

Forgotten Perpetrators: Photographs of Female Perpetrators after WWII

Andrea Pető

▶ To cite this version:

Andrea Pető. Forgotten Perpetrators: Photographs of Female Perpetrators after WWII. Ayşe Gül Altınay; Andrea Pető. Gendered Wars, Gendered Memories. Feminist Conversations on War, Genocide and Political Violence, Routledge, pp.203-217, 2016, 9781138616615. hal-03204919

HAL Id: hal-03204919

https://hal.science/hal-03204919

Submitted on 21 Apr 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Chapter 10

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

34

35

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

Forgotten Perpetrators: Photographs of Female Perpetrators after WWII

Andrea Pető

9 10 11

34

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

12 In January 2007, the United States Holocaust Memorial Museum Archives received 13 a donation of a photograph album with the inscription "Auschwitz 21.6.1944" 14 consisting of Karl Höcker's photographs of his service years in Auschwitz. In 15 Höcker's Auschwitz album there are no photographs of prisoners, unlike in the 16 parallel Auschwitz Album donated by Lili Jacob in 1983 to Yad Vashem, which 17 aimed to demonstrate how inmates were handled there. The photographs of the 18 Höcker Album show female guards at Auschwitz enjoying themselves while 19 off duty. This series of photographs stimulated a new debate around how under-20 theorized the research on female perpetrators is. The surprise and uneasiness 21 surrounding the Höcker album reflected the fact that the presence of women among 22 the camp guards is a rarely discussed element in historiography, and where it has 23 been discussed, the women are usually framed as beasts, not as normal, diligent, 24 reliable workers who love to have fun while off duty. This discussion spots a void 25 in the literature on political violence, namely the portrayal of female perpetrators.¹ 26 Recent research has tried to map the participation of women at every level of 26 27 the Nazi state, not only focusing on concentration camp guards and wives.² The 28 representational deficit, namely that no woman is a part of the visual canon of 28 29 Nazi Germany other than the "beasts" and the wives ("Women of the Nazis"), has 30 several political consequences. This chapter analyzes this representational deficit 31 in an even more complex setting—post-WWII Hungary, which has yet to face 32 the complicity and active participation of the Hungarian state in the killing of 33 its citizens. This deficit is even timelier to investigate as Hungarian public life

9781472442857_Altinay.indb 203 10/20/2015 11:58:41 AM

[&]quot;Verdrängte Täterinnen. Frauen im Apparat der SS (1939–1945)," in *Nach Osten. Verdeckte Spuren nationalsozialistischer Verbrechen*, ed. Theresa Wobbe (Frankfurt: Verlag Neue Kritik, 1992), 197–227.

² Elizabeth Harvey,"Management and Manipulation: Nazi Settlement Planners 42 and Ethnic German Settlers in Occupied Poland," in *Settler Colonialism in the Twentieth* 43 *Century: Projects, Practices, Legacies*, eds. Caroline Elkins and Susan Pedersen (New 44 York: Routledge, 2005), 95–112.

1 was shaken at the time of the 2010 parliamentary election when 17 percent of 2 the popular vote was won by a far-right party that shows continuity—in terms of symbology and rhetoric—with the right-wing extremist party of the pre-1945 4 period. The latter, the Arrow Cross Party, was in part responsible for Hungary's 5 defeat in World War II and for the death of half a million of the country's Jewish 6 citizens. The period that began with the political changes of 1989 and culminated in the victory of the right wing in the 2010 election has seen a gradual questioning of earlier anti-fascist historical interpretations and the incipient rehabilitation of 9 the pre-World War II era. The collapse of communism in 1989 reopened the public 10 debate on anti-fascism, and history in Eastern Europe, has become an unfinished 11 history. This chapter, as part of a larger work examining transitional justice in the 12 post-World War II period from a feminist gendered perspective, analyzes how this past—divided and unfinished in terms of (the role of) the perpetrators—is shaped by, and in return shapes, the visual representation. I examine the paradox that 15 although photography and film were already well established in Hungary by the outbreak of World War II, very few photographs of extremist right-wing women 17 engaged in political activity during the war are to be found in the accessible 18 public collections.³ Why are such pictures missing from the public collections 19 and from newspaper reports on the political justice process after World War 20 II? Why was there a failure to document female war criminals in a country in 21 which, only a few years earlier (in a two-month period in 1944), 460,000 Jews 22 had been transported to concentrations camps (mostly to Auschwitz) and where 10 to 30 percent of Hungarian women had given their support to the Arrow Cross 24 Party? Why are women, who constituted 10 percent of war criminals sentenced 25 in the aftermath of World War II, absent from the photographs? Why were the 26 female executees erased from historical memory, even though Hungary—among all the countries formerly allied with Germany—carried out the highest number 28 of female executions following people's court trials? Even where photos of the women do exist, they tend to be hidden away in uncataloged archive boxes or inaccessible private collections. How did these photographs become forgotten 31 pictures? What is the relationship between the failure to remember and Hungary's

