



**HAL**  
open science

## Towards improved socio-economic assessments of ocean acidification's impacts

Nathalie Hilmi, Denis Allemand, Sam Dupont, Alain Safa, Gunnar Haraldsson, Paulo Nunes, Chris Moore, Caroline Hattam, Stéphanie Reynaud, Jason Hall-Spencer, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

Nathalie Hilmi, Denis Allemand, Sam Dupont, Alain Safa, Gunnar Haraldsson, et al.. Towards improved socio-economic assessments of ocean acidification's impacts. *Marine Biology*, 2013, 160 (8), pp.1773-1787. 10.1007/s00227-012-2031-5. hal-03208182

**HAL Id: hal-03208182**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03208182>**

Submitted on 28 Apr 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

# Towards improved socio-economic assessments of ocean acidification's impacts

Nathalie Hilmi · Denis Allemand · Sam Dupont · Alain Safa · Gunnar Haraldsson · Paulo A. L. D. Nunes · Chris Moore · Caroline Hattam · Stéphanie Reynaud · Jason M. Hall-Spencer · Maoz Fine · Carol Turley · Ross Jeffree · James Orr · Philip L. Munday · Sarah R. Cooley

Received: 3 January 2012 / Accepted: 21 July 2012 / Published online: 21 August 2012  
© The Author(s) 2012. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

**Abstract** Ocean acidification is increasingly recognized as a component of global change that could have a wide range of impacts on marine organisms, the ecosystems they live in, and the goods and services they provide human-kind. Assessment of these potential socio-economic impacts requires integrated efforts between biologists, chemists, oceanographers, economists and social scientists. But because ocean acidification is a new research area,

significant knowledge gaps are preventing economists from estimating its welfare impacts. For instance, economic data on the impact of ocean acidification on significant markets such as fisheries, aquaculture and tourism are very limited (if not non-existent), and non-market valuation studies on this topic are not yet available. Our paper summarizes the current understanding of future OA impacts and sets out what further information is required for economists to assess socio-economic impacts of ocean acidification. Our aim is to provide clear directions for multidisciplinary collaborative research.

*Disclaimer:* This paper should not be reported as representing the views of the authors' institutions. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of the institutions.

Communicated by H.-O. Pörtner.

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00227-012-2031-5) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

N. Hilmi (✉) · D. Allemand · S. Reynaud  
Centre Scientifique de Monaco, Avenue Saint-Martin,  
98000 Monaco, Principality of Monaco  
e-mail: hilmi@centrescientifique.mc; N.Hilmi@iaea.org

N. Hilmi  
IAEA EL, 4, Quai Antoine 1er, 98000 Monaco,  
Principality of Monaco

N. Hilmi · D. Allemand  
LEA CSM-CNRS 647 'Biosensib', Monaco,  
Principality of Monaco

S. Dupont  
Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences,  
The Sven Lovén Centre for Marine Sciences,  
Kristineberg, University of Gothenburg,  
45178 Fiskebäckskil, Sweden

A. Safa  
IPAG Lab, Nice, France

## Introduction

The ocean reservoir of carbon is much greater than the terrestrial and atmospheric systems combined and provides

G. Haraldsson  
Fisheries Policy Division, OECD, Paris, France

P. A. L. D. Nunes  
The Mediterranean Science Commission, CIESM,  
Monaco, Principality of Monaco

C. Moore  
National Center for Environmental Economics,  
US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA

C. Hattam · C. Turley  
Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Prospect Place,  
The Hoe, Plymouth PL1 3DH, UK


J. M. Hall-Spencer  
Plymouth University, Drake's Circus PL4 8AA, UK

M. Fine  
Bar Ilan University, Ramat-Gan, Israel

an important net sink for carbon through exchanges of  $\text{CO}_2$  with the atmosphere. Over the past 200 years, atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  has increased from 280 ppm to a global average of nearly 390 ppm as a result of fossil fuel emissions, cement manufacture and land use changes. Carbon uptake by the ocean has slowed the atmospheric increase and its associated consequences for the Earth's climate: without such uptake, it is estimated that atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  would now be around 450 ppm (Sabine et al. 2004; Le Quéré et al. 2009).

The increase in the rate of addition of  $\text{CO}_2$  to seawater by air-sea gas exchange due to increasing anthropogenic  $\text{CO}_2$  in the atmosphere is leading to an increase in hydrogen ion ( $\text{H}^+$ ) concentrations, and hence a fall in pH. Dissolved  $\text{CO}_2$ , carbonic acid and bicarbonate are also increasing; however, the concentration of carbonate ions is decreasing as a result of a reaction between  $\text{CO}_2$  and carbonate, further increasing bicarbonate levels (Raven et al. 2005). The relative changes in bicarbonate, carbonate and hydrogen ion concentrations in the surface ocean arising from doubling, tripling and quadrupling of atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  (compared to pre-industrial values) are shown in Fig. 1. Uptake of this additional  $\text{CO}_2$  has already increased the average acidity of the global ocean by 30 % (decreasing pH from 8.2 to 8.1) since the beginning of the Industrial Revolution, and the increase in acidity is expected to increase threefold (yielding a decrease in pH to 7.8) by the end of this century if  $\text{CO}_2$  emissions continue at current rates. Therefore, absorption of  $\text{CO}_2$  by the oceans at a rate of 25 million tons of  $\text{CO}_2$  per day contributes to the mitigation of global warming, but at a cost to ocean carbonate chemistry (Fig. 1). Ocean acidification (OA) is the term used to describe these changes in ocean chemistry.

It is anticipated that OA could have dramatic consequences this century, potentially including extinction of keystone marine species (Dupont et al. 2008). Organisms



**Fig. 1** Percentage changes in average global surface ocean ion concentrations resulting from up to a fourfold change (300 % increase) in atmospheric carbon dioxide, compared with pre-industrial values. Values for atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  change from 280 to 1,120 ppm; bicarbonate ions from 1,770 to 2,120  $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ ; carbonate ions from 225 to 81  $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ ; and pH from 8.18 to 7.65 (where pH is defined as the negative decimal logarithm of the hydrogen ion activity, a linear relationship is assumed between activity and concentration, and a uniform and constant upper ocean temperature is assumed, of 18 °C). From Williamson and Turley (in press)

producing a carbonate shell or skeleton have been the primary research focus to date, as calcification partly depends on carbonate ion concentration. Evidence is increasing that organisms respond to OA to variable degrees (Ries et al. 2009; Hendriks et al. 2010; Fabricius et al. 2011) and that sensitivities differ between species, with some species showing negative responses while others show no or positive responses (Dupont et al. 2010; Ferrari et al. 2011a). Direct consequences of decreasing seawater pH, such as changes in growth rates, are mediated through changes in acid–base status or shifts in energy budget. These effects also depend on species-specific capacities to compensate. Additional physiological disturbances have been reported, including behavioural changes, reductions in fertilization and reproductive success through development effects to eggs, larvae and juveniles (Gattuso and Hansson 2011a). Impacts from OA can be modulated and exacerbated when combined with other environmental parameters such as food availability, temperature increases or hypoxia (Pörtner and Farrell 2008; Thomsen et al. 2010; Gruber 2011). Projected future changes at the ecosystem level could include relative shifts in fitness and competitiveness, changes in species interactions and biogeography, and ecosystem restructuring due to synergistic effects with temperature and changes in species composition and biodiversity. Marine organisms also may be indirectly at risk

R. Jeffree

C3, Faculty of Science, School of the Environment,  
University of Technology, Sydney, NSW, Australia

J. Orr

Laboratoire Des Sciences Du Climat et de l'Environnement  
CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

P. L. Munday

ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, Townsville,  
QLD 4811, Australia

P. L. Munday

School of Marine and Tropical Biology, James Cook University,  
Townsville, QLD 4811, Australia

S. R. Cooley

Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA, USA


due to OA's effects on key components of food webs, for example, on phytoplankton quality (Rossoll et al. 2012) or specific zooplankton such as pteropods that are essential in the diets of salmon and whales (Orr et al. 2005). There has been a growing awareness that many of the goods and services provided by the ocean may be at risk from increasing OA, and for this reason, there could be far-reaching socio-economic consequences (Turley and Boot 2010, 2011; see Table 1 in supplementary data).

In 2010, an international workshop in Monaco on the socio-economic impacts of OA, organized jointly by the Centre Scientifique de Monaco and the International Atomic Energy Agency, brought economists and scientists together for the first time. The aim was to start building the methodology for integrating knowledge from these different disciplines to better study the socio-economic impact of OA. Here we summarize some of the findings from this workshop. We review the rationale for a multidisciplinary approach between natural and human sciences; the data required for it; the current knowledge gaps and limitations; and major uncertainties concerning future impacts on species, ecosystems and the goods and services they provide.

