthermore, valley slopes are classified as follows: very high, high, moderate, low based on the degree of curvature. Hence, size classes and valley slope classes are analyzed in the context of physical environment as well as tectonic and geological development of the area of trench formation. Flexural bending shape of a subducting lithospheric plate has effects on the tectonic loading at the trench axis, strength as well as faulting effects of the subducting tectonic plate. Necessarily, it is reflected in the variety of the trench shape forms.

Main factor controlling the max depth of a trench is tectonics: the age of the subducted oceanic lithosphere, since it determines the depth to the oceanic crust entering the trench. The remarkable contrast between trench depths in the east and west Pacific Ocean is largely explained by the systematic difference in the age of the seafloor in these regions. Generally, plate age (relatively young or old plates) is a prevailing factor in controlling trench cross-section in shape, independent from the axial sediment loadings. Trench geomorphology and width of the cross-section profiles are mainly controlled by the elastic thickness reduced by tectonic faulting near the trench axis. In turn, they are affected slightly by the initial thickness of the subducting tectonic plate. The reduction of the tectonic plate causes significant deepening and narrowing of trench valleys.

As seen in this dissertation based on the cross-sections, trenches are generally 50–100 km in width. In cross-section they form an asymmetric V-shape with the steepest slope, of 8–20°, on the side opposite the subducting ocean floor. The sediment fill of the trenches varies greatly as demonstrated in this work, from virtually nothing, as in the TT and KT, to almost complete, as in the AT. This is explained by the supply of sediment coming from the adjacent continental areas. Trench depth is also reduced by the subduction of aseismic ridges.

Bending of the lithosphere plate gives rise to the topographic bulge presented in the subducting tectonic plate on the oceanward side of the island arc. Regional rise of the seafloor bathymetry is located between 100–200 km from the trench axis. It has an amplitude of several hundred m. The presence of this bulge is a consequence of the downward deflection of the subducting plate.

In this dissertation, a systematic mapping and analysis of their geometric shape forms has been conducted based on the detailed analyses of 20 trenches of the Pacific Ocean with a diverse range of characteristics (geology, tectonics and geophysical settings).

PT: irregular sharp V-shaped trench (in plan) trench. The cross-sections of the selected segment have a strongly asymmetric view which is caused by the Philippine Islands (Mindanao) located on the west. Therefore, western part of the cross-sections has a smooth gradual decrease in depths in a uniform way from -75 to 40 km in the left flank with a slope of 31°. The 2nd segment stretches from 40 until 10 km of the left cross-section with a gradient slope of 40, 60°. The last and short segment on the left (10–0 km) has a steepness of 28°. The right flank of the profile has following variation in steepness. A segment of 0–20 km has a slope of 44°, following by the fragment of 20–35 km (41, 70°) and 35–50 km (25, 0°).

A long segment on the right (50-200 km) has a very uniform geomorphology with almost no significant variations and dominating depths at around -6,000 BSL. The deepest segment of the PT (10-0 km on the profile from the center line) has more gentle slope with a steepness of 28° versus 44° on the right flank for the same segment. The cross-sections of the PT have asymmetric profile caused by the adjacent Mindanao Island. PT has a V-shape form in its deepest parts. Fig. 4.12.1 and 4.17.1 show vertical cross section transects perpendicular to the segment for PT. A lower placed graph shows a median stacked cross-section profile (red line) and an interval of the error bars for the measurements. Variation in the trench gradient angles, steepness and elevation variation from the western and eastern flanks are caused by tectonic settings.

KKT: cascade-shaped trench. Variations in the geomorphology for the KKT are as follows. Southern part reaches -8,200 m maximal depths while northern has -7,800 m. Trench morphology in the northern termination of the southern segment of the KKT, just approaching the Bussol Strait is at the U-trending seafloor rise. Wedge-shaped form of the trench is being formed in its S part on the W trench slope. Area around the northern part of the trench identified features of the trench geomorphological system (submarine canyons, trench wedge, submarine ridges, and basins) in the N part of the trench. Wedge-like or U-shaped morphology of the trench in two parts S- and N are distinct from the inner-ward slope and in longitudinal direction along the trench axis. S profiles along the trench, comparing to the N segment, located near the intense volcanic area demonstrate erosional moats at -3000 m depths. Orthogonal bathymetric profiles across the S and N segments of the KKT identify two distinct U- and V-shaped morphologic features with affecting dominant processes: (1) in its N segment, the dominant processes along the Kamchatka Peninsula are sediment deposition; (2) S part is distinct by the processes of erosion and intense sediment transportation. N part of the trench show deeper values and less steep slopes comparing to the S segment, which refer to the erosional moats and drift of the submarine sediments. S part has deeper bathymetric ranges and steeper gradient slope. This is influenced by the intensive seismicity and volcanic activities. KKT forms more V-shaped valley in its S segment with about 3 km wide in profiles and more U-shaped form in the N part.

KT: elongated to upside-down-ridge-like trench. Profiles in Fig. 4.6.1 are roughly perpendicular to the trench axis. Latitude beside each profile indicates position of the axial bottom. KT has gentle shape form of the western slope off Tonga Ridge (Fig. 4.6.1, B), abrupt shape with 2,641 depth records from -6,600 to -6,800 m (Fig. 4.7.2). Comparing the deepest values >9,000 meters for the KT there are 251 samples proving that TT is deeper than KT. For seafloor depths at range from -6,000 to -5,000 KT has more values in this range: 6167 samples [420], Fig. 4.7.2. KT has gentle slope and shallow depths which can also be seen on Fig. 4.6.1. This illustrates tectonic and geological local variations, as well as different sedimentation of the KT causing variations in their geomorphic shape despite their close location.

PaT: irregular V-shaped left-sided trench. Bathymetric profiles of the axis of the PaT have very sharp yet irregular V-shape except for its northern portion. Such a form indicates bare bottom or very thin sediment cover of the axis. Northern portion about 25 km long north of 7°38'N has U-shaped bottom that becomes gradually wider in northward direction. Fig. 4.15.1 shows bathymetric profiles of the PT. The average dip of the landward slope is ca. 12°, whereas much steeper 10 to 50 m high scarps are occasionally take place. Barrow-like bathymetric pattern is recognized on the lower landward slope close to the trench axis. It may be a slumped block with no deep-sea terraces which have trapped sediments. If surface sediments or rocks are collapsed into the axis, the V-shaped bottom will be buried to form flat basin, unless subduction or tectonic erosion proceeds. Such avalanche appears to occur easily and frequently, as dipping angles of the lower landward slope exceeds slope failure limit in PT.

MnT: sinuous-shaped trench. It is a relatively young, shallow and narrow subducting plate trench (ca. 15–36 Ma), small trench relief and width. Along the trench axis, the bathymetry is deeper and narrower at the S and C sections than that of the N area. Depth and geomorphology of the slope of the MnT vary significantly for the depths range -3,500 to -4,500: minimal values are notable for the northern part of the

trench where 526 observation points (18,2%) are recorded for the depths -4,000 to -4,200 m, as shown on Fig. 4.13.2 A. S part of the trench has steeper slope gradient from the oceanward part. N part is steeper in the continental slope part (Fig. 4.13.1). Submarine terraces can be noted on the N segment of the trench (Fig. 4.13.1) at depths - 2,000 m. Generally, model of the N part shows more steep degree of the oceanward slope (Fig. 4.13.1). Gradually slanting terrace on the S segment can be seen on the fragment 50-100 of the cross-section with depths from -1,100 to -1,300 m. Two segments of the trench located on the N and SE part of the trench differ: N segment has shallower values of depths comparing to the S, but more steep slope on the Luzon Island side.

AT: elongated. NW part of the trench on the side of the islands chain has steeper and shorter slope with located series of marine terraces. The average slopes of its northern side range between 3° and 4° , while those of its even shallower southern side are 1° or 2°

MT: V-shaped (in plan). Large trench relief and width. Dominating are steep ($7-9^\circ$) slopes and flat bottom. Gradient slopes markedly symmetric accurate 'V'-shape form in its deepest parts. Perpendicular transects cross-sections: steeper gradient slope on the N (Fig. 4.17.1, below) flank on the archipelago's side (Fig. 4.17.1).

Table 4.1.1: Mariana Trench: variations in gradient steepness of the right flank

Segment at distance 0-28 km	Slope steepness of $40,5^\circ$ from the vertical plummet.
Segment at distance 28-37 km	Slope steepness of $43,33^\circ$ from the vertical plummet.
Segment at distance 37-50 km	Slope steepness of $30,43^\circ$ from the vertical plummet.
Segment at distance 50-90 km	Arc-shape gradual increase of the elevation which stabilizes after 100 km <-4000 BSL .

Table 4.1.2: Mariana Trench: variations in gradient steepness of the left flank

Segment at distance 0-15 km	Slope steepness of $33,10^\circ$ from the vertical plummet.
Segment at distance 15-25 km	Slope steepness of 45° from the vertical plummet.
Segment at distance 25-40 km	Slope steepness of $30,75^\circ$ from the vertical plummet.
Segment at distance 40-50 km	Slope steepness of 28° from the vertical plummet.

The relief surrounding left flank slope: uneven submarine terrain comparing to its right flank with complex submarine relief. It presents accurate and steep in its shape form. Major depth samples are between -3000 – -5000 m (Fig. 4.17.2) **AT:** elongated. Geomorphology in NW part of the trench on the side of the islands chain has steeper and shorter slope with located series of marine terraces. The average slopes of its northern side range between 3° and 4° , while those of its even shallower southern side are 1° or 2°

MT: V-shaped (in plan). Large trench relief and width. Dominating are steep ($7-9^\circ$) slopes and flat bottom. Gradient slopes markedly symmetric accurate 'V'-shape form in its deepest parts. Perpendicular transects cross-sections: steeper gradient slope on the N (Fig. 4.17.1, below) flank on the archipelago's side (Fig. 4.17.1). Slope steepness on the left flank: $33,10^\circ$ at 0-15 km from the center of the vertical plummet. A segment 15-25 km has a steepness of 45° , segment of 25-40 km – $30,75^\circ$, a segment of 40-50 km – 28° . Gradient steepness of the right flank varies: the segment at distance 0-28 km has a steepness of $40,5^\circ$ from the vertical plummet, 28-37 km from the start point has a $43,33^\circ$ of steepness. It then gradually gentles to $30,43^\circ$ until 50th km. The segment 50-90 km: arc-shape gradual increase of

the elevation which stabilizes after 100 km <-4000 BSL (Fig. 4.17.1). The relief surrounding left flank slope: uneven submarine terrain comparing to its right flank with complex submarine relief. It presents accurate and steep in its shape form. Major depth samples are between -3000 – -5000 m (Fig. 4.17.2) .

TT: U-shaped (in plan). **TT** has shallower depths comparing to the **KT**. The deepest values of **TT** >9,000 meters cover 320 samples. Variations of the seafloor depths at range from -6,000 to -5,000 m (Fig. 4.7.2) that **TT** has 4803 samples [420]. Cross-section profiles demonstrated that **TT** geomorphology has steeper gradient slope on the western flank (Fig. 4.6.1, A). The results show deeper bathymetry and more seafloor roughness for the **TT**. Hence, **TT** has steep gradient of the profiles.

MAT: symmetric-shaped. The submarine geomorphic structure of the trench has straight shape form of the slopes with more steep oceanward forearc. Its geometry has relatively steep and strait shape which correlates with seismicity. Major depth observation samples have values of -3000 to -6200 m, seafloor is 3-5 km wide. The output model of the cross-section profiles is provided at Map 4.4.1 showing the initial bathymetric map (Map 4.4.1 B) and above a plotted series of the profiles (Map 4.4.1 A), such as oceanward backers of the trench and more steeper slope on the continental side. However, other areas, particularly the terraces were less well defined, perhaps due to the local specific geomorphic features of the study object: the geomorphology of the **MAT** presents a very straight steep slopes without significant curvatures. Spatial analysis performed by the GMT revealed that major depth observation points of the **MAT** are located in between the -3000 and -6200 meters (Map 4.4.1 and Fig. 3.3.3). The deepest bathymetry data range with 1,111 records takes 25% of the total pool of the sample observation points. As can be seen on Fig. 4.4.1, right, the mean values of the observation samples have a value of -2,200, while LMS mode indicates 7,5% of values at -4,000 to -3,500 m, and median values have values of -3,200 to -3,300 m.

PCT: asymmetric-shaped. Geomorphology differs in two parts. Chilean segment: deeper bathymetry and steeper gradient slope on the Nazca Plate (Fig. 4.5.1, A). Peruvian segment of the trench (Fig. 4.5.1, B) demonstrates a gentler landform on the oceanward side. A comparison of the highest data frequency for both segments: Peruvian segment has the majority of data (23%) 1,410 samples (-4,500 m to -4,700 m are the most frequent values for the Peruvian segment of the trench). It shows a peak of all data with a very steep pattern in distribution. Other data in the neighbor diapason are much lower: 559 samples (-4,700 to -5,000 m) and 807 (-4,200 to -4,400 m) for the Peruvian segment. Chilean segment has more even data distribution. Furthermore, data range: 205 samples for -4,700 m to -5,000 m (against 559 for the Peruvian segment); 731 for -4,200 m to -4,400 m (against 807 for the Peruvian segment); 317 for the range of -4,500 m to -4,700 m (against 1,410 in the Peruvian segment). The most frequent depth for the Peruvian segment of the **PCT** is a range -4,000 m to -4,200 m (827 samples) against a range of -4,500 m to -4,700 m for the Peruvian segment (1,410 samples). Peruvian segment is generally deeper and steeper with abrupt slopes (Fig. 4.5.1).

NBT: asymmetric U-shaped. **NBT**: asymmetrical U-shaped cross-section dipping westwards with 35° eastward slope, and 41° slope (Fig. 4.11.2, A). The **SCT** has 33° slope oceanwards while 33,69° landwards increasing in relief more direct on the oceanward side. The increase in depth by **NBT** is more gentle: in 50 km segment it reaches 2000 m BSL (-7,000 to -5,000) on the Solomon Sea side. In the cross-section graph, the **NBT** is markedly asymmetric in the landward slope and has an arc form in the east (Fig. 4.11.2, B). **NBT** is shallower comparing to the **SCT**: it has median <-7,000m. The highest sample values are recorded in range -5,000 to -5,200 m (272) gradually followed by ranges -5,200 to -5,000, -5,000 to -4,800 and -4,800 to -4,600 m (number of samples: 204, 189, 157). The depth to the bottom of the **NBT** becomes gradually deeper in a segment of -50 to 0 m in cross-section, decreasing from -3,000 to -7,000 in WE direction, with maximum depths reaching -7,000 m and median value -8,000 m.

SCT: symmetric, 'V'-shaped. The **SCT** is symmetric with accurate 'V' form for the segment of -50 to -50 km on the graph (Fig. 4.11.2, A). The increase for **SCT** is abrupt in profile: for the distance 0-50 km the depths rise from -8,000 to -5,200 m. For the transect segment of -50 to 0 m in a cross-

section the depth to the bottom becomes deeper decreasing from -3,000 to -8,000 in WE direction, that is, the gradient slope is steeper. Geomorphology is explained by the context of the historic and actual geomorphic and sedimentary processes that finally affected trench formation and structure. San Cristobal is deeper comparing to the **NBT** with bathymetric data reaching -9,000 m while **NBT** has only 1 sample point <-8,000 m. The most frequent values for the **SCT** lie in range -4,600 to -4,800 m (217 samples), -4,600 to -4,400 (202 samples) and -4,400 to -4,200 (167 samples), Fig. 4.11.2. The majority of the observation samples for both trenches lie in range of -4000 to -5,000 m.

HT: arcuate barrel-shaped, trench. **HT** has trough-like shape form in its cross-section. Whilst it serves as a terrain variable in this study to examine the geomorphic landform, variation of the geomorphic slopes were compared for the trenches and following findings noted: **HT** has a trough-like flat wide bottom, steeper gradient slope on the North Island flank (Fig. 4.21.1, A). Data distribution for the **HT** (Fig. 4.20.1, B) [387] demonstrates equalized patterns for depths -600 m to -2,600 m with samples <60 for each bin, most data are detected at ca. 30-40 samples for a bin, $\leq 4\%$. Notable peak have data located on the shallow areas (≤ -500 m) where max values are detected for interval -400 to -300 m (258 samples): a slope of the North Island (frequency from 5%-12%). Geomorphic curvature and distribution of the seamounts near the Puysegur, Hjort and **HTes** reflect the interplay between the complex tectonic processes occurring at a subducting tectonic plates and variations in the tension between the spreading ridges and the transform faults [403]. Generally, a strong correlation of the trenches axis with borders of three lithospheric tectonic plates (Antarctic Plate, Pacific Plate and Indo-Australian Plate) can be noted, which underpins strong correlation of the trench geomorphology with direction of the tectonic slab lineaments, as plate movements, geologic lineaments and extend of the fracture zones in the final end lead to the formation of the trench axis through the plates motions. Local geologic settings, linear extension of the tectonic slabs, complex tectonic processes and sedimentation of the **HT** might explain variations in their geomorphic structure and shape of the submarine landforms.

YT: V-shaped (in plan) trench. General pattern for **YT**: steep slopes and deep bathymetric records. Profiles of the axis of the **YT** have sharp V-shape. **YT** reaches -7000 m in its median curve of the profiles, while the **PT** only -6200 m, that is the **PT** is shallower. Second, **YT** has distinctly more abrupt slope and steep gradient of the profiles oceanward (Fig. 4.15.1, B) comparing to the **PT** (Fig. 4.15.1, A). [332] earlier pointed that extensive slope failure is occurring on the landward slope of the **PT** from which great amount of mass is wasted to the trench axis. Hence, if surface sediments or rocks are collapsed into the trench axis, the V-shaped bottom will be gradually buried to form a flat basin, unless subduction or the tectonic erosion proceeds. Submarine sediment avalanches occur easily and frequently, when dipping angles of the lower landward slope partly exceed slope failure limit. Inner slopes on the **YT** are more steep and abrupt (Fig. 4.15.1, B). The average dip of the landward slope is recognized as about 29° for the **YT** westwards 41° eastwards. Data distribution for the **YT** (605 samples): depth range at -5000 to -6000 m: **YT** has 53 samples. **YT** has steep slopes and generally deep sample records, deep values within range of -7000 m – -6000 m: 68 samples.

PsT: sharp irregular right-sided V-shaped trench. **PsT** (Fig. 4.21.1, B: middle plot) has asymmetric V-form with steep gradient on the E slopes and more gentle slope on the W. The results of the comparison of the median values (red line on Fig. 4.21.1 for the trenches show that selected segment of the **HT** has shallower depths with maximal not exceeding -2,500 m (Fig. 4.21.1, A), while **PsT** is reaching up to -6,000 m (Fig. 4.21.1, B). **PsT** (Fig. 4.20.1, A) has a bimodal type of data distribution with two peaks. The first peak has three notable bins range -4,200 to -4,400 m (373 samples detected, data frequency 18%), range -4,000 to -4,200 (182 samples, data frequency 9,6%) and -4,400 m to -4,600 m (216 samples, data frequency 11,5%). The second peak covers depths from -2,200 to -3,000 m with the data frequency below 7,5%. The peak corresponds to the Macquarie Arc bordering the trench slope.

JT: irregular-V-shaped trench (north); elongated left-sided trench (south). Comparative analysis of the two parts of the a series of cross-section profiles (Fig. 4.19.1) revealed more steep slope on the

northern part of the trench on the continental slope part beneath the frontal wedge of the North American tectonic plate. Southern part of the **JT** shows more gentle slope on the Honshu Island. The location of the swath profiles traversing the two segment of the **JT** South-West to North-East direction is shown in Fig. 4.19.1. 12 profiles were drawn for the southern segment and 14 profiles for the northern one. Highly rugged geomorphological structure of the median profiles, and altitude increase in the S-N direction can be seen on the northern part of the **JT** (Fig. 4.19.1). geological settings and seismicity of the area impact the trench roughness and general geomorphic shape when studying bathymetric patterns and variations by the cross-sectioning profiles of **JT**, which is shown on the geological map (Fig. 2.18.2) with high level of the seismicity, repetitive earthquakes and volcanism along the **JT**. W part of the trench (Honshu Island slope) has steeper slopes comparing to the oceanward slopes for both segments, which can also be seen on the 3D model shown on Fig. 2.18.5.

IBT: asymmetric trench in two different parts. Southern segment of the trench is deeper and has more accurate V-form with a steeper gradient slope on both slopes (Fig. 4.18.1, B). Northern part of the trench (Fig. 4.18.1, A) has asymmetric shape of the slope with notable submarine terrace on the western part and more straight shape on the oceanwards side (Fig. 4.18.1, A). Comparison of the oceanward flank of the both segments of the **IBT** show similar geomorphic shape but seamounts on the N segment (Fig. 4.18.1). The 3D model of the Izu-Bonin shows (Fig. 4.18.2) seamounts on the E part of the trench, [405].

VtT: trough-like W-shaped trench. Selected segment of the **VtT** has shallower depths with maximal $\leq -5,000$ m while **VT** is depths with $\geq -6,000$ m. The deepest values $>5,000$ m for **VtT** give in total 413 values (238, 130, 29, 14, 2) samples. The geometric form of the two trenches varies: **VtT** has more flat wide bottom similar to a trough, with steeper gradient slope on the eastern flank (Fig. 4.8.1, A: upper plot). Neighboring surface is rather flat for **VtT**, surrounded by both North Fiji Basin (eastern flank) and its western flank (Fig. 4.9.2) [388]. A strong correlation of the **VtT** axes with borders of the 2 tectonics plates (Pacific Plate and Indo-Australian Plate) takes place. Hence, geomorphology of the **VtT** correlates with slabs, continuation of the plate movements, lineaments and extend of fracture zones which lead to the formation of the **VtT** axis determined by the plate motion. Geological settings, stretch of the tectonic slabs, tectonic processes and sedimentation of the **VtT** explain differences in their structure and geomorphic shape form.

RT: irregular-shaped: right-sided trench with differences for two segments. Median stacked profile on the S part (Fig. 4.14.1 A) show more gentle slope shape with submarine terraces, while there are no clear on N part of the forearc slope (Fig. 4.14.1 B). At the same time the depth of the forearc slope increases in the northern segment of the **RT** (Fig. 4.14.1 B). Certain differences in the depth ranges of the **RT** along the Ryukyu island arc. The depth and geometry of the slope vary considerably: maximal values of -5,500 to -5,700 m are notable for the N part of the **RT** where 334 samples are recorded, Fig. 4.14.2 (A). With a slight difference, S part demonstrates comparable values (329 samples) for the same depth range. More clear differences are for the depths $>6,000$ m. S part of the trench (Fig. 4.14.2 (B)) has only 9 samples with depths $>6,800$ m while N part shows 41, 59 and 23 records, with a maximal values reaching -7,460 m. Moderate to shallow depths with ranges from -3,000 to -5,000 m are comparable for both parts of the **RT** with values not exceeding 68 samples for the N part and 42 samples for the S. The forearc basin $>2,000$ m and $<1,000$ m **BSL** shows more samples in the N part of the trench than in the S. In contrast, a wide terrace with depths shallower than 25 m is generally larger (161 samples) in the S part of the **RT**. 2 spatially different segments of the **RT** show that N part of the **RT** has deeper values comparing to the S, Fig. 2.13.1: deepest values of the C part are seen in the deep area extending seaward offshore from the Miyako Fault (Fig. 2.13.2) [392].

VT: crescent-shaped circular-like (in plan) trench. Bathymetry of **VT** is generally deeper with depths reaching -7,000 m in values in the selected segment. **VT** is depths with $\geq -6,000$ m. **VT** is surrounded by more complex submarine relief of both North Fiji Basin (eastern flank) and its western flank (Fig. 4.9.2). Generally, a strong correlation of the trench's axes with borders of the two tectonics plates

(Pacific Plate and Indo-Australian Plate) is evident. This implies that the geomorphology of the trenches is strongly correlated with slabs, since continuation of the plate movements, lineaments and extend of fracture zones leads to the formation of the trench axis strongly determined by plates motions. Local geological settings, stretch of the tectonic slabs, complex tectonic processes and sedimentation of the VT explain differences in their structure and geomorphic shape form. Correlation between seafloor geology with VT location can be illustrated by sediment accumulation resulted in a significant load. Thick VT fill sediments may lead to the obscured morphologic expression of the outer part of the trench or deformed front. Sediment accumulation affect the lithospheric downward deflection in the vicinity of the VT and the amount of the subducted sediments.

Deep-sea trenches are one of the most enigmatic and not enough studied geomorphic systems on the Earth. At the same time, it is in deep-sea trenches that geophysicists can observe how sections of the oceanic seafloor of the the old Earth's crust is subducting, and model processes that take place in the mantle of the Earth, to see how it interacts with the oceanic crust. In view of this, the importance of the studies of deep-sea trenches is clear for Earth science. In total, about two dozen deep-sea trenches in the Atlantic, Pacific and Indian oceans are known. Their depth in general exceeds 6,000 m. The six deepest trenches of the Earth are located in the Pacific Ocean: MT (11 034 m), JT (10 554 m), KKT (10 542 m) and PT (10 540 m) trenches, as well as TT trenches (10 882 m) and KT (10 047) m.

4.2 Kuril-Kamchatka Trench

Southern segment of the KKT Comparative assessment of the bathymetry of the KKT was performed for southern and northern segments separated by the Bussol Strait. The profiling was undertaken using GMT modules 'grdimage', 'grdtrack' and 'psxy'. Modelling consists of the collected data of 10706 observation samples from 52 profiles in southern part and 12726 from 62 profiles in the northern segment. The GMT modules psrose and pshistograms were used to plot histograms and rose diagrams visualizing bathymetric variables of depths. The geology was mapped using GMT modules pscoast, grdcut, grdcontour and psxy to plot lineaments and geological objects (ophiolites, faults, earthquakes, trench, magnetic anomalies, tectonic slabs, fracture zones and volcanoes).

The results show that the southern part has deeper bathymetric values, vary in geomorphic structure and has steeper gradient slopes comparing to the north, which is caused by the seismicity, volcanism, geologic and tectonic settings. The comparison of the bathymetry in KKT shown variations in the northern and southern segments: southern part reaches -8,200 m maximal depths while northern has -7,800 m. This is influenced by the geological settings: earthquakes magnitude and seismicity are higher in the south-west. The submarine terraces and floodplains were observed at -4000 m depth forming landforms located southwards off the Bussol Strait. This geospatial analysis contributes to the development of the geological mapping with an example of the Kamchatka area, a region with high seismicity and repeated earthquakes. Technically, the comparison of the two parts of the trench was performed by means of the set of the cross-section profiles plotted by GMT in an automatic regime for northern and southern part of the trench. The morphology of both segments was then compared with aim to identify the characteristics of the trench geomorphology.

Figure 4.2.1: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench: median stacked profiles

The summary interpretation of the 52 NE-SW cross-section profiles across the KKT in the southern

part (highlighted as green line on the Fig. 3.5.2) shows following results. At the S region (in the proximity of the active volcanic spots, Fig. 3.5.2), the seafloor depths are found to decrease with increasing latitude gradually while moving northwards. Trench morphology in the northern termination of the southern segment of the KKT, just approaching the Bussol Strait is at the U-trending seafloor rise. Besides, there is indication of the slab subduction along the NW margin of the trench facing the Sea of Okhotsk.

Trench-parallel, linear system of islands stretches along the southern part of the Greater Kuril Chain: Iturup Island, Urup, Shikotan and minor chain of islands. These islands provide a natural barrier to the extensive downslope sediment transport from the southern Sea of Okhotsk to the trench. To a certain extent, they are trapped behind the chain of islands and further restrict the supply of the sediments to the central part of the trench downslope. The submarine terraces are presented along the southern part of the trench axis. A common trend is that southern part of the seafloor depths are found to vary considerably, even within neighboring selected profiles.

Wedge-shaped body of the trench is being developed in its southern part on the westwards trench slope in front of the Sea of Okhotsk inner trench wall closer to the islands Kunashir, Urup and Iturup from the Kuril Greater Chain. It shows a typical and distinct morphologic impact from the nearby subduction zone. The areas of the submarine terraces interspersed with the floodplains have been observed in the southern part of the trench at -4000 m depth forming distinctive patterns on the landforms located immediately southwards the Bussol Strait. The southern part of the KKT near Kuril Basin (Fig. 3.5.2) creates conditions for the short sediment transport from the islands between the terrestrial sediments of the Sakhalin Island and the Kurils with marine sediments sinking to the trench.

Map 4.2.1: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench: enlarged view of the profiles

In the southern segment, the sedimentation system goes in a transverse direction of the trench stretching: it brings the sediments from the islands located on the south of Sea of Okhotsk: Hokkaido, Kunashir, Iturup, Urup and minor islands of the southern part of Greater Kuril Chain [398]. Southern part of the trench serves as a sink for the sediment dispersal systems along the active margins of the Kuril Islands. It

is longitudinally fed by the sediments derived from the southern Kurils. The location of the compression-extension tectonic slabs as demonstrated on Fig. 3.3.9 and average deformation of the trenches axis is directly affected by the source of earthquakes located in the south of the Greater Kuril Chain.

Northern segment of the KKT Northern part of the KKT (red line segment on Fig. 3.5.1) reflects in its morphological features: combined effects of the tectonic slab subduction, collision and sedimentation processes and closeness of LIPs in northern part of the Pacific Ocean near Bering Sea. In particular they are determined by the rate of the Pacific Plate convergence, sediment supply and topography of the subducting seafloor [409]. Fracture zones oriented in NW direction from the Pacific Plate towards the trench affect sediment deposition. Sediment deposits filling the trench are controlled by the rate of the Pacific Plate convergence towards Okhotsk Plate.

The analysis and interpretation of the 62 NE-SW cross-section profiles across the KKT in the N part shows following results. The sequence of profiles crossing the northern part of the trench show truncated landforms at the canyon walls of the Greater Kuril Chain, which rise ca. 1000 m Above Sea Level (ASL) while southern part of the islands chain (Iturup and Urup islands) show ca. 400 m ASL. The area around the northern part of the trench identified features of the trench geomorphological system (submarine canyons, trench wedge, submarine ridges, and basins) in the northern part of the trench. The trench-parallel longitudinal sedimentation system carries sediments eroded from the Kamchatka orogenic system to the east, along the Kamchatka Peninsula. These deposits are then moved to the NE part of the trench, Fig. 2.1.1.

Northern part of the KKT receives the sediments transported in a southwest direction from the downslopes of the Kamchatka and northern islands. Trench-fill sediments are derived from the volcanic arcs formed by the Kamchatka Peninsula and northern segment of the Kuril islands, and adjacent volcanic basins. Sediments are transported to the northern part of the trench from the Kurils through the set of submarine canyons directed transverse to the axis of the N KKT filling it by terrigenous sediments.

Orthogonal profiles line Nr. 1 and 7, located north of the KKT in perpendicular direction off the Academy of Sciences Rise (Fig. 2.1.1) reveal steeper shape form caused by seismic settings and sedimentary accumulation patterns of the SE parts of Sea of Okhotsk. The increased number of profiles in northwards direction show shallower depths which illustrates previous discussion on the variations in the sedimentation processes of N and S segments, and the landform of the profiles.

Farther down the trench and NE of the Shishikotan Island from the Kurils, profile line 42 and 49 cross take the form of an irregularly shaped trough of ca. 8 km width. Trench floor morphology here is characterized by the gentle slope patterns and depths not exceeding 7,400 m. On both profiles, the N wall of the trench is the island-ward sloping surface of the Kuril islands. Profile line 56 shows mainly flat and parallel form at the shallower depths that extend to about 7,200 m in the seafloor. Profile line 62 shows more shallower depth and gentle slope shape following the enlargement of the trench valley starting northwards from the Paramushir Island. Stacks of local small hills and bulges across the trench indicate multiple sediment deposition processes along the trench slope in its N part.

Comparison of the southern and northern segments Comparing northern and southern parts of the trench, the wedge-like or U-shaped morphology of the trench in two parts south- and northwards from the Bussol Strait are distinct not only from the inner-ward slope facing the Sea of Okhotsk and the Greater Kurils Chain, but also in longitudinal direction along the trench axis [409]. This particular may be explained by the response to the gradual changes in seafloor topography patterns. Another impact can be added by the oceanological factors, such as intensive bottom currents. Diverse types of the sediment deposits filling the trench in its northern and southern part differ in substrate content: more volcanic sediments are in the south comparing to the northern part, which can be influenced by the different seismic settings and sediment transport paths. Steeper landforms are in general observed in the southern

part of the trench comparing to those in its northern segment. In both southern and northern parts of the trench, the floor deposits are influenced by the lateral and longitudinal sediment supply.

The results of the comparative analysis of the two distinct parts of the trench located north- and southwards from the Bussol Strait show that southern part is deeper reaching -8,200 m depth while northern part has -7,800 at maximal records. The data analysis was performed to examine the spatial variation of the trench geomorphology including the interrelationships of the factors affecting its variation (geodetic, seismic, geologic and bathymetric). Variations in the earthquakes magnitude and seismicity in the study area that are higher in the south-western part of the trench, as well as to a lesser extent location in the magnetic anomalies located in the north-east, influence the bathymetric patterns that show deeper values in the southern part and geomorphic landforms distribution. The cross-section profiles across the northern part of the *KKT* show deeper values of the depth and less steep slopes comparing to the southern segment, which refer to the erosional moats and drift of the submarine sediments.

On the contrary, southern profiles along the trench, comparing to the northern segment, located near the intense volcanic area demonstrate erosional moats at -3000 m depths adjusting the Greater Kuril Chain. This reflects a series of recent earthquakes erupted near Shikotan Island in the southern part of the *KKT*. Besides, the anomalous metallogeny of the southern Kuril segment is determined by the deep geodynamics, which provided the impact of fluid energy fluxes from sub-subduction and supra-subduction asthenospheric zones. The profiles in the southern segment of the trench show more complex pattern of the processes of erosion along the upper slope and sediment deposition comparing to the northern segment. Therefore, the landward oriented submarine ridges of the trench facing the Greater Kuril Chain form oblique landform shape towards the coast of the southern Kurils. Southern part of the trench follows the exposition to the erosion processes in NE to SW direction caused by the intense earthquakes located on the south of the Greater Kuril Chain.

Northern part of the trench affected by numerous earthquakes located on the Kamchatka Peninsula is influenced by the large amount of the accumulated sediments. Necessarily it forms the shallower depths and gentle flat slopes in the morphology of the northern part of the *KKT*. On the contrary, the southern part is presented by V-shape formed slopes and steeper morphology which is affected by the specific geophysical conditions of the southern part of the Kuril Islands characterized by the magnetized anomaly zones of the oceanic crust. The junction of two different segments of the Kuril Islands, southern and northern, corresponds to a sub-latitudinal magnetic anomaly. The north-east direction of the magnetic anomalies are recorded on the oceanic slope of the trench up to its island slope while the southern segment of the trench axis is oriented in parallel.

The orthogonal bathymetric profiles across the southern and northern segments of the *KKT* identify two distinct U- and V-shaped morphologic features with affecting dominant processes: (1) in its northern segment, the dominant processes along the Kamchatka Peninsula are sediment deposition; (2) southern part located near the Hokkaido, Iturup and Urup islands and minor island of the Greater Kuril Chain are distinct by the processes of erosion and intense sediment transportation. The explosive volcanism in different parts of the *KKT* explain a high mass of sediments formed by gradual sediment accumulation.

Comparing the resulting two graphs (Fig. 3.5.1 and 3.5.2), two parts of the trench located north- and southwards from the Bussol Strait show that southern part is deeper reaching -8,200 m depth while northern part has -7,800 at maximal records. It can be explained by the fact that northern part of the trench affected by numerous earthquakes located on the Kamchatka Peninsula is influenced by the large amount of the accumulated sediments. Necessarily, it forms the shallower depths and gentle flat slopes in the morphology of the northern part of the *KKT*. The results of the dataset analysis were compared and found out that profiles located in the southern and northern parts vary in depths and geomorphic steepness, due to the impact of the geological settings and seismicity.

In general, the profiles in the N part of the trench show deeper values and less steep slopes comparing to the S segment, which refer to the erosional moats and drift of the submarine sediments. On the contrary,

S part of the trench has deeper bathymetric ranges and steeper trench gradient slope, comparing to the N part. This can be influenced by the intensive seismicity and volcanic activities in this particular area of the trench. Furthermore, the trench forms more V- shaped valley in its S segment with about 3 km wide in profiles and more U-shaped form in the N part. The shape of the slopes and steeper morphology of the S part is affected by the specific geophysical conditions of the S part of the Kuril Islands characterized by the magnetized anomaly zones of the oceanic crust.

The V-shaped valley of the trench segment neighboring Iturup and Urup islands goes in east-northward direction where it faces the Bussol Strait. Afterwards, the trench continues direction in the N segment along the islands Shikhotan, Kharimkotan, Onkotan, Paramushir and Shumshu and finally reaches Kamchatka Peninsula. Variations in S and N part of the trench reflect differences in local geological settings of the respecting segments. The trench is associated with tectonic subduction zones. Besides geological factors, trench geomorphology is affected by local tectonic settings. Collision of the tectonic plates can be the reason that affected both the **KKT** motion and the morphology of the subducting Pacific Plate at depth in N and S parts of the trench that could be sliced in the two distinct morphologies in its N and S parts. Distinct changes in trench geomorphology is illustrated by [545]: changes between the horizontal slab and vertical arcs. Variations in physical slab settings can be the result of the plate distortion as its shape transforms between near horizontal to near vertical and change in the velocity of the subduction plate, or a combination of both.

Various geophysical factors affect the geomorphological structure of the trench and cause variations in its morphological structure among which tectonics plays the major role in the trench formation. For the case of the **KKT**, two tectonic plates have responses to its tectonic history, which could be caused by the ongoing subduction of the major Pacific Plate under the minor Okhotsk Plate [58]. The latter is a region of the active grabens located southwards of a chain of small sedimentary basins in the Cherskii Mountains, North Russia [656].

A longitudinal main channel is usually formed on the bottom of the deep-sea trench under the influence of turbidite currents [590]. It creates favorable conditions for the distinctive movements of the sand sediments that shape large elongated accumulations of the sediments [158]. For example, in case of the Mariana Trench, there are two general types of sedimentation. The 1st one is represented by the pelagic sediments, accumulated as a result of gravitational forces moving suspended matter from the water column into the deeper parts. The 2nd type is represented by the aleurite-clay sediments. In high latitudes they may be mixed with the iceberg sediments. The speed of such terrigenous sedimentation can reach 175-200 mm/1000 years. The sediments in the bottoms of deep ocean trenches mainly accumulate horizontally sand and silt turbidites with gradational stratification. Correct analysis of possible accumulation of the sediments within trench, its underlying tectonics and geology may visualize correlation between the deep-sea deposits and changes at the sea surface. Big data sets in geology are especially well suited to quantifying correlations between trench seafloor geomorphology and sedimentation. Deep-sea trench modelling is necessary for the robust estimation of the ocean mineral resources. Estimation of the deposits in seafloor resources requires precise computation of the big geological data sets.

4.3 Aleutian Trench

The GMT based modelling demonstrated following results. The topography in the study area ranges between -8200 to 2000 m (Map 4.3.1). The geoid gravitational model shows the majority of values lies in the interval between -10 to 20 mGal with the lowest values along the trench. The profiles plotted in an automated regime are located with a distance of 20 km between each pair, spaced every 2 km along the profiles itself and have a total length of 400 km, that is 200 km to both sides from the trench. According to the statistical histogram modelling, the most often depth value in the study area is - 4800 with frequency 1722.

Map 4.3.1: Aleutian Trench: digitized cross-section profiles. [370]

The derived cross-section profiles on a selected segment of the trench (a map on Map 4.3.1) includes a combination of the ETOPO1 bathymetric map with a set of the automatically drawn profiles (Map 4.3.1, lower part), and a statistical visualization of the median stacked profiles with error bars (Map 4.3.1, graph on the upper part). Four approaches for the profile linear trend modelling were tested. Finally, a surface modelling was performed using xyz modelling from the ASCII data. The results of this study demonstrated (Map 3.3.16) distribution of the local occurrence of bathymetric data along the cross-section profiles. Visualizing submarine geomorphology provided context and guidance for further geological and oceanographic discussions regarding hadal trench shape, occurrence of terraces, steepness of the slopes, location of canyons, as well as their distribution.

Figure 4.3.1: Aleutian Trench: modeled trend curves of the relief of the mean cross-section profile. [370]

The bathymetry was visualized using geo color palette. The original data is derived from the sea-surface satellite altimetry measurements and ocean soundings provided by numerous global geospatial sources. Therefore, the [ETOPO1](#) includes existing bathymetry data and uses interpolated gravity values elsewhere. The statistical analysis of the bathymetric data shown (Fig. 4.3.2) that depths with ranges between -5600 and -5400 m have occurrence of 1106 (8%); depths with ranges -5400 and -5200 m have occurrence of 846 (7%); depths with ranges -4900 and -5200 m have occurrence of 1297 (11%). The highest values demonstrated values of -4900 and -4700 with 1722 occurrences (13,2%). Significantly low values demonstrated shallow areas with depth ranges between -4500 and -3000 m with occurrence of below 200 (all of them less than 2,5%). Values with ranges shallower than -500 m naturally belong to

the coastal shelf areas near the Aleutian Islands adjusting the trench.

Figure 4.3.2: Aleutian Trench: histogram chart on bathymetric data distribution by profiles. [370]

Furthermore, a geomorphic analysis (Fig. 4.3.1 and 2.2.4) shown that north-western part of the trench on the side of the islands chain has steeper and shorter slope with located series of marine terraces. Several models and maps illustrate geological, geophysical and geomorphic modelling of the area including an outline of the shell scripting, the model, and visualized maps. To assist in the identification of the geomorphology of the AT, bathymetric ETOPO1 data were contoured at 500 m intervals using GMT module `grdcontour` (Fig. 2.2.2).

Map 4.3.2: Aleutian Trench: topographic surface modelling from the ASCII xyz data. [370]

Geomorphic analysis in GMT module `trend1d` was applied to build statistical trends with four functional approaches (Fig. 4.3.1) and visualization of median and mean profiles (Map 4.3.1). The results of the surface modelling (Map 4.3.2 and 3.3.16) are received by modelling xyz dataset.

4.4 Middle America Trench

The results of the geospatial data modelling show geomorphic shape of the submarine landforms in the MAT and surface trends that reveal unevenness in the structure. The submarine geomorphic structure of the trench has straight shape form of the slopes with more steep oceanward forearc. Its geometry has relatively steep and strait shape which correlates with seismicity. Major depth observation samples have values of -3000 to -6200 m, seafloor is 3-5 km wide. The marine vertical gravity at the trench correlates with the tectonic slab contours. The intermediate values (20-30 mGal) are detected on the trench slopes while the highest values on the mountainous areas. The output model of the cross-section profiles is provided at Map 4.4.1 showing the initial bathymetric map (Map 4.4.1 B) and above a plotted series of the profiles (Map 4.4.1 A), such as oceanward backers of the trench and more steeper slope on the continental side.

Map 4.4.1: Middle America Trench: cross-section profiles on the selected segment, [413]

However, other areas, particularly the terraces were less well defined, perhaps due to the local specific geomorphic features of the study object: the geomorphology of the MAT presents a very straight steep slopes without significant curvatures. Multiple factors affect MAT geomorphology which is formed as a results of the complex interaction between the geochemical situation, lithology, sedimentation processes, geological trigger factors and tectonic mechanisms in the study area. These factors include include

among others tectonic history of the study area, strong influence of the volcanic active zone, geochemical content of the substrate. The position of the bathymetric observations in the trend analysis (Fig. 3.3.3, A) indicates the main common trend similarities in the sea floor characteristics between the geomorphic units. Thus, Fig. 3.3.3, A shows clear separation of the oceanward slope with steeper angle Cocos Plate basin, trench valley and rocky Charts block unit.

Modelling geospatial data highlighted the geomorphology and geometric shape of the trench. The geometry of the trench demonstrates its relatively steep and strait shape which correlate with previous seismic record results [674] showing the trench floor to be 3-5 km wide. The spatial analysis performed by the GMT revealed that major depth observation points of the MAT are located in between the -3000 and -6200 meters (Map 4.4.1 and Fig. 3.3.3). Frequency data distribution of the bathymetric samples shows notable changes within the MAT by the profiles. There is a certain increase of frequency in depths between -3000 and -4000 m (Fig. 4.4.1) that may be caused by the specific geologic properties of the Cocos Plate.

Figure 4.4.1: Middle America Trench: histograms of the samples distribution by cross-section profiles, [413]

The deepest bathymetry data range with 1,111 records takes 25% of the total pool of the sample observation points. As can be seen on Fig. 4.4.1, right, the mean values of the observation samples have a value of -2,200, while LMS mode indicates 7,5% of values at -4,000 to -3,500 m, and median values have values of -3,200 to -3,300 m. The North-West distribution of the rose diagram (Fig. 4.4.1, left) shows steep slope on the oceanward forearc of the trench with relatively few observation samples due to the steepness on the continental slope with denser samples on the Cocos Plate where the geomorphology has more gentle form that can also be noted on (Map 4.4.1, A). A geoid gravitation model differs significantly by movements in a south-east direction along the study area with clearly detected stripe of the Pacific Volcanic Chain (Fig. 3.3.10, [413]).

Maximal values of the gravity above 20 mGal are recorded in the south-eastern part of the region southwards Nicaragua: Nicoya Peninsula and Costa Rica (colored red on the modeled map) [411], [413]. The area of Nicaragua has mainly values of 5-15 mGal (orange on the modeled map). The area of Gulf of Tehuantepec has the lowest detected gravity values in the range of -15 to -25 mGal (Fig. 3.3.10). The results indicate that marine vertical gravity at the MAT are clearly connected with the trench tectonics correlating with contours of the major lines of tectonic slabs. The intermediate values (20-30 mGal) are locate on the trench slopes (colored orange on the Fig. 3.3.13), while the highest values are detected mainly on the mountainous areas (colored rose on the Fig. 3.3.13). The findings suggest that submarine geomorphic structure of the MAT has straight shape form of the slopes with more steep slope on the oceanward forearc.

4.5 Peru-Chile Trench

Findings in the automatic digitizing of the transect profiles, visualized as two segments (Fig. 4.5.1) are as follows: the Chilean trenches geomorphology has deeper bathymetry and steeper gradient slope on the Nazca Plate (Fig. 4.5.1, A); on the contrary, the Peruvian segment of the trench (Fig. 4.5.1, B) demonstrates a gentler landform on the oceanward side. The comparison of the histograms on bathymetry in both segments shows that Peruvian segment has shallower depths with the maximal reaching -6,500 m, while the Chilean segment reaches -7,800 m in depth (Fig. 4.5.2). The frequency of the depth records in the Chilean segment has more unified data distribution for the depth range of -6,000 m to -7,000 m with number of sample points: 86, 88, 88, 72.

Figure 4.5.1: Peru-Chile Trench: profiles in Chilean (A) and Peruvian (B) parts [411]

For the same depth diapason, the Peruvian part has 56 and 84 samples. A comparison of the highest data frequency for both segments shows: the Peruvian segment has the majority of data (23%) 1,410 samples (-4,500 m to -4,700 m are the most frequent values for the Peruvian segment of the trench). It shows a peak of all data with a very steep pattern in distribution. Other data in the neighbor diapason are much lower: 559 samples (-4,700 to -5,000 m) and 807 (-4,200 to -4,400 m) for the Peruvian segment. At the same time, the Chilean segment has more even data distribution. Taking a look at the same data range: 205 samples for -4,700 m to -5,000 m (versus 559 for the Peruvian segment); 731 for -4,200 m to -4,400 m (versus 807 for the Peruvian segment); 317 for the range of -4,500 m to -4,700 m (versus 1,410 in the Peruvian segment, which is the most frequent). On the contrary, the most frequent depth for the Peruvian segment of the PCT is a range from -4,000 m to -4,200 m (827 recorded samples) versus a range of -4,500 m to -4,700 m for the Peruvian segment (1,410 recorded samples). This demonstrates that Peruvian segment of the PCT is generally deeper and the geomorphology is steeper with abrupt slopes (Fig. 4.5.1).

Cross-sectional profiles of the Peru-Chile Trench on two selected segments

Map 4.5.1: Peru-Chile Trench: digitized profiles of Peruvian and Chilean segments [411]

Figure 4.5.2: Peru-Chile Trench: histograms for the Peruvian and Chilean segments [411]

4.6 Kermadec Trench

The resulting profiles are visualized as two segments (red and green for both trenches) on Fig. 2.6.1, left. Automatic digitizing of the cross-section profiles for **KT** demonstrated that **KT** (Fig. 4.6.1, B) has more gentle shape form of the western slope off Tonga Ridge.

Figure 4.6.1: Kermadec and Tonga trenches: geomorphological modelling. Source: [420]

The results of the comparative analysis of the two trenches show that **KT** has more abrupt shape with 2,641 depth records from -6,600 to -6,800 meters (Fig. 4.7.2). Comparing the deepest values >9,000 meters for the **KT** there are 10, 18, 48, 72 and 103, which gives together 251 observation samples proving that **TT** is deeper than **KT**. If we compare the variations of the seafloor depths at range from -6,000 to -5,000, it can be seen (Fig. 4.7.2) that **KT** has more values in this range: 1820, 2641, 1203 and 503 giving together 6167 samples [420]. **KT** has more gentle slope and shallower depths which can also be seen on Fig. 4.6.1. This illustrates tectonic and geological local variations, as well as different sedimentation of the Kermadec and Tonga trenches causing variations in their geomorphic shape despite their close location.

Oceanic lithosphere has a complex structure varying in different parts of the trenches located in the areas of the subducted tectonic plates. There are reports on typology and classification of the sediments on the seafloor [138], [139]; [148]; [349]; [506]; [722]. The approaches are generalized into standard geospatial analysis aimed at the description of the location and features of the sediments in various trenches of the ocean. Correlation between the subduction, earthquakes, sediment thickness on the seafloor of the trench and upper plate strain is discussed [239].

4.7 Tonga Trench

TT has shallower depths comparing to the **KT**. Comparing the deepest values >9,000 meters for **TT** there are 5, 39, 72, 95, 109 observations, that sums together 320 samples. Comparing the variations of the seafloor depths at range from -6,000 to -5,000, it can be seen (Fig. 4.7.2) that **TT** has 4803 numbers of samples (a sum of 1221, 2089, 1019 and 544), [420]. Automatic digitizing of the cross-section profiles demonstrated that **TT** geomorphology has steeper gradient slope on the western flank (Fig. 4.6.1, A).

Figure 4.7.1: Kermadec and Tonga trenches: models of slope gradient trends by profiles. Source: [420]

The results show deeper bathymetry and more seafloor roughness for the **TT**. Hence, comparing to the **KT**, **TT** has steeper gradient of the profiles.

Figure 4.7.2: Kermadec and Tonga trenches: histograms of the bathymetric data distribution. [420]

4.8 Vanuatu (New Hebrides) Trench

Modelling cross-section profiles for VT demonstrated (Fig. 4.8.1) that bathymetry of VtT is generally shallower with depths not exceeding -6,100 m, while VT reaches -7,000 m in values in the selected segment [407].

Map 4.8.1: Vanuatu and Vityaz trenches: cross-section profiles map

The histogram shape varies by two trenches: VtT has a 'bell-shape' data distribution with two peaks at range -3,500 to -3,700 m, and the second at -4,800 to -5,000 m, that is the majority of values are in general within the range of -5,000 to -3,000 m. Here there are 1605 samples (517, 653 and 435) recorded at the first peak with depth values from -3,300 to -4,000 and 839 sample observations (238, 304, 297) at depths from -4,500 to -5,200 m [407].

Map 4.8.2: Vanuatu and Vityaz trenches: histograms of the cross-section profiles

4.9 Vityaz Trench

The comparative analysis of the geomorphic modelling of **VtT** and **VT** shows in following findings. **VT** (Fig. 4.8.1, B: lower plot) has more V-form classic shape for the trench with gentle shapes on both western and eastern slopes. The comparison of the median values (Fig. 4.8.1) of two trenches shows that selected segment of the **VtT** has shallower depths with maximal $\leq -5,000$ m while **VT** is depths with $\geq -6,000$ m. The deepest values $>5,000$ m for **VtT** give in total 413 values (238, 130, 29, 14, 2) samples [407]. The geometric form of the two trenches varies: **VtT** has more flat wide bottom similar to a trough, with steeper gradient slope on the eastern flank (Fig. 4.8.1, A: upper plot).

Map 4.9.1: Vanuatu and Vityaz trenches: digitizing cross-section profiles

Surrounding relief of the two trenches varies: for **VtT**, neighboring surface is rather flat while **VT** is surrounded by more complex submarine relief of both North Fiji Basin (eastern flank) and its western flank (Fig. 4.9.2). Generally, a strong correlation of the trench's axes with borders of the two tectonics plates (Pacific Plate and Indo-Australian Plate) is evident. This implies that the geomorphology of the trenches is strongly correlated with slabs, since continuation of the plate movements, lineaments and extend of fracture zones leads to the formation of the trench axis strongly determined by plates motions. Local geological settings, stretch of the tectonic slabs, complex tectonic processes and sedimentation of the **VT** and **VtT** explain differences in their structure and geomorphic shape form [407].

Map 4.9.2: Vanuatu and Vityaz trenches: 3D models

The comparative analysis of the geophysical grids revealed structural characteristics of the two oceanic trenches, **VT** and **VtT** and adjacent oceanic crust of the north Fiji Basin. The coherency of the grids using gravity and vertical gravity gradient data confirms that grids coincide well for 10 mGal with the 3-10 mean gravity anomaly transition zone where the coherence increases. In the interval of 10-20 mGal the coherency declines to a very narrow interval, and correlation coincides until 100 mGal of the tested values. Gravity gradient coherency add new information to the interpretation of the data covering active convergent margins in Pacific Ocean. Data distribution for the **VT** is more even with slight increase of depth in -2,200 to -800 m with total 1,353 samples (281, 173, 230, 225, 245, 199 for the relevant bins on the histogram left) [407]. The histograms for the transecting profiles of the **VT** and **VtT** are visualized of Fig. 4.8.2.

Correlation between seafloor geology with trench location can be illustrated by sediment accumulation resulted in a significant load. Thick trench fill sediments may lead to the obscured morphologic expression of the outer part of the trench or deformed front. Sediment accumulation affect the lithospheric downward deflection in the vicinity of the trench and the amount of the subducted sediment [109]. The effective elastic thickness at the subduction zones of the tectonic plates has important impacts on the tectonic and geodynamic processes of the trench, providing restrictions for the strength of the oceanic lithosphere [755].

4.10 New Britain (Bougainville) Trench

NBT has asymmetrical U-shaped cross-section dipping westwards with 35° eastward slope, and 41° westward slope (Fig. 4.11.2, A) [383]. The **SCT** has 33° slope oceanwards while $33,69^\circ$ landwards increasing in relief more direct on the oceanward side.

Figure 4.10.1: New Britain and San Cristobal trenches: graph model of the cross-section profiles

The increase in depth by **NBT** is more gentle: in 50 km segment it reaches 2000 m **BSL** (-7,000 to -5,000) on the Solomon Sea side. In the cross-section graph, the **NBT** is markedly asymmetric in the landward slope and has an arc form in the east (Fig. 4.11.2, B). **NBT** is shallower comparing to the **SCT**: it has median < -7,000m. The highest sample values are recorded in range -5,000 to -5,200 m (272) gradually followed by ranges -5,200 to -5,000, -5,000 to -4,800 and -4,800 to -4,600 m (number of samples: 204, 189, 157) [383]. The depth to the bottom of the **NBT** becomes gradually deeper in a segment of -50 to 0 m in cross-section, decreasing from -3,000 to -7,000 in WE direction, with maximum depths reaching -7,000 m and median value -8,000 m. The histogram has a slightly more skewed truncated view (right-sided) with visually dominating values in shallower depths, while diminishing values below -5,000 m **BSL** comparing to the **SCT** with bell-shaped normal data distribution [383].

Mathematic approximation of the gradient slopes for the **NBT** and **SCT** applied 3 types of the Fourier functions modelling the general trend of the profile curves (median) and residuals plotted for both trenches (example for the **SCT** is shown on Fig. 4.11.1). Free-air gravity anomalies Fig. 2.10.3 derived from the satellite altimeter measured Sea Surface Height (**SSH**) have an anomaly range from -60.0 mGal and lower over the hadal trenches (deep purple color). The **NBT** and **SCTes** are distinguishable by the isolines. Lower geoid values (42-65 mGal, lime color) are notable for the regions north-east off the Solomon Islands. The tectonic and bathymetric complexity of the area (linear features, isolated constructs on the seafloor, seamounts) explains variations in the bathymetry of the **NBT** [383].

4.11 San Cristobal Trench

The SCT is more symmetric having accurate 'V' form for the segment of -50 to 50 km on the graph (Fig. 4.11.2, A). The increase for SCT is abrupt in profile: for the distance 0-50 km the depths rise from -8,000 to -5,200 m.

Figure 4.11.1: San Cristobal Trench: modeled trends of the slopes gradient by profiles

For the transect segment of -50 to 0 m in a cross-section the depth to the bottom becomes deeper decreasing from -3,000 to -8,000 in WE direction, that is, the gradient slope is steeper. Geomorphology

is explained by the context of the historic and actual geomorphic and sedimentary processes that finally affected trench formation and structure. Associated to the oceanward side of the **SCT**, several separated minor canyons and furrows has been defined (Fig. 4.11.2, A).

Map 4.11.1: New Britain and San Cristobal trenches: segments of the digitized profiles

The statistical comparison of the two trenches (Fig. 4.11.2) shows that the San Cristobal is generally deeper with bathymetric data reaching -9,000 m while **NBT** has only 1 sample point <-8,000 m. The most frequent values for the **SCT** lie in range -4,600 to -4,800 m (217 samples), -4,600 to -4,400 (202 samples) and -4,400 to -4,200 (167 samples) [383]. The majority of the observation samples for both trenches lie in range of -4000 to -5,000 m. The geoid shows density of the topographic structures and the upper mantle lithosphere, the geoid anomaly or height can be high irrespective of the real topography. Therefore, as can be seen (Fig. 2.10.1), the color of geoid for the Papua New Guinea Island has similar range (65-85 mGal) as Bismark Sea (orange color on the map).

Many factors affect deep-sea trench structure, dynamics and present geomorphology. The complexity of the processes happening at subduction zones is illustrated [746]: oceanic crust and sediment is recycled into the mantle, causing mantle melting and heterogeneity, arc magmatism, degassing and the creation of new continental crust. However, the trench is being formed at such areas of the tectonic plates subduction. The subduction and subduction-related volcanism are the major processes through which geochemical components are being recycled between the Earth's crust, lithosphere and mantle [764]. A large proportion of the earthquakes and volcanoes are related to the subduction [319].

Histograms of the bathymetry, San Cristobal (A) and New Britain (B) trenches

Figure 4.11.2: New Britain and San Cristobal trenches: histograms for bathymetric samples

Deep-sea volcanism results from a range of processes including dehydration, melting and melt migration. The deepest known earthquakes occur in the subducted lithosphere at depths of 660–700 km but their cause is still not explained. In addition, motion and velocities of the lithospheric plates at the Earth's surface are largely controlled by the buoyancy forces that drive subduction. Because of the wide variety of processes involved, subduction zones can be regarded as natural laboratories through which dynamic behaviour in the Earth's mantle can be studied. These factors explain variations in the submarine geomorphology of the trenches.

4.12 Philippine Trench

PT (Fig. 4.12.1) has a classic symmetric bell-shape form of histograms showing depth distribution with a notable sharp increase in data frequency at the interval of -5,000 to -6,000 m BSL taking together 1,117 samples (22% and 15% of the data pool, i.e. 37%).

Map 4.12.1: Cross-section transect profiles: Philippine Trench

It indicates an abrupt gradual decrease of depths which can also be seen on Fig. 4.12.1. The cross-sections of the selected segment have a strongly asymmetric view which is caused by the Philippine Islands (Mindanao) located on the west. Therefore, western part of the cross-sections has a smooth gradual decrease in depths in a uniform way from -75 to 40 km in the left flank with a slope of 31° . The 2nd segment stretches from 40 until 10 km of the left cross-section with a gradient slope of $40,60^\circ$. The last and short segment on the left (10-0 km) has a steepness of 28° . The right flank of the profile has following variation in steepness. A segment of 0-20 km has a slope of 44° , following by the fragment of 20-35 km ($41,70^\circ$) and 35-50 km ($25,0^\circ$). A long segment on the right (50-200 km) has a very uniform geomorphology with almost no significant variations and dominating depths at around -6,000 BSL. The deepest segment of the PT (10-0 km on the profile from the center line) has more gentle slope with a steepness of 28° versus 44° on the right flank for the same segment.

Figure 4.12.1: Philippine Trench: faceted plot of the profiles. Plotting: QGIS

Unlike MT, the cross-sections of the PT have asymmetric profile caused by the adjacent Mindanao Island. Both trenches have a V-shape form in their deepest parts. Fig. 4.12.1 and 4.17.1 show vertical cross section transects perpendicular to the segment for MT and PT. A lower placed graph shows a median stacked cross-section profile (red line) and an interval of the error bars for the measurements. Comparison of the two graphs clearly shows the variation in the trenches gradient angles, steepness and elevation variation from the western and eastern flanks of the trenches. 3D bathymetry model of the selected segment of the PT shows to the S (left) of the trench the margin is characterized by steep slope

adjacent close to the Mindanao Island, to the N by sediment accretion, and to the NW by tectonic erosion. 3D relief map of the **MT** showing selected segment of the Challenger Deep is characterized to the NW (left on the figure) off the trench a Mariana Ridge, to the NE a chain of seamounts, and to the south by sediment accretion.

Comparing the **MT** and **PT**, seafloor bathymetry varies more notable westward of the trench axis by the **MT**. West Mariana Ridge (Fig. 4.17.1) is located N off the trench axis at distance of 0-50 km, while N part of Caroline Ridge is intersected by the S transects of the trench profiles at a distance of ca.180-200 km. A prominent trench-parallel belt of seamounts visualized on the 3D graphindex[concepts]plot!3D (Fig. 2.16.2), appears at 148°-149°E. A group of seamounts eastwards off the **PT** (Fig. 2.11.5), stretching in a south-east direction with a narrow seamount bases and shallow apexes appears E off the archipelago at the trench axis at 130°E-135°E. However, at an area of the plate subduction 127°E-129°E, seamounts are absent and the seafloor has more unified pattern. Starting at 16°N-126°E, a ridge can be noted near the trench axis (Fig. 4.12.1). Marine free-air gravity anomaly in the **PSB** is presented on Fig. 2.11.4. Higher values (> 80 mGal) of the gravity fields structure are apparent at volcanic areas and Philippine archipelago, as seen in orange to red colored areas on Fig. 2.11.4. Anomalies > 60 mGal are evident in the trench areas Fig. 2.11.4, which are consistent with previous results ([753]; [649]; [688]).

Figure 4.12.2: Philippine Trench: strip plots by tectonic plates and geology, Python code A.5.5 [414]

The results were compared with existing 2D and 3D geophysical and geological maps, visualization of the slab gaps or tears in the **PSP** (e.g. [622]; [311]; [588]; [582]; [266]; [280]; [127]) to highlight the geology, geophysical settings and geomorphic features of the submarine trenches in **PSB** area. Comparative analysis of the **MT** and **PT** located on the margins of the **PSB** is focused on modelling and mapping of the 2 oceanic trenches located on the margins of the basin of the **PSP**: **MT** and **PT**. The aim was to analyze and compare variability of their geomorphological shape forms.

Two segments in the southern parts of the trenches were transected by a series of the cross-section profiles. A segment of the **PT** is located south off Mindanao, a segment of **MT** – in the Challenger Deep area. Samples received through transecting were analyzed and compared. A statistical analysis shown variability in depths by samples in both trenches. **PT** has a classic symmetric bell-shape form of histograms showing depth distribution with a notable sharp increase in data frequency at the interval of -5,000 to -6,000 m (37% of the data pool). The cross-sections of the segment have an asymmetric view. Western part of the cross-sections has a smooth gradual decrease in depths at -75-40 km with a slope of 31°.

The analysis of the **PT** geomorphology by Python and R shown correlation between changes in the slope steepness and geological variables. Variations in the slope steepness correlate with sediment thickness across the trench. Variations in the landform are explained by a combination of factors: geology, tectonics, increasing depths in bathymetry, caused by the tectonic slab dynamics. The results obtained

by different statistical approaches performed using R and Python libraries are analyzed and integrated in order to provide a more detailed understanding of the character of the geomorphic structure of the PT. The cross-sectional profiles give the insight to the variations in the geomorphological shape of the PT and archipelago (Fig. 4.12.1). The extreme depths values in the data set are notable for the following profiles: profile Nr. 12 (max depth: -9.700 meters), profile Nr. 13 (max depth: -9.600 m), profile Nr. 14 (max depth: -9.400 m), profile Nr. 18 (max depth: -9.450 m). All these profiles are cross sectioning the PT in its central part where its geomorphic pattern can be characterized from steep slope (profiles Nr. 12 and 13, see Fig. 4.12.5) to very steep slopes (profiles 14 and 18). Other profiles have depths not exceeding 9.000 m.

Figure 4.12.3: Philippine Trench: samples distribution by tectonic plates. Plotting: Python, and R [414]

The strip plots (Fig. 4.12.2) comprise two visualized relationship types of the data distribution, namely by seven types of the underlie geology with code given and explained in Table 1 (Fig. 4.12.2, A) and two tectonic plates: Sunda Plate and Philippine Plate (Fig. 4.12.2, B). The distribution of the samples across the two tectonic plates is uneven (Fig. 4.12.2, A). The Philippine Plate comprise more samples located in the Philippine Sea eastwards from the PT. The maximal sampling can be seen on the profiles 21, 22 and 23 in the southern part of the cross-sectioning (as shown on Map 3.6.2) with 765, 651 and 652 taken samples, respectively. This is explained by the complex submarine landforms in the western part of the Philippine Sea (Fig. 4.12.1, the cross section profiles). Profiles 1–4 demonstrate (Fig. 4.12.2, A) significantly lower density of the observation samples not exceeding 200. This part of the section is located in the northern part of the PT and is characterized gentle landforms of the submarine geomorphology.

As can be seen from the Fig. 4.12.2 (B), the Precambrian (shield) rocks (P) are only present in profiles 5, 7, 24 and 19 and do not exist in the sample sets of other profiles. South tended data distribution is characterized for lithology types basic-ultrabasic plutonic rocks (Pb) where data are recorded for the profiles Nr. 12, 18–19, 21, 23–25, that is on the southern part of the Philippine archipelago. The metamorphic rocks (M) are noted across the profiles 23–25, 4, 6, 15–16, that is their distribution is more evenly

spread across the study area. In this dissertation, data with complex lithology of Mesozoic, Jurassic and Cretaceous origin (C) and Metamorphic rocks (M) have comparable spatial heterogeneity with observation records not overcoming 80 sample observation points. On the contrary, basic volcanic rocks of the Cenozoic volcanic formations (Vb) have significantly higher values reaching maximal values at profiles 18, 17 and 15 having values of 120, 131 and 141 registered samples, respectively.

Mixed sedimentary consolidated rocks of Cenozoic formation (Smi) had the highest detected values at profile 14 with 106 recorded points following by profile 8 (95 recorded samples). Finally, alluvial deposits of the Quaternary have the highest data density recorded at profiles 16 (58 samples), following below by profile 13 and 15 (27 and 21 samples, respectively), that is the central part of the Philippines crossing the archipelago in the shelf area (Fig. 4.12.2, B). The distribution of the sample points across the PT has four distinct groups with the increased values caused by the steep pattern in the geomorphology (Fig. 4.12.3, B): first group include profiles Nr. 5, 6, and 7 (northern part of the PT crossing further Manila Trench). Second group comprises a group of profiles Nr. 13, 14 and 15: central transect of the PT moving westwards towards the Negros Trench. Third part includes two profiles Nr. 17 and 18. Finally, fourth part includes profiles Nr. 21, 22, 23, 24, that is a southern part of the PT where the transects move further crossing Sulu Trench and Cotabato Trench on the block of Sunda tectonic plate.

Figure 4.12.4: Philippine Trench: correlation matrix of variables (Spearman method), Plotting: R [414]

The opposite distribution is for the Sunda Plate (Fig. 4.12.3, A): profiles 1-4 (northern Sunda) have increased values, followed by the 2nd group of profiles (8-12), then 3rd group (profiles 16-17 and 19-20) and profile Nr. 25 with max value for the Sunda Plate. The sediment thickness has a relatively even distribution for all the profiles, which is described by [238] who pointed that the sedimentation in the PT is not significantly high to fill in the axis along the trench. However, the exception have profiles Nr. 2 and 3 with a distinct rise in the sedimentation volume caused by geologic conditions of the sedimentation in PT [355] (Fig. 4.12.3, D). SE part of the trench (profiles 17-21) has a distinct steeper geomorphic shape (Fig. 4.12.3, C) which is caused by complex relationship between tectonic slabs and geological settings.

Fitted model of the matrix shows (Fig. 4.12.4) variables representing correlation between the elements

of the trench system: elevation as topographic and bathymetric samplings (max/min, mean depth values and heights), sediment thickness, number of samples across tectonic plates (Sunda Plate and Philippine Plate), geomorphic elements of slope across the trench (tangent°, slope aspect), types of the geology (rock lithology). Absolute coordinates were excluded as a factor to remove the effect of the location. In total 15 variables were tested by attribute classes: geology: 7, geomorphology: 2, sedimentation: 1, tectonics: 2 and bathymetry: 3. Computed matrix visualizing impact factors is shown on Fig. 4.12.4. The effects of the variations in the slope° were tested by computed tangent° for the profiles (Fig. 4.12.5 plot 1) and repeated the analysis by ranking profiles according to the slope steepness (Fig. 4.12.5 plot 2). Grouping profiles by slopes was performed by dividing the range into 5 classes: strong slope, very strong slope, steep slope, very steep slope, extreme slope.

Figure 4.12.5: Philippine Trench: ranking geomorphology of the cross-section profiles. Plotting: R [414]

The results highlight geomorphic variation and complex characteristics of the impact factors of geological origin affecting the trench. Location on various tectonic plates along with geological factors (sediment thickness) and lithology contributes in geomorphic shaping of the actual form of the PT. Cross-sectional transects were taken in the southern regions, through key features such as deep valley, slopes, moraines, PSB basin, and adjacent shelf area. The datasets were combined with multi-source grids explained in detailed 'Data and Materials'.

4.13 Manila Trench

The results of the geomorphological modelling and mapping of the MnT (Map 4.13.1) shown spatial variation in the different parts of the trench [406]. The characterization of the seafloor geology was performed by analyzing the trench and tectonic slabs location and areas of the submarine volcanoes. The profile transects were compared and differences in the geomorphic shape in southern and northern parts of the trench were revealed: southern part has steeper slope gradient on the oceanward part; northern part is steeper on the continental slope part. The submarine terraces are located on the northern segment of the trench at depths -2,000 m.

Figure 4.13.1: Manila Trench: transect of the digitized cross-section profilesh

Depth and geomorphology of the slope vary for the range -3,500 to -4,500 m: minimal values for the northern part of the trench with 526 samples (18,2%) for the depths -4,000 to -4,200 m. The histogram for the northern part has bimodal distribution with two peaks. Southern part shows 142 values for the minimal values - 3,500 to -3,400 m [406]. The statistical comparison of the data proved northern part of the trench to be deeper. The GMT functionality shown in this paper enabled integration and interpretation of the multi-source data and approaches: automatically digitized profiles, geological mapping, 2D and 3D bathymetric modelling, statistical analysis, mathematical approximation for the trend modelling.

Two different trench segments were analyzed aimed at detecting their spatial changes for an area covering MnT and adjusting Luzon Island (northern Philippines). The south-east directed second segment (plotted as green line on the Map 4.13.1) shown steeper slopes on the oceanward side of the trench and deeper bathymetric records. On the contrary, northern segment (plotted as almost vertical yellow-colored line on Map 4.13.1) demonstrated softer geomorphic shapes yet steeper gradient from the Luzon Island side [406]. The fine-resolution data such as SRTM grid was used to map bathymetry and topography of the study area and 1- min resolution from the gravity data (Jason-1, CryoSat).

The statistical results (Fig. 4.13.2) show differences in the depth ranges of the MnT along the Luzon island. The depth and geomorphology of the slope vary significantly for the depths range -3,500 to -4,500: minimal values are notable for the northern part of the trench where 526 observation points (18,2%) are

recorded for the depths -4,000 to -4,200 m, as shown on Fig. 4.13.2 A [406].

Map 4.13.1: Manila Trench: two segments of the digitized cross-section profiles

The histogram for the northern part has a clear bimodal distribution with two peaks. The second peak shows areas crossing the Luzon island. On the contrary, S part demonstrates 142 values for the minimal bathymetry with values -3,500 to -3400 m; the second peak also shows areas of the Luzon Island. The comparison of the statistical data analysis clearly shows that the N part of the trench is deeper.

The southern part of the trench has steeper slope gradient from the oceanward part and on the contrary, the northern part is steeper in the continental slope part (Fig. 4.13.1). Submarine terraces can be noted on the N segment of the trench (Fig. 4.13.1) at depths -2,000 m. Generally, model of the N part shows more steep degree of the oceanward slope (pairwise comparing on Fig. 4.13.1). However, a gradually slanting terrace on the S part of the trench can be seen on the fragment 50-100 of the cross-section with depths from -1,100 to -1,300 m [406]. Hence, the comparison between two segments of the trench located on the N and SE part of the trench show that N segment has shallower values of depths comparing to the S, but more steep slope on the Luzon Island side.

As for the geophysical modelling, based on the patterns of the free-air gravity map of the MnT (Fig. 2.12.3), high density materials are distributed continuously from the N the S Taiwan vertically southwards to the Luzon Island, the Philippines from -50 mGal to -200 mGal. The majority of the values in the South China Sea areas lie between the 10 to 30 mGal, while values >60 mGal can be noted in the island areas and southern Philippines [404]. The results of the geomorphological modelling and mapping of the MnT highlighted underlying tectonics conditions where the collision of two tectonic plates causes instability: repetitive earthquakes, submarine volcanism and seismicity.

Histograms of the bathymetry, Manila Trench: northern (A) and southern (B) parts

Figure 4.13.2: Manila Trench: histograms of the bathymetry

Strong topographical isolation and complex mix of water masses distinct trenches from other oceanic regions. Difficulties to study deep-sea life and habitats zone (6000-11,000 m) that presents the last great frontier in marine science are caused by remote location. Studies on marine biology are currently limited to the bathyal (200-3000 m) and abyssal depths (3000-6000 m), [269]. Specifics of the benthic biology consists in the geomorphic shape of the trench: despite representing 1-2% of the global benthic area, hadal zone constitute the deepest 45% area of the vertical depth gradient [287].

Consequently, the communities of the **MnT** represent spatially isolated environments, highly distinct from the shallower areas. It present a cluster of deep habitats that include features of the continental slopes, abyssal plains and unique properties of the hadal geomorphology affecting biological aspects. Hadal fauna survive on the fringes of two extremes caused by the hydrostatic pressure and remoteness from the surface-derived food supply [286]. The interconnectivity of the factors affecting hadal ecosystems is described by [751], who reviewed various aspects of the hadal biogeochemistry: the effect of food supply on hadal ecosystems, hadal carbon cycle in the sub-seafloor under high hydrostatic pressure and pollution in the hadal trenches.

4.14 Ryukyu Trench

The statistical results (Fig. 4.14.2) on the modelling RT show certain differences in the depth ranges of the RT along the Ryukyu island arc. The depth and geometry of the slope vary considerably: maximal values of -5,500 to -5,700 m are notable for the northern part of the trench where 334 observation points are recorded, as shown on Fig. 4.14.2 (A) [392]. With a minor difference, southern part demonstrates comparable values (329 samples) for the same depth range.

Map 4.14.1: Ryukyu trench: cross-section profiles of the southern and northern segments

However, more significant differences can be seen for the depths >6,000 m. Thus, the southern part

of the trench (shown on Fig. 4.14.2 (B)) has only few values (9 samples) with depths >6,800m while the northern part shows 41, 59 and 23 records, with a maximal values reaching -7,460 m. Moderate to shallow depths with ranges from -3,000 to -5,000 m are comparable for both parts of the trench with values not exceeding 68 samples for the northern part and 42 samples for the southern, respectively [392].

The forearc basin deeper than 2,000 m and shallower than 1,000 m BSL shows more samples data in the northern part of the trench than in the south. In contrast, a wide terrace with depths shallower than 25 m is generally larger (161 samples) in the southern part of the trench [392]. To conclude, the comparison between the two spatially different segments of the trench show that northern part of the trench has deeper depths comparing to the southern. This can also be noted on Fig. 2.13.1 where the deepest values of the central part are seen in the deep area extending seaward offshore from the Miyako Fault (Fig. 2.13.2).

Figure 4.14.1: Ryukyu Trench: models of the slope gradient trends for the profile curves

As can be noticed on the comparison of Fig. 4.14.1 A and Fig. 4.14.1 B, the median stacked profile on the southern part (Fig. 4.14.1 A) demonstrates slightly more gentle slope shape with submarine terraces, while there are no clear on northern part of the forearc slope (Fig. 4.14.1 B). At the same time the depth of the forearc slope increases in the northern segment of the trench (Fig. 4.14.1 B). These changes of the slope geomorphology are also highlighted by the contour of the increased marine free-air gravity anomaly, as a triangle shaped island located at 126°E 24°N (Fig. 2.13.4) [392].

Geometric approximation of the trend curves (Fig. 4.14.1) shows similarity between the segments while applying coarse linear model (Fig. 4.14.1: E and J; Fig. 4.14.1: D and I) [392]. Specifically, it shows modelling trend curves for general geomorphological transects and slope gradients using various mathematical approximations. However, the differences in the geomorphic variations become more notable at the polynomial functions (Fig. 4.14.1: C and H; Fig. 4.14.1: B and G) [392].

Histograms of the bathymetry, Ryukyu Trench: northern (A) and southern (B) parts

Figure 4.14.2: Ryukyu Trench: histograms of frequency of the depth distribution

The geoid model shows geoidal undulation in the south-western part of the area east off Taiwan Island (Fig. 2.13.3). Generally, the major part of the area has geoid values range of 25 to 35 mGal. The increased values (>35 to 85 mGal) can be seen on the south-eastern part in the Philippine Sea area, while lower values (15-25 mGal) bordering continental shelf stretch along the East China Sea and the lowest (<15 m Gal) mainly occupy land areas.

Various studies have been undertaking to analyze the complexity of the system of deep ocean trenches and reveal possible impact factors (recent studies [624], [522], [761], [175], [269], [101], [69], [225]. Current analysis of the trench geomorphology and variability of the steepness indicate the importance of geological factors. Complex tectonic and geomorphic features of the trench was reviewed using a set of cross-section profiles with local geologic settings located in four tectonic plates. The results demonstrated dependences between the geomorphic factors and geology (slope angles and sediment thickness, respectively) on the profile morphology.

4.15 Palau Trench

The results of the data analysis demonstrated spatial geomorphic unevenness of the PT. The information and conceptual framework for the sediment thickness of the World Ocean published by [134] was used in this research. Ranking geologic data has a step in geomorphic analysis where the levels of the slope degree are determined by the geospatial impact. Therefore, geomorphic variations typically necessitate an additional sub-task of the geological analysis of the study area.

Figure 4.15.1: Yap and Palau trenches: geomorphological modelling of the profiles [412]

Data distribution for the PT has remarkable pattern: the amount of depths in range of -5000 m to -4000 m is large (737 samples at PT). The same situation can be seen for the depth range at -5000 m to -6000 m: PT has 152 samples. In general, PT has more gentle slope both landwards and oceanwards. Topographic profiles of the PT axis has U-shape. PT has the majority of values higher and/or below -6000 m. PT has more gentle geomorphic slope land- and oceanwards. Contours of the free-air gravity anomalies (Fig. 2.14.2) in general reflect bathymetric isolines (Fig. 2.15.1). Comparing to the neighboring Mariana Trench, gravity field of the Yap and PT are narrower (purple colored strip on the map).

Free-air gravity values reach positive above 100 to 500 mGal (red color) mostly over the area of Philippine Islands and small islands of the archipelago. Positive 70 to 100 mGal are notable over the shelf areas (orange color on the map). Gravity over the basin of the Philippine Sea generally fluctuates between -20 to 0 mGal range (light blue) to 020 mGal (cyan). The characteristics of the gravity field mirrors correlation between the gravity anomaly and geoid undulation as determined by [229] for gravity anomalies from a grid of geoid undulations. The geoid model (Fig. 2.15.2) visualizes subdued isolines in the Yap and PT.

Figure 4.15.2: Yap and Palau trenches: statistical histograms on bathymetry [412]

Trench formation is a very complex process that is known to be influenced by a variety of geological and tectonic factors. These may not adequately explain the process of trench deep formation, its further development and what is the impact in a variety of factors affecting hadal structure of the ocean trenches. Studies of the oceanic trenches based on the profiles cross-section have been undertaken in the past, e.g. [562], yet based on technical methods of that time. Until now, little attempts has been available on application of shell scripting towards modelling ocean trenches in marine geology, and this research contributed to this question.

4.16 Yap Trench

According to the statistical analysis (Fig. 4.15.2), the highest values for **YT** are from - 4000 m to -4200 m (278 samples) with 19% and 17% of the total data pool, respectively. For the depths over -5000 m, the model of two trenches significantly changes: decreases for the **PT** more clearly.

Figure 4.16.1: Yap trench: trend model of the slope gradient direction [412]

Data distribution for the **YT** (605 samples): depth range at -5000 m to -6000 m: **YT** has in total only 53 samples. In general, **YT** has steeper slopes and generally deeper sample observation records. **YT** has more deep values within range of -7000 m – -6000 m: 68 samples, while **PT** has only 34

samples for the same depths diapason. That means that **YT** has dominating depths at deeper values. In general, **YT** has steeper slopes and generally deeper sample observation records. Bare bottom of **YT** may result from the thin sediment cover of the axis which proves correlation between the factors (geology, geomorphology, geophysics, tectonics, sedimentation) affecting trench structure and dynamics [761]; [433]; [316]. Topographic profiles of the **YT** axis have very sharp V-shape. V-shaped trench generally indicates bare bottom of the **YT** with very thin sediment cover of the axis.

Map 4.16.1: Yap and Palau trenches: digitized cross-section profiles [412]

YT has steeper slopes and deeper records. Topographic profiles of the axis of the **YT** have sharp V-shape. **YT** reaches -7000 m in its median curve of the profiles, while the **PT** only -6200 m, that is the **PT** is shallower. Second, **YT** has distinctly more abrupt slope and steep gradient of the profiles oceanward (Fig. 4.15.1, B) comparing to the **PT** (Fig. 4.15.1, A). [332] earlier pointed that extensive slope failure is occurring on the landward slope of the **PT** from which great amount of mass is wasted to the trench axis. Hence, if surface sediments or rocks are collapsed into the trench axis, the V-shaped bottom will be gradually buried to form a flat basin, unless subduction or the tectonic erosion proceeds. Submarine sediment avalanches occur easily and frequently, when dipping angles of the lower landward slope partly exceed slope failure limit.

Map 4.16.2: Yap and Palau trenches: 3D mesh modelling [412]

With this in view, the comparison of the graduate slopes is important (example for **YT**: Fig. 4.16.1). This can contribute to the explanation of the differences between two trenches in the geomorphic shape: as we can notice, inner slopes on the **YT** are more steep and abrupt (Fig. 4.15.1, B) while the **PT** (Fig. 4.15.1, A) has more gentle slope with sediment accumulation on the inner slope side. Fig. 4.15.1 shows modelled profiles of the bathymetry of the **PT** (A) and **YT** (B) trenches. The average dip of the landward slope is recognized as about 29° for the **YT** westwards 41° eastwards and while for the **PT** it is 17° westwards and 18° eastwards, respectively. The topography of the **PT** is more similar to an upside-down mound. Generally, **YT** has abrupt shape with no marine terraces identified.

4.17 Mariana Trench

Seafloor geomorphology of the **MT** and of the **PSB** were examined using high-resolution **GEBCO** and **ETOPO1** topographic and gravity data grids in **NetCDF** format, geologic vector layers and modeled profiles.

Map 4.17.1: Cross-section transect profiles of the Mariana Trench

Profiles are visualized on Fig. 4.17.1. The statistical histograms for transects bordering the **PSB** are visualized on Fig. 4.17.1. The shape of the histogram varies by two trenches reflecting their geomorphol-

ogy. The statistics on topography and interpolation approaches are shown on Fig. 4.17.1. Histograms for the MT demonstrated bimodal peak for depths -5,000– -3,000 m with samples <10%. In this interval, the 1st peak is covering -4,500 to -5,000 m BSL and the 2nd one is for the -3,800 to -3,000 m. Gradual decrease in data samples >5,000 m indicate a uniform way of data distribution for the depths range of -5,000– -6,500 frequency 4–2%, 285 samples. Depths at -6,200– -10,800 m have a frequency <2%. Shallower areas with depths >3000 m and <1,500 m BSL have frequency <4%, 400 samples.

Figure 4.17.1: Histograms on depths frequency: Mariana and Philippine trenches

Gradient slopes of the trenches have markedly symmetric accurate 'V'-shape form in their deepest parts. Automatic digitizing of the perpendicular transects cross-sectioning MT and PT, demonstrated that MT has steeper gradient slope on the northern (Fig. 4.17.1, below) flank on the archipelago's side (Fig. 4.17.1). Slope steepness on the left flank has a $33,10^\circ$ at 0-15 km from the center from the vertical plummet. A segment on 15-25 km has a steepness of 45° , segment of 25-40 km – $30,75^\circ$, a segment of 40-50 km – 28° . On the right flank of the trench its gradient steepness varies as follows. The segment at distance 0-28 km has a steepness of $40,5^\circ$ from the vertical plummet, 28-37 km from the start point has a $43,33^\circ$ of steepness. It then becomes gradually becomes gentles to $30,43^\circ$ until 50th km. The segment at 50-90 km consists in the arc-shape gradual increase of the elevation which stabilizes after 100 km <-4000 BSL (Fig. 4.17.1).

The relief surrounding left flank slope of the trench presents uneven submarine terrain comparing to

its right flank with more complex submarine relief and to the PT which presents a more accurate and steep in its shape form. Results on geomorphic modelling of the MT profiles revealed that the major depth samples of the trench are between -3000 – -5000 m (Fig. 4.17.2). Maximal depths reach up to -10,000 in the current dataset: profiles 20, 21, 22 crossing mostly PSP (Fig. 4.17.2). Decrease of depth is in profiles 23, 24, 25, crossing Caroline Plate. Profiles Nr. 23 and 24 have the deepest depth data range, [427]. Conversely, bathymetric profiles from 1 to 16 have gradual decrease in depths, which can be noted in outliers sample location. A slight increase in depths of the profiles Nr. 4-8 was also observed of the Fig. 4.17.2.

Figure 4.17.2: Mariana Trench: graph of the 25 bathymetric profiles, QGIS [415]

Changes in bathymetry may be explained by changed geological settings. Nevertheless, sediment thickness changes notably both within the trench by profiles and between tectonic plates. Since tectonic settings are not identical, the comparative analysis of data variations across tectonic plates was performed. The majority of samples of the profiles crosses Pacific Plate and Philippine Sea Plate, following my Mariana Plate, while Caroline Plate only covering a few points. Density distribution enables to compare overlapping and maximal aptitude of the density curves for the profiles and depth distribution by tectonic plates. Major trend of the trench gradient on the Pacific Plate has downward general line. The Philippine Plate, has a minimal peak by profiles #14-21, then moving upwards. The highest values are for the Caroline Plate. Consequently, Mariana Plate has the highest density of depth distribution values, followed by the Philippine plate, then Pacific and Caroline, respectively.

From two multiple panel by groups (Fig. 3.8.7 and 3.8.8) one can compare slope angles and depth distribution by tectonic plates, and patterns of these categories by plates. Summaries of variations of the local polynomial regression of the bathymetric depths of the measured samples are presented on Fig. 4 in [415]. Upon a close examination of these summaries it can be observed that, with a mean depth value ranging from 5000 to of 3000 on the profiles, the widths of the confidence intervals are expanding more rapidly by the profiles 12 to 15 and 19 to 22 thus indicating on the large amplitude of the depths variations in this part of the MT. The profile depth is being affected by local geographic settings caused by the location on the tectonic plates with varying geological conditions. On the other hand, profiles 22 to 25 crossing Caroline Plate [415] suggests that absolute depth in this region change to become shallower than profiles crossing Philippine and Pacific Plates. This phenomenon has adverse implications for deep inter-connections between these factors.