3 Photo Archives of the Hungarian National Museum, Police History Museum (Budapest), Hungarian Museum of Photography (Kecskemét), Getty Collection, Photo Archives of the Hungarian News Agency.

9781472442857_Altinay.indb 204

32

33

34

35

37

38

40

41

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

27

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

⁴ The wide range is due to two factors: regional differences (in some areas membership was 30 percent, in others it was closer to 10 percent) and uncertainty in numbers as membership files have not been made available to me. Andrea Pető, "Arrow Cross Women and Female Informants," *Baltic Worlds* 3–4 (2009): 48–52.

⁵ The number of executed women in Hungary is seven.

^{42 6} Andrea Pető, "Who is Afraid of the 'Ugly Women'? Problems of Writing 43 Biographies of Nazi and Fascist Women in Countries of the Former Soviet Block," *Journal* 44 of Women's History 4 (2009): 147–151.

2

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

1 divided memory of World War II, and how is this relationship shaped by gender? 2 How has this situation been altered by the Internet and the publication of these 3 formerly "forgotten" pictures (showing right-wing extremist women and female 4 perpetrators) by today's far-right websites?

5

Absent Female War Criminals: The Reasons for the Representational Deficit

9 There are several reasons for the representational deficit in collective memory 10 as far as female perpetrators are concerned. One reason—as has been shown in 11 various works on the political role of far-right women—is the general invisibility 12 of women in the right-wing extremist movements: a manifestation of this was 13 that women's activities in political life were rarely photographed. Women were 14 typically marginalized in politics, and in this respect the extremist right-wing 15 political parties were no exception. Examining the photos that have been found, 16 we see only secretaries or shorthand recorders.8

Yet there were, in the Arrow Cross Party, several charismatic female leaders, 18 of whom there are no surviving photographs. Many women worked in the 19 extremist right-wing parties both in peacetime and during the war; as in other 20 party apparatuses they tended to be employed in administrative positions. The 21 formality of party life is manifest in the group photo taken at the time of a visit to 22 Kassa (today Kosice, Slovakia) by Ferenc Szálasi, the Arrow Cross leader¹⁰ The 23 photograph of members of the Arrow Cross women's organization celebrating St 24 Francis' Day follows the usual iconography. 11

The Arrow Cross Party seized power in Hungary on October 15, 1944, 26 following Horthy's unsuccessful attempt to exit the war. At the time the Red Army 27 had already reached the country's eastern borders. Arrow Cross rule was brutal 28 and short-lived (lasting barely six months). If we look at a photo taken during 29 an Arrow Cross congress at the House of Loyalty (the party's headquarters), we 30 find—among the many men—Mrs. Thoma and Mrs. Dücső, two rival Arrow Cross 31 females leaders, who are seated far apart (Figure 10.1).¹²

32 33

36

39

40

9781472442857_Altinay.indb 205

³⁴ 7 Andrea Pető and Klaartje Schrijvers, "Introduction," in Faces of Death. Visualising 35 History (Pisa: Edizioni Plus, Pisa University Press, 2009), xi-xix.

⁸ Klára Kovács, secretary of Szálasi keeps the minutes, Getty Collection, 508770000, 37 and Meeting of the Great Arrow Cross Council at the House of Loyalty, Photo Archives of the Hungarian National Museum, 1489–1954. Interestingly this latter photo was published on www.suttogo.hu without the secretaries.