### Motivation for a multidisciplinary approach

When designing efficient policies to address CO<sub>2</sub>-linked environmental change, issues spanning multiple temporal and spatial scales must be taken into consideration. Reductions in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions large enough to avoid the worst consequences of OA and climate change will require global economy-wide emissions policies and international agreements (Turley and Gattuso 2012). These are likely to be costly and necessitate trade-offs within other sectors of the economy. Higher energy prices, for example, may slow economic growth, meaning a lower standard of living for many people in the near term. So when policy makers consider emissions policies, they need to weigh the benefits that will be realized far into the future with the social costs that will begin to accrue almost immediately. Whether emission reductions are achieved through economic instruments, such as a carbon tax or cap and trade programme, or through technology mandates, those policies are likely to carry with them significant costs (e.g. OECD 2008; Paltsev et al. 2009; Tol 2010). At the same time, effects of OA and climate change will likely play out on individual marine resources, disproportionately affecting certain groups of people with high dependence on affected ecosystems.

Figure 2 is a conceptual schematic showing how policy decisions, ecological impacts and social welfare are related in the context of CO<sub>2</sub>-emissions-linked ocean changes such



**Fig. 2** CO<sub>2</sub> impact pathway and flow of information

as ocean acidification. The solid arrows represent pathways through which CO<sub>2</sub> emissions impact marine ecosystems and the human systems that rely on them. The dashed lines are flows of information to policy makers whose charge is to maximize social welfare. Policy makers can base decisions on observations of the natural system (information A and B) or on economic analyses that express costs and benefits of emissions policy in monetary terms (information C). The latter provides the most comprehensive set of information but also requires knowledge embodied in information A and B. This figure also demonstrates the relevance of economic valuation and shows how knowledge of welfare impacts can be used directly by the policy maker, or the natural resource manager, to support policy action since the absence of action will often be associated with high welfare damages (as quantified by the information flow C)—see Chiabai et al. (2011) for an example of an economic valuation exercise.

### What data do economists need for research on OA?

Relating carbon emissions policy to changes in seawater chemistry

The first step towards understanding the socio-economic impacts of OA and what they imply for emissions policy choices is better information on the atmosphere–ocean carbon flux, particularly in estuarine and coastal environments. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and radiative forcings from all greenhouse gas emissions can be modelled under a variety of socio-economic scenarios providing forecasts of  $p\text{CO}_2$  and temperature. Given a handful of

other physical and chemical seawater variables, the resulting exchange of CO<sub>2</sub> between the atmosphere and the open ocean can be modelled with a reasonable amount of confidence (e.g. Orr et al. 2005).

The majority of human activity, including recreation, commercial and subsistence fishing, and aquaculture occur in estuaries and coastal areas where the carbon dynamics are not well understood. Seasonal patterns in CO<sub>2</sub> under- and oversaturation have been measured in coastal zones and have been linked to changing thermal and biological conditions (Borges and Frankignoulle 2003). A number of additional influences on seawater chemistry are also present, such as freshwater inputs that may be loaded with nutrients and contaminants, or acid precipitation in coastal seas (Doney et al. 2007). It has been suggested that eutrophication may be a stronger driver of carbonate chemistry change in coastal waters than the uptake of CO<sub>2</sub> by seawater (Borges and Gypens 2010), but this has not been determined for all environments. More reliable forecasts of conditions in these areas are critical to understanding the potential socio-economic impacts from OA for the purposes of economic analyses.

#### Biophysical responses to OA

To provide policy makers with the most immediately relevant information, economists would benefit from some changes in the approach that has been taken in research observing how marine organisms respond to changing seawater chemistry. Understanding fitness-related impacts on economically important species is the simplest way to link OA to changes in social welfare. If credible research shows that OA can have unambiguous effects on species that humans culture, harvest and/or consume (e.g. molluscs, crustaceans, fish), this information will be directly useful for economists and policy makers. To date, relatively few species, most of them without any economic importance, have been studied while the few data available indicate that there is a diverse range of interspecific responses to OA (Ries et al. 2009; Dupont et al. 2010; Ferrari et al. 2011a), making generalization difficult.

However, while it is important to investigate the sensitivity of economically relevant species, it is also important to study taxa with no commercial value but which provide critical habitat and occupy important trophic levels within marine food webs (Guinotte and Fabry 2008). Direct economic effects also result from OA-induced changes in important marine habitats, such as coral reefs (both deep and shallow) and other biologically mediated environments (e.g. maerl and mussel beds). Reefs contribute to a number of ecosystem services such as leisure and recreation, and coastal protection, as well as the provision of shelter and food for a multitude of other marine species (Coen et al.

2007; Moberg and Folke 1999). For coastal developing countries that are highly dependent on tourism as a source of foreign income, loss or degradation of coral reefs, for example, could have potentially larger effects than losses in mollusc harvests.

In addition to shifting the focus of studies towards economically important species, economists would also benefit from observations of economically relevant measures of the biophysical impacts. For example, people buy molluscs and crustaceans because of what they find inside the shell, not for the shell itself. Studies that examine the effect of OA on the edible or exploitable (e.g. pearl in oysters) portions of affected species are more relevant to economic analyses than those that report changes in other processes such as calcification. These parameters should also be considered in the light of long-term sustainable production for aquaculture and harvest in wild populations. For economists to benefit from research findings in other scientific disciplines, these must be clearly presented in a way that underlines their relevance to socio-economic studies.

#### Informing emissions policy with economic analysis

Once the links between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and marine ecosystem impacts have been established, there are several ways that data can inform policy. Some ecosystem impacts can be expressed in monetary terms, following the “Economic Valuation” arrow in Fig. 2 to Social Welfare Analysis. This is the ideal situation because, if properly carried out, social welfare analysis reveals how resources, whether they are marine ecosystem services, fossil fuels, fish stocks, to name but some, can be employed to benefit society the most. However, not all impacts can be expressed in monetary terms. Impacts such as these that can be quantified in terms other than economic value or only expressed qualitatively inform policy and governance via the “Information B” arrow in Fig. 2. Once scientists are able to link increases in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> to changes in the availability of goods and services provided by the ecosystem, economic modellers can begin to quantify those impacts and present policy makers with the information they need to craft economically efficient carbon policy.

Some ecosystem impacts for which reliable valuation methods exist can be evaluated in terms of market impacts, that is, how environmental degradation affects markets through prices and costs. These can be measured by observing how people react to increasing prices and decreasing quantity and quality of commodities that they purchase. Such impacts can be analysed with the standard tools of economic theory (Varian 1992; Mas-Colell et al. 1995). For example, Dey (2000) analyses the demand for fish in Bangladesh and examines the welfare impacts of

technological and policy changes in the fisheries and aquaculture sectors. Studying how consumption of marine resources responds to price and income changes can provide insight on the likely welfare impacts. However, most of the studies conducted to date were not performed with OA impacts in mind and so their utility in this application is limited. Economic theoretical models map the effect of changes in supply to changes in economic values, taking into consideration market responses. Such models are based on equilibrium analysis and can be extended to include uncertainties, both regarding the underlying data as well as the model specification itself (robustness). Initial studies that explore potential market changes are emerging (Cooley and Doney 2009; Narita et al. 2011), but are still limited in scope. They focus solely on mollusc production and make considerable extrapolation from short-term single-species experiments and assumptions about the relationship between calcification in molluscs and their growth and productivity.

Nonmarket impacts, on the other hand, reflect the effects of environmental degradation on ecosystem goods and services that are not traded in traditional markets and do not have explicit prices attached to them (for a review on the theory and practice of ecosystem services and their assessment see Daily and Matson 2008). Some of the non-market goods and services that are likely to be affected by OA are cultural services such as recreational fishing, diving, contribution to arts and religion, and so on (see de Groot et al. 2010 for an expanded list), but also regulatory services such as shoreline protection and coastal stabilization (Cooley et al. 2009), as well as the biological remediation of waste and the ability of the marine environment to sequester carbon. To determine a change in the provision of non-market services, economists require data on how much money people are willing to pay to preserve them or replace them. There are a variety of methods that economists use to estimate non-market values (for a review see Champ et al. 2003). To date, few studies have attempted to value such marine non-market goods and services in the context of OA.

#### Need for other social science data and methods

More reliable data on the production and consumption of market and non-market goods affected by OA would improve economists' ability to quantify the social welfare impacts. These data would include the quantities and prices of affected marine species and how the costs of production are likely to change in the future. For example, OA is known to make maintenance more energetically expensive with organisms making trade-offs between processes such as calcification and growth. Within aquaculture, if growth is to be maintained, feeding costs are therefore likely to

increase to compensate for the increased energy demand. Hatcheries that can maintain water pH at higher levels may also be required to ensure a continued supply of spat for aquaculture (Kite-Powell 2009). The costs of maintaining such water quality are not well established. Furthermore, it can be assumed that the costs of coastal protection may increase if such coastal protection is dependent upon coral reefs, or other biologically mediated environments comprised of organisms that may be susceptible to OA.