Marine free-air gravity anomaly in the PSP area shows (Fig. 2.11.4) higher values (> 80 mGal) of the gravity fields structure at the volcanic areas and Philippine archipelago. Geometric differences between trench shapes are explained by the context of the historic development and actual geomorphic

and sedimentary processes affected their formation and structure. Current study presented comparative submarine geomorphic mapping and complex analysis of the geophysical and geological settings in the Philippine Sea basin area.

Bathymetric variations Data distribution are presented on Fig. 4.17.3. By profiles they ranged from -10.600m (maximal depth in this data set) to -3150m (the shallowest point in the data set). The maximum depths in the profiles were found in profiles Nr. 20, 21, 19, 14 (Fig. 3, right, sorted bathymetry), and the minimal depths (i.e. the shallowest) consist the first group, that is profiles 24, 23, 22 and 10 (Fig. 3, right, sorted bathymetry). The deepest part of the trench is located in the SW and central segments where the trench crosses Philippine and Pacific Plates. Moderate depths correlate with Mariana Plate and the majority of the Philippine Sea Plate. The depths ranges detected across the profiles of present study are also higher in southern part of the trench than in northern segment (Fig. 4.17.3). Central part of the MT has variations in depth: the increasing depths towards S part were the depths are higher than in the N segments. By comparing the profiles Nr. 3, 4, 9, 12 from the Pandas array (Fig. 4.17.3, colored green) with profiles Nr. 18, 16, 15, 13 (orange on Fig. 4.17.3), the depths increase reaching its maximal depths in the following group (Profiles Nr. 20, 21, 19, 14, group blue, Fig. 4.17.3, right).

Figure 4.17.3: Mariana Trench. Data distribution analysis: maximal depths by profiles, Python [415]

This step identified variations in the steepness in various segments of the trench. Slope steepness and depths were analyzed by libraries Matplotlib, Seaborn and Bokeh. The steepest part of the trench is located in NW, C and SW segments (profiles Nr. 3, 7, 9, 23, 24) where trench crosses Philippine and Pacific plates. This segment has the largest local depths and sharp abrupt changes. The highest depth gradient is at profile Nr. 21 at the distance of 10.600 m BSL. Middle moderate slope° (Profiles Nr. 1, 11, 4, 5, 10) correlate with areas where trench crosses Mariana Plate. Fig. 4.17.3 shows maximal depths by profiles, each profile has only one dot point on the plot, Fig. 4.17.2 illustrates the cross-section profiles. Profiles 19, 20, 21 and 22 had even geomorphic shape that decreased abruptly after sample 200. The unevenness of the profiles is explained by changed geologic conditions and tectonic plate (Philippine Sea to the Pacific, Mariana to the Philippine Plate). The groups of profiles 23, 24 and 25, (Fig. 9F, [415]), have similar geomorphic shapes: the first half of the profiles is relatively even while the S part is particular for the increased depths. For better visualization, profiles are colored individually with the shared X axes (Fig. 9, [415]).

Geomorphic segments of the Mariana Trench have relatively balanced elevations from -7.600 m (profile Nr. 11), -7.750 m (profile Nr. 4), -7.800 m (profile Nr. 5), -7.750 m (profile Nr. 10), to -6.200 m (profile Nr. 1). Depths ranges are shown on Fig. 10 [415] (jitter plot). The profiles with the least slope degree (21, 22, 18, 20) are located in the S segments where trench crosses Caroline Plate. The depth

values >-9600 m BSL prevails on the bathymetric model for the deepest depth range, representing depths of the very steep slopes of the trench. Sediment sources are connected with trench tectonics where values of the sediment thickness are 140-120 decreasing to 50 mm. Sedimentation differs significantly by plates, due to the complex of the sediment processes and mechanisms. Among other are turbidity caused by gravity sliding, biochemical deposits, closeness of seismic zones, igneous volcanic areas. Besides, due to the funnelling effect, trench sediment deposits speed is faster and thickness is greater than abyssal basins.

Figure 4.17.4: Mariana Trench. Correlation, k-means Clustering and Data Grouping, R [422]

Correlation and k-means clustering *k*-means algorithm shows groups across all profiles, with the number of groups represented by variable. Several clusters were tested (2 to 7 (Fig. 4.17.5), optimal number is 5: cluster circle contained the number of samples and overlapping was minimal (Fig 4.17.5). Correlation matrix is presented on Fig. 16 ([422]) showing crossing in the combination of factors. Comparison of the bi-factor shows pairwise correlation (Fig. 17, [422]). Comparative analysis (Fig. 4.17.5) enabled to observe a marked influence on variables as bi-factors. In response to the decreasing sediment thickness the slope° goes in parallel; location of the volcanic igneous areas cause a cyclic repetition of the curve for the slope°. Igneous volcanic areas have correlation with the slope° and aspect°. Variable factors have distinct effect on the trench geomorphology: slope angle, tectonic plates, sediment thickness, aspect° and volcanic igneous areas, geographic location.

Data partition and ranking Analysis of the angle steepness of the cross-section profiles along the trench revealed (Fig. 3.8.13) that cross-section profiles form cluster groups with similar geomorphic

properties divided into 5 groups. 1st group: profiles 21, 22, 18 and 20, 'strong slope' tg° , ca. 0.05. 2nd group: profiles: 15, 19, 16, 17, 14, 2, class 'very strong slope', tg° angle of 0.057 to 0.058. 3rd group, 'extreme slope', profiles: 1, 11, 4, 5, 10 and 13, the average slope tg° , 0.060-0.070. 4th group, 'steep slope', profiles: 25, 12, 6, 8, 3, slope tg° , 0.070-0.075. 5th group, highest steepness, profiles: 9, 7, 23, 24, with average slope tg° up to 0.079. Although steepness is related to the slab subduction in this particular area, the nature of the slope expansion may be associated with other factors, e.g. topography, volcanism and location. The data grouping has been created using libraries {tidyverse} and {ggsignif} for reordering and normalization of the steepness angle (Fig. 3.8.13). Additional Chinese fonts (Fig. 4.17.5) were activated through libraries {extrafont} and {extrafontdb}

Figure 4.17.5: Results of the k -means clustering of the Mariana Trench with different k -values, R [422]

5 classes were grouped: strong slope, very strong slope, extreme slope, steep slope, very steep slope. They show trench steepness according to the results of the cluster analysis. General trend has a scope of 0.03 (ca. 0.05-0.08) of the profiles steepness. Re-classification of the slope $^\circ$ across the trench and statistical normalization have crucial role in the analysis of the slope. Profiles nr. 23 and 24 have the highest slope steepness, located on the Philippine Plate. 2 large classes of the normalized degree angles were observed: 1st below average $^\circ$ (profiles nr. 1, 2, 11, 14-19, 21-22) and 2nd above average $^\circ$ (all the rest). Steepness was shaped by the components of the igneous volcanic areas: profiles # 20, 22, 23, 24 with notable amount of igneous volcanic observation points (>180) correlate with steepness $^\circ$. 5 classes of the slope steepness are selected due to the changed geomorphic properties. Circular bar plots enabled

to visualize depth distribution and slope angle values using R scripts, [422].

Kernel density curves Mariana Plate has the highest KDE of depth distribution values, followed by the Philippine Plate, then Pacific and Caroline, respectively. KDE shown in a combined plot enabling to compare the overlapping and maximal aptitude of the density curves for the profiles and depth distribution by tectonic plates. Major trend of the trench^o located on the Pacific Plate has downward general line trend. Philippine Plate has a minimal peak by profiles #14-21 then moving upwards. The highest value for Caroline Plate has profile #23, while maximal level for the Mariana Plate has profile #7. Philippine Plate, has a minimal peak by profiles #14-21 then moving upwards. The highest value for the Caroline Plate has profile #23, while maximal level for Mariana Plate has profile #7.

Composition charts (Fig. 3.8.7), show comparison of the slope^o by profiles. Basic concepts include interrelation of various factors (geological structure, bathymetric patterns, tectonic plates location and subduction, spreading, transform fault, depths) affecting trench geomorphology [403]. Comparison of the properties of the oceanic crust and ophiolite sections, sediment thickness and depth across profiles enabled to make a better understanding of the ties among geospatial factors influencing trench geomorphology. Tectonic plates, geometry (tg^o), sediment thickness, geology (volcanic areas, earthquakes), tectonics play crucial role in the geomorphology of the trench. Other factors include slope steepness^o, angle aspect, bathymetry (depth at basement), means, median and minimal values, geographic location. A hierarchical treemap of impact factors generated using R code: A.6.39 is presented by code [433].

Mariana Trench is important integral feature of active continental margins in west Pacific Ocean. Its mainland and oceanward slopes have complicated steps of various shapes and sizes, caused by active tectonic and sedimentation. The steepness of the trench slopes averages in 4-5^o. Tectonic plates have more steep^o with average slopes of 10 to 15^o, but their individual parts can be limited to steeper slopes as subjects to the gravitational flow system of the submarine canyons and valleys. Complex distribution of various geologic material on the adjacent abyssal plains contributes to the formation of the geomorphic features of the ocean bottom in the trench. Slope degree and amplitude contribute to sediment thickness, aspect^o has lesser effect.

Spatial variations in trench morphology Quantified similarities in data distribution were assessed by correlation matrices and cluster analysis. Large gradients in variability occur within and among N and S part of the trench and in the E curve of its shape directed towards transform lines near Magellan Seamounts and Marcus-Wake seamount. Geomorphology of the trench is dominated by following factors: closeness of the submarine volcanic areas, location of Philippine and Mariana tectonic plates, sediment thickness. Middle part of the trench (profiles: 14 up to 17), has very strong slopes, roughly equal proportions of sediment thickness. Variations in sediment thickness by profiles were defined as indirectly impacted from the changed slope^o that gives conditions for sediment accumulations. If a certain segment of the trench is located near the active volcanic area, sedimentation rates increase. Profiles Nr. 1, 24, 25, 23 are notable for high sediment thickness on the Philippine Plate, profiles Nr. 2, 4, 6, 8 have the highest values for the Mariana Trench, profiles Nr. 3, 5, 8, 6 have the highest values of the sediment thickness for the Pacific Plate. Profiles located on the Caroline plate have the least values with only few samples. Five unique regions were compared, and impact factors affecting morphology analyzed by means of the statistical analysis.

4.18 Izu-Bonin Trench

Cross-sectioned profiles are visualized as two segments of the IBT (Fig. 3.3.14). The results of the modelling demonstrated that southern segment of the trench is deeper and has more accurate V-form with a steeper gradient slope on both slopes (Fig. 4.18.1, B), [405]. Northern part of the trench (Fig. 4.18.1, A) has asymmetric shape of the slope with notable submarine terrace on the western part and more straight shape on the oceanward side (Fig. 4.18.1, A), [405].

Map 4.18.1: Izu-Bonin Trench: modeled graph of the cross-section profiles in two segments

Furthermore, southern segment of the IBT has Bonin Ridge distinguishable on the graph. Comparison of the oceanward flank of the both segments of the IBT show similar geomorphic shape but seamounts on the northern part (Fig. 4.18.1). The 3D model of the Izu-Bonin shows (Fig. 4.18.2) seamounts (exaggerated for the better visual effects) on the eastern part of the model. IBT is colored as deep-purple color with a general profile view on the grey draping border.

Statistical analysis of the two segments shows (Fig. 4.18.3) following results. For the N segment (Fig. 4.18.3, A) that for the most illustrative range of depths (that is from -5,000 to -6,000m), the N segments shows in total 1,037 recorded samples (304, 407, 248 and 78), while S segments shows (Fig. 4.18.3, B) shows in total 931 samples (523, 304, 77 and 27). Furthermore, the histogram for the S segment has clear bimodal distribution with two peaks: 523 samples taking 20% shows the most frequent depth values for the S segment that lie in the range of -5,800 to -6,000m. The 2nd peak takes almost 10% of the data pool correlating with the Bonin Ridge location, [405].

These are the data on the range from -4,200 to -3,800 m. For the N segment, the most repetitive samples (407 values, 13,5%) are taken by the depths from -5,600 to -5,800 m, followed by depths in range -5,800 to -6,000 m (304 samples with 10%). In the N segment, the data distribution has more samples located on the shallow areas (146 recorded observations) pointing at the Izu-Bonin Arc, while

for the S segment there are no data recorded in this bathymetric range, [405]. That means that S segment has more V-shape trench cross-section profile which is notable on Fig. 4.18.1.

Izu-Bonin Trench: 3D composite mesh model

Overlay of the topographic 3D on top of the 2D geoid contour plot

Data: ETOPO 5 arc min grid, World Geoid Image 9.2 5 min grid

Map 4.18.2: Izu-Bonin Trench: 3D mesh model map

Before now, oceanic trenches were thought to have strongly restricted life activities, which is caused by the extreme conditions that delimit vertical constrains in distinct bathymetric strata and define distribution of organisms. High hydrostatic pressure, temperature, salinity, oxygen, scarcity of food, submarine volcanism, tectonic plate subduction, lack of UV are major factors limiting presence, functioning and location of the species in the trenches. Continued studies of the biology discovered new phenomena: the biomass and abundance of fauna increase with depth in some trenches, although their species richness decrease downward [122]. Vast microbial and faunal community structure, abundance, biodiversity, level of endemism and adaptation towards extreme condition in hadal species [504]; [505].

Map 4.18.3: Izu-Bonin Trench: statistical histograms of the depth frequency

Life exists in the ocean's hadal zones at extreme depths of the Pacific Ocean, [223], [227]; [238]; [284]; [336]; [694]; [736]. The existence of the organisms at such depths strongly depends on the turbulence of the streams and motion of the submarine currents. Specific processes characteristic for the deep hadal communities were reported by [353] with a case study of *Dermacoccus abyssi* isolated from the deepest areas of the oceans for dye decolorization. Species are strongly influenced by oceanographic properties and geomorphic structure of the trench [636]. High sedimentation rates and biomass, intense microbial activity and chemosynthetic communities play crucial role in biogeochemical cycles of the trenches. Therefore, deep-sea biosphere deserves a special attention since this is a specific area of trenches where life conditions are created [510]; [361]; [303].

Deep-sea ecosystems arises interest due to their potential to serve a various range of the industrial needs [669]. Pressure within the trench increases by 1 MPa per 100 m, reaching 100 MPa in the deepest places [754]. Discovered new species of the deep-sea are *Spongiocoloides* and *Corbitella* [336]. Life at such depths requires supply of nutrients in form of chemical species, transported by the bottom water through the deep currents ventilating trenches and upbringing nutrients. It was discovered [712] that microbe communities play certain role in global carbon sequestration and carbon cycle of the trench.

4.19 Japan Trench

Since fundamental bathymetric variable is the depth, or elevation records [BSL](#) geomorphic analysis of the [GEBCO](#) datasets in the form of DEMs, was performed for computation, comparison and descriptive statistical analysis of the two segments of the [JT](#) [396]. Hence, the comparative analysis of the two parts of the a series of cross-section profiles (Fig. 4.19.1) revealed more steep slope on the northern part of the trench on the continental slope part beneath the frontal wedge of the North American tectonic plate.

Figure 4.19.1: Japan Trench: modeling geomorphic cross-section profiles

Southern part of the [JT](#) shows more gentle slope on the Honshu Island. The location of the swath profiles traversing the two segment of the [JT](#) South-West to North-East direction is shown in Fig. 4.19.1. 12 profiles were drawn for the southern segment and 14 profiles for the northern one. Highly rugged geomorphological structure of the median profiles, and altitude increase in the S-N direction can be seen on the northern part of the [JT](#) (Fig. 4.19.1). The results were interpreted based on the hypothesis that geological settings and seismicity of the area impact the trench roughness and general geomorphic shape when studying bathymetric patterns and variations by the cross-sectioning profiles of [JT](#), which is shown on the geological map (Fig. 2.18.2) with high level of the seismicity, repetitive earthquakes and volcanism along the path of the trench. Consequently, W part of the trench (Honshu Island slope) has steeper slopes comparing to the oceanward slopes for both segments, which can also be seen on the 3D model shown on Fig. 2.18.5 [396].

The statistical analysis of the most frequent bathymetric value for the northern part of the [JT](#) (Fig. 4.19.2, A) shows a range of -5300- to -5500m (683 samples recorded). As for the southern part, the most frequent depths lie within interval of -5500 to -5800 meter (531 samples detected, Fig. 4.19.2, B). Furthermore, comparing the deepest values of the bathymetry, one can notice the southern part to be slightly deeper: the deepest records up to -8000 m (8 samples are recorded) [396].

Map 4.19.1: Japan Trench: map of the cross-sectional profiles along two segments

In total, 139 samples (8+29+42+59) are located on the depths from -7000 to -8000 m in the S part of the trench (Fig. 4.19.2 B, left part of the graph). In the N part of the trench, the same depth range has 124 records (12, 59 and 53 in the deepest part of the profile, Fig. 4.19.2 A, left part of the graph). Furthermore, the values located on the depths from -4000 to -5000 meter are more frequent for the S part of the trench: 134 records in total (35+33+37+29 samples, Fig. 4.19.2, B), while N part of the trench do not overstep 100: 91 records (21+23+21+26 records, Fig. 4.19.2, A). To sum up, the S part is shallower than N part of the trench. It shows more deep values and the most frequent value is deeper than by the N segment (Fig. 4.19.2) [396].

Figure 4.19.2: Japan Trench: statistical analysis of the data distribution (histograms)

Geophysical investigation of the presented modeling of geoid (a mean sea level model) and free-air gravity anomalies reflect the density variations of the Earth in the area across the **JT** and surroundings (Fig. 2.18.3 and 2.18.4). The recorded area of the **JT** has geoid values of 0-20 mGal (Fig. 2.18.3), [396] as can be clearly seen as a light green color with dense isolines. The highest values on the geoid elevations is recorded by the Honshu Island (above 40 mGal, shown as red color on the map). The rest of the area is covered by the values in the range of 20-40 mGal [396], which shows moderate geoid undulations.

Gravity map (Fig. 2.18.4) shows density variations of the Earth's interior in the study area. Free-air model shows gravity reduction from the topographic surface to the geoid in the study area. Map of free-air gravity anomalies (Fig. 2.18.4) is defined with respect to the elevation showing values below -30 mGal in the **JT** area (visualized by deep purple color on the map). The marine areas of the Sea of Japan and the Pacific Ocean have values of up to 40 mGal of elevations. Certain areas on the Japan Islands (Honshu and Hokkaido) reach above 100 mGal (red color on the map) [396] showing high values in concern to gravity.

4.20 Puysegur Trench

PsT (Fig. 4.21.1, B: middle plot) has asymmetric V-form with steep gradient on the E slopes and more gentle slope on the W. **Hjort Trench** has a classic symmetric shape form with comparative gradients on both W and E slopes (Fig. 4.21.1, C, lower plot). The results of the comparison of the median values (red line on Fig. 4.21.1 for all the three sub-plots) of three trenches show that selected segment of the **HT** has shallower depths with maximal not exceeding -2,500 m (Fig. 4.21.1, A), while **PsT** is reaching up to -6,000 m (Fig. 4.21.1, B).

Map 4.20.1: Puysegur and Hjort trenches, Macquarie Island Arc: Digitizing cross-section profiles

The surrounding relief of the Hjort Trench presents the most uneven terrain comparing to the other trenches with the deepest values >6,000 m (Fig. 4.21.1, C). The neighboring terrain has a gentle slope on the oceanward side for **HT** and a steep slope on the E flank for **PsT**, surrounded by complex submarine relief along the Macquarie Arc (Fig. 2.20.1). **PsT** (Fig. 4.20.1, A) has a bimodal type of data distribution with two peaks. The first peak has three notable bins range -4,200 to -4,400 m (373 samples detected, data frequency 18%), range -4,000 to -4,200 (182 samples, data frequency 9,6%) and -4,400 m to -4,600

m (216 samples, data frequency 11,5%). The second peak covers depths from -2,200 to -3,000 m with the data frequency below 7,5%. The peak corresponds to the Macquarie Arc bordering the trench slope.

Figure 4.20.1: Comparative analysis on data distribution by Hikurangi, Puysegur and Hjort trenches

Hjort Trench (Fig. 4.20.1, C) has a classic bell-shape form with a clear peak of data at depths -3,200 to -3,400 m. (364 samples, 30% of data frequency). The abrupt decrease in sample values off both flanks corresponds to the steep slope of the trench W off Hjort Plateau. Spreading fabrics are eastwards off PsT, with minor ridges (Fig. 2.19.2). This geomorphology is particularly well expressed along Macquarie Arc, between $51,5^{\circ}$ and 54° with ridges formed due to the sedimentation processes. The ridges resembling elongated seamounts are on the NW side off PsT interspersed with minor troughs (Fig. 2.19.2). The average depth of the seafloor deepens abruptly (Fig. 4.21.1, A) from -5,400 (216 samples) to the -6,200 m (9 samples). Data distribution has a clear bimodal way for PsT with peaks at ranges -4,200 to -4,400 (373 samples) and at -3,200 to -3,000 m (140 samples). The histogram for Hjort Trench has a classic bell-shape form with one peak in data distribution at range -3,300 to -3,500 m (364 samples), Fig. 4.21.1, C.

4.21 Hikurangi Trench

HT proved to have more trough-like shape form in its cross-section, while **PsT** and Hjort trenches have classic V-form.

Map 4.21.1: Hikurangi Trench, North Island, New Zealand: Digitizing cross-section profiles

Whilst it serves as a terrain variable in this study to examine the geomorphic landform, variation of the geomorphic slopes were compared for the trenches and following findings noted: **HT** has a trough-like flat wide bottom, steeper gradient slope on the North Island flank (Fig. 4.21.1, A) [387]. The statistical histograms for the transecting profiles of the Hikurangi, Puysegur and Hjort trenches are visualized on Fig. 4.20.1. The evaluation of the descriptive statistics on bathymetry in three trenches and interpolation approaches shows following results. The shape of the histogram varies by all the three trenches reflecting their geomorphology.

Data distribution for the **HT** (Fig. 4.20.1, B) demonstrates almost equal pattern for depths -600 m to

-2,600 m with samples <60 for each bin (most data are detected at ca. 30-40 samples for a bin, not exceeding 4%). One can clearly see a rising increase of data with depths below 500, that is a slope of the North Island (frequency from 5% to 12%) [387]. Geomorphic curvature and distribution of the seamounts near the Puysegur, Hjort and HTes reflect the interplay between the complex tectonic processes occurring at a subducting tectonic plates and variations in the tension between the spreading ridges and the transform faults [403]. In the northwest region off Pt, GEBCO swath bathymetric data (Figures 3.3.8 and 2.19.2) enable to see rather complex submarine relief: linearly expressed ridge geomorphology, a series of small elongated seamounts, minor troughs and flat areas of the seabed.

Figure 4.21.1: Geomorphic cross-sections by Hikurangi, Puysegur and Hjort trenches

Data distribution for HT (Fig. 4.21.1, B), the bathymetry has more equalized patterns at the depths -2,500 to -600 m. Notable peak have data located on the shallow areas (shallower than -500 m) where maximal values are detected for the range -400 to -300 m (258 samples) [387]. Generally, a strong correlation of the trenches axes with borders of the three lithospheric tectonic plates (Antarctic Plate, Pacific Plate and Indo-Australian Plate) can be noted, which underpins strong correlation of the trench

geomorphology with direction of the tectonic slab lineaments, as plate movements, geologic lineaments and extend of the fracture zones in the final end lead to the formation of the trench axis through the plates motions. Local geologic settings, linear extension of the tectonic slabs, complex tectonic processes and sedimentation of the HT, PsT and Hjort trenches might explain variations in their geomorphic structure and shape of the submarine landforms.

Comparative analysis of the geophysical settings among the three oceanic trenches revealed their structural characteristics and adjacent oceanic crust of the southern Antarctic region. Visualized gravity and geologic settings add new information to the interpretation of the data covering active convergent margins and in particular, a zone of Macquarie Triple Junction in Southwest Pacific Ocean, Antarctic. HT presented unique characteristics of the seafloor as trough-shaped form. By recognizing geomorphic shape and geologic settings at the seafloor, distinctly different from Puysegur and Hjort Trenches, a gradual decrease of depths along the slope of the North Island was observed. Targeted seafloor mapping in cross-sections highlighted active seafloor processes that created unique geomorphic for the three trenches.

Comparative analysis of the submarine geomorphology of the HT (HkT), PsT and Hjort Trench (HT), located off New Zealand coasts, SW Pacific Ocean shows following findings. PsT stretching from the South Island to the Macquarie Triple Junction, Macquarie Fault Zone. HkT continues PsT southwards from the Macquarie Island and characterized by frontal subduction erosion, numerous seamounts, extensional upper plate. HjT is characterized by an oblique subduction zone [387]. Unique tectonic setting of this region consist in two subduction zones: northern (Hikurangi margin) and southern (Puysegur margin), connected by oblique continental collision along the Alpine Fault of South Island. This cause variations in the submarine geomorphic structure of the trenches. PsT/HjT subduction is highly oblique (dextral) and directed southwards. Hikurangi subduction is directed northwestwards. South Island is caught in between by the 'subduction scissor'.

Comparative histogram equalization of topographic grids (equalized, normalized, quadratic) was done by module `grdhisteq`. Automated cross-sectioning was done using `grdtrack` module. HjT has a classic symmetric shape form with comparative gradients on both W and E slopes, trough-like flat wide bottom, steeper gradient slope on the North Island flank. PsT has an asymmetric V-form with steep gradient on the eastern slopes and more gentle slope on the west. The comparison of the medians of the trenches show that selected segment of the HkT has shallower depths with maximal <- 2,500 m, whilst PsT is reaching up to -6,000 m. The deepest values >6,000 m for HjT with the steepest slope gradient comparing to Hikurangi and Puysegur trenches.

Neighboring terrain has a gentle slope on the oceanward side for HkT, and a steep slope on the eastern flank for PsT. Data distribution for the HkT demonstrates almost equal pattern for the depths from -600 m to -2,600 m with samples <60 for each sample bin. PsT has a bimodal type of data distribution with two peaks. The 1st peak has 3 notable bins range -4,200 to -4,400 m (373 samples, frequency 18%), range -4,000 to -4,200. The 2nd peak covers depths -2,200 to -3,000 m, frequency < 7,5%. The peak corresponds to the Macquarie Arc bordering trench slope. A phenomenon of the tectonic plates subduction explains the asymmetry of the trenches. Geomorphic shape and structure of HkT, PsT and HjT are affected by geologic processes and factors and vary, as demonstrated on the presented modeled profiles.

Chapter 5

Discussion

5.1 Novelty

PRECISE modelling of the deep ocean trenches has not been proposed in the existing literature. Some attempts are given [601]; [748] to aimed mostly at the environmental ocean monitoring. Modelling trenches still remains a challenging task in marine geology. In view of this, advanced methods of data analysis, modelling and precise computing by combinations of GMT, R and Python for processing geological datasets as numerical arrays are novel approaches demonstrated in this dissertation. Hence, there are both theoretical and practical innovations of the research presented in this dissertation.

- Theoretical novelty lies in the classification, systematic mapping and comparative spatial analysis, geomorphological transect modelling of the 20 deep-sea trenches of the Pacific Ocean that does not exists in the available literature. Multi-source data analysis was applied for submarine geomorphology, to study variations in the types of the submarine landforms. A combination of the geophysical visualization, geological analysis and GMT-based mapping of the 20 deep-sea trenches along the Pacific Ocean margins does not present in the existing works so far.
- Practical novelty consists in the developed and tested methodology of the cross-disciplinary approach that includes a sequence of the GMT and IT tools (programming languages Python, R, Matlab, AWK) for data processing and complex statistical analysis based on the embedded mathematical algorithms.
- Technical novelty consists in the presented novel methodology of modelling geomorphology of the trenches. Developed and presented in this dissertation, the algorithms is based on GMT. It considers three principal variables: length of the transect segment, distance between the samples and density of sampling. Variables were selected for script, shaped current cross-section shapes of the landforms and defined curvatures of the modeled transects. Visualized graphs are based on numerical model through systematic digitizing of the profiles for each trench.

It should be stressed that a GMT shell scripting based mapping was applied for geospatial modelling and cartographic mapping, instead of the traditional GIS (e.g. Idrisi GIS [435], [473]; ENVI GIS [436], [479]; ILWIS GIS [445], [474], [481], [483]; eCognition [475]; OBIA technique of image processing [446]; ArcGIS [437], [326], [438], [439]; Erdas Imagic [482]). R and Python statistical libraries were used instead of Excel software often used in marine research [440], [380]. Tested, presented and explained functionality of a variety of GMT modules enabled to perform automated digitizing of the orthogonal profiles crossing 20 trenches in the perpendicular direction. Through modelling, landform shapes and steepness were visualized, compared and statistically analyzed as a basis for classification.

5.2 Actuality

MODELLING deep-sea trench is one of the most complicated issues in the marine geology: in contrast with the terrestrial ones, submarine regions still remain the least accessible areas on the Earth. Hence, oceanic trenches can only be studied by computer based modelling, **RS** methods, advanced mapping and sophisticated algorithms of data analysis. Therefore, using special tools of advanced spatial analysis, processing and modeling using advanced cartographic solutions enables to get closer insight into the deepest regions of the ocean visualizing areas with the most difficult access [78].

Understanding geomorphology, structure and shape of the submarine landforms in deep-sea trenches is crucial to modelling their geophysical and geological phenomena as the deepest places on the Earth. Numerical analysis of such complex structures based on the advanced data analysis and shell scripting significantly increases the precision and speed of data processing, as well as results reliability. It helps to improve investigations of the marine geology and submarine geomorphology of the oceans, as current understanding of tectonic, geological and oceanographic patterns and processes is largely based on the correct modelling. **ML** algorithms and automatization improves the precision and quality of mapping. **GMT**, largely used in this thesis, provides advanced cartographic solutions enabling to model, analyze, map, visualize and calculate the phenomena of the submarine geology.

Therefore, the actuality of the presented dissertation consist in the classification of the 20 deep-sea trenches, systematic mapping and geomorphological transect modelling. General conceptual idea of the presented dissertation can be extrapolated to other objects of ocean topography. Current dissertation contributed both towards marine geological studies and towards technical demonstration of the advanced data analysis by **GMT** shell scripting and programming languages Python and R.

Big data taken from the cruise observations and measurements in in-situ conditions are common in marine geology. Datasets derived from the R/V cruises have specifically large volumes of sampling. Together with their variety and different types (lithology and rocks of the seafloor, geological structure, tectonics, etc.) it arises a question of their effective processing. Algorithms of data processing by **GMT**, reshaping tables in AWK, and statistical analysis supported by R and Python enable to operate with big data volumes, generate data frames for the reprocessing and modelling. Without **ML** algorithms, geospatial analysis cannot be accurate and may lead to shortcomings and cause errors. **ML** is therefore indispensable for such remotely located areas as modelling abyssal depths.

The speed of data processing is another point of concern in marine geology that can be managed effectively by the advanced solutions of data analysis. Sophisticated algorithms of the data analysis and specialized techniques embedded in libraries of Python and R enable to extract the insights in the complexity of the marine geological factors affecting the structure of the submarine seafloor. Given these reasons, the advantages of using programming in the marine geological research opens clear and distinct perspectives.

High precision cartography, machine learning techniques, flexibility of shell scripting and compatibility with Unix programs ensure high standards of **GMT** and its suitability for geospatial modelling. Main tasks demonstrated in this dissertation include developed methodology base don technical **GMT** scripting for data analysis. These included **ML**, digitizing cross-section profiles, visualizing and analysis of the datasets, 3D-mesh modelling, contour plotting, statistical analysis. Modelling geomorphological slope of the deep-sea trenches is important in relation to several reasons:

1. Slope gradient is relevant as a geomorphological concept, since it impacts the stability of the geologic sediments and grain size.
2. Geomorphic slopes accelerate ocean deep currents related to the food supply chain and exposure for the deep-sea fauna species.

3. Slopes and specific landforms of the trench geomorphology induce erosion, movement, fall and replacements of sediments depending on their size.
4. Geomorphic characteristics of slopes influence submarine landforms of the trenches.
5. Geomorphology of the trench presents crucial characteristics for benthic habitat: stability of sediments depends on the slope steepness affects the ability of the deep-sea fauna species to dwell.

Specific focus of this dissertation is laid on its multi-disciplinary scope that performs systematic mapping, modelling and classification of 20 trenches base don the combines cartographic methods of geospatial and statistical data modelling. Methodologically, the steps included data visualization, modelling cross-section profiles, geospatial analysis, mapping, statistical analysis of the submarine landforms of the 20 trenches. The initial datasets were extracted from the [USGS](#) public sources and embedded layers in the [GMT](#) (e.g. coastlines). Particular aim was to classify and analyze variations in submarine geomorphology in 20 trenches. A variety of the [GMT](#) modules [743] adjusted for modelling grids, plotting vector layers, computing statistical graphs and perform aesthetically fine cartographic solutions proved to be a perfect tool. The importance of the developing and technical improving of the innovative methods in cartographic data processing is indisputable since visualization and precise modelling are key approaches in geological data analysis. Automatization has been significantly increased over the past years. However, using programming and scripting in cartography still remains lower comparing to the use of the traditional [GIS](#).

Current techniques for [GIS](#) modelling of the marine geology of trenches mostly identify and handle relations and processes among tectonic slabs, depths and seismicity [612] or tectonic slab subduction and migration. Existing studies do not detail much variations among geomorphological classes of the trenches, but focus on geological modelling. From this perspective, such insufficiency is the result of limited access to the trenches, systematic limits to the techniques and constraint in usage of the advanced [GIS](#). [GMT](#) based marine geological modelling and visualization of the big datasets increases significantly, broadens the perspectives of the marine research to the multi-disciplinary level.

Technical scripts used for advanced statistical analysis are presented in full in the Appendix [A](#) for future replication and reproducible analysis in other trenches of the World Ocean: e.g. Makran Trench [397], Puerto Rico Trench and Cayman Trough [391], Cascadia Trench [389], and other oceans: Sunda Trench [385], Bay of Bengal and Andaman Sea of the northern Indian Ocean [386], Java and Sumatra segments [379] of the Indonesian Archipelago in the Indian Ocean [382], South Sandwich Trench, Atlantic Ocean [403], Antarctic and the Southern Ocean, e.g. Ross Sea [378], Weddell Sea [375] or Scotia Sea [377].

Technical application of [GMT](#) demonstrated effectiveness and functionality for data analysis, precise and accurate high-quality cartographic mapping, shell scripting workflow for geodata processing. Proposed techniques and methodology of the [GMT](#) shell scripting presented in a stepwise workflow provided effective framework for further geological mapping and can be applied for similar research. The [GMT](#) proved to be is a powerful scripting cartographic toolset for geological, geophysical and topographic mapping, geomorphic modelling and descriptive statistical analysis of the data retrieved from the automatic digitizing. Methodology of the presented dissertation laid a strong focus on the technical routines of the cartographic workflow, mapping and modelling based on the [GMT](#) shell scripting that enabled to identify bathymetric cross section profiles of the hadal trenches that show similarity by their complex parameters, so that they could be grouped into patters. Visualized maps demonstrated excellent possibilities of the [GMT](#) for geological mapping, geodetic and geophysical modelling.

In view of the above said, the actuality of the presented dissertation fully based on the sequential combination of the advanced data analysis (R, Python, AWK and Octave/Matlab programming, [GMT](#) and [L^AT_EX](#) visualization, [QGIS](#) data processing) is clear. Classification, comparative analysis, systematic

mapping and geomorphic modelling of the oceanic trenches of the Pacific Ocean has a great scientific and practical interest. The 1st relates to the testing theory of plate tectonics related to the actual geomorphological forms of the trenches. The 2nd is caused by the estimation of the time and place of where earthquakes may arise. A correlation with distribution of the volcanic igneous areas, and economic needs for mineral resources are other goals for studies deep trenches.

5.3 Reflections on submarine geomorphology

THE asymmetry of the deep-sea trenches is explained by the phenomenon of the tectonic plates subduction. Subduction of one lithospheric plate to the Earth's mantle while another plate is being deformed induces a formation of the oceanic trench which eventually forms through filling the space in between the plates. Necessarily, the complexity and variety of the bathymetric shapes in various trenches arises. The geomorphology of the oceanic trenches is influenced by a set of geologic processes and factors affecting their shape form, gradient steepness depths, and structure. Of these, tectonic plates movements and slab geometry are crucial controlling factors. This can be seen by comparison of the visualized geophysical setting and geomorphology of the trench seafloor. Other factors affecting trench structure and playing essential role in their morphology include age and convergence rate of the plates, intermediate slab dip, width of the sinking plate, sediment thickness of the basement, bathymetric factors, such as depth at basement, means, median and minimal values, closeness of the igneous volcanic areas causing possible earthquakes, and geographic location across the tectonic continental plates.

As a result, the bathymetry of the trench seafloor reflects processes of the plate tectonics: movement, deformation and bending which is associated with mantle convection at the global scale. Complex distribution of various geological factors on the adjacent abyssal plains of the ocean contributes to the formation of the geomorphic features of the ocean bottom in the trenches. Therefore, studying combination of these factors is a prerequisite for the correct understanding of the complex processes that take place in the abyssal environment of the hadal trench.

Variations in the seafloor geomorphology are caused by the uneven distribution of the elevations on the Earth with distinctly irregular hypsography. The majority of the depths is occupied by the deep basins (ca. 4-6.5 km) while relatively few areas are covered by shallow zones. Tectonic plate boundaries are the hotspot areas where the most of the largest earthquakes take place and oceanic slabs descend beneath the continental lithosphere causing trench migration [775]. The largest earthquakes sometimes accompanied by strong tsunami waves mostly occur in the shallow part of the subduction zones [769].

The geomorphology of the oceanic trenches in general reflects fluvial riverine geometry, albeit a general approximation. Setting aside the complexity of the river structure that includes the mainstreams, branches and other features [637]; [695]; [710], the overall approach of the riverine geomorphology may be adopted to the studies of the deep-sea trenches to a certain extent, in scope of the computer modeling and numerical analysis. The submarine trenches are important spaces within the ocean seafloor [126]. They may be approximated to the tributary river flows as channels for the flow of turbidity currents across the seafloor. Fine-grained sediments, e.g. clay and silt, are deposited from the turbidity currents channeled from the continental margins along submarine canyons down into deep ocean trenches.

Seafloor is constantly experiencing deformations under the effects of plate tectonic processes. Various factors affect formation, functioning and sustainability of the deep-sea trenches as one of the unique places of the oceans [601], [593]. Among them, tectonics plates movement, subduction of the underlying slab into the deep mantle, seismic activities in the study area, e.g. submarine earthquakes [673]. Other factors include geological phenomena identifying characteristics of the submarine geomorphology of the trench, previously [713], [759], [560].

There is a broad interest to the geologic structure and tectonic evolution of oceanic trenches supported by numerous studies ([578], [355]; [581], [244]), however those studies are mostly focused on the geophysical settings of the trenches. 20 deep-sea trenches dissecting the margins of the Pacific Ocean have been the target of the presented dissertation which investigated spatial variability of their submarine geomorphology aimed at classification. Effective data processing helped to gain more insights into the seafloor geomorphology. The sketch on the impacts of the trench geomorphology was analyzed, summarizing various factors: tectonics, geological structure, sediments, bathymetry, lithology, etc. Dissertation classifies variations in trench geomorphology.

5.4 Perspectives of the IT tools for geology

PRESENTED dissertation demonstrated a multi-disciplinary approach combining effective processing of the complex data sets by programming, shell scripting and statistical algorithms. Sequential use of Python, AWK, R and Octave/Matlab is effective for geological data processing. Study of the seafloor is possible not only by QGIS, but using R: network analysis, factors analysis, plotting, diagrams, cluster analysis by various methods (k-means, dendrograms, silhouettes), triple correlation by ternaries [416]. IT based methodology is focused on technical approaches in the analysis of trench geomorphology used as a background for classification. Classification received by application of the technical methods with geomorphic analysis are presented.

Tested functionality of GMT modules proved it to be a highly effective cartographic toolset for geological data analysis enabling precise mapping, automated sampling of the orthogonal transects. GMT based modelling helped to visualize and compare shape of the submarine landforms, steepness gradient of the trenches which were used as a basis for classification. Presented GMT based approach provided a cost-effective solution to the seafloor mapping of the deep-sea trenches. Benefits of the GMT based seafloor mapping to a broad spectrum of visualization of seafloor, and convergence toward a standard suite of high quality raster/vector datasets (e.g. GEBCO, SRTM15), maps and plots were demonstrated in this thesis. GMT aimed to advance cartographic visualization and modelling at high standards, perform quality mapping. This can be effectively used for analysis of submarine landforms, providing knowledge base to detail bathymetry. Besides geological applications, GMT can be successfully used in agricultural mapping [369], geomorphological mapping [371], climate and environmental studies [372].

Comparing R and Python, both languages have significant functionality for marine geological data analysis. However, Python, being a high-level language, demonstrated more straightforward approach for the statistical analysis. Python is an interpreted language that enables programs to be written compactly and readably splitting them into reusable modules [640] that process and model big datasets. Encapsulation, inheritance and polymorphism of Python are the main advantages while working with big data in marine geology and processing large tables. Simple and vectorized syntax structures and semantics of Python enable to write code concisely and effectively resulting in fewer hard-to-find bugs comparing to the codes written on C++, which minimizes the chance of conflicts in a code. Using NumPy for processing tables in .csv format and arrays facilitate advanced mathematical operations on large numbers of data. R implies more power in data visualization.

Programming scripts are presented in this dissertation. Effective data visualization provided by libraries enabled better targeting of the distinct areas in the trench that include specific geomorphic features. Functionality of R and Python and their statistical libraries is highly effective for the statistical analysis in marine geology. Data analysis demonstrated effectiveness of the selected methods. Advanced data processing enabled to analyze variations in geomorphology in the trenches, visualize correlation with geological settings. Combined effects of geological and lithological factors that affect geomorphology of the trenches were visualized by statistical methods.

Using advanced IT tools, such as L^AT_EX and GitHub as repository for projects and scripts is highly useful for geodata processing [468] and can be used to perform information analysis, organizing and structuring data, standardization and classification for data analysis in large volumes [447], [469], [439], [441]. Technical shell GMT scripts, full codes of R, Octave, L^AT_EX and Python and statistical workflow outlined in this thesis are presented in the Appendix for replication, to enable their repeatability and reuse in further works. The dissertation contributed towards development of quantitative and statistical methods in marine geology, in particular aimed at the objective analysis of the ocean trench geomorphology, systematic mapping and classification of the submarine landforms.

Chapter 6

Conclusion

THIS dissertation offers an overview of geomorphological classification performed using advanced data analysis, **GMT** based modelling and mapping. The research aimed at analyzing variations in 20 deep-sea trenches of the Pacific Ocean. It presents a multidisciplinary approach combining cartographic modelling and statistical data analysis. The results enabled to present classification of the trenches based on their geomorphic properties, variations in slopes, analysis of steepness gradients and bathymetry.

Data analysis in geosciences supported by advanced methods is important novel method aimed to increase precision of the computations and operating with big data in marine geology. However, performing data analysis of the marine geological datasets raises a challenge. Existing solutions mostly apply tradition methods of the **GIS**. In contrast, this dissertation demonstrated a programming languages used in marine geology. Combination of the advanced methods with spatial analysis by **GIS** plugins and **GMT** scripting enables to process marine geological datasets in a quick and easy way.

GMT based data analysis enabled to map remotely located areas such as deep-sea trench, to visualize and analyze geophysical and geological settings. Other approaches to perform spatial data analysis in marine geology include pure statistical data modelling using programming languages, such as Python and R, and statistical analysis. However, the advantages of the **GMT** consists in the possibility to perform both cartographic mapping and statistical analysis using the same program. Various types of data processing can be performed in **GMT** environment: data analysis, modelling, mapping and statistical analysis and visualization. Besides, the **GMT ML** approach enables repeatability of the techniques for each of the studied 20 trenches of the Pacific Ocean. Therefore, the **GMT** scripting toolset was selected as a main tool for data modelling and cartographic mapping by **GMT** documentation [739], [742].

Methodology is supported by **GMT** for cartographic visualization, plotting and modelling. Mapping includes visualized local settings of the trenches for spatial analysis, based on a sequential use of **GMT** modules. The **GMT** approach represents a cost-effective approach to visualize seafloor. This approach delivers a knowledge base upon which more detailed studies on links between geology, tectonics, geophysics and bathymetry can be identifies. Overlapping in **GMT** enables to map various layers (tectonic plates, earthquakes, faults) and depth sample points. **QGIS** plugins facilitate reading coordinates, re-projecting coordinates, layouts visualization, attribute data conversion into csv tables.

Technically, experimental approach of the cartographic modelling with numerical statistical analysis by **GMT** modules was used to analyze geological and geophysical data sets. Visualization of the series of thematic maps (geology, bathymetry, geoid, gravimetry, 3D modelling, 2D profiles trends modelling, digitized cross-section profiles) demonstrated powerful functionality of the **GMT** and proved to be effective cartographic instrument for data analysis. Increasing diversity of the spatially distributed data on Earth observation together with application of the advanced cartographic methods and shell scripting greatly enhance the potential of the geospatial modeling.

Application of the cartographic modelling towards marine geological data enables to perform classification based on the detected spatial differences in the submarine geomorphology. Modelling spatial variations in trench geomorphology facilitates studies of the plate tectonics. Modelling seafloor shape is one of the fundamental issues in modern marine geology. Proper techniques of mapping and modelling trenches advance seafloor studies but they are still developing [729], [741]. Mapping submarine landforms has certain limitations in progress due to the remote location of the trenches. Therefore, modelling seafloor by means of ML algorithms and shell scripting is an effective tool for such a task.

High-resolution data sets were visualized for bathymetric, geological and geophysical mapping of the 20 trenches. Orthogonal cross-sections of the profiles were automatically digitized and processed by GMT in the selected segments of the trenches. Geomorphological classification was based on modelling. Descriptive statistical data analysis was performed for quantitative comparative analysis of the geomorphic forms of 20 trenches. Trenches were modeled in an automated regime by GMT using high-resolution bathymetric datasets (GEBCO, ETOPO1 and SRTM). Using developed methodology of the advanced data analysis, geomorphological modelling, mapping and classification are performed for 20 trenches.

From this point of view, this work presented a GMT based methodology for visualization, modelling, interpretation and classification of the submarine geomorphology of the trenches demonstrating new approaches to the mapping. In contrast to the classic cartographic approaches with GUI, e.g. ArcGIS ESRI based [324], [325]; [698], [489], [484], in geodata visualization and mapping [190]; [660]; [485]; [342]; [699]; [324], [327], [447] or other examples of the geospatial modelling applied for geodetic approximations, 3D modelling [715], [27], [291], GMT is notable for shell scripting algorithms as a core concept. Traditional handmade digitizing is a tedious routine prone to errors. On the contrary, GMT based automatization of the cartographic techniques significantly improves modelling quality and precision. Technically, the results show the effectiveness of scripting in modelling submarine geomorphology. The results of the data analysis show distinct unevenness in the geomorphic structure of the trenches.

Although existing maps enable to visualize seafloor with certain resolution and accuracy, there is still a lack of detailed maps of deep-sea trenches, their models and visualization focused on geomorphic form and shape. Integrating GMT based mapping with geological, gravity and bathymetric datasets presented accurate, high-resolution geomorphic maps and cross-section bathymetric models. Approaches to the geological data analysis are diverse: techniques of ternary diagrams visualizing correlation between variables [619], [422], geophysical methods, e.g. DC-resistivity and SASW [120], civil engineering [365], measurements of soil and lime properties, e.g. compression soil tests, indirect tensile tests, triaxial tests [501], various approaches to the data analysis, plotting and visualization, e.g. factor analysis [95], [432], correlograms [433], scatterplot matrices [430], regression analysis [424], data processing by TeX [366]. In contrast with existing works, current thesis present statistical analysis made by Python and R.

High-resolution datasets and raster grids were used for classification of the submarine geomorphology, performed using detailed information on bathymetry and topography of the 20 deep-sea trenches of the Pacific Ocean [367]. The dissertation focused on the geomorphic assessment of variations in their shapes. The research contributes to the improvement of the technical methods of the geomorphic modelling. The review of the statistical methods presented in current thesis can be applied methodologically for comparing and benchmarking different research schemes on other trenches. Furthermore it can be used for analysis of the submarine earthquake connections with ocean trench formation and tectonic slab movement or controlling impact factors during geospatial analysis.

To conclude, methodology of the presented dissertation includes programing languages, statistical analysis, mathematical algorithms for data processing and visualizing, GMT for geomorphic modelling, GIS for digitizing bathymetric profiles and spatial analysis.

6.1 Global Summary

THIS thesis presented application of the advanced scripting and programming application to classification, modelling and mapping variations in the submarine geomorphology of the 20 oceanic trenches of the Pacific Ocean. It described methodology for using selected statistical libraries aimed at geomorphic visualization and analysis of the ocean seafloor. The innovative idea of this thesis consisted in integrated use of **GMT**, Octave, QGIS R and Python programming languages and statistical analysis towards marine geological studies. Technically, the thesis was performed using advanced methods of data analysis: R, Python, GMT, Octave and AWK. Statistical analysis includes a range of the described algorithms through numerous statistical libraries used for data analysis. Nowadays, ocean modelling and conservation becomes one of the most vibrant, paramount endeavors of the marine geology. Application of advanced data modelling towards geomorphic studies revealed variations in their geomorphic forms and structure, and highlighted factors affecting abyssal morphology.

Methodologically, the dissertation contributed towards technical application of the **GMT** based methods for bathymetric modelling and mapping for deeper understanding of the differences in geomorphology and geophysical settings of the oceanic trenches located along the margins of the Pacific Ocean. It is aimed to produce a set of the cross-section profiles for 20 deep-sea trenches varying in geomorphology. The developed techniques can be utilized at similar works for detailed seafloor mapping. The classification and comparison of the submarine geomorphology and differences among trenches are presented. The thesis elaborated and answered question of how the structure of trench can be classified, measured, compared and analyzed by means of advanced data analysis.

Main objective of the presented dissertation was to perform geomorphological classification based on comparative analysis and map variations in the submarine landform structure of the 20 deep-sea trenches of the Pacific Ocean [368]. To this aim, an objective method combining various approaches was performed including **GMT** shell script based mapping, statistical analysis, **GIS** visualization and graphical plotting. Profile transect modelling was exemplified using sampling on the segments of the **AOI** in topography of the trenches. Mapping deep-sea trenches needs support of reliable, detailed and accurate seafloor maps.

Functional **GMT**-based framework for cartographic mapping and modelling of the **ASCII** datasets enabled to quantify variability in the bathymetry of spatially distributed transects of the trenches. The results revealed unevenness in the geomorphology among 20 trenches. Factors affecting their structure are discussed and reviewed. These include local settings, sediment thickness, slope steepness, depths, location. Distinct correlation between the geological factors affect geomorphology of the trenches. Full scripts of **GMT**, AWK, R, Python and GNU Octave programming languages are presented for repeatability in the Appendix section.

The dissertation presented successful application of the variety of scripting libraries of **GMT** in marine geology which resulted in high-quality precise mapping, fine cartographic instruments, flexibility of scripting and coding, compatibility with UNIX utilities. Using such advantages of the **GMT** for geological data modelling and mapping definitely facilitates data processing, enables high-precision analysis and mapping data frames. Application of the **GMT** towards geological data analysis and visualization is highly effective and promising comparing to the traditionally used **GIS**. Classifying and modelling submarine geomorphology revealed a consistent pattern of their structural and morphological features. These include deep abyssal valleys, steep slopes, bounded by a submarine deep basin moraines at the outer limits of the trenches. Submarine ridges are explained as a series of small moraines, stacked behind a larger moraines.

Supported by sound literature review, this dissertation identified and classified variabilities among the geomorphology of major 20 trenches of the Pacific Ocean. High accuracy of the performed statistical data analysis and cartography has been supported by chosen advanced algorithms of the **ML** data processing

and high-resolution data: raster grids, [ASCII](#), vector [QGIS](#) layers and attribute tables.

6.2 Recommendations

As a recommendation for further research focused on ocean trenches, traditional methods of seafloor studies should include a combination of both **GIS** and statistical analysis such as R and Python, or Octave. Studies of spacial data series should be accompanied by graphics, faceted plots to facilitate comparison of the data by groups. This is especially useful while processing multi-dimensional geological data. Since there are variations in trench geomorphology, as well as correlations among factors affecting their formation and geomorphology, mathematical algorithms and objective analyses based approaches of data analysis should be explicitly used. A contemporary perspective of **GMT**, R and Python based methods of geological data for modelling trench geomorphology is demonstrated in this thesis.

Programming scripts provided in the Appendix are recommended as a template for similar studies. Application of the statistical tools provided by functionality of R and Python offer optimal prospects for understanding bathymetry and geomorphology of the oceanic trenches. Differences in variation of geomorphological forms can be detected by application of various methods and algorithms, as different approaches can highlight correlations. It is recommended to apply and test various statistical algorithms of data analysis.

Cross-disciplinary quantitative approach for geomorphological analysis is recommended for future studies. Using R and Python for marine geoscience research does not only make geological modelling simpler and less error-prone. It also facilitates complex simulations involving multi-dimensional modelling with varying parameters. It is also recommended to apply developed and presented methods for other deep-sea trenches. Processing multi-source data by the **ML** methods enables to speed up our understanding of the submarine geomorphology of the ocean seafloor. A combination of the advanced tools for spatial data processing, such as R, Python, AWK and Octave/MATLAB programming, **GMT** enables to perform integration of such complex heterogeneous data from a variety of sources for the in-depth analysis.

Application of the programming languages (R, Python, Octave and AWK) is strongly recommended in geoscience research as an addition to the traditional **GIS** methods. From all available statistical methods it is necessary to select the most suitable to the modelling type: data ranking, data distribution (e.g. box plots or violin plots, linear regression or isotonic regression), correlation matrix or correlogram ellipses, etc. Correct chosen algorithms of the statistical modelling helps to best visualize and analyze the data set. Visualizing the full range of the geomorphology across the trench should be done using various methods: by the deepest values (i.e. each profiles can have only one dot point), or by showing the full line of profile (i.e. the profile shows the cross section in its length), in circular or bar plot or dot plot types, as presented in this dissertation

Finally, as a last recommendation, more detailed marine geological datasets could be included for data analysis. These include data from the cruises: currents, sediment texture, water circulation at various depths. Geological interpretations can be used to extrapolate geomorphic type of the transects along the trench. Using **GMT** shell scripting for cartographic modelling increases automatization of the mapping routine. Therefore, **GMT** is strongly recommended for geological data analysis and classification. Presented techniques and methodology of **GMT** shown effective framework for geological mapping. Environmental factors may be studied additionally by existing methods of the environmental management, ecological monitoring and data analysis [476], [448], [449], [450], [451], [452], [453]. Thorough multi-dimensional data processing in marine geology should include following data: tectonic (continental margin plates), geological (geologic structure), lithological (sedimentation) and oceanological (direction of the deep currents).

6.3 Outlook and future developments

As demonstrated in this thesis, active use of the programming languages in geoscience research is effective to advance studies of the bathymetry. Development in statistical methods such as R and Python enables to treat multi-source types of the marine geological data. Studies of such complex objects as oceanic trenches, have specific points that distinguish them from the terrestrial areas. 1st, seafloor rocks are studied mainly by RS methods. Samples include cores obtained from drilling or platforms, collected by dredging. 2nd, thorough understanding of the basics of geophysics, geography, geomorphology, oceanography, cartography, informatics and principles of data interpretation are prerequisites for marine geology [374]. Hence, a variety of methods of the advanced data analysis is necessary to study deep-sea trenches.

Rigorous and quantitative data analysis performed by R and Python and supported by GIS is effective for investigation of such large-scale geologic structures as trenches. If surface of the whole trench is modeled, the extra- or interpolation of the depths by profiles increase random errors due to the complex nature of the real situation of physical environment. It can be either the effects of the external factors on the geomorphic structure (tectonic slab movement that affects the shape of the trench segment) or mathematical approaches of the algorithm of approximation. In the most difficult segments (e.g., areas of triple junctions), it is possible to take more dense profiles digitizing. However, in this case it would be reasonable to large scale this particular area as enlarged fragment.

Nowadays, ocean modelling and conservation become one of the most vibrant, paramount endeavors in marine geology [623]. Understanding structure of the trenches helps to improve investigation of the marine geology. Particular problem in the analysis of the ocean seafloor lies in the complexity of the processes involved in trench formation. Therefore, submarine geomorphic modelling should encompass not only submarine landforms, but its links with tectonics, seismicity, earthquakes repeatability and magnitude, oceanological parameters (current direction and strength), lithology (origin and properties of the underlying rocks).

Future work should consider applying other GMT modules and their combination to develop complex approaches of the cartographic-geophysical investigations: earthquake intensity modelling, mapping volcanic arcs. Application of GRASS GIS is recommended for GIS data processing [393], [388], [398], [381]. Combination and overlapping of various geospatial data aimed to examine and quantify landforms in connection with local settings by existing methods of spatial analysis enables to get information on geological, tectonic and environmental thematic mapping apply spatial analysis at various scales. Other recommendation could include integration of GMT with programming and advanced statistical packages.

Future works may include satellite image processing for coastal and shelf areas by available methods of image analysis: Google Earth [472], Landsat TM/ETM+ and MSS imagery [480], [477], [454], [466], [478], [455], [456], [457], [458], [395], , [394]. Applying various software, e.g. ILWIS GIS [471], [459], [464], eCognition for OBIA [460], [467], Quantum GIS [399], [461], [462], [465], ENVI GIS, ArcGIS [487], [463], [486], Erdas Imagine, SAGA GIS [395], [384], [390] are useful for spatial analysis.

Future applications should include analysis of the interplay between the elements of the submarine ecosystem, predicting changes in its structure, reconstruct origin and evolution of the trench dynamics, interpret slab motions [664]; [588]; [564]; [242], tectonic and sedimentary processes in the marginal seas [198], [199], [200]. Given non available tools of the ML and operating with big data in marine geology, studies should apply more complicated numerical data analysis and visualization. Maps produced and demonstrated in this thesis can be used as a template for seafloor mapping and modelling at regional and local scales.

Author's Publications

- ¹P. Lemenkova, "SAGA GIS for Computing Multispectral Vegetation Indices by Landsat TM for Mapping Vegetation Greenness", *Contemporary Agriculture* **70**, 67–75 (2021), URL: <https://sciendo.com/article/10.2478/contagri-2021-0011>.
- ²P. Lemenkova, "Topography of the Aleutian Trench south-east off Bowers Ridge, Bering Sea, in the context of the geological development of North Pacific Ocean", *Baltica* **34**, 27–46 (2021), URL: <https://gamtostyrimai.lt/en/publications/listingCategory/category.1020>.
- ³P. Lemenkova, "Scripting Languages for Geomorphological Modelling and Topographic Visualization of Serbia", *Zbornik Matice srpske za prirodne nauke* **140**, 113–125 (2021), URL: <http://doiserbia.nb.rs/journal.aspx?issn=0352-4906>.
- ⁴P. Lemenkova, "Mapping environmental and climate variations by GMT: a case of Zambia, Central Africa", *Zemljite i biljka* **70**, 117–136 (2021), URL: <http://www.sdpz.rs/index.php/sr-yu/casopis-zemljite-i-biljka>.
- ⁵P. Lemenkova, "Accurate and rapid big spatial data processing by scripting cartographic algorithms: advanced seafloor mapping of the deep-sea trenches along the margins of the Pacific Ocean", in *Bringing Land, Ocean, Atmosphere and Ionosphere Data to the Community for Hazard Alerts* (May 24, 2021), URL: <https://agu.confex.com/agu/21workshop2/meetingapp.cgi/Home/0>, SLIDESHARE.
- ⁶P. Lemenkova, "Morphostructure features of the deep-sea trenches in the Pacific Ocean: the problem of their origin", Russian, in *Seminar of the laboratory of regional geophysics and natural disasters*, in Russian (May 24, 2021), SLIDESHARE.
- ⁷P. Lemenkova, "The visualization of geophysical and geomorphologic data from the area of Weddell Sea by the Generic Mapping Tools", *Studia Quaternaria* **38**, 19–32 (2021), URL: <https://www.czasopisma.pan.pl/sq>.
- ⁸P. Lemenkova, "Cartographic Processing of the Multi-Source Geospatial Datasets by GMT for Mapping Variability in Geologic Setting and Bathymetry of the Pacific Ocean", *Mercator* **20**, 1–18 (2021), URL: <http://www.mercator.ufc.br/mercator/article/view/e20013>.
- ⁹P. Lemenkova, "Exploring structured scripting cartographic technique of GMT for ocean seafloor modeling: A case of the East Indian Ocean", *Maritime Technology and Research* **3**, 162–184 (2021), URL: <https://so04.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/MTR/article/view/248158>.
- ¹⁰P. Lemenkova, "Geodynamic setting of Scotia Sea and its effects on geomorphology of South Sandwich Trench, Southern Ocean", *Polish Polar Research* **42**, 1–23 (2021), URL: <https://journals.pan.pl/ppr/136510>.
- ¹¹P. Lemenkova, "Data-driven insights into correlation among geophysical setting, topography and seafloor sediments in the Ross Sea, Antarctic", *Caderno de Geografia* **31**, 1–20 (2021).
- ¹²P. Lemenkova, "Java and Sumatra Segments of the Sunda Trench: Geomorphology and Geophysical Settings Analysed and Visualized by GMT", *Glasnik Srpskog Geografskog Drustva* **100**, 1–23 (2020).
- ¹³P. Lemenkova, "Using R packages 'tmap', 'raster' and 'ggmap' for cartographic visualization: An example of dem-based terrain modelling of Italy, Apennine Peninsula", *Zbornik radova - Geografski fakultet Univerziteta u Beogradu* **68**, 99–116 (2020).
- ¹⁴P. Lemenkova, "GRASS GIS for classification of Landsat TM images by maximum likelihood discriminant analysis: Tokyo area, Japan", *Geodetski glasnik* **51**, 5–25 (2020).

- ¹⁵P. Lemenkova, "Insights on the Indian Ocean tectonics and geophysics supported by GMT", *Risks and Catastrophes Journal* **27**, 67–83 (2020).
- ¹⁶P. Lemenkova, "Sediment thickness in the Bay of Bengal and Andaman Sea compared with topography and geophysical settings by GMT", *Ovidius University Annals Series: Civil Engineering* **22**, 13–22 (2020).
- ¹⁷P. Lemenkova, "Scripting cartographic methods of GMT for mapping the New Britain and San Cristobal trenches, Solomon Sea, Papua New Guinea", *Revista da Casa da Geografia de Sobral* **22**, 122–142 (2020).
- ¹⁸P. Lemenkova, "Analysis of the difference in depths and variation in slope steepness of the Sunda Trench, Indonesia, east Indian Ocean", *Revista de Geomorfologie* **22**, 21–41 (2020).
- ¹⁹P. Lemenkova, "Sentinel-2 for High Resolution Mapping of Slope-Based Vegetation Indices Using Machine Learning by SAGA GIS", *Transylvanian Review of Systematical and Ecological Research* **22**, 17–34 (2020).
- ²⁰P. Lemenkova, "GRASS GIS for topographic and geophysical mapping of the Peru-Chile Trench", *Forum geografic* **19**, 143–157 (2020), URL: <http://forumgeografic.ro/2021/2704/>.
- ²¹P. Lemenkova, "GEBCO and ETOPO1 gridded datasets for GMT based cartographic mapping of Hikurangi, Puysegur and Hjort Trenches, New Zealand", *Acta Universitatis Lodziensis. Folia Geographica Physica* **19**, 7–18 (2020).
- ²²P. Lemenkova, "GRASS GIS Modules for Topographic and Geophysical Analysis of the ETOPO1 DEM and Raster Data: North Fiji Basin, Pacific Ocean", *Geographia Napocensis* **14**, 27–38 (2020).
- ²³P. Lemenkova, "Applying Automatic Mapping Processing by GMT to Bathymetric and Geophysical Data: Cascadia Subduction Zone, Pacific Ocean", *Journal of Environmental Geography* **13**, 15–26 (2020).
- ²⁴P. Lemenkova, "Object Based Image Segmentation Algorithm of SAGA GIS for Detecting Urban Spaces in Yaoundé, Cameroon", *Central European Journal of Geography and Sustainable Development* **2**, 38–51 (2020).
- ²⁵P. Lemenkova, "Geomorphology of the Puerto Rico Trench and Cayman Trough in the Context of the Geological Evolution of the Caribbean Sea", *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Sklodowska, sectio B Geographia, Geologia, Mineralogia et Petrographia* **75**, 115–141 (2020).
- ²⁶P. Lemenkova, "Using GMT for 2D and 3D Modeling of the Ryukyu Trench Topography, Pacific Ocean", *Miscellanea Geographica* **25**, 1–13 (2020).
- ²⁷P. Lemenkova, "NOAA Marine Geophysical Data and a GEBCO Grid for the Topographical Analysis of Japanese Archipelago by Means of GRASS GIS and GDAL Library", *Geomatics and Environmental Engineering* **14**, 25–45 (2020).
- ²⁸P. Lemenkova, "Hyperspectral Vegetation Indices Calculated by Qgis Using Landsat Tm Image: a Case Study of Northern Iceland", *Advanced Research in Life Sciences* **4**, 70–78 (2020).
- ²⁹P. Lemenkova, "SAGA GIS for information extraction on presence and conditions of vegetation of northern coast of Iceland based on the Landsat TM", *Acta Biologica Marisiensis* **3**, 10–21 (2020).
- ³⁰P. Lemenkova, "The geomorphology of the Makran Trench in the context of the geological and geophysical settings of the Arabian Sea", *Geology, Geophysics and Environment* **46**, 205–222 (2020).
- ³¹P. Lemenkova, "GEBCO Gridded Bathymetric Datasets for Mapping Japan Trench Geomorphology by Means of GMT Scripting Toolset", *Geodesy and Cartography* **46**, 98–112 (2020).
- ³²P. Lemenkova, "Fractal surfaces of synthetical DEM generated by GRASS GIS module r.surf.fractal from ETOPO1 raster grid", *Journal of Geodesy and Geoinformation* **7**, 86–102 (2020).
- ³³P. Lemenkova, "Python libraries matplotlib, seaborn and pandas for visualization geospatial datasets generated by QGIS", *Analele tiinifice ale Universitii "Alexandru Ioan Cuza" din Iai - seria Geografie* **64**, 13–32 (2020).
- ³⁴P. Lemenkova, "Integration of geospatial data for mapping variation of sediment thickness in the North Sea", *Scientific Annals of the Danube Delta Institute* **25**, 129–138 (2020).
- ³⁵P. Lemenkova, "Mapping Beaufort Sea Topography and Geophysical Settings Using High-Resolution Geospatial Data and GMT", *Geografické informácie* **24**, 4–18 (2020).
- ³⁶P. Lemenkova, "R Libraries {dendextend} and {magrittr} and Clustering Package scipy.cluster of Python For Modelling Diagrams of Dendrogram Trees", *Carpathian Journal of Electronic and Computer Engineering* **13**, 5–12 (2020).

- ³⁷P. Lemenkova, "Seafloor Mapping of the Atlantic Ocean by GMT: Visualizing Mid-Atlantic Ridge Spreading, Sediment Distribution and Tectonic Development", *Acta Geobalcanica* **6**, 145–157 (2020).
- ³⁸P. Lemenkova, "Mapping South China Sea Region by GMT for Marine Geological Analysis and Visualization", *Analele Universitii din Oradea, Seria Geografie* **30**, 107–121 (2020).
- ³⁹P. Lemenkova, "Variations in the bathymetry and bottom morphology of the Izu-Bonin Trench modelled by GMT", *Bulletin of Geography. Physical Geography Series* **18**, 41–60 (2020).
- ⁴⁰P. Lemenkova, "Cartographic Interpretation of the Seafloor Geomorphology Using GMT: a Case Study of the Manila Trench, South China Sea", *Aksaray University Journal of Science and Engineering* **4**, 1–18 (2020).
- ⁴¹P. Lemenkova, "GMT Based Comparative Geomorphological Analysis of the Vityaz and Vanuatu Trenches, Fiji Basin", *Geodetski List* **74**, 19–39 (2020).
- ⁴²P. Lemenkova, "Visualization of the geophysical settings in the Philippine Sea margins by means of GMT and ISC data", *Central European Journal of Geography and Sustainable Development* **2**, 5–15 (2020).
- ⁴³P. Lemenkova, "GMT-based geological mapping and assessment of the bathymetric variations of the Kuril-Kamchatka Trench, Pacific Ocean", *Natural and Engineering Sciences* **5**, 1–17 (2020).
- ⁴⁴P. Lemenkova, "AWK and GNU Octave Programming Languages Integrated with Generic Mapping Tools for Geomorphological Analysis", *GeoScience Engineering* **65**, 1–22 (2019).
- ⁴⁵P. Lemenkova, "Geomorphological modelling and mapping of the Peru-Chile Trench by GMT", *Polish Cartographical Review* **51**, 181–194 (2019).
- ⁴⁶P. Lemenkova, "Automatic Data Processing for Visualising Yap and Palau Trenches by Generic Mapping Tools", *Cartographic Letters* **27**, 72–89 (2019).
- ⁴⁷P. Lemenkova, "Geophysical Modelling of the Middle America Trench using GMT", *Annals of Valahia University of Targoviste. Geographical Series* **19**, 73–94 (2019).
- ⁴⁸P. Lemenkova, "Geospatial Analysis by Python and R: Geomorphology of the Philippine Trench, Pacific Ocean", *Electronic Letters on Science and Engineering* **15**, 81–94 (2019).
- ⁴⁹P. Lemenkova, "Calculating slope gradient variations in the submarine landforms by R and Python statistical libraries", *MANAS Journal of Engineering* **7**, 99–113 (2019).
- ⁵⁰P. Lemenkova, "Plotting Ternary Diagrams by R Library *ggtern* for Geological Modelling", *Eastern Anatolian Journal of Science* **5**, 16–25 (2019).
- ⁵¹P. Lemenkova, "Deep-Sea Trenches of the Pacific Ocean: a Comparative Analysis of the Submarine Geomorphology by Data Modeling Using GMT, QGIS, Python and R. Mid-Term PhD Thesis Presentation: Current Research Progress", in *Seminar Mid-Term PhD Thesis Presentation* (Nov. 2019).
- ⁵²P. Lemenkova, "Topographic surface modelling using raster grid datasets by GMT: example of the Kuril-Kamchatka Trench, Pacific Ocean", *Reports on Geodesy and Geoinformatics* **108**, 9–22 (2019).
- ⁵³P. Lemenkova, "Generic Mapping Tools and Matplotlib Package of Python for Geospatial Data Analysis in Marine Geology", *International Journal of Environment and Geoinformatics* **6**, 225–237 (2019).
- ⁵⁴P. Lemenkova, "GMT Based Comparative Analysis and Geomorphological Mapping of the Kermadec and Tonga Trenches, Southwest Pacific Ocean", *Geographia Technica* **14**, 39–48 (2019).
- ⁵⁵P. Lemenkova, "Computing and Plotting Correlograms by Python and R Libraries for Correlation Analysis of the Environmental Data in Marine Geomorphology", *Jeomorfolojik Aratrmalar Dergisi / Journal of Geomorphological Researches* **3**, 1–16 (2019).
- ⁵⁶P. Lemenkova, "Statistical Analysis of the Mariana Trench Geomorphology Using R Programming Language", *Geodesy and Cartography* **45**, 57–84 (2019).
- ⁵⁷P. Lemenkova, "K-means Clustering in R Libraries {cluster} and {factoextra} for Grouping Oceanographic Data", *International Journal of Informatics and Applied Mathematics* **2**, 1–26 (2019).
- ⁵⁸P. Lemenkova, "Testing Linear Regressions by StatsModel Library of Python for Oceanological Data Interpretation", *Aquatic Sciences and Engineering* **34**, 51–60 (2019).

- ⁵⁹P. Lemenkova, “Numerical Data Modelling and Classification in Marine Geology by the SPSS Statistics”, [International Journal of Engineering Technologies](#) **5**, 90–99 (2019).
- ⁶⁰P. Lemenkova, “Regression Models by Gretl and R Statistical Packages for Data Analysis in Marine Geology”, [International Journal of Environmental Trends](#) **3**, 39–59 (2019).
- ⁶¹P. Lemenkova, “Geostatistical Analysis of the Data Sets on the Mariana Trench, Pacific Ocean”, in [Seminar presentation](#) (Apr. 2019).
- ⁶²P. Lemenkova, “Processing oceanographic data by Python libraries NumPy, SciPy and Pandas”, [Aquatic Research](#) **2**, 73–91 (2019).
- ⁶³P. Lemenkova, “An Empirical Study of R Applications for Data Analysis in Marine Geology”, [Marine Science and Technology Bulletin](#) **8**, 1–9 (2019).
- ⁶⁴P. Lemenkova, “Scatterplot Matrices of the Geomorphic Structure of the Mariana Trench at Four Tectonic Plates (Pacific, Philippine, Mariana and Caroline): a Geostatistical Analysis by R”, in [Problems of tectonics of continents and oceans, Proceedings of the 51st Tectonics Meeting](#), Vol. 1, edited by K. E. Degtyarev (Institute of Geology RAS, Jan. 2019), pp. 347–352.
- ⁶⁵P. Lemenkova, “Factor Analysis by R Programming to Assess Variability Among Environmental Determinants of the Mariana Trench”, [Turkish Journal of Maritime and Marine Sciences](#) **4**, 146–155 (2018).
- ⁶⁶P. Lemenkova, “R scripting libraries for comparative analysis of the correlation methods to identify factors affecting Mariana Trench formation”, [Journal of Marine Technology and Environment](#) **2**, 35–42 (2018).
- ⁶⁷P. Lemenkova, “Hierarchical Cluster Analysis by R language for Pattern Recognition in the Bathymetric Data Frame: a Case Study of the Mariana Trench, Pacific Ocean”, in [Virtual simulation, prototyping and industrial design, Proceedings 5th Int’l Conference Issue 5](#), Vol. 2, edited by M. N. Krasnyansky (Nov. 2018), pp. 147–152, ISBN: 978-5-8265-1997-4.

Appendices

Appendix A

Programming codes

A.1 Octave/Matlab language

A.1.1 Octave/Matlab script for cartographic visualization of the bathymetric profiles

```
1 # Script to plot cross-section bathymetric profile (here: 10 profiles, Kuril-Kamchatka Trench).
2 # cd /Users/pauline/Documents/Octave
3 # pwd
4 # load table into Octave
5 P = dlmread ('table_10KKT_N.csv');
6 P
7 # assign variables
8 K = P(:,1); % assign 400-kilometer cross-section line (km) to x axis
9 D1 = P(:,2); % assign depths to y axis
10 D2 = P(:,3); % assign depths to y axis
11 D3 = P(:,4); % assign depths to y axis
12 D4 = P(:,5); % assign depths to y axis
13 D5 = P(:,6); % assign depths to y axis
14 D6 = P(:,7); % assign depths to y axis
15 D7 = P(:,8); % assign depths to y axis
16 D8 = P(:,9); % assign depths to y axis
17 D9 = P(:,10); % assign depths to y axis
18 D10 = P(:,11); % assign depths to y axis
19 # check up variables in the current session
20 whos
21 #
22 # now plot figure
23 figure;
24 clf;
25 hold on;
26 subplot(2,1,1);
27 plot(K, D1, 'r+', 'markersize', 5, K, D2, 'go', 'markersize', 5, K, D3, 'b*', 'markersize', 5, K, D4, 'mx',
    'markersize', 5, K, D5, 'cv', 'markersize', 5); % plot x against y
28 grid on;
29 grid minor on;
30 axis tight;
31 set(gca,'xlim',[-200 200], 'xminortick', 'on');
32 title(['Octave/MATLAB plot: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench (north)'; 'cross-section profiles 1, 7, 14, 21, 28'], '
    FontSize', 10, 'Color', 'black', 'FontWeight', 'normal', 'FontName', 'Times');
33 xlabel('Observations along the 400-km cross-section line', 'FontSize', 8);
34 ylabel('Depths, m', 'FontSize', 8);
35 x = [0.55 0.50];
36 y = [0.85 0.68];
37 annotation('textarrow', x, y, 'Color', [0.4940 0.1840 0.5560], 'HeadWidth', 5,
38     'String', 'Kuril-Kamchatka Trench', 'TextColor', [0.6350 0.0780 0.1840],
39     'FontSize', 10, 'FontName', 'Times');
40 ylim ([-9000 200]);
41 xlim ([-200 200]);
42 axis([-200 200 -9000 200]);
43 xticks([-200:50:200]);
```

```

44     legend ({'profile 1', 'profile 7', 'profile 14', 'profile 21', 'profile 28'}, 'FontSize', 6, 'box', 'off'
         , 'location', 'northeastoutside');
45 subplot(2,1,2);
46 plot(K, D6, 'r<', 'markersize', 5, K, D7, 'go', 'markersize', 5, K, D8, 'b*', 'markersize', 5, K, D9, 'mx',
         'markersize', 5, K, D10, 'cv', 'markersize', 5); % plot x against y
47 grid on;
48 grid minor on;
49 axis tight;
50 set(gca,'xlim',[-200 200], 'xminortick', 'on');
51 title({'Octave/MATLAB plot: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench (north)' ; 'cross-section profiles 35, 42, 49, 56, 62'},
52       'FontSize', 10, 'Color', 'black', 'FontWeight', 'normal', 'FontName', 'Times');
53 xlabel('Observations along the 400-km cross-section line', 'FontSize', 8);
54 ylabel('Depths, m', 'FontSize', 8);
55 dim = [0.2 0.2 0.3 0.2];
56 str = {'Greater Kuril Chain','Kuril Islands'};
57 annotation('textbox', dim, 'String', str, 'FitBoxToText', 'on',
58           'FontSize', 10, 'FontName', 'Times');
59 ylim ([-9000 200]);
60 xlim ([-200 200]);
61 axis([-200 200 -9000 200]);
62 xticks([-200:50:200]);
63 legend ({'profile 35', 'profile 42', 'profile 49', 'profile 56', 'profile 62'},
64         'FontSize', 6, 'box', 'off', 'location', 'northeastoutside');
65 #saveas(gcf,'plot_KKT.jpg');
66 print('plot_KKTprofilesN','-dpdf', '-r300', '-bestfit')

```

codes/Octave.m

A.2 T_EX macro language

A.2.1 L^AT_EX code to plot bathymetric profiles

```

1 \begin{filecontents*}{MyTab18.csv}
2 ELEV ,y2,x2
3 145.528246366,47.0433461696,-7800 # bathymetric data here in 3 columns
4 \end{filecontents*}
5 \begin{tikzpicture}
6 \begin{axis}[grid=minor,minor x tick num=10,minor y tick num=10,
7   colorbar sampled line,colormap name=bluered,
8   title={Mariana Trench. Bathymetric Profiles Nr.16,17,18},
9   ylabel={Depth (m)},
10  legend entries={Profile18,Profile17,Profile16,},
11  scaled ticks=false,
12  yticklabel style={/pgf/number format/fixed,/pgf/number format/fixed zerofill,}]
13 \addplot+ [scatter,only marks,mark=Mercedes star flipped,colormap name=bluered,]
14 table [x=x, y=d, col sep=comma] {MyTab16.csv};
15 \addplot+ [scatter, colorbar sampled line,only marks,mark=asterisk,colormap
16 name=bluered,]
17 table [x=long, y=d, col sep=comma] {MyTab17.csv};
18 \addplot+ [scatter, colorbar sampled line,only marks,mark=10-pointed star,colormap
19 name=bluered,]
20 table [x=y2,y=ELEV, col sep=comma] {MyTab18.csv};
21 \end{axis}
22 \end{tikzpicture}

```

codes/Latex-bathymetry.tex

A.3 AWK language

A.3.1 AWK shell script for table reshaping

```

1 #!/bin/sh
2 # Unix prog: awk, mktemp, rm
3 # AWK script to reshape initial long table.
4 # Purpose: remove unnecessary rows, only select necessary columns, reshape columns => rows
5 # Initial table: 12726 rows 5 columns; Output table: 201 rows 11 columns.
6 # cd /Users/pauline/Documents/Octave
7 # pwd
8 # Unix: mktemp make tempfile
9 TMPFILE0=$(mktemp)
10 TMPFILE1=$(mktemp)
11 TMPFILE2=$(mktemp)
12 TMPFILE3=$(mktemp)
13 TMPFILE4=$(mktemp)
14 TMPFILE5=$(mktemp)
15 TMPFILE6=$(mktemp)
16 TMPFILE7=$(mktemp)
17 TMPFILE8=$(mktemp)
18 TMPFILE9=$(mktemp)
19 TMPFILE10=$(mktemp)
20 # awk: reshaped initial GMT table of 62 profiles (select two necessary columns; FS as space).
21 awk 'BEGIN{FS=" "; OFS=" "} {print $3, $5}' table2.txt > $TMPFILE0
22 # select only profile 1, save as table as 'table_10pr' with X and Y (location and depths):
23 awk '/profile -L0-00/,/profile -L0-01/' $TMPFILE0 > table_10p.csv
24 # awk: only take Y column (field 5) from the initial table (depths for all profiles, 12726 rows)
25 awk '{print $5}' table2.txt > $TMPFILE1
26 # awk: select profile i from the Y column (print section of file between two regex).
27 # i: 1, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, 42, 49, 56, 62.
28 awk '/-L0-07/,/-L0-08/' $TMPFILE1 > table_p07.csv
29 awk '/-L0-14/,/-L0-15/' $TMPFILE1 > table_p14.csv
30 awk '/-L0-21/,/-L0-22/' $TMPFILE1 > table_p21.csv
31 awk '/-L0-28/,/-L0-29/' $TMPFILE1 > table_p28.csv
32 awk '/-L0-35/,/-L0-36/' $TMPFILE1 > table_p35.csv
33 awk '/-L0-42/,/-L0-43/' $TMPFILE1 > table_p42.csv
34 awk '/-L0-49/,/-L0-50/' $TMPFILE1 > table_p49.csv
35 awk '/-L0-56/,/-L0-57/' $TMPFILE1 > table_p56.csv
36 awk '/-L0-62/,EOF' $TMPFILE1 > table_p62.csv
37 # awk: add single Y column of the profile i to the table 'table_10p.csv'; save output to 'table_10KKT.csv' (
    here: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench)
38 awk '{print $0}' < table_p07.csv | tee check.csv | paste table_10p.csv - > $TMPFILE2
39 awk '{print $0}' < table_p14.csv | paste $TMPFILE2 - > $TMPFILE3
40 awk '{print $0}' < table_p21.csv | paste $TMPFILE3 - > $TMPFILE4
41 awk '{print $0}' < table_p28.csv | paste $TMPFILE4 - > $TMPFILE5
42 awk '{print $0}' < table_p35.csv | paste $TMPFILE5 - > $TMPFILE6
43 awk '{print $0}' < table_p42.csv | paste $TMPFILE6 - > $TMPFILE7
44 awk '{print $0}' < table_p49.csv | paste $TMPFILE7 - > $TMPFILE8
45 awk '{print $0}' < table_p56.csv | paste $TMPFILE8 - > $TMPFILE9
46 awk '{print $0}' < table_p62.csv | paste $TMPFILE9 - > $TMPFILE10
47 # awk: delete header and tail (print only lines which do NOT match regex)
48 awk '!/-L0-/' $TMPFILE10 > table_10KKT.csv
49 # remove auxiliary files
50 rm -f table_p07.csv table_p14.csv table_p21.csv table_p28.csv table_p35.csv table_p42.csv table_p49.csv table_p
    56.csv table_p62.csv check.csv
51 rm -f $TMPFILE0 $TMPFILE1 $TMPFILE2 $TMPFILE3 $TMPFILE4 $TMPFILE5 $TMPFILE6 $TMPFILE7 $TMPFILE8 $TMPFILE9
    $TMPFILE10
52 # count number of lines
53 awk 'END{print NR}' table2.txt
54 # ans: 12726
55 awk 'END{print NR}' table_10KKT.csv
56 # ans: 201
57 # RESULT: table reshaped. Initial: 12726 rows 5 columns; Output: 201 rows 11 columns.
58 # Column 1: cross-section line 400 km long (-200:200 km). Columns 2-11: profiles

```

codes/AWK.sh

A.4 GMT shell/bash scripts

A.4.1 GMT script for bathymetric mapping, ETOPO1 (here: KKT)

```

1 #!/bin/sh
2 # Purpose: shaded relief grid raster map from ETOPO1 global data set (here: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench)
3 # GMT modules: gmtset, grdcut, makecpt, grdimage, psscale, grdcontour, psbasemap, gmtlogo, psconvert
4 # Step-1. Generate a file
5 ps=BathymetryKKT.ps
6 # Step-2. GMT set up
7 gmt set FORMAT_GEO_MAP=dddF \
8 MAP_FRAME_PEN=dimgray \
9 MAP_FRAME_WIDTH=0.1c \
10 MAP_TITLE_OFFSET=1c \
11 MAP_ANNOT_OFFSET=0.1c \
12 MAP_TICK_PEN_PRIMARY=thinner,dimgray \
13 MAP_GRID_PEN_PRIMARY=thin,white \
14 MAP_GRID_PEN_SECONDARY=thinnest,white \
15 FONT_TITLE=12p,Palatino-Roman,black \
16 FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=7p,Helvetica,dimgray \
17 FONT_LABEL=7p,Helvetica,dimgray \
18 # Step-3. Extract a subset of ETOPO1m for the Kuril-Kamchatka Trench area
19 grdcut earth_relief_01m.grd -R140/170/40/60 -Gkkt_relief.nc
20 # Step-4. Make color palette
21 gmt makecpt -Cglobe.cpt -V -T-10000/1000 > myocean.cpt
22 # Step-5. Make raster image
23 gmt grdimage kkt_relief.nc -Cmyocean.cpt -R140/170/40/60 -JM6i -P -I+a15+ne0.75 -Xc -K > $ps
24 # Step-6. Add legend
25 gmt psscale -Dg135/40+w6.5i/0.15i+v+o0.3/0i+ml -Rkkt_relief.nc -J -Cmyocean.cpt \
26 --FONT_LABEL=8p,Helvetica,dimgray \
27 --FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=5p,Helvetica,dimgray \
28 -Baf+l"Topographic color scale" \
29 -I0.2 -By+lm -O -K >> $ps
30 # Step-7. Add shorelines
31 gmt grdcontour kkt_relief.nc -R -J -C1000 -W0.1p -O -K >> $ps
32 # Step-8. Add grid
33 gmt psbasemap -R -J \
34 -Bpxg8f2a4 -Bpyg6f3a3 -Bsxg4 -Bsyg3 \
35 -B+t"Bathymetry of the Kuril-Kamchatka Trench and coastal land topography" -O -K >> $ps
36 # Step-9. Add scale, directional rose
37 gmt psbasemap -R -J \
38 --FONT=8p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
39 --MAP_TITLE_OFFSET=0.3c \
40 -Tdx1.0c/13.3c+w0.3i+f2+l+o0.15i \
41 -Lx5.3i/-0.5i+c50+w500k+l"Mercator projection. Scale (km)" +f \
42 -UBL/-15p/-40p -O -K >> $ps
43 # Step-10. Add GMT logo
44 gmt logo -Dx6.2/-2.2+o0.1i/0.1i+w2c -O -K >> $ps
45 # Step-11. Add subtitle
46 gmt pstext -R0/10/0/15 -JX10/10 -X0.5c -Y7.1c -N -O \
47 -F+f10p,Palatino-Roman,black+jLB >> $ps << EOF
48 3.0 15.0 ETOPO1 Global Relief Model 1 arc min resolution grid
49 EOF
50 # Step-12. Convert to image file using GhostScript
51 gmt psconvert BathymetryKKT.ps -A0.2c -E720 -Tj -Z
52 #
53 # -Bxg4f2a4 -Byg4f2a4