⁹ József Varga and Mrs. Károly Kis, www.suttogo.hu, Meeting, www.suttogo.hu,

¹⁰ Szálasi visits the women's section in Kassa, Vojtech Kárpáty private collection.

⁴¹ The party celebrates the name day of Ferenc in the 9th district of Budapest in 1940, www.suttogo.hu.

¹² Meeting at the House of Loyalty, Photo Archives of the Hungarian National 44 Museum, 1511–1954.

22

23

24 25

33

40

41

42

43

44



Figure 10.1 Meeting at the House of Loyalty. Photo Archives of the Hungarian National Museum, 1511–1954

This is the only surviving photograph of the two women. The uniformed 26 women serve as illustrations in the life of the extremist right-wing party, and the attractiveness and photogenic appearance also plays a role in selecting female activists for public meetings.¹³ Of course, wives are also present in the photographs; they embody the middle-class ideal and are portrayed as loyal supporters of their husbands, who hold important positions in the party. An example is the wife of Kálmán Hubay, who was leader of the Arrow Cross Party during Szálasi's prison years and who became Minister of Culture under Arrow Cross rule.¹⁴

21

22

23 24

25

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

The second reason for why few photos have survived is that the 1940s was the era of iconoclasm. Although I examined many hundreds of files created by the people's 35 tribunals, I found not a single photograph: photographs were not used as evidence. A crucial scene in Costa-Gavras's film *Music Box* is when the music box starts to produce self-documentary images of the atrocities perpetrated by the Arrow Cross in Budapest. I found no such pictures in the people's tribunal files or in museum collections. This raises questions about the relationship between the tribunal and photographs as evidence. The surviving photographs do not document the criminal

9781472442857_Altinay.indb 206 10/20/2015 11:58:41 AM

János Salló at the opening of the exhibition of the National Front in 1939, Getty 13 Collection, 50440527.

Kálmán Hubay and his wife, www.suttogo.hu, originally from Getty Collection.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

1 acts as such, but instead they document the justice process. This supports the 2 hypothesis that the people's tribunals played a key role in establishing memory of 3 the war.¹⁵ Even where a person did take a picture of an atrocity, he or she would 4 normally destroy it—out of fear of being held responsible. Thus the most important 5 attribute of a photograph—its evidential power stemming from synchronicity—was 6 lost. The Hungarian Museum of Photography in Kecskemét has collected private 7 photographs from this period. It was at the Museum that I discovered a private photo 8 of a woman in military clothing posing in her garden, which shows that women too 9 were affected by the power (and security) of a uniform. The picture was not taken 10 for a wider public, and it is only now that it has entered the public domain. 16 But as 11 in the case of the other pictures, we do not know who took it.

12 The third reason for the scarcity of photos is the dominant anti-fascist discourse 13 of the post-1945 period, which left no space for them, thus making it impossible to 14 share memories or to illustrate them. It is no accident that the photos analyzed here 15 have come from private collections and are now being used to document "history" 16 as interpreted by the far-right website Suttogό [Whisperer]. The website's name 17 reflects its founders' perception that "true" knowledge can only be whispered—as 18 the dominant anti-fascist discourse silences "true patriots." The photos that we 19 have analyzed establish a special social time, for these pictures were not part of 20 the public discourse and were "overseen" during the post-World War II period. 21 The significance of the content of the photographs changes continuously, for it was 22 only after 1989 and the advent of the Internet that *Suttogó*, the far-right website, 23 made what had been family photos accessible to the public.

25 26 Women in Photographs Showing the Political Justice System in Operation

28 The other half of the excavated photographic sources relate to the participation of 29 women in the people's tribunals. The portrait of Gizella Lutz, the wife of Szálasi, 30 was made at no. 60 Andrássy Street, the headquarters of the powerful Department 31 of State Protection (Figure 10.2). It would seem that the powerful head of this 32 department, Gábor Péter, made great efforts to ensure that the interrogation of war 33 criminals was documented. Thus, sources pertaining to this process are abundant. 17

It was here, at the Department of State Protection or secret police headquarters, 35 that during his interrogation Szálasi showed to the camera an Arrow Cross 36 handkerchief that had been embroidered by members of the Arrow Cross women's 37 movement; this illustrates the contradictory relationship of the Arrow Cross

24

27

34

38

39

43

⁴⁰ 15 Andrea Pető, "Problems of Transitional Justice in Hungary. An Analysis of the People's Tribunals in Post-War Hungary and the Treatment of Female Perpetrators," Zeitgeschichte 3 (2007): 335–349.