There is also a need to understand how society may respond and adapt to potential changes resulting from OA. Modelling efforts need to explore two-way relationships between the marine environment and humans. The typical approach to modelling examines how human activities drive change in the marine environment and then identifies the consequences for society, sometimes through linked bioeconomic models. Few go on to explore how human activities change as a result of marine environmental change (Barange et al. 2010). Novel approaches, however, are available that could be applied to OA impacts, such as end-to-end models. For example, Merino et al. (2010) developed a global small pelagic fish model to investigate the potential impact of different climate change scenarios on fishmeal supply using changes in primary production as a driver of change. They found that the sustainability of the small pelagic fisheries depends more on societies' response to climate change than on the magnitude of climate change impacts themselves. The same could be true for OA. Many end-to-end models, however, are limited by their focus on single drivers of change (e.g. temperature change), and greater effort is required to incorporate multiple drivers and cumulative effects (e.g. Fulton et al. 2011).

Recognizing how people may adapt and what coping strategies they use to do so may be particularly important for understanding the impacts of OA on society. For example, Cooley et al. (2012) indicate that a number of developing countries, especially Small Island Developing States, may be particularly vulnerable to losses in mollusc harvests for nutritional and/or economic reasons. At the same time, many of these countries may also be vulnerable to climate change impacts on fisheries (Allison et al. 2009; Bell et al. 2011). As these nations experience losses, they will undertake adaptive strategies that will address the array of multiple stressors acting on their resources. The end-to-end models currently in use will not necessarily realistically capture those natural human responses.

#### Research priorities for estimating socio-economic impacts of OA

Economists need specific types of high quality empirical data on how OA will affect the ecological production functions for the most important goods and services that

are likely to be affected. To build more reliable economic models using this information, a better understanding of carbon dynamics in estuaries and near-shore areas (information A in Fig. 2) is first needed. Information on ecologically, economically and socially important species and habitats and economically relevant traits is needed. There is also a need to understand how society may itself adapt to changes resulting from OA. While the last request falls outside the purview of natural scientists, the flow of information represented in Fig. 2 would be incomplete without reliable data on the consumption and production of both market and non-market goods and services that will be affected by changing seawater chemistry. Furthermore, it is necessary to have reliable estimates on the time span of different scenarios. Time plays a crucial role in economic analysis when comparing different scenarios and policies where both costs and benefits occur at different points in time (Gollier 2002). What data are needed also depends on the scale of the economic analysis, that is, whether it is applied to a local community or a regional, national or international level. Conversely, data availability can influence the scale of the economic analysis possible. The final dataset needed concerns estimation of uncertainties regarding different scenarios. Having some measures of uncertainty makes it possible to estimate the risk associated with different scenarios (for a classic discussion on risk and uncertainty see Knight 1921; for a more recent text, see Mas-Colell et al. 1995).

### What biological data are currently available to economists?

In this section, we briefly review what is known, data gaps and highlight limitations of the methods used and suggest future research priorities. It is not the goal of the present paper to review biological effects; however, some general trends are presented. For a comprehensive review see Gattuso and Hansson (2011a).

#### Short summary of biological impacts

The biological impacts of OA on marine organisms are only beginning to be understood. Impacts of OA may be either direct, through alteration of different physiological processes (survival, reproduction and development, growth, metabolism, thermal tolerance, immune response, behavioural responses, etc.), or indirect, through ecological interactions (predator–prey abundance, nutrient recycling or habitat changes). Indirect impacts are, however, harder to predict because of the complexity of ecological interactions. Because of the initial hypothesis that OA will primarily alter calcification through changing seawater

carbonate concentration and saturation state of calcium carbonate, the first experimental work focused on calcifying organisms (Fabry 2008). It appears now that many other biological functions are sensitive to OA extending to almost all forms of life, from fish to bacteria (Gattuso and Hansson 2011a). The impact of OA can be severe and can potentially lead to species extinction (e.g. Dupont et al. 2008). However, the effect on a single organism is not always negative; phytoplankton photosynthesis is generally enhanced by OA (Riebesell and Tortell 2011), and some seagrass species even thrive in low-pH environments (Hall-Spencer and Rodolfo-Metalpa 2008). For coral, most studies have shown a decrease in growth, but this effect seems also to depend on temperature (Reynaud et al. 2003) and species (Fabricius et al. 2011). Calcifying organisms such as crustaceans, echinoderms and molluscs show a range of responses to OA from negative (50 % of all tested species) to zero or even positive effects (e.g. Dupont et al. 2010). In conclusion, we have enough evidence demonstrating that OA can impact marine organisms, including causing species extinction, and we can forecast likely consequences for marine ecosystems. However, these impacts are highly species- and even population-specific.

Information from single-species experiments is often used to make predictions of the impact at the ecosystem level (e.g. Troedsson et al. this issue). However, work on naturally rich CO<sub>2</sub> habitats illustrates the risk of upscaling from single-species experiments without considering the complexity of ecological interactions. Single-species short-term perturbation experiments do not integrate the effects of climate change across entire systems (Russell et al. 2011). For example, a negative impact on a given parameter observed in the laboratory may not translate to a negative impact on the species fitness, and ecological interactions can modulate a species-level response. The importance of ecological interactions is illustrated by the work performed on areas that are naturally enriched with CO<sub>2</sub> such as CO<sub>2</sub> vents (Hall-Spencer et al. 2008; Fabricius et al. 2011; Rodolfo-Metalpa et al. 2011), hydrothermal vents (Couto et al. 2010; Vizzini et al. 2010; Bianchi et al. 2011) and upwelling areas (Thomsen et al. 2010). Vents off Italy and off Papua New Guinea have been shown to enrich seawater CO<sub>2</sub> levels and alter calcification, recruitment, growth, survival and species interactions in the acidified waters (Hall-Spencer et al. 2008; Fabricius et al. 2011). Many species of microalgae, macroalgae, seagrass, foraminifers, corals, polychaetes, crustaceans, molluscs and bryozoans are remarkably tolerant of long-term exposures to high and variable carbon dioxide levels at the vents (Kroeker et al. 2011; Johnson et al. 2012). However, a fall in mean pH from 8.1 to 7.8 can have detrimental effects on the recruitment of benthic organisms from the planktonic forms (Cigliano et al. 2010). Adult populations show

dramatic reductions in biodiversity along gradients of increasing CO<sub>2</sub> in coastal Mediterranean systems and in tropical coral reef systems, with around 30 % fewer species in adult populations at a mean pH of 7.8 than in adjacent areas at a mean pH of 8.1 (Fabricius et al. 2011; Kroeker et al. 2011). Important groups, such as coralline algae, calcified foraminifers and sea urchins are common outside the vent systems but absent from areas with mean pH  $\leq$  7.8, probably due in part to widely variable carbon dioxide levels. On the other hand, mussels and other calcifiers predicted to be negatively impacted by OA based on perturbation experiments can dominate CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched environments where food sources are abundant (Thomsen et al. 2010).

Methods used to study biological impacts: importance and limitations

Historically, perturbation experiments were the first method used to study the effect of pH on marine organisms. pH was recognized as early as the beginning of the nineteenth century as an important parameter affecting both land and marine animals (for an historical perspective concerning marine animals, see Gattuso and Hansson 2011b). Perturbation experiments have been mainly performed in laboratory conditions by incubating organisms of a given species at different stages of their development (adult, egg, larvae), or, more rarely, assemblages of several species (mesocosms). The length of the incubation period has been generally short (from 1 h to 1 year, but most of them last from a few days to a few weeks). A vast majority of these experiments only considered OA without any synergy with other stressors or including natural variability. At the end of the incubation period, experimental organisms were compared to organisms maintained in control conditions using present-day CO<sub>2</sub> conditions. Several biological parameters were surveyed: survival, growth, calcification, respiration, photosynthesis, metabolic activity, morphology, gene expression, etc. A small number of perturbation experiments have been performed directly in large mesocosms in the field (e.g. Bellerby et al. 2008; Schulz et al. 2011). More recently, the discovery of naturally high CO<sub>2</sub> environments allowed in situ observations. However, these sites do not fully mimic OA conditions as they are generally small in scale and are constantly fed with individuals and larvae from a non-enriched habitat.

Even with the number of studies increasing at an exponential rate (see Fig 1.2 in Gattuso and Hansson 2011a), comparing these data is extremely difficult owing to the use of different experimental protocols (conditions of incubation: duration, methods of acidification, environmental parameters) for different species and different

developmental stages. However, the publication of the EPOCA best practices guide for OA research (Dickson et al. 2007) is a step forward in the development of best practices and standardization of experimental methods.