```

codes/GMT-01.sh

A.4.2 GMT script for 3D mesh grid modelling, DEM based (here: AT)

```

1 #!/bin/sh
2 # Purpose: 3D grid, 165/45 azimuth from the ETOPO5 (here: Aleutian Trench)
3 # GMT modules: grdcut, grd2cpt, grdcontour, pscoast, grdview, logo, psconvert
4 # Unix prog: rm
5 # Step-1. Cut grid
6 gmt grdcut earth_relief_05m.grd -R154/220/40/65 -Gat_relief.nc
7 # Step-2. Create color palette
8 gmt grd2cpt @at_relief.nc -Crainbow > rainbowAT.cpt
9 # Step-3. generate a file
10 ps=AT3D165.ps
11 # Step-4. Add contour
12 gmt grdcontour geoid.egm96.grd -JM10c -R154/220/40/65\
13   -p165/45 -C1 -A5+f8p,Palatino-Bold -Gd3c -Wthinnest,dimgray -Y3c\
14   -U/-0.5c/-1c/"Data: 2 min World Geoid Image 9.2, ETOPO 5 arc min grid"\
15   -P -K > $ps
16 # Step-5. Add coastlines, ticks, directional rose
17 pscoast -J -R -p165/45 -B8/4NESW -Gdimgray\
18   -T216/42/1c\
19   --MAP_ANNOT_OFFSET=0.1c -O -K >> $ps
20 # Step-6. Add 3D
21 grdview at_relief.nc -J -R -JZ2i -CrainbowAT.cpt\
22   -p165/45 -Qsm -N-7500+glightgray\
23   -Wm0.07p -Wf0.1p,red -Wc0.1p,magenta\
24   -B8/4/2000:"Bathymetry and topography (m)":ESwZ -S5 -Y4.0c \
25   --FONT_LABEL=8p,Palatino-Bold,darkblue\
26   --FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=7p,Helvetica,black \
27   --MAP_FRAME_PEN=black -O -K >> $ps
28 # Step-7. Add GMT logo
29 gmt logo -Dx10.5/0.0+o0.0c/-5.5c+w2c -O -K >> $ps
30 # Step-8. Add title
31 gmt pstext -R0/10/0/10 -Jx1 -X-0.8c -Y0.0c -N -O -K \
32   -F+f10p,Palatino-Roman,black+jLB >> $ps << EOF
33 0.0 10.0 Composite overlay of the 3D topographic mesh model
34 0.0 9.5 on top of the 2D geoid contour plot
35 0.0 9.0 Region: the Aleutian Islands and Aleutian Trench, North Pacific Ocean
36 EOF
37 gmt pstext -R0/10/0/10 -Jx1 -X0.0c -Y0.0c -N -O\
38   -F+f8p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray+jLB >> $ps << EOF
39 7.0 8.0 Perspective view azimuth: 165/45\232
40 EOF
41 # Step-9. Convert to image file using GhostScript (portrait orientation, 720 dpi)
42 gmt psconvert AT3D165.ps -A0.2c -E720 -Tj -P -Z
43 # Step-10. Clean up
44 rm -f rainbowAT.cpt

```

codes/GMT-02.sh

A.4.3 GMT script for bathymetry contour mapping (here: KKT)

```

1 #!/bin/sh
2 # Purpose: bathymetry contour from the ETOPO5 grid global data set (here: Kuril-Kamchatka area)
3 # GMT modules: gmtset, grdcut, grdinfo, grdcontour, psbasemap, psxy, logo, pstext, psconvert
4 # Step-1. Generate a file
5 ps=GMT_JB_bathy_KKT.ps
6 # Step-2. GMT set up
7 gmt set FORMAT_GEO_MAP=dddF \
8     MAP_FRAME_PEN=dimgray \
9     MAP_FRAME_WIDTH=0.08c \
10    MAP_TICK_PEN_PRIMARY=thinner,dimgray \
11    MAP_GRID_PEN_PRIMARY=thinnest,dimgray \
12    FONT_TITLE=14p,Palatino-Roman,black \
13    FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=7p,Helvetica,darkblue \
14    FONT_LABEL=7p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
15 # Step-3. Cut off the grid
16 gmt grdcut earth_relief_05m.grd -R140/170/40/60 -Gkkt_relief.nc -V
17 gmt grdinfo @kkt_relief.nc
18 # Step-4. Add contour
19 gmt grdcontour @kkt_relief.nc -R140/170/40/60 -JB155/50/45/55/6.0i \
20     -C500 -A1000 -S4 -T+d15p/3p \
21     --FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=7p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
22     -P -K > $ps
23 # Step-5. Basemap: title, ticks
24 gmt psbasemap -R -J \
25     -Bxg4f2a5 -Byg2f1a2 \
26     -B+t"Bathymetric contour of the Kuril-Kamchatka Trench area" \
27     -U -O -K >> $ps
28 # Step-6. Add scale, directional rose
29 gmt psbasemap -R -J \
30     --FONT=8p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
31     --MAP_TITLE_OFFSET=0.3c \
32     -Tdg144/57.5+w0.5c+f2+l \
33     -Lx5.1i/-0.5i+c50+w1000k+l"Conic equal-area Albers projection. Scale at 50\232N, km"+f \
34     -O -K >> $ps
35 # Step-7. Study area
36 gmt psbasemap -R -J \
37     -D144/40.2/162/51r -F+pthin,red \
38     -O -K >> $ps
39 # Step-8. Study area label annotation
40 gmt psxy -R -J -Wthin -O -K \
41     -Sqnl:+f12p,Times-Roman,red+l"Study Area"+c5p+pthick,red+o << EOF >> $ps
42 144.2 41.1
43 160.8 41.5
44 EOF
45 # Step-9. Add logo
46 gmt logo -R -J -Dx6.5/-2.2+o0.1i/0.1i+w2c -O -K >> $ps
47 # Step-10. Add text
48 gmt pstext -R0/8.5/0/11 -Jxli -F+f7p,Helvetica,dimgray+jCB -N -O -K >> $ps << END
49 0.34 -0.5 Bathymetry: ETOPO 5 arc min Global Relief Model
50 END
51 gmt pstext -R -J -F+f7p,Helvetica,dimgray+jCB -N -O >> $ps << EOF
52 4.8 -0.7 Standard parallels at 45\232 and 55\232 N
53 EOF
54 # Step-11. Convert to image file using GhostScript
55 gmt psconvert GMT_JB_bathy_KKT.ps -A0.2c -E720 -Tj -Z

```

codes/GMT-03.sh

A.4.4 GMT script for geoid modelling (here: KKT)

```

1 #!/bin/sh
2 # Purpose: Geoid model map with coastline and grid crosses
3 # Equidistant conic prj (here: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench). Small inserted World map: Eckert VI prj.
4 # GMT modules: gmtset, grd2cpt, grdimage, pscoast, grdcontour, psbasemap, psscale, psimage, logo, pstext,
   psconvert
5 # Step-1. Generate a file
6 ps=Geoid_KKT.ps
7 # Step-2. GMT set up
8 gmt set FORMAT_GEO_MAP=dddF \
9     MAP_TITLE_OFFSET=1c \
10    MAP_FRAME_PEN=dimgray \
11    MAP_FRAME_WIDTH=0.1c \
12    MAP_TICK_PEN_PRIMARY=thinner,dimgray \
13    MAP_GRID_PEN_PRIMARY=thinnest \
14    MAP_GRID_CROSS_SIZE_PRIMARY=0.1i \
15    FONT_TITLE=14p,Palatino-Roman,black \
16    FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=7p,Helvetica,dimgray \
17    FONT_LABEL=7p,Helvetica,dimgray \
18 # Step-3. Generate a color palette table from grid
19 gmt grd2cpt geoid.egm96.grd -Crainbow > geoid.cpt
20 # Step-4. Generate geoid image with shading
21 gmt grdimage geoid.egm96.grd -Ia45+nt1 -R140/170/40/60 -JD155/50/45/55/6i -Cgeoid.cpt -P -K > $ps
22 # Step-5. Add basemap: grid, title, coastline
23 gmt pscoast -R -J -P \
24     -V -W0.25p \
25     -Df -B+t"Geoid gravitational regional model: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench area" \
26     -Bxa4g3f2 -Bya4g3f2 \
27     -O -K >> $ps
28 # Step-6. Add geoid contour
29 gmt grdcontour geoid.egm96.grd -R -J -C2 -A5 -Wthinnest,dimgray -O -K >> $ps
30 # Step-7. Add scale, directional rose
31 gmt psbasemap -R -J \
32     --FONT=7p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
33     --MAP_ANNOT_OFFSET=0.0c \
34     --MAP_TITLE_OFFSET=0.3c \
35     -Tdg144/57.5+w0.5c+f2+l \
36     -Lx5.1i/-0.5i+c50+w800k+l"Equidistant conic projection. Scale, km"+f \
37     -UBL/-15p/-40p -O -K >> $ps
38 # Step-8. Add scale, magnetic rose
39 gmt psbasemap -R -J \
40     --FONT=7p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
41     --FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=7p \
42     --MAP_ANNOT_OFFSET_PRIMARY=0.1c \
43     --MAP_ANNOT_OFFSET_SECONDARY=0.1c \
44     --LABEL_OFFSET=0.1c \
45     --MAP_TICK_PEN_PRIMARY=thinnest,dimgray \
46     -Tmg162.5/45.2+w1.5i+d-14.5+t45/10/5+i0.25p,blue+p0.25p,red+l+jCM \
47     -O -K >> $ps
48 # Step-9. Add legend
49 gmt psscale -R -J -Cgeoid.cpt \
50     -Dg135/40+w4.7i/0.15i+v+o1.0/0i+m1 \
51     --FONT_LABEL=8p,Helvetica,dimgray \
52     --FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=5p,Helvetica,dimgray \
53     -Baf+l"Gravitation modelling color scale" \
54     -IO.2 -By+lmGal -O -K >> $ps
55 # Step-10. Insert map (global geoid)
56 gmt psimage -R -J Geoid_World.jpg -DjTR+w4.3c+o-5.5c/0c -O -K >> $ps
57 # Step-11. Add logo
58 gmt logo -R -J -Dx6.5/-2.2+o0.1i/0.1i+w2c -O -K >> $ps
59 # Step-12. Add subtitle
60 gmt pstext -R0/10/0/15 -JX10/10 -X0.5c -Y4.5c -N -O -K \
61     -F+f10p,Palatino-Roman,black+jLB >> $ps << EOF
62 3.0 15.0 World Geoid Image version 9.2, 2 min resolution
63 EOF
64 # Step-13. Add text
65 gmt pstext -R -J -X2.5c -Y-6.4c -N -O -K \

```



```

66 -F+f7p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray+jCB >> $ps << END
67 10.0 0.0 Standard parallels at 45\232 and 55\232 N
68 END
69 # Step-14. Add text
70 gmt pstext -R -J -N -O \
71 -F+f7p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray+jCB >> $ps << END
72 9.7 4.8 Magnetic rose
73 END
74 # Step-15. Convert to image file using GhostScript
75 gmt psconvert Geoid_KKT.ps -A0.2c -E720 -P -Tj -Z

```

codes/GMT-04.sh

A.4.5 GMT script for geological World map

```

1 #!/bin/sh
2 # Purpose: Geological map in Eckert VI equal-area pseudocylindrical projection (here: Pacific Ocean)
3 # GMT modules: gmtset, gmtdefaults, pscoast, psxy, psbasemap, pslegend, logo, psconvert
4 # Step-1. Generate file
5 ps=GMT_geology_World.ps
6 # Step-2. GMT set up
7 gmt set FORMAT_GEO_MAP=dddF \
8   MAP_FRAME_PEN=dimgray \
9   MAP_FRAME_WIDTH=0.1c MAP_TITLE_OFFSET=0.3c \
10  MAP_TICK_PEN_PRIMARY=thinner,dimgray \
11  MAP_GRID_PEN_PRIMARY=thinner,dimgray \
12  FONT_TITLE=12p,Palatino-Roman,black \
13  FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=7p,Helvetica,dimgray \
14  FONT_LABEL=7p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray
15 # Step-3. Overwrite defaults of GMT
16 gmtdefaults -D > .gmtdefaults
17 # Step-4. Plot coastmap
18 gmt pscoast -Rg -JKs180/9i -Gpapayawhip -Slightcyan -W0.25p -Dc \
19 -B+t"Geological features: World map. Central meridian at 180\232, Pacific Ocean" \
20 -Vv -K > $ps
21 # Step-5. Add geology
22 gmt psxy -R -J hotspots.gmt -Sc0.2c -Gred -O -K >> $ps
23 gmt psxy -R -J ridge.gmt -Sf0.5c/0.15c+l+t -Wthin,purple -Gpurple -O -K >> $ps
24 gmt psxy -R -J transform.gmt -Sf0.5c/0.15c+l+t -Wthin,orange -Gorange -O -K >> $ps
25 gmt psxy -R -J trench.gmt -Sf1.5c/0.2c+l+t -Wthick,red -Gred -O -K >> $ps
26 gmt psxy -R -J LIPS.2001.points.gmt -Sc0.1c -Gyellow -O -K >> $ps
27 gmt psxy -R -J LIPS.2011.gmt -L -Gp7+bred+f+r300 -Wthinest,red -O -K >> $ps
28 gmt psxy -R -J ophiolites.gmt -Sc0.1c -Gmagenta -O -K >> $ps
29 gmt psxy -R -J volcanoes.gmt -Sc0.1c -Ggreen -O -K >> $ps
30 # Step-6. Add grid, scale bar
31 gmt psbasemap -R -J \
32 -Bpx30g30 -Bpy10g10 \
33 -Lx11.4c/-1.3c+c50+w1000k+l"Eckert VI equal-area pseudocylindrical projection. Scale (km)" +f \
34 -UBL/45p/-40p -O -K >> $ps
35 # Step-7. Add legend
36 gmt pslegend -R -J -Dx19.0/-2.0+w5.2c+o0.0/0.2c -F+pthin+ithinner+gwhite \
37 --FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=8p -O -K << EOF >> $ps
38 S 0.3c c 0.2c red 0.15p 1.0c Hotspots
39 S 0.3c f+l+t 0.7c red 0.15p 1.0c Trench
40 S 0.3c f+l+t 0.7c purple 0.15p 1.0c Ridge
41 S 0.3c f+l+t 0.7c orange 0.15p 1.0c Transform lines
42 S 0.3c c 0.2c magenta 0.15p 1.0c Ophiolites
43 S 0.3c r 0.5c p7+bred+f+r300- 0.15p 1.0c Large igneous provinces (LIPs)
44 S 0.3c c 0.2c yellow 0.15p 1.0c Spots of LIPs
45 S 0.3c c 0.2c green 0.15p 1.0c Volcanoes
46 EOF
47 # Step-8. Add logo
48 gmt logo -R -J -Dx10.5/-2.7+o0.1i/0.1i+w2c -O >> $ps
49 # Step-9. Convert to image file using GhostScript (landscape orientation, 720 dpi)
50 gmt psconvert GMT_geology_World.ps -A0.5c -E720 -Tj -Z

```

codes/GMT-05.sh

A.4.6 GMT script for regional geological map (here: MAT)

```

1 #!/bin/sh
2 # Purpose: Map of geological settings (here: Middle America Trench). Lambert conic conformal prj.
3 # GMT modules: gmtset, gmtdefaults, makecpt, grdcut, grdinfo, pscoast, psbasemap, grdcontour, project, psxy,
4 #             pslegend, pstext, logo, psconvert
5 # Generate a file
6 ps=GMT_JL_geol_MAT.ps
7 # GMT set up
8 gmt set FORMAT_GEO_MAP=dddF \
9     MAP_FRAME_PEN dimgray \
10    MAP_FRAME_WIDTH 0.1c \
11    MAP_TITLE_OFFSET 0.5c \
12    MAP_ANNOT_OFFSET 0.1c \
13    MAP_TICK_PEN_PRIMARY thinner,dimgray \
14    MAP_GRID_PEN_PRIMARY thinner,dimgray \
15    MAP_GRID_PEN_SECONDARY thinnest,dimgray \
16    FONT_TITLE 12p,Palatino-Roman,black \
17    FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY 7p,Helvetica,dimgray \
18    FONT_LABEL 7p,Helvetica,dimgray \
19 # Overwrite defaults of GMT
20 gmtdefaults -D > .gmtdefaults
21 # Cut off the relief map from ETOP05
22 gmt grdcut earth_relief_05m.grd -R263/278/7/17 -Gmat_relief.nc -V
23 # Add coastlines; color areas: land-green water-blue
24 gmt pscoast -R263/278/7/17 -JL270/12/10/14/6i -P \
25     -W0.1p -Gpapayawhip -Slightcyan -Df -K > $ps
26 # Add elements of basemap: title, grids, rose, scale, time stamp
27 gmt psbasemap -R -J \
28     -B+t"Geological and tectonic setting of the Guatemala Trench area" \
29     -Bpxg4f2a2.5 -Bpyg2f1a2 -Bsxg4 -Bsyg4 \
30     -Lx12.6c/-2.8c+c50+w500k+1"Lambert conic conformal projection. Scale at 12\232N, km"+f \
31     -O -K >> $ps
32 # Add directional rose
33 gmt psbasemap -R -J \
34     --FONT=7p,Palatino-Roman,darkblue \
35     --MAP_TITLE_OFFSET=0.3c \
36     -Tdg264/8+w0.5c+f2+1 \
37     -UBL/.6c/-2.8c -O -K >> $ps
38 # Add bathymetric contours
39 gmt grdcontour @mat_relief.nc -R -J -C500 \
40     -A2000+f7p,Times-Roman -S4 -T+d15p/3p \
41     -W0.1p -O -K >> $ps
42 # Add geological lines and points
43 gmt makecpt -Crainbow -T0/700/50 -Z > rain.cpt
44 gmt psxy -R -J trench.gmt -Sf1.5c/0.2c+l+t -Wthick,darkred -Gdarkred -O -K >> $ps
45 gmt psxy -R -J ophiolites.gmt -Sc0.2c -Ggoldenrod1 -W0.08 -O -K >> $ps
46 gmt psxy -R -J volcanoes.gmt -St0.2c -Gred -Wthinnest -O -K >> $ps
47 gmt psxy -R -J ridge.gmt -Sf0.5c/0.2c+l+t -Wthinnest,black -Ggreen -O -K >> $ps
48 gmt psxy -R -J LIPS.2011.gmt -L -Gpink1@50 -Wthinnest,red -O -K >> $ps
49 # Add fracture zones and magnetic anomalies
50 gmt psxy -R -J GSFML_SF_FZ_KM.gmt -Wthick,violet -O -K >> $ps
51 gmt psxy -R -J GSFML_SF_FZ_RM.gmt -Wthick,orange -O -K >> $ps
52 # Add slab contours
53 gmt psxy -R -J SC_camerica.txt -W0.6p,bisque4,- -O -K >> $ps
54 # Add magnetic lineation picks (gray-colored)
55 gmt psxy -R -J GSFML.global.picks.gmt -Sc0.2c -Wthinnest,cadetblue -O -K >> $ps
56 # Add text
57 gmt pstext -R -J -N -O -K \
58     -F+f10p,Palatino-Roman,brown+jLB >> $ps << EOF
59 EOF
60 # Text names
61 gmt pstext -R -J -X0.0c -Y0.0c -N -O -K \
62     -F+f10p,Palatino-Roman,brown+jLB >> $ps << EOF
63 274.2 14.3 Carribean Plate
64 272.0 15.5 Chortis Block
65 267.5 16.5 North American Plate

```

```

66 EOF
67 gmt pstext -R -J -X0.0c -Y0.0c -N -O -K \
68 -F+jTL+f8p,Times-Roman,black+jLB+a-340 -Gwhite@30 -Wthinest >> $ps << EOF
69 270.0 15.8 Motagua Fault
70 EOF
71 gmt pstext -R -J -X0.0c -Y0.0c -N -O -K \
72 -F+jTL+f8p,Times-Roman,black+jLB+a-2 -Gwhite@30 -Wthinest >> $ps << EOF
73 268.3 15.6 Polochic Fault
74 EOF
75 gmt pstext -R -J -X0.0c -Y0.0c -N -O -K \
76 -F+jTL+f8p,Times-Roman,brown+jLB+a-37 -Gwhite@30 -Wthinest >> $ps << EOF
77 274.0 10.0 Nicoya Peninsula
78 EOF
79 gmt pstext -R -J -X0.0c -Y0.0c -N -O -K \
80 -F+jTL+f8p,Times-Roman,black+jLB+a-305 -Gwhite@30 -Wthinest >> $ps << EOF
81 273.5 14.1 Guayape Fault
82 EOF
83 gmt pstext -R -J -X0.0c -Y0.0c -N -O -K \
84 -F+jTL+f8p,Times-Roman,blue=0.1p,black+jLB+a-350 >> $ps << EOF
85 264.7 15.5 Gulf of
86 264.7 15.2 Tehuantepec
87 271.0 12.7 Gulf of
88 271.3 12.5 Fonseca
89 EOF
90 gmt pstext -R -J -X0.0c -Y0.0c -N -O -K \
91 -F+jTL+f9p,Times-Roman,brown+jLB+a-315 >> $ps << EOF
92 263.4 12.8 Tehuantepec Ridge
93 275.4 7.3 Cocos
94 275.6 7.1 Ridge
95 EOF
96 gmt pstext -R -J -X0.0c -Y0.0c -N -O -K \
97 -F+jTL+f9p,Times-Roman,brown+jLB+a-337 >> $ps << EOF
98 272.5 16.2 Cayman Ridge
99 EOF
100 gmt pstext -R -J -X0.0c -Y0.0c -N -O -K \
101 -F+jTL+f9p,Times-Roman,brown=0.1p,black+jLB+a-28 >> $ps << EOF
102 271.3 14.0 P a c i f i c
103 EOF
104 gmt pstext -R -J -X0.0c -Y0.0c -N -O -K \
105 -F+jTL+f9p,Times-Roman,brown=0.1p,black+jLB+a-36 >> $ps << EOF
106 273.4 12.8 V o l c a n i c
107 EOF
108 gmt pstext -R -J -X0.0c -Y0.0c -N -O -K \
109 -F+jTL+f9p,Times-Roman,brown=0.1p,black+jLB+a-39 >> $ps << EOF
110 275.4 11.0 C h a i n
111 EOF
112 gmt pstext -R -J -X0.0c -Y0.0c -N -O -K \
113 -F+jTL+f10p,Times-Roman,blue+jLB+a-25 >> $ps << EOF
114 264.5 10.0 P a c i f i c O c e a n
115 EOF
116 # Text names
117 gmt pstext -R -J -X0.0c -Y0.0c -N -O -K \
118 -F+jTL+f10p,Times-Roman,blue+jLB+a-25 >> $ps << FIN
119 266.5 14.0 M i d d l e
120 269.5 12.7 A m e r i c a
121 FIN
122 gmt pstext -R -J -X0.0c -Y0.0c -N -O -K \
123 -F+jTL+f10p,Times-Roman,blue+jLB+a-21 >> $ps << FIN
124 268.2 12.5 ( G u a t e m a l a )
125 FIN
126 # Text names
127 gmt pstext -R -J -X0.0c -Y0.0c -N -O -K \
128 -F+jTL+f10p,Times-Roman,blue+jLB+a-45 >> $ps << FIN
129 272.7 11.0 T r e n c h
130 FIN
131 # Arrows of tectonic plates movements
132 gmt psxy -R -J -Sv0.5c+bt+ea -Gmidnightblue@30 -Wl.0p -O -K << EOF >> $ps
133 265.0 11.5 50 2c
134 267.5 10.5 50 2c

```

```

135 270.0 9.5 50 2c
136 272.0 14.5 180 1c
137 272.2 14.5 0 1c
138 EOF
139
140 # Add legend
141 gmt pslegend -R -J -Dx0.5/-2.2+w14.0c+o0.1/0.1c \
142   -F+pthin+ithinner+gwhite \
143   --FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=8p -O -K << FIN >> $ps
144 N 3
145 S 0.3c f+l+t 0.7c darkred 0.01c 1.0c Guatemala Trench
146 S 0.3c f+l+t 0.7c green 0.01c 1.0c Ridge
147 S 0.3c c 0.2c goldenrod1 0.01c 1.0c Ophiolites
148 S 0.3c t 0.2c red 0.01c 1.0c Volcanoes
149 S 0.3c v 0.8c midnightblue 0.01c 1.0c Tectonic plates movements
150 S 0.3c - 0.8c - 0.5p,orange 1.0c Fracture zones
151 S 0.3c - 0.7c - 0.5p,violet 1.0c Magnetic anomaly lines
152 S 0.3c - 0.7c - 0.6p,bisque4,- 1.0c Tectonic slabs
153 S 0.3c c 0.2c -W0.25p,cadetblue 0.01c 1.0c Magnetic lineation picks
154 S 0.3c r 0.5c pink1@50 0.01c 1.0c Large igneous provinces
155 FIN
156 # Add text
157 gmt pstext -R -J -N -O -K \
158   -F+f7p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray+jLB >> $ps << END
159 274.0 3.8 Standard parallel at 10 and 14 N
160 END
161 # Add subtitle
162 gmt pstext -R -J -N -O -K \
163   -F+f10p,Palatino-Roman,black+jLB >> $ps << EOF
164 266.5 17.7 Bathymetry: ETOPO 5 arc min Global Relief Model
165 EOF
166 # Add GMT logo
167 gmt logo -R -J -Dx5.0/0.0c+o1.6c/-3.4c+w2c -O >> $ps
168 # Convert to image file using GhostScript (portrait orientation, 720 dpi)
169 gmt psconvert GMT_JL_geol_MAT.ps -A1.2c -E720 -Tj -P -Z

```

codes/GMT-06.sh

A.4.7 GMT script for free-air gravity modelling (here: KKT)

```

1 #!/bin/sh
2 # Purpose: Gravity model map. Mercator prj (here: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench).
3 # GMT modules: gmtset, img2grd, grd2cpt, grdimage, pscoast, psbasemap, psscale, logo, pstext, psconvert
4 # Step-1. Generate a file
5 ps=Grav_KKT.ps
6 # Step-2. GMT set up
7 gmt set FORMAT_GEO_MAP=dddF \
8     MAP_TITLE_OFFSET=1c \
9     MAP_FRAME_PEN=dimgray \
10    MAP_FRAME_WIDTH=0.1c \
11    MAP_TICK_PEN_PRIMARY=thinner,dimgray \
12    MAP_GRID_PEN_PRIMARY=thinnest,gray30 \
13    MAP_GRID_CROSS_SIZE_PRIMARY=0.5c \
14    MAP_ANNOT_OFFSET=0.1c \
15    FONT_TITLE=14p,Palatino-Roman,black \
16    FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=7p,Helvetica,dimgray \
17    FONT_LABEL=7p,Helvetica,dimgray \
18    MAP_LABEL_OFFSET=0.1c
19 # Step-3. Extract subset of img file in Mercator or Geographic format
20 img2grd grav_27.1.img -R140/170/40/60 -Ggrav.grd -T1 -I1 -E -S0.1 -V
21 # Step-4. Generate a color palette table from grid
22 gmt grd2cpt grav.grd -Crainbow > grav.cpt
23 # Step-5. Generate gravity image with shading
24 gmt grdimage grav.grd -I+a45+nt1 -R140/170/40/60 -JM6i -Cgrav.cpt -P -K > $ps
25 # Step-6. Add basemap: grid, title, coastline
26 gmt pscoast -R -J -P \
27     -V -W0.25p \
28     -Df -B+t"Marine free-air gravity anomaly for the Kuril-Kamchatka Trench area" \
29     -Bxa4g3f2 -Bya4g3f2 \
30     -O -K >> $ps
31 # Step-7. Add scale, directional rose
32 gmt psbasemap -R -J \
33     --FONT=8p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
34     --MAP_ANNOT_OFFSET=0.15c \
35     -Tdg143/58.5+w0.5c+f2+l \
36     -Lx5.0i/-0.5i+c50+w800k+l"Mercator projection. Scale, km"+f \
37     -UBL/-15p/-40p -O -K >> $ps
38 # Step-8. Add legend
39 gmt psscale -R -J -Cgrav.cpt \
40     -Dgl35/40+w15.0c/0.5c+v+o0.7/0i+ml \
41     --FONT_LABEL=7p,Helvetica,dimgray \
42     --FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=7p,Helvetica,dimgray \
43     --MAP_ANNOT_OFFSET=0.1c \
44     --MAP_LABEL_OFFSET=0.1c \
45     -Baf+l"Marine free-air gravity anomaly color scale" \
46     -IO.2 -By+lmGal -O -K >> $ps
47 # Step-9. Add logo
48 gmt logo -R -J -Dx6.5/-2.2+o0.1i/0.1i+w2c -O -K >> $ps
49 # Step-10. Add subtitle
50 gmt pstext -R0/10/0/15 -JX10/10 -X0.5c -Y7.0c -N -O \
51     -F+f10p,Palatino-Roman,black+jLB >> $ps << EOF
52 3.0 15.0 Global gravity grid from CryoSat-2 and Jason-1, 1 min resolution
53 EOF
54 # Step-11. Convert to image file using GhostScript (portrait orientation, 720 dpi)
55 gmt psconvert Grav_KKT.ps -A0.2c -E720 -Tj -P -Z

```

codes/GMT-07.sh

A.4.8 GMT script for generating and plotting stacked cross-sectioning bathymetric profiles (here: MAT). Profiles info: 400 km long, spaced 20 km, sampled every 2km

```

1 #!/bin/sh
2 # Purpose: Generating and plotting stacked cross-sectioning bathymetric track profiles. Here: Guatemala Trench
3 # # GMT modules: grdcut, makecpt, grdimage, psscale, grdcontour, psbasemap, psxy, grdtrack, convert, pstext,
4 # # Unix progs: echo, rm, cat
5 # Step-1. Generate a file
6 ps=crossMAT.ps
7 # Step-2. GMT set up
8 gmt set FORMAT_GEO_MAP=dddF \
9     MAP_FRAME_PEN=dimgray \
10    MAP_FRAME_WIDTH=0.1c \
11    MAP_TITLE_OFFSET=0.5c \
12    MAP_ANNOT_OFFSET=0.2c \
13    MAP_TICK_PEN_PRIMARY=thinnest,dimgray \
14    MAP_GRID_PEN_PRIMARY=thinnest,white \
15    MAP_GRID_PEN_SECONDARY=thinnest,white \
16    FONT_TITLE=12p,Palatino-Roman,black \
17    FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=9p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
18    FONT_LABEL=10p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
19 # Step-3. Overwrite defaults of GMT
20 gmtdefaults -D > .gmtdefaults
21 # Step-4. Extract a subset of ETOP01m for the Middle America Trench area
22 grdcut earth_relief_01m.grd -R263/278/7/17 -Gmat_relief.nc
23 # Step-5. Make color palette
24 gmt makecpt -Cterra -V -T-6500/2500 > myoceanMAT.cpt
25 # Step-6. Make raster image
26 gmt grdimage mat_relief.nc -CmyoceanMAT.cpt -R263/278/7/17 -JM6i \
27     -P -I+al5+ne0.75 -Xc -K > $ps
28 # Step-7. Add color legend
29 gmt psscale -Dg260/7+w10.3c/0.4c+v+o0.3/0i+ml -Rmat_relief.nc -J -CmyoceanMAT.cpt \
30     --FONT_LABEL=8p,Helvetica,dimgray \
31     --FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=5p,Helvetica,dimgray \
32     -Baf+l"Color scale legend: depth and height elevations (m)" \
33     -IO.2 -By+lm -O -K >> $ps
34 # annotation
35 echo "263.3 16.7 B" | gmt pstext -R -J -F+jTL+f20p,black -Gfloralwhite -W0.5p -O -K >> $ps
36 # Step-8. Add shorelines
37 gmt grdcontour mat_relief.nc -R -J -C500 \
38     -B+t"Cross-sectional profiles of the Guatemala Trench on the selected segment" \
39     -W0.1p -O -K >> $ps
40 # Step-9. Add grid
41 gmt psbasemap -R -J \
42     -Lx13.0c/-0.5i+c50+w400k+l"Mercator projection. Scale (km)" +f \
43     -Bpxg4f2a2 -Bpyg6f2a2 -Bsxg2 -Bsyg2 \
44     --FONT=8p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
45     -UBL/-15p/-35p -O -K >> $ps
46 # Step-10. Add directional rose
47 gmt psbasemap -R -J \
48     --FONT=7p,Palatino-Roman,white \
49     --MAP_TITLE_OFFSET=0.3c \
50     -Tdx1.0c/1.0c+w0.3i+f2+l+o0.15i -O -K >> $ps
51 # Step-11. Select two points along the Middle America Trench
52 cat << EOF > trenchMAT.txt
53 268.4 13.0
54 271.9 11.6
55 EOF
56 # Step-12. Plot trench segment and end points
57 gmt psxy -Rmat_relief.nc -J -W2p,red trenchMAT.txt -O -K >> $ps # my line
58 gmt psxy -R -J -Sc0.15i -Gred trenchMAT.txt -O -K >> $ps # points
59 # Step-13. Generate cross-track profiles 400 km long, spaced 10 km, sampled every 2km
60 # and stack these using the mean, write stacked profile
61 gmt grdtrack trenchMAT.txt -Gmat_relief.nc -C400k/2k/10k+v -Sa+sstackMAT.txt > tableMAT.txt
62 # and stack these using the median, write stacked profile
63 # gmt grdtrack trenchMAT.txt -Gmat_relief.nc -C400k/2k/20k+v -Sm+sstackMAT.txt > tableMAT.txt

```

```

64 gmt psxy -R -J -W0.5p,yellow tableMAT.txt -O -K >> $ps
65 # Step-14. Add text annotation
66 gmt pstext -R -J -F+f10,Palatino-Roman,white -O -K >> $ps << END
67 192 47.5 profiles 400 km long, 20 km spaced
68 192 46.5 samples every 2 km along each profile
69 180 57 Pacific Ocean
70 END
71 # Step-15. Show upper/lower values encountered as an envelope
72 gmt convert stackMAT.txt -o0,5 > envMAT.txt
73 gmt convert stackMAT.txt -o0,6 -I -T >> envMAT.txt
74 # Step-16. Plot graph (statistical mean for the profiles)
75 gmt psxy -R-200/200/-7000/2000 -JX15.2c/5c -Y13.0c envMAT.txt -W0.5p \
76 -Bpxag100f10+l"Distance from trench (km)" \
77 -Bpya2000gf+l"Depth (m)" \
78 -Bsxg50 -Bsyg1000 \
79 --FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=9p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
80 --MAP_LABEL_OFFSET=0.1c \
81 --MAP_GRID_PEN_PRIMARY=thinner,dimgray \
82 --MAP_GRID_PEN_SECONDARY=thinnest,dimgray \
83 --FONT_LABEL=10p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray -BWeSn \
84 -Glightgray -O -K >> $ps
85 gmt psxy -R -J -W1.0p -Ey+p0.2p stackMAT.txt -O -K >> $ps
86 gmt psxy -R -J -W1.0p,red stackMAT.txt -O -K >> $ps
87 # Step-17. Add test annotations
88 echo "0 1500 Mean stacked profile with error bars" | gmt pstext -R -J -Gwhite -F+jTC+f10p,red -O -K >> $ps
89 echo "120 -3000 Carribean Plate " | gmt pstext -R -J -F+jTC+f10p,orangered4 -Gwhite -O -K >> $ps
90 echo "-10 0 Guatemala Trench" | gmt pstext -R -J -F+f10p,orangered4+jTC -Gwhite -O -K >> $ps
91 echo "-100 -5000 Cocos Plate" | gmt pstext -R -J -F+jTC+f10p,orangered4 -Gwhite -O -K >> $ps
92 echo "140 -1000 Chortis block" | gmt pstext -R -J -F+jTL+f10p,darkbrown -Gwhite -O -K >> $ps
93 echo "15 -5500 Oceanward Forearc" | gmt pstext -R -J -F+jTL+f10p,darkbrown+a-310 -Gwhite -O -K >> $ps
94 echo "-190 1500 A" | gmt pstext -R -J -F+jTL+f18p,black -Gfloralwhite -W0.5p -O -K >> $ps
95 # Arrow
96 gmt psxy -R -J -Sv0.15i+bc+ea -Gyellow -W0.5p -O -K << EOF >> $ps
97 -3 -1000 270 2.2c
98 EOF
99 # Step-18. Add GMT logo
100 gmt logo -Dx6.2/-14.7+0.25c/1.5c+w2c -O >> $ps
101 # Step-19. Clean up
102 #rm -f z.cpt trenchA2.txt tableA2.txt envA2.txt stackA2.txt
103 # Step-20. Convert to image file using GhostScript (portrait orientation, 720 dpi)
104 gmt psconvert crossMAT.ps -A0.2c -E720 -Tj -P -Z

```

codes/GMT-08.sh

A.4.9 GMT script for topographic surface modelling from the xyz ASCII data (here: MT)

```

1  #!/bin/sh
2  # Purpose: Surface modelling of the topography along the Mariana Trench
3  # GMT modules: gmtset, gmtdefaults, makecpt, gmtinfo, blockmean, surface, grdgradient, grdimage, psbasemap,
4  #             psscale, gmtlogo, pstext, psconvert
5  # Step-1. Generate a file
6  ps=SurfaceTMT.ps
7  # Step-2. GMT set up
8  gmt set FORMAT_GEO_MAP=dddF \
9  MAP_FRAME_PEN=dimgray \
10 MAP_FRAME_WIDTH=0.1c MAP_TITLE_OFFSET=1.3c \
11 MAP_ANNOT_OFFSET=0.1c MAP_TICK_PEN_PRIMARY=thinner,dimgray \
12 MAP_GRID_PEN_PRIMARY=thin,dimgray \
13 MAP_GRID_PEN_SECONDARY=thinnest,dimgray \
14 FONT_TITLE=12p,Palatino-Roman,black \
15 FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=6p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
16 FONT_LABEL=6p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
17 # Step-3. Overwrite defaults of GMT
18 gmtdefaults -D > .gmtdefaults
19 # Step-4. Download data:
20 ### http://topex.ucsd.edu/cgi-bin/get_data.cgi
21 ## E-144-162;N40-51.
22 # Step-5. Check up dimensions of the table (data range)
23 gmt info topo_MT.xyz
24 # output: topo_MT.xyz: N = 3815189 <120.0083/160.0083> <5.002/30.0019> <-10913/3559>
25 # Step-6. Make color palette
26 gmt makecpt -CGMT_sealand.cpt -V -T-11000/4000 > surfaceMT.cpt
27 # Step-7. Generate 1 by 1 minute block mean values from the raw ASCII data (xyg table)
28 gmt blockmean topo_MT.xyz -R120/160/5/30 -I1m -Vv > topo_MT_BM.xyg
29 # Step-8. Generate grid from xyz table format
30 gmt surface topo_MT_BM.xyg -R120/160/5/30 -T0.25 -I30s -GSurface_MT.nc -Vv
31 # Step-9. Make gradient illumination with azimuth 45 degree
32 gmt grdgradient Surface_MT.nc -GSurface_MT.int -A0/45 -Ne1 -fg
33 # Step-10. Make raster image
34 gmt grdimage Surface_MT.nc -CsurfaceMT.cpt -R120/160/5/30 -JM6i -P -ISurface_MT.int -Xc -K > $ps
35 # Step-11. Add grid
36 gmt psbasemap -R -J \
37 -Bpxg6f2a2 -Bpyg6f1a2 -Bsxg2 -Bsyg2 \
38 -B+t"Surface modelling of the topography along the Mariana Trench" -O -K >> $ps
39 # Step-12. Add scale, directional rose
40 gmt psbasemap -R -J \
41 --FONT=8p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
42 --MAP_TITLE_OFFSET=0.2c \
43 -Tdx0.8c/9.0c+w0.3i+f2+l+o0.0c \
44 -Lx5.3i/-0.5i+c50+w600k+l"Mercator projection. Scale (km)" +f \
45 -UBL/-15p/-40p -O -K >> $ps
46 # Step-13. Add color legend
47 gmt psscale -R -J -CsurfaceMT.cpt \
48 -Dg120/4+w10.0c/0.4c+v+o-1.8/0.2c+m1 \
49 --FONT_LABEL=7p,Helvetica,dimgray \
50 --FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=5p,Helvetica,dimgray \
51 -Baf+l"Surface topographic model color scale" \
52 -I0.2 -By+lm -O -K >> $ps
53 # Step-14. Add GMT logo
54 gmt logo -Dx6.2/-2.2+o0.1i/0.1i+w2c -O -K >> $ps
55 # Step-15. Add subtitle
56 gmt pstext -R0/10/0/15 -JX10/10 -X0.0c -Y2.2c -N -O \
57 -F+f9p,Palatino-Roman,black+jLB >> $ps << EOF
58 1.2 13.7 Modelling: GMT surface module, tension factor of the continuous curvature splines 0.25
59 0.5 13.2 Input raw table data: global 1-min grid resolution in ASCII XYZ-format, applied blockmean filter.
60 1.0 12.7 Output spatial model: 30-sec grid spacing in netCDF format, shading azimuth gradient: 45\232
61 EOF
62 # Step-16. Convert to image file using GhostScript
63 gmt psconvert SurfaceTMT.ps -A0.2c -E720 -P -Tj -Z

```

codes/GMT-09.sh

A.4.10 GMT script for gridding xyz data by Nearest Neighbor algorithm (here: KKT)

```

1 #!/bin/sh
2 # Purpose: gridding XYZ data by nearest neighbor algorithm, here: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench.
3 # GMT modules: gmtset, gmtdefaults, gmtinfo, gmtconvert, nearneighbor, grdcontour, pscoast, pstext, gmtlogo,
   psconvert
4 # Step-1. Generate a file
5 ps=GMT_NNgrid_KKT.ps
6 # Step-2. GMT set up
7 gmt set FORMAT_GEO_MAP=dddF \
8     MAP_FRAME_PEN dimgray \
9     MAP_FRAME_WIDTH 0.1c \
10    MAP_TITLE_OFFSET 1.0c \
11    MAP_ANNOT_OFFSET 0.1c \
12    MAP_TICK_PEN_PRIMARY thinner,dimgray \
13    MAP_GRID_PEN_PRIMARY thinner,dimgray \
14    MAP_GRID_PEN_SECONDARY thinner,dimgray \
15    MAP_ANNOT_OFFSET_PRIMARY 0.1c \
16    FONT_TITLE 12p,Palatino-Roman,black \
17    FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY 7p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
18    FONT_ANNOT_SECONDARY 7p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
19    FONT_LABEL 7p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
20 # Step-3. Overwrite defaults of GMT
21 gmtdefaults -D > .gmtdefaults
22 # Step-4. Download data:
23 ### http://topex.ucsd.edu/cgi-bin/get_data.cgi
24 ## E-144-162;N40-51.
25 # Step-5. Examine the table
26 gmt info topo_KKT.xyz
27 # output: N = 1023707 <144.0083/162.0083> <39.9976/50.9968> <-9677/2143>
28 # Step-6. Convert ASCII to binary
29 gmt convert topo_KKT.xyz -bo > topo_KKT.b
30 # Step-7. Gridding using a nearest neighbor technique which is a local method: No output is given where there
   are no data.
31 region=`gmt info topo_KKT.b -I1 -bi3d`
32 gmt nearneighbor $region -I10m -S40k -Gtopo_NN_KKT.nc topo_KKT.b -bi
33 # Step-8. Add contour lines
34 gmt grdcontour topo_NN_KKT.nc -R144/40/162/51r -JM6i -P -C500 -A1000 -Gd2i -K > $ps
35 # Step-9. Add coastline
36 gmt pscoast -R -J -P \
37     -Bpxg1f1a2 -Bpyg1f1a2 -Df -Wthinnest \
38     -B+t"Grid contour modelling using Nearest neighbor algorithm. Kuril-Kamchatka Trench area" \
39     -TdjBR+w0.4i+1o0.15i \
40     -Lx12.5c/-1.3c+c50+w400k+1"Mercator projection, Scale, km"+f \
41     -UBL/-15p/-45p -O -K >> $ps
42 # Step-10. Add subtitle
43 gmt pstext -R -J -X0.5c -Y0.8c -N -O -K \
44     -F+f10p,Palatino-Roman,black+jLB >> $ps << EOF
45 144.0 51.0 Input table data: global 1-min grid resolution in ASCII XYZ-format, converted to binary
46 EOF
47 # Step-11. Add GMT logo
48 gmt logo -Dx6.2/-2.2+o0.3c/-0.2c+w2c -O >> $ps
49 # Step-12. Convert to image file using GhostScript (portrait orientation, 720 dpi)
50 gmt psconvert GMT_NNgrid_KKT.ps -A0.2c -E720 -Tj -P -Z

```

codes/GMT-10.sh

A.4.11 GMT script for regression trend1d mixed models (here: AT)

```

1 #!/bin/bash
2 # Purpose: Regression trend1d mixed models for the Aleutian Trench
3 # GMT modules: gmtset, gmtdefaults, trend1d, psxy, pstext, logo, psconvert
4 # Unix progs: echo, rm
5 # Step-1. GMT set up
6 gmt set FORMAT_GEO_MAP=dddF \
7     MAP_FRAME_PEN=dimgray \
8     MAP_FRAME_WIDTH=0.1c \
9     MAP_TITLE_OFFSET=0.8c \
10    MAP_ANNOT_OFFSET=0.2c \
11    MAP_TICK_PEN_PRIMARY=thinner,dimgray \
12    MAP_DEFAULT_PEN thin dimgray \
13    FONT_TITLE=11p,Palatino-Roman,black \
14    FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=8p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
15    FONT_LABEL=9p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
16 # Step-2. Overwrite defaults of GMT
17 gmtdefaults -D > .gmtdefaults
18 # Step-3. generate file
19 ps=ATtrends.ps
20
21 # Step-4. Basic LS line  $y = a + bx$ 
22 gmt trend1d -Fxm stackA2.txt -Np1 > modelAT.txt
23 gmt psxy -R-200/200/-8800/2000 -JX15c/4c -P \
24     -Bpxag100f10 -Bsxg50 -Byaf+u"m" -Bsyg2000 -Wthinnest \
25     --MAP_GRID_PEN_PRIMARY=thinnest,dimgray \
26     --MAP_GRID_PEN_SECONDARY=thinnest,dimgray \
27     -BWSne+gmintcream -Sc0.05c -Gred stackA2.txt -X5.0c -K > $ps
28 gmt psxy -R -J -W0.5p,blue modelAT.txt \
29     -UBL/-15p/-45p -O -K >> $ps
30 echo "m@-2@-(t) = a + b\267t" | gmt pstext -R -J \
31     -F+f12p+cBL -Dj0.1i -Gcornsilk -O -K >> $ps
32
33 # Step-5. Basic LS line  $y = a + bx + cx^2$ 
34 gmt trend1d -Fxm stackA2.txt -Np2 > modelAT.txt
35 gmt psxy -R -J -Bpxag100f10 -Bsxg50 -Bpyaf+u"m" -Bsyg2000 \
36     --MAP_GRID_PEN_PRIMARY=thinnest,dimgray \
37     --MAP_GRID_PEN_SECONDARY=thinnest,dimgray \
38     -BWSne+gmintcream -Sc0.05c -Gred stackA2.txt -Y5.0c -O -K >> $ps
39 gmt psxy -R -J -W0.5p,blue modelAT.txt -O -K >> $ps
40 echo "m@-3@-(t) = a + b\267 t + c\267t@+2@+" | gmt pstext -R -J \
41     -F+f12p+cBL -Dj0.1i -Gcornsilk -O -K >> $ps
42
43 # Step-6. Basic LS line  $y = a + bx + cx^2 + \text{spatial change}$ 
44 gmt trend1d -Fxm stackA2.txt -Np2,f1+l1 > modelAT.txt
45 gmt psxy -R -J -Bpxag100f10 -Bsxg50 -Bpyaf+u"m" -Bsyg2000 \
46     --MAP_GRID_PEN_PRIMARY=thinnest,dimgray \
47     --MAP_GRID_PEN_SECONDARY=thinnest,dimgray \
48     -BWSne+gmintcream -Sc0.05c -Gred stackA2.txt -Y5.0c -O -K >> $ps
49 gmt psxy -R -J -W0.25p,blue modelAT.txt -O -K >> $ps
50 echo "m@-5@-(t) = a + b\267t + c\267t@+2@+ + d\267cos(2@~p@~t) + e\267sin(2@~p@~t)" | gmt pstext -R -J \
51     -F+f12p+cBL -Dj0.1i -Gcornsilk -O -K >> $ps
52
53 # Step-7. Plot residuals of last model
54 gmt psxy -R-200/200/-2000/1000 -J -Bpxag100f10 -Bsxg50 -Bpyaf+u"m" -Bsyg1000 \
55     --MAP_GRID_PEN_PRIMARY=thinnest,dimgray \
56     --MAP_GRID_PEN_SECONDARY=thinnest,dimgray \
57     -BWSne+gmintcream -W0.1 -Sc0.05c \
58     -Gred modelAT.txt -i0,2 -Y5.0c -O -K >> $ps
59 echo "@~e@~(t) = y(t) - m@-5@-(t) (plot of the residuals)" | gmt pstext -R -J \
60     -F+f12p+cBL -Dj0.1i -Gcornsilk -O -K >> $ps
61
62 # Step-8. Plot graph
63 gmt psxy -R-200/200/-10000/1000 -JX15c/4c envA2.txt \
64     -Bpxag100f10+l"Distance from trench (km)" \
65     -Bsxg50 -Bpya2000gf+l"Depth (m)" -Bsyg2000 \
66     --FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=8p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \

```

```

67 --FONT_LABEL=8p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
68 --MAP_GRID_PEN_PRIMARY=thinnest,dimgray \
69 --MAP_GRID_PEN_SECONDARY=thinnest,dimgray \
70 -BWSne+t"Graph of the modelled trend curves of the Aleutian Trench geomorphology (north)" \
71 -Glightgray -W0.2p -Y5.0c -O -K >> $ps
72 gmt psxy -R -J -W0.2p -Ey+p0.2p stackA2.txt -O -K >> $ps
73 gmt psxy -R -J -W1.0p,red stackA2.txt \
74 -O -K >> $ps
75
76 # Step-9. Add test annotations
77 echo "160 -4000 Aleutian Islands" | gmt pstext -R -J -Gwhite -F+jTC+f9p -O -K >> $ps
78 echo "-50 -300 Mean stacked profile with error bars" | gmt pstext -R -J -Gwhite -F+jTC+f10p,red -O -K >> $ps
79 echo "0 -9000 Aleutian Trench" | gmt pstext -R -J -Gwhite -F+jTC+f9p -O -K >> $ps
80 echo "-150 -8000 Pacific Plate" | gmt pstext -R -J -Gwhite -F+jTC+f9p -O -K >> $ps
81 # Step-10. Add subtitle
82 gmt pstext -R0/10/0/15 -JX15c/4c -X0.0c -Y0.5c -N -O -K \
83 -F+f10p,Palatino-Roman,black+jLB >> $ps << EOF
84 0.0 15.0 Fitted regression models  $y=f(x)+e$ , by weighted least squares (WLS), polynomial and Fourier
85 EOF
86
87 # Step-11. Add GMT logo
88 gmt logo -Dx6.2/-21.0+o0.25c/-1.3c+w2c -O >> $ps
89 # Step-12. Convert to image file using GhostScript (portrait orientation, 720 dpi)
90 gmt psconvert ATtrends.ps -A0.2c -E720 -Tj -P -Z
91 # Step-13. Clean up
92 rm -f modelAT.txt

```

codes/GMT-11.sh

A.4.12 GMT script for 2D bar histogram and rose diagram (here: MAT)

```

1 #!/bin/bash
2 # Purpose: Plot two histograms of the cross-section profiles (Middle America Trench)
3 # GMT modules: gmtset, psrose, pshistogram, pslegend, logo, psconvert
4 # Step-1. GMT set up
5 gmt set MAP_TITLE_OFFSET 0.5c \
6     MAP_ANNOT_OFFSET 0.2c \
7     MAP_TICK_PEN_PRIMARY thinner,dimgray \
8     MAP_GRID_PEN thinnest,dimgray \
9     FONT_TITLE 10p,Palatino-Roman,black \
10    FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY 9p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
11    FONT_LABEL 8p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
12 # Step-2. Generate a file
13 ps=Hist_MAT.ps
14 # Step-3. Plot rose diagram
15 gmt psrose tableMAT.txt -i1,4 -R0/1/0/360 \
16     -A7r -S1.0in \
17     -Glightsteelblue1 -Bx0.2g0.2 \
18     -By30g30+1"Bathymetric data distribution by cross-section profiles" \
19     -B+ "Rose diagram on the cross-section profiles"+gghostwhite \
20     -M0.5c+e+gred2+n1c \
21     -W0.1p,red -Cm \
22     -UBL/-15p/-65p -Vv -K > $ps
23 # Step-4. Plot histogram
24 gmt pshistogram tableMAT.txt -i4 -R-6500/2000/0/27 -JX4.8i/2.4i -X3.6i \
25     -Bpxg1000a1000f100+1"Bathymetry (m)" \
26     -Bpyg5a5f2.5+1"Frequency distribution"+u" %" -Bsyg2.5 \
27     -BWSne+t"Guatemala Trench: Histograms of the depths values on the cross-section profiles"+gghostwhite -
28     Gpowderblue \
29     -D+f6p,Times-Roman -L0.1p,dimgray -Z1 -W250 -N0+pred -N1+pblue -N2+pgreen \
30     -O -K >> $ps
31 # Step-5. Add legend
32 gmt pslegend -R -J -Dx1.0/-2.6+w5.0c+o-1.0/0.5c \
33     -F+pthick+ithinner+gwhite \
34     --FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY=8p -O -K << EOF >> $ps
35 S 0.3c v 0.5c red 0.01c 0.6c Mean and standard deviation
36 S 0.3c v 0.5c green 0.01c 0.6c LMS mode and scale
37 S 0.3c v 0.5c blue 0.01c 0.6c Median and L1 scale
38 EOF
39 # Step-6. Add GMT logo
40 gmt logo -Dx6.0/0.0+o-0.5c/-2.5c+w2c -O >> $ps
41 # Step-7. Convert to image file using GhostScript (portrait orientation, 720 dpi)
42 gmt psconvert Hist_MAT.ps -A0.2c -E720 -P -Tj -Z

```

codes/GMT-12.sh

A.4.13 GMT script for 3D bar histogram plot (here: KKT)

```

1 #!/bin/bash
2 # Purpose: 3D bar histogram plot (here: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench)
3 # GMT modules: gmtset, grd2xyz, gmtinfo, pstext, psxyz, logo, psconvert
4 # Unix progs: echo
5 # Step-1. GMT set up
6 gmt set FORMAT_GEO_MAP=ddd \
7     MAP_TITLE_OFFSET 0.8c \
8     MAP_ANNOT_OFFSET 0.2c \
9     MAP_LABEL_OFFSET 0.3c \
10    MAP_TICK_PEN_PRIMARY thinner,dimgray \
11    MAP_GRID_PEN thinnest,dimgray \
12    FONT_TITLE 12p,Palatino-Roman,black \
13    FONT_ANNOT_PRIMARY 10p,Palatino-Roman,black \
14    FONT_LABEL 10p,Palatino-Roman,black \
15 # Step-2. Generate a file
16 ps=Hist3DKKT.ps
17 # Step-3. Convert grid file to data table
18 gmt grd2xyz kkt_bathy.nc > kkt_bathy.xyz
19 # Step-4. Check up depth/heights range
20 gmt info kkt_bathy.xyz
21 #kkt_bathy.xyz: N = 87001 <140/170> <40/60> <-9317/3319>
22 # Step-5. Plot 3D histogram from the data table
23 gmt psxyz kkt_bathy.xyz -Bpxa4 -Bpya2 -Bsxg2a2 -Bsygl1 -Bz2000+l"Bathymetry and topography (m)" \
24     -BWSneZ+b+t"3D-histograms of the depth values: Kuril-Kamchatka Trench" \
25     -R144/162/40/50/-7600/2000 -JM10c -JZ7c \
26     -p215/30 -So0.083ub-6000 -P \
27     -Wthinnest -Glavenderblush -UBL/-15p/-35p -K > $ps
28 # Step-6. Add subtitle
29 gmt pstext -R0/10/0/10 -JX10/10 \
30     -F+f10p,Palatino-Roman,black+jLB -N -O -K >> $ps << EOF
31 0.5 12.5 View azimuth from the southwest (215\232) at 30\232 elevation
32 EOF
33 #
34 gmt psbasemap -R -J \
35     --FONT=8p,Palatino-Roman,dimgray \
36     --MAP_TITLE_OFFSET=0.3c \
37     -Td141/41+w0.3i+l+o0.4c \
38     -O -K >> $ps
39 echo '6.0 0.0 Input data: ETOPO5 grid converted to XYZ ASCII' | gmt pstext \
40     -R0/10/0/10 -JX10/10 -Y-0.5c \
41     -F+jLB+a19 -N -O -K >> $ps
42 # Step-7. Add GMT logo
43 gmt logo -Dx6.0/0.0+o-0.5c/-1.5c+w2c -O >> $ps
44 # Step-8. Convert to image file using GhostScript (portrait orientation, 720 dpi)
45 gmt psconvert Hist3DKKT.ps -A0.5c -E720 -Tj -P -Z
46 # Quick variant:
47 #gmt grd2xyz kkt_bathy.nc | gmt psxyz -B1 -Bz1000+l"Topography (m)" -BWSneZ+b+tETOPO5 \
48 #     -R140/170/40/60/-9400/3400 -JM5i -JZ6i -p200/30 -So0.083333ub-5000 -P \
49 #     -Wthinnest -Glightgreen -K > $ps

```

codes/GMT-13.sh

A.5 Python language scripts

A.5.1 Python code for statistical data analysis by violin plots

```

1  #!/usr/bin/env python
2  # coding: utf-8
3  %matplotlib inline
4  import os
5  import pandas as pd
6  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
7  from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
8  import seaborn as sb
9
10 sb.set_style('darkgrid')
11 sb.set_context('paper')
12
13 params = {'font.family': 'Palatino',
14           'axes.labelsize': 8,
15           'lines.linewidth': .2,
16           'xtick.major.size': 4,
17           'xtick.labelsize': 8,
18           'figure.titlesize': 8,
19           'figure.dpi': 300,
20           }
21 pylab.rcParams.update(params)
22
23 # loading data
24 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
25 dfM = pd.read_csv("Tab-Bathy.csv")
26 dfM.head(5)
27
28 # plotting
29 g = sb.catplot(data=dfM, kind="violin", scale='count', palette="Paired",
30               orient="v", margin_titles=True)
31 g.fig.set_size_inches(10, 5)
32
33 plt.xticks(rotation=45)
34 plt.title('Violin plots for the Mariana Trench bathymetry')
35 plt.subplots_adjust(bottom=0.15, top=0.85)
36
37 # visualizing
38 plt.tight_layout()
39 plt.savefig('plot_Viol.png')
40 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-01.py

A.5.2 Python code for clustermap: bathymetric data distribution by profiles

```

1  #!/usr/bin/env python
2  # coding: utf-8
3  import os
4  import numpy as np
5  import pandas as pd
6  from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
7  import seaborn as sb
8
9  sb.set(style='white')
10 sb.set(color_codes=True)
11 sb.set_context('paper')
12
13 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
14 df = pd.read_csv("Tab-Bathy.csv")
15
16 # define variables and plotting

```

```

17 g = sb.clustermap(df, cmap="mako", robust=True)
18 rotation = 45
19 for i, ax in enumerate(g.fig.axes): ## getting all axes of the fig object
20     ax.set_xticklabels(ax.get_xticklabels(),
21                       rotation = rotation
22                       )
23
24 g.fig.suptitle('Mariana Trench: Clustermap of the bathymetric observations. \nDataset: 25 cross-section
25               profiles, 518 observations in each. \nMethod: ignored outliers in colormap limits')
26 plt.subplots_adjust(bottom=0.20,top=0.90, right=0.90, left=0.10)
27
27 # printing and saving
28 plt.savefig('plot_Clust3.png', dpi=300)
29 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-02.py

A.5.3 Python code for histograms: distribution of the observation samples

```

1  #!/usr/bin/env python
2  # coding: utf-8
3  import os
4  import pandas as pd
5  from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
6  import matplotlib.artist as martist
7  from matplotlib.offsetbox import AnchoredText
8  import seaborn as sb
9
10 sb.set_style("whitegrid")
11 sb.set_context("paper")
12 sb.set_color_codes()
13
14 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
15 dfM = pd.read_csv("Tab-Morph.csv")
16
17 fig = plt.figure(figsize=(10.0, 6.0), dpi=300)
18 fig.suptitle('Histogram plot of the observation sample distribution',
19             fontsize=10, fontweight='bold', x=0.5, y=0.99
20             )
21
22 def add_at(ax, t, loc=2):
23     fp = dict(size=11)
24     _at = AnchoredText(t, loc=loc, prop=fp)
25     ax.add_artist(_at)
26     return _at
27
28 # subplot 1
29 ax = fig.add_subplot(221)
30 sb.distplot(dfM['plate_maria'], kde=True, rug=True, hist=True,
31            norm_hist=True, color="xkcd:periwinkle blue",
32            axlabel='Mariana Plate observations',
33            label='Mariana Plate', vertical=False
34            )
35 add_at(ax, "A")
36
37 # subplot 2
38 ax = fig.add_subplot(222)
39 sb.distplot(dfM['plate_pacif'], kde=True, rug=True, hist=True,
40            norm_hist=True, color="xkcd:aqua",
41            axlabel='Pacific Plate observations',
42            label='Pacific Plate', vertical=False
43            )
44 add_at(ax, "B")
45
46 # subplot 3
47 ax = fig.add_subplot(223)

```

```

48 sb.distplot(dfM['plate_carol'], kde=True, rug=True, hist=True,
49             norm_hist=True, color="xkcd:pale violet",
50             axlabel='Caroline Plate observations',
51             label='Caroline Plate', vertical=False
52             )
53 add_at(ax, "C")
54
55 # subplot 4
56 ax = fig.add_subplot(224)
57 sb.distplot(dfM['plate_phill'],kde=True, rug=True, hist=True,
58             norm_hist=True, color="xkcd:rose pink",
59             axlabel='Philippine Plate observations',
60             label='Philippine Plate', vertical=False
61             )
62 add_at(ax, "D")
63
64 # visualize
65 plt.tight_layout()
66 plt.subplots_adjust(top=0.92, bottom=0.08,
67                     left=0.10, right=0.95,
68                     hspace=0.25, wspace=0.35
69                     )
70 fig.savefig('plot_Hist.png', dpi=300)
71 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-03.py

A.5.4 Letter-value plots for the Mariana Trench bathymetry

```

1  #!/usr/bin/env python
2  # coding: utf-8
3  import os
4  import pandas as pd
5  import seaborn as sb
6  from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
7
8  sb.set_style('darkgrid')
9  sb.set_context("paper")
10
11 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
12 dfM = pd.read_csv("Tab-Bathy.csv")
13 dfM.head(5)
14
15 # plotting
16 sb.catplot(data=dfM, kind="boxen", palette='Paired',
17            orient="v", legend=True, legend_out=True, margin_titles=True
18            )
19 plt.xticks(rotation=45)
20 plt.title('Letter-value plots for the Mariana Trench bathymetry',
21           fontsize=12, fontfamily='serif'
22           )
23
24 # visualization and printing
25 plt.tight_layout()
26 plt.savefig('plot_Letter-value.png', dpi=300)
27 plt.subplots_adjust(bottom=0.15, top=0.85)
28 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-04.py

A.5.5 Python code for the strip plots (here: Philippine Trench)

```

1  #!/usr/bin/env python
2  # coding: utf-8
3  import os
4  import pandas as pd
5  import numpy as np
6  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
7  from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
8  import matplotlib.artist as martist
9  from matplotlib.offsetbox import AnchoredText
10 import seaborn as sb
11
12 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
13 dfM = pd.read_csv("Tab-GeomorphPhil.csv")
14 sb.set_style('darkgrid')
15 sb.set_context('paper')
16
17 df1 = dfM.melt(id_vars=['profile'],
18               value_vars=['geol_c', 'geol_p', 'geol_vb',
19                           'geol_a', 'geol_smi', 'geol_pb', 'geol_m'],
20               var_name='Geology', value_name='Nr. of observation points'
21               )
22 df2 = dfM.melt(id_vars=['profile'],
23               value_vars=['plate_phil', 'plate_sunda'],
24               var_name='Plates', value_name='Nr. of observation points'
25               )
26
27 params = {'figure.figsize': (10, 5),
28           'figure.dpi': 300,
29           'figure.titlesize': 16,
30           'axes.labelsize': 8,
31           'font.family': 'Palatino',
32           'legend.fontsize': 7,
33           'legend.markerscale': .4,
34           'legend.borderaxespad': .5,
35           'legend.labelspacing': .1,
36           'legend.loc': 'best',
37           }
38 pylab.rcParams.update(params)
39
40 fig = plt.figure(figsize=(10.0, 4.0), dpi=300)
41 fig.suptitle('Strip plot of sample distribution for geological parameters, Philippine archipelago',
42             x=0.5, y=0.97)
43
44 def add_at(ax, t, loc=2):
45     fp = dict(size=11)
46     _at = AnchoredText(t, loc=loc, prop=fp)
47     ax.add_artist(_at)
48     return _at
49
50 # subplot 1
51 ax = fig.add_subplot(121)
52 sb.stripplot(x='Geology', y='Nr. of observation points',
53             hue='profile', palette='tab20b', data=df1, jitter=True)
54 plt.title('Geology and Lithology')
55 plt.legend(bbox_to_anchor=(1.01, 0.01, 0.15, 0.99),
56           ncol=1, mode="expand"
57           )
58 plt.xlabel('Lithology rock type and geology')
59 plt.xticks(np.arange(7), ('C', 'P', 'Vb', 'A', 'Smi', 'Pb', 'M'))
60 add_at(ax, "A")
61
62 # subplot 2
63 ax = fig.add_subplot(122)
64 sb.stripplot(x='Plates', y='Nr. of observation points',
65             hue='profile', palette='tab20b', data=df2, jitter=True)
66 plt.title('Sunda Plate and Philippine Plate')

```

```

67 plt.legend(bbox_to_anchor=(1.01, 0.01, 0.15, 0.99),
68             ncol=1, mode="expand"
69             )
70 plt.xlabel('Tectonic Plates')
71 plt.xticks(np.arange(2), ('Philippine Plate', 'Sunda Plate'))
72 add_at(ax, "B")
73
74 # visualization
75 plt.tight_layout()
76 plt.subplots_adjust(top=0.85, bottom=0.15,
77                     left=0.10, right=0.90,
78                     hspace=0.25, wspace=0.35
79                     )
80 fig.savefig('plot_StripPhil.png', dpi=300)
81 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-05.py

A.5.6 Python code for the correlogram matrices by Seaborn library

```

1  #!/usr/bin/env python
2  # coding: utf-8
3  import os
4  import numpy as np
5  import pandas as pd
6  import matplotlib.pyplot as pylab
7  from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
8  import seaborn as sb
9
10 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
11 sb.set(style='white')
12 sb.set_context('paper')
13
14 params = {'figure.figsize': (10, 10),
15           'legend.fontsize': 14,
16           'font.family': 'Times'
17           }
18 pylab.rcParams.update(params)
19
20 df = pd.read_csv("Tab-Morph.csv")
21 corr = df.corr(method='kendall')
22 cmap="RdYlBu"
23
24 # plotting heatmap
25 f, ax = plt.subplots()
26 sb.heatmap(corr, cmap=cmap, center=0,
27            vmin=-1.1, vmax=1.1,
28            annot=True, annot_kws={"size":8},
29            square=True, linewidths=.05, linecolor='grey',
30            cbar=True, mask=False, cbar_kws={"shrink": .5},
31            )
32 plt.title('Mariana Trench: Kendall correlation for the environmental factors',
33           fontsize=13, fontfamily='serif')
34 plt.subplots_adjust(bottom=0.15, top=0.85, right=0.90, left=0.10)
35 plt.xticks(rotation=30)
36 plt.yticks()
37
38 # visualizing and saving figure
39 plt.tight_layout()
40 plt.savefig('plot_CorrK.png', dpi=300)
41 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-06.py

A.5.7 Python code for the regression analysis

```

1  #!/usr/bin/env python
2  # coding: utf-8
3  import os
4  import numpy as np
5  import pandas as pd
6  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
7  import seaborn as sb
8
9  sb.set_style('darkgrid')
10 sb.set_context("paper")
11 sb.set(font_scale = 3)
12
13 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
14 dfM = pd.read_csv("Tab-Bathy.csv")
15
16 # defining loop sequence
17 profiles_nrs = list(map(lambda x: x, range(25)))
18 profiles_list = []
19 for i in profiles_nrs:
20     profiles_list.append('profile{}'.format(i + 1))
21
22 # defining variables
23 df = dfM.melt(id_vars = ['observ'],
24              value_vars = profiles_list,
25              var_name = 'Profiles', value_name = 'Depths'
26              )
27
28 # plotting
29 g = sb.lmplot(data=df, x="observ", y="Depths",
30              col="Profiles", hue="Profiles", col_wrap=5,
31              fit_reg=True, truncate=True,
32              x_jitter=True, y_jitter=True,
33              scatter=True, markers='.', order=2, height=6
34              )
35 g.set_axis_labels("Observation points", "Depths, m").fig.subplots_adjust(wspace=.04)
36
37 # visualizing and saving picture
38 plt.tight_layout()
39 plt.subplots_adjust(top=0.92, bottom=0.08,
40                   left=0.10, right=0.95,
41                   hspace=0.25, wspace=0.35
42                   )
43 plt.savefig('plot_FacetGrid.png', dpi=300)
44 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-07.py

A.5.8 Python code for Letter-value plots for the Mariana Trench bathymetry

```

1  #!/usr/bin/env python
2  # coding: utf-8
3  import os
4  import pandas as pd
5  import seaborn as sb
6  from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
7
8  sb.set_style('darkgrid')
9  sb.set_context("paper")
10
11 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
12 dfM = pd.read_csv("Tab-Bathy.csv")
13 dfM.head(5)
14
15 # plotting

```

```

16 sb.catplot(data=dfM, kind="boxen", palette='Paired',
17            orient="v", legend=True, legend_out=True, margin_titles=True
18            )
19 plt.xticks(rotation=45)
20 plt.title('Letter-value plots for the Mariana Trench bathymetry',
21          fontsize=12, fontfamily='serif'
22          )
23
24 # visualization and printing
25 plt.tight_layout()
26 plt.savefig('plot_Letter-value.png', dpi=300)
27 plt.subplots_adjust(bottom=0.15, top=0.85)
28 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-04.py

A.5.9 Python code for joint Hexagonal and KDE plots

```

1  #!/usr/bin/env python
2  # coding: utf-8
3  import os
4  import pandas as pd
5  from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
6  import seaborn as sb
7
8  sb.set(style = "white")
9  sb.set_context('paper')
10 sb.set_color_codes()
11 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
12
13 # defining a loop
14 profiles_nrs = list(map(lambda x: x, range(25)))
15 profiles_list = []
16 for i in profiles_nrs:
17     profiles_list.append('profile{}'.format(i + 1))
18
19 # generating data frame
20 dfB = pd.read_csv("Tab-Bathy.csv")
21 df = dfB.melt(id_vars=['observ'],
22              value_vars=profiles_list,
23              var_name='Profiles', value_name='Depths'
24              )
25 # plotting
26 sb.jointplot(x='observ', y='Depths', data=df,
27             kind='kde', dropna=True, color="m"
28             )
29 sb.jointplot(x="observ", y="Depths", data=df,
30             kind="hex", dropna=True
31             )
32 plt.subplots_adjust(bottom=0.15, top=0.85, right=0.90, left=0.10)
33
34 # visualizing
35 plt.tight_layout()
36 plt.savefig('plot_Hexbin.png', dpi=300)
37 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-09.py

A.5.10 Python code for the correlogram plot (here: Pearson's method)

```

1  #!/usr/bin/env python
2  # coding: utf-8
3  import os
4  import numpy as np

```



```

5 import pandas as pd
6 from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
7 import seaborn as sb
8
9 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
10 sb.set(style='white')
11 sb.set_context('paper')
12 df = pd.read_csv("Tab-Morph.csv")
13
14 # computing correlation matrix
15 corr = df.corr(method='pearson')
16
17 # generating colormap
18 pal = sb.color_palette("tab20c")
19 cmap=pal
20
21 # plotting heatmap
22 f, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(11, 9))
23 sb.heatmap(corr, cmap=cmap, vmax=0.3, center=0, annot=True,
24           annot_kws={"size":8}, square=True, linewidths=.05, linecolor='grey',
25           cbar=True, mask=False, cbar_kws={"shrink": .5}
26           )
27 plt.title('Mariana Trench: Pearson correlation for the environmental factors',
28         fontsize=13, fontfamily='serif')
29 plt.subplots_adjust(bottom=0.20, top=0.90, right=0.90, left=0.10)
30 plt.xticks(rotation=30, size=9)
31 plt.yticks(rotation=0, size=9)
32
33 # visualizing and saving
34 plt.tight_layout()
35 plt.savefig('plot_CorrP.png', dpi=300)
36 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-10.py

A.5.11 Python code for a box-and-whisker plot for the Mariana Trench bathymetry

```

1 #!/usr/bin/env python
2 # coding: utf-8
3 import os
4 import pandas as pd
5 import seaborn as sb
6 from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
7
8 sb.set_style('whitegrid')
9 sb.set_context('paper')
10
11 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
12 dfB = pd.read_csv("Tab-Bathy.csv")
13
14 # define variables and plotting
15 sb.boxplot(data=dfB, orient="v", palette='coolwarm', saturation=1,
16           width=0.8, dodge=True, fliersize=5, linewidth=0.2,
17           whis=5, notch=True, ax=None
18           )
19 sb.despine(offset=10, trim=True) # offset the spines away from the sample data
20 plt.xticks(rotation=45)
21 plt.yticks(rotation=45)
22 plt.title('Box-and-whisker plot for the Mariana Trench bathymetry',
23         fontsize=12, fontfamily='sans-serif'
24         )
25
26 # printing and saving
27 plt.tight_layout()
28 plt.savefig('plot_Boxplot.png', dpi=300)
29 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-11.py

A.5.12 Python code for sorting data in stem plots: analysis of the angle steepness

```

1  #!/usr/bin/env python
2  # coding: utf-8
3  import os
4  import numpy as np
5  import pandas as pd
6  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
7  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
8  import matplotlib.artist as martist
9  from matplotlib.offsetbox import AnchoredText
10 import pylab as plt
11
12 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
13 df = pd.read_csv("Tab-Morph.csv")
14
15 params = {'figure.figsize': (4, 4),
16          'figure.dpi': 300,
17          'font.family': 'Palatino',
18          'axes.labelsize': 8,
19          'xtick.labelsize': 8,
20          'axes.labelpad': 1,
21          'lines.markerfacecolor': 'salmon',
22          'lines.markeredgewidth': .2,
23          'lines.markersize': 13,
24          'lines.linewidth': .5,
25          'figure.titlesize': 7,
26          'figure.constrained_layout.w_pad': 0.04167
27          }
28 pylab.rcParams.update(params)
29
30 def add_at(ax, t, loc=2):
31     fp = dict(size=8)
32     _at = AnchoredText(t, loc=loc, prop=fp)
33     ax.add_artist(_at)
34     return _at
35
36 # define figure
37 fig = plt.figure()
38 fig.suptitle('Geomorphological analysis of the Mariana Trench: \n25 cross-section profiles unsorted (A) and
39             sorted (B) \n by steepness gradient',
40             x=0.5, y=0.97)
41
42 # subplot 1
43 plt.subplot(2, 1, 1)
44 ax1 = fig.add_subplot(211)
45 x = df.profile
46 values = df.tg_angle
47 markerline, stemlines, baseline = plt.stem(x, values, markerfmt="o",
48                                           basefmt="-", use_line_collection=True)
49 plt.setp(markerline, alpha=0.7)
50 plt.setp(stemlines, color='dimgray')
51 plt.setp(baseline, color='purple', linewidth=1)
52 plt.xlabel('Cross-section profiles')
53 plt.ylabel('tg$^{\circ}$ (A/H)')
54 add_at(ax1, "A")
55
56 # subplot 2
57 plt.subplot(2, 1, 2)
58 ax2 = fig.add_subplot(212)
59 df = pd.DataFrame({'group': df.profile, 'values': df.tg_angle})
60 ordered_df = df.sort_values(by='values')
61 markerline, stemlines, baseline = plt.stem(x, ordered_df['values'])

```

XXX

Polina Lemenkova:

Deep-Sea Trenches of the Pacific Ocean: ...Data Modeling by GMT, Python and R

```

61 plt.setp(markerline, alpha=0.7)
62 plt.xticks(x, ordered_df['group'])
63 plt.xlabel('Cross-section profiles')
64 plt.ylabel('tg$^{\circ}$ (A/H)')
65 add_at(ax2, "B")
66
67 # visualizing
68 plt.tight_layout()
69 plt.subplots_adjust(top=0.85, bottom=0.15,
70                     left=0.20, right=0.95,
71                     hspace=0.25, wspace=2.8
72                     )
73 plt.savefig('plot_Stems.png')
74 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-12.py

A.5.13 Python code for plotting dendrograms

```

1  #!/usr/bin/env python
2  # coding: utf-8
3  import os
4  import numpy as np
5  import pandas as pd
6  from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
7  from scipy.cluster import hierarchy
8  from scipy.cluster.hierarchy import dendrogram, linkage
9
10 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
11 df = pd.read_csv("Tab-Morph.csv")
12 df = df.set_index('profile')
13 del df.index.name
14
15 # calculate distance between each sample
16 Z = hierarchy.linkage(df, 'average')
17
18 # plotting
19 dendrogram(Z, labels=df.index, leaf_rotation=0, orientation="left",
20            distance_sort='ascending')
21 plt.title('Hierarchical cluster dendrogram for the geomorphic similarity \nof the 25 bathymetric profiles, Mariana Trench',
22           fontsize=10, fontfamily='sans-serif')
23
24 # visualizing and saving
25 plt.tight_layout()
26 plt.savefig('plot_Dendro.png', dpi=300)
27 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-13.py

A.5.14 Python code for 3D scatter plots: correlation of the factors (sediment thickness, slope steepness, bathymetry)

```

1  #!/usr/bin/env python
2  # coding: utf-8
3  import numpy as np
4  import pandas as pd
5  import matplotlib.cm as cmx
6  import matplotlib.pyplot as pylab
7  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
8  from mpl_toolkits.mplot3d import Axes3D
9  import matplotlib.artist as martist
10 from matplotlib.offsetbox import AnchoredText

```

```

11 from matplotlib.colors import ListedColormap
12
13 df = pd.read_csv("Tab-Morph.csv")
14
15 params = {'figure.figsize': (10, 8),
16          'figure.dpi': 300,
17          'figure.titlesize': 14,
18          'font.family': 'Palatino',
19          'axes.labelsize': 8,
20          'axes.titlesize': 12,
21          'axes.titlepad': 1,
22          'axes.labelpad': .5,
23          }
24 pylab.rcParams.update(params)
25
26 def add_at(ax, t, loc=2):
27     fp = dict(size=11)
28     _at = AnchoredText(t, loc=loc, prop=fp)
29     ax.add_artist(_at)
30     return _at
31
32 fig = plt.figure()
33 fig.suptitle('3D correlation scatter plot, factors: \n sediment thickness, slope steepness, bathymetry (
    observation samples)',
34             x=0.5, y=0.97)
35
36 # subplot 1
37 ax = fig.add_subplot(221, projection='3d')
38 ax.scatter(df.plate_pacif, df.sedim_thick, df.slope_angle,
39           c='#ee827c', edgecolors='grey', s=130, alpha=.9)
40 ax.set_xlabel('Pacific Plate')
41 ax.set_ylabel('sediment thickness')
42 ax.set_zlabel('slope angle')
43 ax.view_init(30, 185)
44 plt.title('Pacific Plate')
45 add_at(ax, "A")
46
47 # subplot 2
48 ax = fig.add_subplot(222, projection='3d')
49 ax.scatter(df.plate_phill, df.sedim_thick, df.slope_angle,
50           c='#f8b500', edgecolors='grey', s=130, alpha=.6)
51 ax.set_xlabel('Philippine Plate')
52 ax.set_ylabel('sediment thickness')
53 ax.set_zlabel('slope angle')
54 ax.view_init(30, 185)
55 plt.title('Phillipine Plate')
56 add_at(ax, "B")
57
58 # subplot 3
59 ax = fig.add_subplot(223, projection='3d')
60 ax.scatter(df.plate_carol, df.sedim_thick, df.slope_angle,
61           c='#aacf53', edgecolors='grey', s=130, alpha=.8)
62 ax.set_xlabel('Caroline Plate')
63 ax.set_ylabel('sediment thickness')
64 ax.set_zlabel('slope angle')
65 ax.view_init(30, 185)
66 plt.title('Caroline Plate')
67 add_at(ax, "C")
68
69 # subplot 4
70 ax = fig.add_subplot(224, projection='3d')
71 ax.scatter(df.plate_maria, df.sedim_thick, df.slope_angle,
72           c='#0095d9', edgecolors='grey', s=130, alpha=.7)
73 ax.set_xlabel('Mariana Plate')
74 ax.set_ylabel('sediment thickness')
75 ax.set_zlabel('slope angle')
76 ax.view_init(30, 185)
77 plt.title('Mariana Plate')
78 add_at(ax, "D")

```



```

79
80 plt.tight_layout()
81 plt.subplots_adjust(top=0.85, bottom=0.25,
82                     left=0.10, right=0.95,
83                     hspace=0.05, wspace=0.05
84                     )
85 fig.savefig('plot_3DScat.png')

```

codes/Python-14.py

A.5.15 Python code for ANOVA

```

1  #!/usr/bin/env python
2  # coding: utf-8
3  from __future__ import print_function
4  import os
5  import scipy
6  import numpy as np
7  import pandas as pd
8  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
9  import statsmodels.api as sm
10 from statsmodels.compat import urlopen
11 from statsmodels.formula.api import ols
12 from statsmodels.stats.anova import anova_lm
13 from statsmodels.graphics.api import interaction_plot, abline_plot
14 pd.set_option("display.width", 100)
15
16 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
17
18 df = pd.read_csv("Tab-Morph.csv")
19
20 E = df.sedim_thick
21 M = df.plate_pacif
22 X = df.igneous_volc
23 S = df.slope_angle
24
25 cw_lm=ols('M ~ S + C(E)', data=df).fit() #Specify C for Categorical
26 print(sm.stats.anova_lm(cw_lm, typ=2))
27 print(cw_lm.summary())
28
29 cw_lm=ols('M ~ E + C(X)', data=df).fit() #Specify C for Categorical
30 print(sm.stats.anova_lm(cw_lm, typ=2))
31 print(cw_lm.summary())

```

codes/Python-15.py

A.5.16 Python code for KDE, example for the subplot (F)

```

1  #!/usr/bin/env python
2  # coding: utf-8
3  import os
4  import pandas as pd
5  import matplotlib.pyplot as pylab
6  from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
7  import matplotlib.artist as martist
8  from matplotlib.offsetbox import AnchoredText
9  import seaborn as sns
10
11 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
12 df = pd.read_csv("Tab-Bathy.csv")
13 dfM = pd.read_csv("Tab-Morph.csv")
14 sns.set_style('darkgrid')
15 sns.set_context('paper')
16

```

```

17 params = {'figure.figsize': (10, 6),
18           'figure.dpi': 300,
19           'figure.titlesize': 14,
20           'font.family': 'Palatino',
21           'axes.labelsize': 10,
22           'legend.fontsize': 8,
23           'legend.loc': 'best',
24           'xtick.labelsize': 8,
25           'ytick.labelsize': 8,
26           'axes.labelpad': 2,
27           }
28 pylab.rcParams.update(params)
29
30 fig = plt.figure(figsize=(10.0, 6.0), dpi=300)
31 fig.suptitle('Kernel Density Estimation: probability of the depth ranges, Mariana Trench',
32             x=0.5, y=0.97)
33
34 def add_at(ax, t, loc=1):
35     fp = dict(size=11)
36     _at = AnchoredText(t, loc=loc, prop=fp)
37     ax.add_artist(_at)
38     return _at
39
40 # subplot 1
41 ax = fig.add_subplot(231)
42 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile1'], shade=True, color="r")
43 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile2'], shade=True, color="#ffd900")
44 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile3'], shade=True, color="b")
45 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile4'], shade=True, color="#e95295")
46 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile5'], shade=True, color="#00a3af")
47 ax.set(xlabel='Depths, m', ylabel='KDE')
48 ax.set_title('profiles 1-5', fontsize=9, fontfamily='serif')
49 add_at(ax, "A", loc=2)
50
51 # subplot 2
52 ax = fig.add_subplot(232)
53 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile6'], shade=True, color="r")
54 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile7'], shade=True, color="#ffd900")
55 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile8'], shade=True, color="b")
56 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile9'], shade=True, color="#e95295")
57 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile10'], shade=True, color="#00a3af")
58 ax.set(xlabel='Depths, m', ylabel='KDE')
59 plt.title('profiles 6-10', fontsize=9, fontfamily='serif')
60 add_at(ax, "B", loc=2)
61
62 # subplot 3
63 ax = fig.add_subplot(233)
64 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile11'], shade=True, color="r")
65 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile12'], shade=True, color="#ffd900")
66 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile13'], shade=True, color="b")
67 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile14'], shade=True, color="#e95295")
68 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile15'], shade=True, color="#00a3af")
69 ax.set(xlabel='Depths, m', ylabel='KDE')
70 plt.title('profiles 11-15', fontsize=9, fontfamily='serif')
71 add_at(ax, "C")
72
73 # subplot 4
74 ax = fig.add_subplot(234)
75 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile16'], shade=True, color="r")
76 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile17'], shade=True, color="#ffd900")
77 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile18'], shade=True, color="b")
78 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile19'], shade=True, color="#c3d825")
79 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile20'], shade=True, color="#00a3af")
80 ax.set(xlabel='Depths, m', ylabel='KDE')
81 plt.title('profiles 16-20', fontsize=9, fontfamily='serif')
82 add_at(ax, "D")
83
84 # subplot 5
85 ax = fig.add_subplot(235)

```

```

86 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile21'], shade=True, color="r")
87 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile22'], shade=True, color="#ffd900")
88 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile23'], shade=True, color="b")
89 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile24'], shade=True, color="#c3d825")
90 ax = sns.kdeplot(df['profile25'], shade=True, color="#00a3af")
91 ax.set(xlabel='Depths, m', ylabel='KDE')
92 plt.title("profiles 21-25")
93 add_at(ax, "E")
94
95 # subplot 6
96 ax = fig.add_subplot(236)
97 ax = sns.kdeplot(dfM['Min'], shade=True, color="r")
98 ax = sns.kdeplot(dfM['Mean'], shade=True, color="#ffd900")
99 ax = sns.kdeplot(dfM['Max'], shade=True, color="b")
100 ax = sns.kdeplot(dfM['1stQ'], shade=True, color="#65318e")
101 ax = sns.kdeplot(dfM['3rdQ'], shade=True, color="#00a3af")
102 ax.set(xlabel='Depths, m', ylabel='KDE')
103 plt.title("bathymetric depth ranges, profiles 1-25")
104 add_at(ax, "F")
105
106 # visualizing and saving
107 plt.tight_layout()
108 plt.subplots_adjust(top=0.90, bottom=0.08,
109                    left=0.10, right=0.95,
110                    hspace=0.3, wspace=0.3)
111
112 plt.savefig('plot_KDE.png', dpi=300)
113 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-16.py

A.5.17 Python code for stacked area charts on the bathymetry

```

1  #!/usr/bin/env python
2  # coding: utf-8
3  import pandas as pd
4  import numpy as np
5  import seaborn as sns
6  import matplotlib as mpl
7  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
8  import matplotlib.ticker as ticker
9  import os
10
11  sns.set_style('white')
12  sns.set_context('paper')
13
14  # data frame
15  os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
16  df = pd.read_csv("Tab-Morph.csv")
17  df = pd.DataFrame(data=df, columns=['Min', '1stQ', 'Median', 'Mean', '1stQ', 'Max'])
18
19  # plotting
20  fig = plt.figure(figsize=(8, 6))
21  ax = df.plot.area(stacked=False, alpha=0.8, colormap='PuBu_r')
22  plt.legend(bbox_to_anchor=(1.05, 1), loc=2, borderaxespad=0.)
23  plt.title('Stacked area chart for the Mariana Trench bathymetry: \ndepths by 25 cross-section profiles',
24          fontsize=12, fontfamily='serif')
25  ax.set_xlabel('Bathymetric profiles')
26  ax.set_ylabel('Depths, m')
27  plt.xticks(np.arange(1, 26, step=1), rotation=30)
28
29  # visualizing and saving
30  plt.tight_layout()
31  plt.savefig('plot_StackAreaB.png', dpi=300)
32  plt.show()

