Strong effect of *Penicillium roqueforti* populations on volatile and metabolic compounds responsible for aromas, flavor and texture in blue cheeses

Thibault Caron, Mélanie Le Piver, Anne-Claire Péron, Pascale Lieben, René Lavigne, Sammy Brunel, Daniel Roueyre, Michel Place, Pascal Bonnarme, Tatiana E Giraud, et al.

► To cite this version:

Thibault Caron, Mélanie Le Piver, Anne-Claire Péron, Pascale Lieben, René Lavigne, et al.. Strong effect of *Penicillium roqueforti* populations on volatile and metabolic compounds responsible for aromas, flavor and texture in blue cheeses. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 2021, 354, pp.109174. 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2021.109174 . hal-03202918

HAL Id: hal-03202918

<https://hal.science/hal-03202918>

Submitted on 20 Apr 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Title: Strong effect of *Penicillium roqueforti* populations on volatile and metabolic compounds responsible for aromas, flavor and texture in blue cheeses

Running title: Impact of mold population on blue cheeses

Authors:

Thibault CARON^{1,4}, Mélanie LE PIVER⁴, Anne-Claire PÉRON³, Pascale LIEBEN³, René LAVIGNE², Sammy BRUNEL⁴, Daniel ROUEYRE⁴, Michel PLACE⁴, Pascal BONNARME³, Tatiana GIRAUD^{1*}, Antoine BRANCA^{1*}, Sophie LANDAUD^{3*}, Christophe CHASSARD^{2*}

1: Ecologie Systematique Evolution, Université Paris Saclay, CNRS, AgroParisTech, 91400 Orsay, France

2: Université Clermont Auvergne, INRAE, Vetagro Sup, UMRF, 20 Côte de Reyne, 15000 Aurillac, France

3: Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, UMR SayFood, 78850 Thiverval-Grignon, France

4: Laboratoire Interprofessionnel de Production – SAS L.I.P., 34 rue de Salers, 15 000 Aurillac, France

*joint senior authors

Contact information: Thibault Caron thibaultcaron864@gmail.com

Keywords: Roquefort cheese, fungi, *Penicillium*, domestication, volatile compounds

Acknowledgments: We thank Béatrice DESSERRE, Céline DELBES and Cécile CALLON for advice and technical assistance in microbiology, Sébastien THEIL for technical support for metabarcoding analyses, Patricia LE THUAUT, Manon SURIN and Brigitte POLLET for technical support for metabolomic analyses, Sara PARISOT for milk delivery and quality, Pierre CONCHON for technical support for image analysis, LIAL-MC for the various reference measurements in physicochemistry, Christophe LACROIX and Alfonso DIE for the determination of short-chain fatty acids in fermentation supernatants.

This study was funded by the LIP SAS, ANRT (*Association Nationale Recherche Technologie*), the ERC Genomefun 309403 starting grant, the BLUE ERC Proof-of-Concept grant, the Fondation Louis D grant (French Academy of Sciences) and the ANR-19-CE20-0002-02 Fungadapt ANR grant.

39 Abstract

40 Studies of food microorganism domestication can provide important insight into adaptation
 41 mechanisms and lead to commercial applications. *Penicillium roqueforti* is a fungus with four
 42 genetically differentiated populations, two of which were independently domesticated for
 43 blue cheese-making, with the other two populations thriving in other environments. Most
 44 blue cheeses are made with strains from a single *P. roqueforti* population, whereas Roquefort
 45 cheeses are inoculated with strains from a second population. We made blue cheeses in
 46 accordance with the production specifications for Roquefort-type cheeses, inoculating each
 47 cheese with a single *P. roqueforti* strain, using a total of three strains from each of the four
 48 populations. We investigated differences between the cheeses made with the strains from the
 49 four *P. roqueforti* populations, in terms of the induced flora, the proportion of blue color,
 50 water activity and the identity and abundance of aqueous and organic metabolites as proxies
 51 for proteolysis and lipolysis as well as volatile compounds responsible for flavor and aroma.
 52 We found that the population-of-origin of the *P. roqueforti* strains used for inoculation had a
 53 minor impact on bacterial diversity and no effect on the abundance of the main
 54 microorganism. The cheeses produced with *P. roqueforti* strains from cheese populations had
 55 a higher percentage of blue area and a higher abundance of the volatile compounds typical of
 56 blue cheeses, such as methyl ketones and secondary alcohols. In particular, the Roquefort
 57 strains produced higher amounts of these aromatic compounds, partly due to more efficient
 58 proteolysis and lipolysis. The Roquefort strains also led to cheeses with a lower water
 59 availability, an important feature for preventing spoilage in blue cheeses, which is subject to
 60 controls for the sale of Roquefort cheese. The typical appearance and flavors of blue cheeses
 61 thus result from human selection on *P. roqueforti*, leading to the acquisition of specific
 62 features by the two cheese populations. These findings have important implications for our
 63 understanding of adaptation and domestication, and for cheese improvement.