### Recommendations for future data collection to inform socio-economic OA studies

Ocean chemistry

#### *Collect observational data*

Ongoing changes in surface pH, pCO<sub>2</sub> and CaCO<sub>3</sub> saturation states are measurable at time-series stations, which tell us about trends as well as daily, seasonal, interannual and decadal variability. Measured surface ocean trends agree with what is expected from the measured atmospheric increase in carbon dioxide, assuming air-sea CO<sub>2</sub> equilibrium. Yet the high-precision chemical measurements that are needed over decadal time scales are only available at a very limited number of stations confined mostly to the northern subtropics of the open ocean. It is crucial to extend this network to other key regions, particularly the high-latitudes, marginal seas and coastal areas, which are generally more variable, more vulnerable and of greater economic importance.

There is also a critical need for well-developed spatial and temporal models that give accurate present-day and future estimates of aragonite and calcite saturation states in the coastal zones. The shallow continental shelves are some of the most biologically productive areas in the sea and are home to the majority of the world's fisheries, but accurate saturation state data do not currently exist for most coastal regions (Guinotte and Fabry 2008). Future work should focus more on measured variability, including oscillations on short-term biologically relevant to decadal time scales, to characterize driving mechanisms and to better evaluate models that are used to make future projections (Hofmann et al. 2011; Shaw et al. 2012; Doney et al. 2009). Time-series stations are complemented by large-scale sections in the open ocean that extend well beyond the subtropics. Some of these sections have been reoccupied to assess trends; their subsequent resampling will need to be repeated roughly every 10 years to adequately follow increasing OA.

#### *Develop observational and predictive technology*

The greatest opportunity for a leap in understanding variation in ocean chemistry would come from further developing new sensors and assuring that they are deployed widely. New in situ high-precision sensors are being



developed for pH and related biogeochemical variables, including oxygen, nitrate, partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> ( $p\text{CO}_2$ ), and particulate inorganic carbon. More effort is needed to refine precision and stability of these sensors, develop others especially for key carbonate system variables (e.g. dissolved inorganic carbon and alkalinity), and deploy them not only on moorings but in a new networks of gliders and floats. The ARGO array, now with more than 3,000 operational profiling floats spread randomly throughout the ocean, each measuring temperature and salinity in the upper 2 km of the ocean every 2 days, has revolutionized our ability to characterize the ocean's circulation and warming. Likewise, equipping many ARGO floats with multiple biogeochemical sensors would revolutionize our ability to characterize the ocean carbon cycle and quantify how it is being affected by OA.

Although essential for assessing the current state of the ocean, measurements are also helpful for improving model projections. Routinely, measurements are used to evaluate models and adjust model parameters. In addition, if data coverage is sufficient to characterize the modern mean state of the real ocean, the bias in the model's modern mean state (model minus data) can be removed, improving the future projection. This approach substantially improves model agreement of projected chemical changes in the open ocean due to OA (Orr et al. 2005), but it only lends itself to regions with extensive data coverage. Also, the more recent the observed mean state, the more reliable the future projection. Thus, the best projections will require frequent updates of extensive global data sets, a task that may well require an international observing system. If it existed, that observing system would be exploited as part of an international model-data comparison. Together, these international efforts would strengthen links between modellers and data experts, thereby improving projections of acidification in the context of other changes (e.g. warming, stratification, deoxygenation) and providing a forum to share advances, for example, to account for more complex environments or processes.

#### *Determine biological relevance of chemical changes*

Once enhanced data collection establishes the natural regional limits of temporal and spatial pH and saturation state variability, the next step is to identify what constitutes biologically relevant change. Many economically important coastal species are accustomed to wide pH variations and some even spend time in environments undersaturated with respect to calcite and aragonite (Green et al. 2009). Current hypotheses suggest that different species have different tolerances for time spent at lowered pH. Maps can be made of model-projected pH and saturation state (Feely et al. 2009) that depend on carbon dioxide emissions

scenarios. But it is uncertain whether species will respond to these chemical changes or whether they will be sensitive to relative changes (the magnitude of change from present conditions) (Feely et al. 2009). Therefore, it is not possible yet to develop maps indicating economically relevant species' susceptibility to OA based on mechanistic responses. To do this, more information is needed from biological studies in laboratories and in the wild that relate the success of economically relevant biota to present chemical conditions and change in them, in addition to enhanced chemical datasets. This will also be complicated by the need to integrate the impact of chemical changes on other species connected (e.g. food, competitor, predators) to the species of interest.

#### Quantify marine biology

##### *Perform long-term and multigenerational experiments*

To date, the majority of published OA research involves short-term experiments (days to weeks) that acutely stress organisms using predicted future ocean conditions. Although such experiments are useful for studying physiological processes and identifying major biological effects, they may not provide a true assessment of the longer-term impacts of OA. First, some effects of exposure to acidified conditions may take months to appear. For example, increased costs associated with high CO<sub>2</sub> and low pH may only become evident in whole-organism traits such as growth and survival after several months of continuous exposure (e.g. Langenbuch and Pörtner 2004; Shirayama and Thornton 2005; Kurihara et al. 2008). Alternatively, organisms might acclimate to acidification over time. For example, the crab *Necora puber* used bicarbonate ions acquired from shell dissolution to regulate extracellular pH during a short-term (14 days) exposure to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Spicer et al. 2007); however, bicarbonate was acquired from the surrounding seawater to regulate pH after longer-term exposure (30 days) (Small et al. 2010). One of the key questions is to determine what is the relevant time of exposure. In a study on the green sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*, Dupont et al. (2012) show that female fecundity was decreased 4.5-fold when acclimated to elevated  $p\text{CO}_2$  for 4 months during reproductive conditioning, while no difference was observed in females acclimated for 16 months. Therefore, short-term experiments can both over- and underestimate the impact of OA.

The rate of change to an environmental stressor (rapid/abrupt vs gradual changes) can also modulate selection pressure and result in various strategies for adaptation. The contrast between so-called hard and soft selection is a key concept in evolutionary biology, but has received little attention in the marine environment in general and OA

research in particular. Most perturbation experiments published so far used abrupt changes in pH. However, previous work on the  $p\text{CO}_2$  changes on terrestrial ecosystems have shown that abrupt changes can lead to an overestimate of the real impact on fitness (Klironomos et al. 2005). More realistic experimental design is needed to fully mimic near-future OA.

Experiments should also include subsequent life history stages (e.g. gametes–embryos–larvae–juveniles–adults) that may be critical in understanding the effects of environmental stressors on marine species (Marsahll and Morgan 2011). Carry-over effects between life stages can significantly affect the outcome of environmental stress in subsequent life stages. For example, pre-exposure of adult sea urchins to elevated  $p\text{CO}_2$  had a negative impact on subsequent larval settlement success. Five to nine times fewer offspring reached the juvenile stage in cultures using gametes collected from adults previously acclimated to high  $p\text{CO}_2$  for 4 months. Furthermore,  $p\text{CO}_2$  had no direct negative impact on juvenile survival except when both larvae and juveniles were raised in elevated  $p\text{CO}_2$ . These negative effects on settlement success and juvenile survival can be attributed to carry-over effects from adults to larvae and from larvae to juveniles (Dupont et al. 2012). On the other hand, positive carry-over effects are also possible. Parker et al. (2012) found larvae from parents exposed to elevated  $p\text{CO}_2$  during reproductive conditioning were larger, developed faster, had similar survival to current-day controls and were less impacted by exposure to elevated  $p\text{CO}_2$ . Furthermore, recent experiments with reef fish have demonstrated that metabolic decrements caused by rising water temperature, which have severe effects on growth and development, can be fully compensated in future generations when parents are reared their entire life under future climate change scenarios (Donelson et al. 2012). A greater focus on long-term and multi-life stages experiments, especially for economically important species, is required to properly assess the impacts and cost of OA on marine ecosystems.