```

codes/Python-17.py

A.5.18 Python code for the facettted plot of the radar charts

```

1  #!/usr/bin/env python
2  # coding: utf-8
3  import os
4  import numpy as np
5  import pandas as pd
6  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
7  import matplotlib.pylab as pylab
8  import matplotlib.artist as martist
9  from matplotlib.offsetbox import AnchoredText
10 from math import pi
11 import seaborn as sns
12
13 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
14 df = pd.read_csv("Tab-Morph.csv")
15
16 params = {'figure.figsize': (10, 5),
17           'figure.dpi': 300,
18           'figure.titlesize': 14,
19           'font.family': 'Palatino',
20           'axes.grid': True,
21           'axes.labelsize': 8,
22           'polaraxes.grid': True,
23           }
24 pylab.rcParams.update(params)
25
26 def add_at(ax, t, loc=2):
27     fp = dict(size=11)
28     _at = AnchoredText(t, loc=loc, prop=fp)
29     ax.add_artist(_at)
30     return _at
31
32 # show 6 different variables on our radar chart, so take them out and set as a np.array.
33 labels=np.array(['Median', 'Max', '1stQ', '3rdQ', 'Min', 'Mean'])
34 stats1=df.loc[1, labels].values
35 stats2=df.loc[5, labels].values
36 stats3=df.loc[12, labels].values
37 stats4=df.loc[15, labels].values
38 stats5=df.loc[18, labels].values
39 stats6=df.loc[23, labels].values
40
41 # close the plot
42 angles=np.linspace(0, 2*np.pi, len(labels), endpoint=False)
43 angles=np.concatenate((angles,[angles[0]]))
44 stats1=np.concatenate((stats1,[stats1[0]]))
45 stats2=np.concatenate((stats2,[stats2[0]]))
46 stats3=np.concatenate((stats3,[stats3[0]]))
47 stats4=np.concatenate((stats4,[stats4[0]]))
48 stats5=np.concatenate((stats5,[stats5[0]]))
49 stats6=np.concatenate((stats6,[stats6[0]]))
50
51 fig = plt.figure()
52 fig.suptitle('Radar chart for the bathymetry of the Mariana Trench',
53             x=0.5, y=0.99)
54
55 # subplot 1
56 ax = fig.add_subplot(231, polar=True)
57 ax.plot(angles, stats1, 'o-', linewidth=2)
58 ax.fill(angles, stats1, c='g', alpha=0.2)
59 ax.set_thetagrids(angles * 180/np.pi, labels)
60 plt.title('profile (nr.1)')
61 #ax.annotate('(A)', xy=(1.02, .90), xycoords="axes fraction")
62 add_at(ax, "A")
63
64 # subplot 2
65 ax = fig.add_subplot(232, polar=True)
66 ax.plot(angles, stats2, 'o-', linewidth=2)

```



```

67 ax.fill(angles, stats2, c='#a0d8ef', alpha=0.4)
68 ax.set_thetagrids(angles * 180/np.pi, labels)
69 plt.title('profile (nr.5)')
70 add_at(ax, "B")
71
72 # subplot 3
73 ax = fig.add_subplot(233, polar=True)
74 ax.plot(angles, stats3, 'o-', linewidth=2)
75 ax.fill(angles, stats3, c='#ee827c', alpha=0.4)
76 ax.set_thetagrids(angles * 180/np.pi, labels)
77 plt.title('profile (nr.12)')
78 add_at(ax, "C")
79
80 # subplot 4
81 ax = fig.add_subplot(234, polar=True)
82 ax.plot(angles, stats4, 'o-', linewidth=2)
83 ax.fill(angles, stats4, c='plum', alpha=0.4)
84 ax.set_thetagrids(angles * 180/np.pi, labels)
85 plt.title('profile (nr.15)')
86 add_at(ax, "D")
87
88 # subplot 5
89 ax = fig.add_subplot(235, polar=True)
90 ax.plot(angles, stats5, 'o-', linewidth=2)
91 ax.fill(angles, stats5, c='#ffd900', alpha=0.2)
92 ax.set_thetagrids(angles * 180/np.pi, labels)
93 plt.title('profile (nr.19)')
94 add_at(ax, "E")
95
96 # subplot 6
97 ax = fig.add_subplot(236, polar=True)
98 ax.plot(angles, stats6, 'o-', linewidth=2)
99 ax.fill(angles, stats6, c='#c3d825', alpha=0.4)
100 ax.set_thetagrids(angles * 180/np.pi, labels)
101 plt.title('profile 24')
102 add_at(ax, "F")
103
104 plt.tight_layout()
105 plt.subplots_adjust(top=0.85, bottom=0.08,
106                    left=0.10, right=0.95,
107                    hspace=0.25, wspace=0.35
108                    )
109 fig.savefig('plot_RadarChart.png', dpi=300)
110 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-18.py

A.5.19 Python code for the distribution of the bathymetric data by stacked bar plots

```

1 #!/usr/bin/env python
2 # coding: utf-8
3 import os
4 import numpy as np
5 import pandas as pd
6 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
7 from matplotlib import rc
8
9 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
10 df = pd.read_csv("Tab-Morph.csv")
11
12 bars1 = df.plate_phill
13 bars2 = df.plate_pacif
14 bars3 = df.plate_maria
15 bars4 = df.plate_carol
16
17 # position of the bars on the x-axis

```

```

18 profiles = df.profile
19
20 # generate a loop for 25 profiles
21 names = range(1, 26)
22
23 # plotting
24 barWidth = 1
25 ax = plt.subplot(111)
26 plt.bar(profiles, bars1, color='#dbd0e6', edgecolor='white',
27         width=barWidth, label='Philippine Plate')
28 plt.bar(profiles, bars2, bottom=(bars1), color='#a0d8ef',
29         edgecolor='white', width=barWidth,
30         label='Pacific Plate')
31 plt.bar(profiles, bars3, bottom=(bars1 + bars2), color='#eebbcb',
32         edgecolor='white', width=barWidth,
33         label='Mariana Plate')
34 plt.bar(profiles, bars4, bottom=(bars1 + bars2 + bars3), color='#c1d8ac',
35         edgecolor='white', width=barWidth,
36         label='Caroline Plate')
37
38 # Custom X axis
39 plt.xticks(profiles, names, fontweight='normal', fontsize=7, rotation=30)
40
41 # Show graphic
42 plt.legend()
43 ax.legend(loc='upper center', bbox_to_anchor=(0.5, -0.10),
44         shadow=True, markerscale=2, ncol=4, fontsize=6, title=False)
45 ax.set_xlabel('Profiles (1:25)', fontsize=10)
46 ax.set_ylabel('Observations (1:518)', fontsize=10)
47 plt.title('Mariana Trench: Stacked barplots for the distribution \nof the bathymetric observations across
48         tectonic plates',
49         fontsize=10, fontfamily='sans-serif')
50
51 # visualize and save
52 plt.tight_layout()
53 plt.savefig('plot_StackBar.png', dpi=300)
54 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-19.py

A.5.20 Python code for analysis of the distribution of sediment thickness, stacked bar charts

```

1 #!/usr/bin/env python
2 # coding: utf-8
3 import pandas as pd
4 import numpy as np
5 import seaborn as sns
6 import matplotlib as mpl
7 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
8 import matplotlib.ticker as ticker
9 import os
10
11 sns.set_style('white')
12 sns.set_context('paper')
13
14 # data frame
15 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
16 df = pd.read_csv('Tab-Morph.csv')
17 df = pd.DataFrame(data=df, columns=['Min', '1stQ', 'Median', 'Mean', '1stQ', 'Max'])
18
19 # plotting
20 fig = plt.figure(figsize=(8, 6))
21 ax = df.plot.area(stacked=False, alpha=0.8, colormap='PuBu_r')
22 plt.legend(bbox_to_anchor=(1.05, 1), loc=2, borderaxespad=0.)
23 plt.title('Stacked area chart for the Mariana Trench bathymetry: \ndepths by 25 cross-section profiles',

```

```

24         fontsize=12, fontfamily='serif')
25 ax.set_xlabel('Bathymetric profiles')
26 ax.set_ylabel('Depths, m')
27 plt.xticks(np.arange(1, 26, step=1), rotation=30)
28
29 # visualizing and saving
30 plt.tight_layout()
31 plt.savefig('plot_StackAreaB.png', dpi=300)
32 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-20.py

A.5.21 Python code for pie charts visualizing bathymetry vs tectonic plates

```

1  #!/usr/bin/env python
2  # coding: utf-8
3  import os
4  import pandas as pd
5  import numpy as np
6  from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
7
8  os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
9  dfM = pd.read_csv("Tab-Morph.csv")
10
11 # dataset
12 df = pd.DataFrame({'Pacific Plate':dfM.plate_pacif,
13                   'Philippine Plate':dfM.plate_phill,
14                   'Mariana Plate':dfM.plate_maria,
15                   'Caroline Plate':dfM.plate_carol},
16                   index=dfM.profile)
17
18 # plot chart
19 df.plot(kind='pie', subplots=True, figsize=(10, 10),
20         legend=False, table=False,
21         fontsize=8, sort_columns=True,
22         layout=(2, 2), colormap='tab20b',
23         title='Mariana Trench: Pie charts for the \nsample points distribution by tectonic plates',
24         )
25
26 # visualize and save
27 plt.tight_layout()
28 plt.savefig('plot_Pie.png', dpi=300)
29 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-21.py

A.5.22 Python code for QQ plots visualizing descriptive statistics for the bathymetry

```

1  from __future__ import print_function
2  %matplotlib inline
3  import os
4  import numpy as np
5  from scipy import stats
6  import pandas as pd
7  import matplotlib.pyplot as pylab
8  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
9  import matplotlib.artist as martist
10 from matplotlib.offsetbox import AnchoredText
11 import statsmodels.api as sm
12 from statsmodels.graphics.api import qqplot
13 import seaborn as sns
14
15 sns.set_style('darkgrid')
16

```

```

17 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
18 df = pd.read_csv("Tab-Morph.csv")
19
20 params = {'figure.figsize': (14, 7),
21          'figure.dpi': 300,
22          'figure.titlesize': 16,
23          'font.family': 'Palatino',
24          'axes.labelsize': 10,
25          'xtick.labelsize': 8,
26          'ytick.labelsize': 8,
27          'axes.labelpad': 2,
28          }
29 pylab.rcParams.update(params)
30
31 def add_at(axes, t, loc=2):
32     fp = dict(size=11)
33     _at = AnchoredText(t, loc=loc, prop=fp)
34     axes.add_artist(_at)
35     return _at
36
37 fig, axes = plt.subplots(2, 3)
38
39 plt.suptitle('QQ statistics plots of the geology of the Mariana Trench',
40             x=0.54, y=.92)
41
42 fig = qqplot(df.sedim_thick, line='q', fit=True,
43             linewidth=.5, alpha = .5,
44             markerfacecolor='#00a497', markeredgecolor='grey',
45             ax=axes[0, 0])
46 add_at(axes[0, 0], "A")
47
48 fig = qqplot(df.slope_angle, line='q', fit=True,
49             linewidth=.5, alpha = .5, markerfacecolor='#4d5aaf',
50             markeredgecolor='grey', ax=axes[0, 1])
51 add_at(axes[0, 1], "B")
52
53 fig = qqplot(df.plate_pacif, line='q', fit=True,
54             linewidth=.5, alpha = .4, markerfacecolor='#69821b',
55             markeredgecolor='grey', ax=axes[0, 2])
56 add_at(axes[0, 2], "C")
57
58 fig = qqplot(df.plate_phill, line='q', fit=True,
59             linewidth=.5, alpha = .4, markerfacecolor='#640125',
60             markeredgecolor='grey', ax=axes[1, 0])
61 add_at(axes[1, 0], "D")
62
63 fig = qqplot(df.plate_maria, line='q', fit=True,
64             linewidth=.1, alpha = .4, markerfacecolor='#b44c97',
65             markeredgecolor='grey', ax=axes[1, 1])
66 add_at(axes[1, 1], "E")
67
68 fig = qqplot(df.igneous_volc, line='q', fit=True,
69             lw=.1, alpha = .4, markerfacecolor='#4d5aaf',
70             markeredgecolor='grey', ax=axes[1, 2])
71 add_at(axes[1, 2], "F")
72
73 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-22.py

A.5.23 Python code for WLS calculations

```

1 #!/usr/bin/env python
2 # coding: utf-8
3 from __future__ import print_function
4 import os

```



```

5 %matplotlib inline
6 import numpy as np
7 from scipy import stats
8 import pandas as pd
9 import statsmodels.api as sm
10 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
11 from statsmodels.sandbox.regression.predstd import wls_prediction_std
12 from statsmodels.iolib.table import (SimpleTable, default_txt_fmt)
13 import seaborn as sns
14 from patsy import dmatrices
15
16 sns.set_style('whitegrid')
17
18 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
19 df = pd.read_csv("Tab-Morph.csv")
20 df = df.dropna()
21 nsample = 25
22 x = df.plate_pacif
23 X = np.column_stack((x, (x - 5)**2))
24 X = sm.add_constant(X)
25 beta = [5., 0.5, -0.01]
26 sig = 0.5
27 w = np.ones(nsample)
28 w[nsample * 6//10:] = 3
29 y_true = np.dot(X, beta)
30 e = np.random.normal(size=nsample)
31 y = y_true + sig * w * e
32 X = X[:, [0, 1]]
33
34 mod_wls = sm.WLS(y, X, weights=1./(w ** 2))
35 res_wls = mod_wls.fit()
36 print(res_wls.summary())
37
38 res_ols = sm.OLS(y, X).fit()
39 print(res_ols.params)
40 print(res_wls.params)
41
42 se = np.vstack([res_wls.bse], [res_ols.bse], [res_ols.HC0_se],
43               [res_ols.HC1_se], [res_ols.HC2_se], [res_ols.HC3_se])
44 se = np.round(se, 4)
45 colnames = ['x1', 'const']
46 rownames = ['WLS', 'OLS', 'OLS_HC0', 'OLS_HC1', 'OLS_HC3', 'OLS_HC3']
47 tabl = SimpleTable(se, colnames, rownames, txt_fmt=default_txt_fmt)
48 print(tabl)
49
50 covb = res_ols.cov_params()
51 prediction_var = res_ols.mse_resid + (X * np.dot(covb, X.T).T).sum(1)
52 prediction_std = np.sqrt(prediction_var)
53 tppf = stats.t.ppf(0.975, res_ols.df_resid)
54
55 prstd_ols, iv_l_ols, iv_u_ols = wls_prediction_std(res_ols)
56
57 prstd, iv_l, iv_u = wls_prediction_std(res_wls)
58
59 bbox_props = dict(boxstyle='round, pad=0.3', fc='w',
60                   edgecolor='grey', linewidth=1, alpha=0.9)
61
62 fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(8, 6), dpi=300)
63 ax.plot(x, y, 'o', label="Bathymetric \nObservations", linewidth=.7)
64 ax.plot(x, y_true, 'b-', label="True", linewidth=.9)
65 # OLS
66 ax.plot(x, res_ols.fittedvalues, 'r--')
67 ax.plot(x, iv_u_ols, 'r--', label="Ordinary Least Squares", linewidth=.7)
68 ax.plot(x, iv_l_ols, 'r--', linewidth=.7)
69 # WLS
70 ax.plot(x, res_wls.fittedvalues, 'g--')
71 ax.plot(x, iv_u, 'g--', label="Weighted Least Squares", linewidth=.7)
72 ax.plot(x, iv_l, 'g--', linewidth=.7)
73 ax.legend(loc="best");

```

```

74 ax.set_xlabel('Number of observations', fontsize=10)
75
76 plt.title("Weighted Least Squares of data distribution \
77         \nat Pacific Plate by 25 bathymetric profiles",
78         fontsize=14)
79 plt.annotate('A', xy=(-0.01, 1.06), xycoords="axes fraction",
80             fontsize=18, bbox=bbox_props)
81
82 # visualizing and saving
83 plt.tight_layout()
84 plt.subplots_adjust(top=0.87, bottom=0.08,
85                   left=0.10, right=0.95,
86                   hspace=0.2, wspace=0.2
87                   )
88 plt.savefig('plot_WLSa.png', dpi=300)
89 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-23.py

A.5.24 Python code for quantile (QQ) regression

```

1 from __future__ import print_function
2 %matplotlib inline
3 import os
4 import numpy as np
5 from scipy import stats
6 import pandas as pd
7 import matplotlib.pylab as pylab
8 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
9 import matplotlib.artist as martist
10 from matplotlib.offsetbox import AnchoredText
11 import statsmodels.api as sm
12 from statsmodels.graphics.api import qqplot
13 import seaborn as sns
14
15 sns.set_style('darkgrid')
16
17 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
18 df = pd.read_csv("Tab-Morph.csv")
19
20 params = {'figure.figsize': (14, 7),
21          'figure.dpi': 300,
22          'figure.titlesize': 16,
23          'font.family': 'Palatino',
24          'axes.labelsize': 10,
25          'xtick.labelsize': 8,
26          'ytick.labelsize': 8,
27          'axes.labelpad': 2,
28          }
29 pylab.rcParams.update(params)
30
31 def add_at(axes, t, loc=2):
32     fp = dict(size=11)
33     _at = AnchoredText(t, loc=loc, prop=fp)
34     axes.add_artist(_at)
35     return _at
36
37 fig, axes = plt.subplots(2, 3)
38
39 plt.suptitle('QQ statistics plots of the geology of the Mariana Trench',
40             x=0.54, y=.92)
41
42 fig = qqplot(df.sedim_thick, line='q', fit=True,
43             linewidth=.5, alpha=.5,
44             markerfacecolor='#00a497', markeredgecolor='grey',
45             ax=axes[0, 0])

```

```

46 add_at(axes[0, 0], "A")
47
48 fig = qqplot(df.slope_angle, line='q', fit=True,
49             linewidth=.5, alpha = .5, markerfacecolor='#4d5aaf',
50             markeredgecolor='grey', ax=axes[0, 1])
51 add_at(axes[0, 1], "B")
52
53 fig = qqplot(df.plate_pacif, line='q', fit=True,
54             linewidth=.5, alpha = .4, markerfacecolor='#69821b',
55             markeredgecolor='grey', ax=axes[0, 2])
56 add_at(axes[0, 2], "C")
57
58 fig = qqplot(df.plate_phill, line='q', fit=True,
59             linewidth=.5, alpha = .4, markerfacecolor='#640125',
60             markeredgecolor='grey', ax=axes[1, 0])
61 add_at(axes[1, 0], "D")
62
63 fig = qqplot(df.plate_maria, line='q', fit=True,
64             linewidth=.1, alpha = .4, markerfacecolor='#b44c97',
65             markeredgecolor='grey', ax=axes[1, 1])
66 add_at(axes[1, 1], "E")
67
68 fig = qqplot(df.igneous_volc, line='q', fit=True,
69             lw=.1, alpha = .4, markerfacecolor='#4d5aaf',
70             markeredgecolor='grey', ax=axes[1, 2])
71 add_at(axes[1, 2], "F")
72
73 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-24.py

A.5.25 Python code for SARIMA model

```

1  #!/usr/bin/env python
2  # coding: utf-8
3  import os
4  import numpy as np
5  import pandas as pd
6  from scipy.stats import norm
7  import statsmodels.api as sm
8  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
9  from datetime import datetime
10 import requests
11 from io import BytesIO
12 import seaborn as sns
13
14 sns.set_style('darkgrid')
15
16 os.chdir('/Users/pauline/Documents/Python')
17 data = pd.read_csv("Tab-Morph.csv")
18 data.index = data.profile
19
20 # Fit the model
21 mod = sm.tsa.statespace.SARIMAX(data['sedim_thick'], trend='c', order=(1,1,1))
22 res = mod.fit(dispatch=False)
23 print(res.summary())
24
25 # Dataset
26 data['ln_wpi'] = np.log(data['sedim_thick'])
27 data['D.ln_wpi'] = data['plate_phill'].diff()
28
29 # Graph data
30 fig, axes = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(15,4), dpi=300)
31
32 # Levels
33 axes[0].plot(data.index._mpl_repr(), data['sedim_thick'], '-')

```

```

34 axes[0].set_xlabel('Bathymetric profiles, 1:25', fontsize=10)
35 axes[0].set(title='Mariana Trench: Sediment thickness index, \nby bathymetric profiles')
36 axes[0].annotate('A', xy=(0.95, .92), xycoords='axes fraction', fontsize=12,
37                bbox=dict(boxstyle='round, pad=0.3', fc='w', edgecolor='grey', linewidth=1, alpha=0.9))
38
39 # Log difference
40 axes[1].plot(data.index._mpl_repr(), data['D.ln_wpi'], '-')
41 axes[1].hlines(0, data.index[0], data.index[-1], 'r')
42 axes[1].set_xlabel('Bathymetric profiles, 1:25', fontsize=10)
43 axes[1].set(title='Mariana Trench: Data distribution index on \nPhillipine Plate, difference of logs');
44 axes[1].annotate('B', xy=(0.95, .92), xycoords="axes fraction", fontsize=12,
45                bbox=dict(boxstyle='round, pad=0.3', fc='w', edgecolor='grey', linewidth=1, alpha=0.9))
46
47 # Graph data
48 fig, axes = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(15,4), dpi=300)
49
50 fig = sm.graphics.tsa.plot_acf(data.iloc[1:]['D.ln_wpi'], lags=21, ax=axes[0])
51 axes[0].annotate('C', xy=(0.95, .92), xycoords="axes fraction", fontsize=12,
52                bbox=dict(boxstyle='round, pad=0.3', fc='w', edgecolor='grey', linewidth=1, alpha=0.9))
53
54 fig = sm.graphics.tsa.plot_pacf(data.iloc[1:]['D.ln_wpi'], lags=21, ax=axes[1])
55 axes[1].annotate('D', xy=(0.95, .92), xycoords="axes fraction", fontsize=12,
56                bbox=dict(boxstyle='round, pad=0.3', fc='w', edgecolor='grey', linewidth=1, alpha=0.9))
57
58 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-25.py

A.5.26 Python code for Euler-Venn diagrams

```

1  #!/usr/bin/env python
2  # coding: utf-8
3  from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
4  from matplotlib import cm
5  from matplotlib_venn import venn2, venn2_circles, venn3, venn3_circles
6
7  figure, axes = plt.subplots(2, 2, figsize=(7, 7), dpi=300)
8
9  bbox_props = dict(boxstyle='round, pad=0.3', fc='w',
10                  edgecolor='grey', linewidth=1, alpha=0.9)
11
12  venn3(subsets=(6317, 3552, 2949, 0, 0, 0, 132),
13        ax=axes[0][0], alpha = .3,
14        set_colors=('blue', 'lightblue', 'green'),
15        set_labels = ('Philippine', 'Mariana', 'Pacific', 'Caroline'))
16  plt.annotate('Caroline', xy=(-0.6, 1.3),
17             xycoords="axes fraction", fontsize=12)
18  plt.annotate('A', xy=(-1.55, 2.4),
19             xycoords="axes fraction",
20             fontsize=12, bbox=bbox_props)
21
22  venn3(subsets=(1086, 2801, 1550, 0, 0, 2293, 4883),
23        set_labels = ('Sediment \nthickness', 'Slope angle', 'Igneous \nvolcanic spots'),
24        set_colors=('a59aca', 'e45e32', 'aacf53'),
25        alpha = .7, ax=axes[0][1])
26  plt.annotate('B', xy=(-0.05, 2.4),
27             xycoords="axes fraction",
28             fontsize=12, bbox=bbox_props)
29
30  venn3(subsets=(4, 1, 6, 6, 4, 5, 1),
31        set_labels = ('Strong \nSlope', 'Extreme \nSlope', 'Steep Slope'),
32        set_colors=('98d98e', 'd9333f', 'ffd900'),
33        alpha = .7, ax=axes[1][0])
34  plt.annotate('Very \nSteep', xy=(-1.4, 0.08),
35             xycoords="axes fraction", fontsize=12)
36  plt.annotate('Very \nStrong', xy=(-0.56, 0.07),

```



```

37         xycoords="axes fraction", fontsize=12)
38 plt.annotate('C', xy=(-1.55, 1.02),
39             xycoords="axes fraction",
40             fontsize=12, bbox=bbox_props)
41
42 venn2(subsets={'10': 1, '01': 1, '11': 1},
43       set_labels = ('GIS', 'R & Python', 'Geology'),
44       set_colors=('pink', 'purple', 'magenta'),
45       alpha = .3, ax=axes[1][1])
46 plt.annotate('Geology',
47             xy=(0.8, 0.08), xycoords="axes fraction", fontsize=12)
48 plt.annotate('D', xy=(-.05, 1.02),
49             xycoords="axes fraction",
50             fontsize=12, bbox=bbox_props)
51
52 plt.suptitle('Interplay of various factors in \nMariana Trench system: Euler-Venn diagrams',
53             x=0.54, y=.98, fontsize=12)
54
55 # visualizing and saving
56 plt.tight_layout()
57 plt.subplots_adjust(top=0.90, bottom=0.08,
58                   left=0.10, right=0.95,
59                   hspace=0.25, wspace=0.35
60                   )
61 plt.savefig('plot_Venn.png', dpi=300)
62 plt.show()

```

codes/Python-26.py

A.6 R language scripts

A.6.1 R code to create histograms using (ggplot) library

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 MDepths <- read.csv("Depths.csv", header=TRUE)
2 X01<- MDepths[,01]
3 X01<-X01[!is.na(X01)]
4 as.data.frame(X01)
5 dat01<- data.frame(X01)
6 p01<-ggplot(dat01, aes(X01)) +
7   labs(title = "Profile Nr.01", x = "Depths, m", y = "Density") +
8   theme(
9     plot.title = element_text(family = "Skia", face = 2, size = 10),
10    panel.background=ggplot2::element_rect(fill = "gray91"),
11    legend.position = c(.95, .95),
12    legend.justification = c("right", "top"),
13    legend.box.just = "right",
14    legend.margin = margin(6, 6, 6, 6),
15    legend.direction = "vertical",
16    legend.background = element_blank(),
17    legend.key.width = unit(.5,"cm"),
18    legend.key.height = unit(.3,"cm"),
19    legend.spacing = unit(.3,"cm"),
20    legend.box.background = element_rect(colour = "honeydew4",size=0.2),
21    legend.text = element_text(family = "Arial", colour="black", size=6, face=1),
22    legend.title = element_blank(),
23    strip.text.x = element_text(colour = "white"),
24    panel.grid.major = element_line("white", size = 0.3),
25    panel.grid.minor = element_line("white", size = 0.3, linetype = "dotted"),
26    axis.text.x = element_text(family = "Arial", face = 3, color = "gray24",size = 5, angle = 0),
27    axis.text.y = element_text(family = "Arial", face = 3, color = "gray24",size = 4, angle = 90),
28    axis.ticks.length=unit(.1,"cm"),
29    axis.line = element_blank(),
30    # axis.line = element_line(size = .3, color = "grey80", linetype = "solid"),
31    axis.title.y = element_text(margin(t = 20, r = .3), family = "Times New Roman", face = 1, size = 6)
32    ,
33    axis.title.x = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 1, size = 6,margin = margin(t = .2)) +
34    scale_x_continuous(breaks = pretty(dat01$X01, n = 4), minor_breaks = seq(min(dat01$X01), max(dat01$X01), by =
35      500)) +
36    scale_y_continuous(breaks = scales::pretty_breaks(n = 4),labels = scales :: percent) +
37    scale_fill_distiller(palette = "RdGy") +
38    scale_color_manual(name = "Statistics:", values = c(median = "purple", mean = "green4",density = "blue", norm
39      _dist = "black")) +
40    geom_histogram(binwidth = 200,aes(fill = ..density..,x = dat01$X01,y = ..density..),color = "blue",size = .1)
41    +
42    stat_function(fun = dnorm, args = list(mean = mean(dat01$X01), sd = sd(dat01$X01)), lwd = 0.2, color = 'black
43    ') +
44    stat_density(geom = "line", size = .3, aes(color = "density")) +
45    geom_vline(aes(color = "mean", xintercept = mean(X01)), lty = 4, size = .3) +
46    geom_vline(aes(color = "median", xintercept = median(X01)), lty = 2, size = .3) +
47    geom_vline(aes(color = "norm_dist", xintercept = dnorm(X01)), lty = 2, size = .3)
48  p01

```

codes/R-01.r

A.6.2 R code to generate boxplots 'whiskerplots' using default boxplot function

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # BoxPlot (or WhiskerPlot)
2 # Part 1: create data.frame
3 # step-1. read-in table. generate data frame.
4 MDepths <- read.csv("Depths.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
5 # step-2. clean up data frame from NA values
6 MDepths <- na.omit(MDepths)
7 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))}) # check up deleted NA
8 sum(row.has.na) # sum up NA values: [1] 0
9 head(MDepths) # look up cleaned data frame.
10
11 # Part 2: create "whisker boxplot"
12 # step-3. generate list of profiles (to be shon on axis X)
13 profile_names <- paste(c("profile"), seq(1:25), sep="")
14 # step-4. generate box plot using arguments (here: 25 profiles)
15 p<- boxplot(MDepths$profile1, MDepths$profile2, MDepths$profile3, MDepths$profile4, MDepths$profile5, MDepths$
  profile6, MDepths$profile7, MDepths$profile8, MDepths$profile9, MDepths$profile10, MDepths$profile11,
  MDepths$profile12, MDepths$profile13, MDepths$profile14, MDepths$profile15, MDepths$profile16, MDepths$
  profile17, MDepths$profile18, MDepths$profile19, MDepths$profile20, MDepths$profile21, MDepths$profile22,
  MDepths$profile23, MDepths$profile24, MDepths$profile25,
16 main = "Mariana Trench Depths Boxplot",
17 outline = TRUE,
18 outlier.color = "seagreen", outlier.shape = 8, outlier.size = 2,
19 # xlab = "Profiles",
20 ylab = "Depths",
21 las = 2,
22 col = viridis(25, alpha=.2),
23 names = profile_names)
24 p

```

codes/R-02.r

A.6.3 R code for notched boxplot using (ggboxplot)

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # BoxPlot (or WhiskerPlot) using ggboxplot
2 # Part 1: data.frame
3 # step-1. read in table, create data frame
4 MDepths <- read.csv("Depths.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
5 # step-2. clean NA
6 df <- na.omit(MDepths)
7 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))}) # , NA
8 sum(row.has.na) # sum up NA: [1] 0
9 head(df) #look up data frame
10 # step-3. select profiles depths
11 MDepths_df <- data.frame(profiles = 1:25, depths = c(df$profile1, df$profile2, df$profile3, df$profile4, df$
  profile5, df$profile6, df$profile7, df$profile8, df$profile9, df$profile10, df$profile11, df$profile12, df$
  profile13, df$profile14, df$profile15, df$profile16, df$profile17, df$profile18, df$profile19, df$
  profile20, df$profile21, df$profile22, df$profile23, df$profile24, df$profile25))
12 # Part 2: boxplot ("whisker boxplot")
13 # step-4. plot by MDepths_df.
14 p<- ggboxplot(
15   MDepths_df,
16   title="11Mariana Trench, Profiles 1-25.",
17   subtitle = "Notched Boxplot for Data Groups by 25 Profiles with Outliers)",
18   caption = "Statistics Processing and Graphs: \nR Programming. Data Source: QGIS",
19   x = "profiles",
20   y = "depths",
21   width = 0.8,
22   notch = TRUE,
23   fill = "profiles",
24   linetype = 1, size = .1,
25   outlier.colour = "grey44",
26   palette = c("magma"),
27   orientation = "horizontal"
28 )
29 p
30 # step-5 add theme
31 boxplot_Mariana<- p +
32   theme(
33     plot.title = element_text(family = "Kai", face = "bold", size = 12),
34     plot.subtitle = element_text(family = "Hei", face = "bold", size = 8),
35     plot.caption = element_text(face = 2, size = 6),
36     panel.background=ggplot2::element_rect(fill = "white"),
37     legend.justification = c(.85, .1),
38     legend.position = c(.85, .1),
39     legend.box.just = "right", legend.margin = margin(6, 6, 6, 6),
40     legend.direction = "vertical",
41     legend.background = element_rect(fill = "white"),
42     legend.key.width = unit(.3,"cm"),
43     legend.key.height = unit(.3,"cm"), legend.spacing = unit(.3,"cm"),
44     legend.box.background = element_rect(colour = "honeydew4",size=0.2),
45     legend.text = element_text(family = "Arial", colour="black", size=6, face=1),
46     legend.title = element_text(family = "Arial", colour="black", size=6, face=1),
47     strip.text.x = element_text(colour = "white"),
48     panel.grid.major = element_line("gray24", size = 0.1, linetype = "dotted"),
49     panel.grid.minor = element_line("gray24", size = 0.1, linetype = "dotted"),
50     axis.text.x = element_text(family = "Arial", face = 3, color = "gray24",size = 6, angle = 15),
51     axis.text.y = element_text(family = "Arial", face = 3, color = "gray24",size = 6, angle = 90),
52     axis.ticks.length=unit(.1,"cm"),
53     axis.line = element_line(size = .3, colour = "grey80"),
54     axis.title.y = element_text(margin = margin(t = 20, r = .3), family = "Times New Roman", face = 2, size = 8)
55     ,
56     axis.title.x = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 2, size = 8,margin = margin(t = .2)))
57 boxplot_Mariana

```

codes/R-03.r

A.6.4 R code to generate QQ quantile statistics for facetted wrapped plot

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # QQ t.test facetwrap // QQ- statistics (quantiles)
2 # Part 1: generate data.frame
3 # step-1. read-in table. generate data frame.
4 MDepths <- read.csv("Depths.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
5 # step-2. clean up data frame from NA values
6 MDF <- na.omit(MDepths)
7 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))}) #
8 sum(row.has.na) # sum up all NA: [1] 0
9 head(MDF) # look up data frame.
10
11 # Part 2: merge all columns by "profile nr." type into one category "profiles".
12 # step-3. id - numbers of bathymetric observation points (1:518).
13 MarDF_NEW<- melt(MDF, id.vars = c('observ'), measure.vars = c("profile1", "profile2", "profile3", "profile4", "
    profile5", "profile6", "profile7", "profile8", "profile9", "profile10", "profile11", "profile12", "
    profile13", "profile14", "profile15", "profile16", "profile17", "profile18", "profile19", "profile20", "
    profile21", "profile22", "profile23", "profile24", "profile25"), variable.name = 'profiles')
14 head(MarDF_NEW)
15
16 # Part 3: generate multiple facets plot from 25 bathymetric profiles using QQ-statistics, draw plot.
17 # step-4.
18 QQ_facetwrapMD<- ggplot(MarDF_NEW, aes(sample = value, color = "profiles", size = "profiles"), show.legend=
    FALSE) +
19 stat_qq() +
20 facet_wrap( ~ profiles, labeller = label_both) +
21 xlab("Theoretical Quantiles") +
22 ylab("Sample Quantiles (Depths, m)") +
23 labs(title="Mariana Trench, Profiles Nr.1-25.",
24 subtitle = "Normal QQ Statistics (Quantile-Quantile)",
25 caption = "Statistics Processing and Graphs: \nR Programming. Data Source: QGIS") +
26 geom_abline(aes(intercept = mean(value), slope = sd(value), color = "mean"), size = .3) + # draw mean lines
    standard deviation
27 geom_segment(aes(x = 1, y = -7500, xend = 0, yend = -5000, color = "nr_of_samples"), size = .1, arrow = arrow(
    length = unit(0.1, "cm"))) + # draw arrow for annotation by every plot
28 annotate("text", label = "n = 518", family = "Times New Roman", size = 2, color = "blue", x = 1, y = -8000) + #
    subscript annotation text by the arrow (here: number of observations)
29 scale_color_manual(name = "Legend:", values = c(mean = "red", text = "blue", nr_of_samples = "blue", profiles =
    "green")) +
30 scale_size_manual(values= c
    (0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1)) +
31 scale_x_continuous(breaks = c(seq(-3, 3, by = 1))) + # additional X axes, by 1, from -3 up to 3.
32 scale_y_continuous(breaks = c(seq(-10000, 0, by = 2500))) +
33 theme(
34 plot.margin = margin(5, 10, 20, 5),
35 plot.title = element_text(family = "Kai", face = "bold", size = 10),
36 plot.subtitle = element_text(family = "Hei", face = "bold", size = 8),
37 plot.caption = element_text(face = 2, size = 6),
38 panel.background=ggplot2::element_rect(fill = "white"),
39 legend.justification = "bottom",
40 legend.position = "bottom",
41 legend.box.just = "right",
42 legend.direction = "horizontal",
43 legend.box = "horizontal",
44 legend.box.background = element_rect(colour = "honeydew4",size=0.2),
45 legend.background = element_rect(fill = "white"),
46 legend.key.width = unit(.1,"cm"),
47 legend.key.height = unit(.1,"cm"),
48 legend.spacing.x = unit(.1,"cm"),
49 legend.spacing.y = unit(.1,"cm"),
50 legend.text = element_text(family = "Arial", colour="black", size=6, face=1),
51 legend.title = element_text(family = "Arial", colour="black", size=6, face=1),
52 strip.text.x = element_text(colour = "white", family = "Arial", size=6, face=1),
53 panel.grid.major = element_line("gray24", size = 0.1, linetype = "solid"),
54 panel.grid.minor = element_line("gray24", size = 0.1, linetype = "dotted"),
55 axis.text.x = element_text(family = "Arial", face = 3, color = "gray24",size = 5, angle = 15),
56 axis.text.y = element_text(family = "Arial", face = 3, color = "gray24",size = 4, angle = 15),

```

```

57 axis.ticks.length=unit(.1,"cm"),
58 axis.line = element_line(size = .3, colour = "grey80"),
59 axis.title.y = element_text(margin = margin(t = 20, r = .3), family = "Times New Roman", face = 2, size = 8),
60 axis.title.x = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 2, size = 8, margin = margin(t = .2))) +
61 guides(col = guide_legend(nrow = 2, ncol = 3, byrow = TRUE)) # extend legend vertically.
62 QQ_facetwrapMD

```

codes/R-04.r

A.6.5 R code for regression analysis for the bathymetric profiles

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Regression Analysis
2 # Part 1: create data.frame
3 # step-1. read in table, create data frame.
4 MDepths <- read.csv("Depths.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
5
6 # step-2. clean up data frame from the NA
7 MDF <- na.omit(MDepths)
8 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
9 (row.has.na) # sum up NA, result: [1] 0
10 head(MDF) #
11
12 # Part 2: perform regression analysis and plot (Mathematical method includes 3 types of curves with confidence
13 # intervals and quantiles).
14 # step-3. (here: profile \#11)
15 Loess_profile11 <- ggplot(MDF, aes(x = observ, y = profile11)) +
16 geom_point(aes(x = observ, y = profile11, colour = "Samples", shape = "Samples"), show.legend=TRUE) +
17 geom_smooth(aes(x = observ, y = profile11, colour = "Loess method"), method = loess, se = TRUE, span = .4, size
18 =.3, linetype = "solid", show.legend=TRUE) +
19 geom_smooth(aes(x = observ, y = profile11, colour = "Glm method"), method = glm, se = FALSE, span = .4, size=.4,
20 linetype = "dotted", show.legend=TRUE) +
21 geom_smooth(aes(x = observ, y = profile11, colour = "Lm method"), method = lm, se = TRUE, size=.3, linetype = "
22 solid", show.legend=TRUE) +
23 geom_quantile(aes(x = observ, y = profile11, colour = "Quantiles"), linetype = "solid", show.legend=TRUE) +
24 xlab("Observations") +
25 ylab("Depths, m") +
26 scale_color_manual(values = c("Samples" = "seagreen", "Loess method" = "red", "Lm method" = "blue", "Glm method
27 " = "orange", "Quantiles" = "purple")) + # set up colors
28 scale_shape_manual(values = c("Samples" = 1)) + # set up shapes (here: \#1 - "transparent circle")
29 # scale_size_manual(values = c(profile11 = 1)) +
30 labs(title="Mariana Trench, Profile 11.",
31 subtitle = "Local Polynomial Regression, \nConfidence Interval, Quantiles \n(LOESS method: locally weighted
32 scatterplot \nsmoothing for non-parametric regression)",
33 caption = "Statistics Processing and Graphs: \nR Programming. Data Source: QGIS") +
34 theme(
35 plot.margin = margin(5, 10, 20, 5),
36 plot.title = element_text(family = "Kai", face = "bold", size = 8),
37 plot.subtitle = element_text(family = "Hei", face = "bold", size = 6),
38 plot.caption = element_text(face = 2, size = 6),
39 panel.background=ggplot2::element_rect(fill = "white"),
40 legend.justification = "bottom",
41 legend.position = "bottom",
42 legend.box.just = "right",
43 legend.direction = "horizontal",
44 legend.box = "horizontal",
45 legend.box.background = element_rect(colour = "honeydew4",size=0.2),
46 legend.background = element_rect(fill = "white"),
47 legend.key.width = unit(1,"cm"),
48 legend.key.height = unit(.5,"cm"),
49 legend.spacing.x = unit(.2,"cm"),
50 legend.spacing.y = unit(.1,"cm"),
51 legend.text = element_text(colour="black", size=6, face=1),
52 legend.title = element_text(colour="black", size=6, face=1),
53 strip.text.x = element_text(colour = "white", size=6, face=1),
54 panel.grid.major = element_line("gray24", size = 0.1, linetype = "solid"),

```

```

49 panel.grid.minor = element_line("gray24", size = 0.1, linetype = "dotted"),
50 axis.text.x = element_text(face = 3, color = "gray24", size = 6, angle = 15),
51 axis.text.y = element_text(face = 3, color = "gray24", size = 6, angle = 15),
52 axis.ticks.length=unit(.1,"cm"),
53 axis.line = element_line(size = .3, colour = "grey80"),
54 axis.title.y = element_text(margin = margin(t = 20, r = .3), face = 2, size = 8),
55 axis.title.x = element_text(face = 2, size = 8, margin = margin(t = .2))) +
56 guides(col = guide_legend(nrow = 1, ncol = 6, byrow = TRUE))
57 Loess_profile11
58
59 # Part 2: combine 3 plots on one layout
60 library(ggpubr)
61 #ggarrange function
62
63 Regression_Profiles111824 <- ggarrange(Loess_profile11, Loess_profile18, Loess_profile24, labels = c("1", "2",
  "3"), ncol = 3, nrow = 1, common.legend = TRUE, legend = "bottom")

```

codes/R-05.r

A.6.6 R code for cluster analysis, dendrograms

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Part 1: generate data.frame
2 # step-1. read-in table
3 MDepths <- read.csv("Depths.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
4 # step-2. clean up data frame from NA
5 MDF <- na.omit(MDepths)
6 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
7 sum(row.has.na) # : [1] 0
8 head(MDF) #
9 # Part-2. Hierarchical Cluster Analysis, Dendrogramms
10 library(dendextend)
11 # step-3. generate 1st dendrogram (here: 25 clusters)
12 dend <- MDF[1:25,] %>% scale %>% dist %>% # calculate a distance matrix,
13 hclust (method = "average") %>%
14 as.dendrogram %>%
15 set("labels", c(("profile"), rep(1:25), sep="")) %>%
16 set("labels_col","blue") %>% set("labels_cex", c(.7)) %>%
17 set("branches_k_color", k=5) %>% set("branches_lwd", 1) %>%
18 set("nodes_pch", 19) %>% set("nodes_cex", 1) %>%
19 set("nodes_col", "plum1") %>%
20 set("leaves_pch", 19) %>% set("leaves_col", c("blue", "red"))
21 dend %>% plot(main = "Mariana Trench: \nCluster Analysis Dendrogramm-1 of the Bathymetric Profiles \nUnsorted
  Dendrogramm")
22 # step-4. generate 2nd dendrogram from the 1st one, sorted by cluster size
23 dend2 <- sort(dend)
24 dend2 %>% set("branches_k_color", k=3) %>% set("branches_lwd", 1) %>%
25 set("labels_col","blue") %>% set("labels_cex", c(.7)) %>%
26 set("branches_k_color", k=5) %>% set("branches_lwd", 1) %>%
27 set("nodes_pch", 19) %>% set("nodes_cex", 1) %>%
28 set("nodes_col", "plum1") %>%
29 set("leaves_pch", 19) %>% set("leaves_col", c("blue", "red"))
30 dend2 %>% plot(main = "Mariana Trench: \nCluster Analysis Dendrogramm-2 of the Bathymetric Profiles \nSorted
  Dendrogramm")
31 # step-5. compare both dendrograms (sorted one with unsorted)
32 tanglegram(dend, dend2)
33 tanglegram(dend, dend2) %>% plot(main = "Mariana Trench: \nComrapison of the Cluster Dendrogramms 1 and 2")
34 # step-6. Hierarchical Clustering with P-Values via Multiscale Bootstrap Resampling
35 data(MDF)
36 set.seed(518)
37 result <- pvclust(MDF, method.dist="cor", method.hclust="average", nboot=10)
38 plot(result, main = "Mariana Trench Bathymetric Profiles 1-25: \nHierarchical Clustering with P-Values (AU/BP,
  %) \nvia Multiscale Bootstrap Resampling")
39 pvrect(result)
40 # step-7. pvclust and dendextend - results in view of sorted dendrogram
41 result %>% as.dendrogram %>%

```

```

42 set("branches_k_color", k = 5, value = c("purple", "orange", "cyan1", "firebrick1", "springgreen")) %>%
43 plot(main = "Mariana Trench Bathymetric Profiles 1-25: Cluster Dendrogram\nwith AU/BP Values (%). nAU:
    Approximately Unbiased p-Value \n and BP: Bootstrap Probability")
44 result %>% text
45 result %>% pvrect
46 # the end. results can be saved as a pdf via "Save As" (here: 5 figures for every step from 3- to 7)

```

codes/R-06.r

A.6.7 R code for correlogram by (ggplot) library

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Part 1: generate data.frame
2 # step-1. read in table.
3 MDepths <- read.csv("Depths.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
4 # step-2. clean up data frame from NA values
5 MDF <- na.omit(MDepths)
6 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))}) # check up if there are any NA
7 sum(row.has.na) # sum up NA, should be: [1] 0
8 head(MDF) # look up data frame
9 # Part 2. Generate and draw correlogram
10 # step-3. Generate correlogram matrix from the MDF dataframe using Pearson method as all data are normally
    distributed. Because the data are excessive, use only half of them, the other becomes NA. reate
    correlation matrix using Pearson, as all variables are normally distributed.
11 thecor<-round(cor(MDF[,sort(c("profile1", "profile2", "profile3", "profile4", "profile5", "profile6", "profile7",
    "profile8", "profile9", "profile10", "profile11", "profile12", "profile13", "profile14", "profile15", "
    profile16", "profile17", "profile18", "profile19", "profile20", "profile21", "profile22", "profile23", "
    profile24", "profile25"))], method="pearson", use="pairwise.complete.obs"),2)
12 thecor[lower.tri(thecor)]<- NA
13 thecor
14 # step-4. merge columns "profile" of the matrix using function melt from the library reshape2. Delete NA values
    //
15 library(reshape2)
16 thecor<-melt(thecor)
17 thecor$Var1<-as.character(thecor$Var1)
18 thecor$Var2<-as.character(thecor$Var2)
19 thecor<-na.omit(thecor)
20 head(thecor)
21 # step-5. draw correlogram using function geom_tile
22 Correlogramm_Mariana <- ggplot(thecor, aes(Var2, Var1)) +
23 geom_tile(data=thecor, aes(fill=value), color="white") +
24 scale_fill_gradient2(low = "blue", high = "red", mid = "white", midpoint = 0, limit = c(-1,1), name = "
    Correlation\n(Pearson)") +
25 coord_equal() +
26 labs(title="Mariana Trench, Profiles Nr.1-25.", subtitle = "Correlogram of Correlation Matrix",
27 caption = "Statistics Processing and Graphs: \nR Programming. Data Source: QGIS") +
28 theme(
29 plot.margin = margin(5, 10, 20, 5),
30 plot.title = element_text(family = "Kai", face = "bold", size = 12), # for Chinese font use Kai
31 plot.subtitle = element_text(family = "Hei", face = "bold", size = 10), # for Chinese font use Hei
32 plot.caption = element_text(face = 2, size = 6),
33 panel.background=ggplot2::element_rect(fill = "white"),
34 legend.justification = "bottom", legend.position = "bottom",
35 legend.box.just = "right",
36 legend.direction = "horizontal",
37 legend.box = "horizontal",
38 legend.box.background = element_rect(colour = "honeydew4",size=0.2),
39 legend.background = element_rect(fill = "white"),
40 legend.key.width = unit(1,"cm"), legend.key.height = unit(.5,"cm"),
41 legend.spacing.x = unit(.2,"cm"), legend.spacing.y = unit(.1,"cm"),
42 legend.text = element_text(colour="black", size=6, face=1),
43 legend.title = element_text(colour="black", size=6, face=1),
44 strip.text.x = element_text(colour = "white", size=6, face=1),
45 panel.grid.major = element_line("gray24", size = 0.1, linetype = "solid"),
46 panel.grid.minor = element_line("gray24", size = 0.1, linetype = "dotted"),
47 axis.text.x = element_text(face = 3, color = "gray24", size = 6, angle = 45),

```



```

48 axis.text.y = element_text(face = 3, color = "gray24", size = 6, angle = 15),
49 axis.ticks.length=unit(.1,"cm"),
50 axis.line = element_line(size = .3, colour = "grey80"),
51 axis.title.y = element_text(margin = margin(t = 20, r = .3), face = 2, size = 8),
52 axis.title.x = element_text(face = 2, size = 8, margin = margin(t = .2))) +
53 guides(col = guide_legend(nrow = 1, ncol = 6, byrow = TRUE)) # improve design of the legend.
54 Correlogramm_Mariana
55 ggsave("Correlogramm_Mariana.pdf", device = cairo_pdf, fallback_resolution = 300)

```

codes/R-07.r

A.6.8 R code for Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # libraries: 'factoextra', 'FactoMiner' zip, openxlsx, carData, pbkrtest, rio, car, flashClust, leaps,
  scatterplot3d, FactoMineR, ca, igraph
2 # Part 1 Creating dataframe.
3 MDepths <- read.csv("Depths.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",") # Reading Table.
4 df<- read.csv("Depths.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
5 MDF<- na.omit(MDepths) # step-2. cleaning dataframe from NA values
6 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
7 sum(row.has.na) # count NAs: [1] 0
8 head(MDF) # ready-to-use dataframe.
9
10 # Part 2. Create plot of Principal Component Analysis (PCA)
11 PCA_Mariana <- autoplot(prcomp(MDF), loadings = TRUE, loadings.colour = 'blue', loadings.label = TRUE, loadings
  .label.size = 3) +
12 geom_point(color = "blue") +
13 scale_color_brewer(palette="Dark2") +
14 labs(title="Mariana Trench, Profiles Nr.1-25.",
15 subtitle = "PCA (Principal Component Analysis)",
16 caption = "Statistics Processing and Graphs: \nR Programming. Data Source: QGIS") + # add theme
17 theme(plot.margin = margin(5, 10, 20, 5),
18 plot.title = element_text(family = "Kai", face = "bold", size = 12), # Chinese font
19 plot.subtitle = element_text(family = "Hei", face = "bold", size = 10), # Chinese font
20 plot.caption = element_text(face = 2, size = 6, panel.background=ggplot2::element_rect(fill = "white"),
21 legend.justification = "bottom", legend.position = "bottom", legend.box.just = "right",
22 legend.direction = "horizontal", legend.box = "horizontal",
23 legend.box.background = element_rect(colour = "honeydew4", size=0.2),
24 legend.background = element_rect(fill = "white"),
25 legend.key.width = unit(1,"cm"), legend.key.height = unit(.5,"cm"), legend.spacing.x = unit(.2,"cm"),
26 legend.spacing.y = unit(.1,"cm"), legend.text = element_text(colour="black", size=6, face=1),
27 legend.title = element_text(colour="black", size=6, face=1),
28 strip.text.x = element_text(colour = "white", size=6, face=1),
29 panel.grid.major = element_line("gray24", size = 0.1, linetype = "solid"),
30 panel.grid.minor = element_line("gray24", size = 0.1, linetype = "dotted"),
31 axis.text.x = element_text(face = 3, color = "gray24", size = 6, angle = 45),
32 axis.text.y = element_text(face = 3, color = "gray24", size = 6, angle = 15),
33 axis.ticks.length=unit(.1,"cm"), axis.line = element_line(size = .3, colour = "grey80"),
34 axis.title.y = element_text(margin = margin(t = 20, r = .3), face = 2, size = 8),
35 axis.title.x = element_text(face = 2, size = 8, margin = margin(t = .2))) +
36 guides(col = guide_legend(nrow = 1, ncol = 6, byrow = TRUE)) # Legend design
37 PCA_Mariana

```

codes/R-08.r

A.6.9 R code for k-means clustering

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Part 1: generate data.frame with geomorphological data
2 # step-1. load table, generate data frame
3 MorDF <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
4 head(MorDF)
5 summary(MorDF)
6
7 # Part 2: Clustering
8 # step-2. generate several options of cluster analysis with various k (number of k centers: 2 to 7)
9 k2MorDF <- kmeans(MorDF, centers = 2, nstart = 25)
10 str(k2MorDF)
11 k2MorDF
12
13 fviz_cluster(k2MorDF, data = MorDF)
14 k3MorDF <- kmeans(MorDF, centers = 3, nstart = 25)
15 str(k3MorDF)
16 k3MorDF
17
18 fviz_cluster(k3MorDF, data = MorDF)
19 k4MorDF <- kmeans(MorDF, centers = 4, nstart = 25)
20 str(k4MorDF)
21 k4MorDF
22 fviz_cluster(k4MorDF, data = MorDF)
23
24 k5MorDF <- kmeans(MorDF, centers = 5, nstart = 25)
25 str(k5MorDF)
26 k5MorDF
27 fviz_cluster(k5MorDF, data = MorDF)
28
29 k6MorDF <- kmeans(MorDF, centers = 6, nstart = 25)
30 str(k6MorDF)
31 k6MorDF
32
33 fviz_cluster(k6MorDF, data = MorDF)
34 k7MorDF <- kmeans(MorDF, centers = 7, nstart = 25)
35 str(k7MorDF)
36 k7MorDF
37 fviz_cluster(k7MorDF, data = MorDF)
38 # step-3. save values of plots in p1-p7
39 p2 <- fviz_cluster(k2MorDF, geom = "point", data = MorDF) + ggtitle("Nr. of centers k = 2") + theme(plot.title
  = element_text(size = 10), legend.title = element_text(size=8), legend.text = element_text(colour="black",
  size = 8))
40 p3 <- fviz_cluster(k3MorDF, geom = "point", data = MorDF) + ggtitle("Nr. of centers k = 3") + theme(plot.title
  = element_text(size = 10), legend.title = element_text(size=8), legend.text = element_text(colour="black",
  size = 8))
41 p4 <- fviz_cluster(k4MorDF, geom = "point", data = MorDF) + ggtitle("Nr. of centers k = 4") + theme(plot.title
  = element_text(size = 10), legend.title = element_text(size=8), legend.text = element_text(colour="black",
  size = 8))
42 p5 <- fviz_cluster(k5MorDF, geom = "point", data = MorDF) + ggtitle("Nr. of centers k = 5") + theme(plot.title
  = element_text(size = 10), legend.title = element_text(size=8), legend.text = element_text(colour="black",
  size = 8))
43 p6 <- fviz_cluster(k6MorDF, geom = "point", data = MorDF) + ggtitle("Nr. of centers k = 6") + theme(plot.title
  = element_text(size = 10), legend.title = element_text(size=8), legend.text = element_text(colour="black",
  size = 8))
44 p7 <- fviz_cluster(k7MorDF, geom = "point", data = MorDF) + ggtitle("Nr. of centers k = 7") + theme(plot.title
  = element_text(size = 10), legend.title = element_text(size=8), legend.text = element_text(colour="black",
  size = 8))
45 # step-4. collect all plots on one layout to compare
46 figure <- plot_grid(p2, p3, p4, p5, p6, p7, labels = c("1", "2", "3", "4", "5", "6"), ncol = 2, nrow = 3)
47 # step-5. add comot titla, subtitle and lower subscript.
48 ClustersMariana6 <- figure +
49 labs(title="Mariana Trench, Profiles Nr.1-25.",
50 subtitle = "Geomorphological Cluster Analysis (k-means)",
51 caption = "Statistics Processing and Graphs: \nR Programming. Data Source: QGIS") +
52 theme(plot.margin = margin(5, 10, 20, 5), plot.title = element_text(family = "Kai", face = "bold", size = 12),
53 plot.subtitle = element_text(family = "Hei", face = "bold", size = 10),

```

```

54 plot.caption = element_text(face = 2, size = 6), panel.background=ggplot2::element_rect(fill = "white"),
55 legend.justification = "bottom", legend.position = "bottom", legend.box.just = "right", legend.direction = "
    horizontal",
56 legend.box = "horizontal", legend.box.background = element_rect(colour = "honeydew4", size=0.2),
57 legend.background = element_rect(fill = "white"),
58 legend.key.width = unit(1, "cm"), legend.key.height = unit(.5, "cm"),
59 legend.spacing.x = unit(.2, "cm"), legend.spacing.y = unit(.1, "cm"),
60 legend.text = element_text(colour="black", size=6, face=1),
61 legend.title = element_text(colour="black", size=6, face=1))
62 ClustersMariana6

```

codes/R-09.r

A.6.10 R code for Pairwise Standard Scatter Plots of k-means Cluster Correlation (comparing 4 tectonic plates)

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # libraries: 'factoextra', 'FactoMiner' zip, openxlsx, carData, pbkrtest, rio, car, flashClust, leaps,
  scatterplot3d, FactoMineR, ca, igraph
2
3 # Part 1: generate data.frame with geomorphology
4 # step-1. load table, generate data.frame
5 MorDF <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
6 head(MorDF)
7 summary(MorDF)
8
9 # Part 2: Pairwise Standard Scatter Correlation Plots
10 # step-2. doing cluster analysis with k=6 (optimal number in this case)
11 k6MorDF <- kmeans(MorDF, centers = 6, nstart = 25)
12 str(k6MorDF)
13 k6MorDF
14 fviz_cluster(k6MorDF, data = MorDF)
15
16 # step-3 standard pairwise scatter plots to illustrate the clusters compared to the original variables.
17 #Here: compare angles of steepness of the Mariana Trench on various tectonic plates (it crosses 4 tectonic
  plates).
18 # 3.1.for Mariana Plate
19 PairM <- MorDF %>%
20 as_tibble() %>%
21 mutate(cluster = k6MorDF$cluster,
22 profile = row.names(MorDF)) %>%
23 ggplot(aes(x = plate_maria, y = tg_angle, color = factor(cluster), label = profile)) +
24 geom_text()
25 # 3.2.for Philippine Plate
26 PairPh <- MorDF %>%
27 as_tibble() %>%
28 mutate(cluster = k6MorDF$cluster,
29 profile = row.names(MorDF)) %>%
30 ggplot(aes(x = plate_phill, y = tg_angle, color = factor(cluster), label = profile)) +
31 geom_text()
32 PairPh
33 # 3.3.for Pacific Plate
34 PairPc <- MorDF %>%
35 as_tibble() %>%
36 mutate(cluster = k6MorDF$cluster,
37 profile = row.names(MorDF)) %>%
38 ggplot(aes(x = plate_pacif, y = tg_angle, color = factor(cluster), label = profile)) +
39 geom_text()
40 PairPc
41 # 3.4.for Caroline Plate
42 PairC <- MorDF %>%
43 as_tibble() %>%
44 mutate(cluster = k6MorDF$cluster,
45 profile = row.names(MorDF)) %>%
46 ggplot(aes(x = plate_carol, y = tg_angle, color = factor(cluster), label = profile)) +
47 geom_text()

```

```

48 |
49 | # step-4. subscript every plot
50 | p1<- PairM + ggtitle("MARIANA Plate; Trench Profiles 1:25; Trench Angles (tg(A/H))" ) + theme(plot.title =
    element_text(size = 8), legend.title = element_text(size=8), legend.text = element_text(colour="black",
    size = 8), axis.title = element_text(size = 8))
51 | p2<- PairPh + ggtitle("PHILIPPINE Plate; Trench Profiles 1:25; Trench Angles (tg(A/H))" ) + theme(plot.title =
    element_text(size = 8), legend.title = element_text(size=8), legend.text = element_text(colour="black",
    size = 8), axis.title = element_text(size = 8))
52 | p3<- PairPc + ggtitle("PACIFIC Plate; Trench Profiles 1:25; Trench Angles (tg(A/H))" ) + theme(plot.title =
    element_text(size = 8), legend.title = element_text(size=8), legend.text = element_text(colour="black",
    size = 8), axis.title = element_text(size = 8))
53 | p4<- PairC + ggtitle("CAROLINE Plate; Trench Profiles 1:25; Trench Angles (tg(A/H))" ) + theme(plot.title =
    element_text(size = 8), legend.title = element_text(size=8), legend.text = element_text(colour="black",
    size = 8), axis.title = element_text(size = 8))
54 |
55 | # step-5. place all 4 plots on one layout
56 | Pair_figure <-plot_grid(p1, p2, p3, p4, labels = c("1", "2", "3", "4"), ncol = 2, nrow = 2)
57 |
58 | # step-6. add common title, subtitle, lower subscript
59 | PairwisePlates <- Pair_figure +
60 | labs(title="Mariana Trench, Profiles Nr.1-25.",
61 | subtitle = "Pairwise Standard Scatter Plots of k-means Cluster Correlation",
62 | caption = "Clusters compared to the original variables \nStatistics Processing and Graphs: R Programming. Data
    Source: QGIS") +
63 | theme(
64 | plot.margin = margin(5, 10, 20, 5),
65 | plot.title = element_text(margin = margin(t = 0, r = 20, b = 5, l = 0), family = "Kai", face = "bold", size =
    12),
66 | plot.subtitle = element_text(margin = margin(t = 0, r = 20, b = 4, l = 0), family = "Hei", face = "bold", size
    = 10),
67 | plot.caption = element_text(face = 2, size = 6),
68 | panel.background=ggplot2::element_rect(fill = "white"),
69 | legend.justification = "bottom",
70 | legend.position = "bottom",
71 | legend.box.just = "right",
72 | legend.direction = "horizontal",
73 | legend.box = "horizontal",
74 | legend.box.background = element_rect(colour = "honeydew4",size=0.2),
75 | legend.background = element_rect(fill = "white"),
76 | legend.key.width = unit(1,"cm"),
77 | legend.key.height = unit(.5,"cm"),
78 | legend.spacing.x = unit(.2,"cm"),
79 | legend.spacing.y = unit(.1,"cm"),
80 | legend.text = element_text(colour="black", size=6, face=1),
81 | legend.title = element_text(colour="black", size=6, face=1))
82 | PairwisePlates

```

codes/R-10.r

A.6.11 R code for 'lollipop' charts and diverging bars by (ggplot) library

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Part-1. Generate inicial data frame
2 # step-1. read in table
3 MorDF <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
4 head(MorDF)
5 summary(MorDF)
6 # step-2. select two necessary columns from the initial table: numeric and symbol values. Generate from the
   them a new MDF data frame.
7 profile<- as.character(MorDF$profile)
8 tg_angle <- as.numeric(MorDF$tg_angle)
9 MDF<- data.frame(profile, tg_angle)
10 head(MDF)
11
12 # Part-2. change data frame MDF.
13 # step-3. Add new column for bathymetric profile names (here: by rows 1:25)
14 MDF$"profile name" <- rownames(MDF)
15 # step-4. re-calculate argument values (by axis X) normalized by the difference between mean and standard
   deviation)
16 MDF$norm_tg_angle <- round((MDF$tg_angle - mean(MDF$tg_angle))/sd(MDF$tg_angle), 2) # compute normalized tg_
   angle
17 # step-5. distribute values of the normalized argument on "above" and "below" mean
18 MDF$angle_type <- ifelse(MDF$norm_tg_angle < 0, "below", "above") # above / below avg flag
19 # step-6. sort dataframe
20 MDF <- MDF[order(MDF$norm_tg_angle), ] # sort
21 # step-7. values by Y axis (here: profile names) convert onto factor
22 MDF$"profile name" <- factor(MDF$"profile name", levels = MDF$"profile name") # convert to factor to retain
   sorted order in plot.
23 class(MDF$profile name) # check up class
24 # [1] "factor" - should be
25 MDF # look up new data frame (5 columns vs initial 2 columns)
26
27 # Part-3. draw 2 plots by data frame MDF created in Part-2.
28 # step-8. Diverging Barcharts
29 Diverging_Bars<- ggplot(MDF, aes(x = MDF$"profile name", y = MDF$norm_tg_angle, label = MDF$norm_tg_angle)) +
30 geom_bar(stat='identity', aes(fill = MDF$angle_type), width=.5) +
31 xlab("Profiles, Nr.") +
32 ylab(expression(tg*degree*(A/H))) +
33 scale_fill_manual(name="(tg(A/H))",
34 labels = c("Above Average", "Below Average"),
35 values = c("above"="lawngreen", "below"="corall")) +
36 labs(title= "Mariana Trench. Diverging Bars",
37 subtitle=expression(paste("Normalized steepness ", tg*degree*(A/H), " vs profiles 1:25"))) +
38 coord_flip() +
39 theme(plot.title = element_text(size = 10),
40 legend.title = element_text(size=8), legend.text = element_text(colour="black", size = 8))
41 Diverging_Bars
42 # step-9. Plotting "Lollipop Chart"
43 Lollipop <- ggplot(MDF, aes(x = MDF$"profile name", y = MDF$norm_tg_angle, label = MDF$norm_tg_angle)) +
44 xlab("Profiles, Nr.") +
45 ylab(expression(tg*degree*(A/H))) +
46 geom_point(stat='identity', fill="black", size=6) +
47 geom_segment(aes(y = 0, x = MDF$"profile name", yend = MDF$norm_tg_angle, xend = MDF$"profile name"), color = "
   black") +
48 geom_text(color="white", size=2) +
49 labs(title="Mariana Trench: Diverging Lollipop Chart",
50 subtitle=expression(paste("Normalized steepness ", tg*degree*(A/H), " vs profiles 1:25"))) +
51 ylim(-2.5, 2.5) +
52 coord_flip() +
53 theme(plot.title = element_text(size = 10), legend.title = element_text(size=8), legend.text = element_text(
   colour="black", size = 8))
54 Lollipop
55 # step-10. place both pots on one layout
56 figure <-plot_grid(Diverging_Bars, Lollipop, labels = c("1", "2"), ncol = 2, nrow = 1)
57 # step-11. add common title, subtitle, subscript
58 LollipopBar <- figure +
59 labs(title="Mariana Trench, Profiles Nr.1-25.",

```

```

60 subtitle = "Geomorphological Analysis: Normalised Steepness Angles",
61 caption = "Statistics Processing and Graphs: \nR Programming. Data Source: QGIS") +
62 theme(
63 plot.margin = margin(5, 10, 20, 5),
64 plot.title = element_text(margin = margin(t = 0, r = 20, b = 5, l = 0), family = "Kai", face = "bold", size =
    12),
65 plot.subtitle = element_text(margin = margin(t = 0, r = 20, b = 4, l = 0), family = "Hei", face = "bold", size
    = 10),
66 plot.caption = element_text(face = 2, size = 6),
67 panel.background=ggplot2::element_rect(fill = "white"),
68 legend.justification = "bottom",
69 legend.position = "bottom",
70 legend.box.just = "right",
71 legend.direction = "horizontal",
72 legend.box = "horizontal",
73 legend.box.background = element_rect(colour = "honeydew4",size=0.2),
74 legend.background = element_rect(fill = "white"),
75 legend.key.width = unit(1,"cm"),
76 legend.key.height = unit(.5,"cm"),
77 legend.spacing.x = unit(.2,"cm"),
78 legend.spacing.y = unit(.1,"cm"),
79 legend.text = element_text(colour="black", size=6, face=1),
80 legend.title = element_text(colour="black", size=6, face=1))
81 LollipopBar

```

codes/R-11.r

A.6.12 R code for Dumbbell chart by (ggalt) and (ggplot2) libraries

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Part-1. Generate data frame
2 # step-1. read in table
3 MorDF <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
4 head(MorDF)
5 summary(MorDF)
6
7 # Part-2. Draw Dumbbell chart
8 theme_set(theme_classic())
9 # step-2. set up factor value (here: numbers of the bathymetric profiles 1:25)
10 profile<- factor(MorDF$profile, levels=as.character(MorDF$profile)) # for right ordering of the dumbbells
11 # step-3. compare distribution of the bathymetric observation points in a pair "Pacific vs Philippine plates"
12 PacPhil <- ggplot(MorDF, aes(x = plate_phill, xend = plate_pacif, y = profile, group = profile)) +
13   geom_dumbbell(color = "thistle4", size=0.3, colour_xend = "deeppink", size_xend = 1.5, colour_x = "darkviolet",
14     size_x = 1.5, show.legend = T) +
15   labs(x = "Observation Points", y = "Profiles", title="Dumbbell Chart \nMariana Trench, Profiles Nr1-25",
16     subtitle="Value Change: Observation Points; Philippine Plate vs Pacific Plate",
17     caption="OUC, Qingdao2018. \nStatistics Processing and Graphs: R Programming. Data Source: QGIS") +
18   scale_x_continuous(breaks = c(seq(0, 500, by = 100)), minor_breaks = c(seq(0, 500, by = 50))) +
19   scale_y_continuous(breaks = c(seq(1, 25, by = 1))) +
20   theme(plot.title = element_text(margin = margin(t = 0, r = 20, b = 5, l = 0), family = "Kai", face = "bold",
21     size = 12),
22     plot.subtitle = element_text(margin = margin(t = 0, r = 20, b = 4, l = 0), family = "Hei", face = "bold", size
23       = 8),
24     plot.caption = element_text(margin = margin(t = 20, r = 10, b = 4, l = 0), family = "Kai", face = "bold", size
25       = 8),
26     plot.background=element_rect(fill = "white"),
27     axis.text.x = element_text(face = 3, color = "gray24", size = 6),
28     axis.text.y = element_text(face = 3, color = "gray24", size = 6),
29     axis.title.y = element_text(size = 8),axis.title.x = element_text(size = 8),
30     axis.ticks=element_blank(),legend.position="top",
31     panel.background=element_rect(fill = "grey95", colour = "grey95"),
32     panel.grid.major = element_line("white", size = 0.4), panel.grid.minor = element_line("white", size = 0.4,
33       linetype = "dotted"), panel.border=element_blank())
34 PacPhil
35 # step-4. compare distribution of the bathymetric observation points in a pair "Mariana vs Caroline plates"
36 MarCar <- ggplot(MorDF, aes(x = plate_maria, xend = plate_carol, y = profile, group = profile)) +
37   geom_dumbbell(color = "thistle4", size=0.3, colour_xend = "orange", size_xend = 1.5, colour_x = "navy", size_x
38     = 1.5, show.legend = T) +
39   labs(x = "Observation Points", y = "Profiles",
40     title="Dumbbell Chart \nMariana Trench, Profiles Nr.1-25.",
41     subtitle="Value Change: Observation Points; Mariana Plate vs Caroline Plate",
42     caption="OUC, Qingdao 2018. \nStatistics Processing and Graphs: R Programming. Data Source: QGIS") +
43   scale_x_continuous(breaks = c(seq(0, 500, by = 100)), minor_breaks = c(seq(0, 500, by = 50))) +
44   scale_y_continuous(breaks = c(seq(1, 25, by = 1))) +
45   theme(plot.title = element_text(margin = margin(t = 0, r = 20, b = 5, l = 0), family = "Kai", face = "bold",
46     size = 12),
47     plot.subtitle = element_text(margin = margin(t = 0, r = 20, b = 4, l = 0), family = "Hei", face = "bold", size
48       = 8),
49     plot.caption = element_text(margin = margin(t = 20, r = 10, b = 4, l = 0), family = "Kai", face = "bold", size
50       = 8),
51     plot.background=element_rect(fill = "white"),
52     axis.text.x = element_text(face = 3, color = "gray24", size = 6),
53     axis.text.y = element_text(face = 3, color = "gray24", size = 6),
54     axis.title.y = element_text(size = 8),axis.title.x = element_text(size = 8),
55     axis.ticks=element_blank(),legend.position="top",
56     panel.background=element_rect(fill = "grey95", colour = "grey95"),
57     panel.grid.major = element_line("white", size = 0.4),
58     panel.grid.minor = element_line("white", size = 0.4, linetype = "dotted"),
59     panel.border=element_blank())
60 MarCar
61 # step-5. place both plots on one layout.
62 figure <-plot_grid(MarCar, PacPhil, labels = c("1", "2"), ncol = 2, nrow = 1)

```

codes/R-12.r

A.6.13 R code for categorywise bar charts

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Part-1. Prepare data frame
2 # step-1. read in table. create initial data frame
3 MDepths <- read.csv("DepthTect.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
4 # step-2. clean up data frame from NA values
5 MDF <- na.omit(MDepths)
6 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))}) # check up if there is any NA
7 sum(row.has.na) # sum up all NA, should be: [1] 0
8 head(MDF) # look up clean data frame
9 # step-3. merge table columns by 3 parameters, here: depths, tectonics, steepness angles. using melt library(
  data.table)
10 DFDT = melt(setDT(MDF), measure = patterns("^profile", "^tectonics", "^tg"), value.name = c("depths", "
  tectonics", "angles"))
11 DFDT
12
13 # Part 2: draw categorywise bar chart, with colors by categories
14 # step-4. draw diagram, add , legend, design
15 g <- ggplot(DFDT, aes(variable)) +
16   geom_bar(aes(fill = tectonics), width = 0.5, na.rm = TRUE) +
17   theme(axis.text.x = element_text(angle=65, vjust=0.6)) +
18   xlab("Profiles, Nr.") +
19   ylab("Observation Points") +
20   labs(title="Mariana Trench, Profiles Nr.1-25.",
21        subtitle = "Categorywise Bar Chart. \nDistribution of Observation Points across Tectonic Plates: \nMariana,
  Philippine, Pacific and Caroline",
22        caption = "Statistics Processing and Graphs: R Programming. Data Source: QGIS") +
23   scale_fill_brewer(palette = "RdBu") +
24   theme(
25     plot.margin = margin(5, 10, 20, 5),
26     plot.title = element_text(margin = margin(t = 0, r = 20, b = 5, l = 0), family = "Kai", face = "bold", size =
      12),
27     plot.subtitle = element_text(margin = margin(t = 0, r = 20, b = 4, l = 0), family = "Hei", face = "bold", size
      = 10),
28     plot.caption = element_text(face = 2, size = 6),
29     panel.background=ggplot2::element_rect(fill = "white"),
30     axis.title.y = element_text(size = 8),
31     axis.title.x = element_text(size = 8),
32     legend.justification = "bottom",
33     legend.position = "bottom",
34     legend.box.just = "right",
35     legend.direction = "horizontal",
36     legend.box = "horizontal",
37     legend.box.background = element_rect(colour = "honeydew4",size=0.2),
38     legend.background = element_rect(fill = "white"),
39     legend.key.width = unit(1,"cm"),
40     legend.key.height = unit(.5,"cm"),
41     legend.spacing.x = unit(.2,"cm"),
42     legend.spacing.y = unit(.1,"cm"),
43     legend.text = element_text(colour="black", size=6, face=1),
44     legend.title = element_text(colour="black", size=6, face=1))
45 g

```

codes/R-13.r

A.6.14 R code for dot plot with encircling by (ggalt) library

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Part-1. Prepare data frame.
2 # step-1. read in table with data on geomorphology. create initial data frame
3 MDepths <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
4 # step-2. clean up data frame from NA values
5 MDF <- na.omit(MDepths)
6 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))}) # check up data frame if there are any NA
7 sum(row.has.na) # sum up all NA, should be: [1] 0
8 head(MDF) # look up data frame
9 # Part 2: Generate Dot Plot with Encircling
10 # step-3 set up two areas 2 with encircling separately for each plot
11 crucial_igneous <- MDF[MDF$igneous_volc > 50 & MDF$igneous_volc <= 300 & MDF$profile > 5 & MDF$profile <= 25, ]
12 crucial_angles <- MDF[MDF$tg_angle > 0.00 & MDF$tg_angle <= 0.075 & MDF$profile > 5 & MDF$profile <= 22, ]
13
14 # step-4. draw plot nr. 1 for points distribution within areas of igneous volcanic zones by bathymetric
    profiles
15 g1 <- ggplot(MDF, aes(x = profile , y = igneous_volc)) +
16 geom_point(aes(x = profile , y = igneous_volc, colour = "igneous_volc"), size=3, alpha = .5, show.legend=TRUE)
    + # Draw points
17 geom_segment(aes(x = profile, xend = profile, y=min(igneous_volc), yend=max(igneous_volc)), linetype="dashed",
    size=0.1) + # Draw dashed lines
18 geom_encircle(aes(x = profile, y = igneous_volc), data = crucial_igneous, color="red", size=1, expand=0.05) + #
    encircle
19 geom_smooth(aes(x = profile, y = igneous_volc, colour = "Regression loess method"), method = loess, se = FALSE,
    size = .3, linetype = "solid", span = 1, show.legend=TRUE) +
20 geom_smooth(aes(x = profile, y = igneous_volc, colour = "Regression lm method"), method = lm, formula = y ~
    splines::bs(x, 3), se = FALSE, size=.3, linetype = "solid", show.legend=TRUE) +
21 # coord_flip() +
22 scale_color_manual(values = c("Regression loess method" = "orange", "Regression lm method" = "blue", "igneous_
    volc" = "royalblue1")) +
23 xlab("Profiles, Nr.") +
24 ylab("Observation Points within Igneous Areas") +
25 labs(title="Mariana Trench, Profiles Nr.1-25.",
26 subtitle = "Ranking Dot Plot. \nDistribution of Observation Points across Igneous Volcanic Areas",
27 caption = "Statistics Processing and Graphs: R Programming. Data Source: QGIS") +
28 theme(
29 plot.margin = margin(5, 10, 20, 5),
30 plot.title = element_text(margin = margin(t = 0, r = 20, b = 5, l = 0), family = "Kai", face = "bold", size =
    12),
31 plot.subtitle = element_text(margin = margin(t = 10, r = 20, b = 10, l = 0), family = "Hei", face = "bold",
    size = 10),
32 plot.caption = element_text(face = 2, size = 6),
33 panel.background=ggplot2::element_rect(fill = "white"),
34 axis.title.y = element_text(size = 8),
35 axis.title.x = element_text(size = 8),
36 axis.text.x = element_text(family = "Arial", face = 3, color = "gray24",size = 8, angle = 15),
37 axis.text.y = element_text(family = "Arial", face = 3, color = "gray24",size = 8, angle = 90),
38 legend.justification = "bottom",
39 legend.position = "bottom",
40 legend.box.just = "right",
41 legend.direction = "horizontal",
42 legend.box = "horizontal",
43 legend.box.background = element_rect(colour = "honeydew4",size=0.2),
44 legend.background = element_rect(fill = "white"),
45 legend.key.width = unit(1,"cm"),
46 legend.key.height = unit(.5,"cm"),
47 legend.spacing.x = unit(.2,"cm"),
48 legend.spacing.y = unit(.1,"cm"),
49 legend.text = element_text(colour="black", size = 6, face=1),
50 legend.title = element_text(colour="black", size = 6, face=1)
51 g1
52 # step-5. draw plot nr. 2: increased steepness of the angles by the bathymetric profiles 1:25 (tangents)
53
54 g2 <- ggplot(MDF, aes(x = profile , y = tg_angle)) +
55 geom_point(aes(x = profile , y = tg_angle, colour = "tg angles"), size=3, alpha = .5, show.legend=TRUE) + #
    Draw points

```

```

56 geom_segment(aes(x = profile, xend = profile, y=min(tg_angle), yend=max(tg_angle)), linetype="dashed", size=0.1)
    + # Draw dashed lines
57 geom_encircle(aes(x = profile, y = tg_angle), data = crucial_angles, color="red", size=1, expand=0.05) + #
    encircle
58 geom_smooth(aes(x = profile, y = tg_angle, colour = "Regression loess method"), method = loess, se = FALSE,
    size = .3, linetype = "solid", span = 1, show.legend=TRUE) +
59 geom_smooth(aes(x = profile, y = tg_angle, colour = "Regression lm method"), method = lm, formula = y ~ splines
    ::bs(x, 3), se = FALSE, size=.3, linetype = "solid", show.legend=TRUE) +
60 # coord_flip() +
61 xlab("Profiles, Nr.") +
62 ylab(expression(tg*degree*(A/H))) +
63 geom_segment(aes(x = 22, y = 0.075, xend = 23, yend = 0.079, color = "arrow"), size = .2, arrow = arrow(length
    = unit(0.1, "cm"))) + # draw arrow 1
64 geom_segment(aes(x = 22, y = 0.073, xend = 24.5, yend = 0.068, color = "arrow"), size = .2, arrow = arrow(
    length = unit(0.1, "cm"))) + # draw arrow 2
65 annotate("text", label = "Outliers", family = "Times New Roman", size = 3, color = "red", x = 22, y = 0.074) +
    # subscript annotation text by arrow (here: number of bathymetric observations)
66 scale_color_manual(values = c("Regression loess method" = "orange", "Regression lm method" = "blue", "tg angles
    " = "purple", "arrow" = "red")) +
67 labs(title="Mariana Trench, Profiles Nr.1-25.",
68 subtitle=expression(paste("Ranking Dot Plot. \nVariation of Steepness Angles ", tg*degree*(A/H), " by profiles
    1:25")),
69 caption = "Statistics Processing and Graphs: R Programming. Data Source: QGIS") +
70 # scale_fill_brewer(palette = "RdBu") +
71 theme(
72 plot.margin = margin(5, 10, 20, 5),
73 plot.title = element_text(margin = margin(t = 0, r = 20, b = 5, l = 0), family = "Kai", face = "bold", size =
    12),
74 plot.subtitle = element_text(margin = margin(t = 10, r = 20, b = 10, l = 0), family = "Hei", face = "bold",
    size = 10),
75 plot.caption = element_text(face = 2, size = 6),
76 panel.background=ggplot2::element_rect(fill = "white"),
77 axis.title.y = element_text(size = 8),
78 axis.title.x = element_text(size = 8),
79 axis.text.x = element_text(family = "Arial", face = 3, color = "gray24",size = 8, angle = 15),
80 axis.text.y = element_text(family = "Arial", face = 3, color = "gray24",size = 8, angle = 90),
81 legend.justification = "bottom",
82 legend.position = "bottom",
83 legend.box.just = "right",
84 legend.direction = "horizontal",
85 legend.box = "horizontal",
86 legend.box.background = element_rect(colour = "honeydew4",size=0.2),
87 legend.background = element_rect(fill = "white"),
88 legend.key.width = unit(1,"cm"),
89 legend.key.height = unit(.5,"cm"),
90 legend.spacing.x = unit(.2,"cm"),
91 legend.spacing.y = unit(.1,"cm"),
92 legend.text = element_text(colour="black", size = 6, face=1),
93 legend.title = element_text(colour="black", size = 6, face=1)
94 g2
95
96 # step-6. mege 2 plots.
97 figure <-plot_grid(g1, g2, labels = c("1", "2"), ncol = 2, nrow = 1)
98 figure
99 # step-7. save as a jpeg in R (require library(jpeg))
100 img<-readJPEG("volcanoes.jpg")
101 plot(1:10,ty="n")
102 rasterImage(img,1,1,10,10)
103 # optional step-8. dot plot via ggplot2.dotplot :
104 g1<- ggplot2.dotplot(data = MDF, xName='profile',yName='tg_angle',
105 xShowTitle=FALSE, yShowTitle=FALSE,
106 xTickLabelFont=c(8,"bold", "grey"),
107 yTickLabelFont=c(8,"bold", "grey"),
108 xtickLabelRotation=45, ytickLabelRotation=45)

```

codes/R-14.r

A.6.15 R code for double-Y axis using (LatticeExtra) library to perform comparative analysis of categories

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Part-1. prepare data frame.
2 # step-1. read in table with data on geomorphology. Generate initial data frame
3 MDepths <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
4 # step-2. clean up data frame from NA values
5 MDF <- na.omit(MDepths)
6 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))}) # check up if any NA area available
7 sum(row.has.na) # sum up all NA, should be: [1] 0
8 head(MDF)
9
10 # Part-2. Draw 2 plots Y on one axis X "Slope angle", "Sediment thickness"
11 library(latticeExtra)
12 # step-3. generate selection of data for new data frame (only 3 useful values)
13 set.seed(1)
14 profiles = MDF$profile
15 Slope_angle = MDF$slope_angle
16 Sediment_thickness = MDF$sedim_thick
17 data=data.frame(profiles, Slope_angle, Sediment_thickness)
18 # step-4 two plots in one :
19 p<- xyplot(Slope_angle + Sediment_thickness ~ profiles, data, type = "l")
20 p
21 # step-5. plots 1 and 2 read into objects
22 obj1 <- xyplot(Slope_angle ~ profiles, data, type = "l", lwd=1)
23 obj2 <- xyplot(Sediment_thickness ~ profiles, data, type = "l", lwd=1)
24 # step-6. add 2nd axis Y:
25 doubleYScale(obj1, obj2, add.ylab2 = TRUE)
26 # step-7. add legend:
27 p<- doubleYScale(obj1, obj2, text = c("Slope angle", "Sediment thickness"), add.ylab2 = TRUE)
28
29 # Part-2b. Categories: "Slope angle", "Aspect degree" by bathymetric profiles
30 # step-8. generate selection of data for new data frame (only 3 useful values)
31 set.seed(1)
32 profiles = MDF$profile
33 Slope_angle = MDF$slope_angle
34 Aspect_degree = MDF$aspect_degree
35 data=data.frame(profiles, Slope_angle, Aspect_degree)
36 p<- xyplot(Slope_angle + Aspect_degree ~ profiles, data, type = "l")
37 p
38 # step-9. plots 1 and 2 read into objects
39 obj1 <- xyplot(Slope_angle ~ profiles, data, type = "l", lwd=1)
40 obj2 <- xyplot(Aspect_degree ~ profiles, data, type = "l", lwd=1)
41 doubleYScale(obj1, obj2, add.ylab2 = TRUE)
42 # step-10. add legend:
43 p1 <- doubleYScale(obj1, obj2, text = c("Slope angle", "Aspect degree"), add.ylab2 = TRUE)
44
45 # Part-2c. Categories: "Slope angle", "igneous volcanic areas" by bathymetric profiles
46 # step-10. generate selection of data for new data frame (only 3 useful values)
47 set.seed(1)
48 profiles = MDF$profile
49 Slope_angle = MDF$slope_angle
50 Igneous_volcanic = MDF$igneous_volc
51 data=data.frame(profiles, Slope_angle, Igneous_volcanic)
52 # step-11 plots together:
53 p<- xyplot(Slope_angle + Igneous_volcanic ~ profiles, data, type = "l")
54 p
55 # step-12. plots 1 and 2 read into objects, add 2nd axis Y:
56 obj1 <- xyplot(Slope_angle ~ profiles, data, type = "l", lwd=1)
57 obj2 <- xyplot(Igneous_volcanic ~ profiles, data, type = "l", lwd=1)
58 doubleYScale(obj1, obj2, add.ylab2 = TRUE)
59 # step-13. add legend:
60 p2 <- doubleYScale(obj1, obj2, text = c("Slope angle", "Igneous volcanic"), add.ylab2 = TRUE)
61 p2

```

codes/R-15.r

A.6.16 R code for circular barplot by library tidyverse

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Part-1. Prepare data frame.
2 # step-1. read in table with data. create initial data frame. clean up from NA
3 MDepths <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
4 MDF <- na.omit(MDepths)
5 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
6 sum(row.has.na)
7 head(MDF)
8 # step-2. merge categories by groups into classes (here: tectonics, depths, angles)
9 MDfT = melt(setDT(MDF), measure = patterns("^plate"), value.name = c("tectonics"))
10 head(MDfT)
11 # step-3 create short data frame from 3 values (value - length of the 'flower' petal within the diagram)
12 data<- data.frame(
13 id = MDfT$profile, # numbers as factor value, i.e. just as sequence 1:25
14 individual = paste("Profile", seq(1,25), sep=""),
15 group = MDfT$variable, # here: 4 tectonic plates
16 value = MDfT$tectonics) # here: value of the sediment thickness layer (length of the petal of circle)
17 levels(MDfT$variable) = c("Philippine", "Pacific", "Mariana", "Caroline") # implicitly rename tectonic plates
18   to be shown on the axis X
19
20 # Order data:
21 data = data %>% arrange(group, value)
22 # step-4 create empty column to add some space at the end of each group
23 empty_bar=3
24 to_add = data.frame( matrix(NA, empty_bar*nlevels(data$group), ncol(data)))
25 colnames(to_add) = colnames(data)
26 to_add$group=rep(levels(data$group), each=empty_bar)
27 data=rbind(data, to_add)
28 data=data %>% arrange(group)
29 data$id=seq(1, nrow(data))
30
31 # Part-2.
32 # step-5 create tables for each petal getting the names from the data frame and the y position of each label
33 label_data = data
34 number_of_bar=nrow(label_data) # -4 calculate the ANGLE of the labels
35 angle = 90 - 360 * (label_data$id-0.5) /number_of_bar # I subtract 0.5 because the letter must have the angle
36   of the center of the bars. Not extreme right(1) or extreme left (0)
37 label_data$hjust<-ifelse(angle < -90, 1, 0) # distribute labels on right and left
38 label_data$angle<-ifelse(angle < -90, angle+180, angle) # flip tables to make them readable
39
40 # Part-3 Draw the circle
41 # step-6. prepare a data frame for the base lines
42 base_data=data %>%
43   group_by(group) %>%
44   summarize(start=min(id), end=max(id) - empty_bar) %>%
45   rowwise() %>%
46   mutate(title=mean(c(start, end)))
47 # step-7. prepare a data frame for grid (scales)
48 grid_data = base_data
49 grid_data$end = grid_data$end[ c( nrow(grid_data), 1:nrow(grid_data)-1)] + 1
50 grid_data$start = grid_data$start - 1
51 grid_data=grid_data[-1,]
52 # step-8.
53 p <- ggplot(data, aes(x = as.factor(id), y = value, fill = group)) +
54   geom_bar(aes(x = as.factor(id), y = value, fill = group), stat="identity", alpha=0.5) +
55   # scale_fill_distiller(palette = "Set1") +
56   scale_fill_manual(values = c("purple", "deeppink", "blue", "cyan")) +
57   # step-9. Add a val=100/75/50/25 lines to make sure barplots are over it.
58   # here: draw come ticks of scale in the empty spaces between the petals from 25 to 100, further up to 500 by
59   100.
60   geom_segment(data=grid_data, aes(x = end, y = 500, xend = start, yend = 500), colour = "grey", alpha=1, size
61     =0.3, inherit.aes = FALSE ) +
62   geom_segment(data=grid_data, aes(x = end, y = 400, xend = start, yend = 400), colour = "grey", alpha=1, size
63     =0.3, inherit.aes = FALSE ) +
64   geom_segment(data=grid_data, aes(x = end, y = 300, xend = start, yend = 300), colour = "grey", alpha=1, size
65     =0.3, inherit.aes = FALSE ) +