64 Domestication is an evolutionary process that has been studied by many biologists since
65 Darwin. Indeed, domestication is an excellent model for understanding adaptation, because it
66 results from strong and recent selection on traits that are often known and of interest to
67 humans (Larson *et al.*, 2014). Furthermore, studies of domestication frequently have
68 important implications for the improvement of cultivated organisms. Domesticated fungi
69 have been less studied than crops in this respect, despite being excellent models in this field
70 (Giraud *et al.*, 2017; Gladieux *et al.*, 2014). Most fungi can be cultured in Petri dishes, can
71 remain alive for decades when stored in freezers and are propagated asexually. All these
72 features facilitate experimentation. Fungal metabolism results in the production of various
73 compounds of interest, including fuel alcohols, enzymes and antibiotics (Bigelis, 2001). The
74 most ancient and frequent human use of fungi is for fermentation, to preserve and mature
75 food. For example, the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is used in the production of bread,
76 wine and beer, and the filamentous fungus *Aspergillus oryzae* is used for the production of
77 soy sauce and sake (Dupont *et al.*, 2017). These models have provided important insight into
78 mechanisms of adaptation and domestication (Almeida *et al.*, 2014; Baker *et al.*, 2015;
79 Gallone *et al.*, 2016; Gibbons *et al.*, 2012; Gonçalves *et al.*, 2016; Libkind *et al.*, 2011; Sicard
80 *et al.*, 2011).

81

82 The *Penicillium* genus contains more than 300 species, several of which are used by humans.
83 For example, penicillin was discovered in *P. rubens*, and two other species, *P. nalgiovense*
84 and *P. salami*, are used in the production of dry-cured meat (Fleming, 1929; Ludemann *et al.*,
85 2010, Perrone *et al.*, 2015). For centuries, *Penicillium roqueforti* (Thom) has been used in the
86 maturation of all blue cheeses worldwide (Coton *et al.*, 2020a; Labbe *et al.*, 2004, 2009;
87 Vabre, 2015). This fungus generates cheeses with a blue-veined appearance, by producing
88 melanized conidia within cavities in the cheese in which oxygen is readily available (Moreau,

1980). *Penicillium roqueforti* is also found in non-cheese environments (Pitt *et al.*, 2009; Ropars *et al.*, 2012), and four genetically differentiated clusters of individuals (i.e., populations) have been identified in *P. roqueforti*. Two populations are used for cheesemaking, whereas the other two populations thrive in silage, lumber or spoiled food (Dumas *et al.*, 2020; Gillot *et al.*, 2015; Ropars *et al.*, 2014). Genomic and experimental approaches have provided compelling evidence for the domestication of cheese *P. roqueforti* populations (Cheeseman *et al.*, 2014; Dumas *et al.*, 2020; Gillot *et al.*, 2015, 2017; Ropars *et al.*, 2015, 2016b, 2017) which have been reviewed (Coton *et al.*, 2020b; Ropars *et al.*, 2020a). Indeed, the populations of *P. roqueforti* used to make blue cheeses display the characteristic features of domesticated organisms: genetic and phenotypic differences relative to non-cheese populations, with, in particular, traits beneficial for cheese production, such as faster growth on cheese medium (Dumas *et al.*, 2020; Gillot *et al.*, 2015; Ropars *et al.*, 2014, 2016), but also lower fertility and lower fitness in nutrient-poor conditions (Ropars *et al.*, 2015, 2016). Both cheese populations have lower levels of genetic diversity than the two non-cheese populations, indicating an occurrence of bottlenecks (Dumas *et al.*, 2020), which typically occur during domestication. The two cheese populations are genetically and phenotypically differentiated from each other, suggesting that they result from independent domestication events (Dumas *et al.*, 2020). One of the cheese populations, the non-Roquefort population, is a clonal lineage with a very low level of genetic diversity used to produce most types of blue cheeses worldwide. The second cheese population, the Roquefort population, is genetically more diverse and contains all the strains used to produce blue cheeses from the emblematic Roquefort protected designation of origin (PDO) (Dumas *et al.*, 2020). *In vitro* tests have shown that the non-Roquefort population displays faster tributyrin degradation (i.e. a certain type of lipolysis) and higher salt tolerance, faster *in vitro* growth on cheese medium, better exclusion of competitors than the Roquefort population (Dumas *et al.*, 2020; Ropars *et*