#### *Explore synergy between stressors*

Another pitfall concerns potential cross-effects between elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  and other relevant environmental parameters (e.g. temperature, salinity, oxygen concentration, etc. but also parameters co-varying with pH such as toxic compounds or heavy metals). Several studies demonstrate indeed a synergy between parameters, which are expected to change in the near future (e.g. for synergy between pH and temperature, Reynaud et al. 2003; Metzger et al. 2007). The combined action of acidification and other stressors is likely to worsen impacts that would be expected from acidification alone. Nutritional status of organisms is also

rarely taken into account; nevertheless, it has been shown that it can greatly impact the final results (Holcomb et al. 2010; Melzner et al. 2011). Future OA will not occur in isolation from other types of impacts on the marine environment. Land-based point and non-point sources of pollutants are already problematic for the economic management of aquaculture and wild fisheries, particularly for developing countries that have higher economic dependencies on marine products. The co-occurrence of OA with land-derived contaminants may be detrimental to economic production functions for seafood via the following interactions: direct additive or synergistic effects on reproductive success; developmental and growth rates; enhanced accumulation of contaminants in edible tissues of contaminants; or by a direct effect of OA on bioavailability and toxicity of heavy metals, as pH may affect their solubility, adsorption and speciation (Millero et al. 2009; Pascal et al. 2010). OA-mediated elevations in body burdens of contaminants in seafoods can lead to enhanced exposure of their human consumers close to the source as well as restrictions in their regional and national trade and export. This can be detrimental to livelihoods if such enhanced contaminant levels exceed national and internationally accepted levels, as incorporated within *Codex Alimentarius*. Several recent experimental studies have reported on the possible interactions between projected future OA and co-contaminant accumulation and biokinetics in marine biota, including some significant categories of seafood of increasing importance, such as cephalopods. For example, it was shown that pH had an effect on the metal adsorption and protective properties of the eggshell and enhanced  $\text{CO}_2$  modified the metabolism of cuttlefish embryo and paralarvae. Both these factors caused changes to the accumulation of metals in the tissues of squid *L. vulgaris* (Lacoue-Labarthe et al. 2009, 2011).

Another example is the complex interaction between OA, immunity and diseases. Diseases and infections are a major problem for aquaculture and immunity can play an important role in stable maintenance of natural populations and sustainable aquaculture. Impact of OA on organism health status is still poorly understood, but of increasing concern because near-future synergy between different environmental changes (e.g. global warming, ocean acidification, increases of catastrophic meteorological events) can affect species immune response, population dynamics and the distribution of pathogens (Dupont and Thorndyke 2012).

#### *Understand adaptation potential*

A major obstacle to predicting the economic costs of OA on marine ecosystems is that almost nothing is known about the potential for marine species to adapt to rising

CO<sub>2</sub> levels and changing ocean chemistry over coming decades. While some scientists suggest that organisms have shown no signs of biological adaptation to OA in the past (see for example Veron 2008), new studies are demonstrating that some species may have enough phenotypic and genetic variation to cope with OA. For example, the sea urchin species *Strongylocentrotus franciscanus* has vastly greater levels of phenotypic and genetic variation for larval size in future CO<sub>2</sub> conditions compared with the mussel species *Mytilus trossulus* (Sunday et al. 2011). Variation in the response of individuals to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and low pH is observed in many experiments (e.g. Dupont and Thorndyke 2009; Munday et al. 2010; Parker et al. 2011; Sunday et al. 2011), with some individuals in acidified conditions exhibiting similar performance to individuals in control conditions. In some cases, it is clear that this variation is heritable (Parker et al. 2011, 2012; Sunday et al. 2011), indicating that populations could develop increased tolerance to acidified conditions through time. Information on genetic and phenotypic variation, and key demographic parameters, may lend valuable insight into relative evolutionary potentials across a large number of species and is of high priority for future research.

#### *Determine community and ecosystem responses*

Although there is increasing evidence for impacts of OA on individual species and life history stages, a major challenge is predicting the community and ecosystem effects of OA. Existing results indicate that community and ecosystem responses cannot be adequately predicted by simply scaling up from studies of physiological processes or from studies of single species (but see Troedsson et al. in press). Individual responses may be very different in the presence of competitors and predators, and interacting effects of many species may be difficult to predict even with a reasonable knowledge of how individual species respond to OA in isolation. For example, Ferrari et al. (2011b) found that when juvenile fish and their larger predators were placed together in a mesocosm, the mortality rate of the juveniles was higher if both the predators and prey had been exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. This result confirmed predictions from previous research of behavioural effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on juvenile fish (Dixson et al. 2010; Munday et al. 2010) and their predators (Cripps et al. 2011). However, Ferrari et al. (2011b) also found that the prey species preferred under control conditions were not the same ones preferred under high CO<sub>2</sub>. This result obviously has important implications for understanding the effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on the community structure of reef fish assemblages, but could not have been predicted from the studies of predators and prey in isolation. Similarly, decades of physiological studies on acid–base regulation in fishes did not predict that their

behaviour would be dramatically altered under high CO<sub>2</sub>, despite the behavioural changes that were caused by changes in the concentrations of acid–base relevant ions (Nilsson et al. 2012). Well-designed experiments that test the effect of OA on species interactions and key ecological processes (rather than just impacts on species) will be vital in attempts to scale up to higher levels of community and ecosystem complexity that are needed for economic assessments.

Ecosystem models are another useful tool for exploring community and ecosystem effects of OA (Fulton et al. 2011) that can be parameterized with existing and emerging knowledge and sensitivity-tested to identify the knowledge gaps that are most productive to fill. Naturally high-CO<sub>2</sub> environments can also provide important information on how communities may change in the future (Hall-Spencer et al. 2008; Fabricius et al. 2011) to inform ecosystem models, although it must be recognized that their scale is small compared with the current-day scale of biological connectivity and the future scale of OA. Because these sites are constantly fed with individuals and larvae from non-CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched habitats, the potential for selection is weakened and thus potentially overestimates the impact of *p*CO<sub>2</sub>. All approaches should be encouraged and opportunities to incorporate different approaches (e.g. combining community experiments and models or natural analogues and experiments) should be identified.

It is important to note that scaling up ecosystem data and models to consider socio-economic outcomes has its challenges: both OA's effects on marine ecosystems and its socio-economic implications strongly depend on local and regional contexts (CIESM 2008). Even if marine conditions in one site are analogous to those elsewhere, each region will have different governance, economic activities, and stakeholders, all of which will interact in varying ways with OA-affected ecosystems.

#### **Identify potential solutions**

Scientists may provide information on impacts, but they may also explore potential ways to limit or mitigate negative impacts of OA. For example, it could be possible to explore a species genetic diversity to isolate “OA-proof” tolerant strains. There is a growing body of evidence showing that sensitivity to OA varies within species, some genotypes being more resilient than others. Isolation of resilient strains of species with ecological and/or economic importance may improve future aquaculture and/or rescue threatened ecosystems. This approach was investigated by Parker et al. (2011) showing that fast growing strains of oysters were potentially more resilient to OA. Another option is to determine key areas for conservation efforts.

Even if the cause of OA is global and cannot really be controlled at the local level, reducing local stresses acting on ecosystems can improve their resilience to all stressors, including OA (Hughes et al. 2010). Key areas for conservation efforts should be selected on both biological/ecological and economic bases. Even though they do not directly mitigate OA, natural coastal carbon sinks (kelp forests, mangroves, seagrass beds, salt marshes) are critical areas to include in future carbon management discussions and strategies. Furthermore, bearing in mind the complexity and the diversity of OA's effects on a wide range of economic activities, proposed areas for conservation should be characterized by flexible and accommodating governance structures where each of the involved stakeholder groups can discuss and agree on policy/management instruments and propose mutually agreeable conservation efforts. With some exceptions (see Samonte et al. 2012), few studies exist in this domain. This gap is currently being addressed *inter alia* by the ongoing EU project *The European Mediterranean Sea Acidification in a changing climate (MedSea)*, which is funded by the European Commission under Framework Program 7 involving 16 institutions from 10 countries and which explicitly addresses the economic valuation of OA impacts along with proposing adaptation tools and policies to limit the socio-economic impact of acidification on the Mediterranean area (MedSea 2012).

## Conclusions

It thus appears necessary to undertake a quantitative assessment of the likely biological, social and economic (or “bio-socio-economic”) risks and vulnerabilities that may result from OA. This assessment would be supported by the following information for each country: (a) percentage of GNP that is reliant on coastal production of seafoods, aquaculture production and coastal tourism that depend on OA sensitive ecosystems; (b) composition of seafood relative to its likely vulnerability to OA; (c) projected increases in coastal zone-dependent populations to 2100; (d) proportions of coastal populations living close to the poverty level, where the detrimental effects of OA would be more acutely felt; and (e) the vulnerability and sensitivity of these coastal populations to environmental change and an assessment of their capacity to adapt. With this information, integrated assessment models can be developed that will demonstrate the social welfare impacts of different CO<sub>2</sub> emissions policies on specific regions of the world. Such a model would trace the impact pathway of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions through the changes in biophysical processes to market and non-market impacts on social welfare. They have been developed for the impact pathway of CO<sub>2</sub>

on climate change (Tol 2002; Nordhaus 1994; Hope et al. 1993) and are being used by the USA, UK and European Union to craft emissions policy.

