Short-term variations in tracer tests responses in a highly karstified watershed

Vianney SIVELLE ^(a), David LABAT ^(a)

^(a) Géosciences Environnement Toulouse, Université Toulouse 3 (Paul Sabatier)

REVISED VERSION FOR HYDROGEOLOGY JOURNAL

Manuscript number: HJ-2018-5698

Corresponding author:

Vianney SIVELLE

Géosciences Environnement Toulouse, Université Toulouse 3 (Paul Sabatier)

14, avenue Edouard Belin, 31400 Toulouse

(+33) 5.61.33.46.04

vianney.sivelle@get.omp.eu

23 **Abstract**

24 Modelling non-reactive solute transport based on artificial tracer tests have been widely used
25 in the past decades. The dependence of solute transport from boundary conditions have
26 been investigated across different hydrological conditions (low and high-water level) but still
27 not investigated on short-term scale (i.e. hourly and daily scale). In this study, a campaign of
28 several tracer tests is performed on a few days to investigate the short-term variations of
29 tracer tests responses in a conduit dominated karst system during a recession without the
30 influence of rainfall. Also, an improved artificial tracer test interpretation using a process
31 engineering tool is introduced. It consists of a Laplace-transform transfer function approach
32 of the residence time distribution curve. Considering the karstic system as a chemical
33 reactor, the introduction of a transfer function approach appears to be an efficient way to
34 describe the solute transport. Moreover, the transfer function is parametrized depending on
35 the spring discharge. Finally, the model is extended to deal with source pollution scenario
36 testing.

37 **Keywords:** artificial tracer test, karstic system, transfer function, pollution scenario

1 Introduction

Karstic systems consist of calcareous formations (carbonate rocks) in which the karstification process takes place. Three types of energy must be dispelled (Quinif, 1999): (1) mechanical actions create fissures and fractures that constitute a preferential underground pathway for water; (2) water-dissolved CO_2 reacts with carbonate rocks, and CO_2 partial pressure governs the rock dissolution potential (Dreybrodt, 1988); (3) water flows due to a hydraulic gradient between the inlet and outlet of the system (Mangin, 1975). This gradient controls water flow and dynamics in karstic systems (Delannoy, 1997; Jeannin, 1998; Mangin, 1984). Then, the process of karstification generates a subterranean network of cavities and drifts. This also leads to typical surface landscapes with geomorphological features such as dolines and karrens.

Artificial tracer tests is one of the most powerfull tools to study the conduit flow dynamics and have been widely used to study the solute transport in conduit dominated karst aquifers (Birk et al., 2005; Duran et al., 2016; Ender et al., 2018; Field and Pinsky, 2000; Goldscheider et al., 2008; Göppert and Goldscheider, 2007; Labat and Mangin, 2015; Massei et al., 2006; Morales et al., 2010). Solute transport is investigated under various hydrological conditions (i.e. low and high-water level, following “dry” or “rainy” periods). Then, modelling the solute transport includes knowledge about boundary conditions and their influence on the transport parameters (Cholet, 2017; Duran et al., 2016; Ender et al., 2018). This has been investigated over the hydrological cycle but remains not investigated on a short-term scale (i.e. hourly and daily scale).

To improve the understanding of the effect of short-term variations in boundary conditions, 11 tracer tests were performed over two weeks without significant influence of rainfall. These operations were performed with a certain temporal repartition to investigate the hourly and daily change in tracer tests response. We assume to summarize variations in boundary conditions through variations in the spring discharge. Also, as the tracer tests were performed in close hydrological conditions, we attempt to discriminate the effect of short-term

variation in tracer test response. Therefore, the following items are examined: (1) a transfer function approach is introduced to simulate non-reactive solute transport in a highly karstified watershed; (2) assessment of short-term (from hourly to daily scale) variations in tracer test responses and (3) a new method is proposed to predict the solute transport between a preferential infiltration point in the watershed (typically a loss) and the outlet of the watershed.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Artificial tracer tests in karstic systems

Artificial tracer tests enable analysis of the time of transit of a tracer in a karstic system. Basically, it consists of an injection of a known quantity of tracer, and the careful analysis of the curve for tracer restitution at the outlet, often called a tracer breakthrough curve (BTC). If a mass of tracer denoted M is added to the system at time $t = 0$, then the concentration at the outlet will be a function of time, denoted $C_{out}(t)$. After an infinite time, because of mass conservation, the recovered mass of tracer is given by Eqn (1).

$$M = \int_{t=0}^{t=\infty} C_{out}(t) \cdot Q(t) \cdot dt$$

(1)

where $Q(t)$ is the spring flow as a function of time (m^3/s) and $C_{out}(t)$ is the concentration measured at the outlet of the system as a function of time (g/m^3). Because of natural heterogeneities and experimental approach, the mass conservation is rarely observed. Artificial tracer tests in karstic media often show a recovery of about 80% in high water level and around 20% in low water level. The reason of the discrepancy in recovery rate is still subject to investigation.

Fig. 1 shows a conceptual scheme for artificial tracer tests operated over a karstic system. The tracer response is formulated as an unsteady material balance, in terms of a linear differential equation with a constant coefficient that relates an input function $C_{in}(t)$ to a response function $C_{out}(t)$. The general form of the material balance is:

$$\text{Inputs} + \text{Sources} = \text{Outputs} + \text{Sinks} + \text{Accumulations} \quad (2)$$

where inputs are the mass of tracer added by an operator, sources are the mass naturally present in the environment, outputs are the mass recovered at the measurement station, sinks are the mass reaching one or several non-monitored outlets of the drainage system and accumulations are the mass temporally stored in the drainage system. Sinks and accumulations can both be non-negligible in karstic systems. Considering multiple underground water pathways, part of the tracer could flow to several outputs (Luhmann et al., 2012) or could be temporally stored in immobile fluid regions (Dewaide et al., 2017; Goldscheider, 2008; Morales et al., 2010). Also, multiple peaked RTD curves could give evidence of multiple flow dynamics in the tracing system or multiple flow path (Field and Leij, 2012; Filippini et al., 2018; Vincenzi et al., 2011).

The residence time distribution (RTD), denoted $E(t)$ (s^{-1}), is derived from the concentration curve, denoted $C_{out}(t)$. It measures how long a tracer particle stays in the system and represents the probability density function for a water molecule to stay in the system during a period t (Jury and Roth, 1990). The $E(t)$ curve corresponds to normalization of the C curve to unity. Thus, in the case of a tracer system without dead space, the concentration can simply be divided:

$$E(t) = \frac{C_{out}(t)}{M/v} = \frac{C_{out}(t).Q(t)}{\int_{t=0}^{t=\infty} C_{out}(t).Q(t)dt} \quad \text{where} \quad \int_{t=0}^{t=\infty} E(t)dt = 1$$

(3)

where $C_{out}(t)$ (t) is the concentration as a function of time (g/m^3), M is the mass of tracer injected (g) and v is the mean flow rate (m^3/s). If the tracer is injected on period sufficiently short to be considered as instantaneous (i.e. the injection is close to a Dirac function) the observed RTD corresponds to the impulse response of the system.