114 *al.*, 2014, 2015), and an absence of toxic mycophenolic acid production (Gillot *et al.*, 2017).
 115 The specific features of the Roquefort population may result from the constraints of the PDO,
 116 requiring the use of local strains and at least 90 days of maturation, and preventing the use of
 117 strains from the non-Roquefort population better suited to modern modes of production
 118 (Dumas *et al.*, 2020). Genomic footprints of domestication (i.e., of adaptive genetic changes)
 119 have been identified in the two *P. roqueforti* populations used for cheesemaking. Indeed, it
 120 has been suggested that horizontally transferred genes found only in the non-Roquefort
 121 population are involved in the production of an antifungal peptide and in lactose catabolism
 122 (Cheeseman *et al.*, 2014; Ropars *et al.*, 2014, 2015). The effects of positive selection have
 123 been detected in genes with predicted functions in flavor compound production, in each of
 124 the cheese populations (Dumas *et al.*, 2020).

125

126 Thus, the four *P. roqueforti* populations probably harbor multiple specific traits, leading to
 127 the generation of cheeses with different physicochemical properties and flavors, although this
 128 has yet to be tested. Assessments of the effect of the population-of-origin of the *P. roqueforti*
 129 strain used on the features of the cheese will i) provide important fundamental knowledge
 130 about the trait under selection for cheesemaking and adaptation to the cheese environment, ii)
 131 provide a basis for the elucidation of other genomic changes and iii) be crucial to
 132 improvements in strain use and improvement. *Penicillium roqueforti* is used as a secondary
 133 starter for flavor production, mostly through proteolysis (*i.e.* casein catabolism) and lipolysis
 134 during ripening (Moreau, 1980). The main characteristic feature of blue cheeses, and of
 135 Roquefort PDO cheeses in particular, is their intense, spicy flavors (Kinsella *et al.*, 1976;
 136 Rothe *et al.*, 1982). The specific volatile and metabolic compounds responsible for these
 137 flavors are generated principally by lipolysis in blue cheeses (Cerning *et al.*, 1987; Collins *et*
 138 *al.*, 2003) and their intensity varies among *P. roqueforti* strains (Dumas *et al.*, 2020; Gillot *et*

139 *al.*, 2017; Larsen *et al.*, 1999). The fatty acids released by lipolysis are the precursors of
 140 aldehydes, alcohols, acids, lactones and methyl ketones, which provide the moldy aromas
 141 typical of blue cheeses (Collins *et al.*, 2003). *Penicillium roqueforti* degrades most proteins,
 142 but the efficiency of proteolysis differs between strains (Cerning *et al.*, 1987; Dumas *et al.*,
 143 2020; Gillot *et al.*, 2017; Larsen *et al.*, 1998). The resulting peptides contribute to flavors,
 144 and their degradation into amino acids further influences cheese aroma and the growth of
 145 other microorganisms (McSweeney *et al.*, 2000; Williams *et al.*, 2004). *Penicillium*
 146 *roqueforti* also contributes to lactate degradation, which is necessary for deacidification and
 147 promotes the development of less acid-tolerant microorganisms (McSweeney *et al.*, 2017).
 148 Through these effects, and by producing secondary metabolites with antimicrobial properties,
 149 *P. roqueforti* may also affect the microbial composition of the cheese (Kopp *et al.*, 1979;
 150 Vallone *et al.*, 2014). Another parameter potentially affected by *P. roqueforti* populations and
 151 restricting the occurrence of spoiler microorganisms is the lack of free water, (i.e., low water
 152 activity, A_w), which is subject to strong controls for the sale of Roquefort cheese and is
 153 affected by the degree of proteolysis (Ardö *et al.*, 2017). The *P. roqueforti* population may,
 154 thus, also have an indirect effect on the features of the cheese, through various effects on
 155 beneficial or undesirable contaminants.

156

157 The differences between *P. roqueforti* populations have, to date, been studied only *in vitro* or
 158 in very rudimentary cheese models. Here, our objective was to assess the effect of the *P.*
 159 *roqueforti* population-of-origin of the strain used for inoculation on the features of blue
 160 cheeses. We focused on several features considered important for cheese quality. Given the
 161 evidence from previous studies that cheese *P. roqueforti* populations have been domesticated,
 162 any differences between the cheeses produced with cheese and non-cheese populations,
 163 and/or between the two cheese populations can probably be considered to reflect selection by