It is essential that biologists and economists work together to enable policy makers to base their policies on objective and precise elements: “we need you; you need us” should be the slogan unifying our two communities. Even if it is now sure that OA will modify the marine ecosystem, human communities will feel the effects of OA only when it alters economically and socially important marine ecosystem services (Cooley et al. 2009), but it will be too late at that time to introduce policies and actions to mitigate the greatest changes. There is no need to be alarmist, but there is an urgent need to develop strategies to provide decision-makers with tractable and sustainable solutions (Dupont and Thorndyke 2009). This interaction between biologists and economists will enhance the credibility of environmental research in the eyes of the public and decision-makers and will shed new light on the consequences of OA.

From the data presented here, it appears that despite the large amount of scientific data published over the last decade, a significant gap persists regarding their applicability by economists to assess the impacts of OA in policy-relevant terms. Therefore, there is an urgent need to develop research programmes involving close collaborations between biologists and economists in order to gain insights into “bio-socio-economic” impacts of OA. Even if it is currently risky to extrapolate from controlled short experiments to the natural environment, the complexity of ecosystem-level effects should not be an obstacle preventing the use of existing data by economists in their models. Rather, there needs to be a clear recognition of the uncertainty transferred between data and model types. Biologists and economists should adopt a common language, while at the same time accepting the rules and constraints of each field. As scientists, we have a duty to provide policy makers and public with reliable risk assessments, but it may be time to propose some solutions. Another challenge facing “bio-socio-economics” is the fact that OA cannot be disconnected from other global changes that are occurring such as climate change. Policy recommendations will have to tackle all of these effects simultaneously rather than focusing on OA alone. At the same time, policies addressing other types of global change should be considered with awareness of how they will impact acidification; for example, many apparent geo-engineering “solutions” proposed for climate change mitigation will not help ameliorate ocean acidification (Williamson and Turley in press). The best policy choices will address multiple anthropogenically caused problems at the same time. Although we may never be able to predict all OA's impacts exactly, we can manage the marine

environment for maximum resilience, so it can better withstand all the pressures affecting it.

We hope the challenge posed by OA will stimulate multidisciplinary research and interactions between disciplines, and it will generate integrative models that will be widely useful in environmental management well beyond the problem of OA. As this work proceeds, “bio-socio-economic” impact assessment should be developed in an integrative way by teams with mixed expertise and not retained within a single discipline (Wam 2010), to achieve these goals.

**Acknowledgments** This review paper was initiated following an international workshop jointly organized by the Centre Scientifique de Monaco and the International Atomic Energy Agency and funded by the Monegasque Government, the Prince Albert II of Monaco Foundation, the French Ministry of Ecology, Sustainable Development, Transport and Housing, the Institut océanographique—Fondation Albert I<sup>er</sup>, Prince de Monaco and the IAEA “Peaceful Uses Initiative” (PUI) programme funded by the US State Department. The participants of the workshop have contributed to the “Policy recommendations brochure”: [http://www.centrescientifique.mc/csmfr/informations/2011\\_12\\_recommandations.php](http://www.centrescientifique.mc/csmfr/informations/2011_12_recommandations.php). This study was conducted as part of the Centre Scientifique de Monaco research programme, funded by the Government of the Principality of Monaco. The International Atomic Energy Agency is grateful to the Government of the Principality of Monaco for the support provided to its Environment Laboratories. The authors are grateful to three anonymous reviewers, whose detailed, thoughtful comments significantly improved this paper.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

## References

- Allison EH, Perry AL, Badjeck M-C, Neil Adger W, Brown K, Conway D, Halls AS, Pilling GM, Reynolds JD, Andrew NL, Dulvy NK (2009) Vulnerability of national economies to the impacts of climate change on fisheries. *Fish Fish* 10:173–196
- Barange M, Cheung WWL, Merino G, Perry RI (2010) Modelling the potential impacts of climate change and human activities on the sustainability of marine resources. *Curr Opin Environ Sustain* 2:326–333
- Bell JD, Johnson JE, Hobday AJ (2011) Vulnerability of tropical pacific fisheries and aquaculture to climate change. Secretariat of the Pacific Community, Noumea, New Caledonia
- Bellerby RGJ, Schulz KG, Riebesell U, Neill C, Nondal G, Heegaard E, Johannessen T, Brown KR (2008) Marine ecosystem community carbon and nutrient uptake stoichiometry under varying ocean acidification during the PeECE III experiment. *Biogeosciences* 5:1517–1527
- Bianchi CN, Dando PR, Morri C (2011) Increased diversity of sessile epibenthos at subtidal hydrothermal vents: seven hypotheses based on observations at Milos Island, Aegean Sea. *Adv Oceanogr Limnol* 2:1–31
- Borges AV, Frankignoulle M (2003) Distribution of surface carbon dioxide and air-sea exchange in the English Channel and adjacent areas. *J Geophys Res* 108:9.1–9.14
- Borges AV, Gypens N (2010) Carbonate chemistry in the coastal zone responds more strongly to eutrophication than to ocean acidification. *Limnol Oceanogr* 55:346–353
- Champ PA, Boyle KJ, Brown TC (2003) A primer on nonmarket valuation. Springer, Netherlands
- Chiabai A, Travisi CM, Ding H, Markandya A, Nunes PALD (2011) Economic assessment of forest ecosystem services losses: cost of policy inaction. *Environ Resour Econ* 2:1–39
- CIESM (2008) Impacts of acidification on biological, chemical and physical systems in the Mediterranean and Black Seas. In: Briand F (ed) CIESM Workshop Monographs, no 36, 124 p, Monaco
- Cigliano M, Gambi MC, Rodolfo-Metalpa R, Patti FP, Hall-Spencer JM (2010) Effects of ocean acidification on invertebrate settlement. *Mar Biol* 157:2489–2502
- Coen LD, Brumbaugh RD, Bushek D, Grizzle R, Luckenbach MW, Posey MH, Powers SP, Tolley SG (2007) Ecosystem services related to oyster restoration. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 341:303–307
- Cooley SR, Doney SC (2009) Anticipating ocean acidification’s economic consequences for commercial fisheries. *Environ Res Lett* 4:1–8
- Cooley SR, Kite-Powell HL, Doney SC (2009) Ocean acidification’s potential to alter global marine ecosystem services. *Oceanography* 22:172–181
- Cooley SR, Lucey N, Kite-Powell HL, Doney SC (2012) Nutrition and income from molluscs today imply vulnerability to ocean acidification tomorrow. *Fish Fish* 13:182–215
- Couto RP, Neto AI, Rodrigues AS (2010) Metal concentration and structural changes in *Corallina elongata* (Corallinales, Rhodophyta) from hydrothermal vents. *Mar Poll Bull* 60:509–514
- Cripps JL, Munday PL, McCormick MI (2011) Ocean acidification affects prey detection by a predatory reef fish. *PLoS ONE* 6:e22736
- Daily GC, Matson PA (2008) Ecosystem services: from theory to implementation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105:9455–9456
- de Groot R, Fisher B, Christie M, Aronson J, Braat L, Gowdy J, Haines-Young R, Maltby E, Neuville A, Polasky S, Portela R, Ring I (2010) Integrating the ecological and economic dimensions in biodiversity and ecosystem service valuation. In: Kumar P (ed) The economics of ecosystems and biodiversity: ecological and economic foundations. Earthscan, London and Washington, DC
- Dey MM (2000) Analysis of demand for fish in Bangladesh. *Aquacult Econ Manag* 4:65–83
- Dickson AG, Sabine CL, Christian JR (2007) Guide to best practices for ocean CO<sub>2</sub> measurements. PICES special publication 3—IOCC report no 8, 191 pp
- Dixon DL, Munday PL, Jones GP (2010) Ocean acidification disrupts the innate ability of fish to detect predator olfactory cues. *Ecol Lett* 13:68–75
- Donelson JM, Munday PL, McCormick MI, Pitcher RC (2012) Rapid transgenerational acclimation of a tropical reef fish to climate change. *Nat Clim Change* 2:30–32
- Doney SC, Mahowald N, Lima I, Feely RA, Mackenzie FT, Lamarque J-F, Rasch P (2007) Impact of anthropogenic atmospheric nitrogen and sulfur deposition on ocean acidification and the inorganic carbon system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104:14580–14585
- Doney SC, Tilbrook B, Roy S, Metzl N, Le Quéré C, Hood M, Feely RA, Bakker D (2009) Surface-ocean CO<sub>2</sub> variability and vulnerabilities. *Deep Sea Res Pt II* 56:504–511
- Dupont S, Thorndyke MC (2009) Impact of CO<sub>2</sub>-driven ocean acidification on invertebrates early life-history—what we know, what we need to know and what we can do. *Biogeosci Discuss* 6:3109–3131
- Dupont S, Thorndyke M (2012) Relationship between CO<sub>2</sub>-driven changes in extracellular acid-base balance and cellular immune-response in two polar echinoderm species. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 424–425:32–37