Woman in Uniform, Hungarian Museum of Photography, Kecskemét, 0144063.

Portrait of the wife of Szálasi, Photo Archives of the Hungarian National Museum.

22

23

27

29

37

38

39

40

41

42

43



Figure 10.2 Portrait of the wife of Szálasi. Photo Archives of the Hungarian 20 National Museum

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

31

32

33

34

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

24 women's movement to female work; as I demonstrated previously, embroidery 25 was of no interest to most of the Arrow Cross's female members. 18

Many photos of the people's tribunal trials have survived—a significant number of which were taken by private individuals. Based on the documentation, 28 many women attended the trials (Figure 10.3).¹⁹

Many men were still being held as prisoners-of-war, while others were 30 working. The documentation of crimes by means of official photographs helped the people's courts to reach their goal of "searing" into citizens' consciousness 32 what is good (Figure 10.4).²⁰ For this reason, the Hungarian daily newspapers and 33 newsreels carried an abundance of pictures of war criminals under prosecution, all of whom were male. A photograph of the exhumation of a site in Maros Street 35 is the only one to show a woman: apparently, she had taken an active part in the 36 murder of patients at the Jewish hospital.

9781472442857_Altinay.indb 208 10/20/2015 11:58:41 AM

¹⁸ Szálasi at no. 60 Andrássy Street; during his interrogation he shows a handkerchief made for him by women in the party, Photo Archives of the Hungarian News Agency, FMAFI 1945-34036.

¹⁹ Women participating as audience at the people's tribunal, Photo Archives of the Hungarian National Museum, 64–730.

²⁰ Massacre in Maros utca, Photo Archives of the Hungarian National Museum, 44 00002916.

21

43

44



20 Figure 10.3 Women participating as audience at the people's tribunal. Photo Archives of the Hungarian National Museum 64–730

21



43 Figure 10.4 Massacre in Maros utca. Photo Archives of the Hungarian 44 National Museum, 00002916

10/20/2015 11:58:41 AM 9781472442857_Altinay.indb 209



Figure 10.5 Manci. Photo Archives of the Hungarian National Museum, 83–766

9781472442857_Altinay.indb 210 10/20/2015 11:58:42 AM

As far as our research is concerned, the most interesting collection is held by 2 the Police History Museum in Budapest. Not only do we receive a glimpse of the 3 hot and stuffy atmosphere of the people's tribunal trials, but also we get closer 4 to the women perpetrators, for they receive "faces." The collection consists of 5 photographs commissioned by the police to document the process of the trials. 6 Here we return to the traditional documentary function of the photograph to 7 document what actually has happened. The women brought before the people's 8 tribunal, whose trials were held in the university auditorium or who awaited their 9 fate while sat on the narrow benches of the accused, were "unrecognized social 10 actors" until the discovery of the photos. We do not know who took the photos: the 11 crime reporter, a family member of one of the victims, or someone else. Evidently, 12 however, the photographs found their way into the collection of the Police History 13 Museum, and in this way these private photos became community photos, serving 14 as illustrations of the discourse on female war criminals.

The most important picture as far as this chapter is concerned is found in the 16 photographic archives of the Hungarian National Museum among photographs 17 relating to the people's court; it is entitled "Manci" (Figure 10.5).²²

If we manage to overcome our surprise that in recent decades not one 19 researcher bothered to change this sexist title—for to my knowledge none of the 20 executed female war criminals was called Margit (and Manci is a nickname for 21 Margit)—we can then analyze the picture as a metonymy. This does not mean 22 what it was in the past, but what it remains even today—a part of our everyday 23 lives. In this way we close the gap between then and now. If we interpret the 24 picture in this manner, the photograph receives a *presence* rather than a *meaning*. 25 Its presence in the collection in a non-catalogued and marginalized way is the 26 historical fact, not the meaning which is difficult to attribute as basic information 27 is missing. My purpose, here, is to present the current presence/existence of the 28 past and to reveal those points that are, in an unfinished and unprocessed manner, a 29 part of our present reality. Although seven women were executed as war criminals 30 in Hungary, we have only a single photograph of a female execution. The photo 31 seems to show one of the seven women. We may think we know which one, but it 32 is not the *name* but the *absence of a name* that must be the subject of this research.