One of the most frequently used methods for artificial tracer test interpretation is based on the advection-dispersion equation (ADE) introduced by Wang et al. (1987), and then

implemented in CXTFIT (Toride et al., 1995), QTRACER (Field, 2002; Field and Pinsky, 2000) and STANDMOD (Van Genuchten et al., 2012). The model is based on a dimensionless Peclet's numbers. It corresponds to the product of the length of flow and the mean velocity divided by the diffusivity and so roughly correspond to the Reynolds number but in term of porous media. ADE models allow determining the dispersivity coefficient which is dependent from boundary conditions (Duran et al., 2016; Massei et al., 2006) and from flow pathway (Ender et al., 2018; Lauber et al., 2014). The physical signification of parameters such as flow velocity and dispersivity can be questionable when it represents flow processes in karstic areas over several kilometres. Applications of ADE models assume that both the flow velocity and the dispersivity are constant in the system, but this is a condition rarely observed in karstic media. Because of high structural heterogeneities, the water flow velocity may present a spatial variation. This may influence the solute transport in the different compartment of the system (Ender et al., 2018). Nonetheless the physical signification of transport parameters in ADE models can be improved when the flow is segmented into sections, widely called "reach", where the flow conditions can be homogenous (Dewaide et al., 2017, 2016; Ender et al., 2018; Lauber et al., 2014). Here, as the underground drainage structure is not well constrained, a transfer function approach is chosen. It provides a framework including all processes (advection, diffusion, dispersion and mixing) in an assembly of conceptual reservoirs.

2.2 Tracer tests interpretation: a comparison with chemical engineering

Chemical engineering consists of all activities concerned with the exploitation of chemical reactions on an industrial scale (Levenspiel, 1999). These industrial processes are based on the concept of elementary reactors assembly to run material transformations. The flow behaviour of such reactors can be described based on a lumped model (Amin and Campana, 1996). This has been applied to the natural system, where the transit time can be estimated through the probability density function (PDF) of time residency (Bolin and Rodhe, 1973; Cornaton and Perrochet, 2006; Małoszewski and Zuber, 1982).

There may be several analogies between the structure present in karst aquifers and chemical reactor. An empty tubular reactor can be simulated as a plug flow reactor, often denoted as PFR (Walas, 2005). In karstic media, it corresponds to a piston effect (Bovolin et al., 2017; Milanović, 2014). A stirred tank can be modelled by considering bypass zones, stagnant zones and other parameters associated with the geometry of the vessels. In karstic media, structures such as drains play the role of bypass zones, and annexe systems constitute stagnant zones that could be connected to the main drainage system (Mangin, 1984; Marsaud, 1997). In the case of non-ideal reactors the flow conditions could be derived from tracer test operations. The experimental RTD shape gives information about the main flow and transport processes (Levenspiel, 2012; Walas, 2005).

As suggested by Becker and Bellin (2013), *“water moves through a series of different elements that can be conceptualized as reservoirs or conduits, such as the epikarst, fractures, open joints, pipes, solution conduits, pools, etc”*. By analogy with process engineering, a karstic system can be assimilated to a reservoir or an assembly of elementary reservoirs. In this way, a karstic system could be studied as if it was a chemical reactor. Nonetheless, in the case of a fluorescent dye tracer test, there is no material transformation along water flow. Here, we focus on an approach widely used in chemical engineering to study flow dynamics in chemical reactors from tracer tests. We do not consider any material transformation but only mixing and transport.

Otherwise, this approach suffers from certain limitations. In industrial process engineering, the chemical reactors characteristics are known. So, the chemical reactors are designed to meet clearly-defined expectations. Then, tracer tests allow checking that reactors respond to these expectations. On the contrary, in the karstic system study, it is difficult to understand the geometrical arrangement of subterranean water flow. So, here it is proposed to use a process engineering approach for interpretation of artificial tracer tests in a conduit dominated karstic aquifer.

2.3 Proposal for a reservoir model for solute transport in conduit dominated flow

As shown in the previous part, karstic systems can be considered as an assembly of elementary reservoirs: water mixing takes place in a different compartment of the karstic system, leading to transformations between input and output signals. In this study, only the mixing between water and fluorescent dyes is considered. The input signal is a Dirac function, i.e. the injection period is extremely short compared to the mean residence time of the tracer in the system. The output signal is the experimental RTD derived from the concentration curve (BTC). The ratio between the input signal and the output signal in the Laplacian concept is called the transfer function, denoted $H(p)$ (Walas, 2005):

$$H(p) = C_{out}(p)/C_{in}(p)$$

(4)

$$H(p) = \mathcal{L}\{H(t)\} = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-p \cdot t} \cdot H(t) \cdot dt$$

(5)

where $C_{in}(p)$ is the Laplace transform of the entrance, $C_{out}(p)$ is the Laplace transform of the outlet, p is the Laplace complex variable and $\mathcal{L}\{H(t)\}$ is the Laplace transform of the $H(t)$ function. Also, $E(t)$ and $C_{out}(t)$ differ only by a constant, and the Laplace transform of a Dirac function is equal to 1. The a synthetic RTD, denoted $E^*(t)$, can be calculated from the inverse Laplace transform of the transfer function with the Mellin's inverse formula:

$$E^*(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\{H(p)\} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma - iT}^{\gamma + iT} e^{p \cdot t} \cdot C_{out}(p) \cdot dp$$

(6)

where $E^*(t)$ is the simulated RTD in response to a Dirac function input for a system whose transfer function is $H(p)$, and $\mathcal{L}^{-1}\{H(p)\}$ is the inverse Laplace transform of the $H(p)$ transfer function. $H(p)$ is also called the impulse response of the system.

The transfer function formalism has been little used for artificial tracer test interpretation in karstic area. Only a few studies proposed this approach. Leibundgut (1998) proposed a dimensionless transfer function linking the peak of concentrations, the time of tracer peak and the standard deviation of the mean residence times to the discharge. Then, a synthetic tracer BTC can be proposed. Becker and Bellin (2013) proposed a conceptual model based on an assembly of several reservoirs in series, partitioning mass transfer between mobile and immobile zones. It consists of the transfer function approach of the Mobile-Immobile Model (Toride et al., 1993). Labat and Mangin (2015) split mass-transfer between fast and slow component. Nonetheless, their transfer function does not include a piston effect allowing to model the delay between slow and fast component. If tracer particles are transported by different flow dynamics, why do both slow and fast component restitutions start at the same time?

In this study, two perfect reactor models are considered: the plug flow reactor (PFR) and the mixed flow reactor (MFR). The corresponding transfer function is given in Eqn (7) and Eqn (8) (Levenspiel, 2012; Walas, 2005).

- mixed flow reactor (MFR):

$$H(p) = \frac{1}{1 + \bar{t} * p}$$

(7)

- plug flow reactor (PFR):

$$H(p) = \exp(-\bar{t} * p) \quad (8)$$

where $\bar{t}$ is the mean residence time.