```



```

60 geom_segment(data=grid_data, aes(x = end, y = 200, xend = start, yend = 200), colour = "grey", alpha=1, size
    =0.3 , inherit.aes = FALSE ) +
61 geom_segment(data=grid_data, aes(x = end, y = 100, xend = start, yend = 100), colour = "grey", alpha=1, size
    =0.3 , inherit.aes = FALSE ) +
62 geom_segment(data=grid_data, aes(x = end, y = 80, xend = start, yend = 80), colour = "grey", alpha=1, size=0.3 ,
    inherit.aes = FALSE ) +
63 geom_segment(data=grid_data, aes(x = end, y = 60, xend = start, yend = 60), colour = "grey", alpha=1, size=0.3 ,
    inherit.aes = FALSE ) +
64 geom_segment(data=grid_data, aes(x = end, y = 40, xend = start, yend = 40), colour = "grey", alpha=1, size=0.3 ,
    inherit.aes = FALSE ) +
65 geom_segment(data=grid_data, aes(x = end, y = 20, xend = start, yend = 20), colour = "grey", alpha=1, size=0.3 ,
    inherit.aes = FALSE ) +
66 # step-10. add annotations of the scale ticks in one of the bars showing the value of each 100/75/50/25 lines
67 annotate("text", x = rep(max(data$id),9), y = c(20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 200, 300, 400, 500), label = c("20", "40",
    "60", "80", "100", "200", "300", "400", "500") , color="grey", size = 2 , angle=0, fontface="bold", hjust
    =1) +
68 ylim(-200,550) + # ylim here 2 diameters of the circle - outer and inner
69 # here: ylim = 550, because all values of the tectonics do not overstep 550 (i.e. outer circle)
70 # value -50 - diamcircleeeter of the inner circle.
71 #theme_minimal() +
72 theme(
73   legend.position = "none",
74   axis.text = element_blank(),
75   axis.title = element_blank(),
76   plot.title = element_text(margin = margin(t = 0, r = 0, b = 0, l = 0), size = 10, face = "bold"),
77   plot.margin = unit(rep(-1,4), "cm")) +
78 coord_polar() +
79 geom_text(data = label_data, aes(x = id, y = value+10, label = individual, hjust=hjust), color="black",
    fontface="bold",alpha=0.6, size=2.0, angle= label_data$angle, inherit.aes = FALSE ) +
80 # Add base line information
81 geom_segment(data = base_data, aes(x = start, y = -5, xend = end, yend = -5), colour = "black", alpha=0.8, size
    =0.6 , inherit.aes = FALSE ) +
82 geom_text(data = base_data, aes(x = title, y = -18, label = group), hjust=c(1,1,0,0), colour = "black", alpha
    =0.8, size=3, fontface="bold", inherit.aes = FALSE)
83 p

```

codes/R-16.r

A.6.17 R code for multiple strip plots divided groups, by LatticeExtra library

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Multiple Strip plots
2 # Part-1. prepare data frame
3 # step-1. read in table with data. create initial data frame. clean up data frame from the NA values
4 MDepths <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
5 MDF <- na.omit(MDepths)
6 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
7 sum(row.has.na)
8 head(MDF)
9 # step-2. merge 4 columns with names of the plates into one named "tectonic plates"
10 MDfT = melt(setDT(MDF), measure = patterns("^plate"), value.name = c("tectonic plates"))
11 head(MDfT)
12 # step-3. indicate column with names of the tectonic plates as factor value (variable)
13 MDfT$variable = as.factor(MDfT$variable)
14 levels(MDfT$variable)=c("Philippine", "Pacific", "Mariana", "Caroline") # implicitly write the names of the 4
    plates to be indicated on the X axis
15
16 # Part-2. generate well structured name (title + subtitle)
17 # step-4.
18 doubleTitle <- function(a,b) {
19   gTree(children=gList(
20     textGrob(a, gp=gpar(fontsize=10, fontface=1), y=0,
21     vp=viewport(layout.pos.row=1, layout.pos.col=1)),
22     textGrob(b, gp=gpar(fontsize=8, fontface=3), y=0,
23     vp=viewport(layout.pos.row=2, layout.pos.col=1))
24   ), vp=viewport(layout=grid.layout(nrow=2, ncol=1)), cl="doubletitle")
25 }
26 heightDetails.doubletitle <- function(x, recording=T) {
27   Reduce('~+', lapply(x$children, grid::heightDetails.text)) * 2
28 }
29
30 # Part-3. Strip plot (vertical distribution of the values by 4 categories))
31 # step-5. strip plot
32 # step 5.1 angle of steepness:
33 s1<- stripplot(slope_angle ~ variable, data = MDfT, jitter.data = TRUE, pch = 20, palette="Set2",
34 xlab = list(label="Tectonic Plates", cex= 0.60),
35 ylab = list(label="Slope Angle(tg(A/H))", cex= 0.60),
36 main=doubleTitle("Mariana Trench", "Slope Angle(tg(A/H)) in 25 Profiles by Tectonic Plates"))
37 s1
38 # step 5.2 sediments:
39 s2<- stripplot(sedim_thick ~ variable, data = MDfT, jitter.data = TRUE, pch = 20,
40 xlab = list(label="Tectonic Plates", cex= 0.60),
41 ylab = list(label="Sedimental Thickness", cex= 0.60),
42 main=doubleTitle("Mariana Trench", "Sedimental Thickness in 25 Profiles by Tectonic Plates"))
43 s2
44 # step 5.3 minimal depths:
45 s3<- stripplot(Min ~ variable, data = MDfT, jitter.data = TRUE, pch = 20,
46 xlab = list(label="Tectonic Plates", cex= 0.60),
47 ylab = list(label="Maximal Depth", cex= 0.60),
48 main=doubleTitle("Mariana Trench", "Maximal Depth in 25 Profiles by Tectonic Plates"))
49 s3
50 # step 5.4 zones of the submarine volcanoes:
51 s4<- stripplot(igneous_volc ~ variable, data = MDfT, jitter.data = TRUE, pch = 20,
52 xlab = list(label="Tectonic Plates", cex=0.60),
53 ylab = list(label="Igneous Volcanic Areas", cex= 0.60),
54 main=doubleTitle("Mariana Trench", "Igneous Volcanic Zones Distribution in 25 Profiles by Tectonic Plates"))
55 s4
56 # collect all strip plots on one layout:
57 g<- grid.arrange(s1, s2, s3, s4, ncol = 2, top = grid::textGrob(label = "Statistics: R Programming. Data Source:
    QGIS", x=0.1, hjust=0, gp=gpar(fontfamily="serif", fontsize=8, fontface="bold")))
58 l <- as_ggplot(g) +
59 draw_plot_label(label = c("A", "B", "C", "D"), size = 10, x = c(0, 0.5, 0, 0.5), y = c(1, 1, 0.5, 0.5))

```

codes/R-17.r

A.6.18 R code for multiple panels by groups (tectonic plates, depths, slope angles)

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Part-1. generate data frame.
2 # step-1.
3 MDepths <- read.csv("DepthTect.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
4 MDF1 <- na.omit(MDepths)
5 row.has.na <- apply(MDF1, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))}) # check up NA
6 sum(row.has.na) # sum up NA: [1] 0
7 head(MDF1) # look up clean data frame
8 # step-2. merge groups of categories by classes (here: tectonic plates, depths, slope angles)
9 DFDT = melt(setDT(MDF1), measure = patterns("^profile", "^tectonics", "^tg"), value.name = c("depth", "
    tectonics", "trench_angle"))
10 head(DFDT)
11 # step-3. Multiple panels by groups: y ~ x | group generate multi-plot
12 p<- xyplot(depth ~ variable | tectonics,
13 group = tectonics, data = DFDT,
14 type = c("p", "smooth"),
15 scales = "free",
16 main="Multiple ScatterPlot: Depths Distribution by Tectonic Plates",
17 xlab="Profiles, Nr")
18 p

```

codes/R-18.r

A.6.19 R code for density curves: data distribution

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Part-1. Generate data frame
2 # step-1. prepare data
3 MDepths <- read.csv("DepthTect.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
4 MDF1 <- na.omit(MDepths)
5 row.has.na <- apply(MDF1, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
6 sum(row.has.na) #: [1] 0
7 head(MDF1)
8 # step-2. merge groups of categories by classes (here: tectonic plates, depths, slope angles)
9 DFDT = melt(setDT(MDF1), measure = patterns("^profile", "^tectonics", "^tg"), value.name = c("depth", "
    tectonics", "trench_angle"))
10 DFDT
11 # step-3. generate multi-plot (here: by types of the tectonic plates as slope angles and depths change)
12 densityplot(~ depth, groups = variable, data = DFDT, plot.points = FALSE, auto.key = FALSE)
13 densityplot(~ depth, groups = tectonics, data = DFDT, plot.points = FALSE, auto.key = TRUE)

```

codes/R-19.r

A.6.20 R code to generate brackets for the specific regions along the Mariana Trench using library (pBrackets)

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Part-1. (Prepare data frame.
2 # step-1. read in a table with geomorphology data. create data frame
3 MDepths <- read.csv("DepthTect.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
4 # step-2. clean up data frame from NA
5 MDF1 <- na.omit(MDepths)
6 row.has.na <- apply(MDF1, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
7 sum(row.has.na) #: [1] 0
8 head(MDF1)
9 # step-3. create a list with 4 columns (numbers of observations 1:518, numbers of profiles 1:25, depths,
   tectonic plates).
10 DFDT = melt(setDT(MDF1), measure = patterns("^profile", "^tectonics", "^tg"), value.name = c("depth", "
   tectonics", "trench_angle"))
11 DFDT
12 # step-4. implicitly write number of profiles - factors values, depths - numeric values
13 DFDT$variable <- as.factor(DFDT$variable)
14 DFDT$depth<- as.numeric(DFDT$depth)
15 DFDT$trench_angle <- as.numeric(DFDT$trench_angle)
16
17 # Part 2: draw scatterplot of maximal depths by 25 bathymetric profiles
18 # step-5.
19 gi <- ggplot(MDF, aes(profile, igneous_volc)) +
20   geom_line() +
21   geom_smooth(method="lm", se=F) +
22   labs(subtitle="Correlation: Maximal Depths vs Profiles",
23        y="Depths (Max)", x="profiles",
24        title="Scatterplot with overlapping points", caption="Source: R, QGIS")
25 gi
26 # step-6.
27 angles <- ggplot(MDF, aes(profile, tg_angle, colour = tg_angle)) +
28   geom_point() +
29   # geom_smooth(method="lm", se=F) +
30   labs(subtitle="Correlation: Angles (tg(A/H)) vs Profiles",
31        y="Angles", x="profiles",
32        title="Scatterplot with overlapping points", caption="Source: R, QGIS")
33 angles
34 # step-7.
35 ab<- angles + brackets(0, 0.05, 5, 0.55, h = NULL, ticks = 0.5, curvature = 0.5, type = 1, col = 1, lwd = 1,
   lty = 1, xpd = FALSE)
36
37 # Part 3: generate brackets for specific regions along the Mariana Trench using library {pBrackets}
38 # step-8.
39 grid.locator(unit="native")
40 angles
41 grid.brackets(155, 155, 78, 155, lwd=1, col="red")
42 grid.brackets(307, 155, 155, 155, lwd=1, col="red")
43 grid.brackets(420, 155, 307, 155, lwd=1, col="red")
44 grid.brackets(420, 155, 593, 155, lwd=1, col="red")
45 grid.brackets(686, 155, 593, 155, lwd=1, col="red")
46 # step-9.
47 ab<- angles + grid.brackets(155, 155, 80, 155, lwd=1, col="red")
48 grid.brackets(325, 155, 155, 155, lwd=1, col="red")
49 # step-10.
50 pn <- ggplot(data= MDF, aes(x=MDF$profile)) +
51   geom_point(mapping = aes(x = MDF$profile, y = MDF$igneous_volc, colour = "igneous_volc")) +
52   geom_smooth(mapping = aes(x = MDF$profile, y = MDF$igneous_volc, colour = "si"), method = "loess", se = F, size
   =.3, linetype = "solid", span = 0.95, show.legend=TRUE) +
53   geom_point(mapping = aes(x = MDF$profile, y = MDF$plate_maria, colour = "plate_maria")) +
54   geom_smooth(mapping = aes(x = MDF$profile, y = MDF$plate_maria, colour = "ma"), method = "loess", se = F, size
   =.3, linetype = "solid", show.legend=TRUE, span = 0.9) +
55   scale_color_manual(values = c("igneous_volc" = "purple", "plate_maria"="orange", "si" = "green", "ma" = "blue")
   ) +
56   scale_x_continuous() +
57   scale_y_continuous("igneous_volc", sec.axis = sec_axis(~./1, name = "plate_maria", breaks = c(seq(0.0, 300, by
   = 50))))

```



```

58 pn
59 # Part 4:
60 # step-11. option with a white strip in the center of the blue-red colored plot
61 mid<-mean(DFDT$depth) #
62 g2<- ggplot(DFDT, aes(x = variable, y = depth, color = depth)) +
63 geom_point() +
64 scale_y_continuous(breaks = c(seq(-10000, -0, by = 1000))) +
65 # scale_color_gradient(low="blue", high="red") + # -
66 scale_color_gradient2(midpoint=mid, low="blue", mid="white", high="red", space = "Lab") +
67 labs(subtitle="Scope of Depth Extension by Profiles",
68 y = "Depth", x = "profiles",
69 title="Scatterplot with overlapping points", caption="Source: R, QGIS")
70 g2
71 # step-12. option with blue colored plot, by default
72 alldepths<- ggplot(DFDT, aes(x = variable, y = depth, color = depth)) +
73 geom_point() +
74 scale_y_continuous(breaks = c(seq(-10000, -0, by = 1000))) +
75 labs(subtitle="Scope of Depth Extension by Profiles",
76 y = "Depth", x = "profiles",
77 title="Scatterplot with overlapping points", caption="Source: R, QGIS")
78 alldepths
79
80 # Part 5 2 plots together, 2 y axes and one X axis on a common plot
81 # step-13.
82 p<- ggplot(DFDT, mapping = aes(x = DFDT$variable)) +
83 geom_point(DFDT, mapping = aes(x = DFDT$variable, y = DFDT$depth), color = "blue") +
84 geom_point(DFDT, mapping = aes(x = DFDT$variable, y = DFDT$trench_angle), color = "red") +
85 scale_y_continuous("Depth", sec.axis = sec_axis(~./200000, name = "Angle"))
86 p<- p + scale_y_continuous(sec.axis = dup_axis())
87 p<- p + scale_y_continuous("Depth", sec.axis = sec_axis(~./200000, name = "Angle"))
88 p
89 # step-14. 2nd plot
90 p <- p + scale_y_continuous(sec.axis = sec_axis(~.*5, name = "Bathymetric depths"))
91 p <- p + scale_y_continuous(breaks = c(seq(-10000, -0, by = 1000))) +
92 labs(subtitle="Scope of Depth Extension by Profiles",
93 y = "Depth", x = "profiles",
94 title="Scatterplot with overlapping points", caption="Source: R, QGIS")
95 g2
96 # step-15.
97 p <- p + scale_y_continuous(sec.axis = sec_axis(~.*5, name = "Bathymetric depths"))
98 p<- ggplot(DFDT, aes(x = variable)) +
99 geom_point(aes(y = depth, color = 'blue')) +
100 geom_point(aes(y = trench_angle, color = 'red')) +
101 scale_y_continuous(breaks = c(seq(-10000, -0, by = 1000))) +
102 scale_y_continuous(
103 name = "Depth", sec.axis = sec_axis(~./5,
104 name = "Angle"))
105 labs(subtitle="Scope of Depth Extension by Profiles",
106 y = "Depth", x = "profiles",
107 title="Scatterplot with overlapping points", caption="Source: R, QGIS")

```

codes/R-20.r

A.6.21 R code for radar chart using (fmsb) library

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Radar Chart install.packages("fmsb")
2 # Part-1. Create dataframe
3 # step-1. Read table. Delete NA values
4 MDepths <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
5 MDF <- na.omit(MDepths)
6 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
7 sum(row.has.na)
8 head(MDF)
9 # step-2. Merge group categories by classes
10 MDfT = melt(setDT(MDF), measure = patterns("^plate"), value.name = c("tectonics"))
11 head(MDfT)
12 levels(MDfT$variable) = c("Philippine", "Pacific", "Mariana", "Caroline")
13 Plates<- c("Philippine", "Pacific", "Mariana", "Caroline")
14
15 # Part-2. Radar Chart.
16 set.seed(25)
17 # step-3. Create mini-set of 2 values.
18 radardataM =as.data.frame(matrix(MDfT$tectonics, ncol=25, nrow=4))
19 # radardataM <- as.data.frame(MDfT$tectonics, MDfT$profile, MDfT$variable)
20 colnames(radardataM)<- c(paste("Profile", seq(1:25), sep=""))
21 rownames(radardataM)<- c("Philippine", "Pacific", "Mariana", "Caroline")
22 # step-4. add 2 lines to the dataframe: max-min of each topic
23 radardataM <- rbind(rep(0, 25) , rep(518, 25) , radardataM)
24 # step-5. The default radar chart proposed by the library:
25 radarchart(radardataM)
26 # step-6. Custom the radarChart. Plot 2: the same plot with custom features
27 colors_border=c(rgb(0.2,0.5,0.5,0.9), rgb(0.8,0.2,0.5,0.9), rgb(0.7,0.5,0.1,0.9), rgb(0.2,0.7,0.4,0.9))
28 colors_in=c(rgb(0.2,0.5,0.5,0.1), rgb(0.8,0.2,0.5,0.1), rgb(0.7,0.5,0.1,0.1), rgb(0.2,0.7,0.4,0.1))
29 radarchart( radardataM , axistype=1 , #custom polygon
30 pcol=colors_border , pfc=colors_in , plwd=4 , plty=1,
31 cglcol="grey", cglty=1, axislabcol="grey", caxislabels=seq(0,20,5), cglwd=0.8, #custom grid
32 vlce=0.8 , #custom labels
33 title ="Mariana Trench. \nRadar Chart: Tectonics by Profiles 1:25", cex=0.7)
34 legend(x=1.2, y=1.0, legend = rownames(radardataM[-c(1,2),]),
35 bty = "n", pch=20 , col=colors_in , text.col = "grey", cex = 0.8, pt.cex = 2)
36 # step-7
37 radarchart(radardataM, axistype=2, pcol=topo.colors(4), plty=1, pdensity=c(10, 10, 10, 10), pangle=c(10, 45, 90,
38 120), pfc=topo.colors(4), title ="Mariana Trench. \nRadar Chart: Tectonics by Profiles 1:25", cex=0.7)
39 legend(x=1.2, y=1.0, legend = rownames(radardataM[-c(1,2),]),
40 bty = "n", pch=20 , col=topo.colors(4), text.col = "grey", cex = 0.8, pt.cex = 2)

```

codes/R-21.r

A.6.22 R code for highlighted group in lollipop chart by libraries (ggsignif) and (tidyverse)

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Part-1. Prepare data frame
2 # step-1. read in table. create initial data frame, clean up from the NA
3 MDepths <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
4 MDF <- na.omit(MDepths)
5 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
6 sum(row.has.na)
7 head(MDF)
8 # step-2 Create short data from 3 values
9 data<- data.frame(x = MDF$profile, y = MDF$tg_angle)
10 p_unsort<- ggplot(data, aes(x=x, y=y)) +
11 geom_segment( aes(x=x, xend=x, y=0, yend=y), color="skyblue", size=0.5) +
12 geom_point( color="slateblue1", size=4) +
13 coord_flip() +
14 theme_light() +
15 theme(panel.grid.major.x = element_blank(),

```

```

16 panel.border = element_blank(),
17 axis.ticks.x = element_blank(),
18 axis.title.y = element_text(margin = margin(t = 20, r = 3), family = "Times New Roman", face = 1, size = 10),
19 axis.title.x = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 1, size = 10, margin = margin(t = .2)),
20 ) +
21 xlab("Profile Nr.") +
22 ylab(expression(tg*degree*(A/H)))
23 p_unsort
24 # step-3. Reorder
25 p_sort <- data %>%
26 arrange(y) %>%
27 mutate(x=factor(x,x)) %>%
28 ggplot( aes(x=x, y=y)) +
29 geom_segment( aes(x=x, xend=x, y=0, yend=y), color="skyblue", size=0.5) +
30 geom_segment( aes(x=x, xend=x, y=0, yend=y ), color=ifelse(data$x %in% c("4", "10", "16", "21"), "orange", "
    skyblue"), size=ifelse(data$x %in% c("4", "10", "16", "21"), 1.3, 0.5) ) +
31 geom_point( color=ifelse(data$x %in% c("4", "10", "16", "21"), "orange", "grey"), size=ifelse(data$x %in% c("4
    ", "10", "16", "21"), 5, 2) ) +
32 geom_point( color="slateblue1", size=4, alpha=0.6) +
33 geom_signif(comparisons = list(c("21", "20")), annotation="strong \nslope", map_signif_level=TRUE, vjust = 1.3,
    textsize = 3.0, family = "Times New Roman") +
34 geom_signif(comparisons = list(c("20", "2")), annotation="very strong \nslope", map_signif_level=TRUE, vjust =
    1.3, textsize = 3.0, family = "Times New Roman") +
35 geom_signif(comparisons = list(c("2", "13")), annotation="extreme \nslope", map_signif_level=TRUE, vjust = 1.3,
    textsize = 3.0, family = "Times New Roman") +
36 geom_signif(comparisons = list(c("13", "3")), annotation="steep \nslope", map_signif_level=TRUE, vjust = 1.3,
    textsize = 3.0, family = "Times New Roman") +
37 geom_signif(comparisons = list(c("3", "24")), annotation="very steep \nslope", map_signif_level=TRUE, vjust =
    0.5, textsize = 3.0, family = "Times New Roman") +
38 theme_light() +
39 # coord_flip() +
40 theme(
41 panel.grid.major.y = element_blank(),
42 panel.border = element_blank(),
43 axis.ticks.y = element_blank(),
44 axis.title.y = element_text(margin = margin(t = 20, r = 3), family = "Times New Roman", face = 1, size = 10),
45 axis.title.x = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 1, size = 10, margin = margin(t = .2)),
46 ) +
47 xlab("Profile Nr.") +
48 ylab(expression(tg*degree*(A/H)))
49 p_sort
50 # step-4 add both plots on one layout
51 figure <-plot_grid(p_unsort, p_sort, labels = c("1", "2"), ncol = 2, nrow = 1)
52 figure
53 # step-5. add common title, subtitle, overall design and lower subscript
54 Ranking <- figure +
55 labs(title="Mariana Trench, Profiles Nr.1-25.",
56 subtitle = "Geomorphological Analysis: Ranking of Profiles by Angle Steepness (Left: Unsorted; Right: Sorted
    and Grouped)",
57 caption = "Statistics Processing and Graphs: R Programming. Data Source: QGIS") +
58 theme(
59 plot.margin = margin(5, 10, 20, 5),
60 plot.title = element_text(margin = margin(t = 0, r = 20, b = 5, l = 0), family = "Kai", face = "bold", size =
    12),
61 plot.subtitle = element_text(margin = margin(t = 0, r = 20, b = 4, l = 0), family = "Hei", face = "bold", size
    = 10),
62 plot.caption = element_text(face = 2, size = 8),
63 panel.background=ggplot2::element_rect(fill = "white"),
64 legend.justification = "bottom", legend.position = "bottom",
65 legend.box.just = "right", legend.direction = "horizontal",
66 legend.box = "horizontal", legend.box.background = element_rect(colour = "honeydew4",size=0.2),
67 legend.background = element_rect(fill = "white"),
68 legend.key.width = unit(1,"cm"), legend.key.height = unit(.5,"cm"),
69 legend.spacing.x = unit(.2,"cm"), legend.spacing.y = unit(.1,"cm"),
70 legend.text = element_text(colour="black", size=6, face=1),
71 legend.title = element_text(colour="black", size=6, face=1))
72 Ranking

```

codes/R-22.r

A.6.23 R code for Euler-Venn Diagram (logical correlation of objects) using (venn) library

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Part-1. Prepare dataframe
2 # step-1. Read table. Clean up NA
3 MDepths <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
4 MDF <- na.omit(MDepths)
5 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
6 sum(row.has.na)
7 head(MDF)
8
9 # Part-2. Create Euler-Venn Diagram: 3 cases.
10 library(venn)
11 # case-1. Morphology and tectonic plates
12 x <- list(Philippine = MDF$plate_phill, Pacific = MDF$plate_pacif,
13 Mariana = MDF$plate_maria, Caroline = MDF$plate_carol,
14 Aspect = MDF$aspect_class,
15 Morphology = MDF$morph_class, Slope = MDF$slope_class)
16 venn(x, ilabels = TRUE, col = "navyblue", zcolor = "style")
17 # case-2. tectonics plates
18 xp <- list(Philippine = MDF$plate_phill, Pacific = MDF$plate_pacif,
19 Mariana = MDF$plate_maria, Caroline = MDF$plate_carol)
20 venn(xp, ilabels = TRUE, ellipse = TRUE, col = "navyblue",
21 zcolor = "style")
22 # case-3. Geomorphic parameters
23 x3 <- list(Depth = MDF$Min, Volcanoes = MDF$igneous_volc,
24 Sediments = MDF$sedim_thick,
25 Angle = MDF$slope_angle, Hillshade = MDF$hillshade,
26 Aspect = MDF$aspect_degree)
27 venn(x3, ilabels = TRUE, col = "navyblue", zcolor = "style")

```

codes/R-23.r

A.6.24 R code for animation using (gganimate), (gapminder) and (ggplot2) libraries: regression analysis plots for 25 bathymetric profiles

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Part-1. prepare data frame
2 # step-1. read-in table with data. create initial data frame. clean data frame from the NA values
3 MDepths <- read.csv("Depths.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
4 M1 <- na.omit(MDepths)
5 row.has.na <- apply(M1, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
6 sum(row.has.na)
7 head(M1)
8 # step-2. merge several columns into one using (melt) function
9 MDTt = melt(setDT(M1), measure = patterns("^profile"), value.name = c("depth"))
10 head(MDTt)
11
12 # Part-2. create animation: how the bathymetric depths change by profiles 1:25.
13 # step-3
14 theme_set(theme_bw()) # pre-set the bw theme.
15 Observations<- MDTt$observ
16 Depth<- MDTt$depth
17 Profiles<- MDTt$variable
18 # step-4: 1 variant - single-color, by one method
19 g <- ggplot(MDTt, aes(x = Observations, y = Depth, frame = Profiles)) +
20 geom_point() +
21 geom_smooth(aes(group = Profiles), method = "loess", show.legend = TRUE)
22 ganimate(g, "Animation-blue-1-method.gif", interval=1.0)
23 # step-5: 2 variant - four colors, with 4 methods
24 g <- ggplot(MDTt, aes(x = Observations, y = Depth, frame = Profiles, color = "Observation points")) +
25 geom_point() +
26 geom_smooth(aes(group = Profiles, x = Observations, y = Depth, colour = "Loess method"), method = loess, se =
27 TRUE, span = .4, size=.2, linetype = "solid", show.legend = TRUE) +
28 geom_smooth(aes(group = Profiles, x = Observations, y = Depth, colour = "Glm method"), method = glm, se = TRUE,
29 span = .4, size=.2, linetype = "dotted", show.legend = TRUE) +
30 geom_smooth(aes(group = Profiles, x = Observations, y = Depth, colour = "Lm method"), method = lm, se = TRUE,
31 size=.2, linetype = "solid", show.legend = TRUE) +
32 geom_quantile(aes(group = Profiles, x = Observations, y = Depth, colour = "Quantiles"), size=.2, linetype = "
33 solid", show.legend = TRUE) +
34 scale_color_manual(name = "Regression Analysis \nLegend:", values = c("Observation points" = "seagreen", "Loess
35 method" = "red", "Glm method" = "orange", "Lm method" = "blue", "Quantiles" = "purple"))
36 # step-5: now run animation
37 ganimate(g, "Animation-color-4-methods.gif", interval=1.0)

```

codes/R-24.r

A.6.25 R code for 'violin' plots using (violinmplot) library: statistical analysis of bathymetric data distribution

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Part-1. Prepare data frame
2 # step-1. read in table with data. create initial data frame. clean data frame from the NA
3 MDepths <- read.csv("Depths.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
4 M1 <- na.omit(MDepths)
5 row.has.na <- apply(M1, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
6 sum(row.has.na)
7 head(M1)
8 # step-2. Merge columns with identical attributes
9 MDTt = melt(setDT(M1), measure = patterns("^profile"), value.name = c("depth"))
10 head(MDTt)
11
12 # Part-2. Plot 'violin plot' - statistical analysis of bathymetric data distribution (mean, standard deviation,
13 # median).
14 # step-3. variant-1. via library(violinmplot)
15 library(violinmplot)
16 violinmplot(depth ~ variable, MDTt, horizontal=FALSE, col.violin = "blue", main = "Violin plot of the Mariana
17 # step-4. variant-2. via library(ggplot2)
18 g<- ggplot(MDTt, aes(variable, depth)) +
19 geom_violin(aes(fill = factor(variable)), colour = "blue", size = 0.2, draw_quantiles = c(0.25, 0.5, 0.75),
20 alpha = 0.5, scale = "count", trim = FALSE)
21 g
22 # step-5. add title, axes, etc.
23 Violin<- g +
24 xlab("Profiles") +
25 ylab("Depth, m") +
26 labs(
27 title = "Mariana Trench, Profiles 1-25.",
28 subtitle = "Violin plot of the Mariana Trench: \na hybrid of a Box plot and a Kernel probability density
29 estimation plot (rotated). \nMedian and Quantiles (0.25, 0.5, 0.75)",
30 caption = "Statistics Processing and Graphs: \nR Programming. Data Source: QGIS") +
31 theme(
32 plot.margin = margin(5, 10, 20, 5),
33 plot.title = element_text(family = "Kai", face = "bold", size = 12),
34 plot.subtitle = element_text(family = "Hei", face = "bold", size = 10),
35 plot.caption = element_text(face = 2, size = 8),
36 panel.background=ggplot2::element_rect(fill = "white"),
37 legend.justification = "right",
38 legend.position = "right",
39 legend.box.just = "right",
40 legend.direction = "vertical",
41 legend.box = "vertical",
42 legend.box.background = element_rect(colour = "honeydew4",size=0.2),
43 legend.background = element_rect(fill = "white"),
44 legend.key.width = unit(1,"cm"),
45 legend.key.height = unit(.5,"cm"),
46 legend.spacing.x = unit(.2,"cm"),
47 legend.spacing.y = unit(.1,"cm"),
48 legend.text = element_text(colour="black", size=6, face=1),
49 legend.title = element_text(colour="black", size=8, face=1),
50 strip.text.x = element_text(colour = "white", size=6, face=1),
51 panel.grid.major = element_line("gray24", size = 0.1, linetype = "solid"),
52 panel.grid.minor = element_line("gray24", size = 0.1, linetype = "dotted"),
53 axis.text.x = element_text(face = 3, color = "gray24", size = 6, angle = 15),
54 axis.text.y = element_text(face = 3, color = "gray24", size = 6, angle = 15),
55 axis.ticks.length=unit(.1,"cm"),
56 axis.line = element_line(size = .3, colour = "grey80"),
57 axis.title.y = element_text(margin = margin(t = 20, r = .3), face = 2, size = 8),
58 axis.title.x = element_text(face = 2, size = 8, margin = margin(t = .2))) +
59 guides(col = guide_legend(nrow = 13, ncol = 2, byrow = TRUE)) # improve design
60 Violin

```

codes/R-25.r

A.6.26 R code for Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) using (FactoMiner), (factoextra) and (psych) libraries

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # libraries: 'psych' (MAIN), 'factoextra', 'FactoMiner' zip, openxlsx, carData, pbkrtest, rio, car, flashClust,
  leaps, scatterplot3d, FactoMineR, ca, igraph
2 # Part 1 create data frame
3 # step-1. read in table, create data frame.
4 MDepths <- read.csv("MorphologyFA.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
5 MDF<- na.omit(MDepths)
6 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
7 sum(row.has.na)
8 # Part 2 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)
9 # step-2. Create Correlation Matrix
10 corMat <- cor(MDF)
11 corMat
12 # step-3. Factor Analysis (method: Principal Axis)
13 faM <- fa(corMat, nfactors = 2, rotate = "oblimin", scores = "regression", residuals = FALSE, SMC=TRUE, covar=
  FALSE, missing = FALSE, impute = "median", min.err = 0.001, max.iter = 50, symmetric = TRUE, warnings = TRUE,
  fm = "pa", alpha = .1, p = .05, oblique.scores = FALSE, np.obs = NULL, use = "pairwise", cor = "cor",
  correct = .5, weight = NULL)
14 print(faM, sort=TRUE) # print the results from a factor analysis
15 plot(faM) # plot the loadings from a factor analysis
16 fa.plot(faM) # plot the loadings from a factor, principal components, or cluster analysis
17 # step-4. Parallel Factor Analysis compares the observed eigen values of a correlation matrix with those from
  random data
18 fa.parallel(corMat)
19 # step-5. Weighted Least Squares Factor Analysis
20 faWls <- step(corMat, nfactors = 2, rotate = "oblimin", scores = "regression", residuals = FALSE, SMC=TRUE,
  covar=FALSE, missing = FALSE, impute = "median", min.err = 0.001, max.iter = 50, symmetric = TRUE, warnings
  = TRUE, fm = "wls", alpha = .1, p = .05, oblique.scores = FALSE, np.obs = NULL, use = "pairwise", cor = "
  cor", correct = .5, weight = NULL)
21 print(faWls, sort=TRUE) # print the results from a factor analysis
22 plot(faWls)
23 # step-6. Item Cluster Analysis: iclust
24 iclust(corMat)
25 ic <- iclust(corMat)
26 summary(ic)
27 # step-7. Hierarchical and bi-factor solutions using the omega function
28 om.h <- omega(corMat, n.obs=25, sl=FALSE)
29 om <- omega(corMat, n.obs=25)
30 # step-8. Alpha Factor Analysis
31 faMal <- fa(corMat, nfactors = 2, rotate = "oblimin", scores = "regression", residuals = FALSE, SMC=TRUE, covar
  =FALSE, missing = FALSE, impute = "median", min.err = 0.001, max.iter = 50, symmetric = TRUE, warnings =
  TRUE, fm = "alpha", alpha = .1, p = .05, oblique.scores = FALSE, np.obs = NULL, use = "pairwise", cor = "
  cor", correct = .5, weight = NULL)
32 print(faM, sort=TRUE) # print the results from a factor analysis
33 plot(faMal)

```

codes/R-26.r

A.6.27 R code for hexagonal plot by library(hexbin): bathymetric data distribution, Mariana Trench

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Part-1. prepare data frame
2 # step-1. read in table with data. create initial data frame
3 MDepths <- read.csv("Depths.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
4 M1 <- na.omit(MDepths)
5 row.has.na <- apply(M1, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
6 sum(row.has.na)
7 head(M1)
8 # step-2. merge columns by categories
9 MDIt = melt(setDT(M1), measure = patterns("^profile"), value.name = c("depth"))
10 head(MDIt)
11
12 # Part-2. hexbin
13 # 1 variant, via library(hexbin)
14 x = as.factor(MDIt$variable)
15 y = MDIt$depth
16 hexbinplot(y ~ x, MDIt, aspect = 1,
17 trans = sqrt, inv = function(x) x^2)
18 x = MDIt$profile
19 y = MDIt$Min
20 hexbinplot(y ~ x, MDIt, aspect = 1,
21 trans = sqrt, inv = function(x) x^2)
22 # 2 variant via function (plot bin)
23 bin <- hexbin(x, y)
24 plot(bin, style = "nested.lattice")
25 plot(bin, style = "nested.lattice")
26 # statistics on hex bin plot
27 hbi <- hexbin(y ~ x, xbins = 80, IDs= TRUE)
28 str(hbi)
29 tI <- table(hbi@cellID)
30 stopifnot(names(tI) == hbi@cell,
31 tI == hbi@count)

```

codes/R-27.r

A.6.28 R code for ANOVA for Mariana Trench

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Part-1. prepare data frame
2 # step-1. read in table with data. create initial data frame
3 MDepths <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
4 MDF <- na.omit(MDepths)
5 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
6 sum(row.has.na)
7 head(MDF)
8 # step-2. Merge identical columns into a category
9 MDTt = melt(setDT(MDF), measure = patterns("^plate"), value.name = c("tectonics"))
10 head(MDTt)
11 # Part-2. Compute ANOVA
12 # step-3. Compute one-way ANOVA test using function aov(): to know if there is any significant difference
    between the average values of angles in the 4 tectonic plates. The function summary.aov(): to summarize
    the analysis of variance model.
13 # step-4. Compute the analysis of variance
14 res.aov <- aov(tectonics ~ variable, data = MDTt)
15 summary(res.aov) # Summary of the analysis
16 # Interpret the result of one-way ANOVA tests: As the p-value is less than the significance level 0.05, we can
    conclude that there are significant differences between the groups highlighted with "*" in the model
    summary.
17 # step-5. Tukey multiple pairwise-comparisons. As the ANOVA test is significant, we can compute Tukey HSD (
    Tukey Honest Significant Differences, R function: TukeyHSD()) for performing multiple pairwise-comparison
    between the means of groups. The function TukeyHD() takes the fitted ANOVA as an argument.
18 TukeyHSD(res.aov)
19 # diff: difference between means of the two groups
20 # lwr, upr: the lower and the upper end point of the confidence interval at 95% (default)
21 # p adj: p-value after adjustment for the multiple comparisons.
22 # It can be seen from the output, that only the difference between plate Mariana and plate Pacific is
    significant (=24.12) with an adjusted p-value of 0.8601221.
23 # step-6. 2 variant via library(multcomp) Pairwise t-test. The function pairwise.t.test() can be also used to
    calculate pairwise comparisons between group levels with corrections for multiple testing:
24 pairwise.t.test(MDTt$tectonics, MDTt$variable, p.adjust.method = "BH")
25 # step-7. Plot Homogeneity of variances
26 plot(res.aov, 1)
27 # step-8. Bartlett's test or Levene's test to check the homogeneity of variances. Levene's test is less sensitive
    to departures from normal distribution. The function leveneTest() [in car package] :
28 library(car)
29 leveneTest(tectonics ~ variable, data = MDTt)
30 # step-9. Welch one-way test. Welch one-way test does not require that assumption have been implemented in the
    function oneway.test(). ANOVA test with no assumption of equal variances
31 oneway.test(tectonics ~ variable, data = MDTt)
32 # step-10. Shapiro test
33 aov_residuals <- residuals(object = res.aov )
34 shapiro.test(x = aov_residuals )
35 # step-11. non-parametric alternative to one-way ANOVA is Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test,
36 kruskal.test(tectonics ~ variable, data = MDTt)

```

codes/R-28.r

A.6.29 R code for Ternary Diagram by (ggtern) library

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # ternaries
2 # Part 1: create data frame, delete NA values
3 # step-1. read in table with data.
4 MDF <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
5 MDF <- na.omit(MDF)
6 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
7 sum(row.has.na)
8 head(MDF)
9 # step-2. merge columns
10 MDTt = melt(setDT(MDF), measure = patterns("^plate"), value.name = c("tectonics"))
11 head(MDTt)
12 levels(MDTt$variable) = c("Philippine" , "Pacific", "Mariana", "Caroline")
13 Plates<- c("Philippine" , "Pacific", "Mariana", "Caroline")
14
15 # Part 2: draw ternary diagram for Mariana Trench
16 library(ggtern)
17 # step-3. variant-1. 4 tectonic plates
18 MDTer <- data.frame(
19 x = MDTt$igneous_volc,
20 y = MDTt$tectonics,
21 z = MDTt$slope_angle,
22 Value = MDTt$slope_angle,
23 Group = as.factor(MDTt$variable))
24 MT1<- ggtern(data= MDTer,aes(x,y,z,color = Group)) +
25 theme_rgbw() +
26 geom_point() +
27 # geom_path() +
28 scale_color_manual(values = c("green" , "red", "orange", "blue")) +
29 labs(x="Igneous \nVolcanos",
30 y="Tectonics",
31 z="Slope \nAngle",
32 title="Mariana Trench",
33 subtitle="Ternary Diagram: Tectonic Plates") +
34 geom_Tline(Tintercept=.5,arrow=arrow(), colour='red') +
35 geom_Lline(Lintercept=.2, colour='magenta') +
36 geom_Rline(Rintercept=.1, colour='blue') +
37 geom_confidence_tern()
38 MT1
39 # step-4. variant-2. by morphology
40 levels(MDTt$morph_class) = c("Strong Slope", "Very Strong Slope", "Steep Slope", "Extreme Slope")
41 MDTM <- data.frame(
42 x = MDTt$Min,
43 y = MDTt$aspect_degree,
44 z = MDTt$slope_angle,
45 Value = MDTt$slope_angle,
46 Group = as.factor(MDTt$morph_class))
47 MT2<- ggtern(data= MDTM,aes(x,y,z,color=Group), show.legend=TRUE) +
48 theme_rgbw() +
49 geom_point() +
50 geom_path() +
51 labs(x="Max \nDepth",
52 y="Aspect Degree",
53 z="Slope\nAngle",
54 title="Mariana Trench",
55 subtitle="Ternary Diagram: Slope Morphology Class") +
56 geom_Tline(Tintercept=.5,arrow=arrow(), colour='red') +
57 geom_Lline(Lintercept=.2, colour='magenta') +
58 geom_Rline(Rintercept=.1, colour='blue') +
59 geom_confidence_tern() +
60 geom_Tisoprop(value=0.5) +
61 geom_Lisoprop(value=0.5) +
62 geom_Risoprop(value=0.5)
63 MT2
64 # step-5. variant-3 by slope aspect
65 MDTAs <- data.frame(

```

```

66 x = MDTt$slope_angle,
67 y = MDTt$aspect_degree,
68 z = MDTt$Min,
69 Value = MDTt$aspect_degree,
70 Group = as.factor(MDTt$aspect_class))
71 MT3<- ggtern(data = MDTAs,aes(x,y,z,color = Group)) +
72 theme_rgbw() +
73 geom_point() +
74 scale_color_manual(values = c("green", "red", "orange", "blue", "yellow", "brown", "grey", "cyan")) +
75 labs(x="Slope \nAngle", size = 1,
76 y="Aspect \nDegree",
77 z="Max \nDepth",
78 title="Mariana Trench",
79 subtitle="Ternary Diagram: Aspect Class") +
80 geom_Tline(Tintercept=.5,arrow=arrow(), colour='red') +
81 geom_Lline(Lintercept=.2, colour='magenta') +
82 geom_Rline(Rintercept=.1, colour='blue') +
83 geom_confidence_tern() +
84 geom_Tisoprop(value=0.5) +
85 geom_Lisoprop(value=0.5) +
86 geom_Risoprop(value=0.5)
87 MT3
88 # step-6. plotting ternaries
89 plot<- grid.arrange(MT1, MT2, MT3, newpage = TRUE, nrow = 1, ncol = 3, top="Mariana Trench")
90 # step-7. variant-4. by sediment thickness layer
91 MD4 <- data.frame(
92 x = MDTt$igneous_volc,
93 y = MDTt$sedim_thick,
94 z = MDTt$slope_angle,
95 Value = MDTt$slope_angle)
96 MT4<- ggtern(data = MD4,aes(x,y,z), show.legend=TRUE) +
97 theme_rgbw() +
98 geom_point() +
99 # geom_path(alpha = .5, lwd = 0.2) +
100 labs(x="Igneous \nVolcanos", size = 0.5,y="Sediment \nThickness",z="Slope \nAngle",
101 title="Mariana Trench",
102 subtitle="Ternary Diagram: Sediment Thickness") +
103 geom_Tline(Tintercept=.5,arrow=arrow(), colour='deeppink') +
104 geom_Lline(Lintercept=.2, colour='magenta') +
105 geom_Rline(Rintercept=.1, colour='springgreen') +
106 geom_confidence_tern() +
107 geom_smooth_tern(method = 'loess', size = .4, color = "yellow1") +
108 geom_mean_ellipse (size = .5, color = "cyan")
109 MT4
110 figure <-plot_grid(MT1, MT2, MT3, MT4, labels = c("1", "2", "3", "4"), ncol = 2, nrow = 2)

```

codes/R-29.r

A.6.30 R code for silhouette plot by (cluster) library

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # step-1. read in table. create data frame
2 MarianaTrench_DF <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
3 MarianaTrench_DF <- na.omit(MarianaTrench_DF)
4 row.has.na <- apply(MarianaTrench_DF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
5 sum(row.has.na)
6 head(MarianaTrench_DF)
7 # step-2. generate silhouette plot by (cluster) library
8 library(cluster)
9 pr5 <- pam(MarianaTrench_DF, 6)
10 str(si <- silhouette(pr5))
11 #plot(si, col = c("lavender", "lightgoldenrod1", "darkseagreen1", "orchid1", "skyblue1", "lightslateblue"))
12 #plot(si, col = c("aquamarine", "aquamarine1", "aquamarine2", "aquamarine3", "aquamarine4", "aquamarine4"))
13 plot(si, col = c("thistle", "thistle1", "thistle2", "thistle3", "thistle4", "slategray4"))

```

codes/R-30.r

A.6.31 R code for mosaic and association plots

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # step-1. read in table, create data frame
2 MDF <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
3 MDF <- na.omit(MDF)
4 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
5 sum(row.has.na)
6 head(MDF)
7 # step-2. merge columns by categories (4 tectonic plates)
8 MDtT = melt(setDT(MDF), measure = patterns("^plate"), value.name = c("tectonics"))
9 head(MDtT)
10 levels(MDtT$variable) = c("Philippine Plate", "Pacific Plate", "Mariana Plate", "Caroline Plate")
11 head(MDtT)
12 # step-3. plot mosaic by 4 tectonic plates
13 mosaicplot(MDF, color = NULL, main = "Title")
14 count <- table(MDtT$variable, MDtT$tectonics)
15 count
16 # step-4. variant-1 normal plot
17 MosaicTect<- mosaicplot(count, main = "Mariana Trench: \nMosaic Plot",
18 sub = "1:518 observation points in each profile",
19 xlab = "Tectonic Plates", ylab = "Profiles", las = 1,
20 color =c("steelblue1", "royalblue1", "dodgerblue", "steelblue3", "cornflowerblue", "royalblue4"),
21 border = "tomato")
22 MosaicTect
23 # step-5. variant-2 - plot with residuals
24 MosaicTectRes<- mosaicplot(count, main = "Mariana Trench: \nMosaic Plot",
25 sub = "1:518 observation points in each profile",
26 xlab = "Tectonic Plates", ylab = "Profiles", las = 1,
27 border = "chocolate",
28 shade = TRUE)
29 MosaicTectRes
30 # step-6. via library(vcd) merge columns by categories (4 tectonic plates)
31 MDtT = melt(setDT(MDF), measure = patterns("^plate"), value.name = c("tectonics"))
32 head(MDtT)
33 # step-7.
34 count <- table(MDF$geom_sedim_thick, MDF$geom_slope_angle)
35 library(vcd)
36 mosaic(count, shade=TRUE, legend=TRUE)
37 # step-8.
38 # Association Plot Example
39 library(vcd)
40 assoc(count, shade=TRUE)

```

codes/R-31.r

A.6.32 R code plot correlation ellipses using library(ellipse)

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # step-1. read in table. create data frame.
2 MDF <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
3 MDF <- na.omit(MDF)
4 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
5 sum(row.has.na)
6 head(MDF)
7 # step-2. plot correlation ellipses using libraries (ellipse) and (RColorBrewer)
8 data=cor(MDF)
9 # Build a panel of 100 colors with Rcolor Brewer
10 my_colors <- brewer.pal(5, "Spectral")
11 my_colors=colorRampPalette(my_colors)(100)
12 # step-3. Order correlation matrix
13 ord <- order(data[, ])
14 data_ord = data[ord, ord]
15 # step-4. variant-1 correlation ellipses
16 plotcorr(data_ord , col=my_colors[data_ord*50+50] , mar=c(1,1,1,1),
17 outline = TRUE, numbers = FALSE,
18 main = "Mariana Trench: Correlation Ellipses",
19 xlab = "Geomorphological, bathymetric and geological factors",
20 ylab = "Geomorphological, bathymetric and geological factors",
21 cex.lab = 0.7)
22 # step-5. variant-2 correlation numbers
23 plotcorr(data_ord , col=my_colors[data_ord*50+50] , mar=c(1,1,1,1),
24 outline = TRUE, numbers = TRUE,
25 main = "Mariana Trench: Numerical Correlation",
26 xlab = "Geomorphological, bathymetric and geological factors",
27 ylab = "Geomorphological, bathymetric and geological factors",
28 cex.lab = 0.7)

```

codes/R-32.r

A.6.33 R code for level plot using (ggplot2) library: sediment thickness

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # step-1. read in table with data on geomorphology. create data frame
2 MDF <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
3 MDF <- na.omit(MDF)
4 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
5 sum(row.has.na)
6 head(MDF)
7 # step-2. set up values XYZ
8 x <- MDF$slope_angle
9 y <- MDF$depth_min
10 data <- expand.grid(X=x, Y=y)
11 data$Z <- MDF$sedim_thick
12 # step-3. generate levelplot by (ggplot2) library
13 ggplot(data, aes(X, Y, z = Z)) + geom_tile(aes(fill = Z)) +
14 theme_bw() +
15 scale_fill_gradient(name = "Sediment \nThickness", low="white", high="blue") +
16 labs(
17 title = "Mariana Trench",
18 subtitle = "Levelplot of Sediment Thickness",
19 x = "Trench slope angle",
20 y = "Depth (m)")

```

codes/R-33.r

A.6.34 R code for hierarchical edge bundling by (ggraph), (igraph), (tidyverse), (RColorBrewer) libraries: Mariana Trench project

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Part-1
2 # step-1. Create hierarchical structure of the data frame (groups and leaves)
3 d1=data.frame(from="origin", to=paste("profile", seq(1:25), sep="_"))
4 d2=data.frame(from=rep(d1$to, each=4), to=paste(c("Slope angle", "Bathymetry", "Sediment thickness", "Tectonic
   plates"), seq(1,100)))
5 edges=rbind(d1, d2)
6 # step-2. Create connections between the individual leaves
7 all_leaves=paste(c("Slope angle", "Bathymetry", "Sediment thickness", "Tectonic plates"), seq(1,100))
8 connect=rbind( data.frame( from=sample(all_leaves, 100, replace=T) , to=sample(all_leaves, 100, replace=T)),
   data.frame( from=sample(head(all_leaves), 30, replace=T) , to=sample( tail(all_leaves), 30, replace=T)),
   data.frame( from=sample(all_leaves[25:30], 30, replace=T) , to=sample( all_leaves[55:60], 30, replace=T)),
   data.frame( from=sample(all_leaves[175:180], 30, replace=T) , to=sample( all_leaves[155:160], 30, replace
   =T)) )
9 connect$value=runif(nrow(connect))
10 # step-3. Create vertices in a data.frame: one line per object of the hierarchy
11 vertices = data.frame(name = unique(c(as.character(edges$from), as.character(edges$to))) , value = runif(126))
12 # step-4. Add column with groups names, to color points by groups
13 vertices$group = edges$from[ match( vertices$name, edges$to ) ]
14
15 # Part-2. Add information on labels: slope angles
16 # step-5.
17 vertices$id=NA
18 myleaves=which(is.na( match(vertices$name, edges$from) ))
19 nleaves=length(myleaves)
20 vertices$id[ myleaves ] = seq(1:nleaves)
21 vertices$angle= 90 - 360 * vertices$id / nleaves
22 # step-6. calculate the alignment of labels: right or left
23 vertices$hjust<-ifelse( vertices$angle < -90, 1, 0)
24 # step-7. flip angle BY to make them readable
25 vertices$angle<-ifelse(vertices$angle < -90, vertices$angle+180, vertices$angle)
26
27 # Part-3 Create graphic object
28 # step-8. The connection object must refer to the ids of the leaves:
29 mygraph <- graph_from_data_frame( edges, vertices=vertices )
30 from = match( connect$from, vertices$name)
31 to = match( connect$to, vertices$name)
32
33 # Part-4 Plotting
34 # step-9.
35 M<- ggraph(mygraph, layout = 'dendrogram', circular = TRUE) +
36 geom_node_point(aes(filter = leaf, x = x*1.07, y=y*1.07, colour=group, size=value), alpha=0.2) +
37 geom_node_text(aes(x = x*1.15, y=y*1.15, filter = leaf, label=name, angle = angle, hjust=hjust, colour=group),
   size=2, alpha=1) +
38 geom_conn_bundle(data = get_con(from = from, to = to), alpha=0.2, width=0.5, aes(colour=..index..)) +
39 scale_edge_colour_distiller(palette = "BuPu") +
40 scale_colour_manual(values= rep( brewer.pal(9,"Paired") , 30)) +
41 scale_size_continuous( range = c(0.1,10) ) +
42 theme_void() +
43 expand_limits(x = c(-1.4, 1.4), y = c(-1.4, 1.4)) +
44 labs(
45 title = "Mariana Trench",
46 subtitle = "Structured Edge Bundling: \nConnections Between Leaves of a Hierarchical Network") +
47 theme(
48 legend.position="right",
49 legend.text = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", colour="black", size=6, face=1),
50 legend.title = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", colour="black", size=8, face=1),
51 plot.title = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 2, size = 12),
52 plot.subtitle = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 1, size = 10),
53 plot.margin=unit(c(0.5,0.5,0.5,0.5),"cm"))
54 M

```

codes/R-34.r

A.6.35 R code for ridgeline plots by (ggridges) and (ggplot2) libraries

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 library(gggridges)
2 library(ggplot2)
3 # Part-1 for tectonic plates
4 # step-1. Read in table, create data frame
5 MDF <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
6 MDF <- na.omit(MDF)
7 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
8 sum(row.has.na)
9 head(MDF)
10 # step-2. Merge columns by categories (4 tectonic plates)
11 MDIt = melt(setDT(MDF), measure = patterns("^plate"), value.name = c("tectonics"))
12 head(MDIt)
13 levels(MDIt$variable) = c("Philippine Plate", "Pacific Plate", "Mariana Plate", "Caroline Plate")
14 head(MDIt)
15 # step-3. Create short data frame of 2 categories: 4 tectonic plates and bathymetric depth points
16 dat <- data.frame(group = MDIt$variable,
17 pweight = MDIt$tectonics,
18 tectonics = MDIt$tectonics)
19 # step-4. Plot ridgeline plots
20 ggplot(dat, aes(x = tectonics, y = group, fill = group)) +
21 geom_density_ridges(scale = .95, jittered_points=TRUE, rel_min_height = .01,
22 point_shape = "|", point_size = 3, size = 0.25,
23 position = position_points_jitter(height = 0)) +
24 scale_fill_manual(values = c("lightsteelblue1", "plum1", "turquoise1", "lightgoldenrod1")) +
25 theme_ridges() +
26 theme(legend.position = "none",
27 plot.title = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 2, size = 12),
28 plot.subtitle = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 1, size = 12),
29 axis.title.y = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 1, size = 12),
30 axis.title.x = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 1, size = 12),
31 axis.text.x = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 3, size = 12),
32 axis.text.y = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 3, size = 12)) +
33 labs(title = 'Mariana Trench',
34 subtitle = 'Ridgeline Plot on Tectonics: Density Distribution of the Observation Points by Plates')
35
36 # Part-2 for bathymetry
37 # step-5. read in table, create data frame.
38 MDD <- read.csv("Depths.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
39 MDD <- na.omit(MDD)
40 row.has.na <- apply(MDD, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
41 sum(row.has.na)
42 head(MDD)
43 # step-6. merge columns by categories (4 tectonic plates)
44 MDD1 = melt(setDT(MDD), measure = patterns("^profile"), value.name = c("depth"))
45 head(MDD1)
46 # step-7. create short data frame of 2 categories: 4 tectonic plates and bathymetry
47 dat <- data.frame(group = MDD1$variable,
48 pweight = MDD1$depth,
49 depth = MDD1$depth)
50 # step-8. Plot ridge line plots
51 ggplot(dat, aes(x = depth, y = group, fill = group)) +
52 geom_density_ridges(scale = 0.95, jittered_points=FALSE, color = "blue", size = 0.2) +
53 labs(title = 'Mariana Trench',
54 subtitle = 'Ridgeline Plot on Bathymetry: Density Distribution of Depth Observation Points') +
55 scale_fill_viridis(discrete = T, option = "B", direction = -1, begin = .1, end = .9) +
56 theme_ridges() +
57 theme(legend.position = "none",
58 plot.title = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 2, size = 12),
59 plot.subtitle = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 1, size = 12),
60 axis.title.y = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 1, size = 12),
61 axis.title.x = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 1, size = 12),
62 axis.text.x = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 3, size = 10),
63 axis.text.y = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 3, size = 10))

```

codes/R-35.r

A.6.36 R code for word could by libraries (wordcloud), (tm), (RXKCD): Mariana Trench project

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # step-1. text repository "Corpus". For that create a folder in a working directory, where the .txt file is
  stored. All texts from this folder are being uploaded into corpus. Then the tm package process it:
2 library(RXKCD)
3 library(tm)
4 library(wordcloud)
5 library(RColorBrewer)
6 library(SnowballC)
7 Mariana <- Corpus(DirSource("/Users/pauline/Documents/R/36_SCRIPT-Wordcloud/text/"))
8 # step-2. look up
9 inspect(Mariana)
10 # step-3. clean up and sort corpus of words:
11 Mariana <- tm_map(Mariana, stripWhitespace)
12 Mariana <- tm_map(Mariana, tolower)
13 Mariana <- tm_map(Mariana, removeWords, stopwords("english"))
14 Mariana <- tm_map(Mariana, stemDocument)
15 # step-4. plot word could:
16 wordcloud(Mariana, scale=c(5,0.3),
17 min.freq=1,
18 max.words=300,
19 random.order=F,
20 rot.per=0.35, use.r.layout=FALSE,
21 colors=brewer.pal(8, "Dark2"),
22 vfont=c("sans serif","plain"))

```

codes/R-36.r

A.6.37 R code for 'waffle' plot by library (ggplot2): bathymetric data distribution

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # step-1 load table with data. create data frame
2 MDF <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
3 MDF <- na.omit(MDF)
4 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
5 sum(row.has.na)
6 head(MDF)
7 # step-2. variant-1: aspect class.
8 var <- MDF$aspect_class # This is a categorical data. Here: 8 aspect classes
9 nrows <- 10
10 df <- expand.grid(y = 1:nrows, x = 1:nrows) # set up square 10*10
11 categ_table <- round(table(var) * ((nrows*nrows)/(length(var)))) # set up table with categorial values
12 categ_table
13 df$category <- factor(rep(names(categ_table), categ_table)) # names to be shown in a legend
14 # note: if sum(categ_table) is not 100 (i.e. nrows^2), it will need adjustment to make the sum to 100.
15 # step-3. Plot 'waffle' diagram
16 wa<- ggplot(df, aes(x = x, y = y, fill = category)) +
17 geom_tile(color = "black", size = 0.5) +
18 scale_x_continuous(expand = c(0, 0)) +
19 scale_y_continuous(expand = c(0, 0), trans = 'reverse') +
20 scale_fill_brewer(palette = "Set3") +
21 labs(title="Waffle Chart: Mariana Trench", subtitle="Aspect class of the basement angle",
22 caption="Graphics: R Programming") +
23 theme(plot.title = element_text(size = rel(1.2)),
24 axis.text = element_blank(),
25 axis.title = element_blank(),
26 axis.ticks = element_blank(),
27 legend.title = element_blank(),
28 legend.position = "right")
29 wa
30 # step-4. variant-2: slope steepness class. create table and load data.
31 var <- MDF$morph_class # the categorical data: slope steepness class
32 nrows <- 10

```



```

33 df <- expand.grid(y = 1:nrows, x = 1:nrows) # 10*10
34 categ_table <- round(table(var) * ((nrows*nrows)/(length(var)))) # set up table with categorial values
35 categ_table
36 df$category <- factor(rep(names(categ_table), categ_table)) # names to be shown in a legend
37 # step-5. Plot waffle diagram by steepness
38 ws<- ggplot(df, aes(x = x, y = y, fill = category)) +
39 geom_tile(color = "black", size = 0.5) +
40 scale_x_continuous(expand = c(0, 0)) +
41 scale_y_continuous(expand = c(0, 0), trans = 'reverse') +
42 scale_fill_brewer(palette = "Set3") +
43 labs(title="Waffle Chart: Mariana Trench", subtitle="Steepness angle class of the profiles 1:25",
44 caption="Graphics: R Programming") +
45 theme(plot.title = element_text(size = rel(1.2)),
46 axis.text = element_blank(),
47 axis.title = element_blank(),
48 axis.ticks = element_blank(),
49 legend.title = element_blank(),
50 legend.position = "right")
51 ws
52 #step-6. combine both waffles on one plot
53 figure <-plot_grid(wa, ws, labels = c("1", "2"), ncol = 2, nrow = 1)
54 figure

```

codes/R-37.r

A.6.38 R code for 'waffle' plot by library (waffle): bathymetric data distribution

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # step-1. load table
2 MDF <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
3 MDF <- na.omit(MDF)
4 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
5 sum(row.has.na)
6 head(MDF)
7 # step-2. variant-1 for aspect class
8 library(waffle)
9 var <- MDF$aspect_class
10 nrows <- 10
11 df <- expand.grid(y = 1:nrows, x = 1:nrows)
12 categ_table <- round(table(var) * ((nrows*nrows)/(length(var))))
13 categ_table
14 aspect <- c("East"=8, "North" =24, "North-East" = 8, "North-West" = 16, "South" = 4, "South-East" = 12, "South-
15 West" = 16, "West" = 12 )
16 aspect
17 wa<- waffle(aspect, rows=10, size=0.5,
18 colors=c("aquamarine", "cadetblue1", "darkseagreen1", "papayawhip", "thistle1", "lavender", "lightsteelblue1",
19 "mistyrose"),
20 title=list("Aspect degree in basement. \nMariana Trench, bathymetric profiles 1:25", size=0.3), xlab="total
21 square: 100%")
22 wa
23 # step-3. variant-2 for morphology (angle steepness)
24 var <- MDF$morph_class
25 nrows <- 10
26 df <- expand.grid(y = 1:nrows, x = 1:nrows)
27 categ_table <- round(table(var) * ((nrows*nrows)/(length(var))))
28 categ_table
29 morph <- c("Extreme Slope"=24, "Steep Slope" =20, "Strong Slope" = 16, "Very Steep Slope" = 40)
30 morph
31 wm<- waffle(morph, rows=10, size=0.5,
32 title=list("Steepness angle morphology class. \nMariana Trench, bathymetric profiles 1:25", size=0.3),
33 xlab="total square: 100%")
34 wm
35 # step-4. combine both plots together on one layout
36 iron(wa, wm)

```

codes/R-38.r

A.6.39 R code for treemap by library (treemap)

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 library(treemap)
2 # step-1. Create a dataset
3 group=c(rep("tectonics",4),rep("bathymetry",10),rep("geology",2))
4 subgroup=paste(c("Mariana Plate", "Philippine Plate", "Pacific Plate", "Caroline Plate",
5 "Depth \n(absolute maximum)", "Slope angle", "Aspect degree", "Depth (Mean)", "Depth (Median)", "Aspect class",
6 "Steepness class", "Depth \n(1 quart.)", "Depth \n(3 quart.)", "tg angle",
7 "sedimental \nthickness", "igneous \nvolcanic \nspots"))
8 value=c(22,14,18,7,12,10,6,4,5,9,10,3,2,7,14,17)
9 data=data.frame(group,subgroup,value)
10 # step-2. Plot treemap
11 treemap(data,
12 title = "Mariana Trench Impact Factors",
13 index=c("group","subgroup"),
14 # palette="Set2"
15 # palette="Set1",
16 palette="Accent",
17 vSize="value",
18 type="index",
19 fontsize.labels=c(18,12),# size of labels per level of aggregation: group, subgroup, subsubgroups
20 fontcolor.labels=c("white","gray40"),
21 fontface.labels=c(2,1),# Fonts: 1,2,3,4 for normal, bold, italic, bold-italic
22 bg.labels=c("transparent"),# Background color of labels
23 align.labels=list(c("center", "center"), c("center", "center")),
24 overlap.labels=0.9,
25 inflate.labels=F,# If true, labels are bigger when rectangle is bigger.
26 border.col=c("gray48","gray30"),# Color of borders of groups, of subgroups
27 border.lwds=c(4,1)
28 )

```

codes/R-39.r

A.6.40 R code for network by (igraph) library: Mariana Trench project

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 # Important notes on methodology. Here: I build a flowchart-diagram of hierarchical structure of the 'Mariana
2 Trench' project work, i.e. methods used in the research (12, hierarchy of 1 level), methods used (total
3 100, hierarchy of 2 level). For instance, class 'GIS analysis' has sub-types 'GIS projections', '
4 visualization', 'cartography', 'spatial analysis' etc. Correlation class has sub-types 'k-means
5 clustering', 'correlograms', 'dendrograms' etc. Here: create connections between the items (connections
6 go by ID, here: 12 main joints of 1 hierarchical level - centroids (1:12): connections between the
7 hierarchies of level 1, from 13 up to 111: nodes of the hierarchy of 2 level. Connections from levels 1 to
8 2. All nodes by both levels are stored in a table together (nodes.csv). A table has 2 columns: ID and
9 names. Table connections.csv stores connections, e.g. level 1 towards sublevels 13,14,15,16 etc. Level 2:
10 towards its sub-levels etc. There are 2 tables: 'nodes.csv' and 'connections.csv' . Column 'weight' of
11 connections.csv keeps importance of connections.
12 # step-1. Preparing data and network
13 library(igraph)
14 nodes <- read.table("nodes.csv", header = T, sep = ",", stringsAsFactors = FALSE)
15 connections <- read.table("connections.csv", header = T, sep = ",", stringsAsFactors = FALSE)
16 net <- graph_from_data_frame(connections, directed = F, vertices = nodes)
17 #V(net)$color <- "orange"
18 #V(net)$label <- "name"
19 V(net)$size <- 10
20 E(net)$arrow.mode <- 0
21 vertex.label= nodes$name
22 # step-2. Plotting
23 library(RColorBrewer)
24 coul = brewer.pal(8, "Set1")
25 plot(net, vertex.label= nodes$name, vertex.color = coul, vertex.size=4, vertex.label.font = 1, vertex.label.cex
26 = .6, vertex.label.dist = 3.0, vertex.frame.color = 'blue')

```

codes/R-40.r

A.6.41 R code for scatterplot matrices by (GGally) library to assess data distribution, Mariana Trench

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 #Part-1
2 # Scatterplot matrix by GGally
3 require(GGally)
4 # step-1. read in table. create data frame
5 MDFGeo <- read.csv("GeoMorphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
6 # step-2. clean up data frame from NA values
7 MDFGeo <- na.omit(MDFGeo)
8 row.has.na <- apply(MDFGeo, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
9 sum(row.has.na)
10 head(MDFGeo)
11 # step-3. Check correlation between variables
12 cor(MDF)
13 # step-4. variant-1. Check correlations (as scatterplots), distribution and print correlation coefficient
14 pi<- ggpairs(MDFGeo, axisLabels = "show",
15 title = "Mariana Trench: Scatterplot Correlation Matrix",
16 labeller = "label_parsed",
17 upper = list(continuous = wrap("density", alpha = 0.5), combo = "box_no_facet"),
18 lower = list(combo = "denstrip"))
19 pi
20 pair<- pi + theme(axis.text.x = element_text(face = 3, color = "gray24", size = 6, angle = 15),
21 axis.text.y = element_text(face = 3, color = "gray24", size = 6, angle = 15))
22 pair
23 # step-5. variant-2, with numerical correlations
24 pin<- ggpairs(MDFGeo,axisLabels= "show",
25 title = "Mariana Trench: Scatterplot Correlation Matrix", )
26 pin
27 pair<- pi + theme(axis.text.x = element_text(face = 3, color = "gray24", size = 6, angle = 15),
28 axis.text.y = element_text(face = 3, color = "gray24", size = 6, angle = 15))
29 pair
30
31 #Part-2. Scatterplot matrix by GGally, detailed
32 #step-6. read in data table
33 MDF4 <- read.csv("Morph-9-factors.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
34 MDF4 <- na.omit(MDF4)
35 row.has.na <- apply(MDF4, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
36 sum(row.has.na)
37 head(MDF4)
38 library(data.table)
39 library(GGally)
40 library(ggplot2)
41 # step-7. set up factor value
42 MDF4$slope_class <- factor(MDF4$class)
43 # step-8. plot scatterplot matrix by steepness angle
44 sl<- ggpairs(MDF4 ,
45 title= "Mariana Trench \nScatterplot Correlation Matrix by Slope Angle Class",
46 upper = list(continuous = wrap("density", alpha = 0.5, lwd = 0.3)),
47 lower = list(continuous = wrap("points", color = "red", alpha = 0.5),
48 combo = wrap("box", color = "orange", alpha = 0.6, lwd = 0.3)),
49 diag = list(continuous = wrap("densityDiag", color = "blue", alpha = 0.5, lwd = 0.3)))
50 sl
51 # step-9. add axis ticks
52 pair<- sl + theme(
53 axis.text.x = element_text(face = 3, color = "gray24", size = 6, angle = 15),
54 axis.text.y = element_text(face = 3, color = "gray24", size = 6, angle = 15))
55 pair
56 # step-10. Visualization of correlations
57 ggcorr(data=MDF, method = c("everything", "pearson"))
58
59 #Part-4. Correlation matrices by 3 approaches
60 # step-11. Read in data table
61 MDF <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
62 MDF <- na.omit(MDF)
63 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
64 sum(row.has.na)

```

```

65 head(MDF)
66 # step-12. Check correlation between variables
67 cor(MDF)
68 # step-13. Variant-1: Pearson correlation coefficients, using pairwise observations (default method)
69 gp<- ggcorr(data=MDF, method = c("everything", "pearson"),
70 name = "\nPearson \ncorrelation \nmethod \n(parametric)",
71 label = TRUE, label_size = 2, label_round = 2, label_alpha = TRUE,
72 hjust = 0.75, size = 3, color = "grey50", legend.position = "left")
73 gpt<- gp + labs(title="Mariana Trench",
74 subtitle = "Correlation of Geomorphological Impact Factors \nPearson correlation method (parametric)",
75 caption = "Statistics Processing and Graphs: \nR Programming. Data Source: QGIS") +
76 theme(plot.title = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 2, size = 12),
77 plot.subtitle = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 1, size = 10),
78 plot.caption = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 2, size = 8))
79 gpt
80 # step-14. Variant-2: Spearman correlation coefficients, using strictly complete observations
81 gs<-ggcorr(data=MDF, method = c("everything", "spearman"), geom = "circle", nbreaks = 5,
82 min_size = 3, max_size = 9, palette = "PiYG",
83 name = "\nSpearman \ncorrelation \nmethod \n(non-parametric)",
84 label = TRUE, label_size = 2, label_round = 2, label_alpha = TRUE,
85 hjust = 0.75, size = 3, color = "grey50", legend.position = "left")
86 gs
87 gst<- gs + labs(title="Mariana Trench",
88 subtitle = "Correlation of Geomorphological Impact Factors \nSpearman correlation method \n(nonparametric
      measure of rank using monotonic function)",
89 caption = "Statistics Processing and Graphs: \nR Programming. Data Source: QGIS") +
90 theme(plot.title = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 2, size = 12),
91 plot.subtitle = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 1, size = 10),
92 plot.caption = element_text(family = "Times New Roman", face = 2, size = 8))
93 gst
94 # step-15. Variant-3: Kendall correlation coefficients, using complete observations
95 gk<- ggcorr(data=MDF, method = c("complete", "kendall"),
96 geom = "text", nbreaks = 5, palette = "RdYlBu", hjust = 1, label = TRUE, label_alpha = 0.4)
97 gk
98 gkt<- gk + labs(title="Mariana Trench",
99 subtitle = "Correlation of Geomorphological Impact Factors \nKendall correlation coefficients, \nusing complete
      observations",
100 caption = "Statistics Processing and Graphs: \nR Programming. Data Source: QGIS")
101 gkt
102 figure <-plot_grid(gpt, gst, labels = c("1", "2"), ncol = 2, nrow = 1)
103 figure

```

codes/R-41.r

A.6.42 R code for diagonal scatterplot matrices by (car) library

Source data available on my [GitHub](#).