164 humans for the production of good cheeses, either on standing variation in the ancestral *P.*
165 *roqueforti* population or for *de novo* mutations. We therefore produced blue cheeses in
166 conditions very similar to those used in industrial Roquefort PDO production, using, in
167 particular, milk from the local “Lacaune” breed, with strains from the four *P. roqueforti*
168 populations. We compared several important cheese features between the four populations: i)
169 physicochemical features, relating to texture and biochemical composition, ii) cheese
170 microbiota composition and abundance, which may have effects on several cheese features,
171 iii) the blue area as a proportion of cheese slices, which is important for the blue-veined
172 appearance of the cheese and is dependent on the growth and sporulation of *P. roqueforti* in
173 cheese cavities, and iv) the types and abundance of the metabolic and volatile compounds
174 produced, which influence flavor and aroma. We investigated the differences in these features
175 between the cheeses produced with strains from the four *P. roqueforti* populations (Roquefort
176 cheese, non-Roquefort cheese, silage and lumber/food spoiler populations). We also
177 investigated the possible differences between cheeses made with cheese and non-cheese
178 populations, and between the Roquefort and non-Roquefort cheese populations. Assessments
179 of the traits differing between cheese and non-cheese *P. roqueforti* populations, and between
180 the two cheese populations, and investigations of whether the cheese populations are more
181 suitable for cheese-making, are of fundamental importance for understanding the
182 domestication of cheese fungi, through the identification of traits subjected to selection.
183 Furthermore, the identification of differences between *P. roqueforti* populations of relevance
184 for cheese making has many applied consequences for the cheese industry, in terms of strain
185 choice for different kinds of blue cheeses, paving the way for the improvement of mold
186 strains by generating progenies from crosses of the two cheese populations, and for the
187 choice of traits for measurement and selection in offspring.

188

189 **Materials and Methods**

190 The Materials and Methods are described in more details in the Supplementary Methods.

191

192 **Experimental design and fungal strains:** We made cheeses with three different strains from
 193 each of the four *P. roqueforti* populations (Figure 1, a strain is defined here as a haploid
 194 individual obtained by monospore isolation), according to population assignments obtained in
 195 a previous study based on molecular markers (Dumas *et al.*, 2020). For cheese production
 196 (Figure 1B), we used a single strain per cheese for inoculation, using a total of three different
 197 strains from each of the four *P. roqueforti* populations (Figure 1A). Due to the limited
 198 production capacity of the experimental facility, we were unable to make all the cheeses at
 199 the same time. We therefore split production into three assays, each including one strain from
 200 each of the four populations (Figure 1A). For each strain in each assay, we created three
 201 production replicates, with two cheeses per strain in each replicate, to ensure the production
 202 of sufficient material for sampling. In total, we produced 72 cheeses (4 strains * 2 cheeses * 3
 203 replicates * 3 assays, Figure 1A). The assays were performed sequentially from February to
 204 April. The effect of the seasonal change in milk composition was therefore confounded with
 205 the strain effect within the population, hereafter referred to as the "assay effect". The three
 206 replicates within each assay were also set up at different times, a few days apart, and thus
 207 with different batches of raw milk (Figure 1A). LCP (*Laboratoire de Cryptogamie de Paris*)
 208 strains were obtained from the *Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle de Paris*, France, and BRFM
 209 (Biological Resource Fungi Marseille') strains were obtained from the *Centre International*
 210 *de Ressources Microbiennes de Marseille*, France.

211 **Cheesemaking:** The cheesemaking protocol was typical of the procedures used by the main
 212 producers of Roquefort cheese and complied with the Roquefort PDO specifications, except
 213 that the ripening process took place in artificial cellars in the INRA facilities at Aurillac and

214 with strains from different *P. roqueforti* populations. Briefly, we slowly mixed about 35 L of
 215 milk in each vat, and heated it to 32.5°C. We then added 20 mg.L⁻¹ mesophilic starter culture
 216 containing *Leuconostoc* spp., *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *cremoris*, *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis*, and
 217 *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* biovar *diacetylactis* (FD-DVS CHN-11, CHR HANSEN, Saint-
 218 Germain-lès-Arpajon, France), 25 mg.L⁻¹ gas-producing *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* subsp.
 219 *mesenteroides* (CHOOZIT LM 57 LYO 20 DCU, DANISCO, Paris, France) and about 3.6 x
 220 10⁶ CFU.L⁻¹ *P. roqueforti* spores (SAS LIP, Aurillac, France). The mixture was homogenized
 221 for five minutes, and we then added 0.25 ml of active chymosin at a concentration of 520
 222 mg.L⁻¹ (Laboratoires Humeau, La Chapelle-sur-Erdre, France). The resulting curd was cut
 223 into 1.5 cm³ cubes, left to rest for five minutes, and allowed to ferment for 50 minutes. The
 224 curd was drained and placed in perforated cylinders of 20x10 cm at 26°C/85% humidity. The
 225 cheeses were turned five times after molding. On the second day, the cheeses were unmolded.
 226 On the third day, the cheeses were rubbed with sterilized coarse salt and transferred to
 227 ripening cellars at 11°C / 95% humidity. On the fifth day, the cheeses were resalted in the
 228 same way. On the seventh day, the cheeses were pricked. They were left to ripen until day 20,
 229 when they were wrapped in sterile aluminum foil and allowed to mature at -2°C until day
 230 180.