Here, we propose a composite transfer function corresponding to an assembly of MFR and PFR:

$$H(p) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left[\alpha_i * \left(\frac{1}{1 + A_i * p} \right)^N * \exp(-\tau_i * p) \right] \quad (9)$$

where:

219 - k is an integer value corresponding to the number of flow components used in the
220 model. So, it allows an extension of the model in case of complex RTD curves,
221 with multiple parallel flow components due to friction effects (Massei et al., 2006)
222 or flow partition between fast and slow flow (Labat and Mangin, 2015).

223 - α_i consists in the contribution of the flow component in the total tracer recovery
224 and by construction $\sum \alpha_i = \int_{t=0}^{t=\infty} E(t) dt = 1$

225 - A is the mixing coefficient. In case of a perfect mixed flow reactor, it is equal to the
226 mean time of residence of the tracer in the system. The corresponding transfer
227 function is given in Eqn (7) (Levenspiel, 2012).

228 - N corresponds, from a conceptual point of view, to several reservoirs in cascade.
229 In case of N is an integer value, it corresponds to a Nash cascade (Nash, 1957).
230 Here, the Laplace framework allows to easily handle cases with non-integer N
231 values belonging to R^+ .

232 - τ is the time of transit for each of the sub-function. That is the time between the
233 injection of the tracer into the system and its detection at the outlet. This is a delay
234 which is classically expressed by an exponential function with a negative
235 coefficient in the Laplace plane. When τ is equal to the mean time of residence, it
236 corresponds to a PFR whose transfer function given in Eqn (8).

237 As the inverse Laplace transform cannot be operated analytically, we use the algorithm
238 described by De Hoog et al. (1982) and implemented in MATLAB by Hollenbeck (1998).
239 Then, an optimization procedure allows the definition of the best parameters for the model.
240 This consists of the application of a particle swarm optimization (PSO) procedure (Kennedy
241 and Eberhart, 1995) implemented in MATLAB (Global Optimization Toolbox). The cost
242 function to reduce between observed and simulated RTD curves is based on a normalized
243 root mean square error (NRMSE).

$$\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_{\text{obs}}(i) - y_{\text{sim}}(i))^2}{n}} \quad (10)$$

$$\text{NRMSE} = \frac{\text{RMSE}}{\max(y_{\text{obs}}) - \min(y_{\text{obs}})} \quad (11)$$

where $y_{\text{sim}}(i)$ is the simulated discretized time series, $y_{\text{obs}}(i)$ is the observed discretized time series and n is the number of data.

At this stage, it is important to insist that ‘tracing systems’ should not be confused with ‘karstic systems’ as already mentioned in Fig. 1. Then, in term of transfer function approach, this implies that one transfer function runs for one tracing system whereas one karstic system can contain several tracing systems, and so must be described by several transfer functions that can be in series or in derivation.

3 Studied area and tracer test campaign

We applied the transfer function approach to several artificial tracer tests over the Baget karstic watershed (Fig. 2), part of the SNO Karst consortium (INSU-CNRS). This basin, located in the Pyrenees Mountains (Ariège, France), is characterized by a median altitude of about 940 m and an area of around 13 km². It belongs to the carbonated belt bordering the north of the French Pyrenees. The area is affected by the Alas fault: Jurassic to Cretaceous metamorphic limestone dips 45° to 75° under slaty flysch on the southern border. Jurassic and Palaeozoic metamorphic dolomite and flysch constitute the northern border of the watershed (Debroas, 2009). The valley is oriented in a west-east direction, following the contact between karstified calcareous formations and impervious flysch. In the upper part of the watershed, the Lachein river flows during periods of the high-water level. As mentioned by Mangin (1975) and Marsaud (1997), the Baget karstic system is composed of so-called “main drainage” and “annexe drainage” systems. The main drainage system consists of the most transmissive part with short residence time, whereas the annexe drainage system (ADS) would physically correspond to voids and caves connected to the main drainage systems characterized by a much longer residence time.

The Baget watershed has already been studied based on artificial tracer tests interpretation (Labat and Mangin, 2015). The study was based on classical artificial tracer tests with separated instantaneous injections. Here, a new field campaign is carried out with 11 tracer tests performed in April 2018 using fluorescein. Tracer tests were performed during a period without an important influence of rainfall, so there was not a high degree of fluctuation on the outlet discharge during the tracer recovery. During the tracer tests campaign the discharge rate varies from 0.9 m³/s at the beginning of the first injection (used as calibration tracer test) down to around 0.45 m³/s at the beginning of the second injection campaign. Then the discharge rate has gradually decreased down to 0.3 m³/s at the end of the campaign. Therefore, the discharge rate has decreased by 33% during the 7 days long tracer tests campaign. A field fluorimeter GGUN-FL30 (Schnegg, 2002) was installed in station B1 on the 12th of April 2018 and programmed to measure on a 15 minutes sampling rate until the 21st of April 2018. During the same period, the time interval for water sampling was adjusted for 60 minutes. Fluorescence measurement and water sampling were done near the station B1, where the discharge is measured since the late 1970s (Mangin, 1975). Water samples were analysed by the CETRAHE laboratory (Orléans, France) using spectrofluorimeter Hitachi F2500 and F7000. The characteristics of tracer tests are summarized in Table 1, and the injection-recovery (RTD) time series are placed in the rainfall-runoff time series in Fig. 3.

The injection point, called P2 Loss, was chosen so the tracer reached the subterranean drainage system rapidly. This led us to approach instantaneous injection (Dirac function) which is the basic hypothesis for artificial tracer tests interpretation. Both injection site, called P2 Loss, and recovery site, called B1, are in the downstream part of the watershed (Fig. 2). It is characterized by the presence of losses and of temporary or permanent resurgences in a spatially limited area of about 2 km². We should note that, during periods with high water levels, P2 Loss could run as a temporary resurgence. During tracer tests it was running as a loss, allowing quick tracer infiltration in the drainage system.

The tracer tests were performed on a period overlapping two states of the watershed: (1) from the 8 April 2018 to the 17 April 2018 the temporary resurgence of Moulo de Jaur is activated (Figure 2 - b) and (2) as the water level dropped, the temporary resurgence is deactivated until the end of the tracer tests. As the tracer tests were performed during a period overlapping the transition between these two states of the watershed, we try to assess if this change in the global hydrodynamic behaviour has an impact on the solute transport through artificial tracer tests responses.

4 Results

4.1 Calibration of the transfer function parameters

The first tracer test was performed on the 12 April 2018 as a classical artificial tracer test operation. This tracer test has two goals: (1) it gives preliminary information about flow dynamics to prepare the tracer tests campaign and (2) it is used to calibrate the transfer function model. The injection of 500 g of fluorescein is made in P2 Loss on a short period to get close from a Dirac function. Then, any other injection was performed before tracer concentration back to zero. The BTC shows a maximum concentration value of 23.7 $\mu\text{g/L}$ and a strong asymmetry with a rapid climb lasting about 3 hours and an important tailing effect lasting about 65 hours long. This can be interpreted as the coexistence of multiple flow processes: (1) a fast flow component with rapid transit time dominated by a plug flow effects leading to a high tracer concentration on a short period and (2) a slow flow component dominated by mixing and diffusivity leading to less important concentration on longer period (Labat and Mangin, 2015; Massei et al., 2006). Also, tailing effects can be associated with the existence of immobile water zone, corresponding to dead spaces where part of the tracer mass could be temporarily stored (Becker and Bellin, 2013; Field and Leij, 2012; Goldscheider, 2008; Zhao et al., 2017).