```

1 library(car)
2 library(RColorBrewer)
3 # Part 1: create data.frame
4 # step-1. read in table. build data frame
5 MDF <- read.csv("Morphology.csv", header=TRUE, sep = ",")
6 MDF <- na.omit(MDF)
7 row.has.na <- apply(MDF, 1, function(x){any(is.na(x))})
8 sum(row.has.na)
9 head(MDF)
10 # step-2. merge values of 4 tectonic plates into one category
11 MDFT = melt(setDT(MDF), measure = patterns("^plate"), value.name = c("tectonics"))
12 head(MDFT)
13 levels(MDFT$variable) = c("Philippine Plate", "Pacific Plate", "Mariana Plate", "Caroline Plate") # rename
    values
14 head(MDFT)
15 # step-3. read data frame into object 'data'
16 data= MDFT
17 # step-4. set up colors Set2 by category 'tectonics'
18 my_colors <- brewer.pal(nlevels(as.factor(data$variable)), "Set2")
19
20 # Part-2. Plotting
21 # step-5. Variant-1: tectonics + slope angle + igneous volcanic zones
22 scatterplotMatrix(~ tectonics + slope_angle + igneous_volc | variable, data=data ,
23 smoother="", col=my_colors , smoother.args=list(col="grey") ,
24 regLine = list(method=lm, lty=1, lwd=1),
25 lwd=0.5, pch=c(15,16,17) ,
26 main="Mariana trench scatter plot (tectonics, geomorphology, magmatism) \nwith four tectonic plates options:
    Mariana, Caroline, Philippine, Pacific",
27 cex=1.0, cex.axis = 1.0, # cex.axis, font of legend
28 legend = TRUE, cex.labels =1.3, cex.main = 1.0, ellipse=F,
29 var.labels = c("tectonics", "geomorphology \n(slope angle)", "magmatism \n(igneous volcanic zones)")
30 )
31 # step-6. Variant-2: depths + sediments + igneous volcanic zones
32 scatterplotMatrix(~ tectonics + Min + sedim_thick | variable, data=data ,
33 smoother="", col=my_colors , smoother.args=list(col="grey") ,
34 regLine = list(method=lm, lty=1, lwd=1),
35 lwd=0.5, pch=c(15,16,17) ,
36 main="Mariana trench scatter plot (tectonics, bathymetry, geology) \nwith four tectonic plates options: Mariana,
    Caroline, Philippine, Pacific",
37 cex=1.0, cex.axis = 1.0, # cex.axis, font of legend
38 legend = TRUE, cex.labels =1.3, cex.main = 1.0, ellipse=F,
39 var.labels = c("tectonics", "bathymetry \n(minimal depth)", "geology \n(sedimental thickness)")
40 )
41 # step-7. plotting
42 plot(data , pch=1 , cex=0.3 , col=rgb(0.5, 0.8, 0.9, 0.7))

```

codes/R-42.r

Appendix G

Indices

G.1 Index of Places

This index lists the geographic places and locations mentioned in this dissertation

A

Academy of Sciences Rise
188
Acapulco Trench 22
Agrigan, Island 63
Aleutian Arc 15, 18
Aleutian Islands 18
Aleutian Trench 18, 20
Aleutian-Komandorsky
chain 18
Alpine Fault 72–74, 76
Amami Sankaku Basin .. 66
Andean Cordillera 28
Andes 28
Andes, Central 28
Antarctic 20, 241
Antarctic Plate .. 26–28, 183,
240
Antarctica 31
Arica bend 28
Atacama Desert 29
Atacama Trench 26
Atlantic Ocean 57
Australia 30, 31, 35
Australian Plate 35, 72
Ayu Trough 53

B

Bering Sea 188
Biobío Canyon 27
Bismarck Sea fault 35
Bismark Sea 35
Bismark Sea back-arc basin
35
Bonin Islands 64, 65
Boso triple junction 65
Bougainville Island 37
Bougainville Trench 35
Bounty Trough 77
Bussol Strait ... 17, 186–189

C

Calle-Calle Canyon 27
Caribbean Plate 22, 24

Carnegie Ridge 28
Caroline Plate 53, 55, 57
Caroline Ridge 55
Central Depression 28
Central valley 28
Challenger Deep 57, 63
Chatham Rise 30, 77
Cherskii Mountains 14
Chile 15, 26, 28
Chile Ridge 28
Chile Tripe Junction 28
Chiloé segment 27
China 57
Choiseul Island 37
Chortis Block 24
Coastal Cordillera 28
Cocos Plate 21
Cocos Ridge 21, 23
Cook Strait 30
Copiapó Ridge 28
Cordillera, Andean 29
Cordillera, Coastal 29
Costa Rica 23, 195
Cotabato Trench 211

E

East Luzon Trough 44
East Mariana Basin 63
East Pacific Rise 22, 28
Easter microplate 28
Easter Seamount Chain .. 28
Ecuador 26
Eurasian Plate ... 15, 45, 48

F

Fiji 63
Fiji, North 34
Fiordland Massif 72
Franciscan subduction zone
22
Futuna Island 34

G

Greater Kuril Chain . 14, 17,
187–189

Guam 64
Guatemala Trench 21

H

Hikurangi Margin 73, 75, 77
Hikurangi Plateau 77
Hikurangi Trench 72, 75–77,
183, 239, 241
Hikurangi Trough 30
Hjort Plateau 73
Hjort Ridge 73
Hjort Trench 72, 74–76, 239,
241
Hokkaido Island 13, 17, 189
Honduras Platform 22
Honshu Island 68
Honshu island arc 65
Huon Gulf 35

I

Imperial Canyon 27
Indian Ocean 57
Indo-Australian Plate 31, 35,
72, 74, 75, 183, 240
Iquique Ridge 28
Iturup Island ... 14, 17, 187,
189
Izu Islands 64
Izu-Bonin Collision Zone 65
Izu-Bonin Trench 64–66
Izu-Bonin-Mariana Arc .. 63,
66
Izu-Ogasawara Trench ... 64

J

Jalisco 22
Japan 48, 67
Japan Plate 67
Japan Trench 25, 64, 67
Japan trench-arc system .. 67
Juan Fernández microplate
28
Juan Fernández Ridge ... 28

K

Kamchatka Peninsula 15, 16,
18, 188, 189
Kamchatka-Aleutian triple
junction 18
Kerama Fault 49
Kermadec Ridge 31
Kermadec Trench 72, 77
Kronotskiy arc 18
Krusenstern strait 14
Kunashir Island 14, 187
Kuril Island Chain 17
Kuril-Kamchatka Arc ... 15
Kuril-Kamchatka subduction
zone 15
Kuril-Kamchatka Trench 13,
186
Kuroshio 14
Kyushu Island 48
Kyushu-Palau Ridge 53

L

Louisville Seamount Chain
31
Lua 34
Luzon Arc 47
Luzon Island .. 45, 213, 214

M

Macquarie Arc 237
Macquarie Fault Zone ... 72,
241
Macquarie Island 72
Macquarie Ridge Complex
72
Macquarie Triple Junction
72, 241
Magellan Seamounts ... 230
Malaita Island 37
Manila Trench 45, 47
Marcus-Wake Seamounts
230
Mariana Islands 34, 57
Mariana Plate 57
Mariana Trench 57, 63
Maule 27
Meiji Guyot seamount ... 15
Mexico 22
Middle America 21

Middle America Transverse
Zone 22
Middle America Trench . 21
Mindoro Island 45
Miyako Fault 184, 217
Miyako-jima (Island) 52
Mocha 27
Mocha Fracture Zone 27, 28
Motagua Fault 24
Motagua-Polochic system 24

N

Nankai subduction zone . 70
Nazca Plate 22, 26–28
Nazca Ridge 28
Negros Trench 211
New Britain arc 35
New Britain Island 35
New Britain Trench .. 35, 37
New Hebrides Trench ... 32
New Zealand . 31, 63, 72–74,
76, 77
Nicaragua 24, 195
Nicoya Peninsula ... 24, 195
North America 22
North American Plate 14, 22,
67
North Bismarck Plate 35
North Island 31, 75, 77, 183,
240
Northern Chile 29

O

O'Higgins Guyot 28
Ogasawara Plateau ... 64, 65
Okhotsk Plate 188
Okinawa Trough 48
Okinawa-jima (Island) ... 52
Ontong Java Plateau . 35, 77
Oyashio 14

P

Pacific Ocean 17, 30, 31, 34,
35, 57, 77, 188
Pacific Ocean, south 26
Pacific Ocean, southwest 241
Pacific Plate .. 15, 17, 22, 35,
37, 57, 69, 72, 74,
75, 183, 188, 240

Pacific Volcanic Chain ... 24
Palau Islands 53
Palau Trench 53
Panama, Isthmus of 22
Papua New Guinea .. 35, 53,
55
Paramushir Island 188
Parece Vela Basin 56
Peru 28
Peru-Chile Trench 20, 26, 29
Chilean 29
Chiloé 29
Maule 29
Peruvian 29
Philippine Archipelago .. 43,
57
Philippine Fault 44
Philippine Sea .. 53, 65, 210
Philippine Sea Plate . 45, 53,
55, 57, 65, 210
Philippine Trench 39
Philippines 213, 214
Polochic Fault 24
Puysegur Bank 72
Puysegur Margin 73
Puysegur Trench . 72, 74–76,
239, 241

R

Ramu-Markham Fault ... 35
Ring of Fire 15, 67
Ryukyu island arc .. 48, 184,
216
Ryukyu Trench 48, 216

S

Sakhalin Island 187
Samoan Passage 60
San Antonio Canyon 27
San Cristobal Island 37
San Cristobal Trench 37
Sanriku-Haruka-Oki 69
Santa Isabel Island 37
Sea of Japan 67
Sea of Okhotsk .. 14, 15, 17,
187, 188
Shiashkotan Island 188
Shikoku Basin 64, 65
Shikotan Island ... 187, 189

Solomon island arc .. 35, 37
 Solomon Sea 35, 37
 Solomon Sea Plate ... 35, 37
 Sorol Trough 55
 South America 28
 South American Plate ... 26
 South Bismarck Plate 35
 South China Sea 45
 South Island 72–74, 241
 South Kuril 16
 Southern Ocean 20
 Sulu Trench 211
 Sunda Plate 45, 210

T

Taitao Triple Junction 28, 29
 Taiwan Island ... 45, 48, 218
 Taltal Ridge 28
 Tanega-shima 49

Tasman Sea 74
 Tehuantepec Ridge 21
 Tohoku 68, 70
 Tohoku-Oki 68
 Tokachi-Oki 69
 Tokara Channel 49
 Tokyo 64
 Tolten Canyon 27
 Tonga Platform 31
 Tonga Ridge 180, 198
 Tonga Trench .. 31, 182, 199
 Tonga-Kermadec Arc 30
 Tonga-Kermadec Ridge .. 31
 Tonga-Kermadec-Hikurangi
 subduction system
 77

U

Urup Island ... 14, 187, 189

V

Valdivia 27
 Valdivia Fracture Zone .. 27
 Vanuatu Trench 32
 Vityaz Trench 33

W

West Bismarck arc 35
 Woodlark Basin 35, 37
 World Ocean 57

Y

Yaku-shima 49
 Yap Islands 53
 Yap Trench 55
 Yap-Palau subduction zone
 55

G.2 Index of Concepts

This index lists the most essential concepts and definitions used in this dissertation, including both geological and technical terminology (programming, statistics, mathematics)

A

abyssal
 plain, 58
 zone, 61
accretionary wedge, 77
aeolian processes, 18
algorithm
 ANOVA, 167
 Levene's test, 145
 Pairwise t-test, 145
 Shapiro-Wilk test of normality, 146
 Tukeys honest significance test, 145
 approach, 12
 autocorrelation, 172
 EFA, 142
 Euclidean distance metric, 132
 Gauss-Newton, 174
 KDE, 119, 122, 139, 154, 155, 160, 162, 164, 230
 least squares, 174
 nonlinear, 174
 ordinary, 174
 weighted, 174
 LOESS
 method, 125
 Savitzky-Golay smoothing filter, 125
 Principal Component Analysis, 138, 165
 QQ, 172, 173
 regression
 isotonic, 175
 quantile, 153, 172
 regression analysis, 125, 158
 non-parametric, 125
 SARIMA, 176

 ternary diagrams, 129
Artificial Intelligence, 85
 Machine Learning, x, 7, 9, 12, 78, 84, 85, 103, 119, 120, 139, 140, 152, 243, 248–250, 252, 253

axial rift, 6

B

back arcs, 71
bathymetry, 61
biological communities, 61
buoyancy, 34, 206

C

canyons
 underwater, 61
 steps, 61
cartography, 243
 data
 CMT, 80, 88–90, 92
 CryoSat-2, 78
 DEM, 80
 EGM96, 80, 90, 98, 99, 102
 ENVISAT, 81
 ETOPO1, 3, 78, 80, 85, 86, 90, 94, 95, 100, 101, 110, 111, 191–193, 224, 249
 ETOPO5, 80
 GEBCO, x, 3, 12, 78–81, 85, 86, 90, 92, 94, 95, 224, 234, 240, 247
 Google Earth, 80
 GSHHGD, 78, 80, 90
 GVP database, 80
 ISC database, 80
 Jason-1, 78
 KMZ, 90
 raster, 84

 SPOT3, 81
 SPOT4, 81
 SRTM, x, 12, 37, 78, 80, 213, 247, 249
 vector, 84
grid, 83
map element, 83
 annotation, 83
 coastline, 83
 color scale, 83, 86
 directional rose, 83, 86, 101, 108
 embellishment, 86
 grid, 83
 grid ticks, 86, 108
 labels, 83
 legend, 83, 86, 101, 108, 111
 logo, 86
 north direction, 83
 scale bar, 83, 86, 108
 vector lines, 83, 86
map projection, 83, 86, 101, 111
 azimuthal, 86
 cylindrical, 86
 Cylindrical
 Equal-Area
 Gall-Peters, 86
 Eckert IV equal-area pseudo-cylindrical, 86
 Gall-Peters, 102
 Lambert Azimuthal Equal-Area, 86
 Mercator, 86, 95
 Mollweide
 pseudo-cylindrical homolographic equal-area, 86
 Oblique Mercator, 86
 Orthographic
 azimuthal, 86

Polyconic, 86
 Transverse Mercator, 86
 cliffs, 62
 cluster analysis, 138
 cluster, 133
 clustering
 k-means, 133, 134
 dendrogram, 164
 segmentation, 164
 continental
 collision, 34, 74
 crust, 74
 margins, 60
 shelf, 61
 slab age-buoyancy, 61
 slope, 20
 convergent, 73
 correlation
 coefficient, 135
 correlogram, 160
 correlogram ellipse, 134
 covariance matrices, 160
 Kendall, 137, 160
 Pearson, 136, 160
 Spearman, 136, 160
D
 décollement, 70
 data
 analysis, 243
 ASCII, 78, 83, 88, 95, 108, 109, 191, 250, 251
 distribution, 125, 227
 frame, 82
 grouping, 138
 modeling, 8
 multidimensional, 126
 ranking, 131
 set, 243
 skewness, 125
 sorting, 138
 variables, 123
 visualizing, 243
 deep-sea
 basins, 34, 58
 depressions, 58
 ridges, 61

deformation
 coseismic, 77
 rheology, 34
 dehydration, 206
 dislocations
 lateral, 63
 vertical, 63
E
 Earth
 crust, 49, 58
 lower, 69
 oceanic, 60, 77
 evolution, 34
 lithosphere, 16, 34, 42, 51, 55, 58, 60, 63, 66
 magma
 magma chamber, 16
 magmatism, 23, 116
 mantle, 60
 deep, 77
 island-arc, 69
 mantle plume, 17
 pillow lava, 60
 Mohorovičić (Moho)
 boundary, 58
 earthquake, ix, x, 4–8, 12, 14–16, 18, 24, 26, 28, 29, 34, 63, 88, 184, 230, 234, 245, 246, 248, 253
 centroid moment tensor, 88
 deep, 34
 focal
 mechanism, 17, 33, 41, 42, 74, 88
 zone, 16
 hypocenter, 45, 88, 90
 magnitude, 186
 submarine, 246, 249
 erosion, 18
F
 fault, 6, 59
 graben, 14, 25, 54, 61, 190
 horst, 25, 54, 61

stretching, sub-parallel, 77
 transform
 dextral, 76
 near-vertical, 73
 fluid thermal fluxes, 16
 forearc, 77
 fracture, 15, 63
G
 gas hydrates, 77
 GDAL, 83
 geochemical recycling, 34
 geochemistry, 74
 geodesy, 11, 92, 117, 153, 171, 189, 244, 249
 GPS, 77, 93
 geoid, 37, 74, 78, 84–86, 98, 101, 191, 195, 203, 205, 218, 236
 undulation, 219
 Geologic time period
 Cenozoic, 211
 Cretaceous, 49, 211
 Early, 60
 Late, 22, 60
 Eocene, 44, 74
 Late, 18
 Jurassic, 49, 58, 211
 Mesozoic, 18, 23, 24, 44, 49, 60, 63, 211
 Miocene, 25, 39, 74
 Middle, 25
 Neogene, 67
 Oligocene, 27, 39, 74
 Paleocene, 25
 Paleogene, 22, 24
 Pliocene, 22
 Early, 25
 Precambrian, 210
 Quaternary, 25, 44, 60, 67, 74, 211
 Tertiary, 18
 geomorphology, 61, 66, 67, 69, 73, 74, 76–78, 80, 81, 83–86, 91, 100, 101, 103, 104, 111, 113–118, 120, 124–126, 130–135,

137–144, 147, 149,
151, 153, 155, 163,
165, 167, 168, 173,
176, 179–181, 185,
186, 188–191, 193
GIS, 1, 3, 7–12, 78, 81–83,
91, 97, 114, 117,
147, 149, 150, 168,
177, 242, 244,
248–250, 252, 253
ArcGIS, 114
GRASS GIS, 253
MapInfo, 114
QGIS, 97, 150
GMT, 83
module
 blockmean, 95
 blockmedian, 95
 blockmode, 95
 gmt.conf, 84
 gmtdefaults, 84
 gmtinfo, 84
 gmtlogo, 84, 104
 gmtset, 84, 98, 105
 gmtwhich, 84
 grd2cpt, 98, 101
 grdcontour, 83, 84, 98,
 101
 grdcut, 83, 84, 101
 grdgradient, 108
 grdhisteq, 84, 95
 grdimage, 83, 84, 98,
 186
 grdtrack, 83, 105, 186
 grdview, 101
 img2grd, 101
 logo, 98, 101, 105
 makecpt, 84, 95
 nearneighbor, 84
 psbasemap, 83, 84, 98
 pscoast, 84, 98, 101
 psconvert, 84, 98, 101,
 104, 105
 pshistogram, 84, 105
 psimage, 98
 pslegend, 105
 psmeca, 88, 89
 psrose, 105

psscale, 84, 98, 101
pstext, 84, 98, 101
psvelo, 92
psvelomeca, 89
psxy, 83, 104, 186
trend1d, 83
Google Earth, 90
gravitation, 62
 flow system, 58
 instability, 62
gravity
 anomaly
 marine free-air, 10,
 85, 98, 101, 203,
 209, 214, 217, 219,
 226, 236
 pull, 62

H

hills, 6
hydrosphere, 66

I

interseismic coupling, 77

L

landslide, 61
 submarine, 62
lateral
 displacement, 62
 geologic shifts, 61
ledges, 61

M

magnetic anomaly lineations,
63
mainland bank, 62
marginal seas, 62
melting, 206
mid-ocean ridge, 58, 60
 basalts, 63
mineral physics, 74

N

normalized steepness, 137

O

ocean bedrock, 61
ores, multi-metal, 16

P

passive margins, 61
petrology, 74
plate
 boundary, 74
 deformation, 62
 transpression, 73, 74
 lithospheric, 58
 motion, right-lateral, 72
 ophiolites, 58
 upper, 77
plateau, 61
 marginal, 61
 oceanic, 77
plot
 2D, 3, 101, 105, 151,
 209, 213, 248
 3D, 3, 50, 83, 99–101,
 119, 166, 184, 208,
 209, 213, 231, 234,
 243, 248, 249
 scatterplot matrix,
 166
 area chart, 158
 boxplot, 119, 120, 122,
 142, 154, 155
 categorywise, 127
 clustermmap, 156
 Euler-Venn diagram,
 147, 157, 158
 facet grid, 158
 facetted, 125
 flowchart network, 168
 hexagonal, 135
 mapping, 160
 letter-value, 119, 154,
 155
 pie chart, 171
 projection
 affine, 166
 scalar, 165
 radar charts, 129, 170
 ridgeline, 127
 stacked
 area, 168
 bar, 169
 strip plot, 157
 subplot, 172

violinplot, 155
 programming language
 AWK, 115, 250
 C++, 247
 MATLAB, ix, 114, 252
 Octave, ix, 115, 247, 250
 Python, ix, 153, 247, 250
 Jupyter Notebook, 153
 Math, 170
 Matplotlib, 8, 148, 149, 152–155, 162, 170
 Matplotlib, 150
 NumPy, 8, 148–150, 152, 153, 168, 170
 OS, 162, 170
 Pandas, 149, 150, 162, 170
 Scikit Learn, 165
 SciPy, 8, 148–150, 152, 153, 164, 168
 Seaborn, 150, 153, 155, 162, 170
 StatsModels, 8, 149, 153, 172, 176
 R, ix, 247, 248, 250
 bkde, 123
 car, 11
 correlplot, 137
 data.table, 136
 dendextend, 138
 extrafont, 229
 extrafontdb, 229
 fmsb, 129
 ggalt, 129
 ggimage, 137
 ggplot2, 120, 121, 125, 127, 128, 131, 138
 ggridges, 127
 ggsignif, 155, 229
 graphics, 155
 gridExtra, 138
 gridGraphics, 138
 hclust, 138

kde.R, 123
 KernSmooth, 123
 LatticeExtra, 130
 LatticeExtra2, 126
 magrittr, 138
 Matrix, 137
 mclust, 137
 methods, 138
 tidyverse, 127, 155, 229
 vcd, 120, 132
 violinmplot, 122
 violinplot, 121
 waffle, 131

R

ridge, 6, 61
 longitudinal, 61
 rift, 60
 rock
 igneous
 basalt, 23, 25, 34, 53, 58, 60
 basalt, tholeiitic, 23
 basalts, phyrlic, 23
 basalts, plagioclase phyrlic
 high-alumina, 23
 clinopyroxene, 23
 diabase, 60
 gabbro, 58, 60
 microlites, 23
 olivine, 23, 63
 olivine-plagioclase, 23
 quartz, 60
 quartz-trachyte, 60
 tholeiites magma series, 23
 tholeiites, island-arc, 23
 tholeiites, oceanic, 23
 trachyte, 60
 ultrabasic, 210
 ultrabasic complex, 60
 ultrabasites, 60
 mechanics, 74
 metamorphic, 211

sedimentary
 clastic, 60
 turbidite, 20
S
 seafloor, ix, x, 3–8, 12–14, 25, 34, 35, 40, 43, 44, 46, 47, 54, 56, 58, 60–62, 84, 85
 bathymetry, 61
 spreading, 6, 60
 spreading fabric, 63
 seamounts, 5, 14, 27, 28, 47, 49, 58, 69, 76, 77, 151, 183, 184, 209, 230, 231, 238, 240, 241
 sedimentation, 58
 abyssal, 62
 accumulation, 60
 active, 60
 areal, 60
 avalanche, 19
 terrigenous, 18, 60
 sediments, 20
 aleurite-clay, 190
 ash, sand-size, 20
 clay, 20
 pelagic, 190
 precipitation, 59
 ringwoodite, 63
 terrigenous material, 18
 thickness, 60, 172
 trap, 60
 wadsleyite, 63
 seismicity, 14, 17, 18, 28, 32–34, 39, 41, 44, 45, 47, 50, 63, 67, 68, 70, 74, 116, 186
 adiabatic shear instabilities, 39
 seismology, 74
 shelf, 62
 continental, 77
 slab, 63
 cold, 70
 dehydration, 71
 mineralogy, 70
 morphology, 39

subduction, 39, 70
 advance, 39
 buoyancy, 39
 dynamics, 39
 rate, 39
 retreat, 39
 stagnation, 41
 stress field, 39
 warm, 70
 slope, 57, 61
 inclination, 63
 instability
 tension cracks, 33, 62, 63, 68
 stability, 63
 statistics
 argument, 161
 descriptive, 125
 median, 172
 noise, 158
 quantiles, 172
 significance, 167
 SPSS, 105
 variable, 161
 dependent, 125
 independent, 125
 variance, 167
 structural geology, 74
 subduction
 deep, 74
 dip, 15
 dipping, 77
 dynamics, 74
 frontal erosion, 77
 margins, 75
 oblique, 76
 process, 74
 scissors, 73, 76
 sediment, 60
 slab, 59
 speed, 77
 zone, 71, 73, 74
 submarine
 canyons, 20, 58
 margins of continents, 62
 valleys, 58

volcanoes, 66
 suspension flows, 62
T
 tectonic
 block rotations, 77
 plate, 60, 61
 boundary, 6
 collision, 77
 movements, 63
 subduction, 34, 74
 velocity, 61
 tension fractures, 62
 terrace, 61
 marine, 15
 submarine, 20
 submerged, 77
 thermochronology, 77
 thresholds, 59
 transform
 fault, right-lateral, 72
 transformation
 kinetics, 34
 phase, 34
 trench, 76
 curvature, 76
 dynamics, 60
 kinematics, 77
 profile
 arcuate barrel-shaped, 183
 asymmetric, 57, 182, 184
 cascade-shaped, 180
 crescent-shaped
 circular-like, 184
 elongated, 180, 181, 183
 irregular, 179
 irregular right-sided, 184
 irregular V-shaped, 179, 183
 irregular V-shaped
 left-sided, 180
 irregular V-shaped
 right-sided, 183

sinuous-shaped, 180
 symmetric, 182
 transverse, 57
 trough-like W-shaped, 184
 U-shaped, 182
 V-shaped, 181, 183
 triple junction, 72
 trough, 59, 76
 tsunامي, 77
U
 UNIX
 bash, 104
 shell script, 83
 utility
 cat, 104
 cut, 83
 echo, 83, 95, 101, 104
 rm, 83, 101, 104
V
 velocity, horizontal fields, 77
 volcanic
 activities, 15
 island arc, 15, 58, 62, 74
 volcanism, x, 4, 11, 16, 25, 27, 34, 40, 42, 44, 50, 60, 66, 67, 116, 142, 147, 168, 176, 184, 186, 189, 205, 206, 214, 229, 232, 234
W
 Wadati-Benioff zone, 63, 77
 wave
 body
 magnitude, 89
 ocean, 60, 61
 oceanic (T-waves), 63
 period, 89
 primary (P-waves), 90
 seismic, 39, 58, 74, 89
 surface (L-waves)
 magnitude, 89
 tsunami, 246
 velocity, 12, 71

G.3 Index of Authors

This index lists authors of the cited literature sources used in this dissertation

A Abbott (L. D.) 35 Abdi (H.) 165 Adamic (J.) 18 Adler (P. M.) 33, 81 Adrianov (A. V.) 14 Agapova (G. V.) 6 Agarwal (B. N. P.) 116 Aho (A. V.) 110 Airey (D.) 5 Aiuppa (A.) 32 Aivazis (M.) 171 Akiyoshi (H.) 65 Aksentov (K. I.) 29 Alalykina (I.) 14 Albarau (J. F.) 233 Alden (A.) 39 Alexeiev (D. V.) 18 Alexeiev (D.) 18 Algeo (T. J.) 6 Ali (J. R.) 4, 7, 42, 44 Ali (M.) 154 Ali (S. M.) 233 Allard (P.) 32 Allen (G.) 79, 80 Alsdorf (D.) 80 Alvarez-Gómez (J. A.) . 7, 25 Amante (C.) 78, 80 Ammon (C. J.) 68 Anderson (C. A.) 58 Anderson (C. D.) 4, 7, 42, 44 Anderson (M. E.) 30 Anderson (M. O.) 44, 57 Anderson (R. N.) 93 Ando (K.) 29 Ando (M.) 44, 48 Andrade (J. F.) 81 Angel (M. V.) 26 Angulo Ibanez (J. M.) .. 105, 154 Aniel-Quiroga (Í.) 25 Ansley (C. F.) 176 Appelgate (B.) 58 Arai (K.) 52, 67	Arai (S.) 66 Arakawa (Y.) 66 Araki (E.) 35, 37 Arcay (D.) 218 Arcos (M. E.) 15 Arculus (R. J.) 20, 66 Argus (D. F.) .. 15, 63, 92, 93 Arisa (D.) 66 Armstrong (A. A.) 57–59 Arndt (J. E.) 79 Arpa (C. B.) 4 Arriagada (C.) 8, 28 Arróspide (F.) 81 Arzu (I.) 24 Asada (M.) 34 Asano (S.) 67 Asanuma (T.) 67 Asao (K.) 44, 66 Ashi (J.) 67, 70 Ashraf (M.) 233 Ashworth (P. J.) 246 Atwater (B. F.) 15 Aubouin (J.) 22–24 Augustin (J.-M.) 233 Auzende (J. M.) 32 Avendonk (H. J. A.) van . 47 Awcock (G.) 246 Azéma (J.) 22–25 B Babonneau (N.) 29 Bacolcol (T.) 44, 83 Bagas (L.) 116 Bai (Y.) 18, 55, 198 Bailey (R. A.) 167 Baker (E. K.) 14, 43, 218 Baker (E. T.) 44, 57 Baker (S. J.) 4, 42, 44 Baldwin (S. L.) 35 Ballance (P. F.) 77 Banasik (P.) 249 Bani (P.) 32 Bannister (S.) 76, 77	Baranov (B. V.) .. 14, 15, 18, 190 Barazangi (M.) 63 Barker (D. H. N.) 77 Barnes (J. D.) 64 Barnes (P. M.) 72, 76, 77 Barnett (H. F. J.) 18 Barr (I. D.) 14 Barrier (E.) 24 Bartlett (D. H.) .. 57, 61, 233 Bas (T. P. L.) 81 Bateman (D.) 114 Bates (D. M.) 162 Bathe (F.) 81 Baumann (K.) 81 Baumberger (T.) 44, 57 Bautista (C. B.) 44, 45 Bautista (M. L. P.) 44, 45 Bazanova (L. I.) 15 Beaudoin (J.) 57–59 Beaumais (A.) 32 Beavan (J.) 72, 77 Beazley (D. M.) 168 Beccaluva (L.) 56, 58 Bechter (T.) 81 Beck (S. L.) 16 Becker (J. J.) 6 Becker (N.) 58 Becker (T. W.) .. 6, 7, 44, 49, 57, 58, 77 Beek (P.) van der 37 Behn (M. D.) 34, 58, 59 Behrmann (J. H.) 29 Behrmann (J. S.) 28, 29 Beier (C.) 33, 205 Belkin (I. M.) 14 Bell (R. E.) 77 Bello-González (J. P.) .. 8, 28 Belyaev (G. M.) 31 Berger (J.-F.) 81 Berghe (E.) van den .. 8, 233 Bermas (P. P. J.) 44 Bernardel (G.) 73 Berndt (C.) 61, 233

Berryman (K. R.) 76, 77
 Berthet (T.) 42, 50, 51
 Bertoldi (W.) 81
 Bertrand (H.) 32
 Besana (G. M.) 44
 Best (B. D.) 119
 Best (M. J.) 175
 Bevis (M.) 30, 33
 Bialas (J.) 76, 77
 Bilina (R.) 105
 Billington (S.) 18
 Bina (C. R.) . . 39, 41, 43, 63,
 65, 74, 218
 Bindeman (I. N.) 15
 Binder (B.) 6
 Bird (P.) 7, 13, 190
 Birk (S.) 81
 Björck (A.) 175
 Blankenship (L. E.) 31
 Blondel (M.) 152
 Bloomer (S. H.) 31
 Blusztajn (J.) 50
 Blöschl (G.) 9
 Bobbitt (A. M.) 44, 57
 Bodine (J. H.) 7, 58
 Bogdanic (T.) 28
 Bogdanov (I.) 33, 81
 Bogdanov (J. A.) 61
 Bogdanov (J. L.) 57
 Bogolepov (K. V.) 34
 Bohlmann (H.) 253
 Bohrmann (G.) 6
 Bolik (F.) 81
 Bonali (F. L.) 246
 Boriskina (N. G.) 14, 16
 Borradaile (G. J.) . . 120, 154
 Bose (S.) 70
 Boston (B.) 8, 67
 Bougault (H.) 23
 Bourgeois (J.) 15, 17
 Bourrouilh-Le Jan (F. G.) . 33
 Boutelier (D.) 61, 218
 Bovolo (C. I.) 116
 Bowden (D. A.) . 76, 77, 246
 Bowin (C.) 7
 Box (G. E. P.) . 154, 171, 172
 Brandl (P. A.) 20, 33, 66
 Brandt (A.) 14

Braud (J.) 6
 Braz (C.) 28
 Bretz (F.) 126
 Brown (D.) 47
 Brown (S. T.) 246
 Brucher (M.) 152
 Brune (S.) 34
 Bruns (T. R.) 36
 Budanova (L. Y.) 6
 Bui (Q. C.) 45
 Bujakowski (K.) 249
 Buland (R.) 80
 Burbank (D.) 80
 Burlini (L.) 7
 Burnett (B.) . . . 44, 211, 233
 Burnley (P. C.) 63
 Bursa (M.) 98
 Butterfield (D. A.) . . . 44, 57
 Butuzova (G. O.) 60
 Byrne (T. B.) 67, 70

C

Cadieu (D. B.) 31
 Calabrese (S.) 32
 Calder (B. R.) . . 57–59, 243
 Calmant (S.) 30, 33, 34
 Cameron (A. C.) 125
 Cameron (J.) 61
 Campbell (K. A.) 77
 Campos (J.) 5
 Cande (S. C.) 28, 29
 Cannata (A.) 33
 Cao (L.) 41, 43
 Cao (W.) 56
 Capote (R.) 7, 25
 Carfantan (J. C.) 22–24
 Carlson (P. R.) 7, 58
 Carney (J. N.) 33
 Caro (E.) 80
 Carrizo (D.) 28, 34
 Carron (M. J.) 7
 Cartapanis (O.) 29
 Castillo (P. R.) 31
 Cazenave (A.) 74
 Cecioni (A.) 28, 29
 Chadwick (W. W. J.) . . 44, 57
 Chakravarti (N.) 175
 Chandler (M.) 56
 Chang (C.) 67, 70

Chang (C.-P.) 83
 Chang (H. K.) 51
 Chang (W. Y.) 4, 42, 44
 Chang (W.-Y.) 43, 53
 Charvis (P.) 33
 Chase (C. G.) 61, 116
 Chayes (D.) 79
 Chazot (G.) 32
 Chen (C. H.) 15
 Chen (C.-H.) 43, 53
 Chen (D.) 6, 61, 218
 Chen (H.) 57, 233
 Chen (J.) 6
 Chen (L.) 6, 61, 218
 Chen (M.) 209
 Chen (P.-C.) 51
 Chen (S.) 50
 Chen (W.) 17
 Chen (Y.) 29, 56
 Chen (Y.-F.) 43
 Cheng (Z.) 47
 Chester (F. M.) 70
 Cheung (K. F.) 18, 68
 Chiang (G.-T.) 116
 Chiba (H.) 57
 Chikov (B. M.) 34
 Chilés (J.-P.) 249
 Chin (S.-J.) 45
 Chiquin (L.) 24
 Chiquin (M.) 24
 Chiu (J.-K.) 4, 42
 Chiu (S.-D.) 101
 Chiyonobu (S.) . . 43, 65, 209
 Chou (T.-A.) 80
 Christensen (U. R.) . . 40, 74
 Christenson (B. W.) . 76, 249
 Churikova (T.) 246
 Ciaccio (A. D.) 105, 154
 Cielen (D.) 154
 Cifuentes (I. L.) 28
 Cirano (M.) 148
 Clark (K.) 76, 77
 Clark (M. R.) . . 8, 29–32, 61,
 215, 218, 232, 233,
 246
 Clarke (S.) 5
 Cloetingh (S.) 28
 Cobas (M.) 148

Cochran (U.) 76, 77
 Cochrane (G. R.) 36
 Coffin (M. F.) 73
 Coffin (R. B.) 77
 Coli (M.) 105, 154
 Collerson (K. D.) 30, 31
 Collot (J.-Y.) 74
 Comiti (F.) 81
 Conin (M.) 67, 70
 Conrad (C. P.) 6, 198
 Consalvey (M.) 8
 Contreras-Reyes (E.) 8,
 27–29, 34, 202
 Cooper (A. K.) 36
 Corbi (F.) 218
 Coren (F.) 74
 Cornillon (P. C.) 14
 Costello (M. J.) 8
 Cotten (J.) 33
 Coulbourn (W. T.) 25
 Cournapeau (D.) 152
 Covault (J. A.) 43
 Cowan (D. S.) 25
 Crameri (F.) 149
 Crawford (B. R.) 242, 246
 Cressie (N. A. C.) 105
 Crippen (R.) 80
 Crosato (A.) 81
 Cruden (A. R.) 61, 218
 Crutchley (G. J.) 76, 77
 Cui (W.) 4
 Cui (X.) 7
 Cui (Z.) 29, 56
 Cull (J. P.) 37
 Culotta (R.) 67
 Cuomo (N. J.) 12
 Curtis (A. C.) 20, 57
 Cízková (H.) 41, 43, 63, 65,
 218

D

D'Arrigo (R.) 16
 Dada (O. A.) 29, 56
 Dahlin (T.) 249
 Dai (L.) 5
 Dale (D.) 155
 Daly (R. A.) 6
 Danovaro (R.) 26, 29, 232
 Dantas (E.) 81

Davey (F. J.) 74
 David (H. A.) 154
 Davies (H. L.) 37
 Davis (G. P.) 101, 108
 Davis (J. C.) 105, 125
 Davis (J. R.) 105, 116, 150
 De Batist (M.) 76, 77
 De Leo (F. C.) 246
 DeBari (S. M.) 65, 209
 Deen (T.) 253
 Delfiner (P.) 249
 Della Croce (N.) 232
 Delteil (J.) 74
 Demant (A.) 22–24
 DeMets (C.) 15, 63, 92, 93
 Den (N.) 67
 Deng (H.) 6
 Depner (J.) 6
 Deschamps (A.) 44, 59
 Dezileau (L.) 29
 Di Giacomo (D.) 80
 Di Giuseppe (E.) 6
 Dic (R.) 61
 Dierssen (H. M.) 4, 12
 Dimalanta (C. B.) 44
 Ding (H.) 47
 Ding (W.) 47
 Divins (D.) 42, 219
 Dixon (T. H.) 93
 Dmitriev (Y. I.) 23
 Doglioni (C.) 5
 Dokht (R. M. H.) 5
 Dolotov (Y. S.) 43, 198
 Dong (D.) 55, 198
 Doo (W.-B.) 45, 47, 48, 51,
 101
 Dorschel (B.) 79, 80
 Dosso (L.) 32
 Dostal (J.) 34, 56, 58
 Dougherty (D.) 112
 Dove (M. T.) 116
 Dowling (L.) 76, 77
 Downes (G.) 77
 Draut (A. E.) 18
 Drazen (J. C.) 30–32, 61, 215,
 218, 232, 233
 Dresen (G.) 68
 Drewes (H.) 18

Droettboom (M.) 155
 Dubinin (E. P.) 60
 Dubourg (V.) 152
 Duchesnay (E.) 152
 Duncan (R. A.) 30, 77
 Dunn (D. C.) 119
 Dupuy (C.) 34
 Duquesnoy (T.) 24
 Duren (R.) 80
 Durham (W. B.) 63
 Dutkiewicz (A.) 198
 Dziewonski (A. M.) 80, 88

E

Eagles (G.) 253
 Eakins (B. W.) 78, 80
 Eaton (J. W.) 114
 Eberhart-Phillips (D.) 76, 77
 Ebina (N.) 57
 Edwards (M.) 58
 Egloff (F.) 48
 Eissen (J.-P.) 32, 33
 Ekman (M.) 98
 Ekström (G.) 18, 80, 88
 Elek (I.) 133
 Elliott (T.) 60, 63, 218
 Ellis (S. M.) 73, 77
 Endo (D.) 66
 Engdahl (E. R.) 18, 80
 Ernst (W. G.) 190
 Escauriaza (C.) 81
 Eustace (R. M.) 60
 Everitt (B.) 171
 Ewart (A.) 30, 31
 Ewen (J.) 116
 Ewing (J. I.) 67
 Ewing (M.) 67

F

Faas (R. W.) 25
 Fabre (D.) 6
 Faccenda (M.) 7, 47
 Faccenna (C.) 6, 7, 42, 44, 49,
 57, 58, 61, 63, 66,
 77, 116
 Factor (J.) 6
 Fagereng (A.) 77
 Fahl (A.) 253
 Falahat (S.) 29

Falconer (R.) 79, 80
 Falvey (D. A.) 30
 Fan (J.) 44, 45, 55, 198
 Fan (L.) 57, 233
 Fang (J.) ... 31, 56, 215, 233
 Fang (P.) 47
 Farr (T. G.) 80
 Faure (K.) 76, 77, 249
 Faustino-Eslava (D. V.) ... 44
 Feigl (T.) 249, 253
 Fernandez (M. O.) 5
 Ferrari (L.) 28
 Ferrini (V.) 79, 80
 Filizola (N.) 81
 Fink (H.) 68
 Finlayson (D. M.) 37
 Firing (E.) 155
 Fischer (R. L.) 26
 Fisher (E. H.) 81
 Fitzgerald (P. G.) 35
 Flament (N.) 28
 Fletcher (M.) 5
 Fliedner (M.) 18
 Flores (O.) 24
 Flueh (E. R.) 27, 29
 Fodrie (F. J.) 18
 Fohrmann (M.) 77
 Forsyth (D. W.) 34, 40
 Forwick (M.) 249
 Fourré (E.) 32
 Francis (R.) ... 98, 101, 209
 Franco (A.) 24
 Fraser (G. D.) 18
 Freitag (R.) 15, 18
 Freymuth (H.) ... 60, 63, 218
 Friedman (J. R.) 30
 Friendly (M.) 160
 Frigge (M.) 162
 Fryer (G.) 18, 58
 Fryer (P.) 8, 58, 61
 Fu (Y.) 202
 Fujie (G.) 12, 65
 Fujii (T.) 30–32, 64, 215, 232
 Fujikura (K.) 60
 Fujio (S.) 29
 Fujioka (K.) ... 4, 6, 42, 53,
 55–57, 63, 65, 68,
 183, 209, 222, 246

Fujiwara (T.) . 44, 53, 55, 70,
 183, 222
 Fukao (Y.) 5, 15, 17
 Fukumori (H.) 14
 Fuller (I.) 81
 Fuller (M.) 4, 7, 44
 Funicello (F.) ... 6, 7, 42, 61,
 63, 66, 116, 198,
 218
 Furukawa (R.) 15
 Furuya (H.) 44, 66

G

Gaedicke (C.) 15, 18
 Gaillot (A.) 233
 Gaina (C.) 56
 Galbraith (E.) 29
 Galewsky (J.) 35
 Galicia (O.) 24
 Gallagher (S.) 5
 Galli (C.) 24
 Gallo (N. D.) 61
 Gambi (C.) 26, 29, 232
 Gamble (J. A.) 30
 Gamo (T.) 57
 Ganzey (L. A.) 17
 Gao (R.) 34
 Gao (X.) 41, 43
 Garaebiti (E.) 32, 33
 Garcia (E.) . 80, 98, 101, 209
 Gardner (J. V.) 57–59
 Garfunkel (Z.) 58
 Garzanti (E.) 150
 Gauger (S.) 249, 253
 Gauthier (P. J.) 32
 Ge (H.) 31, 56, 215
 GEBCO Committee 79
 Geersen (J.) 27, 29
 Gelman (A.) 167
 Gerringer (M. E.) 31, 32, 232,
 233
 Gerya (T. V.) 7
 Giacobbe (S.) 233
 Gieskes (J.) ... 6, 18, 61, 218
 Giletycz (S. J.) 83
 Gill (J.) 105
 Gille (S. T.) 43
 Giuseppe (E. D.) ... 42, 63, 66
 Glade (T.) 249

Glud (R. N.) 29, 30, 233
 Go (C. N. A.) 45
 Gohl (K.) 249, 253
 Goldfinger (C.) 7
 Golovand (O.) 14
 Golub (G. H.) 155
 Gong (L.) 233
 Gong (W.) 4, 66
 Gong (Z.) 57, 233
 González (M.) 25
 Goodlife (A. M.) 31
 Gorbatov (A.) ... 5, 15, 17, 34,
 190
 Gordeev (E.) 5, 15, 17
 Gordon (R. G.) 15, 63, 92, 93
 Gorini (M. A. V.) 6, 9
 Gorman (A. R.) 73, 77
 Gorospe (M. L.) ... 242, 246
 Gorshkov (G. S.) 15
 Gouretski (V.) 80
 Graf (W.) 81
 Gramfort (A.) 152
 Grand (S. P.) 8
 Granger (B. E.) 152
 Grebennikova (T. A.) 17
 Green II (H. W.) 63
 Gregorova (B.) 249
 Gregorová (B.) 242, 249
 Greinert (J.) 76, 77
 Gretskeya (E. V.) 17
 Grevemeyer (I.) 27, 29
 Griffiths (J. S.) 28, 29
 Griffiths (R. W.) 63
 Grisel (O.) 152
 Grobys (J.) 253
 Gross (M.) 135
 Gu (Y. J.) 5
 Gualtieri (C.) 81
 Gubbins (D.) 63
 Guo (L.) 5
 Guo (W.) 4
 Guo (Y.) 4, 66
 Guotana (J. M. R.) 44
 Gupta (A.) 70
 Gurnell (A. M.) 81
 Gurnis (M.) 56
 Gurvich (E. G.) ... 57, 58, 61
 Gusiakov (V. K.) 17

Gutiérrez Gutiérrez (O. Q.)
25
Gutscher (M.-A.) 42, 50
Guzmán-Speziale (M.) . . . 22
Géli (L.) 5
Gómez-González (J. M.) . 22

H

Haase (K. M.) 33, 205
Hackney (R.) 63
Haddock (S. H. D.) 8
Haines (J.) 72
Hall (R.) 4, 7, 42, 44
Halpin (P. N.) 119
Halterman (R. L.) 150
Hamada (C.) 253
Hang (T. T.) 56
Hao (K.-C.) 45, 47
Haraguchi (S.) 4, 42
Hardy (K.) 61
Haren (H.) van 61, 233
Harrington (A. N.) 150
Harris (J.) 80
Harris (P. T.) . 14, 43, 58, 218
Hartley (A. J.) 28, 29
Hartwell (A. M.) 233
Hashima (A.) 44, 66
Hashimoto (J.) 58
Hashimoto (K.) 37
Hass (C.) 249
Hauberg (S.) 114
Hauton (C.) 81
Hawkins (J. W.) 31
Haxby (F.) 219
Hayakawa (M.) 67
Hayakawa (T.) 69
Hayes (D. E.) 29
He (C.) 246
He (E.) 47
He (R.-W.) 34
Heesemann (M.) 29
Heezen (B. C.) 6, 32
Heiskanen (W.) 98
Heki (K.) 66
Helffrich (G. R.) 60, 66
Helu (S.) 30
Henrys (S. A.) 77
Henrys (S.) 76, 77
Hensley (S.) 80

Hergt (J. M.) 31
Herman (F.) 76
Hessler (R. R.) . 44, 211, 233
Heubeck (C.) 27, 29
Heuret (A.) . . 6–8, 42, 54, 61,
62, 116, 198, 218
Heyman (W. D.) 81
Hickey-Vargas (R.) . . . 20, 66
Hilde (T. W. C.) 44, 253
Hillenbrand (C. D.) 253
Hillenbrand (C.-D.) 249
Hilst (R. D.) van der . . . 8, 48,
58, 63, 80
Hino (R.) 35, 37, 65, 69
Hirano (N.) 60, 246
Hirano (S.) 43, 44
Hoaglin (D. C.) . 125, 155, 162
Hoernle (K.) 246
Hofmann H. Kafadar (K.)
154, 155
Hokanishi (N.) 66
Holbroock (W. S.) 18
Hollister (C. D.) 32
Holm (R. J.) 35
Holt (A. F.) . 44, 49, 57, 58, 77
Honda (M. C.) 50
Honza (E.) 37
Horiuchi (D.) 49, 209
Horleston (A. C.) 60, 66
Hornik (K.) 176
Horton (T.) 30
Hothorn (T.) 126
Hotta (H.) 4, 63, 67, 68
Howard (F.) 5
Howarth (J.) 76, 77
Howell (A.) 76, 77
Hsieh (H.-S.) 43
Hsu (S.-K.) . 29, 42, 43, 45, 47,
48, 51
Hsu (Y.-J.) 44, 83
Hsua (S.-K.) 101
Hu (D.) 47
Hu (Y.) 4
Huaman (D.) 33, 81
Huang (H. L.) 7
Huang (H.-C.) 45
Huang (Y.-S.) . . . 47, 48, 101
Hubble (T.) 5

Hudson (P. F.) 81
Huene (R.) . . . 18, 25, 34, 67,
104
Huijbregts (C.) 9
Hull (A.) 77
Hunen (J.) van 42, 63, 66
Hunter (J. D.) 152
Hunter (J.) 155
Husker (A.) 28
Husson (L.) 58
Hussong (D. M.) 66, 209
Huvenne (V. A. I.) 81
Hwang (R.-D.) 4, 42, 44
Hühnerbach (V.) 81

I

Ianniruberto (M.) 81
Ichikawa (K.) 67
Ichino (M. C.) . . 61, 215, 218
Ichiyama (Y.) 66
Iglewicz (B.) 162
IHO 3, 7, 80
Iidaka (T.) 43
Ikari (M. J.) 5
Ikehara (K.) 67, 68
Ikuta (R.) 48
Iliev (A. Y.) 17
Imai (T.) 48
Imaoka (T.) 50
Ingalls (S.) 6
Ingram (C. L.) . . 44, 211, 233
Inoue (T.) 52, 67
International Seismological
Centre 80
Irinio (T.) 68
Iryu (Y.) 43, 52, 65, 209
Isacks (B. L.) 30, 63, 70
Ishibashi (J.-i.) 57
Ishibashi (K.) 77
Ishida (K.) 44
Ishii (T.) . 4, 8, 42, 56, 58, 246
Ishizuka (H.) 50
Ishizuka (O.) . . . 4, 20, 42, 56,
63, 64, 66, 209, 246
Iso (N.) 77
Isse (T.) 43
Ito (A.) 12, 43
Ito (H.) 66
Ito (T.) 44, 66, 68

Itoh (M.) 51, 233
 Iton (T.) 67, 70
 Iwabuchi (Y.) ... 53, 55, 183,
 222
 Iwasaki (L. T.) 48
 Izbekov (P. E.) 15

J

Jaccard (S. L.) 29
 Jackson (R. J.) 37
 Jakobsson (M.) 79, 80
 Jamieson (A. J.) 8, 12, 29–32,
 60, 61, 215, 218,
 232, 233
 Janeka (K.) 249
 Jang (J.-P.) 51
 Janssen (C.) 68
 Jara (J.) 27, 29, 202
 Jarrard (R.) 61
 Jean-Baptiste (P.) 32
 Jelsma (H. A.) 35
 Jenkins (R. G.) 60
 Jensen (A.) 28
 Jiang (X.) 4, 66
 Jiao (R.) 76, 77
 Jicha (B. R.) 15, 246
 Jimenez-Diaz (A.) 7
 Johnson (R. W.) 37
 Jones (D. O. B.) 61, 215, 218
 Jones (E.) 105
 Jordan (T. H.) 43, 209
 Joron (J.-L.) 23
 Joshima (M.) 37, 63, 209
 Journal (A. G.) . . 9, 123, 125,
 165
 Joydas (T. V.) 233
 Judge (A. V.) 7
 Jung (W.-Y.) 7
 Just (J.) 253
 Jónasson (H.) 198

K

Kaiho (Y.) 70
 Kaistrenko (V. M.) 17
 Kalnins (L. M.) 7
 Kameda (J.) 5, 70
 Kameo (K.) 44, 66
 Kamimura (A.) 65, 69
 Kanagawa (K.) 67, 70

Kanamatsu (T.) . . 4, 6, 42, 57,
 67, 68, 70
 Kanamori (H.) 16, 58, 61, 68
 Kanazawa (T.) 43, 48, 65, 69
 Kaneda (H.) 44, 66
 Kaneda (K.) 64
 Kaneda (Y.) 12, 69, 70
 Kao (H.) 17, 43, 53
 Karato (S.) 58, 70, 74
 Karig (D. E.) 43, 44
 Karner (G. D.) 219
 Kasahara (A.) 68
 Kasahara (J.) 55, 65, 69
 Kasatkin (S. A.) 14, 16
 Katagiri (H.) 51, 233
 Katao (H.) 55
 Kato (M.) 43, 209
 Kawabata (H.) 50
 Kawabe (M.) 58
 Kawamura (K.) 51, 233
 Kay (R. W.) 18
 Kazakova (V.) 25
 Keene (J. B.) 37
 Kelemen (P. B.) 246
 Kendrick (E.) 30
 Kennett (B. L. N.) 34, 63, 190
 Kennett (J.) 6
 Kernigan (B. W.) 110
 Kharlamov (A. A.) 17
 Khomarudin (M. R.) 103
 Khomich (V. G.) 14, 16
 Kido (Y.) 69
 Kilgallen (N. M.) . 29, 30, 60,
 61
 Kilner (J.) 77
 Kim (S. H.) 6
 Kim (Y. M.) 222
 Kiminami (K.) 50
 Kimura (A.) 61, 233
 Kimura (G.) 67, 70
 Kinoshita (M.) 67, 70
 Kirby (J. F.) 7
 Kirby (S. H.) 18, 63, 205
 Kirkpatrick (J. D.) 70
 Kitagawa (S.) 8, 59
 Kitahashi (T.) ... 51, 60, 233
 Kitamura (A.) 48
 Kitazato (H.) . 29, 30, 53, 56,

183, 222, 233

Kitazawa (K.) 30
 Kiyokawa (S.) 64
 Kizaki (K.) 49
 Klaucke (I.) 61, 77, 233
 Klauco (M.) 242, 249
 Klauer (B.) 81
 Klauo (M.) 249
 Kleiber (C.) 127
 Klemperer (S. L.) 18
 Klingelhoef (F.) 42, 50, 51
 Kluesner (J. W.) 18
 Kniesz (K.) 14
 Knuth (D. E.) 177
 Kobayashi (K.) 4, 53, 55, 63,
 68, 183, 222
 Kober (M.) 249
 Kobrick (M.) 80
 Kodaira (S.) 8, 12, 48, 64, 67,
 69, 70
 Kohn (B. P.) 76, 77
 Kohn (R. J.) 176
 Koizumi (K.) 44
 Kojima (S.) 51, 60, 233
 Koleda (P.) 249
 Kong (X.) 5
 Kono (T.) 69
 Kopf (A. J.) 5
 Koresawa (S.) 55
 Kostoglodov (V.) 17, 28
 Kotowicz (D.) 253
 Kou (Q.) 233
 Kozyreva (N. P.) 17
 Krabbenhoef (A.) 77
 Kravchunovskaya (E. A.) 15,
 17
 Krbetschek (M.) 15
 Krishnakumar (P. K.) ... 233
 Kroenke (L. W.) 77
 Kruger (S.) 249
 Kruskal (J. B.) 175
 Ku (C.-Y.) 47
 Kuhlman (D.) 150
 Kuhn (G.) 249, 253
 Kukowski (N.) 77
 Kulm (L. D.) 27, 29, 42
 Kumar (M.) 80
 Kuo (B.-Y.) 51

Kurnosov (V. B.) 23, 25
Kusano (Y.) 20, 66

L

Laaha (G.) 9
LaBrecque (J. L.) 219
Lacey (N. C.) 29–31, 61
Lacharité (M.) 198
Ladd (J.) 25
Ladner (R.) 6
Laing (A.) 37
Lallemand (S.) .. 6–8, 29, 42,
44, 49, 51, 54,
57–59, 61–63, 66,
67, 77, 116, 218
Lamarche (G.) 74, 76, 77, 79,
80
Lamba (T. S.) 116
Lambeck (K.) 37
Lander (A. V.) 15
Lang (W.) 61, 233
Lanier (A.) 7
Larina (N. I.) 6
Larsen (B.) 211, 246
Larsen (W. A.) 155, 162
Larter (R. D.) 253
Lasserre (C.) 24
Lavier (L.) 47
Lavrenteva (A.) 14
Lawford (S.) 105
Lay (T.) 68
Le (T. B.) 81
Lebellegard (P.) 33
Lebrun (J.-F.) 74
Leduc (D.) 30, 31, 233
Lee (C.-S.) ... 42–44, 50, 51,
253
Lee (S.-M.) 44, 55, 222
Lees (J. M.) 17
Leeuw (J. d.) 176
Lehu (R.) 29
Leinweber (V.) 253
Lemenkov (V.) 249
Lemenkova (P.) ix, xvi–xviii,
xx–xxii, 4, 6, 9–16,
18–28, 32–35, 37,
44–46, 48–51,
53–56, 61, 63–65,
67, 70, 72–78, 81,

84, 85, 87, 94, 97,
98, 100, 101,
103–106, 109, 111,
114–116, 120–122,
125–130, 133–135,
137–144, 147–149,
155, 157, 158, 162,
168, 172, 173, 175,
176, 180, 182–184,
187, 188, 191–203,
205, 209–214, 216,
217, 219–223,
226–232, 234–236,
239–242, 244, 247,
249, 250, 252, 253

Lemoine (A.) 5
Lensch (N.) 253
Leonov (V. L.) 15
Leslie (R. B.) 28
Leslie (S. D.) 28, 29
Lester (R.) 47
Levin (L. A.) 18, 31, 61
Levy (L. S.) 116
Lewin (J.) 246
Lewis (J. C.) 67, 70
Lewis (K. B.) 76, 77
Li (C.) 4, 66
Li (F.) 47
Li (J.) 47
Li (Q.-S.) 34
Li (S.) 5
Li (T.-D.) 34
Li (X.) 233
Li (Y.) 57, 233
Liang (C.-W.) 42, 43, 45, 101
Lieberman (J. E.) 110
Lima (S. M.) 33
Lin (A. T. S.) 83
Lin (A. T.) 29
Lin (C.-R.) 51
Lin (J. W. B.) 148
Lin (J.) .. 34, 58–61, 63, 168,
246
Lin (J.-Y.) .. 4, 42, 43, 45, 48
Lin (S.-C.) 51
Lin (W.) 67, 70
Lin (X.) 47
Lin (Y.-C.) 43

Lin (Z.) 29, 56
Lindh (P.) 249
Lindley (I. D.) 35, 37
Linke (P.) 76, 77
Linley (T. D.) 29–32, 61, 232
Lisicyn (A. P.) 61, 198
Listanco (E. L.) 4
Lister (G. S.) 28, 34, 63
Litchfield (N.) 76, 77
Little (R. P.) 37
Little (T. A.) 76, 77
Litvin (V. M.) 4, 6
Liu (C.-S.) 47, 51
Liu (F.) 7
Liu (H.) 45, 57, 233
Liu (J.) 57, 233
Liu (M.) 7
Liu (R.) 233
Liu (X.) 56
Liu (Y.) 45, 56, 246
Lizarraide (D.) 18
Ljung (G. M.) 172
Lo (C.-L.) .. 4, 42, 45, 47, 48,
101
Loan (C. F.) van 155
Lodolo (E.) 74
Loher (M.) 6
Long (M. D.) 48
Long (P. J. G.) 115
Lonsdale (P. F.) 31, 104
Lopes (R. M. C.) 33
Lorenz (R. D.) 33
Louat (R.) 33, 246
Lu (B.) 56
Lu (J.) 56
Luchinina (A. A.) 17
Ludwig (T.) 190
Ludwig (W. J.) 67
Luis (J. F.) .. 48, 80, 83, 244,
248
Luna (J.) 24
Luo (M.) 6, 61, 218
Lv (X.) 56
Lyon-Caen (H.) 24
Lörz (A.-N.) 14, 30, 31

M

Ma (L.) 47
Ma (Y.-F.) 101

Macdonald (K. C.) 42
 MacFarlane (A.) 33
 Machiyama (H.) 52
 MacInnes (B. T.) 15, 17
 MacLeod (C. J.) 31
 Macmillan-Lawler (M.) .. 14, 43, 218
 Madariaga (R.) 5
 Madin (L. P.) 8
 Mahoney (J. J.) 77
 Maillet (P.) 33
 Maiorova (A. S.) 14
 Mair (P.) 176
 Makris (J.) 48
 Maksymowicz (A.)... 27, 29, 202
 Malys (S.) 98
 Malyutina (M.) 14
 Mammerickx (J.) 35
 Manea (M.) 28
 Manea (V. C.) 15, 28
 Manikandan (K. P.) 233
 Mann (P.) 73
 Mao (A.) 93
 Mao (L.) 81
 Mao (X.) 6
 Marcelot (G.) 34
 Marchese (E.) 81
 Marcon (Y.) 6, 233
 Mark (D. F.) 35
 Markovic (V.) 249
 Markovic (V.) 242, 249
 Markovi (V.) 249
 Marks (K. M.) 6, 79
 Marova (N. A.) 6
 Marques (A. C.) 5
 Marta-Almeida (M.) 148
 Martin (A. P.) .. 61, 215, 218
 Martin (J. B.) 18
 Martin (R. A.) 77
 Martin (T.) 5
 Martinez (F.) 58, 209
 Martins (L. O.) 61, 233
 Marton (F. C.) 39, 74
 Martínez-Díaz (J. J.) 25
 Maruyama (S.) 9, 44
 Masson (D. G.) 6, 81
 Masuda (H.) 57

Mather (A. E.) 28, 29
 Matsuda (H.) 52
 Matsuzaki (H.) 56
 Maulana (T.) 103
 Maury (R. C.) 23
 Maus (S.) 56
 Mayer (L. A.) ... 79, 80, 243
 Mayor (D. J.) 29, 30, 61, 215
 Mayr (C.) 253
 McCaffrey (R.) 77
 McCarthy (A.) 20, 66
 McClusky (S. C.) 37
 McColl (S.) 81
 McGearry (S.) 18
 McGill (R.) 155, 162
 McIntosh (K.) 47, 51
 Mckenzie (D.) 7
 McMillan (P. A.) 18
 McMillan (P. J.) . 31, 32, 232
 McNeill (L. C.) 67, 70
 McNutt (M. K.) 7
 McQueen (H.) 37
 Meckel (T. A.) 73
 Meijer (P. T.) 25
 Melanholina (E. N.) 61
 Melhuish (A.) 74
 Menard (H. W.) 4, 42
 Mendoza (G.) 18
 Mercier de Lepinay (B.) .. 77
 Merle (S. G.) 44, 57
 Metaxas (A.) 198
 Metrich (N.) 33
 Metzger (E. J.) 43
 Meysman (A. D. B.) 154
 Micallef (A.) 6
 Michel (V.) 152
 Michibayashi (K.) 8, 58
 Middelboe (M.) 29
 Middelkoop (H.) 81
 Mikhina (V.) 25
 Miller (M. S.) ... 34, 63, 190
 Milliman (J. D.) 9
 Millman (K. J.) 171
 Minami (H.) 50
 Mitchell (D.) 5
 Mitchell (N. C.) 6
 Miura (S.) 67, 69, 70
 Miyairi (Y.) 48

Miyauchi (T.) 44, 66, 77
 Mochizuki (K.) ... 35, 37, 55
 Molina (E.) 24
 Molnar (P.) 63, 70
 Monahan (D.) 79, 80
 Montagner (J.-P.) 43
 Montgomery (D. C.) 167
 Monzier (M.) 33
 Moore (G. F.) 8, 67, 104
 Moore (J. C.) 67, 70
 Morales (L.) 68
 Moran (C. L.) 31
 Morgan (P. J.) 37, 244
 Morgan (W. J.) ... 23, 58, 61
 Mori (H.) 61, 233
 Mori (J. J.) 70
 Mori (Y.) 50, 66
 Moritz (H.) 98
 Mosher (S.) 73
 Mosteller (F.) 125
 Mountjoy (J. J.) 76, 77
 Moyer (C. L.) 20, 57
 Murauchi (S.) 67
 Murdmaa (I.) 25
 Murphy (B.) 37
 Murray (H. W.) 18, 220
 Myers (J. L.) 105, 136
 Müller (D.) 89, 90
 Müller (R. D.) 28, 39, 56, 98, 101, 198, 209, 253
 Munker (C.) 246

N

Nagahashi (Y.) 68
 Nagaraja (H. N.) 154
 Naka (J.) 63, 209
 Nakakuki (T.) 253
 Nakamura (M.) 48
 Nakamura (T.) 56
 Nakamura (Y.) . 8, 44, 67, 70
 Nakanishi (A.) 64, 69
 Nakanishi (M.) 58, 63
 Nakao (A.) 68
 Nakata (T.) 43, 44
 Nakazawa (T.) ... 43, 65, 209
 Nanayama (F.) 15
 Naumann (R.) 68
 Neal (C. R.) 77
 Negishi (H.) 44

Neira (C.) 18
 Nelson (C. H.) 42
 Nelson (S.) 6
 Neprochnov (J. P.) 57
 Nesbitt (E. A.) 77
 Nettles (M.) 80, 88
 Netzeband (G. L.) 76, 77, 253
 Netzeband (G.) 253
 Ngiwsara (L.) 61, 233
 Nguyen (P. H.) 45
 Nicol (A.) 75, 77
 Nielsen (S. G.) 50
 Ning (J.) 246
 Nishimura (A.) .. 43, 65, 209
 Nishino (M.) 69
 Nishizawa (A.) ... 49, 55, 64, 209
 Niu (Y.) 31
 No (T.) 70
 Noguchi (I.) 67
 Nolasco (R.) 148
 Nomaki (H.) 60
 Normark (W. R.) 7, 58
 Norton (I.) 25
 NumPy Community 150, 153
 Nunnally (C. C.) 30

O

O'Callaghan (S.) 198
 O'Dor (R.) 233
 Oakley (A. J.) 8
 Oda (H.) 56, 246
 Oda (M.) 43, 50, 65, 209
 Ogawa (Y.) . 4, 60, 63, 65, 68, 246
 Ogura (K.) 50
 Oguri (K.) 29, 56
 Ohara (Y.) 4, 6, 8, 42, 44, 50, 56–58, 80, 209, 246
 Ohashi (Y.) 48
 Oikawa (M.) 49, 209
 Oike (K.) 44, 45
 Okal (E. A.) 63, 205
 Okamoto (A.) 8, 58
 Okamura (Y.) 49, 209
 Okino (K.) .. 4, 6, 34, 42, 44, 57, 222
 Okuyama (M.) 61, 233

Oliphant (T. E.) 105, 119, 152, 154
 Oliver (J.) 63
 Olu (K.) 233
 Oncken (O.) 61, 218
 Ondréas (H.) 233
 Ooka (T.) 43, 65, 209
 Orozco-Esquivel (T.) 28
 Osborn (K. J.) 8
 Oshika (J.) 66
 Oskin (M.) 80
 Osses (A.) 29
 Ota (Y.) 77
 Otero (P.) 148
 Otsuji (N.) 56
 Otsuki (K.) 209, 253
 Owens (W. B.) 20
 Ozawa (A.) 4

P

Pabst (S.) 190
 Paller (M.) 80
 Pan (B.) 4
 Papadopoulos (V. P.) 233
 Pape (T.) 6
 Papenberg (C.) 77
 Parajka (J.) 9
 Parello (F.) 32
 Park (J.-O.) 69
 Parsiegla (N.) 253
 Passos (A.) 152
 Pathom-aree (W.) ... 61, 233
 Payot (B. D.) 44
 Pebesma (E.) 9
 Pecher (I. A.) 76, 77
 Pedley (K.) 76, 77
 Pedoja (K.) 15
 Pedregosa (F.) 152
 Pelc-Mieczkowska (R.) . 249
 Peliz (A.) 148
 Pelletier (B.) 32–34, 246
 Pereira (J.) 148
 Perepelov (A. B.) 15
 Peresypkin (V. I.) 233
 Perez (F.) 152
 Perez (J. S.) 43, 44
 Perktold (J.) 175, 176
 Perlin (N.) 119
 Perrot (M.) 152

Petersen (C. J.) 77
 Peterson (P.) 105
 Petitat (M.) 249
 Petterson (M. G.) 77
 Peyret (M.) 218
 Peña (R. E.) 44
 Pflanz (D.) 15
 Pham (T. T.) 45
 Pharaoh (A.) 6
 Philipps-Bussau (K.) 14
 Phillips (D. A.) 33
 Pierre (G.) 33, 81
 Piertney (S. B.) ... 30, 31, 60
 Pillet (R.) 33, 34, 56
 Pineda (V.) 28, 29
 Pinegina (T. K.) 15, 17
 Pinheiro (A.) 81
 Plank (T.) 70
 Pleijel (F.) 8
 Pletterbauer (F.) 81
 Polissar (P. J.) 70
 Pollnac (R. B.) 242, 246
 Ponomareva (V. V.) 15
 Porras (O.) 24
 Portnyagin (M. V.) .. 15, 246
 Power (W.) 77
 Praeg (D.) 6
 Prasad (K. V. K. K.) 116
 Prettenhofer (P.) 152
 Price (R. C.) 30
 Priede (I. G.) 30, 215
 Prince (R. A.) 29
 Promper (C.) 249
 Pronin (A. A.) 28
 Puga-Bernabeu (A.) 5
 Punongbayan (R. S.) .. 44, 45
 Pushharovskij (J. M.) . 57, 61
 Pysklywec (R. N.) 73
 Python Development Team
 148, 247
 Pérez (M. E.) 18
 Pérez-Gussinyé (M.) 7

Q

Qin (Q.) 57, 233
 Qiu (X.) 47
 Queaño (K. L.) 44
 Qurban (M. A.) 233

R

R Development Core Team
119, 127, 131, 142
Rabaoui (L.) 233
Rabinowitz (H. S.) 70
Rackebbrandt (N.) 253
Raitt (R. W.) 26
Ramos (N. T.) 44
Ranero (C. R.) 244
Rangin (C.) 22–24
Rapinski (J.) 249
Rapp (R. H.) 99
Rathburn (A. E.) 18
Ratzov (G.) 29
Razjigaeva (N. G.) 17
Recy (J.) 30
Regalla (C.) 67, 70
Regelous (M.) ... 30, 31, 205
Reichel (T.) 27, 29
Reichert (C.) 27
Reid (W. D. K.) 12
Remitti (F.) 70
Renkin (M. L.) 15
Requena (J.) 24
Resentini (A.) 150
Resing (J. A.) 44, 57
Reutter (K.-J.) 28
Rew (R. K.) 101, 108
Reyes (A. G.) 76, 249
Reyners (M.) 76, 77
Rhede (D.) 68
Ribeiro (J. M.) 209
Richard (C.) 30
Richmond (L.) 253
Riedel (M. R.) ... 58, 70, 205
Rijsingen (E.) van 218
Ripper (I. D.) 37
Ritchie (H.) 30, 60
Robbins (A. D.) ... 110, 112
Roberts (J. J.) 119
Roberts (N. M.) ... 105, 116,
150
Robin (C.) 32, 33
Robinson (R.) 77
Robison (B. H.) 8
Robles (V.) 24
Rodriguez (E.) 80
Roest (W. R.) ... 28, 39, 253

Romankevich (E. A.) ... 233
Romer (R. H. W.) 205
Romero (J.) 24
Romsos (C.) 7
Rosen (P. A.) 80
Rosenbaum (G.) 35
Rosgen (D. L.) 246
Ross (D. A.) 25, 104
Rossum (G.) van ... 148, 247
Rost (D.) 190
Roth (L.) 80
Rothery (D. A.) 242
Roulard (D.) 56
Roult (G.) 56
Rouse (G. W.) 8
Rovere (M.) 79
Rowden (A. A.) 8, 29–31, 61,
76, 77, 215, 218,
233, 246
Rowe (C. D.) 70
Rowe (C.) 70
Royden (L. H.) 44, 49, 57, 58,
77
Ruangrassamee (A.) 45
Rubie (D. C.) ... 63, 74, 205
Rudenko (M. V.) 6
Ruff (L. J.) 16, 61, 74
Ruhl (H. A.) ... 61, 215, 218
Ruiz (J.) 7
Ruiz-Villarreal (M.) ... 148
Rupp (J.) 14, 43, 218
Ruppert (N. A.) 17
Ryan (H. F.) 18
Römer (M.) 6

S

Sacchi (M. D.) 5
Saelem (N.) 45
Saffer (D. M.) 5, 67, 70
Saha (P.) 70
Sahling (H.) 6
Saito (K.) 60, 246
Saito (S.) 67, 70
Sakairi (N.) 61, 233
Salah (M. K.) 43
Samowitz (I. R.) 34, 40
Sandilands (M.) 9, 120
Sandwell (D. T.) 6–8, 78, 80,
83, 86, 98, 101,

102, 209

Sangawa (A.) 43, 44
Sano (T.) 64
Sano (Y.) 57
Santos (A.) 45, 81
Santos Ferreira (C.) dos ... 6
Sarmiento-Rojas (L. F.) .. 28
Sasaki (K.) 52
Sasaki (T.) 67
Satake (K.) 15
Sato (H.) 44, 66
Sato (T.) .. 43, 44, 52, 55, 65,
66, 69, 209
Sattarova (V. V.) 29
Savage (H. M.) 70
Savostin (L. A.) 14, 190
Savov (I. P.) 20, 66, 190
Scharroo (R.) 48, 80, 83, 244,
248
Schellart (W. P.) .. 12, 28, 63
Schenke (H. W.) 80, 85, 103,
249, 253
Scherwath (M.) 29
Scheuber (E.) 28
Schiano (P.) 33
Schiller (J.) 81
Schlacher (R.) 8
Schloter (P.) 253
Schlueter (P.) 253
Schluter (P.) 253
Schmidt-Effing (R.) 24
Schmitt (A. K.) 15
Schmitt (T.) 79
Schnurle (P.) 51
Scholl (D. W.) 18, 34
Schubert (G.) 22, 58
Schutz (B. E.) 30
Sclater (J. G.) 15
Scorpio (V.) 81
Sdroliasa (M.) ... 28, 39, 253
Seabold (S.) 175, 176
Seal (D.) 80
Seekings (L. C.) 4, 9
Seliverstov (N. I.) ... 17, 18
Sella (G. F.) 93
Sen (A.) 233
Senda (R.) 66
Senger (K.) 77

Senna (H.) 56, 246
 Seno (T.) 9, 43, 44, 58, 63, 65
 Serri (G.) 56, 58
 Seton (M.) 28, 56
 Seward (D.) 76, 77
 Shaffer (S.) 80
 Shank (T. M.) .. 61, 215, 218
 Shen (C.) 37
 Shepard (F. P.) 6, 246
 Shephard (G.) 56
 Sherman (K.) 14
 Shevchenko (A. Y.) ... 23, 25
 Shi (X.) 6, 61, 218
 Shi (Y.) 34, 45
 Shigeno (K.) 15
 Shimada (J.) 80
 Shimamura (H.) 48
 Shimanaga (M.) . 51, 60, 233
 Shimizu (K.) 66
 Shimokawa (K.) 15
 Shinjo (R.) 50
 Shinmura (T.) 66
 Shinohara (M.) 35, 37, 65, 69
 Shiobara (H.) 43, 65
 Shipboard Scientific Party 24,
 25, 195
 Shipley (N.) 15
 Shiraiwa (T.) 16
 Shirao (M.) 64
 Shishikura (M.) 48
 Shito (A.) 43
 Shor (G. G.) 104
 Shu (Y.) 50
 Shukuno (H.) 63, 209
 Shyu (J. B. H.) 83
 Sibuet (J.-C.) .. 42, 43, 47, 51
 Silver (E. A.) 35
 Sims (K. W. W.) 246
 Singer (B. S.) 15
 Singh (R.) 30
 Sirisansaneeyakul (S.) ... 61,
 233
 Skillingstad (E. D.) 119
 Skøien (J. O.) 9
 Smirnov (Y. B.) 17
 Smith (C. R.) 246
 Smith (I. E. M.) 30
 Smith (W. H. F.) . 6–8, 48, 78,

80, 83, 86, 98,
 101–104, 209, 244,
 248, 249
 Snaith (H.) 79, 80
 Snieder (R. K.) 63
 Snyder (G. L.) 18
 Solan (M.) 215
 Solidum (R.) 44
 Solomina (O.) 16
 Soloviev (S. L.) 45
 Song (A. T. R.) 83
 Soofi (K.) 80, 101
 Sorbadere (F.) 33
 Sosson (M.) 74
 Spagnolo (M.) 14
 Spakman (W.) 42, 50
 Spencer (K.) 65, 209
 Spina (L.) 33
 Srivastava (S.) 116
 Stagpoole (V.) 80
 Stankov (U.) 242, 249
 Stehlikova (J.) 29
 Stein (S.) . 15, 39, 63, 74, 93,
 205
 Stephan (J.-F.) 23
 Stern (L. A.) 63
 Stern (R. J.) 63, 209
 Stern (T.) 77
 Stetson-Lee (T.) ... 105, 116,
 150
 Stewart (A. L.) .. 31, 32, 232
 Stewart (H. A.) 233
 Stewart (R. B.) 30
 Stolz (A.) 37
 Storchack (D. A.) 80
 Stouthamer (E.) 81
 Stowell (J.) 30
 Stransky (B.) 14
 Strasser (M.) 68
 Straub (S. M.) 64
 Strick (R. J. P.) 246
 Strutz (T.) 175
 Stuart (J. E.) 37
 Stutz (M.) 110
 Suarez (G.) 17
 Suckro (S.) 253
 Sudo (M.) 4, 20, 66
 Suetova (I. A.) 249

Sugioka (H.) 43
 Sugrobov (V. M.) 17
 Sun (C.) 56
 Sun (Y.) 4, 66
 Sun (Z.) 47, 57, 233
 Suo (Y.) 5
 Sutherland (R.) ... 72, 74, 77
 Suwarsono 103
 Suyehiro (K.) 35, 37
 Suzuki (K.) 66
 Suzuki (S.) 44
 Svensson (M.) 249
 Swan (A. R. H.) 9, 120
 Symonds (P.) 73
 Syvitski (J. P. M.) 9
 Sá (J. P. M.) de 105

T

Tackley (P.) 34
 Taddeucci (J.) 33
 Tagami (T.) 4
 Tagawa (M.) 253
 Taira (A.) 70
 Taira (K.) 8, 59
 Takahashi (M.) .. 43, 65, 209
 Takahashi (N.) 12, 64, 67, 69,
 70
 Takayanagi (H.) .. 43, 52, 65,
 209
 Tal (M.) 81
 Talandier (J.) 33
 Talsma (A.) 56
 Tam (T. A. I.) 44
 Tamaki (K.) 63
 Tamura (A.) 66
 Tamura (C.) 55
 Tamura (Y.) 63, 209
 Tan (H.) 37
 Tang (X.) 29, 56
 Tani (K.) 64
 Tani (S.) 79
 Taniguchi (H.) 65
 Tappin (D. R.) 30, 31
 Tapster (S.) 35
 Tardy (M.) 22–24
 Tasaka (M.) 8, 58
 Taylor (B.) . 8, 30, 31, 35, 64,
 65, 209
 Taylor (F. W.) 30, 33

Taylor (R. N.) 63, 209
 Taylor (R.) 60, 63, 218
 Tejero (R.) 7
 Teng (T.-L.) 4, 9
 Tharp (M.) 6
 Theberge (A. E. J.) . 4, 12, 57
 Theiling (C.) 246
 Theunissen (T.) ... 42, 50, 51
 Thirion (B.) 152
 Thornburg (T. M.) 27
 Thovert (J.-F.) 33, 81
 Tian (J.) 57, 233
 Tiao (G. C.) 154, 171
 Tibaldi (A.) 246
 Tiffin (D. L.) 37
 Tikhonchuk (E. A.) 17
 Tikoff (B.) 105, 116, 150
 Timm (N. H.) 154
 Tobino (H. J.) 67, 70
 Toki (T.) 57
 Tokmakian (R.) 43
 Tokuyama (H.) 65
 Tomaszewski (D.) 249
 Tomiyama (N.) 55
 Tonarini (S.) 190
 Tong (H.) 6
 Torii (Y.) 205
 Torres (M.) 6
 Torsvik (T.) 56
 Tournon (J.) 22–25
 Townend (J.) 77
 Toy (V. G.) 28, 70
 Tregoning (P.) 37
 Treml (E. A.) 119
 Trevethan (M.) 81
 Trimmer (R.) 6
 Trivedi (P. K.) 125
 Tryon (M.) 18
 Tsai (C.-H.) 51, 101
 Tsai (M.-T.) 42, 44
 Tsai (Y.-C.) 44
 Tsuboi (T.) 56
 Tsuji (T.) 67, 70
 Tsukanov (N. V.) 15, 18
 Tsumura (N.) 44, 66
 Tsunogai (U.) 57
 Tsuru (T.) 69
 Tsutsumi (H.) 43, 44

Tsutsumi (Y.) 64
 Tu (Y.) 48
 Tukey (J. W.) . 125, 155, 162
 Turcotte (D. L.) 22
 Turko (N. N.) 6
 Turnbull (J.) 76, 77
 Turner (M.) 56
 Turnewitsch (R.) 29
 Turtle (E. P.) 33
 Tyler (P. A.) 81

U

Udintsev (G. B.) ... 198, 253
 Uenzelmann-Neben (G.) 253
 Uijttewaal (W. S. J.) 81
 Ujiie (K.) 70
 Ujiié (Y.) 50
 Umland (J.) 80
 Underwood (M. B.) .. 67, 70
 Urabe (T.) 48
 Uruski (C.) 72
 Us (M.) 44
 Usami (K.) 68
 Ushakov (S. A.) 60
 Ushakova (L. A.) 249
 Uto (K.) 64
 Uyeda (S.) 58, 66, 209

V

V. (L.) 253
 Valenzuela (R. W.) 28
 Vallier (T. L.) 30
 Van Ark (E. M.) 39, 74
 Van Wess (J. D.) 28
 Vanderplas (J.) 152
 Vanreusel (A.) 26, 29
 Varoquaux (G.) 152
 Vermeesch (P.) 150
 Vervoort (J. D.) 246
 Vetrov (A. A.) 233
 Vicenzi (E. P.) 190
 Viglione (A.) 9
 Vignerresse (J. L.) 116
 Villaseor (A.) 244
 Vils (F.) 60, 63, 218
 Vita-Finzi (C.) 28
 Voelker (D.) 5, 29
 Vogt (P. R.) 7
 Voight (J. R.) 233

Von Rosenberg (J.) 6
 Vu (P. H.) 45
 Völker (D.) 27, 29

W

Wada (H.) 56
 Wadge (G.) 249
 Wakita (K.) 67
 Walker (S. L.) 44, 57
 Wallace (G.) 6
 Wallace (L. M.) 75, 77
 Wang (C.) 56
 Wang (C.-C.) 51
 Wang (F.) 4
 Wang (H.) 77
 Wang (H.-F.) 48, 101
 Wang (J.) 37, 47
 Wang (L.) 233
 Wang (M.) 57, 233
 Wang (P.) 5
 Wang (S. M.) 45
 Wang (T. K.) 42, 47, 50
 Wang (X.) 50, 57, 233
 Wang (Y.) 5
 Wang (Z.) 41, 43, 47
 Warner (J. C.) 119
 Warren (B. A.) 20
 Watts (A. B.) 7, 58, 78
 Watts (D. G.) 162
 Watts (K. E.) 15
 Wdınrebe (W.) 244
 Weatherall (P.) 6, 79, 80
 Webb (L. E.) 35
 Webb (T. J.) 233
 Webster (J.) 5
 Wefer (G.) 68
 Wehbring (R.) 114
 Wei (Y.) 233
 Weibenga (W. A.) 36
 Weinberger (P. J.) 110
 Weinrebe (W.) 27, 29, 77, 202
 Weinstock (C. L.) 232
 Weiss (R.) 152
 Weissel (J. K.) 93, 219
 Welber (M.) 81
 Well (A. D.) 105, 136
 Wells (R. E.) 18
 Wen (S.) 43, 53
 Wendt (J. I.) 30, 31

Wenzhöfer (F.) . . . 29, 30, 233
 Werner (M.) 80
 Werner (R.) 246
 Wessel (P.) 48, 78, 80, 83, 86,
 101, 103, 104, 244,
 248, 249
 Westfall (P.) 126
 Weston (J.) 80
 Wheat (C. G.) 233
 White (T.) 116
 Whiteway (T.) 43, 58
 Wickham (H.) 154, 155
 Widiyantoro (S.) . 5, 8, 15, 17
 Wiedicke (M.) 27, 29
 Wiens (D. A.) 56
 Wigley (R.) 79
 Wiles (G.) 16
 Willbold (M.) . . . 60, 63, 218
 Williams (A.) 8
 Williams (L. J.) 165
 Wilson (K.) 77
 Wintersteller (P.) 6
 Wirth (R.) 68
 Wobbe (F.) . . . 48, 80, 83, 244,
 248
 Woelki (D.) 205
 Wolf (F.) 76, 77
 Wolf (R.) 24
 Wolff (G. A.) 81
 Wolfson-Schwehr (M.) . . . 70
 Wood (R. A.) 74
 Wood (W. T.) 77
 Woodhead (J. D.) . . . 31, 209
 Woodhouse (J. H.) 80
 Worthington (T. J.) 30
 Wright (D. J.) 31, 81
 Wright (J.) 242
 Wu (F. T.) 44, 45
 Wu (G.) 37
 Wu (S.) 41, 43, 44
 Wu (T.-R.) 45
 Wu (W.-N.) 48
 Wu (X.) 4

Wue (H.-Y.) 67, 70
 Wörner (G.) 246

X

Xing (J.) 4, 66
 Xu (H.) 57, 233
 Xu (X.) 50
 Xu (Y.) 29, 31, 56, 215
 Xuan (S.) 37

Y

Yagi (Y.) 68
 Yale (M. M.) 80, 101
 Yamada (Y.) 67, 70
 Yamaguchi (T.) 52
 Yamaki (S.) 15
 Yamamoto (S.) 44, 66
 Yamamoto (Y.) 67, 70
 Yamasaki (M.) 50
 Yamashiro (T.) 59
 Yamazaki (T.) . . . 43, 65, 209
 Yamazaki (Y.) 18, 68
 Yanagimoto (D.) . . . 8, 29, 59
 Yancey (P. H.) . . 61, 215, 218,
 232, 233
 Yang (A.) 202
 Yang (C.-C.) 44
 Yang (G.) 37
 Yang (H.) 246
 Yang (J.) 29, 56
 Yang (P.-H.) 116
 Yang (Y.) 29, 56
 Yanovski (F. A.) 17
 Yayanos (A. A.) . 31, 44, 211,
 233
 Yeh (Y.-C.) 45, 51
 Yeh (Y.-L.) 43, 53
 Yogodzinski (G. M.) . . 20, 66,
 246
 Yokoyama (Y.) 48
 Yonshima (S.) 35, 37
 Yoshida (M.) . . 15, 18, 39, 42,
 77, 218, 222
 Yoshii (T.) 67

Yoshikawa (S.) 34
 Yoshioka (S.) 205
 Yoshizawa (K.) 43
 Yu (G.-K.) 4, 42–44
 Yu (H.) 29, 56
 Yu (H.-S.) 47, 246
 Yu (P.) 5
 Yu (Q.-Y.) 116
 Yu (S.-B.) 44, 83
 Yuasa (M.) 63, 64, 209
 Yuen (D. A.) 45, 58, 70
 Yulianto (F.) 103
 Yumul (G. P. J.) 44

Z

Zack (T.) 190
 Zahirovic (S.) 56
 Zayakin (Y. A.) 17
 Zeileis (A.) 127
 Zeng (Z.) 50
 Zenkevich (N. L.) 6
 Zhan (W.) 60, 61, 63
 Zhang (B.) 6
 Zhang (C. L.) 57, 233
 Zhang (D.) 116
 Zhang (F.) 60, 61, 63
 Zhang (G.) 55, 198
 Zhang (J.) 47
 Zhang (L.) 246
 Zhang (X.-H.) 57, 233
 Zhang (Y.) 5
 Zhang (Y.-Z.) 57, 233
 Zhang (Z.) 55, 198
 Zhao (D. P.) 7
 Zhao (D.) 44, 45
 Zhao (M.) 47
 Zheng (H.-W.) 34
 Zhou (Z.) 34, 58, 59, 168
 Zhu (G.) 34
 Ziebis (W.) 18
 Zimmermann (K.) 253
 Zonenshain (L.) 14, 190
 Zou (L.) 57, 233
 Zou (Y.) 6

Bibliography

- ¹L. D. Abbott, E. A. Silver, and J. Galewsky, “Structural evolution of a modern arc-continent collision in Papua New Guinea”, *Tectonics* **13**, 1007–1034 (1994).
- ²H. Abdi and L. J. Williams, “Principal component analysis”, *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics* **2**, 433–459 (2010).
- ³G. V. Agapova, L. Y. Budanova, N. L. Zenkevich, N. I. Larina, V. M. Litvin, N. A. Marova, M. V. Rudenko, and N. N. Turko, “Geofizika okeana. Geofizika okeanskogo dna”, in, edited by Neprochnov (Nauka, Moscow, USSR, 1979) Chap. Geomorphology of the Ocean Floor, pp. 150–205.
- ⁴B. N. P. Agarwal and S. Srivastava, “FORTRAN codes to implement enhanced local wave number technique to determine the depth and location and shape of the causative source using magnetic anomaly. ”, *Computers & Geosciences* **34**, 1843–1849 (2008).
- ⁵A. V. Aho, B. W. Kernigan, and P. J. Weinberger, *The AWK Programming Language* (New York, NY: Addison-Wesley, 1988), ISBN: 0-201-07981-X.
- ⁶A. V. Aho, B. W. Kernigan, and P. J. Weinberger, “AWK-A Pattern Scanning and Processing Language”, *Software Practice and Experience* **9**, 267–279 (1979).
- ⁷A. Alden, *Here are the Sizes of Tectonic or Lithospheric Plates*, Retrieved from <https://www.thoughtco.com/sizes-of-tectonic-or-lithospheric-plates-4090143>, 2019, November 4, 2019.
- ⁸D. V. Alexeiev, C. Gaedicke, N. V. Tsukanov, and R. Freitag, “Collision of the Kronotskiy arc at the NE Eurasia margin and structural evolution of the Kamchatka-Aleutian junction”, *International Journal of Earth Sciences (Geologische Rundschau)* **95**, 977–993 (2006).
- ⁹J. A. Alvarez-Gómez, O. Q. Gutiérrez Gutiérrez, Í. Aniel-Quiroga, and M. González, “Tsunamigenic potential of outer-rise normal faults at the Middle America trench in Central America”, *Tectonophysics* **574–575**, 133–143 (2012).
- ¹⁰J. A. Alvarez-Gómez, P. T. Meijer, J. J. Martínez-Díaz, and R. Capote, “Constraints from finite element modeling on the active tectonics of northern Central America and the Middle America Trench”, *Tectonics* **27**, 1–12 (2008).
- ¹¹C. Amante and B. W. Eakins, *ETOPO1 1 Arc-Minute Global Relief Model: Procedures, Data Sources and Analysis* (NOAA Technical Memorandum, 2009), p. 19.
- ¹²M. E. Anderson, “Studies on the Zoarcidae (Teleostei: perciformes) of the Southern Hemisphere. XI. A new species of *Pyrolycus* from the Kermadec Ridge”, *Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand* **36**, 63–68 (2006).
- ¹³M. Ando, A. Kitamura, Y. Tu, Y. Ohashi, T. Imai, M. Nakamura, R. Ikuta, Y. Miyairi, Y. Yokoyama, and M. Shishikura, “Source of high tsunamis along the southernmost Ryukyu trench inferred from tsunami stratigraphy”, *Tectonophysics* **722**, 265–276 (2018).
- ¹⁴M. V. Angel, “Ocean trench conservation”, *Environmentalist* **2**, 1–17 (1982).
- ¹⁵C. F. Ansley and R. J. Kohn, “Estimation, filtering, and smoothing in state space models with incompletely specified initial conditions”, *Annals of Statistics* **13**, 1286–1316 (1985).
- ¹⁶K. Arai, H. Matsuda, K. Sasaki, H. Machiyama, T. Yamaguchi, T. Inoue, T. Sato, H. Takayanagi, and Y. Iryu, “A newly discovered submerged reef on the Miyako-Sone platform, Ryukyu Island Arc, Northwestern Pacific”, *Marine Geology* **373**, 49–54 (2016).

- ¹⁷K. Arai, T. Inoue, K. Ikehara, and T. Sasaki, “Episodic subsidence and active deformation of the forearc slope along the Japan Trench near the epicenter of the 2011 Tohoku Earthquake”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **408**, 9–15 (2014).
- ¹⁸D. Arisa and K. Heki, “Transient crustal movement in the northern Izu-Bonin arc starting in 2004: A large slow slip event or a slow back-arc rifting event?”, *Tectonophysics* **682**, 206–213 (2016).
- ¹⁹F. Arróspide, L. Mao, and C. Escauriaza, “Morphological evolution of the Maipo River in central Chile: Influence of instream gravel mining”, *Geomorphology* **306**, 182–197 (2018).
- ²⁰J. Aubouin, J. Azéma, J. C. Carfantan, A. Demant, C. Rangin, M. Tardy, and J. Tournon, “The Middle America Trench in the Geological Framework of Central America”, *Deep Sea Drilling Project Reports and Publications* **67**, 747–755 (1982).
- ²¹J. M. Auzende, B. Pelletier, and J.-P. Eissen, “Back-arc Basin: Tectonics and Magmatism”, in, edited by B. Taylor (New York, New York, 1995) Chap. The North Fiji Basin: geology, structure and geodynamic evolution, pp. 139–175.
- ²²J. Azéma and J. Tournon, “The Guatemalan Margin, the Nicoya Complex, and the Origin of the Caribbean Plate”, *Deep Sea Drilling Project Reports and Publications* **40**, 739–745 (1982).
- ²³Y. Bai, Y. Yamazaki, and K. F. Cheung, “Amplification of drawdown and runup over Hawaii’s insular shelves by tsunami N-waves from mega Aleutian earthquakes”, *Ocean Modelling* **124**, 61–74 (2018).
- ²⁴R. A. Bailey, *Design of Comparative Experiments* (Cambridge University Press, 2008), ISBN: 978-0-521-68357-9.
- ²⁵S. L. Baldwin, P. G. Fitzgerald, and L. E. Webb, “Tectonics of the New Guinea Region”, *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* **40**, 495–520 (2012).
- ²⁶P. F. Ballance, “Evolution of the upper Cenozoic magmatic arc and plate boundary in northern New Zealand”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **28**, 356–370 (1976).
- ²⁷P. Banasik and K. Bujakowski, “The Use of Quasigeoid in Leveling Through Terrain Obstacles”, *Reports on Geodesy and Geoinformatics* **104**, 57–64 (2017).
- ²⁸M. Barazangi, B. L. Isacks, and J. Oliver, “Propagation of seismic waves through and beneath the lithosphere that descends under the Tonga island arc”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **77**, 952–958 (1972).
- ²⁹D. H. N. Barker, R. Sutherland, S. Henrys, and S. Bannister, “Geometry of the Hikurangi subduction thrust and upper plate, North Island, New Zealand”, *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems* **10**, 1–23 (2009).
- ³⁰J. D. Barnes and S. M. Straub, “Chlorine stable isotope variations in Izu Bonin tephra: Implications for serpentine subduction”, *Chemical Geology* **272**, 62–74 (2010).
- ³¹P. M. Barnes, G. Lamarche, J. Bialas, S. Henrys, I. A. Pecher, G. L. Netzeband, J. Greinert, J. J. Mountjoy, K. Pedley, and G. J. Crutchley, “Tectonic and geological framework for gas hydrates and cold seeps on the Hikurangi subduction margin, New Zealand”, *Marine Geology* **272**, 26–48 (2010).
- ³²P. M. Barnes and B. Mercier de Lepinay, “Rates and mechanics of rapid frontal accretion along the very obliquely convergent southern Hikurangi margin, New Zealand”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **102**, 24931–24952 (1997).
- ³³I. D. Barr and M. Spagnolo, “Understanding controls on cirque floor altitudes: Insights from Kamchatka”, *Geomorphology* **248**, 1–13 (2015).
- ³⁴D. M. Bates and D. G. Watts, *Nonlinear Regression Analysis and Its Applications*, 2nd ed. (John Wiley & Sons, Inc. A Wiley-Interscience Publication, New York, 1988), 102 pp., ISBN: 0470139005.
- ³⁵C. B. Bautista, M. L. P. Bautista, K. Oike, F. T. Wu, and R. S. Punongbayan, “A new insight on the geometry of subducting slabs in northern Luzon, Philippines”, *Tectonophysics* **339**, 279–310 (2001).
- ³⁶A. Beaumais, H. Bertrand, G. Chazot, L. Dosso, and C. Robin, “Temporal magma source changes at Gaua volcano, Vanuatu island arc”, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* **322**, 30–47 (2016).
- ³⁷J. Beavan and J. Haines, “Contemporary horizontal velocity and strain rate fields of the Pacific-Australian plate boundary zone through New Zealand”, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* **106**, 741–770 (2001).

- ³⁸D. M. Beazley, *Python essential reference* (Addison-Wesley Professional, 2009).
- ³⁹L. Beccaluva, G. Serri, and J. Dostal, “Geochemistry of Volcanic Rocks From the Mariana, Yap and Palau Trenches Bearing on the Tectono-Magmatic Evolution of the Mariana Trench-Arc-Backarc System”, *Developments in Geotectonics* **21**, 481–508 (1986).
- ⁴⁰T. Bechter, K. Baumann, S. Birk, F. Bolik, W. Graf, and F. Pletterbauer, “LaRiMo - A simple and efficient GIS-based approach for large-scale morphological assessment of large European rivers”, *Science of the Total Environment* **628–629**, 1191–1199 (2018).
- ⁴¹S. L. Beck and L. J. Ruff, “Rupture process of the great 1963 Kurile Islands earthquake sequence: asperity interaction and multiple event rupture”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **92**, 123–138 (1987).
- ⁴²J. J. Becker, D. T. Sandwell, W. H. F. Smith, J. Braud, B. Binder, J. Depner, D. Fabre, J. Factor, S. Ingalls, S. H. Kim, R. Ladner, K. M. Marks, S. Nelson, A. Pharaoh, R. Trimmer, J. Von Rosenberg, G. Wallace, and P. Weatherall, “Global bathymetry and elevation data at 30 arc seconds resolution: SRTM30_PLUS”, *Marine Geodesy* **32**, 355–371 (2009).
- ⁴³J. S. Behrmann, S. D. Leslie, and S. C. Cande, “Tectonics and geology of spreading ridge subduction at the Chile Triple Junction: A synthesis of results from Leg 141 of the Ocean Drilling Program”, *Geologische Rundschau* **83**, 832–852 (1994).
- ⁴⁴C. Beier, P. A. Brandl, S. M. Lima, and K. M. Haase, “Tectonic control on the genesis of magmas in the New Hebrides arc (Vanuatu)”, *Lithos* **312–313**, 290–307 (2018).
- ⁴⁵I. M. Belkin, P. C. Cornillon, and K. Sherman, “Fronts in large marine ecosystems”, *Progress in Oceanography* **81**, 223–236 (2009).
- ⁴⁶J. P. Bello-González, E. Contreras-Reyes, and C. Arriagada, “Predicted path for hotspot tracks off South America since Paleocene times: Tectonic implications of ridge-trench collision along the Andean margin”, *Gondwana Research* **64**, 216–234 (2018).
- ⁴⁷G. M. Belyaev, *Deep-Sea Ocean Trenches and Their Fauna* (Nauka Publishing House, 1989).
- ⁴⁸J.-F. Berger, “Hydrological and post-depositional impacts on the distribution of Holocene archaeological sites: The case of the Holocene middle Rhône River basin, France”, *Geomorphology* **129**, 167–182 (2011).
- ⁴⁹K. R. Berryman, “Distribution, age, and deformation of Late Pleistocene marine terraces at Mahia peninsula, Hikurangi subduction margin, New Zealand”, *Tectonics* **12**, 1365–1379 (1993).
- ⁵⁰K. R. Berryman, Y. Ota, T. Miyauchi, A. Hull, K. Clark, K. Ishibashi, N. Iso, and N. Litchfield, “Holocene paleoseismic history of upper-plate faults in the Southern Hikurangi subduction margin, New Zealand, deduced from marine terrace records”, *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* **101**, 2064–2087 (2011).
- ⁵¹W. Bertoldi, M. Welber, A. M. Gurnell, L. Mao, F. Comiti, and M. Tal, “Physical modelling of the combined effect of vegetation and wood on river morphology”, *Geomorphology* **246**, 178–187 (2015).
- ⁵²G. M. Besana, H. Negishi, and M. Ando, “The three-dimensional attenuation structures beneath the Philippine archipelago based on seismic intensity data inversion”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **15**, 1–11 (1997).
- ⁵³M. J. Best and N. Chakravarti, “Active set algorithms for isotonic regression; a unifying framework”, *Mathematical Programming* **47**, 425–439 (1990).
- ⁵⁴M. Bevis, F. W. Taylor, B. E. Schutz, J. Recy, B. L. Isacks, S. Helu, R. Singh, E. Kendrick, J. Stowell, B. Taylor, and S. Calmant, “Geodetic observations of very rapid convergence and back-arc extension at the Tonga arc”, *Nature* **347**, 249–251 (1995).
- ⁵⁵R. Bilina and S. Lawford, *Python for Unified Research in Econometrics and Statistics* (2009).
- ⁵⁶C. R. Bina, S. Stein, F. C. Marton, and E. M. Van Ark, “Implications of slab mineralogy for subduction dynamics”, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **127**, 51–66 (2001).
- ⁵⁷I. N. Bindeman, V. L. Leonov, P. E. Izbekov, V. V. Ponomareva, K. E. Watts, N. Shipley, A. B. Perepelov, L. I. Bazanova, B. R. Jicha, B. S. Singer, A. K. Schmitt, M. V. Portnyagin, and C. H. Chen, “Large-volume silicic volcanism in Kamchatka: ArAr and UPb ages, isotopic, and geochemical characteristics of major pre-Holocene caldera-forming eruptions”, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* **189**, 57–80 (2010).

- ⁵⁸P. Bird, “An updated digital model of plate boundaries”, *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems* **4**, 1027–1027 (2003).
- ⁵⁹A. Björck, *Numerical methods for least squares problems* (SIAM, Philadelphia, US, 1996), ISBN: 0-89871-360-9.
- ⁶⁰L. E. Blankenship, A. A. Yayanos, D. B. Cadien, and L. A. Levin, “Vertical zonation patterns of scavenging amphipods from the Hadal zone of the Tonga and Kermadec Trenches”, *Deep-Sea Research I* **53**, 48–61 (2006).
- ⁶¹J. H. Bodine and A. B. Watts, “On the lithospheric flexure seaward of the Bonin and Mariana trenches”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **43**, 132–148 (1979).
- ⁶²I. Bogdanov, D. Huaman, J.-F. Thovet, G. Pierre, and P. M. Adler, “Tectonic stresses seaward of an aseismic ridge - Trench collision zone. A remote sensing approach on the Loyalty Islands, SW Pacific”, *Tectonophysics* **499**, 77–91 (2011).
- ⁶³J. L. Bogdanov, *Gidrotermal'nye rudoprojavenija riftov Sredinno-Atlanticheskogo hrebta (Hydrothermal deposits of rift in the Mid-Atlantic ridge)*, Russian, edited by Bogdanov (Nauchnyi mir, Moscow, 1997), 167 pp.
- ⁶⁴K. V. Bogolepov and B. M. Chikov, *Geologiya dna okeanov (Geology of the ocean floor)*, Russian, edited by V. N. Saks and E. E. Fotiadi (Nauka, Moscow, 1976), 246 pp.
- ⁶⁵G. J. Borradaile, *Statistics of Earth Science Data* (Springer, 2003), 79 pp.
- ⁶⁶S. Bose, P. Saha, J. J. Mori, C. Rowe, K. Ujiie, F. M. Chester, M. Conin, C. Regalla, J. Kameda, V. G. Toy, J. D. Kirkpatrick, F. Remitti, J. C. Moore, M. Wolfson-Schwehr, Y. Nakamura, and A. Gupta, “Deformation structures in the frontal prism near the Japan Trench: Insights from sandbox models”, *Journal of Geodynamics* **89**, 29–38 (2015).
- ⁶⁷B. Boston, G. F. Moore, Y. Nakamura, and S. Kodaira, “Forearc slope deformation above the Japan Trench megathrust: Implications for subduction erosion”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **462**, 26–34 (2017).
- ⁶⁸F. G. Bourrouilh-Le Jan and J. Talandier, “Sédimentation et fracturation de haute énergie en milieu récifal: tsunamis, ouragans et cyclones et leur effets sur la sédimentologie et la géomorphologie d'un atoll: motu et hoa, à Rangiroa, Tuamotu, Pacifique SE”, *Marine Geology* **67**, 263–333 (1985).
- ⁶⁹D. Boutelier, O. Oncken, and A. R. Cruden, “Trench-parallel shortening in the forearc caused by subduction along a seaward-concave plate boundary: Insights from analogue modelling experiments”, *Tectonophysics* **611**, 192–203 (2014).
- ⁷⁰G. E. P. Box and G. C. Tiao, *Bayesian Inference in Statistical Analysis*, Section 8.1.1 (New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1992), ISBN: 0-471-57428-7.
- ⁷¹A. Brandt, I. Alalykina, H. Fukumori, O. Golovand, K. Kniesz, A. Lavrenteva, A.-N. Lörz, M. Malyutina, K. Philipps-Bussau, and B. Stransky, “First insights into macrofaunal composition from the SokhoBio expedition T (Sea of Okhotsk, Bussol Strait and northern slope of the Kuril-Kamchatka Trench)”, *Deep-Sea Research Part II* **154**, 106–120 (2018).
- ⁷²C. Braz, M. Seton, N. Flament, and R. D. Müller, “Geodynamic reconstruction of an accreted Cretaceous back-arc basin in the T Northern Andes”, *Journal of Geodynamics* **121**, 115–132 (2018).
- ⁷³F. Bretz, T. Hothorn, and P. Westfall, *Multiple Comparisons Using R* (CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, LLC, Boca Raton, FL, U.S., 2011), 193 pp., ISBN: 978-1-58488-574-0.
- ⁷⁴S. Brune, “Plate Boundaries and Natural Hazards. AGU Geophysical Monograph 219”, in, edited by J. C. Duarte and W. P. Schellart (AGU, Sydney, Australia, 2016) Chap. Rifts and rifted margins: A review of geodynamic processes and natural hazards, pp. 1–21.
- ⁷⁵P. C. Burnley and H. W. Green II, “Faulting associated with the olivine to spinel transformation in Mg₂GeO₄ and its implications for deep-focus earthquakes”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **96**, 425–443 (1991).
- ⁷⁶M. Bursa, “Primary and Derived Parameters of Common Relevance of Astronomy, Geodesy, and Geodynamics”, *Earth, Moon, and Planets* **69**, 51–63 (1995).
- ⁷⁷G. O. Butuzova, *Hydrothermal-sedimentary ore formation in the world the ocean*, Russian (GEOS, Moscow, 2003), 136 pp.

- ⁷⁸B. R. Calder and L. A. Mayer, “Automatic processing of high-rate, high-density multibeam echosounder data”, *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems* **4**, 1–24 (2003).
- ⁷⁹S. Calmant, B. Pelletier, P. Lebellegard, M. Bevis, F. W. Taylor, and D. A. Phillips, “New insights on the tectonics along the New Hebrides subduction zone based on GPS results”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **108**, 22 (2003).
- ⁸⁰A. C. Cameron and P. K. Trivedi, *Regression Analysis of Count Data* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2013).
- ⁸¹S. C. Cande and R. B. Leslie, “Late Cenozoic tectonics of the southern Chile Trench”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **91**, 471–496 (1986).
- ⁸²L. Cao, Z. Wang, S. Wu, and X. Gao, “A new model of slab tear of the subducting Philippine Sea Plate associated with Kyushu-Palau Ridge subduction”, *Tectonophysics* **636**, 158–169 (2014).
- ⁸³J. N. Carney and A. MacFarlane, “Geological evidence bearing on the Miocene to Recent structural evolution of the New Hebrides arc”, *Tectonophysics* **87**, 147–175 (1982).
- ⁸⁴M. J. Carron, P. R. Vogt, and W.-Y. Jung, *GOMaP (Global Ocean Mapping Program): A Proposed International Long-Term Project to Systematically Map the Worlds Ocean Floors From Beach to Trench* (2013).
- ⁸⁵O. Cartapanis, S. L. Jaccard, and E. Galbraith, “Carbon burial in deep-sea sediment and implications for oceanic inventories of carbon and alkalinity over the last glacial cycle”, *Climate of the Past Discussions*, 10.5194/cp-2018-49 (2018).
- ⁸⁶P. R. Castillo, P. F. Lonsdale, C. L. Moran, and J. W. Hawkins, “Geochemistry of mid-Cretaceous Pacific crust being subducted along the TongaKermadec Trench: Implications for the generation of arc lavas”, *Lithos* **112**, 87–102 (2009).
- ⁸⁷A. Cazenave and L. J. Ruff, *Seasat geoid anomalies and the macquarie ridge complex. food and agriculture organization of the united nations*, 1985.
- ⁸⁸A. Cecioni and V. Pineda, “Geology and Geomorphology of Natural Hazards and Human-Induced Disasters in Chile”, *Developments in Earth Surface Processes* **13**, 379–413 (2010).
- ⁸⁹W. W. J. Chadwick, S. G. Merle, E. T. Baker, S. L. Walker, J. A. Resing, D. A. Butterfield, M. O. Anderson, T. Baumberger, and A. M. Bobbitt, “A Recent Volcanic Eruption Discovered on the Central Mariana Back-Arc Spreading Center”, *Frontiers in Earth Science* **6**, 1–16 (2018).
- ⁹⁰W. Y. Chang, G.-K. Yu, R.-D. Hwang, and J.-K. Chiu, “Lateral Variations of Rayleigh-wave Dispersions in the Philippine Sea region”, *Terrestrial, Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences* **18**, 859–878 (2007).
- ⁹¹P. Charvis and B. Pelletier, “The northern New Hebrides back-arc troughs: history and relation with the North Fiji basin”, *Tectonophysics* **170**, 259–277 (1989).
- ⁹²C. G. Chase, “Extension behind island arcs and motions relative to hot spots”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **83**, 5385–5388 (1978).