- Dupont S, Havenhand J, Thorndyke W, Peck L, Thorndyke M (2008) Near-future level of CO<sub>2</sub>-driven ocean acidification radically affects larval survival and development in the brittlestar *Ophiothrix fragilis*. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 373:285–294
- Dupont S, Dorey N, Thorndyke M (2010) What meta-analysis can tell us about vulnerability of marine biodiversity to ocean acidification? *Estuar Coast Shelf Sci* 89:182–185
- Dupont S, Dorey N, Stumpp M, Melzner F, Thorndyke M (2012) Long term and trans life-cycle effects of exposure to ocean acidification in the green sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*. *Mar Biol*. doi:10.1007/s00227-012-1921-x
- Fabricius KE, Langdon C, Uthicke S, Humphrey C, Noonan S, De'ath G, Okazaki R, Muehllhener N, Glas MS, Lough JM (2011) Losers and winners in coral reefs acclimatized to elevated carbon dioxide concentrations. *Nat Clim Change* 1:165–169
- Fabry VJ (2008) Marine calcifiers in a High-CO<sub>2</sub> ocean. *Science* 320:1020–1022
- Feely RA, Doney SC, Cooley SR (2009) Ocean acidification: present conditions and future changes in a high-CO<sub>2</sub> world. *Oceanography* 22:36–47
- Ferrari MCO, Dixon DL, Munday PL, McCormick MI, Meekan MG, Sih A, Chivers DP (2011a) Intrageneric variation in antipredator responses of coral reef fishes affected by ocean acidification: implications for climate change projections on marine communities. *Global Change Biol* 17:2980–2986
- Ferrari MCO, McCormick MI, Munday PL, Meekan M, Dixon DL, Lonnstedt O, Chivers D (2011b) Putting prey and predator into the CO<sub>2</sub> equation: qualitative and quantitative effects of ocean acidification on predator-prey interactions. *Ecol Lett* 14:1143–1148
- Fulton EA, Link J, Kaplan IC, Johnson P, Savina-Rolland M, Ainsworth C, Horne P, Gorton R, Gamble RJ, Smith T, Smith D (2011) Lessons in modelling and management of marine ecosystems: the Atlantis experience. *Fish Fish* 12:171–188
- Gattuso J-P, Hansson L (2011a) Ocean acidification. Oxford University Press, New York
- Gattuso J-P, Hansson L (2011b) Ocean acidification: background and history. In: Gattuso J-P, Hansson L (eds) Ocean acidification. Oxford University Press, New York, pp 1–20
- Gollier C (2002) Time horizon and the discount rate. *J Econ Theory* 107:463–473
- Green MA, Waldbusser GG, Reilly SL, Emerson K, O'Donnell S (2009) Death by dissolution: sediment saturation state as a mortality factor for juvenile bivalves. *Limnol Oceanogr* 54:1037–1047
- Gruber N (2011) Warming up, turning sour, losing breath: ocean biogeochemistry under global change. *Phil Trans R Soc A* 369:1980–1996
- Guinotte JM, Fabry VJ (2008) Ocean acidification and its potential effects on marine ecosystems. *Ann New York Acad Sci* 1134:320–342
- Hall-Spencer JM, Rodolfo-Metalpa R (2008) Loss of mediterranean marine biodiversity in a high-CO<sub>2</sub> world. In: Briand F (ed) Impacts of acidification on biological, chemical and physical systems in the mediterranean and black seas. CIESM Workshop Monographs, no 36, Monaco, pp 61–64
- Hall-Spencer JM, Rodolfo-Metalpa R, Martin S, Ransome E, Fine M, Turner SM, Rowley SJ, Tedesco D, Buia M-C (2008) Volcanic carbon dioxide vents show ecosystem effects of ocean acidification. *Nature* 454:96–99
- Hendriks IE, Duarte CM, Alvarez M (2010) Vulnerability of marine biodiversity to ocean acidification: a meta-analysis. *Estuar Coast Shelf Sci* 86:157–164
- Hofmann GE, Smith JE, Johnson KS, Send U, Levin LA, Micheli F, Paytan A, Price NN, Peterson B, Takeshita Y, Matson PG, Crook ED, Kroeker KJ, Gambi MC, Rivest EB, Frieder CA, Yu PC, Martz TR (2011) High-frequency dynamics of ocean pH: a multi-ecosystem comparison. *PLoS ONE* 6(12):e28983
- Holcomb M, McCorkle DC, Cohen AL (2010) Long-term effects of nutrient and CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on the temperate coral *Astrangia poculata* (Ellis and Solander, 1786). *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 386:27–33
- Hope C, Anderson J, Wenman P (1993) Policy analysis of the greenhouse effect: an application of the PAGE model. *Energy Policy* 21:327–338
- Hughes TP, Graham NJA, Jackson JBC, Mumby PJ, Steneck RS (2010) Rising to the challenge of sustaining coral reef resilience. *Trends Ecol Evol* 25:633–642
- Johnson VR, Brownlee C, Rickaby REM, Graziano M, Milazzo M, Hall-Spencer JM (2012) Responses of marine benthic microalgae to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Mar Biol*. doi:10.1007/s00227-011-1840-2
- Kite-Powell HL (2009) A global perspective on the economics of ocean acidification. *J Mar Educ* 25:25–29
- Klironomos JN, Allen MF, Rillig MC, Piotrowski J, Makvandi-Nejad S, Wolfe BE, Powell JR (2005) Abrupt rise in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> overestimates community response in a model plant-soil system. *Nature* 433:621–624
- Knight F (1921) Risk, uncertainty, and profit. Hart Schaffner and Marx prize essays no 31, Houghton Mifflin, New York
- Kroeker KJ, Micheli F, Gambi MC, Martz TR (2011) Divergent ecosystem responses within a benthic marine community to ocean acidification. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108:14515–14520
- Kurihara H, Matsui M, Furukawa H, Hayashi M, Ishimatsu A (2008) Long-term effects of predicted future seawater CO<sub>2</sub> conditions on the survival and growth of the marine shrimp *Palaemon pacificus*. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 367:41–46
- Lacoue-Labarthe T, Martin S, Oberhänsli F, Teysse J-L, Markich SJ, Jeffrey R, Bustamante P (2009) Effects of increased pCO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on trace element (Ag, Cd and Zn) bioaccumulation in the eggs of the common cuttlefish, *Sepia officinalis*. *Biogeosciences* 6:2561–2573
- Lacoue-Labarthe T, Reveillac E, Oberhänsli F, Teysse J-L, Jeffrey R, Gattuso J-P (2011) Effects of ocean acidification on trace element accumulation in the early life stage of squid *Loligo vulgaris*. *Aquat Toxicol* 105:166–176
- Langenbuch M, Pörtner HO (2004) High sensitivity to chronically elevated CO<sub>2</sub> levels in a eurybathic marine sipunculid. *Aquat Toxicol* 70:55–61
- Le Quéré C, Raupach MR, Canadell JG, Marland G, Bopp L, Ciais P, Conway TJ, Doney SC, Feely RA, Foster P, Friedlingstein P, Gurney K, Houghton RA, House JI, Huntingford C, Levy PE, Lomas MR, Majkut J, Metz N, Ometto JP, Peters GP, Prentice IC, Randerson JT, Running SW, Sarmiento JL, Schuster U, Sitch S, Takahashi T, Viovy N, van der Werf GR, Woodward FI (2009) Trends in the sources and sinks carbon dioxide. *Nat Geosci* 2:831–836
- Marsahll DJ, Morgan SG (2011) Ecological and evolutionary consequences of linked life-history stages in the sea. *Curr Biol* 21:R718–R725
- Mas-Colell A, Whinston MD, Green JR (1995) Microeconomic theory. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- MedSea (2012) The European mediterranean sea acidification in a changing climate (MedSeA) <http://medsea-project.eu/> Accessed 24 April 2012
- Melzner F, Stange P, Trübenbach K, Thomsen J, Casties I, Panknin U, Gorb SN, Gutowska MA (2011) Food supply and seawater pCO<sub>2</sub> impact calcification and internal shell dissolution in the blue mussel *Mytilus edulis*. *PLoS ONE* 6(9):e24223
- Merino G, Barange M, Mullon C (2010) Climate change scenarios for a marine commodity: modelling small pelagic fish, fisheries and fishmeal in a globalized market. *J Mar Syst* 81:196–205