The first tracer test is used to calibrate the transfer function parameters using PSO procedure (Fig. 4). The simulated RTD is composed of three sub-functions. These sub-functions, noted from component 1 to component 3, represent respectively 40%, 32% and

28% of the total mass transport. These successive sub-functions show a different time of transit in the studied tracing system, namely the volume of the drainage system sought during mass transport between the injection site and recovery site. The transit time of the first component is 75 min whereas the transit time for the two following sub-functions are respectively about 92 min and 110 min. Then, considering an apparent distance of 850 m between injection and recovery sites, the apparent flow velocity is respectively of 11.3 m/min, 9.2 m/min and 7.8 m/min. Also, the mixing coefficient A is equal to 47.8 and N is equal to 3.88. These coefficients are in the same order of magnitude as for the Norville chalk aquifer when the spring discharge is high (Sivelle et al., 2018).

Following this first tracer test, ten other tracer injections were performed at various time interval constituting a complex input signal. Each of these injections is made on a short period to get close from a Dirac function, in the same way as for the calibration tracer test. As the time between each injection is low, there is overlapping of BTC at the outlet. Also, as there is no rainfall during tracer tests, the temporal variation in boundary conditions might be summarized through outlet discharge decrease because of the watershed emptying. This has been observed on the field through the deactivation of the temporary resurgence called Moulo de Jaur (Fig 2 - b). Then, the output signal is deconvoluted using transfer function approach to identify the RTD associated to each tracer injection and to highlight potential non-stationarity or non-linearity.

4.2 Running deconvolution of the RTD

To estimate the outlet recovery signal, one needs to run a convolution with the transfer function model. Here, we cannot run a classical convolution operator as the signal is composed of a succession of so-called Dirac function. As for each injection all frequency is sought this could not be described with a Fourier transform. Here, the simulated output signal is considered as a summation of the contribution of the RTD corresponding to each tracer injection shifted in time on the total signal measured at the outlet.

In case of a linear system, with steady-state conditions, the transfer function of a system must be efficient regardless of time and of boundary conditions. Dealing with a natural flow in karstic aquifers is a complex task because temporal and spatial variations in boundary conditions may have a strong effect on the solute transport. Several studies have shown the effect of boundary conditions variations on solute transport based on artificial tracer tests performed in contrasted hydrological conditions. The variability of solute transport between high and low water level is well documented in the literature (Doummar et al., 2018; Duran et al., 2016, 2015; Ender et al., 2018). Also, these studies deal with solute transport variability at the hydrological cycle. In this study, artificial tracer tests were carried out in a few days period, without the influence of rainfall. Otherwise, the Baget watershed is not subject to tidal influence that can influence flow transport on the half-daily scale (Duran et al., 2016). So, as the hydrological conditions appear to be quite similar for each tracer tests, it could be possible to analyse more precisely the effect of conduit flow dynamics on the solute transport in the karstic conduit at hourly scale.

To investigate if solute transport can be influenced at a flood scale, the hypothesis of the linearity and stationarity of the system has been tested (Fig. 5 - A). If solute transport is considered not to be influenced by short-term variations in boundary conditions, at a daily scale, initial calibration of the transfer function appears not to be enough to model the outlet signal. Also, the variation in travel time might be considered in the transfer function (Fig. 5 – B). So, one needs to find the relation between transit time and discharge rate. Here, as all tracer tests were performed in close hydrological conditions, the relation between outlet discharge rate and transit time might be quite simple. As there is no important variation of the spring discharge, we could consider that the discharge measured at the outlet can be enough to correctly describe tracer transit time between input and output of the studied tracing system. Transit time is determined from the BTC curve for tracer tests 1, 2, 3, 8 and 9. These tests were performed such the tracer concentration at the outlet is sufficiently low to allow discrimination of a new arrival of the tracer. Transit time for tracer tests 4, 5, 6, 7, 10

and 11 could not be classically determined because of important interference of tracer restitution of each tracer injections. So, they have been determined from the experimental relationship between time of transit for tracer tests 1, 2, 3, 8 and 9 and corresponding outlet discharge. The relation is given by the Eqn (12) (Fig. 6).

$$\tau \text{ (hour)} = \begin{cases} -33.72 * Q + 15.94, & Q_{inj} < 0.36 \text{ m}^3/\text{s} \\ -4.02 * Q + 4.98, & Q_{inj} \geq 0.36 \text{ m}^3/\text{s} \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Where τ is the transit time (hour) and Q (m^3/s) is the discharge measured at the outlet when the tracer is added to the system. We have shown previously that the tracer tests were performed during a period overlapping a change in the global hydrodynamic watershed behaviour with deactivation of a temporary resurgence when the outlet discharge drops under $0.440 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. This is also observed in the apparent transport velocity of the tracer. So, the model is naturally composed of a linear relation with a different coefficient, depending if the temporary resurgence Moulo de Jaur is activated or not.

Also, it appears that the mixing coefficient shows variability depending on the outlet discharge rate. The previous study has shown that the mixing coefficient could be linked to the outlet discharge in case of a conduit dominated flow (Sivelle et al., 2018). As the discharge rate has decreased by 33% during the tracer tests campaign, the variation is considered sufficient to impact the solute transport. Here, the relation between the mixing coefficient A and the outlet discharge is considered as an *a priori* for the transfer function parametrization. Several regression equations have been tested and linear regression appears to be the more suitable solution. The parameters of the regression are part of the optimization procedure. Also, it allows reducing the number of parameters to optimize. Basically, the model needs an A value for each tracer injection, in this case 11. Here, it is reduced to 2 parameters and allow to expand the model for source pollution scenario testing for different outlet discharge rate. Then, the relation between the mixing coefficient and outlet discharge is given by Eqn (13) (Fig. 6).

$$A = 48.06 * Q + 14.89 \quad (13)$$

Where A is the mixing coefficient in the transfer function and Q (m^3/s) is the discharge measured at the outlet when the tracer is added to the system.

So, the transfer function now includes parameters variations directly linked to the boundary conditions, described through the outlet discharge. The transfer function is now expressed by Eqn (14).