231

232 Cheese samples were collected on days 0, 9, 20, 90 and 180. These time points are referred to
 233 hereafter as “stages”. Day 0 corresponded to raw milk for microbiology analysis and sowed
 234 curd for metabolic and volatile compound analyses. Days 9 and 20 corresponded to half-way
 235 through and the end of the ripening period, respectively. Days 90 and 180 (i.e. 3 and 6
 236 months) corresponded to the minimum maturation times for the Roquefort PDO and a typical
 237 maturation period for sale, respectively.

238 Microbial and metabarcoding analyses: We estimated the concentrations of various
 239 microbial communities in the initial unpasteurized milk and at various stages of cheese
 240 maturation, to determine whether the *P. roqueforti* population affected the cheese microbiota
 241 (for more information, see the Supplementary Methods). We counted the total number of
 242 aerobic mesophilic bacteria on plate count agar (PCA; Nelson, 1940). Mesophilic lactic acid
 243 bacteria were counted on Man Rogosa Sharpe agar (MRS; De Man *et al.*, 1960) and
 244 thermophilic lactic acid bacteria were counted on M17 agar (Terzaghi, 1975). Dextran-
 245 positive *Leuconostoc* spp. were counted on Mayeux, Sandine and Elliker medium (MSE;
 246 Mayeux *et al.*, 1962). Molds and yeasts were counted on oxytetracycline gelose agar medium
 247 (OGA; Mossel *et al.*, 1970). Gram-positive catalase-positive bacteria were counted on
 248 cheese-ripening bacterial medium (CRBM; Denis *et al.*, 2001). Enterobacteria were counted
 249 on violet red bile glucose (VRBG; Mossel *et al.*, 1978). We further investigated the possible
 250 effects of the *P. roqueforti* population on the identity and relative abundance of other
 251 microorganisms in cheeses, by performing metabarcoding analyses on our experimental
 252 cheeses at 9 and 20 days (during the ripening period), by extracting DNA, and amplifying
 253 and sequencing the V3-V4 region of the 16S rDNA gene. Amplicons were sequenced with
 254 Illumina Miseq technology. The mean read depth of the 71 samples (36 at 9 and 20 days of
 255 ripening minus one lost) was 28539 reads. Amplicon data from high-throughput sequencing
 256 were analyzed with Find Rapidly OTUs in Galaxy Solution (FROGS) v3.0 (Escudié *et al.*,
 257 2018). For each OTU, taxonomic assignment was determined with the Silva-132
 258 (<https://www.arb-silva.de/>) and 16S rDNA RefSeq databases
 259 (<https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>). Four widely used diversity parameters were
 260 calculated from OTU compositions: two for alpha diversity (Shannon index, Simpson index)
 261 and the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity for beta diversity.

Blue area: We estimated the percentage area of the cheese that was blue, on fresh inner cheese slices (Figure 3B). This percentage is dependent on the formation of cavities within the cheese, the growth of *P. roqueforti* within the cavities and its sporulation, as the blue color is due to the melanin present in *P. roqueforti* spores. We cut all the 20-, 90- and 180-day cheeses in half and took three photographs of each fresh slice with a Canon PowerShot SX410 IS (JPEG format, 5152 x 3864 pixels, 100 ISO, without flash). We analyzed these images with imageJ 1.52n (Schneider *et al.*, 2012; Figure S2): (i) the brightness and contrast of the raw images were standardized with a stack contrast adjustment plugin, using a reference image (Figure S2A,B) (ii) rectangular selection was used to crop the images manually to ensure that they contained only the cheese slices (Figure S2C), (iii) the red channel was segmented with a grayscale threshold of 102 to distinguish colonized cavities from the inner white parts of the cheese and empty cavities (Figure S2D), (iv) the number of dark pixels in the cavities was counted and divided by the total number of pixels for the entire cheese slice, to determine the percentage blue area of the slice. Within the dark patches in the cheese cavities colonized by the fungus, all pixels were considered dark (script at <https://gitlab.com/snippets/1945218>).

Physicochemistry: We performed standard physicochemical measurements on the cheeses. We measured dry matter content, fat content as a proportion of dry matter, the moisture content of the defatted cheese, total, soluble and non-protein nitrogen contents, chloride and salt content, water activity and pH at various stages of maturation, according to reference methods (for more information, see the Supplementary Methods). We measured glucose, lactose, lactate, acetate and butyrate concentrations in the cheeses on days 9 and 20, by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, for more information, see the Supplementary Methods).