- Metzger R, Sartoris FJ, Langenbuch M, Pörtner HO (2007) Influence of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations on thermal tolerance of the edible crab *Cancer pagurus*. *J Therm Biol* 32:144–151
- Millero FJ, Woosley R, Ditrolio B, Waters J (2009) Effect of Ocean acidification on the speciation of metals in seawater. *Oceanography* 22:72–85
- Moberg F, Folke C (1999) Ecological goods and services of coral reef ecosystems. *Ecol Econ* 29:215–233
- Munday PL, Dixon DL, McCormick MI, Meekan M, Ferrari MC, Chivers DP (2010) Replenishment of fish populations is threatened by ocean acidification. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107:12930–12934
- Narita D, Rehdanz K, Tol RSJ (2011) Economic costs of ocean acidification: a look into the impact on shellfish production. Paper WP391, Economic and Social Research Institute (ESRI), Kiel University
- Nilsson GE, Dixon DL, Domenici P, McCormick MI, Sørensen C, Watson S-A, Munday PL (2012) Near-future CO<sub>2</sub> levels alter fish behaviour by interfering with neurotransmitter function. *Nat Clim Change* 2:201–204
- Nordhaus WD (1994) *Managing the global commons: the economics of climate change*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA and London
- OECD (2008) In: Agrawala S, Frankhauser S (eds) *Economic aspects of adaptation to climate change: costs, benefits and policy instruments*. OCDE, Paris
- Orr JC, Fabry VJ, Aumont O, Bopp L, Doney SC, Feely RA, Gnanadesikan A, Gruber N, Ishida A, Joos F, Key RM, Lindsay K, Maier-Reimer E, Matear R, Monfray P, Mouchet A, Najjar RG, Plattner G-K, Rodgers KB, Sabine CL, Sarmiento JL, Schlitzer R, Slater RD, Totterdell IJ, Weirig M-F, Yamanaka Y, Yool A (2005) Anthropogenic ocean acidification over the twenty-first century and its impact on calcifying organisms. *Nature* 437:681–686
- Paltsev S, Reilly JM, Jacoby HD, Morris JF (2009) The cost of climate policy in the United States. *Ener Econ* 31(Suppl 2): S235–S243
- Parker LM, Ross PM, O'Connor WA (2011) Populations of the Sydney rock oyster, *Saccostrea glomerata*, vary in response to ocean acidification. *Mar Biol* 158:689–697
- Parker LM, Ross PM, O'Connor WA, Borysko L, Raftos DA, Pörtner H-O (2012) Adult exposure influences offspring response to ocean acidification in oysters. *Glob Change Biol* 18:82–92
- Pascal PY, Fleeger JW, Galvez F, Carman KR (2010) The toxicological interaction between ocean acidity and metals in coastal meiobenthic copepods. *Mar Pollut Bull* 60:2201–2208
- Pörtner HO, Farrell AP (2008) Physiology and climate change. *Science* 322:690–692
- Raven J, Caldeira K, Elderfield H, Hoegh-Guldberg O, Liss P, Riebesell U, Shepherd J, Turley C, Watson A (2005) Ocean acidification due to increasing atmospheric carbon dioxide. Policy document 12/05, The Royal Society
- Reynaud S, Leclercq N, Romaine-Lioud S, Ferrier-Pagès C, Jaubert J, Gattuso J-P (2003) Interacting effects of CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure and temperature on photosynthesis and calcification in a scleractinian coral. *Glob Change Biol* 9:1660–1668
- Riebesell U, Tortell PD (2011) Effects of ocean acidification on pelagic organisms and ecosystems. In: Gattuso J-P, Hansson L (eds) *Ocean acidification*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp 99–121
- Ries JB, Cohen AL, McCorkle DC (2009) Marine calcifiers exhibit mixed responses to CO<sub>2</sub>-induced ocean acidification. *Geol Soc Am* 37:1131–1134
- Rodolfo-Metalpa R, Houlbrèque F, Tambutté É, Boisson F, Bagгинi C, Patti FP, Jeffree R, Foggo A, Gattuso J-P, Hall-Spencer JM (2011) Coral and mollusc resistance to ocean acidification adversely affected by warming. *Nat Clim Change* 1:308–312
- Rossoll D, Bermúdez R, Hauss H, Schulz KG, Riebesell U, Sommer U, Winder M (2012) Ocean acidification-induced food quality deterioration constrains trophic transfer. *PLoS ONE* 7(4):e34737
- Russell BD, Harley CDG, Wernberg T, Mieszkowska N, Widdicombe S, Hall-Spencer JM, Connell SD (2011) Predicting ecosystem shifts requires new approaches that integrate the effects of climate change across entire systems. *Biol Lett*. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2011.0779
- Sabine CL, Feely RA, Gruber N, Key RM, Lee K, Bullister JL, Wanninkhof R, Wong CS, Wallace WR, Tilbrook B, Millero FJ, Peng T-H, Kozyr A, Ono T, Rios AF (2004) The oceanic sink for anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub>. *Science* 305:367–371
- Samonte G, Suman D, Mate J, Quiroga D, Mena C, Catzim-Sanchez A, Fong P, Wang X (2012) Governance is critical to managing coastal and marine resources: Effects of Marine Management Areas. In: Nunes PALD, Kumar P, Dedeurwaerdere T (eds) *Handbook of biodiversity and ecosystem services economics and management*. Edward Elgar, UK
- Schulz KG, Bellerby RGJ, Engel A, Gattuso J-P, Hessen DO, Klavnsen S, Krug SA, Nondal G, Silyakova A, Riebesell U, KOSMOS Team (2011) Organic matter dynamics and CO<sub>2</sub> responses of the 2010 Svalbard mesocosm experiment. In: *Geophysical Research Abstracts, EGU General Assembly (2011) 03–08/04/2011*. EGU, Vienna, Austria
- Shaw EC, McNeil BI, Tilbrook B (2012) Impacts of ocean acidification in naturally variable coral reef flat ecosystems. *J. Geophys Rese-Oceans* 117. doi:10.1029/2011JC007655
- Shirayama Y, Thornton H (2005) Effect of increased atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on shallow water marine benthos. *J Geophys Res* 110(C9): C09S08.1–C09S08.7
- Small D, Calosi P, White D, Spicer JI, Widdicombe S (2010) Impact of medium-term exposure to CO<sub>2</sub> enriched seawater on the physiological functions of the velvet swimming crab *Necora puber*. *Aquat Biol* 10:11–21
- Spicer JI, Raffo A, Widdicombe S (2007) Influence of CO<sub>2</sub>-related sea water acidification on extracellular acid-base balance in velvet fiddler crab *Necora puber*. *Mar Biol* 151:1117–1125
- Sunday JM, Crim RN, Harley CDG, Hart MW (2011) Quantifying rates of evolutionary adaptation in response to ocean acidification. *PLoS ONE* 6:e22881
- Thomsen J, Gutowska MA, Saphörster J, Heinemann A, Trübenbach K, Fietzke J, Hiebenthal C, Eisenhauer A, Körtzinger A, Wahl M, Melzner F (2010) Calcifying invertebrates succeed in a naturally CO<sub>2</sub>-rich coastal habitat but are threatened by high levels of future acidification. *Biogeosciences* 7:3879–3891
- Tol RSJ (2002) Welfare specifications and optimal control of climate change: an application of fund. *Energ Econ* 24:367–376
- Tol RSJ (2010) The economic impact of climate change. *Perspek. Wirtschaftspolitik* 11(s1):13–37
- Troedsson C, Bouquet JM, Lobon Rius C, Novac A, Netstgaard J, Dupont S, Bosak S, Jakobsen H, Romanova N, Isal A, Dutz J, Sazhin A, Thompson EM (in press) Effects of ocean acidification and temperature on the appendicularian *Oikopleura dioica*: a mesocosm study. *Mar Biol*
- Turley C, Boot K (2010) Environmental consequence of ocean acidification: a threat to food security. *UNEP emerging issues bulletin*. [http://www.unep.org/dewa/pdf/Environmental\\_Consequences\\_of\\_Ocean\\_Acidification.pdf](http://www.unep.org/dewa/pdf/Environmental_Consequences_of_Ocean_Acidification.pdf)
- Turley C, Boot K (2011) The ocean acidification challenges facing science and society. In: Gattuso J-P, Hansson L (eds) *Ocean acidification*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp 249–271
- Turley C, Gattuso J-P (2012) Future biological and ecosystem impacts of ocean acidification and their socioeconomic-policy implications. *Curr Opin Environ Sustain* 4:1–9
- Varian H (1992) *Microeconomic analysis*. 3rd edn. Norton

- Veron JEN (2008) Mass extinctions and ocean acidification: biological constraints on geological dilemmas. *Coral Reefs* 27:459–472
- Vizzini S, Tomasello A, Maida GD, Pirrotta M, Mazzola A, Calvo S (2010) Effect of explosive shallow hydrothermal vents on  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and growth performance in the seagrass *Posidonia oceanica*. *J Ecol* 98:1284–1291
- Wam HK (2010) Economists, time to team up with ecologists! *Ecol Econom* 69:675–679
- Williamson P, Turley C (2012) Ocean acidification in a geoengineering context. *Phil Trans R Soc A* 370(1974):4317–4342. doi: [10.1098/rsta.2012.0167](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2012.0167)