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left[\alpha_i * \left(\frac{1}{1 + A(q)^i * p} \right)^N * \exp(-\tau(q)_i * p) \right] \quad (14)$$

As a result, considering the effects of changes in discharge rate allows a better simulation of the outlet signal (Fig. 5 - C). It appears that the transfer function might include part of the temporal variations of the boundary conditions, even at a recession scale. In this case it occurs on a few days without the influence of rainfall. It has been possible to find a relation between transfer function parameters and outlet discharge to improve the description of the solute transport in karst conduit. The output signal has been deconvoluted using a transfer function approach to identify each impulse response from a complex input signal. The signal has been simulated with an acceptable confidence level ($\text{NRMSE} = 0.03$ and $\text{NSE} = 0.97$) between observed and simulated data. Results of the parametrization are summarized in table 2 and corresponding normalized simulated RTD are shown in Fig. 7. Also, deconvolution of the global outlet signal allows discriminating the mixing effect of three different flow dynamics depending on the apparent velocity (Fig. 8). This differentiation in solute transport can be explained by friction effects (Massei et al., 2006) or by the spatial arrangement of the water flow throughout the transport between inlet and outlet (Field and Leij, 2012; Hauns et al., 2001)

4.3 Testing a pollution scenario

Considering the non-linear and non-stationary hydrodynamic behaviour in karst conduit, an efficient scenario testing should include these special features, and their influence on solute transport. Also, several questions must be answered in vulnerability assessment: (1) when the contamination will arrive at the target, (2) at what concentration level and (3) how long

the contaminant will last (Goldscheider, 2004; Iván and Mádl-Szőnyi, 2017). The VULK method (Jeannin et al., 2001) was the first process based on vulnerability mapping. The main idea of the method consists in modelling the breakthrough curve of a conservative contaminant along the water pathway, separated in the sub-system. Nonetheless, the possibility to consider a concentrated infiltration is not implemented into the original model. In this study, a transfer function approach is used to model the solute transport in a karstic area, based on artificial tracer tests performed from a loss, allowing quick infiltration in the main drainage system. The model allows to correctly describe the residence time distribution of a non-reactive tracer, and by extension of a potential contaminant with the same behaviour. The model proposed here remains valid for solute transport occurring between injection and recovery sites in a specific range of hydrological conditions. So, in term of vulnerability assessment, the model proposed here may be classified as source vulnerability and could help to prevent accidental pollution (Kavouri et al., 2011). As the model include a dependence from the outlet discharge it is possible to investigate pollution scenario across various hydrological conditions. The source vulnerability evolves across time depending on the boundary conditions. These have an influence on a multi-temporal scale range, from the daily scale (recessional following a rainfall event) to annual scale (between high and low water level).

A primary approach in modelling RTD curves for various flood event consists in applying transfer function approach to an input signal different from classical Dirac function considering the discharge variation while tracer (or contaminant) is supposed to be added in the system. Here, the solute transport transfer function proposed have been calibrated in a specific range of spring discharge, during a recession where spring discharge decreases from 0.9 m³/s down to 0.3 m³/s. The pollution scenario is tested on recession events with spring discharge in the same order of magnitude. To do so, 17 recessions close to the one observed during tracer tests have been identified (Fig. 9). These recessions checked the following conditions: (1) the recession last more than four days, (2) the initial discharge is

about $0.9 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ and (3) the final discharge is above $0.3 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. Thus, the scenario of pollution is tested in conditions close to the one investigated through artificial tracer tests. The scenario consists of infiltration at a constant concentration lasting 6 hours. As the recession coefficient varies between all the recession event, the effects of discharge decrease during contaminant infiltration and transport may be different. To assess these effects we ran the model on the 17 flood recessions and show some results in Fig. 10. The first scenario (Fig. 10 - a), with a mean discharge rate of around $0.7 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, shows that neglecting the discharge variation can lead to underestimating the peak of concentration. Nonetheless, in this scenario the time of transit and the duration of restitution appears to be quite good. Two other scenarios (Fig. 10 – b and c), with mean discharge rate of around $0.4 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, show that neglecting discharge variations can lead to significative errors in transit time estimation. This is a consequence of the ceiling effect present in Eqn 12 (Fig. 6) and highlights the effect of non-linearity in karstic media on solute transport.

5 Discussion

5.1 Model application

The model proposed here is based on a transfer function approach. The parametrization is derived from artificial tracer tests performed in close hydrological conditions. The main objective was to assess the effect of short-term variations in boundary condition on artificial tracer test response. One should keep in mind several limitations of the model.

The model remains valid as the hydrological conditions are closed to the one investigated during the field campaign. An extrapolation of the linear regression between transfer function parameters and spring discharge appears not to be relevant. Indeed, the Eqn (14) gives a negative transit time in case of the spring discharge is above $1.240 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, which is physically non-acceptable. So, an extension of the model to a larger range of hydrological conditions needs more field data to find a suitable model. The model consists of both the transfer function and the regression equation between transfer function parameters and the environmental variables. Here, only the influence of spring discharge has been investigated

but the model may be extended by integration of other variables such as piezometric level or tidal coefficient in case of coastal aquifers submitted to tidal influence.

The model offers a new way to deal with pollution scenario testing including a short-term variation of boundary condition on the solute transport. Users should keep in mind the range of hydrological conditions where the model has been calibrated. The validity domain of the model is strongly dependent on the range of hydrological condition investigated through artificial tracer tests. Also, the model is calibrated depending on the objective in term of source vulnerability characterization and depending on available data on the area.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, several problems with solute transport in karstic areas have been addressed: (1) solute transport modelling in karst conduit using a transfer function approach, (2) assessment of the short-term variations in tracer test responses and (3) testing accidental pollution scenario including discharge variations along the infiltration and restitution of the contaminant.

A transfer function approach is used for the RTD curves modelling from fluorescent dye tracer tests. It consists of a systemic approach, allowing inclusion of all processes in a conceptual reservoir model. The model introduced here is composed of 3 delayed sub-functions. The delay in solute transport can be explained by the existence of complex structure acting like dead zones (Becker and Bellin, 2013; Field and Pinsky, 2000; Goldscheider, 2008), by friction effects (Massei et al., 2006) or by partition in flow dynamics (Labat and Mangin, 2015). Flow dynamics in karst aquifers shows strong non-linearity: it may exist cell effects depending on the activation or deactivation of flow path depending on the water table level. In this study, artificial tracer tests were performed during a period when one temporary resurgence (called Moulo de Jaur) has been deactivated. As a result, the regression equation between spring discharge and time of transit show different coefficient from either side of the spring discharge cell, corresponding to the activation/deactivation of this temporary loss.

508 The main result of the study highlights the influence of short term variation in boundary
509 condition on artificial tracer test response.. It constitutes a new way to deal with source
510 pollution scenario. Considering one accidental pollution scenario lasting 6 hours over several
511 quite similar recession event, it appears that the shape of the recession has an influence on
512 solute transport. So, the model allows to include discharge fluctuation during solute transport
513 (and by extension contaminant) and gives a better description of the recovery at the outlet.
514 Omit the effects of short-term variations in boundary condition can lead to under-estimate the
515 peak of concentration. Also, the time of transit and the time for maximal concentration
516 reaching outlet can be badly estimated considering a constant discharge rate. Users should
517 keep in mind the range of hydrological conditions where the model have been calibrated to
518 ensure the model prevision is sufficiently reliable.

519 **Acknowledgement**

520 The authors would like to thank the KARST observatory network (SNO KARST) imitative at
521 the INSU/CNRS, which aims to strengthen knowledge sharing and promote cross-
522 disciplinary research on karst systems at the national scale. We also thank “Météo-France”
523 for providing rainfall data and BRGM (French geological survey) for providing discharge data.
524 This work has been funded by “l’Agence de l’eau Adour Garonne”.