- ⁹³Z. Cheng, W. Ding, M. Faccenda, J. Li, X. Lin, L. Ma, P. Fang, and H. Ding, “Geodynamic effects of subducted seamount at the Manila Trench: Insights from numerical modeling”, *Tectonophysics* **764**, 46–61 (2019).
- ⁹⁴G.-T. Chiang, T. White, M. T. Dove, C. I. Bovolo, and J. Ewen, “Geo-visualization Fortran library”, *Computers & Geosciences* **37**, 65–74 (2011).
- ⁹⁵J.-P. Chilés and P. Delfiner, *Geostatistics. Modelling Spatial Uncertainty* (Wiley, New York, U.S., 1999), 805 pp.
- ⁹⁶S.-J. Chin, J.-Y. Lin, Y.-C. Yeh, K.-C. Hao, and C.-W. Liang, “Seismotectonic characteristics of the Taiwan collision-Manila subduction T transition: The effect of pre- existing structures”, *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* **173**, 113–120 (2019).
- ⁹⁷U. R. Christensen, “The influence of trench migration on slab penetration into the lower mantle”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **140**, 27–39 (1996).
- ⁹⁸A. D. Ciaccio, M. Coli, and J. M. Angulo Ibanez, “Studies in theoretical and applied statistics selected papers of the statistical societies”, in (Springer, 2012) Chap. Advanced Statistical Methods for the Analysis of Large Data Sets, p. 464, ISBN: 978-3-642-21036-5.

- ⁹⁹D. Cielen, A. D. B. Meysman, and M. Ali, *Introducing Data Science. Big Data, Machine Learning and More, Using Python Tools* (Manning, Shelter Island, U.S., 2016), 322 pp.
- ¹⁰⁰I. L. Cifuentes, “The 1960 Chilean earthquakes”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **94**, 665–680 (1989).
- ¹⁰¹H. Cízková and C. R. Bina, “Geodynamics of trench advance: Insights from a Philippine-Sea-style geometry”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **430**, 408–415 (2015).
- ¹⁰²K. Clark, J. Howarth, N. Litchfield, U. Cochran, J. Turnbull, L. Dowling, A. Howell, K. R. Berryman, and F. Wolf, “Geological evidence for past large earthquakes and tsunamis along the Hikurangi subduction margin, New Zealand”, *Marine Geology* **412**, 139–172 (2019).
- ¹⁰³M. R. Clark, A. A. Rowden, R. Schlacher, A. Williams, and M. Consalvey, “The ecology of seamounts: structure, function, and human impacts”, *Annual Review of Marine Science* **2**, 253–278 (2010).
- ¹⁰⁴E. Contreras-Reyes, E. R. Flueh, and I. Grevemeyer, “Tectonic control on sediment accretion and subduction off south-central Chile: implications for coseismic rupture processes of the 1960 and 2010 megathrust earthquakes”, *Tectonics* **29**, 1–27 (2010).
- ¹⁰⁵E. Contreras-Reyes, I. Grevemeyer, E. R. Flueh, and C. Reichert, “Upper lithospheric structure of the subduction zone offshore southern Arauco Peninsula, Chile at 38°S”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **113**, 1–10 (2008).
- ¹⁰⁶E. Contreras-Reyes, I. Grevemeyer, E. R. Flueh, M. Scherwath, and M. Heesemann, “Alteration of the subducting oceanic lithosphere at the southern central Chile trench-outer rise”, *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems* **8**, 1–10 (2007).
- ¹⁰⁷E. Contreras-Reyes and A. Osses, “Lithospheric flexure modeling seaward of the Chile trench: implications for oceanic plate weakening in the Trench Outer Rise region”, *Geophysical Journal International* **182**, 97–112 (2010).
- ¹⁰⁸E. Contreras-Reyes and D. Carrizo, “Control of high oceanic features and subduction channel on earthquake ruptures along the Chile-Peru subduction zone”, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **186**, 49–58 (2011).
- ¹⁰⁹E. Contreras-Reyes, J. Jara, A. Maksymowicz, and W. Weinrebe, “Sediment loading at the southern Chilean Trench and its tectonic implications”, *Journal of Geodynamics* **66**, 134–145 (2013).
- ¹¹⁰A. K. Cooper, G. R. Cochrane, and T. R. Bruns, “Velocity structure of the upper crust beneath the Solomon Islands Bougainville Island arc. Circum-Pacific council for energy and mineral resources”, *Earth Science Series* **7**, 23–46 (1989).
- ¹¹¹M. J. Costello and E. van den Berghe, “Ocean biodiversity informatics: a new era in marine biology research and management”, *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **316**, 203–214 (2006).
- ¹¹²W. T. Coulbourn, “Stratigraphy and Structures of the Middle America Trench”, *Deep Sea Drilling Project Reports and Publications* **67**, 691–706 (1982), URL: <https://doi.org/>.
- ¹¹³J. A. Covault, “Submarine fans and canyon channel systems: a review of processes, products, and models”, *Nature Education Knowledge* **3**, 1–4 (2011).
- ¹¹⁴D. S. Cowan, “Origin of “Vein Structure” in Slope Sediments on the Inner Slope of the Middle America Trench off Guatemala”, *Deep Sea Drilling Project Reports and Publications* **32**, 645–650 (1982).
- ¹¹⁵F. Cramer, “Geodynamic diagnostics, scientific visualisation and StagLab 3.0”, *Geoscientific Model Development* **11**, 2541–2562 (2018).
- ¹¹⁶N. A. C. Cressie, *Statistics for Spatial Data* (Wiley-Interscience, New York, U. S., 1991), 928 pp.
- ¹¹⁷W. Cui, Y. Hu, W. Guo, B. Pan, and F. Wang, “Reprint of A preliminary design of a movable laboratory for hadal trenches”, *Methods in Oceanography* **10**, 178–193 (2014).
- ¹¹⁸W. Cui and X. Wu, “A Chinese strategy to construct a comprehensive investigation system for hadal trenches”, *Deep-Sea Research Part II* **155**, 27–33 (2018).
- ¹¹⁹A. C. Curtis and C. L. Moyer, “Mariana forearc serpentinite mud volcanoes harbor novel communities of extremophilic Archaea”, *Geomicrobiology Journal* **30**, 430–441 (2005).
- ¹²⁰T. Dahlin, M. Svensson, and P. Lindh, “DC Resistivity and SASW for Validation of Efficiency in Soil Stabilisation Prior to Road Construction”, *Procs. EEGS99, Budapest, Hungary, 6-9 September 1999*, Ls5, 1–3 (1999).

- ¹²¹R. A. Daly, "Origin of submarine 'canyons'", *American Journal of Science* **31**, 401–420 (1936).
- ¹²²R. Danovaro, C. Gambi, and N. Della Croce, "Meiofauna hotspot in the Atacama Trench, eastern South Pacific Ocean", *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **49**, 843–857 (2002).
- ¹²³H. A. David and H. N. Nagaraja, *Order Statistics* (Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics, New York, U. S., 2003).
- ¹²⁴H. L. Davies, J. B. Keene, K. Hashimoto, M. Joshima, J. E. Stuart, and D. L. Tiffin, "Bathymetry and canyons of the western Solomon Sea", *Geo-Marine Letters* **6**, 181–191 (1987).
- ¹²⁵J. C. Davis, *Statistics and Data Analysis in Geology* (Wiley, 2002).
- ¹²⁶F. C. De Leo, C. R. Smith, A. A. Rowden, D. A. Bowden, and M. R. Clark, "Submarine canyons: hotspots of benthic biomass and productivity in the deep sea", *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **277**, 2783–2792 (2010).
- ¹²⁷S. M. DeBari, B. Taylor, K. Spencer, and K. Fujioka, "A trapped Philippine Sea plate origin for MORB from the inner slope of the Izu-Bonin trench", *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **174**, 183–197 (1999).
- ¹²⁸C. DeMets, R. G. Gordon, and D. F. Argus, "Geologically current plate motions", *Geophysical Journal International* **181**, 1–80 (2010).
- ¹²⁹C. DeMets, R. G. Gordon, D. F. Argus, and S. Stein, "Current plate motions", *Geophysical Journal International* **101**, 425–478 (1990).
- ¹³⁰A. Deschamps and S. Lallemand, "Intra-Oceanic Subduction Systems: Tectonic and Magmatic Processes", in , Vol. 219, edited by R. D. Larter and P. T. Leat (2003) Chap. Geodynamic setting of Izu-Bonin-Mariana boninites, pp. 163–185, URL: <https://sp.lyellcollection.org/content/219/1/1>.
- ¹³¹R. Dic, "Novaja global'naja tektonika (New global tectonics)", Russian, in (Mir, Moscow, 1974) Chap. Jevoljucija kontinentov i okeanicheskikh basseinov kak rezul'tat sredinga okeanicheskogo dna (the Evolution of continents and ocean basins as a result of the spreading ocean floor), pp. 26–32.
- ¹³²H. M. Dierssen and A. E. J. Theberge, "Encyclopedia of Natural Resources", in (Taylor & Francis, 2014) Chap. Bathymetry: Features and Hypsography, pp. 1–7.
- ¹³³H. M. Dierssen and A. E. J. Theberge, "Encyclopedia of Natural Resources", in (Taylor & Francis, 2014) Chap. Bathymetry: History of Seafloor Mapping, pp. 1–5.
- ¹³⁴D. Divins, *Total sediment thickness of the worlds oceans and marginal seas* (NOAA National Geophysical Data Center, Boulder, CO, 2003).
- ¹³⁵Y. I. Dmitriev, "Basalts of the Middle America Trench (Guatemala Transect) and Their Correlation With Oceanic and Island-Arc Tholeiites", *Deep Sea Drilling Project Reports and Publications* **67**, 577–584 (1982).
- ¹³⁶C. Doglioni, "Comment on 'The potential influence of subduction zone polarity on overriding plate deformation, trench migration and slab dip angle' by W. P. Schellart", *Tectonophysics* **463**, 208–213 (2009).
- ¹³⁷R. M. H. Dokht, Y. J. Gu, and M. D. Sacchi, "Waveform inversion of SS precursors: An investigation of the north-western Pacific subduction zones and intraplate volcanoes in China", *Gondwana Research* **40**, 77–90 (2016).
- ¹³⁸Y. S. Dolotov, *Processes of Relief Formation and Sedimentation on the Tidal Coasts of the World Ocean*, Russian, edited by G. A. Safyanov (Scientific World, Moscow, 2010), 180 pp., ISBN: 978-5-91522-235-8.
- ¹³⁹D. Dong, Z. Zhang, Y. Bai, J. Fan, and G. Zhang, "Topographic and sedimentary features in the Yap subduction zone and their implications for the Caroline Ridge subduction", *Tectonophysics* **722**, 410–421 (2018).
- ¹⁴⁰W.-B. Doo, K.-C. Hao, D. Brown, C.-L. Lo, S.-K. Hsu, and Y.-S. Huang, "Serpentinization of the fore-arc mantle along the Taiwan arc-continent collision of the northern Manila subduction zone inferred from gravity modeling", *Tectonophysics* **691**, 282–289 (2016).
- ¹⁴¹W.-B. Doo, C.-L. Lo, S.-K. Hsua, C.-H. Tsai, Y.-S. Huang, H.-F. Wang, S.-D. Chiu, Y.-F. Ma, and C.-W. Liang, "New gravity anomaly map of Taiwan and its surrounding regions with some tectonic interpretations", *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* **154**, 93–100 (2018).
- ¹⁴²W.-B. Doo, C.-L. Lo, W.-N. Wu, J.-Y. Lin, S.-K. Hsu, Y.-S. Huang, and H.-F. Wang, "Strength of plate coupling in the southern Ryukyu subduction zone", *Tectonophysics* **723**, 223–228 (2018).

- ¹⁴³D. Dougherty and A. D. Robbins, *sed & awk*, 2nd ed. (Sebastopol, CA: O'Reilly Media, 1997), ISBN: 1-56592-225-5.
- ¹⁴⁴H. Drewes, G. D. Fraser, G. L. Snyder, and H. F. J. Barnett, "Geology of Unalaska Island and adjacent insular shelf, Aleutian Islands, Alaska", *U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin* **1028**, 583–676 (1961), URL: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/bul/1028s/report.pdf>.
- ¹⁴⁵E. P. Dubinin and S. A. Ushakov, *Oceanic rift genesis* (GEOS, Moscow, 2001), 293 pp.
- ¹⁴⁶R. A. Duncan, T. L. Vallier, and D. A. Falvey, "Geology and offshore resources of Pacific island arcs; Tonga region", in , edited by D. W. Scholl and T. L. Vallier (Circum-Pac. Council. Energy and Miner. Resour., Houston, TX, United States, 1985) Chap. Volcanic episodes at Eua, Tonga Islands, pp. 281–290, URL: <https://www.osti.gov/biblio/7230072>.
- ¹⁴⁷C. Dupuy, J. Dostal, and G. Marcelot, "Geochemistry of basalts from central and southern New Hebrides arc: implication for their source rock composition", *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **60**, 207–225 (1982).
- ¹⁴⁸A. Dutkiewicz, R. D. Müller, S. O'Callaghan, and H. Jónasson, "Census of seafloor sediments in the world's ocean", *Geology* **43**, 795–798 (2015).
- ¹⁴⁹A. M. Dziewonski, T.-A. Chou, and J. H. Woodhouse, "Determination of earthquake source parameters from waveform data for studies of global and regional seismicity", *Journal of Geophysical Research* **86**, 2825–2852 (1981).
- ¹⁵⁰J. W. Eaton, D. Bateman, S. Hauberg, and R. Wehbring, *GNU Octave. A high-level interactive language for numerical computations. Free Your Numbers*, 5th ed., Octave version 5.1.0 (Online, 2019), URL: <https://octave.org/octave.pdf>.
- ¹⁵¹M. Ekman, "What Is the Geoid? Coordinate Systems, GPS, and the Geoid", Reports of the Finnish Geodetic Institute **95**, Ed. M. Vermeer, 49–51 (1995).
- ¹⁵²M. Ekman, "Impacts of geodynamic phenomena on systems for height and gravity", *Bulletin Géodésique* **63**, 281–296 (1989).
- ¹⁵³G. Ekström and E. R. Engdahl, "Earthquake source parameters and stress distribution in the Adak Island region of the central Aleutian Islands, Alaska", *Journal of Geophysical Research* **84**, 15499–15519 (1989), URL: <https://doi.org/10.1029/JB094iB11p15499>.
- ¹⁵⁴G. Ekström and M. Nettles, "Calibration of the HGLP seismograph network and centroid-moment tensor analysis of significant earthquakes of 1976, " *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **101**, 219–243 (1997).
- ¹⁵⁵G. Ekström, M. Nettles, and A. M. Dziewonski, "The global CMT project 2004–2010: Centroid-moment tensors for 13,017 earthquakes", *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **200–201**, 1–9 (2012).
- ¹⁵⁶E. R. Engdahl and S. Billington, "Focal depth determination of central Aleutian earthquakes", *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* **76**, 77–93 (1986), URL: <https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/ssa/bssa/article-abstract/76/1/77/118783/focal-depth-determination-of-central-aleutian>.
- ¹⁵⁷E. R. Engdahl, R. D. van der Hilst, and R. Buland, "Global teleseismic earthquake relocation with improved travel times and procedures for depth determination", *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* **88**, 722–743 (1998).
- ¹⁵⁸W. G. Ernst, "Subduction, ultrahigh-pressure metamorphism, and regurgitation of buoyant crustal slices implications for arcs and continental growth", *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **127(1–4)**, 253–275 (2001).
- ¹⁵⁹R. M. Eustace, H. Ritchie, N. M. Kilgallen, S. B. Pierny, and A. J. Jamieson, "Morphological and ontogenetic stratification of abyssal and hadal Eurythenes gryllus sensu lato (Amphipoda: Lysianassoidea) from the Peru-Chile Trench", *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **109**, 91–98 (2016).
- ¹⁶⁰B. Everitt, *The Cambridge Dictionary of Statistics*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2002), 293 pp.
- ¹⁶¹A. Ewart, K. D. Collerson, M. Regelous, J. I. Wendt, and Y. Niu, "Geochemical evolution within the Tonga-Kermadec Lau arc back-arc systems: the role of varying mantle wedge composition in space and time", *Journal of Petrology* **39**, 331–368 (1998).

- ¹⁶²R. W. Faas, “Gravitational Compaction Patterns Determined from Sediment Cores Recovered during the Deep Sea Drilling Project Leg 67 Guatemalan Transect: Continental Slope, Middle America Trench, and Cocos Plate”, *Deep Sea Drilling Project Reports and Publications* **30**, 617–638 (1982).
- ¹⁶³M. Faccenda, T. V. Gerya, and L. Burlini, “Deep slab hydration induced by bending-related variations in tectonic pressure”, *Nature Geosciences* **2**, 790–793 (2009).
- ¹⁶⁴C. Faccenna, A. Heuret, F. Funiciello, S. Lallemand, and T. W. Becker, “Predicting trench and plate motion from the dynamics of a strong slab”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **257**, 29–36 (2007).
- ¹⁶⁵C. Faccenna, E. D. Giuseppe, F. Funiciello, S. Lallemand, and J. van Hunen, “Control of seafloor aging on the migration of the Izu-Bonin-Mariana Trench”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **288**, 386–398 (2009).
- ¹⁶⁶C. Faccenna, A. F. Holt, T. W. Becker, S. Lallemand, and L. H. Royden, “Dynamics of the Ryukyu Izu-Bonin-Marianas double subduction system”, *Tectonophysics* **746**, 229–238 (2018).
- ¹⁶⁷J. Fan and D. Zhao, “P-wave anisotropic tomography of the central and southern Philippines”, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **286**, 154–164 (2019).
- ¹⁶⁸T. G. Farr, P. A. Rosen, E. Caro, R. Crippen, R. Duren, S. Hensley, M. Kobrick, M. Paller, E. Rodriguez, L. Roth, D. Seal, S. Shaffer, J. Shimada, J. Umland, M. Werner, M. Oskin, D. Burbank, and D. Alsdorf, “The Shuttle Radar Topography Mission”, *AGU Review of Geophysics* **45**, 1–30 (2007).
- ¹⁶⁹M. O. Fernandez and A. C. Marques, “Combining bathymetry, latitude, and phylogeny to understand the distribution of deep Atlantic hydroids (Cnidaria)”, *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **133**, 39–48 (2018).
- ¹⁷⁰V. Ferrini, “Briefing on the work of GEBCO (General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans)”, in 19th meeting of the meso american – caribbean sea hydrographic commission (machc), Cartagena de Indias, Colombia, 28 November – 1 December (2018).
- ¹⁷¹D. M. Finlayson and J. P. Cull, “Structural profiles in New Britain-New Ireland region”, *Journal of the Geological Society of Australia* **20**, 37–47 (1973), URL: <https://doi.org/10.1080/14400957308527893>.
- ¹⁷²R. L. Fischer and R. W. Raitt, “Topography and structure of the Peru-Chile Trench”, *Deep-Sea Research* **9**, 424–443 (1962), URL: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-7471\(62\)90094-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-7471(62)90094-3).
- ¹⁷³M. Flidner and S. L. Klemperer, “Crustal structure transition from oceanic arc to continental arc, eastern Aleutian Islands and Alaska Peninsula”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **179**, 567–579 (2000), URL: https://crustal.stanford.edu/sites/g/files/sbiybj12201/f/publications/flidnerklemperer_alaskapeninsula.eps1_.2000.pdf.
- ¹⁷⁴R. Freitag, C. Gaedicke, B. V. Baranov, and N. V. Tsukanov, “Collisional processes at the junction of the Aleutian-Kamchatka arcs: new evidence from fission track analysis and field observation”, *Terra Nova* **13**, 433–442 (2001).
- ¹⁷⁵H. Freymuth, F. Vils, M. Willbold, R. Taylor, and T. Elliott, “Molybdenum mobility and isotopic fractionation during subduction at the Mariana arc”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **432**, 176–186 (2015).
- ¹⁷⁶M. Friendly, “Corrgrams: Exploratory displays for correlation matrices”, *The American Statistician* **19**, 1–16 (2002).
- ¹⁷⁷M. Frigge, D. C. Hoaglin, and B. Iglewicz, “Some Implementations of the Boxplot”, *The American Statistician* **43**, 50–54 (1989).
- ¹⁷⁸P. Fryer, N. Becker, B. Appelgate, F. Martinez, M. Edwards, and G. Fryer, “Why is the Challenger Deep so deep?”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **211**, 259–269 (2003).
- ¹⁷⁹G. Fujie, A. Ito, S. Kodaira, N. Takahashi, and Y. Kaneda, “Confirming sharp bending of the Pacific plate in the northern Japan Trench subduction zone by applying a travelttime mapping method”, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **157**, 72–85 (2006).
- ¹⁸⁰K. Fujioka, K. Okino, T. Kanamatsu, and Y. Ohara, “Morphology and origin of the Challenger Deep in the Southern Mariana Trench”, *Geophysical Research Letters* **29**, 1372 (2002).
- ¹⁸¹K. Fujioka, K. Okino, T. Kanamatsu, Y. Ohara, O. Ishizuka, S. Haraguchi, and T. Ishii, “Enigmatic extinct spreading center in the West Philippine backarc basin unveiled”, *Geology* **27**, 1135–1138 (1999).

- ¹⁸²T. Fujiwara, C. Tamura, A. Nishizawa, K. Fujioka, K. Kobayashi, and Y. Iwabuchi, “Morphology and tectonics of the Yap Trench”, *Marine Geophysical Research* **21**, 69–86 (2000).
- ¹⁸³F. Funiciello, C. Faccenna, A. Heuret, S. Lallemand, E. Di Giuseppe, and T. W. Becker, “Trench migration, net rotation and slab-mantle coupling”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **271**, 233–240 (2008).
- ¹⁸⁴C. Gaedicke, B. V. Baranov, N. I. Seliverstov, D. Alexeiev, N. V. Tsukanov, and R. Freitag, “Structure of an active arc-continent collision area: the Aleutian-Kamchatka junction”, *Tectonophysics* **325**, 63–85 (2000).
- ¹⁸⁵C. Galli, “Ophiolite and island-arc volcanism in Costa Rica”, *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* **90**, 444–452 (1979).
- ¹⁸⁶N. D. Gallo, J. Cameron, K. Hardy, P. Fryer, D. H. Bartlett, and L. A. Levin, “Submersible- and lander-observed community patterns in the Mariana and New Britain Trenches: Influence of productivity and depth on epibenthic and scavenging communities”, *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **99**, 119–133 (2015).
- ¹⁸⁷C. Gambi, A. Vanreusel, and R. Danovaro, “Biodiversity of nematode assemblages from deep-sea sediments of the Atacama Slope and Trench (South Pacific Ocean)”, *Deep-Sea Research I* **50**, 103–117 (2003).
- ¹⁸⁸J. V. Gardner, A. A. Armstrong, B. R. Calder, and J. Beaudoin, “So, How Deep Is the Mariana Trench?”, *Marine Geodesy* **37**, 1–13 (2014).
- ¹⁸⁹Z. Garfunkel, C. A. Anderson, and G. Schubert, “Mantle circulation and the lateral migration of subducted slabs”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **91**, 7205–7223 (1986).
- ¹⁹⁰S. Gauger, G. Kuhn, K. Gohl, T. Feigl, P. Lemenkova, and C.-D. Hillenbrand, “Swath-bathymetric mapping”, *The expedition ANT-XXIII/4 of the R/V Polarstern in 2006. Reports on Polar and Marine Research* **557**, 38–45 (2007).
- ¹⁹¹GEBCO Committee, *General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO) - from the coast to the deepest trench*, <https://www.hydro-international.com/content/article/from-the-coast-to-the-deepest-trench>, 2016.
- ¹⁹²J. Geersen, “Sediment-starved trenches and rough subducting plates are conducive to T tsunami earthquakes”, *Tectonophysics* **762**, 28–44 (2019).
- ¹⁹³J. Geersen, D. Voelker, and J. H. Behrmann, “Submarine geomorphology”, in, edited by J. Geersen (Springer, 2018) Chap. Oceanic Trenches, pp. 409–424.
- ¹⁹⁴A. Gelman, “Analysis of variance? Why it is more important than ever.”, *Annals of Statistics* **33**, 1–53 (2005).
- ¹⁹⁵S. J. Giletycz, A. T. S. Lin, C.-P. Chang, and J. B. H. Shyu, “Relicts of mud diapirism of the emerged wedge-top as an indicator of gas hydrates destabilization in the Manila accretionary prism in southern Taiwan (Hengchun Peninsula)”, *Geomorphology* **336**, 1–17 (2019).
- ¹⁹⁶J. Gill, *Generalized Linear Models: A Unified Approach* (Sage Publications, 2001).
- ¹⁹⁷S. T. Gille, E. J. Metzger, and R. Tokmakian, “Seafloor topography and ocean circulation”, *Oceanography* **17**, 47–54 (2004).
- ¹⁹⁸K. Gohl, G. Eagles, G. B. Ushintsev, R. D. Larer, G. Uenzelmann-Neben, H. W. Schenke, P. Lemenkova, J. Grobys, N. Parsiegla, P. Schluter, T. Deen, G. Kuhn, and C. D. Hillenbrand, “Tectonic and Sedimentary Processes of the West Antarctic Margin of the Amundsen Sea Embayment and Pine Island Bay”, in *2nd scar open science meeting* (2006).
- ¹⁹⁹K. Gohl, G. Uenzelmann-Neben, G. Eagles, A. Fahl, T. Feigl, J. Grobys, J. Just, L. V., N. Lensch, C. Mayr, N. Parsiegla, N. Rackebrandt, P. Schluter, S. Suckro, K. Zimmermann, S. Gauger, H. Bohlmann, G. Netzeband, and P. Lemenkova, *Deep crustal refraction and reflection seismics; crustal and sedimentary structures and geodynamic evolution of the West Antarctic continental margin and Pine Island* (2006), pp. 20–30.
- ²⁰⁰K. Gohl, G. Uenzelmann-Neben, G. Eagles, A. Fahl, T. Feigl, J. Grobys, J. Just, V. Leinweber, N. Lensch, C. Mayr, N. Parsiegla, N. Rackebrandt, P. Schluter, S. Suckro, K. Zimmermann, S. Gauger, H. Bohlmann, G. L. Netzeband, and P. Lemenkova, *Crustal and Sedimentary Structures and Geodynamic Evolution of the West Antarctic Continental Margin and Pine Island Bay* (Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, 2006), pp. 11–12.
- ²⁰¹G. H. Golub and C. F. van Loan, *Matrix Computations*, 3rd ed. (The John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore and London, 1996).

- ²⁰²W. Gong, X. Jiang, Y. Guo, J. Xing, C. Li, and Y. Sun, “Strike-slip tectonics within the northernmost Philippine Sea plate in an arc continent collisional setting”, *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* **146**, 265–278 (2017).
- ²⁰³A. Gorbatov, Y. Fukao, S. Widiyantoro, and E. Gordeev, “Seismic evidence for a mantle plume oceanwards of the Kamchatka-Aleutian trench junction”, *Geophysical Journal International* **146**, 282–288 (2001).
- ²⁰⁴A. Gorbatov, V. Kostoglodov, G. Suarez, and E. Gordeev, “Seismicity and structure of the Kamchatka subduction zone”, *Geophysical Journal International*, **102**, 883–898 (1997), URL: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/96JB03491>.
- ²⁰⁵M. A. V. Gorini, “Proceedings geomorphometry 2009”, in, edited by R. Purves, S. Gruber, R. Straumann, and T. Hengl (University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, 2009) Chap. Physiographic classification of the ocean floor: a multi-scale geomorphometric approach, pp. 98–105.
- ²⁰⁶G. S. Gorshkov, *Catalog of the Active Volcanoes of the World Including Solfataral Fields. P. VII. Kurile Islands* (Napoli: International Volcanological Association Press, 1958).
- ²⁰⁷V. Gouretski, “Using GEBCO digital bathymetry to infer depth biases in the XBT data”, *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **62**, 40–52 (2012).
- ²⁰⁸S. P. Grand, R. D. van der Hilst, and S. Widiyantoro, “Global Seismic Tomography: A Snapshot of Convection in the Earth”, *GSA Today* **7**, 2–7 (1997).
- ²⁰⁹H. W. Green II and P. C. Burnley, “A new self-organizing mechanism for deep-focus earthquakes”, *Nature* **341**, 733–737 (1989).
- ²¹⁰J. Greinert, K. B. Lewis, J. Bialas, I. A. Pecher, A. A. Rowden, D. A. Bowden, M. De Batist, and P. Linke, “Methane seepage along the Hikurangi Margin, New Zealand: Overview of studies in 2006 and 2007 and new evidence from visual, bathymetric and hydroacoustic investigations”, *Marine Geology* **272**, 6–25 (2010).
- ²¹¹R. W. Griffiths, R. Hackney, and R. D. van der Hilst, “A laboratory investigation of effects of trench migration on the descent of subducted slabs”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **133**, 1–17 (1995).
- ²¹²M. Gross, “How deep are the oceans?”, *Current Biology* **26**, 445–460 (2016).
- ²¹³D. Gubbins and R. K. Snieder, “Dispersion of P waves in subducted lithosphere: evidence for an eclogite layer”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **96**, 6321–6333 (1991).
- ²¹⁴J. M. R. Guotana, B. D. Payot, C. B. Dimalanta, N. T. Ramos, D. V. Faustino-Eslava, K. L. Queaño, and G. P. J. Yumul, “Arc and backarc geochemical signatures of the proto-Philippine Sea Plate: Insights from the petrography and geochemistry of the Samar Ophiolite volcanic section”, *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* **142**, 77–92 (2017).
- ²¹⁵E. G. Gurvich, *Metallonosnye osadki Mirovogo okeana (Metalliferous sediments of the World Ocean)*, Russian (Nauchnyi Mir, Moscow, 1998), 340 pp.
- ²¹⁶V. K. Gusiakov, “Tsunamis on the Russian Pacific coast: history and current situation”, *Russian Geology and Geophysics* **57**, 1259–1268 (2016).
- ²¹⁷M.-A. Gutscher, F. Klingelhoefer, T. Theunissen, W. Spakman, T. Berthet, T. K. Wang, and C.-S. Lee, “Thermal modeling of the SW Ryukyu forearc (Taiwan): Implications for the seismogenic zone and the age of the subducting Philippine Sea Plate (Huatung Basin)”, *Tectonophysics* **692**, 131–142 (2016).
- ²¹⁸M. Guzmán-Speziale and J. M. Gómez-González, “Seismic strain rate along the Middle America Trench reveals significant differences between Cocos-North America and Cocos-Caribbean convergence”, *Geophysical Journal International* **166**, 179–185 (2006).
- ²¹⁹R. Hall, “Cenozoic geological and plate tectonic evolution of SE Asia and the SW Pacific: computer-based reconstructions, model and animations”, *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* **20**, 353–431 (2002).
- ²²⁰R. Hall, J. R. Ali, C. D. Anderson, and S. J. Baker, “Origin and motion history of the Philippine Sea Plate”, *Tectonophysics* **251**, 229–250 (1995).
- ²²¹R. Hall, M. Fuller, J. R. Ali, and C. D. Anderson, “Active margins and marginal basins of the western pacific”, in, Vol. 88, edited by B. Taylor and J. Netland (Geophysical Monograph Series AGU, Washington, D. C., 1995) Chap. The Philippine Sea Plate: magnetism and reconstructions, pp. 371–404.
- ²²²R. L. Halterman, *Learning to program with Python* (Python Software Foundation, 2011), 283 pp.

- ²²³H. van Haren, C. Berndt, and I. Klaucke, “Ocean mixing in deep-sea trenches: New insights from the Challenger Deep, Mariana Trench”, *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **129**, 1–9 (2017).
- ²²⁴A. N. Harrington, *Hands-on Python Tutorial Release 1.0 for Python Version 3.1+* (Loyola University Chicago, US, 2015), 191 pp.
- ²²⁵P. T. Harris, M. Macmillan-Lawler, J. Rupp, and E. K. Baker, “Geomorphology of the oceans”, *Marine Geology* **352**, 4–24 (2014).
- ²²⁶P. T. Harris and T. Whiteway, “Global distribution of large submarine canyons: geomorphic differences between active and passive continental margins”, *Marine Geology* **285**, 69–86 (2011).
- ²²⁷A. M. Hartwell, J. R. Voight, and C. G. Wheat, “Clusters of deep-sea egg-brooding octopods associated with warm fluid discharge: An ill-fated fragment of a larger, discrete population?”, *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **135**, 1–8 (2018).
- ²²⁸A. Hashima, T. Sato, H. Sato, K. Asao, H. Furuya, S. Yamamoto, K. Kameo, T. Miyauchi, T. Ito, N. Tsumura, and H. Kaneda, “Simulation of tectonic evolution of the Kanto Basin of Japan since 1 Ma due to subduction of the Pacific and Philippine Sea plates and the collision of the Izu-Bonin arc”, *Tectonophysics* **679**, 1–14 (2016).
- ²²⁹F. Haxby, G. D. Karner, J. L. LaBrecque, and J. K. Weissel, “Digital images of combined oceanic and continental data sets and their uses in tectonic studies”, *EOS Transactions, American Geophysical Union* **64**, 995–1004 (1983).
- ²³⁰T. Hayakawa, J. Kasahara, R. Hino, T. Sato, M. Shinohara, A. Kamimura, M. Nishino, T. Sato, and T. Kanazawa, “Heterogeneous structure across the source regions of the 1968 Tokachi-Oki and the 1994 Sanriku-Haruka-Oki earthquakes at the Japan Trench revealed by an ocean bottom seismic survey”, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **132**, 89–104 (2002).
- ²³¹D. E. Hayes, “A geophysical investigation of the Peru-Chile Trench”, *Marine Geology* **4**, 309–351 (1966).
- ²³²E. He, M. Zhao, X. Qiu, J.-C. Sibuet, J. Wang, and J. Zhang, “Crustal structure across the post-spreading magmatic ridge of the East Sub-basin in the South China Sea: Tectonic significance”, *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* **121**, 139–152 (2016).
- ²³³B. C. Heezen, “The floors of the oceans. I. The North Atlantic”, *Geological Society of America Special Paper* **65**, 1–122 (1959).
- ²³⁴B. C. Heezen and C. D. Hollister, *The face of the deep* (Oxford University Press, 1971), pp. 1–659.
- ²³⁵B. C. Heezen and M. Tharp, *World Ocean Floor Panorama*, Map in full color, painted by H. Berann, Mercator Projection, scale 1:23,230,300, 1168×1930 mm (New York, U. S., 1977).
- ²³⁶W. Heiskanen and H. Moritz, *Physical Geodesy* (W. H. Freeman and Co, San Francisco., 1967).
- ²³⁷J. M. Hergt and J. D. Woodhead, “A critical evaluation of recent models for Lau-Tonga arc-backarc basin magmatic evolution”, *Chemical Geology* **245**, 9–44 (2007).
- ²³⁸R. R. Hessler, C. L. Ingram, A. A. Yayanos, and B. Burnett, “Scavenging amphipods from the floor of the Philippine Trench”, *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **25**, 1029–1047 (1978).
- ²³⁹A. Heuret, C. P. Conrad, and F. Funiciello, “Relation between subduction megathrust earthquakes, trench sediment thickness and upper plate strain”, *Geophysical Research Letters* **39**(5), 131–138 (2012).
- ²⁴⁰A. Heuret and S. Lallemand, “Plate motions, slab dynamics and back-arc deformation”, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **149**, 31–51 (2005).
- ²⁴¹W. D. Heyman and D. J. Wright, “Marine Geomorphology in the Design of Marine Reserve Networks”, *The Professional Geographer* **63**, 429–442 (2011).
- ²⁴²T. W. C. Hilde and C.-S. Lee, “Origin and evolution of the West Philippine Basin: a new interpretation”, *Tectonophysics* **102**, 85–104 (1984).
- ²⁴³R. D. van der Hilst and T. Seno, “Effects of relative plate motion on the deep structure and penetration depth of slabs below the Izu-Bonin and Mariana island arcs”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **120**, 395–407 (1993).
- ²⁴⁴N. Hirano, Y. Ogawa, and K. Saito, “Long-lived early Cretaceous seamount volcanism in the Mariana Trench, Western Pacific Ocean”, *Marine Geology* **189**, 371–379 (2002).

- ²⁴⁵S. Hirano, T. Nakata, and A. Sangawa, "Fault topography and quaternary faulting along the Philippine Fault zone, Central Luzon, the Philippines", *Journal of Geography* **95**, 1–23 (1986).
- ²⁴⁶D. C. Hoaglin, "Understanding robust and exploratory data analysis", in, Vol. 2 (Wiley, New York, U.S., 1983) Chap. Letter values: A set of selected order statistics, pp. 33–57.
- ²⁴⁷D. C. Hoaglin, F. Mosteller, and J. W. Tukey, *Understanding Robust and Exploratory Data Analysis* (Wiley, New York, U.S., 1983).
- ²⁴⁸K. Hofmann H. Kafadar and H. Wickham, "Letter-value plots: Boxplots for large data", *The American Statistician* **489**, 72–83 (2011).
- ²⁴⁹W. S. Holbroock, D. Lizarraide, and S. McGeary, "Structure and composition of the Aleutian island arc and implications for continental crustal growth", *Geology* **27**, 31–34 (1999).
- ²⁵⁰R. J. Holm, S. Tapster, H. A. Jelsma, G. Rosenbaum, and D. F. Mark, "Tectonic evolution and copper-gold metallogenesis of the Papua New Guinea and Solomon Islands region", *Ore Geology Reviews* **104**, 208–226 (2019).
- ²⁵¹A. F. Holt, L. H. Royden, T. W. Becker, and C. Faccenna, "Slab interactions in 3-D subduction settings: The Philippine Sea Plate region", *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **489**, 72–83 (2018).
- ²⁵²E. Honza, H. L. Davies, J. B. Keene, and D. L. Tiffin, "Plate boundaries and evolution of the Solomon Sea region", *Geo-Marine Letters* **7**, 161–168 (1987).
- ²⁵³A. C. Horleston and G. R. Helffrich, "Constraining sediment subduction: A converted phase study of the Aleutians and Marianas", *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **359–360**, 141–151 (2012).
- ²⁵⁴S.-K. Hsu, Y.-C. Yeh, J.-C. Sibuet, W.-B. Doo, and C.-H. Tsai, "A mega-splay fault system and tsunami hazard in the southern Ryukyu subduction zone", *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **362**, 99–107 (2013).
- ²⁵⁵Y.-J. Hsu, S.-B. Yu, A. T. R. Song, and T. Bacolcol, "Plate coupling along the Manila subduction zone between Taiwan and northern Luzon", *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* **51**, 98–108 (2012).
- ²⁵⁶H. L. Huang and D. P. Zhao, "High-resolution mantle tomography of China and surrounding regions", *Journal of Geophysical Research* **11**, 10.1029/2005JB004066 (2006).
- ²⁵⁷T. Hubble, J. Webster, P. Yu, M. Fletcher, D. Airey, S. Clarke, D. Mitchell, D. Voelker, A. Puga-Bernabeu, F. Howard, S. Gallagher, and T. Martin, "Submarine Mass Movements and their Consequences. Advances in Natural and Technological Hazards Research", in , edited by G. Lamarche (Springer International Publishing, Switzerland, 2016) Chap. chapter 12. Submarine Landslides and Incised Canyons of the Southeast Queensland Continental Margin, pp. 125–134.
- ²⁵⁸P. F. Hudson, H. Middelkoop, and E. Stouthamer, "Flood management along the Lower Mississippi and Rhine Rivers (The Netherlands) and the continuum of geomorphic adjustment", *Geomorphology* **101**, 209–236 (2008).
- ²⁵⁹R. Huene and R. Culotta, "Tectonic erosion at the front of the Japan Trench convergent margin", *Tectonophysics* **160**, 75–90 (1989).
- ²⁶⁰R. Huene, J. Ladd, and I. Norton, "Geophysical Observations of Slope Deposits, Middle America Trench off Guatemala", *Deep Sea Drilling Project Reports and Publications* **38**, 719–732 (1982).
- ²⁶¹R. Huene and S. Lallemand, "Tectonic erosion along the Japan and Peru convergent margins", *Geological Society of America Bulletin* **102**, 704–720 (1990).
- ²⁶²R. Huene and D. W. Scholl, "Observations at Convergent Margins Concerning Sediment Subduction, Subduction Erosion, and the Growth of Continental Crust", *Review of Geophysics* **29**, 279–316 (1991).
- ²⁶³J. Hunter, D. Dale, E. Firing, and M. Droettboom, *Matplotlib Release 2.2.2*. (2018).
- ²⁶⁴J. D. Hunter, "Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment", *Computing in Science & Engineering* **9**, 90–95 (2007).
- ²⁶⁵L. Husson, "Trench migration and upper plate strain over a convecting mantle", *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **212–213**, 32–43 (2012).
- ²⁶⁶D. M. Hussong and S. Uyeda, "Tectonic process and the history of the Mariana Arc: A synthesis of the results of deep sea drilling project leg 60", *Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project* **60**, 909–929 (1982).

- ²⁶⁷V. A. I. Huvenne, P. A. Tyler, D. G. Masson, E. H. Fisher, C. Hauton, V. Hühnerbach, T. P. L. Bas, and G. A. Wolff, “A Picture on the Wall: Innovative Mapping Reveals Cold-Water Coral Refuge in Submarine Canyon”, *PlosOne* **6**, 1–9 (2011).
- ²⁶⁸M. Ianniruberto, M. Trevethan, A. Pinheiro, J. F. Andrade, E. Dantas, N. Filizola, A. Santos, and C. Gualtieri, “A field study of the confluence between Negro and Solimoes Rivers. Part 2: Bed morphology and stratigraphy”, *Comptes Rendus Geoscience* **350**, 43–54 (2018).
- ²⁶⁹M. C. Ichino, M. R. Clark, J. C. Drazen, A. J. Jamieson, D. O. B. Jones, A. P. Martin, A. A. Rowden, T. M. Shank, P. H. Yancey, and H. A. Ruhl, “The distribution of benthic biomass in hadal trenches: A modelling approach to investigate the effect of vertical and lateral organic matter transport to the seafloor”, *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **100**, 21–33 (2015).
- ²⁷⁰Y. Ichiyama, H. Ito, N. Hokanishi, A. Tamura, and S. Arai, “Plutonic rocks in the MineokaSetogawa ophiolitic mélange, central Japan: Fragments of middle to lower crust of the IzuBoninMariana Arc?”, *Lithos* **282–283**, 420–430 (2017).
- ²⁷¹IHO, *GEBCO Gazetteer of Undersea Feature Names* (IHO-IOC, Oct. 2012).
- ²⁷²IHO, *Standardization of Undersea Feature Names: Guidelines Proposal form Terminology*, 4th ed. (International Hydrographic Organization and Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission, Monaco, 2008).
- ²⁷³M. J. Ikari, J. Kameda, D. M. Saffer, and A. J. Kopf, “Strength characteristics of Japan Trench borehole samples in the high-slip region of the 2011 Tohoku-Oki earthquake”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **412**, 35–41 (2015).
- ²⁷⁴K. Ikehara, T. Kanamatsu, Y. Nagahashi, M. Strasser, H. Fink, K. Usami, T. Irino, and G. Wefer, “Documenting large earthquakes similar to the 2011 Tohoku-oki earthquake from sediments deposited in the Japan Trench over the past 1500 years”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **445**, 48–56 (2016).
- ²⁷⁵A. Y. Iliev, V. M. Kaistrenko, E. V. Gretskeya, E. A. Tikhonchuk, N. G. Razjigaeva, T. A. Grebennikova, L. A. Ganzey, and A. A. Kharlamov, “Tsunamis: case studies and recent developments. advances in natural and technological hazards research”, in, edited by K. Satake (Springer, Netherlands, 2005) Chap. Holocene tsunami traces on Kunashir Island, Kurile subduction zone, pp. 171–192.
- ²⁷⁶International Seismological Centre, *ISC-EHB dataset*, 2019.
- ²⁷⁷B. L. Isacks and P. Molnar, “Distribution of stresses in the descending lithosphere from a global survey of focal mechanism solutions of mantle earthquakes”, *Reviews of Geophysics and Space Physics* **9**, 103–173 (1971).
- ²⁷⁸J.-i. Ishibashi, U. Tsunogai, T. Toki, N. Ebina, T. Gamo, Y. Sano, H. Masuda, and H. Chiba, “Chemical composition of hydrothermal fluids in the central and southern Mariana Trough backarc basin”, *Deep-Sea Research Part II* **121**, 126–136 (2015).
- ²⁷⁹O. Ishizuka, K. Uto, and M. Yuasa, “Intra-Oceanic Subduction Systems: Tectonic and Magmatic Processes”, in, edited by R. D. Larter and P. T. Leat (Geological Society London Special Publications, London, U. K., 2003) Chap. Volcanic history of the back-arc of the Izu-Bonin (Ogasawara) arc, pp. 187–205.
- ²⁸⁰O. Ishizuka, M. Yuasa, Y. Tamura, H. Shukuno, R. J. Stern, J. Naka, M. Joshima, and R. N. Taylor, “Migrating shoshonitic magmatism tracks Izu-Bonin-Mariana intra-oceanic arc rift propagation”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **294**, 111–122 (2010).
- ²⁸¹O. Ishizuka, R. Hickey-Vargas, R. J. Arculus, G. M. Yogodzinski, I. P. Savov, Y. Kusano, A. McCarthy, P. A. Brandl, and M. Sudo, “Age of Izu-Bonin-Mariana arc basement”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **481**, 80–90 (2018).
- ²⁸²T. Isse, H. Shiobara, J.-P. Montagner, H. Sugioka, A. Ito, A. Shito, T. Kanazawa, and K. Yoshizawa, “Anisotropic structures of the upper mantle beneath the northern Philippine Sea region from Rayleigh and Love wave tomography”, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **183**, 33–43 (2010).
- ²⁸³T. Ito and Y. Ogawa, “Origin of ferro-manganese nodules from the Japan Trench oceanward slope”, *The Geographical Journal*, Tokyo Geographical Society **103**, in Japanese with English abstract, 684–695 (1994).
- ²⁸⁴M. Itoh, K. Kawamura, T. Kitahashi, S. Kojima, H. Katagiri, and M. Shimanaga, “Bathymetric patterns of meio-faunal abundance and biomass associated with the Kuril and Ryukyu trenches, western North Pacific Ocean”, *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **58**, 86–97 (2011).

- ²⁸⁵A. J. Jamieson, *The hadal zone: life in the deepest oceans* (Cambridge University Press, 2015).
- ²⁸⁶A. J. Jamieson and T. Fujii, "Trench Connection", *Biology Letters* **7**, 641–643 (2011).
- ²⁸⁷A. J. Jamieson, T. Fujii, D. J. Mayor, M. Solan, and I. G. Priede, "Hadal trenches: the ecology of the deepest places on Earth", *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **25**, 190–197 (2009).
- ²⁸⁸A. J. Jamieson, N. M. Kilgallen, A. A. Rowden, T. Fujii, T. Horton, A.-N. Lörz, K. Kitazawa, and I. G. Priede, "Bait-attending fauna of the Kermadec Trench, SW Pacific Ocean: Evidence for an ecotone across the abyssal-hadal transition zone", *Deep-Sea Research I*, **58**, 49–62 (2011).
- ²⁸⁹A. J. Jamieson, N. C. Lacey, A.-N. Lörz, A. A. Rowden, and S. B. Piertney, "The supergiant amphipod *Alicella gigantea* (Crustacea: Alicellidae) from hadal depths in the Kermadec Trench, SW Pacific Ocean", *Deep-Sea Research Part II Topical Studies in Oceanography* **92**, 107–113 (2013).
- ²⁹⁰A. J. Jamieson, "A contemporary perspective on hadal science", *Deep-Sea Research Part II* **155**, 4–10 (2018).
- ²⁹¹K. Janeka, "Transformation of 3D geospatial data into CityGML - a case of Prague", *Reports on Geodesy and Geoinformatics* **107**, 41–48 (2019).
- ²⁹²C. Janssen, R. Naumann, L. Morales, R. Wirth, D. Rhede, and G. Dresen, "Co-seismic and/or a-seismic microstructures of JFAST 343 core samples from the Japan Trench", *Marine Geology* **362**, 33–42 (2015).
- ²⁹³R. Jarrard, "Relations among subduction parameters", *Reviews of Geophysics* **24**, 217–284 (1986).
- ²⁹⁴P. Jean-Baptiste, P. Allard, E. Fourré, P. Bani, S. Calabrese, A. Aiuppa, P. J. Gauthier, F. Parello, B. Pelletier, and E. Garaebiti, "Spatial distribution of helium isotopes in volcanic gases and thermal waters along the Vanuatu (New Hebrides) volcanic arc", *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* **322**, 20–29 (2016).
- ²⁹⁵R. Jiao, F. Herman, and D. Seward, "Late Cenozoic exhumation model of New Zealand: Impacts from tectonics and climate", *Earth-Science Reviews* **166**, 286–298 (2017).
- ²⁹⁶R. Jiao, D. Seward, T. A. Little, and B. P. Kohn, "Unroofing of fore-arc ranges along the Hikurangi Margin, New Zealand: Constraints from low-temperature thermochronology.", *Tectonophysics* **656**, 39–51 (2015).
- ²⁹⁷A. Jimenez-Diaz, J. Ruiz, M. Pérez-Gussinyé, J. F. Kirby, J. A. Alvarez-Gómez, R. Tejero, and R. Capote, "Spatial variations of effective elastic thickness of the lithosphere in Central America and surrounding regions", *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **391**, 55–66 (2014).
- ²⁹⁸R. W. Johnson, "Volcanism in Australasia: A collection papers in honour of the late G. A. M. Taylor, G. C.", in, edited by R. W. Johnson (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1976) Chap. Late Cainozoic volcanism and plate tectonics at the southern margin of the Bismarck Sea, Papua New Guinea, pp. 101–116.
- ²⁹⁹E. Jones, T. E. Oliphant, and P. Peterson, *SciPy: open source scientific tools for Python* (2014), URL: <http://www.scipy.org>.
- ³⁰⁰J.-L. Joron, H. Bougault, R. C. Maury, and J.-F. Stephan, "Basalt from the Cocos Plate, Site 487, Leg 66: Petrology and Geochemistry", *Deep Sea Drilling Project Reports and Publications* **66**, 731–734 (1982).
- ³⁰¹A. G. Journel, *Fundamental of Geostatistics in Five Lessons. Short Course in Geology: Volume 8*, 28th International Geological Congress (American Geophysical Union, Washington D.C., 2000), 57 pp.
- ³⁰²A. G. Journel and C. Huijbregts, *Mining Geostatistics*, Chapter 5 (Academic Press, 1978), 600 pp.
- ³⁰³T. V. Joydas, M. A. Qurban, S. M. Ali, J. F. Albarau, L. Rabaoui, K. P. Manikandan, M. Ashraf, V. P. Papadopoulos, S. Giacobbe, and P. K. Krishnakumar, "Macrobenthic community structure in the deep waters of the Red Sea", *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **137**, 38–56 (2018).
- ³⁰⁴A. V. Judge and M. K. McNutt, "The relationship between plate curvature and elastic plate thickness: a study of the Peru-Chile trench", *Journal of Geophysical Research* **96**, 16625–16639 (1991), URL: <https://doi.org/10.1029/90JB01772>.
- ³⁰⁵L. M. Kalnins and A. B. Watts, "Spatial variations in effective elastic thickness in the Western Pacific Ocean and their implications for Mesozoic volcanism", *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **286**, 89–100 (2009).
- ³⁰⁶A. Kamimura, J. Kasahara, M. Shinohara, R. Hino, H. Shiobara, G. Fujie, and T. Kanazawa, "Crustal structure study at the Izu-Bonin subduction zone around 31°N: implications of serpentinized materials along the subduction plate boundary", *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **132**, 105–129 (2002).

- ³⁰⁷H. Kao and W. Chen, “The double seismic zone in Kuril-Kamchatka: the tale of two overlapping single zones”, *Geophysical Journal International* **99**, 6913–6930 (1994), URL: <https://doi.org/10.1029/93JB03409>.
- ³⁰⁸S. Karato, M. R. Riedel, and D. A. Yuen, “Rheological structure and deformation of subducted slabs in the mantle transition zone: implications for mantle circulation and deep earthquakes”, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **127**, 1–7 (2001).
- ³⁰⁹S. Karato and D. C. Rubie, “Towards an experimental study of deep mantle rheology: a new multi-anvil sample assembly for deformation studies under high pressures and temperatures”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **102**, 20111–20122 (1997).
- ³¹⁰D. E. Karig, “Accreted terranes in the northern part of the Philippine archipelago”, *Tectonics* **2**, 211–236 (1983).
- ³¹¹M. Kato and T. H. Jordan, “Seismic structure of the upper mantle beneath the western Philippine Sea”, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **110**, 263–283 (1999).
- ³¹²M. Kawabe, “Deep Ocean Circulation: Physical and Chemical Aspects”, in, edited by T. Teramoto (1993) Chap. Deep water properties and circulation in the western North Pacific, pp. 17–37.
- ³¹³R. W. Kay, “Aleutian magnesian andesites: melts from subducted Pacific ocean crust”, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* **4**, 117–132 (1978).
- ³¹⁴J. Kennett, *Marine Geology* (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1982).
- ³¹⁵V. G. Khomich, N. G. Boriskina, and S. A. Kasatkin, “Geology, magmatism, metallogeny, and geodynamics of the South Kuril Islands”, *Ore Geology Reviews* **105**, 151–162 (2019).
- ³¹⁶Y. M. Kim, S.-M. Lee, and K. Okino, “Comparison of gravity anomaly between mature and immature intra-oceanic subduction zones in the western Pacific”, *Tectonophysics* **474**, 657–673 (2009).
- ³¹⁷K. Kiminami, T. Imaoka, K. Ogura, H. Kawabata, H. Ishizuka, and Y. Mori, “Tectonic implications of Early Miocene OIB magmatism in a near-trench setting: The Outer Zone of SW Japan and the northernmost Ryukyu Islands”, *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* **135**, 291–302 (2017).
- ³¹⁸S. H. Kirby, W. B. Durham, and L. A. Stern, “Mantle phase changes and deep-earthquake faulting in subducted lithosphere”, *Science* **252**, 216–225 (1991).
- ³¹⁹S. H. Kirby, S. Stein, E. A. Okal, and D. C. Rubie, “Deep earthquakes and metastable phase transformations in subducting oceanic lithosphere”, *Review of Geophysics* **34**, 261–306 (1996).
- ³²⁰T. Kitahashi, K. Kawamura, S. Kojima, and M. Shimanaga, “Bathymetric patterns of a and b diversity of harpacticoid copepods at the genus level around the Ryukyu Trench, and turnover diversity between trenches around Japan”, *Progress in Oceanography* **123**, 54–63 (2014).
- ³²¹T. Kitahashi, R. G. Jenkins, H. Nomaki, M. Shimanaga, K. Fujikura, and S. Kojima, “Effect of the 2011 Tohoku Earthquake on deep-sea meiofaunal assemblages inhabiting the landward slope of the Japan Trench”, *Marine Geology* **358**, 128–137 (2014).
- ³²²K. Kizaki, “Geology and tectonics of the Ryukyu Island”, *Tectonophysics* **125**, 193–207 (1986).
- ³²³I. Klaucke, W. Weinrebe, C. J. Petersen, and D. A. Bowden, “Temporal variability of gas seeps offshore New Zealand: Multi-frequency geoacoustic imaging of the Wairarapa area, Hikurangi margin”, *Marine Geology* **272**, 49–58 (2010).
- ³²⁴M. Klauco, B. Gregorova, U. Stankov, V. Markovic, and P. Lemenkova, “Determination of ecological significance based on geostatistical assessment: a case study from the Slovak Natura 2000 protected area”, *Central European Journal of Geosciences* **5**, 28–42 (2013).
- ³²⁵M. Klauco, B. Gregorová, P. Koleda, U. Stankov, V. Markovic, and P. Lemenkova, “Land Planning as a Support for Sustainable Development Based on Tourism: A Case Study of Slovak Rural Region”, *Environmental Engineering and Management Journal* **16**, 449–458 (2017).
- ³²⁶M. Klauco, B. Gregorová, U. Stankov, V. Markovic, and P. Lemenkova, “Interpretation of Landscape Values, Typology and Quality Using Methods of Spatial Metrics for Ecological Planning”, in *Environmental & climate technologies. proceedings of the 54th conference* (2013), pp. 1–1.

- ³²⁷M. Klauo, B. Gregorová, U. Stankov, V. Markovi, and P. Lemenkova, “Landscape metrics as indicator for ecological significance: assessment of Sitno Natura 2000 sites, Slovakia”, in *Ecology and environmental protection, Conference Proceedings* (2014), pp. 85–90.
- ³²⁸B. Klauer, J. Schiller, and F. Bathe, *Cost-effective improvement of river morphology*, Document in EconStor (Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics in Cooperation with: Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research (UFZ), 2014).
- ³²⁹C. Kleiber and A. Zeileis, *Applied Econometrics with R* (Springer, 2008).
- ³³⁰F. Klingelhoefer, T. Berthet, S. Lallemand, P. Schnurle, C.-S. Lee, C.-S. Liu, K. McIntosh, and T. Theunissen, “P-wave velocity structure of the southern Ryukyu margin east of Taiwan: Results from the ACTS wide-angle seismic experiment”, *Tectonophysics* **578**, 50–62 (2012).
- ³³¹D. E. Knuth, *The T_EXBook*, Addison-Wesley (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, Massachusetts, 1984), 483 pp., ISBN: 0-201-13448-9.
- ³³²K. Kobayashi, K. Fujioka, T. Fujiwara, Y. Iwabuchi, and H. Kitazato, “Why is the Palau Trench so Deep? Deep-Sea Trench without Plate Convergence”, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **73**, Ser. B, 89–94 (1997), URL: https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/pjab1977/73/6/73_6_89/_pdf.
- ³³³S. Kodaira, L. T. Iwasaki, T. Urabe, T. Kanazawa, F. Egloff, J. Makris, and H. Shimamura, “Crustal structure across the middle Ryukyu trench obtained from ocean bottom seismographic data”, *Tectonophysics* **263**, 39–60 (1996).
- ³³⁴S. Kodaira, T. No, Y. Nakamura, T. Fujiwara, Y. Kaiho, S. Miura, N. Takahashi, Y. Kaneda, and A. Taira, “Coseismic fault rupture at the trench axis during the 2011 Tohoku-Oki earthquake”, *Nature Geoscience* **5**, 646–650 (2012).
- ³³⁵X. Kong, S. Li, Y. Wang, Y. Suo, L. Dai, L. Géli, Y. Zhang, L. Guo, and P. Wang, “Causes of earthquake spatial distribution beneath the Izu-Bonin-Mariana Arc”, *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, 10.1016/j.jseaes.2017.10.015 (2017).
- ³³⁶Q. Kou, L. Gong, and X. Li, “A new species of the deep-sea spongicolid genus *Spongicoloides* (Crustacea, Decapoda, Stenopodidea) and a new species of the glass sponge genus *Corbitella* (Hexactinellida, Lyssacinosa, Euplectellidae) from a seamount near the Mariana Trench, with a novel commensal relationship between the two genera”, *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **135**, 88–107 (2018).
- ³³⁷A. Krabbenhoeft, J. Bialas, I. Klaucke, G. J. Crutchley, C. Papenberg, and G. L. Netzeband, “Patterns of subsurface fluid-flow at cold seeps: The Hikurangi Margin, offshore New Zealand”, *Marine and Petroleum Geology* **39**, 59–73 (2013).
- ³³⁸A. Krabbenhoeft, G. L. Netzeband, J. Bialas, and C. Papenberg, “Episodic methane concentrations at seep sites on the upper slope Opouawe Bank, southern Hikurangi Margin, New Zealand”, *Marine Geology* **272**, 71–78 (2010).
- ³³⁹J. B. Kruskal, “Nonmetric Multidimensional Scaling: A numerical method”, *Psychometrika* **29**, 115–129 (1964).
- ³⁴⁰C.-Y. Ku and S.-K. Hsu, “Crustal structure and deformation at the northern Manila Trench between Taiwan and Luzon islands”, *Tectonophysics* **466**, 229–240 (2009).
- ³⁴¹D. Kuhlman, *A Python Book: Beginning Python, Advanced Python, and Python Exercises*, edited by O. Tutorial (Moscow, 2013), 278 pp., URL: https://www.davekuhlman.org/python_book_01.pdf.
- ³⁴²G. Kuhn, C. Hass, M. Kober, M. Petitot, T. Feigl, C.-D. Hillenbrand, S. Kruger, M. Forwick, S. Gauger, and P. Lemenkova, *The response of quaternary climatic cycles in the south-east pacific: development of the opal belt and dynamics behavior of the west antarctic ice sheet*, Expeditionsprogramm Nr. 75 ANT XXIII/4, AWI, Bremerhaven, Germany, 2006.
- ³⁴³M. Kumar, “Free ‘Cook Book’ for gridding bathymetric data”, *EOS Transactions American Geophysical Union* **94**, News, Washington, D.C., USA, 88–88 (2013).
- ³⁴⁴B.-Y. Kuo, C.-C. Wang, S.-C. Lin, C.-R. Lin, P.-C. Chen, J.-P. Jang, and H. K. Chang, “Shear-wave splitting at the edge of the Ryukyu subduction zone”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **355–356**, 262–270 (2012).

- ³⁴⁵V. B. Kurnosov, I. Murdmaa, V. Kazakova, V. Mikhina, and A. Y. Shevchenko, "Mineralogy of Sediments from the Middle America Trench (Guatemala Transect)", *Deep Sea Drilling Project Reports and Publications* **67**, 515–528 (1982).
- ³⁴⁶V. B. Kurnosov and A. Y. Shevchenko, "Secondary Minerals in Basalts from the Middle America Trench, Leg 67", *Deep Sea Drilling Project Reports and Publications* **22**, 551–555 (1982).
- ³⁴⁷N. C. Lacey, D. J. Mayor, T. D. Linley, and A. J. Jamieson, "Population structure of the hadal amphipod *Bathycallisoma* (*Scopelochelirus*) *schellenbergi* in the Kermadec Trench and New Hebrides Trench, SW Pacific", *Deep-Sea Research Part II* **155**, 50–60 (2018).
- ³⁴⁸N. C. Lacey, A. A. Rowden, M. R. Clark, N. M. Kilgallen, T. D. Linley, D. J. Mayor, and A. J. Jamieson, "Community structure and diversity of scavenging amphipods from bathyal to hadal depths in three South Pacific Trenches", *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **111**, 121–137 (2016).
- ³⁴⁹M. Lacharité and A. Metaxas, "Hard substrate in the deep ocean: How sediment features influence epibenthic megafauna on the eastern Canadian margin", *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **126**, 50–61 (2017).
- ³⁵⁰S. Lallemand, A. Heuret, C. Faccenna, and F. Funiciello, "Subduction dynamics as revealed by trench migration", *Tectonics* **27**, 3014 (2008).
- ³⁵¹G. Lamarche, J.-Y. Collot, R. A. Wood, M. Sosson, R. Sutherland, and J. Delteil, "The Oligocene-Miocene Pacific-Australia plate boundary, south of New Zealand: Evolution from oceanic spreading to strike-slip faulting", *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **148**, 129–139 (1997).
- ³⁵²G. Lamarche and J.-F. Lebrun, "Transition from strike-slip faulting to oblique subduction: active tectonics at the Puysegur Margin, South New Zealand", *Tectonophysics* **316**, 67–89 (2000).
- ³⁵³W. Lang, S. Sirisansaneeyakul, L. O. Martins, L. Ngiwsara, N. Sakairi, W. Pathom-aree, M. Okuyama, H. Mori, and A. Kimura, "Biodecolorization of a food azo dye by the deep sea *Dermacoccus abyssi* MT1.1T strain from the Mariana Trench", *Journal of Environmental Management* **132**, 155–164 (2014).
- ³⁵⁴A. Lanier, C. Romsos, and C. Goldfinger, "Seafloor habitat mapping on the Oregon continental margin: A spatially nested GIS approach to mapping scale, mapping methods, and accuracy quantification", *Marine Geodesy* **30**, 51–76 (2007).
- ³⁵⁵B. Larsen, "Sediments from the Philippine Trench", *Galathea Report* **9**, 7–21 (1968).
- ³⁵⁶T. Lay, Y. Yamazaki, C. J. Ammon, K. F. Cheung, and H. Kanamori, "The 2011 MW 9.0 off the Pacific coast of Tohoku earthquake: comparison of deep-water tsunami signals with finite-fault rupture model predictions", *Earth Planets Space* **63**, 797–801 (2011).
- ³⁵⁷T. B. Le, A. Crosato, and W. S. J. Uijttewaalt, "Long-term morphological developments of river channels separated by a longitudinal training wall", *Advances in Water Resources* **113**, 73–85 (2018).
- ³⁵⁸D. Leduc, "New species of *Thelonema*, *Metasphaerolaimus*, and *Monhystrella* (Nematoda, Monhysterida) from Kermadec Trench, Southwest Pacific", *European Journal of Taxonomy* **158**, 1–19 (2015).
- ³⁵⁹D. Leduc and A. A. Rowden, "Nematode communities in sediments of the Kermadec Trench, Southwest Pacific Ocean", *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **134**, 23–31 (2018).
- ³⁶⁰D. Leduc, A. A. Rowden, R. N. Glud, F. Wenzhöfer, H. Kitazato, and M. R. Clark, "Comparison between infaunal communities of the deep floor and edge of the Tonga Trench: possible effects of differences in organic matter supply", *Deep-Sea Research Part I Oceanographic Research Papers* **116**, 264–275 (2016).
- ³⁶¹D. Leduc, A. A. Rowden, R. N. Glud, F. Wenzhöfer, H. Kitazato, and M. R. Clark, "Comparison between infaunal communities of the deep floor and edge of the Tonga Trench: Possible effects of differences in organic matter supply", *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **116**, 264–275 (2016).
- ³⁶²S.-M. Lee, "Deformation from the convergence of oceanic lithosphere into Yap trench and its implications for early-stage subduction", *Journal of Geodynamics* **37**, 83–102 (2004).
- ³⁶³J. d. Leeuw, K. Hornik, and P. Mair, "Isotone Optimization in R: Pool-Adjacent-Violators Algorithm (PAVA) and Active Set Methods", *Journal of Statistical Software* **32**, 1–24 (2009).
- ³⁶⁴R. Lehu, S. Lallemand, S.-K. Hsu, N. Babonneau, G. Ratzov, A. T. Lin, and L. Dezileau, "Deep-sea sedimentation offshore eastern Taiwan: Facies and processes characterization", *Marine Geology* **369**, 1–18 (2015).

- ³⁶⁵V. Lemenkov and P. Lemenkova, "Measuring Equivalent Cohesion C_{eq} of the Frozen Soils by Compression Strength Using Kriolab Equipment", *Civil and Environmental Engineering Reports* **31**, 63–84 (2021), URL: <https://ceer.com.pl/resources/html/article/details?id=215589>.
- ³⁶⁶V. Lemenkov and P. Lemenkova, "Using TeX Markup Language for 3D and 2D Geological Plotting", *Foundations of Computing and Decision Sciences* **46**, 43–69 (2021), URL: <https://content.sciendo.com/view/journals/fcds/46/1/article-p43.xml>.
- ³⁶⁷P. Lemenkova, *Morphostructural features of deep-sea trenches of the Pacific Ocean, the problem of their origin (Report)*, Russian (Moscow, Russia, 2021), pp. 1–268.
- ³⁶⁸P. Lemenkova, *Morphostructural features of deep-sea trenches of the Pacific Ocean, the problem of their origin (Synopsis)*, Russian (Moscow, Russia, 2021), pp. 1–46, URL: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/351979556_Morphostructural_features_of_deep-sea_trenches_of_the_Pacific_Ocean_the_problem_of_their_origin.
- ³⁶⁹P. Lemenkova, "SAGA GIS for Computing Multispectral Vegetation Indices by Landsat TM for Mapping Vegetation Greenness", *Contemporary Agriculture* **70**, 67–75 (2021), URL: <https://sciendo.com/article/10.2478/contagri-2021-0011>.
- ³⁷⁰P. Lemenkova, "Topography of the Aleutian Trench south-east off Bowers Ridge, Bering Sea, in the context of the geological development of North Pacific Ocean", *Baltica* **34**, 27–46 (2021), URL: <https://gamtostyrimai.lt/en/publications/listingCategory/category.1020>.
- ³⁷¹P. Lemenkova, "Scripting Languages for Geomorphological Modelling and Topographic Visualization of Serbia", *Zbornik Matice srpske za prirodne nauke* **140**, 113–125 (2021), URL: <http://doiserbia.nb.rs/journal.aspx?issn=0352-4906>.
- ³⁷²P. Lemenkova, "Mapping environmental and climate variations by GMT: a case of Zambia, Central Africa", *Zemljite i biljka* **70**, 117–136 (2021), URL: <http://www.sdpz.rs/index.php/sr-yu/casopis-zemljite-i-biljka>.
- ³⁷³P. Lemenkova, "Accurate and rapid big spatial data processing by scripting cartographic algorithms: advanced seafloor mapping of the deep-sea trenches along the margins of the Pacific Ocean", in *Bringing Land, Ocean, Atmosphere and Ionosphere Data to the Community for Hazard Alerts* (May 24, 2021), URL: <https://agu.confex.com/agu/21workshop2/meetingapp.cgi/Home/0>, SLIDESHARE.
- ³⁷⁴P. Lemenkova, "Morphostructure features of the deep-sea trenches in the Pacific Ocean: the problem of their origin", Russian, in *Seminar of the laboratory of regional geophysics and natural disasters*, in Russian (May 24, 2021), SLIDESHARE.
- ³⁷⁵P. Lemenkova, "The visualization of geophysical and geomorphologic data from the area of Weddell Sea by the Generic Mapping Tools", *Studia Quaternaria* **38**, 19–32 (2021), URL: <https://www.czasopisma.pan.pl/sq>.
- ³⁷⁶P. Lemenkova, "Cartographic Processing of the Multi-Source Geospatial Datasets by GMT for Mapping Variability in Geologic Setting and Bathymetry of the Pacific Ocean", *Mercator* **20**, 1–18 (2021), URL: <http://www.mercator.ufc.br/mercator/article/view/e20013>.
- ³⁷⁷P. Lemenkova, "Geodynamic setting of Scotia Sea and its effects on geomorphology of South Sandwich Trench, Southern Ocean", *Polish Polar Research* **42**, 1–23 (2021), URL: <https://journals.pan.pl/ppr/136510>.
- ³⁷⁸P. Lemenkova, "Data-driven insights into correlation among geophysical setting, topography and seafloor sediments in the Ross Sea, Antarctic", *Caderno de Geografia* **31**, 1–20 (2021).
- ³⁷⁹P. Lemenkova, "Java and Sumatra Segments of the Sunda Trench: Geomorphology and Geophysical Settings Analysed and Visualized by GMT", *Glasnik Srpskog Geografskog Drustva* **100**, 1–23 (2020).
- ³⁸⁰P. Lemenkova, "Using R packages 'tmap', 'raster' and 'ggmap' for cartographic visualization: An example of dem-based terrain modelling of Italy, Apennine Peninsula", *Zbornik radova - Geografski fakultet Univerziteta u Beogradu* **68**, 99–116 (2020).
- ³⁸¹P. Lemenkova, "GRASS GIS for classification of Landsat TM images by maximum likelihood discriminant analysis: Tokyo area, Japan", *Geodetski glasnik* **51**, 5–25 (2020).
- ³⁸²P. Lemenkova, "Insights on the Indian Ocean tectonics and geophysics supported by GMT", *Risks and Catastrophes Journal* **27**, 67–83 (2020).

- 383 P. Lemenkova, "Scripting cartographic methods of GMT for mapping the New Britain and San Cristobal trenches, Solomon Sea, Papua New Guinea", *Revista da Casa da Geografia de Sobral* **22**, 122–142 (2020).
- 384 P. Lemenkova, "Sentinel-2 for High Resolution Mapping of Slope-Based Vegetation Indices Using Machine Learning by SAGA GIS", *Transylvanian Review of Systematical and Ecological Research* **22**, 17–34 (2020).
- 385 P. Lemenkova, "Analysis of the difference in depths and variation in slope steepness of the Sunda Trench, Indonesia, east Indian Ocean", *Revista de Geomorfologie* **22**, 21–41 (2020).
- 386 P. Lemenkova, "Sediment thickness in the Bay of Bengal and Andaman Sea compared with topography and geophysical settings by GMT", *Ovidius University Annals Series: Civil Engineering* **22**, 13–22 (2020).
- 387 P. Lemenkova, "GEBCO and ETOPO1 gridded datasets for GMT based cartographic mapping of Hikurangi, Puysegur and Hjort Trenches, New Zealand", *Acta Universitatis Lodziensis. Folia Geographica Physica* **19**, 7–18 (2020).
- 388 P. Lemenkova, "GRASS GIS Modules for Topographic and Geophysical Analysis of the ETOPO1 DEM and Raster Data: North Fiji Basin, Pacific Ocean", *Geographia Napocensis* **14**, 27–38 (2020).
- 389 P. Lemenkova, "Applying Automatic Mapping Processing by GMT to Bathymetric and Geophysical Data: Cascadia Subduction Zone, Pacific Ocean", *Journal of Environmental Geography* **13**, 15–26 (2020).
- 390 P. Lemenkova, "Object Based Image Segmentation Algorithm of SAGA GIS for Detecting Urban Spaces in Yaoundé, Cameroon", *Central European Journal of Geography and Sustainable Development* **2**, 38–51 (2020).
- 391 P. Lemenkova, "Geomorphology of the Puerto Rico Trench and Cayman Trough in the Context of the Geological Evolution of the Caribbean Sea", *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Sklodowska, sectio B Geographia, Geologia, Mineralogia et Petrographia* **75**, 115–141 (2020).
- 392 P. Lemenkova, "Using GMT for 2D and 3D Modeling of the Ryukyu Trench Topography, Pacific Ocean", *Miscellanea Geographica* **25**, 1–13 (2020).
- 393 P. Lemenkova, "NOAA Marine Geophysical Data and a GEBCO Grid for the Topographical Analysis of Japanese Archipelago by Means of GRASS GIS and GDAL Library", *Geomatics and Environmental Engineering* **14**, 25–45 (2020).
- 394 P. Lemenkova, "Hyperspectral Vegetation Indices Calculated by Qgis Using Landsat Tm Image: a Case Study of Northern Iceland", *Advanced Research in Life Sciences* **4**, 70–78 (2020).
- 395 P. Lemenkova, "SAGA GIS for information extraction on presence and conditions of vegetation of northern coast of Iceland based on the Landsat TM", *Acta Biologica Marisiensis* **3**, 10–21 (2020).
- 396 P. Lemenkova, "GEBCO Gridded Bathymetric Datasets for Mapping Japan Trench Geomorphology by Means of GMT Scripting Toolset", *Geodesy and Cartography* **46**, 98–112 (2020).
- 397 P. Lemenkova, "The geomorphology of the Makran Trench in the context of the geological and geophysical settings of the Arabian Sea", *Geology, Geophysics and Environment* **46**, 205–222 (2020).
- 398 P. Lemenkova, "Fractal surfaces of synthetical DEM generated by GRASS GIS module r.surf.fractal from ETOPO1 raster grid", *Journal of Geodesy and Geoinformation* **7**, 86–102 (2020).
- 399 P. Lemenkova, "Python libraries matplotlib, seaborn and pandas for visualization geospatial datasets generated by QGIS", *Analele tiinifice ale Universitii "Alexandru Ioan Cuza" din Iai - seria Geografie* **64**, 13–32 (2020).
- 400 P. Lemenkova, "Integration of geospatial data for mapping variation of sediment thickness in the North Sea", *Scientific Annals of the Danube Delta Institute* **25**, 129–138 (2020).
- 401 P. Lemenkova, "Mapping Beaufort Sea Topography and Geophysical Settings Using High-Resolution Geospatial Data and GMT", *Geografické informácie* **24**, 4–18 (2020).
- 402 P. Lemenkova, "R Libraries {dendextend} and {magrittr} and Clustering Package scipy.cluster of Python For Modelling Diagrams of Dendrogram Trees", *Carpathian Journal of Electronic and Computer Engineering* **13**, 5–12 (2020).
- 403 P. Lemenkova, "Seafloor Mapping of the Atlantic Ocean by GMT: Visualizing Mid-Atlantic Ridge Spreading, Sediment Distribution and Tectonic Development", *Acta Geobalcanica* **6**, 145–157 (2020).
- 404 P. Lemenkova, "Mapping South China Sea Region by GMT for Marine Geological Analysis and Visualization", *Analele Universitii din Oradea, Seria Geografie* **30**, 107–121 (2020).

- ⁴⁰⁵P. Lemenkova, “Variations in the bathymetry and bottom morphology of the Izu-Bonin Trench modelled by GMT”, *Bulletin of Geography. Physical Geography Series* **18**, 41–60 (2020).
- ⁴⁰⁶P. Lemenkova, “Cartographic Interpretation of the Seafloor Geomorphology Using GMT: a Case Study of the Manila Trench, South China Sea”, *Aksaray University Journal of Science and Engineering* **4**, 1–18 (2020).
- ⁴⁰⁷P. Lemenkova, “GMT Based Comparative Geomorphological Analysis of the Vityaz and Vanuatu Trenches, Fiji Basin”, *Geodetski List* **74**, 19–39 (2020).
- ⁴⁰⁸P. Lemenkova, “Visualization of the geophysical settings in the Philippine Sea margins by means of GMT and ISC data”, *Central European Journal of Geography and Sustainable Development* **2**, 5–15 (2020).
- ⁴⁰⁹P. Lemenkova, “GMT-based geological mapping and assessment of the bathymetric variations of the Kuril-Kamchatka Trench, Pacific Ocean”, *Natural and Engineering Sciences* **5**, 1–17 (2020).
- ⁴¹⁰P. Lemenkova, “AWK and GNU Octave Programming Languages Integrated with Generic Mapping Tools for Geomorphological Analysis”, *GeoScience Engineering* **65**, 1–22 (2019).
- ⁴¹¹P. Lemenkova, “Geomorphological modelling and mapping of the Peru-Chile Trench by GMT”, *Polish Cartographical Review* **51**, 181–194 (2019).
- ⁴¹²P. Lemenkova, “Automatic Data Processing for Visualising Yap and Palau Trenches by Generic Mapping Tools”, *Cartographic Letters* **27**, 72–89 (2019).
- ⁴¹³P. Lemenkova, “Geophysical Modelling of the Middle America Trench using GMT”, *Annals of Valahia University of Targoviste. Geographical Series* **19**, 73–94 (2019).
- ⁴¹⁴P. Lemenkova, “Geospatial Analysis by Python and R: Geomorphology of the Philippine Trench, Pacific Ocean”, *Electronic Letters on Science and Engineering* **15**, 81–94 (2019).
- ⁴¹⁵P. Lemenkova, “Calculating slope gradient variations in the submarine landforms by R and Python statistical libraries”, *MANAS Journal of Engineering* **7**, 99–113 (2019).
- ⁴¹⁶P. Lemenkova, “Plotting Ternary Diagrams by R Library *ggtern* for Geological Modelling”, *Eastern Anatolian Journal of Science* **5**, 16–25 (2019).
- ⁴¹⁷P. Lemenkova, “Deep-Sea Trenches of the Pacific Ocean: a Comparative Analysis of the Submarine Geomorphology by Data Modeling Using GMT, QGIS, Python and R. Mid-Term PhD Thesis Presentation: Current Research Progress”, in *Seminar Mid-Term PhD Thesis Presentation* (Nov. 2019).
- ⁴¹⁸P. Lemenkova, “Topographic surface modelling using raster grid datasets by GMT: example of the Kuril-Kamchatka Trench, Pacific Ocean”, *Reports on Geodesy and Geoinformatics* **108**, 9–22 (2019).
- ⁴¹⁹P. Lemenkova, “Generic Mapping Tools and Matplotlib Package of Python for Geospatial Data Analysis in Marine Geology”, *International Journal of Environment and Geoinformatics* **6**, 225–237 (2019).
- ⁴²⁰P. Lemenkova, “GMT Based Comparative Analysis and Geomorphological Mapping of the Kermadec and Tonga Trenches, Southwest Pacific Ocean”, *Geographia Technica* **14**, 39–48 (2019).
- ⁴²¹P. Lemenkova, “Computing and Plotting Correlograms by Python and R Libraries for Correlation Analysis of the Environmental Data in Marine Geomorphology”, *Jeomorfolojik Aratrmalar Dergisi / Journal of Geomorphological Researches* **3**, 1–16 (2019).
- ⁴²²P. Lemenkova, “Statistical Analysis of the Mariana Trench Geomorphology Using R Programming Language”, *Geodesy and Cartography* **45**, 57–84 (2019).
- ⁴²³P. Lemenkova, “K-means Clustering in R Libraries {cluster} and {factoextra} for Grouping Oceanographic Data”, *International Journal of Informatics and Applied Mathematics* **2**, 1–26 (2019).
- ⁴²⁴P. Lemenkova, “Testing Linear Regressions by StatsModel Library of Python for Oceanological Data Interpretation”, *Aquatic Sciences and Engineering* **34**, 51–60 (2019).
- ⁴²⁵P. Lemenkova, “Numerical Data Modelling and Classification in Marine Geology by the SPSS Statistics”, *International Journal of Engineering Technologies* **5**, 90–99 (2019).
- ⁴²⁶P. Lemenkova, “Regression Models by Gretl and R Statistical Packages for Data Analysis in Marine Geology”, *International Journal of Environmental Trends* **3**, 39–59 (2019).

- ⁴²⁷P. Lemenkova, “Geostatistical Analysis of the Data Sets on the Mariana Trench, Pacific Ocean”, in [Seminar presentation](#) (Apr. 2019).
- ⁴²⁸P. Lemenkova, “Processing oceanographic data by Python libraries NumPy, SciPy and Pandas”, [Aquatic Research](#) **2**, 73–91 (2019).
- ⁴²⁹P. Lemenkova, “An Empirical Study of R Applications for Data Analysis in Marine Geology”, [Marine Science and Technology Bulletin](#) **8**, 1–9 (2019).
- ⁴³⁰P. Lemenkova, “Scatterplot Matrices of the Geomorphic Structure of the Mariana Trench at Four Tectonic Plates (Pacific, Philippine, Mariana and Caroline): a Geostatistical Analysis by R”, in [Problems of tectonics of continents and oceans, Proceedings of the 51st Tectonics Meeting](#), Vol. 1, edited by K. E. Degtyarev (Institute of Geology RAS, Jan. 2019), pp. 347–352.
- ⁴³¹P. Lemenkova, *Replication data for: factor analysis by r programming to assess variability among environmental determinants of the mariana trench*, Dec. 2018, Publisher: Harvard Dataverse.
- ⁴³²P. Lemenkova, “Factor Analysis by R Programming to Assess Variability Among Environmental Determinants of the Mariana Trench”, [Turkish Journal of Maritime and Marine Sciences](#) **4**, 146–155 (2018).
- ⁴³³P. Lemenkova, “R scripting libraries for comparative analysis of the correlation methods to identify factors affecting Mariana Trench formation”, [Journal of Marine Technology and Environment](#) **2**, 35–42 (2018).
- ⁴³⁴P. Lemenkova, “Hierarchical Cluster Analysis by R language for Pattern Recognition in the Bathymetric Data Frame: a Case Study of the Mariana Trench, Pacific Ocean”, in [Virtual simulation, prototyping and industrial design, Proceedings 5th Int’l Conference Issue 5](#), Vol. 2, edited by M. N. Krasnyansky (Nov. 2018), pp. 147–152, ISBN: 978-5-8265-1997-4.
- ⁴³⁵P. Lemenkova, “Using GIS for Monitoring Lacustrine Ecosystem: a Case Study of Laguna de Gallocanta, Spain”, in [Problems of the environmental landscape planning, Conference Proceedings](#), edited by A. I. Klimenko (2016), pp. 237–240.
- ⁴³⁶P. Lemenkova, “Urban-Rural Environmental Gradient in a Developing City: Testing ENVI GIS Functionality”, in [Abishevskie readings, Innovation in the complex processing of mineral raw materials](#) (2016).
- ⁴³⁷P. Lemenkova, “Geodata Management for the Environmental Assessment: a Case Study of Central Finland”, in [Problems of ecology and safety. dalnevostochnaja vesna - 2016, Proceeding of the 14th International Conference](#), edited by I. P. Stepanova and G. E. Nikiforova (2016), pp. 29–31.