It is disturbing to look at this picture.²³ Thus, it is important to bear in mind Liebman's methodological consideration, which he referred to as "double vision."²⁴ Liebman also argues that when viewing photos of an execution, the researcher is

²¹ The trial of Balogh, Police History Museum (Budapest), 385.

² Manci, Photo Archives of the Hungarian National Museum, 83–766.

²³ For an analysis of photos documenting the execution of war criminals, see Andrea Pető, "Death and the Picture. Representation of War Criminals and Construction of Divided Memory about WWII in Hungary," in *Faces of Death. Visualising History*, eds. Andrea Pető and Klaaertje Schrijvers, 39–57.

^{43 24} Janet Liebman, "Women, Genocide and Memory. The Ethics of Feminist 44 Ethnography in Holocaust Research," *Gender and Society* 18 (2004): 223–238.

20

21

both a witness of the event and a historian collecting qualitative material. The other 2 methodological challenge is that if we know that history is written by the victors, 3 then we may also suppose that the victors are the ones photographing as a tool of 4 dominating narratives about the events. At the same time, this picture had been 5 hidden in the "miscellaneous" box of the National Museum until I found it and decided to publish it. We must ask ourselves what will be the impact of publishing this picture: Will it help to make truth part of consciousness by showing how those women that reported on Jews or stole Jewish property were subsequently punished as this was the major crime which brought women to justice?

Concerning the analysis of visual sources, Perlmutter established the criteria on which basis such sources are established, accepted and interpreted.²⁵ The function 12 of a photograph is to present an event, and in doing so it shapes popular memory. A great many official and private photographs were taken of war criminals, but only 14 one of them—an undated photo—shows the execution of a woman. Looking at old 15 photographs is a part of the "processing" (Verarbeitung) of the past, and so the fact 16 that the photo of "Manci" has remained invisible until now is important. According 17 to Barthes, a photograph has no meaning of itself, and it is only in dialogue with 18 other sources that a meaning emerges. ²⁶ For this reason, we need to determine why the female perpetrators were forgotten. Were they forgotten because of the lack of a dialogue or because of the lack of a framework for such a dialogue?

We may also analyze the picture from an iconographic standpoint. The 22 photographer was facing the woman; as she awaited her execution on the gallows, an executed corpse covered in a sheet lay alongside her. We see no other onlooker, 24 which is unusual, as executions were generally attended by large numbers of people. The aim or task of the photograph's maker was apparently to document the 26 carrying-out of the sentence. Foucault linked the notions of gaze and power, for disciplinary power also operates using visual means. A public execution is a means of this. At the same time, "visual truth" does not always accord with the truth of 29 the justice system, for in the picture we see a fragile woman in clean but modest clothing: she seems almost to be preparing for martyrdom. The various cultures of memory clash when it comes to interpreting the picture. Visual memory of 32 the execution of war criminals in Hungary has portrayed them as martyrs, and 33 the execution of "Manci" is no exception.²⁷ This was not so in the case of the photographs depicting the men executed at Nuremberg. The iconography used in 35 Hungary, however, undermines the anti-fascist discourse in which the executions took place. As early as 1764, Cesare Beccaria argued that execution is the state's weapon against uncooperative individuals.²⁸ But if pictures of an executed person

38

43

44

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

27

30

31

33

34

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

³⁹ David Perlmutter, "Visual Historical Method Problems, Prospects, Applications," 40 Historical Method 4 (1994): 167–184.

⁴¹ 26 Roland Barthes, "Rhetoric of Image," in *The Visual Culture Reader*, ed. Nicholas 42 Mirzoeff (New York: Routledge, 2002), 70-73.

Pető, "Death and the Picture. Representation of War Criminals," 39–57.

Cesare Beccaria, Dei delitti e delle pene, (1764).