525 References

- 526 Becker, M., Bellin, A., 2013. A reservoir model of tracer transport for karstic flow systems.
527 *Hydrogeol J* 21, 1011–1019. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-013-0991-2>
- 528 Birk, S., Geyer, T., Liedl, R., Sauter, M., 2005. Process-based interpretation of tracer tests in
529 carbonate aquifers. *Ground Water* 43, 381–388. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2005.0033.x)
530 [6584.2005.0033.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2005.0033.x)
- 531 Bovolin, V., Cuomo, A., Guida, D., 2017. Hydraulic modeling of flood pulses in the Middle
532 Bussento Karst System (MBSKS), UNESCO Cilento Global Geopark, southern Italy. *Hydrol.*
533 *Process.* 31, 639–653. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.11056>
- 534 Cholet, C., 2017. Fonctionnement hydrogéologique et processus de transport dans les
535 aquifères karstiques du Massif du Jura (Thèse de Doctorat). Université de Bourgogne
536 Franche-Comté.
- 537 De Hoog, F.R., Knight, J.H., Stokes, A.N., 1982. An improved method for numerical
538 inversion of Laplace transforms. *SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing* 3,
539 357–366.
- 540 Debroas, E.-J., 2009. Géologie du bassin versant du Baget (Zone nord-pyrénéenne, Ariège,
541 France) : nouvelles observations et conséquences. *Strata* 2, 1–93.
- 542 Delannoy, J.-J., 1997. Recherches géomorphologiques sur les massifs karstiques du Vercors
543 et de la transversale de Ronda (Andalousie) : les apports morphogéniques du karst (phdthesis).
544 Université Joseph-Fourier - Grenoble I.
- 545 Dewaide, L., Bonniver, I., Rochez, G., Hallet, V., 2016. Solute transport in heterogeneous
546 karst systems: Dimensioning and estimation of the transport parameters via multi-sampling
547 tracer-tests modelling using the OTIS (One-dimensional Transport with Inflow and Storage)
548 program. *Journal of Hydrology* 534, 567–578. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.01.049>
- 549 Dewaide, L., Collon, P., Poulain, A., Rochez, G., Hallet, V., 2017. Double-peaked
550 breakthrough curves as a consequence of solute transport through underground lakes: a case
551 study of the Furfooz karst system, Belgium. *Hydrogeology Journal*.
552 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-017-1671-4>
- 553 Doerfliger, N., Jeannin, P.-Y., Zwahlen, F., 1999. Water vulnerability assessment in karst
554 environments: a new method of defining protection areas using a multi-attribute approach and
555 GIS tools (EPIK method). *Environmental Geology* 39, 165–176.
- 556 Doummar, J., Margane, A., Geyer, T., Sauter, M., 2018. Assessment of key transport
557 parameters in a karst system under different dynamic conditions based on tracer experiments:
558 the Jeita karst system, Lebanon. *Hydrogeol J* 1–13. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-018-1754-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-018-1754-x)
559 [x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-018-1754-x)
- 560 Dreybrodt, W., 1988. Processes in Karst Systems - Physics, Chemistry, and Geology,
561 Springer Series in Physical Environment. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- 562 Duran, L., Fournier, M., Massei, N., Dupont, J.-P., 2016. Assessing the Nonlinearity of Karst
563 Response Function under Variable Boundary Conditions. *Ground Water* 54, 46–54.
564 <https://doi.org/10.1111/gwat.12337>
- 565 Duran, L., Fournier, M., Massei, N., Dupont, J.-P., 2015. Use of Tracing Tests to Study the
566 Impact of Boundary Conditions on the Transfer Function of Karstic Aquifers, in:
567 *Hydrogeological and Environmental Investigations in Karst Systems*, Environmental Earth

568 Sciences. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 113–122. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-17435-3_13)
569 17435-3_13

570 Ender, A., Goeppert, N., Goldscheider, N., 2018. Spatial resolution of transport parameters in
571 a subtropical karst conduit system during dry and wet seasons. *Hydrogeol J* 1–15.
572 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-018-1746-x>

573 Field, M.S., 2002. The QTRACER2 program for tracer-breakthrough curve analysis for tracer
574 tests in karstic aquifers and other hydrologic systems. National Center for Environmental
575 Assessment–Washington Office, Office of Research and Development, US Environmental
576 Protection Agency.

577 Field, M.S., Leij, F.J., 2012. Solute transport in solution conduits exhibiting multi-peaked
578 breakthrough curves. *Journal of Hydrology* 440–441, 26–35.
579 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.03.018>

580 Field, M.S., Pinsky, P.F., 2000. A two-region nonequilibrium model for solute transport in
581 solution conduits in karstic aquifers.pdf. *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology* 44, 329–351.

582 Filippini, M., Squarzone, G., De Waele, J., Fiorucci, A., Vigna, B., Grillo, B., Riva, A.,
583 Rossetti, S., Zini, L., Casagrande, G., Stumpp, C., Gargini, A., 2018. Differentiated spring
584 behavior under changing hydrological conditions in an alpine karst aquifer. *Journal of*
585 *Hydrology* 556, 572–584. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.11.040>

586 Ford, D., Williams, P.D., 2007. *Karst Hydrogeology and Geomorphology*. John Wiley &
587 Sons, Inc.

588 Goldscheider, N., 2008. A new quantitative interpretation of the long-tail and plateau-like
589 breakthrough curves from tracer tests in the artesian karst aquifer of Stuttgart, Germany.
590 *Hydrogeology Journal* 16, 1311–1317. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-008-0307-0>

591 Goldscheider, N., 2004. The concept of groundwater vulnerability., in: *Vulnerability and Risk*
592 *Mapping for the Protection of Carbonate (Karst) Aquifers, Final Report (COST Action 620)*
593 *Report EUR 20912*.

594 Goldscheider, N., Hötzl, H., Fries, W., Jordan, P., 2001. Validation of a vulnerabilty map
595 (EPIK) with tracer tests. Presented at the Proc. 7th Conference on Limestone Hydrology and
596 Fissured Media, Besançon, pp. 167–170.

597 Goldscheider, N., Meiman, J., Pronk, M., Smart, C., 2008. Tracer tests in karst hydrogeology
598 and speleology. *International Journal of Speleology* 37, 3.

599 Göppert, N., Goldscheider, N., 2007. Solute and Colloid Transport in Karst Conduits under
600 Low- and High-Flow Conditions. *Ground Water* 0, 071003004158004-???
601 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2007.00373.x>

602 Hauns, M., Jeannin, P.-Y., Atteia, O., 2001. Dispersion, retardation and scale effect in tracer
603 breakthrough curves in karst conduits. *Journal of Hydrology* 241, 177–193.

604 Hollenbeck, K.J., 1998. INVLAP.M: A Matlab Function for Numerical Inversion of Laplace
605 Transforms by de Hoog Algorithm. [WWW Document]. URL
606 https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/answers/uploaded_files/1034/invlap.m (accessed
607 12.7.16).

608 Iván, V., Mádl-Szőnyi, J., 2017. State of the art of karst vulnerability assessment: overview,
609 evaluation and outlook. *Environmental Earth Sciences* 76. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-017-6422-2)
610 017-6422-2

611 Jeannin, P.-Y., 1998. *Structure et comportement hydraulique des aquifères karstiques*.

612 Université de Neuchâtel, Neuchâtel.

613 Jeannin, P.-Y., Cornaton, F., Zwahlen, F., Perrochet, P., 2001. VULK: a tool for intrinsic
614 vulnerability assessment and validation. Presented at the Proc. 7th Conference on Limestone
615 Hydrology and Fissured Media, Besançon, pp. 185–190.