Metabolic and volatile compounds: We investigated possible differences in proteolytic and lipolytic activities between the four populations, by UHPLC-MS after two extraction procedures (in water and an organic solvent). We analyzed the abundance of free fatty acids and residual glycerides in 90-day cheeses, by coupling a global extraction (accelerated solvent extraction with hexane-isobutanol) with UHPLC-MS analysis in the positive (triglycerides) and negative (fatty acids) ionization modes (for more information, see the Supplementary Methods). We investigated the identity and abundance of volatile flavor and aroma compounds, using a dynamic headspace system (DHS) with a Gerstel MPS autosampler (Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany) and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis with an Agilent 7890B GC system coupled to an Agilent 5977B quadrupole mass spectrometer (Santa Clara, United States). Statistical analyses were performed with R software (<http://www.r-project.org/>). Further details about the materials and methods are provided in the Supplementary Methods.

299

300 Results

***Penicillium roqueforti* population-of-origin influences bacterial diversity slightly, but has no effect on the abundance of the main microorganisms in the cheese.** We investigated whether the population-of-origin of the *P. roqueforti* strains used for inoculation affected the composition of the cheese microbiota, by estimating the densities of key microbial communities through colony counts (CFU/g) on various specific culture media and a metabarcoding approach based on 16S sequencing targeting the bacteria in cheeses at several stages of maturation. Based on microbial counts on plate, we found no significant effect of *P. roqueforti* population on the abundance of any of the counted microorganisms, including molds (i.e. *P. roqueforti*), at any sampled stage of maturation (Supplementary Figure 1; Supplementary Table 1A).

311 The metabarcoding approach targeting bacteria identified mostly sequences from the
 312 *Lactococcus* and *Leuconostoc* spp. starters (about 58 and 40%, respectively, Figure 2A,
 313 Supplementary Table 1B), which are responsible for acidification and cavity formation,
 314 respectively, in the cheese. The remaining sequences corresponded to 12 bacterial genera
 315 frequently found in raw milk cheeses, such as *Lactobacillus*, *Staphylococcus* and
 316 *Arthrobacter* (<1%, Supplementary Table 1B). However, the large predominance of starters
 317 made it impossible to obtain sufficient data for other bacteria to assess differences in the
 318 abundance of particular bacteria between cheeses made with strains from the four *P.*
 319 *roqueforti* populations (Supplementary Table 1C). We also targeted eukaryotes, based on ITS
 320 sequences, in a few samples. Most sequences were assigned to *P. roqueforti* spp. (89%) and,
 321 to a lesser extent, *Candida* spp. (10%, data not shown), so no further analyses were
 322 performed on the remaining low-abundance sequences. We estimated three OTU (operational
 323 taxonomic unit) diversity parameters based on bacterial barcode sequence abundances, to
 324 measure OTU richness and/or evenness. Bray-Curtis dissimilarity showed that cheeses made
 325 with strains from the same *P. roqueforti* population were no more similar than those made
 326 with strains from different *P. roqueforti* populations. However, we found a significant effect
 327 of *P. roqueforti* population, in addition to a stage effect, on the Shannon and Simpson
 328 diversity indices (Figures 2B and 2C). Cheeses made with strains from the cheese *P.*
 329 *roqueforti* populations tended to have a higher bacterial OTU diversity, particularly at nine
 330 days of maturation and for the Roquefort population (Figures 2B and 2C), although the post-
 331 hoc analyses were not powerful enough to detect significant pairwise differences
 332 (Supplementary Table 1C). There may also be undetected differences at species level or for
 333 low-abundance microorganisms that might nevertheless have substantial effects. However,
 334 even if this were the case, it would constitute an indirect effect of the *P. roqueforti*
 335 population, as this was the only difference during our cheesemaking process.

336

337 **Higher proportion of blue area in cheeses produced with cheese *P. roqueforti***
 338 **populations.** The percentage blue area in cheese cavities was determined on freshly cut
 339 cheese slices (Figure 3B). The blue veins result from the formation of cavities in the cheese,
 340 and the growth and sporulation of *P. roqueforti* in these cavities. The percentage blue area
 341 was significantly higher in cheeses produced with cheese population strains than in those
 342 produced with non-cheese population strains (Figure 3A and 3B; Supplementary Table 1D).
 343 We also found a significant decrease in the percentage blue area from 20 to 180 days of
 344 maturation, for all populations except the Roquefort population, for which the percentage
 345 blue area remained relatively constant (Figure 3A; Supplementary Table 1D).