1 evoke feelings of sympathy and sorrow in viewers, then the execution has not 2 fulfilled the disciplinary function anticipated by the state. On seeing the photo, 3 many people will wonder why the woman's cardigan was the main concern of 4 the man supervising the execution, given that the woman would be dead within 5 minutes. It is this humane gesture that renders "Manci" first and foremost a victim, 6 whereby the crimes she committed were left to fade into oblivion. Not only is 7 the female war criminal forgotten, but also the crime she has committed; in this 8 way the true victims become invisible in history. For researchers of this period, 9 sites and modes of silencing are becoming a historical fact itself which should be 10 analyzed while explaining how gendered memory of WWII has been constructed.

It was the "new cultural history" school that in its methodology turned away 12 from the idea of visual sources of history as the documentation of reality and 13 which focused instead on representation.²⁹ Photographs may be analyzed not only 14 as descriptive means for the documentation of historical truth but also as a visual 15 discourse that tells the story of the visual representation of right-wing extremist 16 women. The photographs are determined by absence: there are no pictures, or 17 if there are some, we do not know who took them and what they depict. Based 18 on Carol Zemel's theoretical approach, the photos are emblems of the past.³⁰ 19 Unknown, forgotten and absent photos belong just as much to the documentary 20 function of photographs as to the emblematic function. Why did the producers 21 of the photographs choose this kind of representational form, if their goal was to 22 preserve the subject of the photograph for collective memory? The question of 23 what and who are chosen to be photographed receives a political relevance as this 24 is a process of building up an archive of the past for future generations to read and 25 interpret. The photograph is mediating the past to us, and therefore the framing 26 of the photo and the accessibility of the photo are crucial issues. The photographs 27 published here were intended to be forgotten; no one thought that—by means of 28 this chapter too—they would become iconic pictures.

31 The "Memory Boom" and Photographs of the Perpetrators

33 Historians have at their disposal not only written sources, but also—from the mid-34 twentieth century onwards—visual sources, the use of which requires a special 35 methodology. According to Manovich, the distinct borders between production 36 and consumption have been blurred by the new media.³¹ This means that those who

²⁹ Peter Burke, *Eyewitnessing. The Uses of Images as Historical Evidence* (London: Reaktion Books, 2001); Natalie Zemon Davis, *Slaves on Screen. Film and Historical Vision* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2002).

³⁰ Carol Zemel, "Emblems of Atrocity. Holocaust Liberation Photographs," in *Image and Remembrance. Representation and the Holocaust*, eds. Shelley Hornstein and Florence Jacobowitz (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2003), 201–219.

³¹ Lev Manovichi, The Language of New Media (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2001).

1 took the photograph of Szálasi's visit to Kassa (Kosice) and preserved it for decades 2 under difficult circumstances in a private collection in communist Czechoslovakia 3 or those who published—15 years after the collapse of communism—a group 4 photograph of smiling Arrow Cross party workers, not only produced a picture 5 but also contributed to the creation of a new knowledge and a new memory. This 6 is why the increasing number of far-right websites is so crucial to the memory 7 boom after 1989, for they opened, to a wider audience, locations of memory that 8 had previously been closed or private. Their aim was to emancipate their own 9 history, to make it possible to tell and represent their history—which had not been 10 an option before.

A photograph also signifies a memory space in which counter-memories can 12 be formed, for photographs represent a repeat socialization: we are likely to look at a photograph more than once. As time passes, the memories associated with a photograph will change, but the location and occasion of remembrance will be the viewing of the picture. This is possible in various visual narrative modes, whereby opportunities arise for injustices to be exposed from the perspective of 17 the subjective historical actor. Photographs have no meaning in themselves, but 18 they acquire meaning within the narrative of the interpretative framework.³² In 1945 and after 1989, similar changes occurred in the narrative frame; a space 20 was created for the reinterpretation of the lives and deeds of those accused by the people's tribunals. The spaces communicate with each other, for when previously "unknown"—that is, unpublished—photographs of Arrow Cross members or supporters were published, they immediately found their way to the far-right "Hungarista" website. This is why I stress the need for a reverse process, whereby photos of the far-right movement that have been lurking in private collections and whose owners have uploaded them to the Suttogó [Whisperer] website should find their place in the mainstream of historical criticism, thereby establishing a much-needed dialogue on our evaluation of the past.