616 Jury, W.A., Roth, K., 1990. Transfer functions and solute movement through soil: theory and
617 applications. *Transfer functions and solute movement through soil: theory and applications*.

618 Käss, W.A., 1994. Hydrological tracing practice on underground contaminations.
619 *Environmental geology* 23, 23–29.

620 Kavouri, K., Plagnes, V., Dörfliger, N., Faycal, R., Pierre, M., 2011. PaPRIKa: a method for
621 estimating karst resource and source vulnerability--application to the Ouyse karst system
622 (southwest France). *Hydrogeology Journal* 19, 339–353. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-010-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-010-0688-8)
623 [0688-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-010-0688-8)

624 Kennedy, J., Eberhart, R., 1995. Particle swarm optimization, in: , IEEE International
625 Conference on Neural Networks, 1995. Proceedings. Presented at the , IEEE International
626 Conference on Neural Networks, 1995. Proceedings, pp. 1942–1948 vol.4.
627 <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICNN.1995.488968>

628 Kovács, A., Perrochet, P., 2008. A quantitative approach to spring hydrograph decomposition.
629 *Journal of Hydrology* 352, 16–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.12.009>

630 Labat, D., Mangin, A., 2015. Transfer function approach for artificial tracer test interpretation
631 in karstic systems. *Journal of Hydrology* 529, 866–871.
632 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.09.011>

633 Lauber, U., Ufrecht, W., Goldscheider, N., 2014. Spatially resolved information on karst
634 conduit flow from in-cave dye tracing. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 18, 435–445.
635 <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-18-435-2014>

636 Leibundgut, C., 1998. Vulnerability of karst aquifers. *IAHS PUBLICATION* 247, 45–60.

637 Levenspiel, O., 2012. *Tracer Technology, Fluid Mechanics and Its Applications*. Springer
638 New York, New York, NY. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-8074-8>

639 Levenspiel, O., 1999. *Chemical reaction engineering*, 3. ed. ed. Wiley, Hoboken, NJ.

640 Luhmann, A.J., Covington, M.D., Alexander, S.C., Chai, S.Y., Schwartz, B.F., Groten, J.T.,
641 Alexander Jr., E.C., 2012. Comparing conservative and nonconservative tracers in karst and
642 using them to estimate flow path geometry. *Journal of Hydrology* 448–449, 201–211.
643 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.04.044>

644 Mangin, A., 1994. *Karst Hydrogeology*, in: Danielopol, D.L., Stanford, J.A. (Eds.),
645 *Groundwater Ecology*. Academic Press, San Diego, pp. 43–67.

646 Mangin, A., 1984. Pour une meilleure connaissance des systèmes hydrologiques à partir des
647 analyses corrélatoire et spectrale. *Journal of Hydrology* 67, 25–43.
648 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694\(84\)90230-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(84)90230-0)

649 Mangin, A., 1975. Contribution à l'étude hydrodynamique des aquifères karstiques (Thèse de
650 Doctorat). Université de Bourgogne.

651 Marín, A.I., Andreo, B., Mudarra, M., 2015. Vulnerability mapping and protection zoning of
652 karst springs. Validation by multitracer tests. *Science of The Total Environment* 532, 435–
653 446. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.05.029>

654 Marsaud, B., 1997. Structure et fonctionnement de la zone noyée des karsts à partir des

655 résultats expérimentaux (Thèse de Doctorat ès Sciences). Université de Paris XI Orsay, Paris.

656 Massei, N., Wang, H.Q., Field, M.S., Dupont, J.P., Bakalowicz, M., Rodet, J., 2006.

657 Interpreting tracer breakthrough tailing in a conduit-dominated karstic aquifer. *Hydrogeology*

658 *Journal* 14, 849–858. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-005-0010-3>

659 Matsubayashi, U., Velasquez, G.T., Takagi, F., 1993. Hydrograph separation and flow

660 analysis by specific electrical conductance of water. *Journal of Hydrology* 152, 179–199.

661 Milanović, P., 2014. Hydraulic Properties of Karst Groundwater and Its Impacts on Large

662 Structures, in: Mudry, J., Zwahlen, F., Bertrand, C., LaMoreaux, J.W. (Eds.), *H2Karst*

663 *Research in Limestone Hydrogeology*. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 19–48.

664 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-06139-9_2

665 Morales, T., Uriarte, J.A., Olazar, M., Antigüedad, I., Angulo, B., 2010. Solute transport

666 modelling in karst conduits with slow zones during different hydrologic conditions. *Journal of*

667 *Hydrology* 390, 182–189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.06.041>

668 Moser, H., 1995. Groundwater tracing, in: *Tracer Technologies for Hydrological Systems*.

669 Presented at the Proceedings of a Boulder Symposium, IAHS.

670 Nash, J.E., 1957. The form of the instantaneous unit hydrograph. *International Association of*

671 *Scientific Hydrology*, Publ 3, 114–121.

672 Padilla, A., Pulido-Bosch, A., 1995. Study of hydrographs of karstic aquifers by means of

673 correlation and cross-spectral analysis. *Journal of Hydrology* 168, 73–89.

674 Perrin, J., Pochon, A., Jeannin, P.-Y., Zwahlen, F., 2004. Vulnerability assessment in karstic

675 areas: validation by field experiments. *Environmental Geology* 46.

676 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00254-004-0986-3>

677 Quinif, Y., 1999. Karst et évolution des rivières : le cas de l’Ardenne. *Geodinamica Acta* 12,

678 267–277.

679 Ravbar, N., Goldscheider, N., 2009. Comparative application of four methods of groundwater

680 vulnerability mapping in a Slovene karst catchment. *Hydrogeology Journal* 17, 725–733.

681 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-008-0368-0>

682 Schnegg, P.-A., 2002. An inextensive field fluorometer for hydrogeological tracer tests with

683 three tracers and turbidity measurement, in: *Groundwater and Human Development*.

684 Presented at the XXXII IAH and ALHSUD congress, Bocanegra, E - Martinez, D - Massone,

685 H (Eds.), Balkema, Rotterdam, Mar del Plata, Argentina, pp. 1484–1488.

686 Sivelle, V., Labat, D., Duran, L., Masséi, N., Fournier, M., 2018. Artificial tracer tests

687 interpretation using transfer function approach to study the Norville karst system, in:

688 *Eurokarst 2018* (in Press).

689 Toride, N., Leij, F.J., Van Genuchten, M.T., others, 1995. The CXTFIT code for estimating

690 transport parameters from laboratory or field tracer experiments. *US Salinity Laboratory*

691 *Riverside*.