346

347 **More efficient proteolysis and lipolysis by the Roquefort *P. roqueforti* population.** We
 348 investigated the proteolysis and lipolysis efficiencies of the four populations in cheeses after
 349 90 days of maturation. Both targeted and non-targeted chromatographic analyses showed that
 350 proteolytic efficiency was highest in the Roquefort *P. roqueforti* population. We performed
 351 the targeted analysis with standards for the principal 23 amino acids (Supplementary Table
 352 2A). We found that eight amino acids discriminated significantly between cheeses made with
 353 the different *P. roqueforti* populations (Supplementary Table 1E, mainly ornithine, leucine,
 354 and alanine), 15 discriminated between the cheese and non-cheese populations (mainly
 355 spermidine, isoleucine, methionine, glutamic acid, citrulline, serine and leucine) and 14
 356 distinguished between the Roquefort and non-Roquefort populations (Supplementary Figure
 357 3A, mainly valine, leucine, isoleucine, serine and threonine). The cheeses made with strains
 358 from cheese populations, and from the Roquefort population, in particular, had a higher total
 359 amino-acid concentration (Supplementary Tables 1E and 2B).

We also assessed proteolytic activity in a non-targeted analysis (fingerprint approach) on whole chromatograms (8,364 signals), which provided much more powerful discrimination between metabolites. Each metabolite generates a signal specific to its mass-to-charge (m/z) ratio at a given retention time. We obtained the largest number of aqueous signals, indicating the most efficient proteolysis, for cheeses inoculated with strains from the Roquefort population, followed by the lumber and non-Roquefort cheese populations, which were not significantly different from each other, and proteolysis was least efficient for the silage population (Figure 4; Supplementary Table 1F).

Lipolysis was also more efficient for the Roquefort population than for the other populations. We investigated whether the *P. roqueforti* population influenced the abundance of free fatty acids and residual glycerides, as a proxy for the efficiency of lipolysis, in 90-day cheeses, with targeted and non-targeted chromatographic analyses in the positive and negative ionization modes. We specifically targeted glycerides and free fatty acids. In the targeted analysis, we identified seven free fatty acids and 20 triglycerides, and found that three free fatty acids (stearic, oleic and linoleic acids) were significantly more concentrated in cheeses made with Roquefort strains than in those made with strains from non-Roquefort populations (Supplementary Table 1G). In the non-targeted analysis, we obtained 3,094 signals and observed a higher abundance for organic signals specific to free fatty acids, indicating the most efficient lipolysis, in cheeses made with strains from the Roquefort population, followed by the lumber and non-Roquefort cheese populations, which were very similar to each other, with lipolytic efficiency lowest for cheeses made with strains from the silage population (Figure 5; Supplementary Table 1H). For residual glycerides, we obtained 8,472 signals, with no significant difference between the populations (Supplementary Figure 4; Supplementary Table 1I).

385

386 We performed the most relevant standard physicochemical measurements on raw milk and on
387 the cheeses at 9, 20, 90 and 180 days of maturation. As expected, we observed a maturation
388 stage effect for 11 of the 16 physicochemical parameters (Supplementary Table 1J). Non-
389 protein nitrogenous content was significantly higher in cheeses inoculated with strains from
390 cheese *P. roqueforti* populations than in cheeses inoculated with strains from the other
391 populations, consistent with the greater efficiency of proteolysis associated with these strains
392 (Supplementary Figure 5A). Cheese water activity differed significantly between the cheeses
393 made with strains from the four *P. roqueforti* populations (Supplementary figure 5B): it was
394 significantly lower for the Roquefort cheese population than for the non-Roquefort cheese
395 and silage populations (see statistics in the Supplementary Table 1J).

396

397 **Strong influence of *P. roqueforti* population on volatile compound production.** We
398 investigated the effect of *P. roqueforti* population on cheese volatile compounds in 90-day
399 cheeses. We focused on the GC-MS data for the 40 principal volatile compounds considered
400 to be most important for the aromatic quality of blue cheeses (Rothe *et al.*, 1982): 11 acids,
401 12 ketones, 10 esters, six alcohols and one aldehyde (Supplementary Table 3). We found that
402 *P. roqueforti* population strongly influenced the relative abundance of the compounds from
403 these aromatic families in the cheeses (Supplementary Table 1K; Figures 6 and 7, and see
404 below). In fact, the odors of the cheeses differed considerably (pers. obs.): the cheeses made
405 with strains from the cheese *P. roqueforti* populations smelled as good as typical ripened blue
406 cheeses, whereas those made with strains from non-cheese *P. roqueforti* populations had
407 unpleasant odors, similar to those of a wet mop (Supplementary Figure 6; personal
408 observation).