When analyzing photographs, we should take four criteria into consideration: the material of the photo, its selection, provisionality and authenticity. ³³ Concerning the material of the photographs: the pictures showing the "Arrow Cross women" are preserved as prints in the museums. In the collection of the Hungarian News Agency (MTI), the negatives—the originals—are also present, as the photographs are stills captured from newsreels or the roll of film came directly from the photographer. Aware of historical trends, *Life* magazine hired a photographer to produce pictures of emerging right-wing extremist politicians in the interwar period and then, for a substantial sum, had the negatives enlarged. The first group of photographs published here were stored in an unsystematic miscellaneous box for decades, and they had never been cataloged. Photographs documenting the people's tribunals are somewhat more ordered and were correctly labeled "miscellaneous photographs of the people's tribunals."

^{43 32} Pető, "Death and the Picture. Representation of War Criminals," 39–57.

³³ Pető and Schrijvers, "Introduction," xi-xix.

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

I have already referred to the selection aspects (what factors determine whether 2 a picture will be forgotten or become iconic). But a further aspect must also be 3 noted, namely the issue of their mass availability. The photographs became widely 4 available when they were published by such press outlets as *Life* magazine, whose 5 archive is now managed by Getty Images. When the photographs belonging to 6 Getty Images became researchable online, the material relating to the Hungarista 7 movement immediately appeared on the Suttogó [Whisperer] website, thereby 8 creating a cycle in which the representations "return" to the representational 9 milieu. A special feature of this cycle is that the period between its two extremes is 10 the era of both World War II and the Cold War, as well as the transition following 11 the collapse of communism. Yet the pictures are uploaded to the site without any 12 critical reflection; it is as if 70 years had never even passed.

The provisionality of a photograph has two dimensions: the survival of the 13 14 photo and its internal provisionality. Photographs transform reality into something 15 memorable. As soon as the exposure button on a camera is pressed, the present 16 becomes the past, a "frozen memory" and a privileged representation. Thereafter 17 the object of the photographs is lost; it is only present in the form of a memory. 18 This past is an unfinished past, which creates parallel pasts in viewers. A regular 19 visitor to the Suttogó [Whisperer] website and a historian will look differently 20 at a picture. For both of them the process of canonizational interpretation is 21 underway, even if the interpretation occurs along opposing value axes. The 22 question concerns the monopoly of interpretation: who has the right to say what 23 we (should) see in the photo. Thus, returning to the main question, that of the 24 divided memory of the war, we can state that the interpretation of photographs 25 has also contributed to the development of a divided memory. An important part 26 of World War II history—female perpetrators—became invisible in collective 27 memory, owing to the absence of visual representation. However, the special 28 features of the photographic genre mean that it also offers an opportunity to go 29 beyond this division. In this way, groups of alternative collective memories are 30 established—which, over time, strive for political representation. Susan Sontag 31 claimed that "Photographs of atrocity illustrate as well as corroborate." ³⁴ In the 32 case of photos about female perpetrators it depends on who is looking at these 33 types of photos while corroborating different claims. The process of viewing 34 might silence some other photos, which is the same selection process as the one 35 used in the case of selecting texts as traditional historical sources. The difference 36 is that as Sontag pointed out: "The problem is not that people remember through 37 photographs, but that they remember only the photograph."35 When certain photos 38 are remembered, the people portrayed in those photos gain frozen, iconic visual 39 status. In the case of the two Auschwitz Albums, the female guards enjoying 40 their break have also become a part of the Holocaust imagery, urging a rethink 41 of the relationship between gender and the Holocaust. In the case of Hungary, 42

9781472442857_Altinay.indb 215

^{43 34} Susan Sontag, Regarding the Pain of the Other (New York: Picador, 1993), 84.

^{44 35} Ibid., 89.