692 Toride, N., Leu, F.J., Van Genuchten, M.T., 1993. A Comprehensive Set of Analytical

693 Solutions for Nonequilibrium Solute Transport With First-Order Decay and Zero-Order

694 Production. *Water Resources Research* 29, 2167–2182.

695 Van Genuchten, M.T., Simunek, J., Leij, F.J., Toride, N., Sejna, M., 2012. STANMOD:

696 Model use, calibration, and validation. *Transactions of the ASABE* 55, 1353–1366.

697 Vincenzi, V., Riva, A., Rossetti, S., 2011. Towards a better knowledge of Cansiglio karst

698 system (Italy): results of the first successful groundwater tracer test. *Acta Carsologica* 40.

699 Walas, S.M., 2005. *Chemical reaction engineering handbook of solved problems*. Gordon and
700 Breach.

701 Wang, H.Q., Crampon, N., Huberson, S., Garnier, J.M., 1987. A linear graphical method for
702 determining hydrodispersive characteristics in tracer experiments with instantaneous
703 injection. *Journal of Hydrology* 95, 143–154. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694\(87\)90121-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(87)90121-1)

704 Worthington, S.R., 2003. A comprehensive strategy for understanding flow in carbonate
705 aquifer. *Speleogenesis & Evolution of Karst Aquifers* 1, 1–8.

706 Worthington, S.R.H., Ford, D.C., 2009. Self-Organized Permeability in Carbonate Aquifers.
707 *Ground Water* 47, 326–336. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2009.00551.x>

708 Zhao, X., Chang, Y., Wu, J., Peng, F., 2017. Laboratory investigation and simulation of
709 breakthrough curves in karst conduits with pools. *Hydrogeology Journal* 25, 2235–2250.
710 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-017-1626-9>

711

Fig. 1:

A conceptual model for artificial tracer tests interpretation using a transfer function approach. The transfer function is used to model de solute transport in a tracing system, which represents only a limited part of the karstic system, by considering the input/output relation (systemic approach). The tracing system corresponds to the red arrow linking the input to the output. Input consists of an instantaneous injection of a tracer mass injected by an operator. Sources are equal to 0 for artificial tracer tests. It consists of the injection of fluorescent dyes, normally nonexistent in natural conditions. By this way, the only mass of tracer present in the system corresponds to the one introduced by the operator. The output is the tracer breakthrough tracer curve measured at the monitored outlet of the karstic system.

Fig. 2:

Top: localization of the Baget karstic system and description of the watershed which limits are represented by the *black dashed line*. The inlet and outlet tracer injection/recovery are in the upstream part of the basin (*black rectangle*).

Bottom: pictures of injection/recovery point over the area: a) P2 Loss is the point of injection, b) Moulo de Jaur is an intermediate observation point of the tracer transfer, c) Las Hountas is the recovery point and d) is the monitored station, denoted B1 (discharge, water sampling and fluorimeter).

Fig. 3:

Rainfall-runoff time series on the Baget karstic watershed from 12 April to 22 April 2018. Rainfall is measured by Meteo France at the Antichan station. Gauging station and fluorimeter are located downstream Las Hountas. The successive injection of fluorescein and residence time distribution are also reported in the same input-output format. Tracer tests were performed in periods without the influence of rainfall. During the tracer tests campaign the discharge rate varies from 0.9 m³/s at the beginning of the first injection down to around 0.45 m³/s at the beginning of the second injection campaign. Then the discharge rate has gradually decreased down to 0.3 m³/s at the end of the campaign. Therefore, the discharge rate has decreased by 33% during the 7 days long tracer tests campaign.

743

744

745 Fig. 4:

746 Experimental RTD and simulated RTD curves. The simulated curve is composed of 3 sub-
 747 functions, called from component 1 to component 3, corresponding respectively to 40%, 32%
 748 and 28% of the total solute transport.

Fig. 5:

Experimental RTD and simulated RTD curves: a) hypothesis: the system is linear and transit time is independent of discharge rate, b) hypothesis: the system is linear and transit time is dependent on discharge rate and c) hypothesis: the system is non-linear, both transit time and mixing coefficient are dependents from discharge rate.

755 Fig. 6:

756 Regression between boundary conditions dependent parameters of the transfer function and
 757 the outlet discharge rate at the time of injection of the tracer in P2 loss. As all tracer tests
 758 were performed in close hydrological conditions and as there was no important influence of
 759 rainfall, the outlet discharge is assumed to be representative of the boundary conditions.

760

761 Fig. 7:

762 Simulated RTD for each of the 11 tracer tests and the corresponding date of injection.

763

764 Fig. 8:

765 Regression between mixing coefficient, noted A in the transfer function, and the apparent

766 velocity.

Fig. 9:

Recessions like the one studied through tracer tests observed over the Baget karstic watershed between January 2009 and April 2018: the recession last more than 4 days, the initial discharge is about $0.900 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ and final discharge is above $0.300 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. So, the scenario of pollution is tested in conditions close to the one investigated field campaign.

Fig. 10:

Residence time distribution estimated from the model for a constant injection lasting 6 hours. The black arrow corresponds to the beginning of the simulated injection, the black dashed lines represent the impulse response derived from the calibration tracer test, black lines represent the response to a six hours long continuous injection using transfer function regardless discharge variations and red lines represent response including dependence from the outlet discharge.

Test	Date	Mass injected (g)	Q (m ³ /s)	Sampling rate (min)
01	12/04/2018 12h30	500	0.923	15
02	16/04/2018 16h30	500	0.421	15
03	17/04/2018 12h00	250	0.470	15
04	17/04/2018 14h00	500	0.460	15
05	17/04/2018 15h00	250	0.460	15
06	17/04/2018 16h30	250	0.450	15
07	17/04/2018 17h30	250	0.450	15
08	18/04/2018 13h30	500	0.367	15
09	19/04/2018 11h00	500	0.320	15
10	19/04/2018 14h00	500	0.311	15
11	19/04/2018 17h00	500	0.306	15

782

783 Table 1:

784 Mass injected and hydrological conditions for each tracer injections.

$\alpha 1$ (%)	A1	$\tau 1$ (H)	$\alpha 2$ (%)	A2	$\tau 2$ (H)	$\alpha 3$ (%)	A3	$\tau 3$ (H)
36	2.51	1.25	30	6.31	1.54	34	15.85	1.83
20	2.00	3.00	38	4.00	3.69	42	8.00	4.38
40	2.05	3.00	32	4.20	3.69	27	8.60	4.38
40	2.04	3.25	32	4.16	4.00	27	8.49	4.75
40	2.04	3.25	32	4.15	4.00	27	8.45	4.75
49	2.03	3.25	39	4.12	4.00	12	8.37	4.75
49	2.03	3.25	39	4.10	4.00	12	8.31	4.75
43	1.95	3.75	31	3.79	4.61	26	7.38	5.48
52	1.90	4.25	30	3.61	5.23	18	6.86	6.21
46	1.89	5.50	40	3.58	6.82	14	6.77	8.15
55	1.88	6.25	40	3.55	7.69	5	6.68	9.13

785

786 Table 2:

787 Parameters of the transfer function for all tracer tests. The simulated RTD is obtained by
788 summation of the contribution of 3 sub-functions where α [%] is the contribution of the total
789 mass transport, A is the mixing coefficient – dependent from outlet discharge – and τ [h] is
790 transit time between input and outlet of the tracing system.