- ⁴³⁸P. Lemenkova, “Flow Direction and Length Determined by ArcGIS Spatial Analyst and Terrain Elevation Data Sets”, in [Priority directions of the development of young research farmers in modern science, International Conference Proceedings in Honor of the 25th Anniversary of Caspian Research Institute of Arid Agriculture \(PNIIAZ RAAS\)](#), edited by N. A. Shcherbakova and A. N. Bondarenko (2016), pp. 579–583.
- ⁴³⁹P. Lemenkova, “Linking Data Between the Worksheets and GIS Databases for Effective Management of the Environmental Projects”, in [Green bridge through generations, 3rd international farabi readings, Materials of the 5th International Student Forum](#) (2016), pp. 349–353.
- ⁴⁴⁰P. Lemenkova, “Chemical Pollution of Coastal Waters in the Izmir Bay, Aegean Sea”, in [Biodiversity and evolution, Proceedings of the International Conference in Honor of Academics O.G. Kusakin](#) (2016), pp. 121–126.
- ⁴⁴¹P. Lemenkova, “Bibliographic Resources and Data for Ecology Seminars”, in [Ecological and biological issues of use of natural resources in agriculture](#) (2016), pp. 3–8.
- ⁴⁴²P. Lemenkova, “Experience of Converting Raster Images into Vector Format using AutoTrace Software”, in [Reality - the sum of the information technologies](#) (2015), pp. 105–108.
- ⁴⁴³P. Lemenkova, “Data Capture for Seafloor Bathymetric Mapping Using Software Caris Hips, GMT and ArcGIS”, in [Actual problems of the modern machinery, Proceedings International Conference](#), edited by D. A. Chinakhov (2015), pp. 111–117.
- ⁴⁴⁴P. Lemenkova, “A Technical Approach of Image Segmentation in ENVI GIS to Identify Thematic Clusters for Visualization of Urban Transformations”, in [Reality - the sum of information technologies](#) (2015), pp. 100–104.

- ⁴⁴⁵P. Lemenkova, "Mapping agricultural lands by means of GIS for monitoring use of natural resources", in [Actual problems of the conservation and development of biological resources, Proceedings of the International Conference \(2015\)](#), pp. 226–229.
- ⁴⁴⁶P. Lemenkova, "Topology, Homogeneity and Scale Factors for Object Detection: Application of eCognition Software for Urban Mapping using Multispectral Satellite Image", in [Internet and society. modeling \(2015\)](#), pp. 80–85.
- ⁴⁴⁷P. Lemenkova, "Environmental Hazard Prevention: Monitoring and Control of Landslide Risks in Mountainous Forests", in [Actual problems in the development of forestry \(2015\)](#), pp. 20–21.
- ⁴⁴⁸P. Lemenkova, "Environmental Modelling of Coastal Cities: Urban Landscapes as Complex, Vulnerable and Dynamically Developing Structures", in [Natural resource management and environmental protection, Theory and practice of the economic regulation, Proceedings of the 13th International Conference \(2015\)](#), pp. 636–642.
- ⁴⁴⁹P. Lemenkova, "The New Image of the Suburban Estonia: Evolution of the Environmental Neighborhood under Architectural Reorganization", in [Modern trends and perspectives in the development of tourism and hospitality, Proceedings of the 2nd Conference in Honor of the 100th Anniversary of the Ryazan State University \(2015\)](#), pp. 42–46.
- ⁴⁵⁰P. Lemenkova, "Environmental Modelling of Urban Landscapes as Complex, Vulnerable and Dynamically Developing Structures", in [Biodiversity. bioconservation. biomonitoring, Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference in Honor of the 75th Anniversary of the Adygea State University \(2015\)](#), pp. 170–173.
- ⁴⁵¹P. Lemenkova, "Calculating Areas of the Land Cover Types Using Multi-Temporal Images to Visualize Environmental Dynamics", in [Education, science and industry: development and prospects of cooperation within the framework of regional technology platforms, Materials of the Online Conference \(2015\)](#), pp. 6–11.
- ⁴⁵²P. Lemenkova, "Types of the Human Impacts on the Ecosystems for the GIS Based Environmental Monitoring", in [Biological and ecological regional studies: global, russian and regional problems \(2015\)](#), pp. 215–218.
- ⁴⁵³P. Lemenkova, "The Distribution of Insecticides, Pesticides and Polychlorinated Biphenyls in the Sediments of the Barents Sea", in [Innovations in chemistry, petrochemical industry and biotechnology \(2015\)](#), pp. 224–227.
- ⁴⁵⁴P. Lemenkova, "Satellite Image Based Mapping of Wetland Tundra Landscapes Using ILWIS GIS", in [Actual problems of the state and management of water resources \(2015\)](#), pp. 110–113.
- ⁴⁵⁵P. Lemenkova, "Analysis of Landsat NDVI time series for detecting degradation of vegetation", in [Geocology and sustainable use of mineral resources, From science to practice, Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference of Young Scientists \(2015\)](#), pp. 11–13.
- ⁴⁵⁶P. Lemenkova, "Importance of the Remote Sensing Image Analysis for Mapping Forest Land Cover in umava National Park", in [Forestry: bridge to the future, 90 years higher forestry education in bulgaria, Book of Abstracts of the International Conference \(2015\)](#), pp. 70–71.
- ⁴⁵⁷P. Lemenkova, "To the question of the environmental education: how Landsat TM, ETM+ and MSS images can be processed by GIS-techniques for geospatial research", in [Trends and perspectives in creating regional systems of the additional education of adults, Proceedings of the International Conference \(2015\)](#), pp. 108–111.
- ⁴⁵⁸P. Lemenkova, "Linking GIS and Remote Sensing Data to Study Vegetation Patterns", in [New approaches of the high-technologies engineering: priorities in the development and human resources, Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference \(2015\)](#), pp. 174–178.
- ⁴⁵⁹P. Lemenkova, "Innovations in the Geoscience Research: Classification of the Landsat TM Image Using ILWIS GIS for Geographic Studies", in [Prospects for the higher school development, Proceedings of the 8th International Conference \(2015\)](#), pp. 60–63.
- ⁴⁶⁰P. Lemenkova, "Geo-Information Technologies of Object Based Image Analysis (OBIA) for Urban Mapping", in [Questions of cybersecurity, modeling and information processing in the modern socio-technical systems, Proceedings of the International Conference \(2015\)](#), pp. 110–112.
- ⁴⁶¹P. Lemenkova, "Sustainable Forest and Wildlife Resources in Czech Republic", in [Fundamental and applied questions of the forest soil science, Proceedings of the 6th Conference \(2015\)](#), pp. 103–105.

- ⁴⁶²P. Lemenkova, “Upholding Open Source Principles for Education: Use of Quantum GIS for Teaching Geoinformatics”, in *Actual problems of the earth sciences, Geological and geographic studies of the cross-border regions, Proceedings of the International Seminar* (2015), pp. 130–133.
- ⁴⁶³P. Lemenkova, “Making Geodata Repository Using Arc Editor”, in *Real estate cadastre and monitoring natural resources session 7: using it tools* (2015).
- ⁴⁶⁴P. Lemenkova, “Forest Monitoring Using Remote Sensing Data and ILWIS GIS”, in *Recent research directions in the xxi century: theory and practice*, Vol. 3.2, 9 (2015), pp. 346–350.
- ⁴⁶⁵P. Lemenkova, “Effectiveness of the Geospatial Data Processing and Use of Statistical Information for Proper Environmental Planning and Zoning: Example of the umava National Park, Czech Republic”, in *Innovative development of agricultural science and education, World experience and current priorities, Materials of the International Conference*, Vol. 3 (2015), pp. 303–306.
- ⁴⁶⁶P. Lemenkova, “Assessing and Monitoring Geocological Status of West Turkish Landscapes for Sustainable Development: Processes, Activities and Problems”, in *Geographic aspects of the sustainable development of regions*, Vol. 2 (2015), pp. 78–81.
- ⁴⁶⁷P. Lemenkova, “Object Based Image Analysis for Urban Mapping and City Planning in Belgium”, in *Virtual simulation, prototyping and industrial design, Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference*, Vol. 2.2 (2015), pp. 44–48.
- ⁴⁶⁸P. Lemenkova, “Data Sharing, Distribution and Updating Using Social Coding Community Github and L^AT_EX Packages in Graduate Research”, in *Integration of the education and science, Challenges of the modern world, Proceedings of the International Conference*, Vol. 1 (2015), pp. 3–7.
- ⁴⁶⁹P. Lemenkova, “Requirements for the Database Structuring for the Agricultural Monitoring in ArcGIS”, in *Actual problems of the it, electronics and radiotechnics*, Vol. 1 (2015), pp. 79–81.
- ⁴⁷⁰P. Lemenkova, “Smart Seafloor Mapping in Real Time Regime Using Deep-Sea Multibeam Echosounder Hydrosweep DS”, *Izvestiya MGTU 'MAMI' 5 Economics and management, Social and human sciences*, 23–27 (2015).
- ⁴⁷¹P. Lemenkova, “Modelling Landscape Changes and Detecting Land Cover Types by Means of Remote Sensing Data and ILWIS GIS”, *Bulletin of the Ufa State Petroleum Technological University 1 Information technologies, Problems and solutions*, 265–271 (2015).
- ⁴⁷²P. Lemenkova, “Google Earth web service as a support for GIS mapping in geospatial research at universities”, in *Web-technologies in the educational space, Problems, approaches, perspectives* (Mar. 2015), pp. 460–464.
- ⁴⁷³P. Lemenkova, “Cost-Effective Raster Image Processing for Geocological Analysis using ISOCLUST Classifier: a Case Study of Estonian Landscapes”, in *Modern problems of geocology and landscapes studies* (2014), pp. 74–76.
- ⁴⁷⁴P. Lemenkova, “Risks of Cryogenic Landslide Hazards and Their Impact on Ecosystems in Cold Environments”, in *The effects of irrigation and drainage on rural and urban landscapes* (2014), p. 27.
- ⁴⁷⁵P. Lemenkova, “Detection of Vegetation Coverage in Urban Agglomeration of Brussels by NDVI Indicator Using eCognition Software and Remote Sensing Measurements”, in *Gis and remote sensing, Gis day, Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference* (2014), pp. 112–119.
- ⁴⁷⁶P. Lemenkova, “Rural Sustainability and Management of Natural Resources in Tian Shan Region, Central Asia”, in *Celebrating pastoral life, Heritage and economic development, Proceedings of the International Conference* (2014), pp. 81–89.
- ⁴⁷⁷P. Lemenkova, “Opportunities for Classes of Geography in the High School: the Use of CORINE Project Data, Satellite Images and IDRISI GIS for Geovisualization”, in *Perspectives for the development of higher education, Proceedings of the 7th International Conference* (2014), pp. 284–286.
- ⁴⁷⁸P. Lemenkova, “Bringing Geospatial Analysis to the Social studies: an Assessment of the City Sprawl in China”, in *10th international congress of the hellenic geographical society* (2014).
- ⁴⁷⁹P. Lemenkova, “Understanding Spatiotemporal Forms, Triggers and Consequences of Urban Dynamics in Taipei: 1990-2005”, in *Socio-economic transition of china: opportunities and threats* (Apr. 3, 2014).

- 480 P. Lemenkova, "Spatial Analysis for the Assessment of the Environmental Changes in the Landscapes of Izmir Surroundings", in [10th international conference on environmental, cultural, economic and social sustainability](#) (Jan. 22, 2014).
- 481 P. Lemenkova, "Monitoring changes in agricultural landscapes of Central Europe, Hungary: application of ILWIS GIS for image processing", in [Geoinformatics: theoretical and applied aspects](#) (2013).
- 482 P. Lemenkova, "Geospatial Technology for Land Cover Analysis, Middle east and africa (mea) geospatial digest", in (Nov. 2013).
- 483 P. Lemenkova, "Impacts of Climate Change on Landscapes in Central Europe, Hungary", in [Current Problems of Ecology, Ecological monitoring and management of nature protection, Proceedings of the 8th International Conference](#), Vol. 2 (2012), pp. 134–136.
- 484 P. Lemenkova, *Seagrass mapping and monitoring along the coasts of crete, greece*, M.Sc. Thesis, University of Twente, Faculty of Earth Observation and Geoinformation (ITC), 2011.
- 485 P. Lemenkova, "Using ArcGIS in Teaching Geosciences", B.Sc. Thesis (Lomonosov Moscow State University, Faculty of Educational Studies, Moscow, Russia, 2007), 58 pp.
- 486 P. Lemenkova, "Geoecological Mapping of the Barents Sea Using GIS", in [International cartographic conference \(icc\)](#) (July 12, 2005).
- 487 P. Lemenkova, "Geoecological Mapping of the Barents and Pechora Seas" (Lomonosov Moscow State University, Faculty of Geography, Department of Cartography and Geoinformatics, Moscow, Russia, May 18, 2004), 78 pp.
- 488 P. Lemenkova and I. Elek, "Clustering Algorithm in ILWIS GIS for Classification of Landsat TM Scenes (Mecsek Hills Region, Hungary)", in [Geosciences and environment. near-surface geophysics](#) (2012).
- 489 P. Lemenkova, C. Promper, and T. Glade, "Economic Assessment of Landslide Risk for the Waidhofen a.d. Ybbs Region, Alpine Foreland, Lower Austria", in [Protecting society through improved understanding, 11th International Symposium on Landslides and the 2nd North American Symposium on Landslides & Engineered Slopes](#), edited by E. Eberhardt, C. Froese, A. K. Turner, and S. Leroueil (June 2012), pp. 279–285.
- 490 A. Lemoine, R. Madariaga, and J. Campos, "Slab-pull and slab-push earthquakes in the Mexican, Chilean and Peruvian subduction zones", *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **132**, 157–175 (2002).
- 491 R. Lester, K. McIntosh, H. J. A. van Avendonk, L. Lavier, C.-S. Liu, and T. K. Wang, "Crustal accretion in the Manila trench accretionary wedge at the transition from subduction to mountain-building in Taiwan", *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **375**, 430–440 (2013).
- 492 L. S. Levy, "Taming the tiger", in , edited by L. S. Levy (Springer Books on Professional Computing, New York, NY, 1987) Chap. AWK A Prototyping Language.
- 493 F. Li, Z. Sun, D. Hu, and Z. Wang, "Crustal structure and deformation associated with seamount subduction at the north Manila Trench represented by analog and gravity modeling", *Marine Geophysical Research* **34**, 13–27 (2013).
- 494 J. E. Lieberman, "GRef2End: a GeoRef to EndNote bibliography translator written in awk", *Computers & Geosciences* **18**, 1271–1275 (1992).
- 495 J. W. B. Lin, "qtcmm 0.1.2: a Python implementation of the Neelin-Zeng quasi-equilibrium tropical circulation model", *Geoscientific Model Development* **1**, 315–344 (2008).
- 496 J.-Y. Lin, Y.-F. Chen, C.-S. Lee, S.-K. Hsu, C.-W. Liang, Y.-C. Lin, and H.-S. Hsieh, "Strike-slip intraplate earthquakes in the Western Philippine Sea Plate", *Tectonophysics* **608**, 499–504 (2013).
- 497 J.-Y. Lin, S.-K. Hsu, J.-C. Sibuet, C.-S. Lee, and C.-W. Liang, "Plate tearing in the northwestern corner of the subducting Philippine Sea Plate", *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* **70–71**, 1–7 (2013).
- 498 J.-Y. Lin and C.-L. Lo, "Earthquake-induced crustal gravitational potential energy change in the Philippine area", *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* **66**, 215–223 (2013).
- 499 J.-Y. Lin and C.-L. Lo, "Earthquake-induced crustal gravitational potential energy change in the Philippine area", *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* **66**, 215–223 (2013).

- ⁵⁰⁰W. Lin, T. B. Byrne, M. Kinoshita, L. C. McNeill, C. Chang, J. C. Lewis, Y. Yamamoto, D. M. Saffer, J. C. Moore, H.-Y. Wue, T. Tsuji, Y. Yamada, M. Conin, S. Saito, T. Iton, H. J. Tobino, G. Kimura, K. Kanagawa, J. Ashi, M. B. Underwood, and T. Kanamatsu, "Distribution of stress state in the Nankai subduction zone, southwest Japan and a comparison with Japan Trench", *Tectonophysics* **692**, 120–130 (2016).
- ⁵⁰¹P. Lindh, "Compaction- and strength properties of stabilised and unstabilised fine-grained tills", *Lund University, PhD Thesis. ISRN: LUTVDG/TVGT-1013-SE*, 10.13140/RG.2.1.1313.6481 (2004).
- ⁵⁰²I. D. Lindley, "New Britain Trench, Papua New Guinea: An extensional element in a regional sinistral strike-slip system", *New Concepts in Global Tectonics Newsletter* **41**, 15–27 (2006).
- ⁵⁰³I. D. Lindley, "Early Cainozoic stratigraphy and structure of the Gazelle Peninsula, east New Britain: An example of extensional tectonics in the New Britain arc-trench complex", *Australian Journal of Earth Sciences* **35**, 231–244 (1988).
- ⁵⁰⁴T. D. Linley, M. E. Gerring, P. H. Yancey, J. C. Drazen, C. L. Weinstock, and A. J. Jamieson, "Fishes of the hadal zone including new species, in situ observations and depth records of Liparidae", *Deep-Sea Research Part I*.
- ⁵⁰⁵T. D. Linley, A. L. Stewart, P. J. McMillan, M. R. Clark, M. E. Gerring, J. C. Drazen, T. Fujii, and A. J. Jamieson, "Bait attending fishes of the abyssal zone and hadal boundary: Community structure, functional groups and species distribution in the Kermadec, New Hebrides and Mariana trenches", *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **121**, 38–53 (2017).
- ⁵⁰⁶A. P. Lisicyn, *Osadkoobrasovanie v okeanah (Sedimentation in the oceans)*, Russian, edited by P. L. Bezrukov (Nauka, Moscow, 1974), 545 pp.
- ⁵⁰⁷A. P. Lisicyn, J. A. Bogdanov, and E. G. Gurvich, *Gidrotermal'nye obrazovaniya riftovykh zon okeana (Hydrothermal formation of rift zones of the ocean)*, Russian, edited by V. M. Kupcov (Nauka, Moscow, Institute of Oceanology. n.a. P. P. Shirshov, 1990), 341 pp.
- ⁵⁰⁸V. M. Litvin, *Morfostruktura dna okeanov (Morphostructure of the ocean floor)*, Russian, edited by A. N. Lastochkin (Nedra, Leningrad, 1987), 275 pp.
- ⁵⁰⁹M. Liu, X. Cui, and F. Liu, "Cenozoic rifting and volcanism in eastern China: A mantle dynamic link to the Indo-Asian collision?", *Tectonophysics* **393**, 29–42 (2004).
- ⁵¹⁰R. Liu, L. Wang, Y. Wei, and J. Fang, "The hadal biosphere: Recent insights and new directions", *Deep-Sea Research Part II* **155**, 11–18 (2018).
- ⁵¹¹Y. Liu, A. Santos, S. M. Wang, Y. Shi, H. Liu, and D. A. Yuen, "Tsunami hazards along Chinese coast from potential earthquakes in South China Sea", *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **163**, 233–244 (2007).
- ⁵¹²Y. Liu, X. Liu, X. Lv, W. Cao, C. Sun, J. Lu, C. Wang, B. Lu, and J. Yang, "Watermass properties and deep currents in the northern Yap Trench observed by the Submersible Jiaolong system", *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **139**, 27–42 (2018).
- ⁵¹³G. M. Ljung and G. E. P. Box, "On a Measure of a Lack of Fit in Time Series Models", *Biometrika* **65**, 297–303 (1978).
- ⁵¹⁴C.-L. Lo, W.-B. Doo, K.-C. Hao, and S.-K. Hsu, "Plate coupling across the northern Manila subduction zone deduced from mantle lithosphere buoyancy", *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **273**, 50–54 (2017).
- ⁵¹⁵E. Lodolo and F. Coren, "The Westernmost Pacific Antarctic plate boundary in the vicinity of the Macquarie triple junction", *Terra Antarctica* **1**, edited by C. A. Ricci, 158–161 (1994).
- ⁵¹⁶M. Loher, Y. Marcon, T. Pape, M. Römer, P. Wintersteller, C. dos Santos Ferreira, D. Praeg, M. Torres, H. Sahling, and G. Bohrmann, "Seafloor sealing, doming, and collapse associated with gas seeps and authigenic carbonate structures at Venere mud volcano, Central Mediterranean", *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **137**, 76–96 (2018).
- ⁵¹⁷M. D. Long and R. D. van der Hilst, "Shear wave splitting from local events beneath the Ryukyu arc: Trench-parallel anisotropy in the mantle wedge", *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **155**, 300–312 (2006).
- ⁵¹⁸P. J. G. Long, *Introduction to Octave*. Based on: P. Smith Tutorial Guide to Matlab (Department of Engineering University of Cambridge, Sept. 2005).

- ⁵¹⁹R. Louat and B. Pelletier, “Seismotectonics and present-day relative plate motions in the New Hebrides,-North Fiji Basin region”, *Tectonophysics* **167**, 41–55 (1989), URL: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(89\)90293-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(89)90293-X).
- ⁵²⁰W. J. Ludwig, J. I. Ewing, M. Ewing, S. Murauchi, N. Den, S. Asano, H. Hotta, M. Hayakawa, T. Asanuma, K. Ichikawa, and I. Noguchi, “Sediments and structure of Japan Trench”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **71**, 2121–2137 (1966).
- ⁵²¹M. Luo, T. J. Algeo, H. Tong, J. Gieskes, L. Chen, X. Shi, and D. Chen, “More reducing bottom-water redox conditions during the Last Glacial Maximum in the southern Challenger Deep (Mariana Trench, western Pacific) driven by enhanced productivity”, *Deep-Sea Research Part II* **155**, 70–82 (2018).
- ⁵²²M. Luo, J. Gieskes, L. Chen, X. Shi, and D. Chen, “Provenances, distribution, and accumulation of organic matter in the southern Mariana Trench rim and slope: Implication for carbon cycle and burial in hadal trenches”, *Marine Geology* **386**, 98–106 (2017).
- ⁵²³H. Lyon-Caen, E. Barrier, C. Lasserre, A. Franco, I. Arzu, L. Chiquin, M. Chiquin, T. Duquesnoy, O. Flores, O. Galicia, J. Luna, E. Molina, O. Porras, J. Requena, V. Robles, J. Romero, and R. Wolf, “Kinematics of the North American-Caribbean-Cocos plates in Central America from new GPS measurements across the Polochic-Motagua fault system”, *Geophysical Research Letters* **33**, 1–5 (2006).
- ⁵²⁴K. C. Macdonald, “Encyclopedia of ocean sciences”, in (Elsevier, 2001) Chap. Mid-ocean ridge tectonics, volcanism and geomorphology, pp. 1798–1813.
- ⁵²⁵B. T. MacInnes, E. A. Kravchunovskaya, T. K. Pinegina, and J. Bourgeois, “Paleotsunamis from the central Kuril Islands segment of the Japan-Kuril-Kamchatka subduction zone”, *Quaternary Research* **86**, 54–66 (2016).
- ⁵²⁶P. Maillet, M. Monzier, J.-P. Eissen, and R. Louat, “Geodynamics of an arc-ridge junction: the case of the New Hebrides Arc/North Fiji Basin”, *Tectonophysics* **165**, 251–258 (1989).
- ⁵²⁷A. S. Maiorova and A. V. Adrianov, “Deep-sea spoon worms (Echiura) from the Sea of Okhotsk and the adjacent T slope of the Kuril-Kamchatka Trench”, *Deep-Sea Research Part II* **154**, 177–186 (2018).
- ⁵²⁸S. Malys, *The wgs84 reference frame*, National Imagery and Mapping Agency, 1996.
- ⁵²⁹J. Mammerickx, *Bathymetry of the South Pacific, Chart 11* (Scripps Institute of Oceanography and Institute of Marine Science, La Jolla, U. S., 1971).
- ⁵³⁰V. C. Manea, M. Manea, L. Ferrari, T. Orozco-Esquivel, R. W. Valenzuela, A. Husker, and V. Kostoglodov, “A review of the geodynamic evolution of flat slab subduction in Mexico, Peru, and Chile”, *Tectonophysics* **695**, 27–52 (2017).
- ⁵³¹X. Mao, B. Zhang, H. Deng, Y. Zou, and J. Chen, “Three-dimensional morphological analysis method for geologic bodies and its parallel implementation”, *Computers & Geosciences* **96**, 11–22 (2016).
- ⁵³²E. Marchese, V. Scorpio, I. Fuller, S. McColl, and F. Comiti, “Morphological changes in Alpine rivers following the end of the Little Ice Age”, *Geomorphology* **295**, 811–826 (2017).
- ⁵³³M. Marta-Almeida, M. Ruiz-Villarreal, P. Otero, M. Cobas, A. Peliz, R. Nolasco, M. Cirano, and J. Pereira, “OOF3: A Python engine for automating regional and coastal ocean forecasts”, *Environmental Modelling & Software* **26**, 680–682 (2011).
- ⁵³⁴R. A. Martin, E. A. Nesbitt, and K. A. Campbell, “The effects of anaerobic methane oxidation on benthic foraminiferal assemblages and stable isotopes on the Hikurangi Margin of eastern New Zealand”, *Marine Geology* **272**, 270–284 (2010).
- ⁵³⁵D. G. Masson, “Fault Patterns at Outer Trench Walls”, *Marine Geophysical Researches* **13**, 209–225 (1991).
- ⁵³⁶A. E. Mather, A. J. Hartley, and J. S. Griffiths, “The giant coastal landslides of Northern Chile: Tectonic and climate interactions on a classic convergent plate margin”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **388**, 249–256 (2014).
- ⁵³⁷L. A. Mayer, M. Jakobsson, G. Allen, B. Dorschel, R. Falconer, V. Ferrini, G. Lamarche, H. Snaith, and P. Weatherall, “The Nippon Foundation - GEBCO Seabed 2030 Project: The Quest to See the Worlds Oceans Completely Mapped by 2030”, *Geosciences* **8**, 63 (2018).

- ⁵³⁸R. McGill, J. W. Tukey, and W. A. Larsen, “Variations of Box Plots”, *The American Statistician* **32**, 12–16 (1978).
- ⁵³⁹D. Mckenzie and C. Bowin, “Relationship between bathymetry and gravity in Atlantic Ocean”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **81**, 1903–1915 (1976).
- ⁵⁴⁰T. A. Meckel, M. F. Coffin, S. Mosher, P. Symonds, G. Bernardel, and P. Mann, “Underthrusting at the Hjort Trench, Australian Pacific plate boundary: Incipient subduction?”, *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* **4**, 1099 (2003).
- ⁵⁴¹A. Melhuish, R. Sutherland, F. J. Davey, and G. Lamarche, “Crustal structure and neotectonics of the Puysegur oblique subduction zone, New Zealand”, *Tectonophysics* **313**, 335–362 (1999).
- ⁵⁴²H. W. Menard, “Deep-sea channels, topography, and sedimentation”, *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin* **39**, 236–255 (1955).
- ⁵⁴³A. Micallef, “Marine geomorphology: geomorphological mapping and the study of submarine landslides”, in , edited by Micallef, 15 (NA, 2011) Chap. 13: Developments in Earth Surface Processes. *Developments in Earth Surface Processes*, pp. 377–395.
- ⁵⁴⁴K. Michibayashi, M. Tasaka, Y. Ohara, T. Ishii, A. Okamoto, and P. Fryer, “Variable microstructure of peridotite samples from the southern Mariana Trench: Evidence of a complex tectonic evolution”, *Tectonophysics* **444**, 111–118 (2007).
- ⁵⁴⁵M. S. Miller, A. Gorbatov, and B. L. N. Kennett, “Heterogeneity within the subducting Pacific slab beneath the Izu-Bonin-Mariana arc: Evidence from tomography using 3D ray tracing inversion techniques”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **235**, 331–342 (2005).
- ⁵⁴⁶M. S. Miller, B. L. N. Kennett, and G. S. Lister, “Imaging changes in morphology, geometry, and physical properties of the subducting Pacific plate along the Izu-Bonin-Mariana arc”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **224**, 363–370 (2004).
- ⁵⁴⁷J. D. Milliman and J. P. M. Syvitski, “Geomorphic/tectonic control of sediment discharge to the ocean: The importance of small mountainous rivers”, *The Journal of Geology* **100**, 525–544 (1992).
- ⁵⁴⁸K. J. Millman and M. Aivazis, “Python for Scientists and Engineers”, *Computing in Science & Engineering* **13**, 9–12 (2011), URL: <https://www.computer.org/csdl/magazine/cs/2011/02/mcs2011020009/13rRUx0xPMx>.
- ⁵⁴⁹H. Minami and Y. Ohara, “Detailed volcanic morphology of Daisan-Miyako Knoll in the southern T Ryukyu Arc”, *Marine Geology* **404**, 97–110 (2018).
- ⁵⁵⁰H. Minami and Y. Ohara, “Detailed morphology and bubble plumes of Daiichi-Amami Knoll in the central Ryukyu Arc”, *Marine Geology* **373**, 55–63 (2016).
- ⁵⁵¹N. C. Mitchell, *Submarine Geomorphology. Reference Module in Earth Systems and Environmental Sciences* (NA, 2015).
- ⁵⁵²S. Miura, N. Takahashi, A. Nakanishi, T. Tsuru, S. Kodaira, and Y. Kaneda, “Structural characteristics off Miyagi forearc region, the Japan Trench seismogenic zone, deduced from a wide-angle reflection and refraction study”, *Tectonophysics* **407**, 165–188 (2005).
- ⁵⁵³D. Monahan, “GEBCO: the Second Century”, *Hydro International* **8**, University of New Hampshire, 1–10 (2004).
- ⁵⁵⁴D. C. Montgomery, *Design and Analysis of Experiments*, 5th ed. (New York: Wiley, 2001), ISBN: 978-0-471-31649-7.
- ⁵⁵⁵M. Monzier, C. Robin, J.-P. Eissen, and J. Cotten, “Geochemistry vs. seismo-tectonics along the volcanic New Hebrides Central Chain (Southwest Pacific)”, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* **78**, 1–29 (1997).
- ⁵⁵⁶G. F. Moore, P. F. Lonsdale, and R. Huene, “Near-Bottom Observations of the Middle America Trench off Guatemala”, *Deep Sea Drilling Project Reports and Publications* **67**, 707–718 (1982).
- ⁵⁵⁷W. J. Morgan, “The latest global tectonics”, Russian, in, edited by I. V. Luchickij (Mir, Moscow, 1974) Chap. Oceanic, deep-sea trenches, large faults and crustal blocks, p. 448.

- ⁵⁵⁸W. J. Morgan, “Rises, trenches, great faults, and crustal blocks”, *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres* **73**, 1959–1982 (1968).
- ⁵⁵⁹D. Müller, *Deep Earth Structure and Global Tectonics*, GEOL 2001 Geological Hazards and Solutions (University of Sydney, School of Geosciences, Division of Geology and Geophysics, 2002), p. 117.
- ⁵⁶⁰C. Münker, G. Wörner, G. M. Yogodzinski, and T. Churikova, “Behaviour of high field strength elements in subduction zones: constraints from Kamchatka-Aleutian arc lavas”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **224**, 275–293 (2004).
- ⁵⁶¹H. W. Murray, “Profiles of the Aleutian Trench”, *Geological Society of America Bulletin* **56**, 757–782 (1945).
- ⁵⁶²H. W. Murray, “Profiles of the Aleutian Trench”, *Bulletin of the Geological Society of America* **56**, 757–782 (1945).
- ⁵⁶³J. L. Myers and A. D. Well, *Research Design and Statistical Analysis*, 2nd ed. (Lawrence Erlbaum, 2003), 508 pp.
- ⁵⁶⁴T. Nakakuki, C. Hamada, and M. Tagawa, “Generation and driving forces of plate-like motion and asymmetric subduction in dynamical models of an integrated mantlelithosphere system”, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **166**, 128–146 (2008).
- ⁵⁶⁵Y. Nakamura, S. Kodaira, S. Miura, C. Regalla, and N. Takahashi, “High resolution seismic imaging in the Japan Trench axis area of Miyagi. Northeast. Jpn”, *Geophysical Research Letters* **40**, 1713–1718 (2013).
- ⁵⁶⁶M. Nakanishi, K. Tamaki, and K. Kobayashi, “Magnetic anomaly lineations from Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous in the west-central Pacific Ocean”, *Geophysical Journal International* **109**, 701–719 (1992).
- ⁵⁶⁷M. Nakanishi and J. Hashimoto, “A precise bathymetric map of the worlds deepest seafloor, Challenger Deep in the Mariana Trench”, *Marine Geophysical Research* **32**, 455–463 (2011).
- ⁵⁶⁸F. Nanayama, K. Satake, R. Furukawa, K. Shimokawa, B. F. Atwater, K. Shigeno, and S. Yamaki, “Unusually large earthquakes inferred from tsunami deposits along the Kuril trench”, *Nature* **424**, 660–663 (2003).
- ⁵⁶⁹C. R. Neal, J. J. Mahoney, L. W. Kroenke, R. A. Duncan, and M. G. Petterson, “The Ontong Java Plateau”, *Geophysical Monograph Series* **100**, 183–216 (1997).
- ⁵⁷⁰C. H. Nelson and L. D. Kulm, “Turbidites and deep water sedimentation”, in, edited by G. V. Middleton and A. H. Bouma (Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, Tulsa, OK, U.S.A., 1973) Chap. Submarine fans and channels, pp. 39–70.
- ⁵⁷¹P. H. Nguyen, Q. C. Bui, P. H. Vu, and T. T. Pham, “Scenario-based tsunami hazard assessment for the coast of Vietnam from the Manila Trench source”, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **236**, 95–108 (2014).
- ⁵⁷²A. Nicol and L. M. Wallace, “Temporal stability of deformation rates: Comparison of geological and geodetic observations, Hikurangi subduction margin, New Zealand”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **258**, 397–413 (2007).
- ⁵⁷³A. Nishizawa, K. Kaneda, A. Nakanishi, N. Takahashi, and S. Kodaira, “Crustal structure of the ocean-island arc transition at the mid Izu-Ogasawara (Bonin) arc margin”, *Earth Planets Space* **58**, e33–e36 (2006).
- ⁵⁷⁴W. R. Normark and P. R. Carlson, “Giant submarine canyons: Is size any clue to their importance in the rock record?”, *Geological Society of America Special Paper* **370**, 175–190 (2003).
- ⁵⁷⁵NumPy Community, *NumPy User Guide. Release 1.16.1* (User Guide, 2019), 148 pp.
- ⁵⁷⁶C. C. Nunnally, J. R. Friedman, and J. C. Drazen, “In situ respiration measurements of megafauna in the Kermadec trench”, *DeepSea Research Part I*, **118**, 30–36 (2016).
- ⁵⁷⁷A. J. Oakley, B. Taylor, and G. F. Moore, “Pacific plate subduction beneath the central Mariana and Izu-Bonin fore-arcs: new insights from an old margin”, *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems* **9**, 1230–1236 (2008).
- ⁵⁷⁸H. Oda and H. Senna, “Regional variation in surface wave group velocities in the Philippine Sea”, *Tectonophysics* **233**, 265–277 (1994).
- ⁵⁷⁹Y. Ogawa, T. Seno, H. Tokuyama, H. Akiyoshi, K. Fujioka, and H. Taniguchi, “Structure and development of the Sagami trough and the Boso triple junction”, *Tectonophysics* **160**, 135–150 (1989).

- ⁵⁸⁰Y. Ogawa, K. Kobayashi, H. Hotta, and K. Fujioka, “Tension cracks on the oceanward slopes of the northern Japan and Mariana Trenches”, *Marine Geology* **141**, 111–123 (1997), URL: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227\(97\)00059-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227(97)00059-5).
- ⁵⁸¹Y. Ohara, K. Fujioka, O. Ishizuka, and T. Ishii, “Peridotites and volcanics from the Yap arc system: implications for tectonics of the southern Philippine Sea Plate”, *Chemical Geology* **189**, 35–53 (2002), URL: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541\(02\)00062-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(02)00062-1).
- ⁵⁸²Y. Okamura, A. Nishizawa, M. Oikawa, and D. Horiuchi, “Differential subsidence of the forearc wedge of the Ryukyu (Nansei-Shoto) Arc caused by subduction of ridges on the Philippine Sea Plate”, *Tectonophysics* **717**, 399–412 (2017).
- ⁵⁸³K. Okino, Y. Ohara, T. Fujiwara, S.-M. Lee, K. Koizumi, Y. Nakamura, and S. Wu, “Tectonics of the southern tip of the Parece Vela Basin, Philippine Sea Plate”, *Tectonophysics* **466**, 213–228 (2009).
- ⁵⁸⁴T. E. Oliphant, *Guide to NumPy*, 2nd ed. (CreateSpace, 2015), 148 pp., ISBN: 978-1517300074.
- ⁵⁸⁵T. E. Oliphant, “Python for scientific computing”, *Computing in Science & Engineering* **9**, 10–20 (2007), URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/4160250>.
- ⁵⁸⁶K. J. Osborn, S. H. D. Haddock, F. Pleijel, L. P. Madin, and G. W. Rouse, “Deep-sea, swimming worms with luminescent bombs”, *Science* **325**, 964 (2009).
- ⁵⁸⁷J. Oshika, Y. Arakawa, D. Endo, T. Shinmura, and Y. Mori, “A rare basanite distribution in the northern part of the Izu-Bonin volcanic arc, Japan: Petrological and geochemical constraints”, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* **270**, 76–89 (2014).
- ⁵⁸⁸K. Otsuki, “Westward migration of the Izu-Bonin Trench, northward motion of the Philippine Sea Plate, and their relationships to the Cenozoic tectonics of Japanese island arcs”, *Tectonophysics* **180**, 351–367 (1990).
- ⁵⁸⁹A. Ozawa, T. Tagami, E. L. Listanco, C. B. Arpa, and M. Sudo, “Initiation and propagation of subduction along the Philippine Trench: evidence from the temporal and spatial distribution of volcanoes”, *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* **23**, 105–111 (2004).
- ⁵⁹⁰S. Pabst, T. Zack, I. P. Savov, T. Ludwig, D. Rost, S. Tonarini, and E. P. Vicenzi, “The fate of subducted oceanic slabs in the shallow mantle: Insights from boron isotopes and light element composition of metasomatized blueschists from the Mariana forearc”, *Lithos* **132–133**, 162–179 (2012).
- ⁵⁹¹I. A. Pecher, S. A. Henrys, W. T. Wood, N. Kukowski, G. J. Crutchley, M. Fohrmann, J. Kilner, K. Senger, A. R. Gorman, R. B. Coffin, J. Greinert, and K. Faure, “Focussed fluid flow on the Hikurangi Margin, New Zealand - Evidence from possible local upwarping of the base of gas hydrate stability”, *Marine Geology* **272**, 99–113 (2010).
- ⁵⁹²F. Pedregosa, G. Varoquaux, A. Gramfort, V. Michel, B. Thirion, O. Grisel, M. Blondel, P. Prettenhofer, R. Weiss, V. Dubourg, J. Vanderplas, A. Passos, D. Cournapeau, M. Brucher, M. Perrot, and E. Duchesnay, “Scikit-Learn: machine learning in Python”, *The Journal of Machine Learning Research* **12**, 2825–2830 (2011), URL: <https://scikit-learn.org/stable/>.
- ⁵⁹³B. Pelletier and R. Louat, “Seismotectonics and present-day relative plate motions in the Tonga-Lau and Kermadec-Havre region”, *Tectonophysics* **165**, 237–250 (1989).
- ⁵⁹⁴B. Pelletier, S. Calmant, and R. Pillet, “Current tectonics of the Tonga-New Hebrides region”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **164**, 263–276 (1998).
- ⁵⁹⁵F. Perez and B. E. Granger, “IPython: a system for interactive scientific computing”, *Computing in Science & Engineering* **9**, 21–29 (2007), URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/4160251>.
- ⁵⁹⁶J. S. Perez and H. Tsutsumi, “Tectonic geomorphology and paleoseismology of the Surigao segment of the Philippine fault in northeastern Mindanao Island, Philippines”, *Tectonophysics* **699**, 244–257 (2017).
- ⁵⁹⁷D. Pflanz, C. Gaedicke, R. Freitag, M. Krbetschek, N. V. Tsukanov, and B. V. Baranov, “Neotectonics and recent uplift at Kamchatka and Aleutian arc junction, Kamchatka Cape area, NE Russia”, *International Journal of Earth Sciences* **102**, 903–916 (2013).
- ⁵⁹⁸R. Pillet and B. Pelletier, “Tectonique active, tsunamis et sismicité en Nouvelle Calédonie”, *Notes Techniques, Sciences de la Terre, Géologie-Géophysique, IRD Noumea* **28**, 1–19 (2005).

- ⁵⁹⁹R. Pillet, D. Rouland, G. Roult, and D. A. Wiens, “Crust and upper mantle heterogeneities in the Southwest Pacific from surface wave phase velocity analysis”, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **110**, 211–234 (1999).
- ⁶⁰⁰T. K. Pinegina, J. Bourgeois, E. A. Kravchunovskaya, A. V. Lander, M. E. Arcos, K. Pedoja, and B. T. MacInnes, “A nexus of plate interaction: vertical deformation of Holocene wave-built terraces on the Kamchatsky Peninsula (Kamchatka, Russia)”, *Geological Society of America Bulletin* **125**, 1554–1568 (2013).
- ⁶⁰¹R. B. Pollnac, B. R. Crawford, and M. L. Gorospe, “Discovering factors that influence the success of community-based marine protected areas in the Visayas, Philippines”, *Ocean and Coastal Management* **44**, 683–710 (2001).
- ⁶⁰²M. V. Portnyagin and V. C. Manea, “Mantle temperature control on composition of arc magmas along the Central Kamchatka Depression”, *Geology* **36**, 519–522 (2008).
- ⁶⁰³K. V. K. K. Prasad and T. S. Lamba, “Natural language interface based on keyword extraction using AWK”, *Microprocessors and Microsystems* **11**, 157–160 (1987).
- ⁶⁰⁴R. A. Prince and L. D. Kulm, “Crustal rupture and the initiation of imbricate thrusting in the Peru-Chile Trench”, *GSA Bull.* **86**, 1639–1653 (1975), URL: [https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606\(1975\)86<1639:CRATIO>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1975)86<1639:CRATIO>2.0.CO;2).
- ⁶⁰⁵A. A. Pronin, “Morphology and tectonics of oceanic deepwater trenches and the problem of ‘Benioff zones’”, *International Geology Review* **23**, 249–256 (1981).
- ⁶⁰⁶J. M. Pushharovskij and E. N. Melanholina, *Tektonicheskoe razvitiye Zemli. Tihij okean i ego obramlenie (Tectonical development of the Earth. Pacific Ocean and its marginal areas)*, Russian (Nauka, Moscow, 1992), 263 pp.
- ⁶⁰⁷J. M. Pushharovskij and J. P. Neprochnov, *Stroenie dna severo-zapada Tihogo okeana: (Geofizika, magmatizm, tektonika) (Structure of the North-West Pacific ocean floor: (Geophysics, magmatism, tectonics))*, Russian, edited by J. P. Neprochnov (Nauka, Moscow, 1984), 226 pp.
- ⁶⁰⁸R. N. Pysklywec, S. M. Ellis, and A. R. Gorman, “Three-dimensional mantle lithosphere deformation at collisional plate boundaries: A subduction scissor across the South Island of New Zealand”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **289**, 334–346 (2010).
- ⁶⁰⁹R Development Core Team, *R: a Language and Environment for Statistical Computing* (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2014), URL: <https://www.r-project.org/>.
- ⁶¹⁰H. S. Rabinowitz, H. M. Savage, T. Plank, P. J. Polissar, J. D. Kirkpatrick, and C. D. Rowe, “Multiple major faults at the Japan Trench: Chemostratigraphy of the plate boundary at IODP Exp. 343: JFAST”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **423**, 57–66 (2015).
- ⁶¹¹N. T. Ramos, H. Tsutsumi, J. S. Perez, and P. P. J. Bermas, “Uplifted marine terraces in Davao Oriental Province, Mindanao Island, Philippines and their implications for large prehistoric offshore earthquakes along the Philippine trench”, *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* **45**, 114–125 (2012).
- ⁶¹²C. R. Ranero, A. Villaseor, P. J. Morgan, and W. Wdinebe, “Relationship between bending-faulting at trenches and intermediate-depth seismicity”, *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems* **6**, 1–25 (2005).
- ⁶¹³R. H. Rapp, *Geoid and Its Geophysical Interpretation. Global Geoid Determination*, Ed. by Vanicek and Christou (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, U. S., 1994).
- ⁶¹⁴A. E. Rathburn, L. A. Levin, M. Tryon, J. Gieskes, J. B. Martin, M. E. Pérez, F. J. Fodrie, C. Neira, G. Fryer, G. Mendoza, P. A. McMillan, J. W. Kluesner, J. Adamic, and W. Ziebis, “Geological and biological heterogeneity of the Aleutian margin (1965–4822m)”, *Progress In Oceanography* **80**, 22–50 (2009).
- ⁶¹⁵M. Regelous, K. D. Collerson, A. Ewart, and J. I. Wendt, “Trace element transport rates in subduction zones: evidence from Th, Sr and Pb isotope data for TongaKermadec arc lavas”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **150**, 291–302 (1997).
- ⁶¹⁶W. D. K. Reid, N. J. Cuomo, and A. J. Jamieson, “Geographic and bathymetric comparisons of trace metal concentrations (Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn, and Zn) in deep-sea lysianassoid amphipods from abyssal and hadal depths across the Pacific Ocean”, *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **138**, 11–21 (2018).
- ⁶¹⁷M. L. Renkin and J. G. Sclater, “Depth and age in the North Pacific”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **93**, 2919–2935 (1988).

- ⁶¹⁸R. K. Rew and G. P. Davis, “NetCDF: an interface for scientific data access”, *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications* **10**, 76–82 (1990).
- ⁶¹⁹A. G. Reyes, B. W. Christenson, and K. Faure, “Sources of solutes and heat in low-enthalpy mineral waters and their relation to tectonic setting, New Zealand”, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* **192**, 117–141 (2010).
- ⁶²⁰M. Reyners, “The central role of the Hikurangi Plateau in the Cenozoic tectonics of New Zealand and the Southwest Pacific”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **361**, 460–468 (2013).
- ⁶²¹M. Reyners, D. Eberhart-Phillips, and S. Bannister, “Tracking repeated subduction of the Hikurangi Plateau beneath New Zealand”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **311**, 165–171 (2011).
- ⁶²²J. M. Ribeiro, R. J. Stern, F. Martinez, J. D. Woodhead, M. Chen, and Y. Ohara, “Asthenospheric outflow from the shrinking Philippine Sea Plate: Evidence from Hf-Nd isotopes of southern Mariana lavas”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **478**, 258–271 (2017).
- ⁶²³L. Richmond and D. Kotowicz, “Equity and access in marine protected areas: The history and future of traditional indigenous fishing in the Marianas Trench Marine National Monument”, *Applied Geography* **59**, 117–124 (2015).
- ⁶²⁴E. van Rijnsingen, S. Lallemand, M. Peyret, D. Arcay, A. Heuret, F. Funiciello, and F. Corbi, “How Subduction Interface Roughness Influences the Occurrence of Large Interplate Earthquakes”, *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems* **19**, 1–29 (2018).
- ⁶²⁵I. D. Ripper, “Global tectonics and the New Guinea-Solomon Islands region”, *Search* **1**, 226–232 (1970).
- ⁶²⁶H. Ritchie, A. J. Jamieson, and S. B. Piertney, “Population genetic structure of two congeneric deep-sea amphipod species from geographically isolated hadal trenches in the Pacific Ocean”, *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **119**, 50–57 (2017).
- ⁶²⁷A. D. Robbins, *AWK: Effective AWK Programming: A Users Guide for GNU Awk, for the 5.0.0 (or later) version of the GNU implementation of AWK*, 5th ed. (Boston, USA, 2019), ISBN: 1-882114-28-0.
- ⁶²⁸A. D. Robbins, *Awk by example, Part 2: Records, loops, and arrays. Common threads*. (IBM DeveloperWorks, 2001).
- ⁶²⁹A. D. Robbins, *Awk by example, Part 3: String functions and checkbooks? Common threads*. (IBM DeveloperWorks, 2001).
- ⁶³⁰A. D. Robbins, *Effective Awk Programming: A User’s Guide for Gnu Awk (1.0.3 ed.)* (Bloomington, IN: iUniverse, 2000), ISBN: 0-595-10034-1.
- ⁶³¹A. D. Robbins, *Awk by example, Part 1: An intro to the great language with the strange name. Common threads*, online (IBM DeveloperWorks, 2000).
- ⁶³²J. J. Roberts, B. D. Best, D. C. Dunn, E. A. Trembl, and P. N. Halpin, “Marine geo-spatial ecology tools: an integrated framework for ecological geoprocessing with ArcGIS, Python, R, MATLAB, and C++”, *Environmental Modelling & Software* **25**, 1197–1207 (2010).
- ⁶³³N. M. Roberts, B. Tikoff, J. R. Davis, and T. Stetson-Lee, “The utility of statistical analysis in structural geology”, *Journal of Structural Geology* **125**, 64–73 (2018).
- ⁶³⁴B. H. Robison, “Conservation of deep pelagic biodiversity”, *Conservation Biology* **23**, 847–858 (2009).
- ⁶³⁵B. H. Robison, “Deep pelagic biology”, *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* **300**, 253–272 (2004).
- ⁶³⁶E. A. Romankevich, A. A. Vetrov, and V. I. Peresypkin, “Organic matter of the World Ocean”, *Russian Geology and Geophysics* **50**, 299–307 (2008).
- ⁶³⁷D. L. Rosgen, “A classification of natural river”, *Catena* **22**, SSD10341-8162(94)E0046-3, 169–199 (1994).
- ⁶³⁸D. A. Ross, “Sediments of the northern Middle America Trench”, *Geological Society of America Bulletin* **82**, 303–322 (1971).
- ⁶³⁹D. A. Ross and G. G. Shor, “Reflection profiles across the Middle America Trench”, *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres* **70**, 5551–5572 (1965).

- ⁶⁴⁰G. van Rossum and Python Development Team, *Python Tutorial Release 3.6.4*. (Python Software Foundation, 2018), 446 pp., URL: https://www.academia.edu/39043140/Python_Tutorial_Release_3_6_4_Guido_van_Rossum_and_the_Python_development_team.
- ⁶⁴¹A. Ruangrassamee and N. Saelem, “Effect of Tsunamis generated in the Manila Trench on the Gulf of Thailand”, *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* **36**, 56–66 (2009).
- ⁶⁴²L. J. Ruff and H. Kanamori, “Seismicity and the subduction process”, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **23**, 240–252 (1980).
- ⁶⁴³N. A. Ruppert, J. M. Lees, and N. P. Kozyreva, “Seismicity, earthquakes and structure along the Alaska-Aleutian and Kamchatka-Kurile Subduction Zones: a review”, *Geophysical Monograph Series* **172**, 10.1029/172GM12 (2007).
- ⁶⁴⁴H. F. Ryan, R. Huene, R. E. Wells, D. W. Scholl, S. H. Kirby, and A. E. Draut, “History of earthquakes and tsunamis along the eastern Aleutian-Alaska megathrust, with implications for tsunami hazards in the California Continental Borderland”, in *Studies by the u.s. geological survey in alaska*, edited by J. A. Dumoulin and C. Dusel-Bacon, U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1795A (2011).
- ⁶⁴⁵J. P. M. de Sá, *Applied Statistics Using SPSS, Statistics, Matlab and R*, 2nd ed., Library of Congress Control Number: 2007926024 (Springer, Porto, Portugal, 2007), 520 pp., ISBN: 978-3-540-71971-7.
- ⁶⁴⁶M. K. Salah, T. Seno, and T. Iidaka, “Seismic anisotropy in the wedge above the Philippine Sea slab beneath Kanto and southwest Japan derived from shear wave splitting”, *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* **34**, 61–75 (2009).
- ⁶⁴⁷I. R. Samowitz and D. W. Forsyth, “Double seismic zone beneath the Mariana Island arc”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **86**, 7013–7021 (1981).
- ⁶⁴⁸D. T. Sandwell, E. Garcia, K. Soofi, P. Wessel, and W. H. F. Smith, “Towards 1 mGal Global Marine Gravity from CryoSat-2, Envisat, and Jason-1”, *The Leading Edge* **32**, 892–899 (2013).
- ⁶⁴⁹D. T. Sandwell, R. D. Müller, W. H. F. Smith, E. Garcia, and R. Francis, “New global marine gravity model from CryoSat-2 and Jason-1 reveals buried tectonic structure”, *Science* **346**, 65–67 (2014).
- ⁶⁵⁰D. T. Sandwell and W. H. F. Smith, “Global marine gravity from retracked Geosat and ERS -1 altimetry: ridge segmentation versus spreading rate”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **114**, B01411, 1–18 (2009).
- ⁶⁵¹D. T. Sandwell, M. M. Yale, and W. H. F. Smith, “Marine gravity anomalies from GEOSAT and ERS-1 satellite altimetry”, *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres* **102**, 10039–10054 (1997).
- ⁶⁵²T. Sano, M. Shirao, K. Tani, Y. Tsutsumi, S. Kiyokawa, and T. Fujii, “Progressive enrichment of arc magmas caused by the subduction of seamounts under Nishinoshima volcano, Izu-Bonin Arc, Japan”, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* **319**, 52–65 (2016).
- ⁶⁵³L. F. Sarmiento-Rojas, J. D. Van Wess, and S. Cloetingh, “Mesozoic transtensional basin history of the Eastern Cordillera, Colombian Andes: inferences from tectonic models”, *Journal of South America Earth Science* **21**, 383–411 (2006).
- ⁶⁵⁴T. Sato, J. Kasahara, H. Katao, N. Tomiyama, K. Mochizuki, and S. Koresawa, “Seismic observations at the Yap Islands and the northern Yap Trench”, *Tectonophysics* **271**, 285–294 (1997).
- ⁶⁵⁵V. V. Sattarova and K. I. Aksentov, “Geochemistry of mercury in surface sediments of the Kuril Basin of the Sea of Okhotsk, Kuril-Kamchatka Trench and adjacent abyssal plain and northwest part of the Bering Sea”, *Deep-Sea Research Part II* **154**, 24–31 (2018).
- ⁶⁵⁶L. A. Savostin, L. Zonenshain, and B. V. Baranov, “Geology and plate tectonics of the Sea of Okhotsk, Geodynamics of the Western Pacific-Indonesian Region”, *Geodynamics Series* **11**, AGU, Washington, D. C., 189–221 (1983).
- ⁶⁵⁷W. P. Schellart, “Subduction zone trench migration: Slab driven or overriding-plate-driven?”, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **170**, 73–88 (2008).
- ⁶⁵⁸W. P. Schellart, “The potential influence of subduction zone polarity on overriding plate deformation, trench migration and slab dip angle”, *Tectonophysics* **445**, 363–372 (2007).

- ⁶⁵⁹W. P. Schellart, G. S. Lister, and V. G. Toy, “A Late Cretaceous and Cenozoic re-construction of the Southwest Pacific region: tectonics controlled by subduction and slab rollback processes”, *Earth. Rev.* **76**, 191–233 (2006).
- ⁶⁶⁰H. W. Schenke, S. Gauger, and P. Lemenkova, *Swath sonar bathymetry during Polarstern cruise ANT-XXIII/4 (PS 69) with links to multibeam raw data files*, Dataset, 2008, Alfred Wegener Institute, Bremerhaven, PAN-GAEA.
- ⁶⁶¹H. W. Schenke and P. Lemenkova, “Zur Frage der Meeresboden-Kartographie: Die Nutzung von AutoTrace Digitizer für die Vektorisierung der Bathymetrischen Daten in der Petschora-See”, German, *Hydrographische Nachrichten* **81**, 16–21 (2008).
- ⁶⁶²E. Scheuber, T. Bogdanic, A. Jensen, and K.-J. Reutter, “Tectonics of the southern central andes”, in ().
- ⁶⁶³R. Schmidt-Effing, “Alter und Genese des Nicoya-Komplexes, einer ozeanischen Paläokruste (Oberjura bis Eozän) im südlichen Zentralamerika”, *Geologische Rundschau* **68**, 457–494 (1979).
- ⁶⁶⁴M. Sdrolias, W. R. Roest, and R. D. Müller, “An expression of Philippine Sea plate rotation: the Parece Vela and Shikoku Basins”, *Tectonophysics* **394**, 69–86 (2004).
- ⁶⁶⁵S. Seabold and J. Perktold, “Statsmodels: Econometric and statistical modeling with python”, in Proceedings of the 9th python in science conference (2010), pp. 1–6.
- ⁶⁶⁶L. C. Seekings and T.-L. Teng, “Lateral variations in the structure of the Philippine Sea Plate”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **82**, 317–324 (1977).
- ⁶⁶⁷N. I. Seliverstov, *Structure of the oceanic floor near Kamchatka and the geodynamics of the zone of Kuril-Kamchatka and Aleutians trenches Joint*, in Russian (Moscow: Nauchni Mir, 1998), URL: <http://repo.kscnet.ru/403/2/Seliverstov.pdf>.
- ⁶⁶⁸G. F. Sella, T. H. Dixon, and A. Mao, “REVEL: a model for recent plate velocities from space geodesy”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **107**, 11–30 (2002).
- ⁶⁶⁹A. Sen, H. Ondréas, A. Gaillot, Y. Marcon, J.-M. Augustin, and K. Olu, “The use of multibeam backscatter and bathymetry as a means of identifying faunal assemblages in a deep-sea cold seep”, *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **110**, 33–49 (2016).
- ⁶⁷⁰R. Senda, K. Shimizu, and K. Suzuki, “Ancient depleted mantle as a source of boninites in the Izu-Bonin-Mariana arc: Evidence from Os isotopes in Cr-spinel and magnetite”, *Chemical Geology* **439**, 110–119 (2016).
- ⁶⁷¹T. Seno and S. Maruyama, “Paleogeographic reconstruction and origin of the Philippine Sea”, *Tectonophysics* **102**, 53–84 (1984).
- ⁶⁷²M. Seton, R. D. Müller, S. Zahirovic, C. Gaina, T. Torsvik, G. Shephard, A. Talsma, M. Gurnis, M. Turner, S. Maus, and M. Chandler, “Global continental and ocean basin reconstructions since 200Ma”, *Earth-Science Review* **113**, 212–270 (2012).
- ⁶⁷³F. P. Shepard, *Submarine Geology* (Harper & Row, New York, U.S., 1963).
- ⁶⁷⁴Shipboard Scientific Party, “Site 500: Middle America Trench Axis”, *Deep Sea Drilling Project Reports and Publications* **8**, 315–347 (1982).
- ⁶⁷⁵Shipboard Scientific Party, “Site 499: Middle America Trench Axis”, *Deep Sea Drilling Project Reports and Publications* **7**, 267–314 (1982).
- ⁶⁷⁶Shipboard Scientific Party, “6. Site 498: Middle America Trench Lower Slope”, *Deep Sea Drilling Project Reports and Publications* **6**, 245–266 (1982).
- ⁶⁷⁷Shipboard Scientific Party, “Site 496: Middle America Trench Upper Slope”, *Deep Sea Drilling Project Reports and Publications* **4**, 143–192 (1982).
- ⁶⁷⁸Shipboard Scientific Party, “Site 495: Cocos Plate – Middle America Trench Outer Slope”, *Deep Sea Drilling Project Reports and Publications* **1**, 79–141 (1982).
- ⁶⁷⁹Y. Shu, S. G. Nielsen, Z. Zeng, R. Shinjo, J. Blusztajn, X. Wang, and S. Chen, “Tracing subducted sediment inputs to the Ryukyu arc- Okinawa Trough system: Evidence from thallium isotopes”, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **217**, 462–491 (2017).

- ⁶⁸⁰J. O. Skøien, G. Blöschl, G. Laaha, E. Pebesma, J. Parajka, and A. Viglione, “rtop: An R package for interpolation of data with a variable spatial support, with an example from river networks”, *Computers & Geosciences* **67**, 180–190 (2014).
- ⁶⁸¹Y. B. Smirnov and V. M. Sugrobov, “Terrestrial heat flow in the Kurile-Kamchatka and Aleutian provinces-III, Assessment of temperature at depth and thickness of the lithosphere”, *Journal of Volcanology and Seismology* **2**, in Russian, 3–18 (1980).
- ⁶⁸²Y. B. Smirnov and V. M. Sugrobov, “Terrestrial heat flow in the Kurile-Kamchatka and Aleutian provinces - II, The map of measured and background heat flow”, *Journal of Volcanology and Seismology* **1**, in Russian, 16–31 (1980).
- ⁶⁸³Y. B. Smirnov, V. M. Sugrobov, and F. A. Yanovskiy, “The terrestrial heat flow of Kamchatka”, *Journal of Volcanology and Seismology* **13**, 181–210 (1992).
- ⁶⁸⁴I. E. M. Smith and R. C. Price, “The TongaKermadec arc and HavreLau back-arc system: their role in the development of tectonic and magmatic models for the western Pacific”, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* **156**, 315–331 (2006).
- ⁶⁸⁵I. E. M. Smith, T. J. Worthington, R. B. Stewart, R. C. Price, C. Richard, and J. A. Gamble, “Felsic volcanism in the Kermadec Arc, SW Pacific; crustal recycling in an oceanic setting”, *Geological Society Special Publications* **219**, 99–118 (2003), URL: <https://sp.lyellcollection.org/content/219/1/99>.
- ⁶⁸⁶W. H. F. Smith, “On the accuracy of digital bathymetric data”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **98**, 9591–9603 (1993).
- ⁶⁸⁷W. H. F. Smith and D. T. Sandwell, “Marine gravity field from declassified Geosat and ERS-1 altimetry”, *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres* **102**, 10039–10054 (1997).
- ⁶⁸⁸W. H. F. Smith and D. T. Sandwell, “Global Sea Floor Topography from Satellite Altimetry and Ship Depth Soundings”, *Science* **277**, 1956–1962 (1997).
- ⁶⁸⁹O. Solomina, G. Wiles, T. Shiraiwa, and R. D’Arrigo, “Multiproxy records of climate variability for Kamchatka for the past 400 years”, *Climate of the Past* **3**, 119–128 (2007).
- ⁶⁹⁰S. L. Soloviev and C. N. A. Go, *Catalogue of Tsunamis on the Western Shore of the Pacific Ocean*. in Russian (Academy of Sciences of the USSR. Nauka Publishing House, Moscow, 1974), p. 439.
- ⁶⁹¹F. Sorbadere, P. Schiano, N. Metrich, and E. Garaebiti, “Insights into the origin of primitive silica-undersaturated arc magmas of Aoba volcano (Vanuatu arc)”, *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* **162**, 995–1009 (2011).
- ⁶⁹²L. Spina, J. Taddeucci, and A. Cannata, “Explosive volcanic activity at Mt. Yasur: A characterization of the acoustic events (9-12th July 2011)”, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* **322**, 175–183 (2016).
- ⁶⁹³V. Stagpoole, H. W. Schenke, and Y. Ohara, “A name directory for the ocean floor”, *Eos* **97**, 1–2 (2016).
- ⁶⁹⁴H. A. Stewart and A. J. Jamieson, “Habitat heterogeneity of hadal trenches: Considerations and implications for future studies”, *Progress in Oceanography* **161**, 47–65 (2018).
- ⁶⁹⁵R. J. P. Strick, P. J. Ashworth, G. Awcock, and J. Lewin, “Morphology and spacing of river meander scrolls”, *Geomorphology* **310**, 57–68 (2018).
- ⁶⁹⁶T. Strutz, *Data Fitting and Uncertainty (A practical introduction to weighted least squares and beyond)* (Springer Vieweg, 2016), ISBN: 978-3-658-11455-8.
- ⁶⁹⁷M. Stutz, *Get started with GAWK: AWK language fundamentals*, online (IBM Developer Works, 2006).
- ⁶⁹⁸I. A. Suetova, L. A. Ushakova, and P. Lemenkova, “Geoecological Mapping of the Barents Sea Using GIS”, in *Proceedings of the International Cartographic Conference (ICC)* (International Cartographic Association, 2005).
- ⁶⁹⁹I. A. Suetova, L. A. Ushakova, and P. Lemenkova, “Geoinformation mapping of the Barents and Pechora Seas”, *Geography and Natural Resources* **4**, edited by V. A. Snytko, 138–142 (2005).
- ⁷⁰⁰R. Sutherland, P. M. Barnes, and C. Uruski, “Miocene-recent deformation, surface elevation, and volcanic intrusion of the overriding plate during subduction initiation, offshore southern Fiordland, Puysegur Margin, south-west New Zealand”, *New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics* **49**, 131–149 (2006).

- ⁷⁰¹S. Suzuki, R. E. Peña, T. A. I. Tam, G. P. J. Yumul, C. B. Dimalanta, M. Us, and K. Ishida, “Development of the Philippine Mobile Belt in northern Luzon from Eocene to Pliocene”, *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* **142**, 32–44 (2017).
- ⁷⁰²A. R. H. Swan and M. Sandilands, *Introduction to Geological Data Analysis*, Library of Congress: QE33.2.S82S931995; Dewey Decimal Classification (UDC): 550/.72 (Blackwell Science, Cambridge, Mass., USA, 1995), 446 pp.
- ⁷⁰³K. Taira, S. Kitagawa, T. Yamashiro, and D. Yanagimoto, “Deep and Bottom Currents in the Challenger Deep, Mariana Trench, Measured with Super-Deep Current Meters”, *Journal of Oceanography* **60**, 919–926 (2004).
- ⁷⁰⁴K. Taira, D. Yanagimoto, and S. Kitagawa, “Deep CTD Casts in the Challenger Deep, Mariana Trench”, *Journal of Oceanography* **61**, 447–454 (2005).
- ⁷⁰⁵S. Tani, *Understanding oceans*, The UNESCO Courier, 65–69, 2017.
- ⁷⁰⁶D. R. Tappin, “Sedimentary basins of the world: south pacific sedimentary basins”, in, edited by P. F. Ballance (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1993) Chap. 2. The Tonga frontal arc basin, pp. 12–22.
- ⁷⁰⁷B. Taylor, “Proc. odp, sci. results, 126. ocean drilling program”, in, edited by B. Taylor and K. Fujioka (College Station, TX, 1992) Chap. Rifting and the volcanictectonic evolution of the IzuBoninMariana arc, pp. 627–651.
- ⁷⁰⁸B. Taylor, “Bismarck Sea: evolution of a back-arc basin”, *Geology* **7**, 171–174 (1979).
- ⁷⁰⁹A. E. J. Theberge, “Thirty years of discovering the Mariana Trench”, *Hydro International* **12**, 38–39 (2008), URL: <https://www.hydro-international.com/content/article/thirty-years-of-discovering-the-mariana-trench>.
- ⁷¹⁰C. Theiling, “4-2 Ecological Status and Trends of the UMRS 1998. River Geomorphology”, in (1998) Chap. 4. River Geomorphology and Floodplain Habitats, pp. 1–21.
- ⁷¹¹T. M. Thornburg and L. D. Kulm, “Submarine-fan development in the southern Chile Trench: a dynamic interplay of tectonics and sedimentation”, *Geol. Soc. Amer. Bull* **102** (1990).
- ⁷¹²J. Tian, L. Fan, H. Liu, J. Liu, Y. Li, Q. Qin, Z. Gong, H. Chen, Z. Sun, L. Zou, X. Wang, H. Xu, D. H. Bartlett, M. Wang, Y.-Z. Zhang, X.-H. Zhang, and C. L. Zhang, “A nearly uniform distributional pattern of heterotrophic bacteria in the Mariana Trench interior”, *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **0**, 00–00 (2018).
- ⁷¹³A. Tibaldi and F. L. Bonali, “Intra-arc and back-arc volcano-tectonics: Magma pathways at Holocene Alaska-Aleutian volcanoes”, *Earth-Science Reviews* **167**, 1–26 (2017).
- ⁷¹⁴N. H. Timm, *Applied Multivariate Analysis* (Springer Science & Business Media, 2007), 695 pp., ISBN: 978-0-387-95347-2.
- ⁷¹⁵D. Tomaszewski, J. Rapinski, and R. Pelc-Mieczkowska, “Concept of AHRS Algorithm Designed for Platform Independent IMU Attitude Alignment”, *Reports on Geodesy and Geoinformatics* **104**, 33–47 (2017).
- ⁷¹⁶P. Tregoning, K. Lambeck, A. Stolz, P. J. Morgan, S. C. McClusky, P. van der Beek, H. McQueen, R. J. Jackson, R. P. Little, A. Laing, and B. Murphy, “Estimation of current plate motions in Papua New Guinea from Global Positioning System observations”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **103**, 12181–12203 (1998).
- ⁷¹⁷T. Tsuboi, H. Wada, T. Nakamura, T. T. Hang, H. Matsuzaki, N. Otsuji, K. Fujioka, K. Oguri, and H. Kitazato, “Deep-sea limestone block as a source of 14C-depleted dissolved inorganic carbon at the Palau Trench”, *Chemical Geology* **364**, 1–8 (2014).
- ⁷¹⁸T. Tsuru, J.-O. Park, N. Takahashi, S. Kodaira, Y. Kido, Y. Kaneda, and T. Kono, “Tectonic features of the Japan Trench convergent margin off Sanriku, northeastern Japan, revealed by multichannel seismic reflection data”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **105**, 16403–16413 (2000), URL: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdfdirect/10.1029/2000JB900132>.
- ⁷¹⁹D. L. Turcotte and G. Schubert, *Geodynamics*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, U.K., 2013), 472 pp., ISBN: 1429238607.
- ⁷²⁰R. Turnewitsch, S. Falahat, J. Stehlikova, K. Oguri, R. N. Glud, M. Middelboe, H. Kitazato, F. Wenzhöfer, K. Ando, S. Fujio, and D. Yanagimoto, “Recent sediment dynamics in hadal trenches: Evidence for the influence of higher-frequency (tidal, near-inertial) fluid dynamics”, *Deep-Sea Research Part I* **90**, 125–138 (2014).

- ⁷²¹E. P. Turtle, R. M. C. Lopes, and R. D. Lorenz, “Temporal behavior and temperatures of Yasur volcano, Vanuatu from field remote sensing observations, May 2014”, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* **322**, 158–167 (2016).
- ⁷²²G. B. Udintsev, *Relief i stroenie dna okeanov (Relief and the structure of the ocean floor)*, Russian, edited by A. V. Il'yin (Nedra, Moscow, 1987), 239 pp.
- ⁷²³Y. Ujiie, “Mud diapirs observed in two piston cores from the landward slope of the northern Ryukyu Trench, northwestern Pacific Ocean”, *Marine Geology* **163**, 149–167 (2000).
- ⁷²⁴S. Uyeda and H. Kanamori, “Back-arc opening and the mode of subduction”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **84**, 1049–1061 (1979).
- ⁷²⁵P. Vermeesch, A. Resentini, and E. Garzanti, “An R package for statistical provenance analysis”, *Sedimentary Geology* **336**, 14–25 (2016).
- ⁷²⁶J. L. Vigneresse, “Which language should be used to sort multifield records?”, *Computers & Geosciences* **21**, 1131–1137 (1995).
- ⁷²⁷C. Vita-Finzi, “Serial deformation”, *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association* **116**, 293–300 (2005).
- ⁷²⁸D. Völker, T. Reichel, M. Wiedicke, and C. Heubeck, “Turbidites deposited on Southern Central Chilean seamounts: Evidence for energetic turbidity currents”, *Marine Geology* **251**, 15–31 (2008).
- ⁷²⁹G. Wadge, *GIS for geology*, 3(1): 93–98 (Terra Nova, 1992).
- ⁷³⁰K. Wakita, “Geology and tectonics of Japanese islands: a review the key to understanding the geology of Asia”, *Journal of Air Transport Management* **31**, 75–87 (2013).
- ⁷³¹L. M. Wallace, M. Reyners, U. Cochran, S. Bannister, P. M. Barnes, K. R. Berryman, G. Downes, D. Eberhart-Phillips, A. Fagereng, S. M. Ellis, A. Nicol, R. McCaffrey, J. Beavan, S. Henrys, R. Sutherland, D. H. N. Barker, N. Litchfield, J. Townend, R. Robinson, R. E. Bell, K. Wilson, and W. Power, “Characterizing the seismogenic zone of a major plate boundary subduction thrust: the Hikurangi Margin”, *Geochemistry. Geophysics. Geosystems* **10**, 10.1029/2009GC002610 (2009).
- ⁷³²H. Wang, G. J. Crutchley, and T. Stern, “Gas hydrate formation in compressional, extensional and un-faulted structural settings e Examples from New Zealand's Hikurangi margin”, *Marine and Petroleum Geology* **88**, 69–80 (2017).
- ⁷³³J. C. Warner, N. Perlin, and E. D. Skillingstad, “Using the model coupling toolkit to couple earth system models”, *Environmental Modelling & Software* **23**, 1240–1249 (2008).
- ⁷³⁴B. A. Warren and W. B. Owens, “Deep currents in the central subarctic Pacific Ocean”, *Journal of Physical Oceanography* **18**, 529–551 (1988).
- ⁷³⁵P. Weatherall, K. M. Marks, M. Jakobsson, T. Schmitt, S. Tani, J. E. Arndt, M. Rovere, D. Chayes, V. Ferrini, and R. Wigley, “A new digital bathymetric model of the world's oceans”, *Earth and Space Science* **2**, 331–345 (2015).
- ⁷³⁶T. J. Webb, E. van den Berghe, and R. O'Dor, “Biodiversity's Big Wet Secret: The Global Distribution of Marine Biological Records Reveals Chronic Under-Exploration of the Deep Pelagic Ocean”, *PlosOne* **5**, 1–6 (2010).
- ⁷³⁷W. A. Weibenga, “The Western Pacific: Island arcs, marginal seas, geochemistry”, in, edited by P. J. Coleman (University of Western Australia Press, Nedlands, AU, 1973) Chap. Crustal Structure of the New Britain-New Ireland Region, pp. 163–177.
- ⁷³⁸J. K. Weissel and R. N. Anderson, “Is there a Caroline plate?”, *Earth Planetary Science Letters* **41**, 143–158 (1978).
- ⁷³⁹P. Wessel and W. H. F. Smith, *The Generic Mapping Tools. Version 4.5.18 Technical Reference and Cookbook* (Computer software manual. U.S.A., 2018).
- ⁷⁴⁰P. Wessel and W. H. F. Smith, “A Global Self-consistent, Hierarchical, High-resolution Shoreline Database”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **101**, 8741–8743 (1996).
- ⁷⁴¹P. Wessel and W. H. F. Smith, “Free software helps map and display data”, *EOS Transactions of the American Geophysical Union* **72**, 441 (1991).

- ⁷⁴²P. Wessel, W. H. F. Smith, R. Scharroo, J. F. Luis, and F. Wobbe, *The Generic Mapping Tools. GMT Man Pages. Release 5.4.5* (Computer software manual. U.S.A., 2019).
- ⁷⁴³P. Wessel, W. H. F. Smith, R. Scharroo, J. F. Luis, and F. Wobbe, “Generic mapping tools: Improved version released”, *EOS Transactions of the American Geophysical Union* **94**, 409–410 (2013).
- ⁷⁴⁴P. Wessel and A. B. Watts, “On the accuracy of marine gravity measurements”, *Journal of Geophysical Research* **93**, 393–413 (1988).
- ⁷⁴⁵J. Weston, E. R. Engdahl, J. Harris, D. Di Giacomo, and D. A. Storchack, “ISC-EHB: Reconstruction of a robust earthquake dataset”, *Geophysical Journal International* **214**, 474–484 (2018).
- ⁷⁴⁶D. Woelki, M. Regelous, K. M. Haase, R. H. W. Romer, and C. Beier, “Petrogenesis of boninitic lavas from the Troodos Ophiolite, and comparison with IzuBoninMariana fore-arc crust”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **498**, 203–214 (2018).
- ⁷⁴⁷D. J. Wright, S. H. Bloomer, C. J. MacLeod, B. Taylor, and A. M. Goodlife, “Bathymetry of the Tonga Trench and Forearc: a map series”, *Marine Geophysical Researches* **21**, 489–511 (2000), URL: <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026514914220>.
- ⁷⁴⁸J. Wright and D. A. Rothery, *The Ocean Basins: Their Structure and Evolution*, 2nd ed. (Elsevier, 1998).
- ⁷⁴⁹T.-R. Wu and H.-C. Huang, “Modeling tsunami hazards from Manila trench to Taiwan”, *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* **36**, 21–28 (2009).
- ⁷⁵⁰X. Xu, M. Yamasaki, M. Oda, and M. C. Honda, “Comparison of seasonal flux variations of planktonic foraminifera in sediment traps on both sides of the Ryukyu Islands, Japan”, *Marine Micropaleontology* **58**, 45–55 (2005).
- ⁷⁵¹Y. Xu, H. Ge, and J. Fang, “Biogeochemistry of hadal trenches: Recent developments and future perspectives”, *Deep-Sea Research Part II* **155**, 19–26 (2018).
- ⁷⁵²Y. Yagi, A. Nakao, and A. Kasahara, “Smooth and rapid slip near the Japan Trench during the 2011 Tohoku-oki earthquake revealed by a hybrid back-projection method”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **355–356**, 94–101 (2012).
- ⁷⁵³T. Yamazaki, M. Takahashi, Y. Iryu, T. Sato, M. Oda, H. Takayanagi, S. Chiyonobu, A. Nishimura, T. Nakazawa, and T. Ooka, “Philippine Sea Plate motion since the Eocene estimated from paleomagnetism of seafloor drill cores and gravity cores”, *Earth Planets Space* **62**, 495–502 (2010).
- ⁷⁵⁴P. H. Yancey, M. E. Gerringer, J. C. Drazen, A. A. Rowden, and A. J. Jamieson, “Marine fish may be biochemically constrained from inhabiting the deepest ocean depths”, *PNAS (Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America)* **111**, 4461–4465 (2014).
- ⁷⁵⁵A. Yang and Y. Fu, “Estimates of effective elastic thickness at subduction zones”, *Journal of Geodynamics* **117**, 75–87 (2018).
- ⁷⁵⁶G. Yang, C. Shen, J. Wang, S. Xuan, G. Wu, and H. Tan, “Isostatic anomaly characteristics and tectonism of the New Britain Trench and neighboring Papua New Guinea”, *Geodesy and Geodynamics* **9**, 404–410 (2018).
- ⁷⁵⁷J. Yang, Z. Cui, O. A. Dada, Y. Yang, H. Yu, Y. Xu, Z. Lin, Y. Chen, and X. Tang, “Distribution and enrichment of trace metals in surface marine sediments collected by the manned submersible Jiaolong in the Yap Trench, northwest Pacific Ocean”, *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **135**, 1035–1041 (2018).
- ⁷⁵⁸Y.-L. Yeh, H. Kao, S. Wen, W.-Y. Chang, and C.-H. Chen, “Surface wave tomography and azimuthal anisotropy of the Philippine Sea Plate”, *Tectonophysics* **592**, 94–112 (2013).
- ⁷⁵⁹G. M. Yogodzinski, S. T. Brown, P. B. Kelemen, J. D. Vervoort, M. V. Portnyagin, K. W. W. Sims, K. Hoernle, B. R. Jicha, and R. Werner, “The role of subducted Basalt in the Source of Island Arc Magmas: evidence from seafloor Lavas of the Western Aleutians”, *Journal of Petrology* **56**, 441–492 (2015).
- ⁷⁶⁰S. Yoneshima, K. Mochizuki, E. Araki, R. Hino, M. Shinohara, and K. Suyehiro, “Subduction of the Woodlark Basin at New Britain Trench, Solomon Islands region”, *Tectonophysics* **397**, 225–239 (2005).
- ⁷⁶¹M. Yoshida, “Trench dynamics: Effects of dynamically migrating trench on subducting slab morphology and characteristics of subduction zones systems”, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **268**, 35–53 (2017).
- ⁷⁶²T. Yoshii, “Detailed cross-section of the deep seismic zone beneath northeastern Honshu, Japan”, *Tectonophysics* **55**, 349–360 (1979).

- ⁷⁶³S. Yoshikawa, K. Okino, and M. Asada, “Geomorphological variations at hydrothermal sites in the southern Mariana Trough: Relationship between hydrothermal activity and topographic characteristics”, *Marine Geology* **303–306**, 172–182 (2012).
- ⁷⁶⁴S. Yoshioka, Y. Torii, and M. R. Riedel, “Impact of phase change kinetics on the Mariana slab within the framework of 2-D mantle convection”, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **240**, 70–81 (2015).
- ⁷⁶⁵G.-K. Yu, “Surface wave study of the Philippine Sea Plate”, *Proceedings of the National Science Council Part A* **6**, 281–296 (1982).
- ⁷⁶⁶G.-K. Yu and W. Y. Chang, “Lateral variations in the upper mantle structure of the Philippine Sea basin”, *Terrestrial, Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences* **2**, 281–296 (1991).
- ⁷⁶⁷G.-K. Yu, M.-T. Tsai, and R.-D. Hwang, “Velocity dispersion and amplitude attenuation of Rayleigh waves across the Philippine Sea”, *Terrestrial, Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences* **11**, 515–524 (2000).
- ⁷⁶⁸H.-S. Yu, “Nature and distribution of the deformation front in the Luzon Arc-Chinese continental margin collision zone at Taiwan”, *Marine Geophysical Researches* **25**, 109–122 (2004).
- ⁷⁶⁹H.-S. Yu, Y. Liu, H. Yang, and J. Ning, “Modeling earthquake sequences along the Manila subduction zone: Effects of three-dimensional fault geometry”, *Tectonophysics* **733**, 73–84 (2018).
- ⁷⁷⁰Q.-Y. Yu, L. Bagas, P.-H. Yang, and D. Zhang, “GeoPyTool: A cross-platform software solution for common geological calculations and plots”, *Geoscience Frontiers* **10**, 1437–1447 (2019).
- ⁷⁷¹S.-B. Yu, Y.-J. Hsu, T. Bacolcol, C.-C. Yang, Y.-C. Tsai, and R. Solidum, “Present-day crustal deformation along the Philippine Fault in Luzon, Philippines”, *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* **65**, 64–74 (2013).
- ⁷⁷²F. Yulianto, Suwarsono, T. Maulana, and M. R. Khomarudin, “Analysis of the dynamics of coastal landform change based on the integration of remote sensing and GIS techniques: Implications for tidal flooding impact in Pekalongan, Central Java, Indonesia”, *Quaestiones Geographicae* **38**, 17–29 (2019).
- ⁷⁷³Y. A. Zayakin and A. A. Luchinina, *Catalogue of Tsunamis on Kamchatka*, in Russian (Obninsk: VNIIGMI-Mtsd, 1987).
- ⁷⁷⁴F. Zhang, J. Lin, and W. Zhan, “Variations in oceanic plate bending along the Mariana trench”, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **401**, 206–214 (2014).
- ⁷⁷⁵L. Zhang, C. He, Y. Liu, and J. Lin, “Frictional properties of the South China Sea oceanic basalt and implications for strength of the Manila subduction seismogenic zone”, *Marine Geology* **394**, 16–29 (2017).
- ⁷⁷⁶H.-W. Zheng, R. Gao, T.-D. Li, Q.-S. Li, and R.-W. He, “Collisional tectonics between the Eurasian and Philippine Sea plates from tomography evidences in Southeast China”, *Tectonophysics* **606**, 14–23 (2013).
- ⁷⁷⁷Z. Zhou, J. Lin, and M. D. Behn, “Mechanism for normal faulting in the subducting plate at the Mariana Trench”, *Geophysical Research Letters* **42**, 4309–4317 (2015).
- ⁷⁷⁸Z. Zhou and J. Lin, “Elasto-plastic deformation and plate weakening due to normal faulting in the subducting plate along the Mariana Trench”, *Tectonophysics* **734–735**, 59–68 (2018).
- ⁷⁷⁹G. Zhu, Y. Shi, and P. Tackley, “Subduction of the Western Pacific Plate underneath Northeast China: Implications of numerical studies”, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **178**, 92–99 (2009).