1	my research searching for the visual representation of female perpetrators might	1
2	contribute to a further questioning of the anti-fascist framework, and this seems to	2
3	be too high a price for a feminist work to pay.	3
4		4
5		5
6	References	6
7		7
8	Printed Primary Sources	8
9	·	9
10	Photo Archives of the Hungarian National Museum, Police History Museum	10
11	(Budapest), Hungarian Museum of Photography (Kecskemét), Getty	11
12	Collection, Photo Archives of the Hungarian News Agency.	12
13		13
14	Secondary Sources:	14
15		15
16	Barthes, Roland. "Rhetoric of Image." In The Visual Culture Reader, edited by	16
17	Nicholas Mirzoeff, 135–139. New York: Routledge, 2002.	17
18		18
19	Burke, Peter. Eyewitnessing. The Uses of Images as Historical Evidence. London:	19
20	Reaktion Books, 2001.	20
21	Harvey, Elizabeth. "Management and Manipulation: Nazi Settlement Planners and	21
22	Ethnic German Settlers in Occupied Poland." In Settler Colonialism in the	22
23	Twentieth Century: Projects, Practices, Legacies, edited by Caroline Elkins	23
24	and Susan Pedersen, 95–112. New York: Routledge, 2005.	24
25	Kretzer, Anette. NS-Täterschaft und Geschlecht. Der erste britische Ravensbrück-	25
26	Prozess 1946/47 in Hamburg. Berlin: Metropol Verlag, 2009.	26
27	Liebman, Janet. "Women, Genocide and Memory. The Ethics of Feminist	27
28	Ethnography in Holocaust Research." Gender and Society 18 (2004): 223–238.	28
29	Manovich, Lev. <i>The Language of New Media</i> . Cambridge, MT: MIT Press, 2001.	29
30	Perlmutter, David. "Visual Historical Method Problems, Prospects, Applications."	30
31	Historical methods 4 (1994): 167–184.	31
32	Petö, Andrea. "Arrow Cross Women and Female Informants." Baltic Worlds 3-4	32
33	(2009): 48–52.	33
34	Petö, Andrea. "Death and the Picture. Representation of War Criminals and	34
35	Construction of Divided Memory about WWII in Hungary." In Faces of	35
36	Death. Visualising History, edited by Andrea Pető and Klaaertje Schrijvers,	36
37	39–57. Pisa: Edizioni Plus—Pisa University Press, 2009.	37
38	Petö, Andrea. "Problems of Transitional Justice in Hungary. An Analysis of	38
39	the People's Tribunals in Post-War Hungary and the Treatment of Female	39
40	Perpetrators." Zeitgeschichte 34 (2007): 335–349.	40
41		41
42		42
43	Block." Journal of Women's History 4 (2009): 147–151.	43
44		44

9781472442857_Altinay.indb 216 10/20/2015 11:58:42 AM

1	Schwarz, Gudrun. "Verdrängte Täterinnen. Frauen im Apparat der SS (1939–1945)."	1
2	In Nach Osten. Verdeckte Spuren nationalsozialistischer Verbrechen, edited by	2
3	Theresa Wobbe, 175–196. Frankfurt: Verlag Neue Kritik, 1992.	3
4	Sontag, Susan. Regarding the Pain of the Other. New York: Picador, 1993.	4
	Taake, Claudia. Angeklagt. SS-Frauen vor Gericht. Oldenburg: Universität	5
6	Oldenburg, 1998.	6
7	Zemel, Carol. "Emblems of Atrocity. Holocaust Liberation Photographs." In	7
8	Image and Remembrance. Representation and the Holocaust, edited by	8
9	Shelley Hornstein and Florence Jacobowitz, 201–2019 Bloomington: Indiana	9
10	University Press, 2003.	10
	Zemon Davis, Natalie. Slaves on Screen. Film and Historical Vision. Cambridge,	11
12	MA: Harvard University Press, 2002.	12
13		13
14		14
15		15
16		16
17		17
18		18
19		19
20		20
21		21
22		22
23		23
24		24
25		25
26		26
27		27
28		28
29		29
30		30
31		31
32		32
33		33
34		34
35		35
36	*	36
37		37
38		38
39		39
40		40
41		41
42		42
43		43
11		11

9781472442857_Altinay.indb 217 10/20/2015 11:58:42 AM

Proof Coby

9781472442857_Altinay.indb 218 10/20/2015 11:58:42 AM