409

410 The abundance of acids, methyl ketones and secondary alcohols resulting from proteolysis
 411 and lipolysis, and contributing to the typical flavor of blue cheese, was higher in cheeses
 412 produced with strains from cheese populations than in those produced with strains from non-
 413 cheese populations (Figure 6). These compounds were present at a particularly high
 414 abundance in cheeses made with strains from the Roquefort population. Four of the 40
 415 compounds analyzed were proteolysis by-products (primary alcohols: 3-methyl-butanal, 3-
 416 methyl-butanol and isopropyl-alcohol, hereafter referred to as alcohols I, and 3-methyl-
 417 butanoic acid, hereafter referred to as acid I; Supplementary Table 3). The abundance of
 418 alcohols I was significantly higher in cheeses made with strains from cheese *P. roqueforti*
 419 populations than in those made with strains from non-cheese populations, and the highest
 420 values were obtained for the Roquefort population (mainly 3-methyl-butanol; Figure 6;
 421 Supplementary Table 1K). Acid I (i.e. 3-methyl-butanoic acid) was also present in higher
 422 abundance in cheeses made with strains from the Roquefort population than in other cheeses
 423 (Figure 6). Two acids, by-products of glycolysis (acetic and propionic acid, hereafter referred
 424 to as acids II), were present at higher abundance in cheeses made with strains from the
 425 Roquefort and lumber/food spoiler *P. roqueforti* populations than in other cheeses (Figure 6;
 426 Supplementary Tables 1K and 3). The other 35 aromatic compounds (i.e. acids from beta-
 427 oxidation, hereafter referred to as acids III, ketones, secondary alcohols hereafter referred to
 428 as alcohols II, and esters) were almost all direct or indirect by-products of lipolysis
 429 (Supplementary Table 3). The abundance of acids III was higher in cheeses made with strains
 430 from the Roquefort and lumber/food spoiler populations than in cheeses made with strains
 431 from the non-Roquefort cheese population (mainly butanoic, pentanoic, hexanoic and
 432 octanoic acid; Figure 6; Supplementary Table 1K). The levels of these compounds were
 433 lowest in cheeses made with strains from the silage population. Esters and methyl ketones
 434 (especially 2-pentanone and 2-heptanone) were present at higher abundance in cheeses made

with strains from cheese *P. roqueforti* populations (Supplementary Table 1K). Cheeses made with strains from the Roquefort population contained the highest abundance of methyl ketones, and these compounds were barely detectable in cheeses made from silage population strains (mainly 2-heptanone, 2-pentanone and 2-nonanone; Figure 7A). The abundance of alcohols II, particularly 2-heptanol, was also much higher in cheeses made with Roquefort population strains than in other cheeses (Supplementary Table 1K; Figure 7B).

Discussion

Cheese *P. roqueforti* populations have been selected to produce better blue cheeses.

Measurements of multiple features of blue cheeses made under conditions resembling those typically used in commercial Roquefort production revealed a strong influence of the differentiated *P. roqueforti* populations on several aspects of cheese quality. The cheese populations appeared the best adapted to cheesemaking, in terms of both the appearance and volatile compound content of the resulting cheese. The differences between the four *P. roqueforti* populations and the more appealing cheeses produced with strains from the cheese populations suggest that humans have exerted selection for the production of better cheeses, and this corresponds to domestication. Indeed, we found that cheese *P. roqueforti* strains produced a higher percentage blue area on cheese slices, an important visual aspect of blue cheeses. We also found that proteolysis and lipolysis were more efficient in cheeses made with Roquefort population strains than in cheeses made with strains from the other *P. roqueforti* populations, resulting in a higher abundance of desirable volatile compounds, including alcohols and associated acids. Cheese water activity was lower in cheeses made with strains from the Roquefort population, probably due to more efficient proteolysis (Ardö *et al.*, 2017). We found no significant difference in the identities and abundances of

460 microorganisms between the cheeses made with strains from the four *P. roqueforti*
 461 populations. Some minor differences in bacterial alpha diversity were observed, however, and
 462 the differences in all other measurements than diversity between cheeses probably reflected a
 463 direct effect of the specific features of the *P. roqueforti* population, although minor indirect
 464 effects involving the induction of more diverse bacterial communities by cheese *P. roqueforti*
 465 strains may also have occurred. Overall, our findings strongly support the view that cheese *P.*
 466 *roqueforti* populations have been selected by humans for better appearance and aroma. This
 467 selection may have involved the choice of the most beneficial strains for making good
 468 cheeses from standing variation, and/or the selection of *de novo* genetic changes. Previous
 469 studies found footprints of genomic changes in cheese populations in the form of beneficial
 470 horizontal gene transfers and positive selection (Dumas *et al.*, 2020; Ropars *et al.*, 2015).

471

472 Previous studies reported differences between *P. roqueforti* populations, in terms of growth,
 473 lipolysis and proteolysis, but on synthetic media (Dumas *et al.*, 2020; Ropars *et al.*, 2015).
 474 Here, using experimental cheeses made in commercial cheese production conditions, we
 475 reveal important features specific to cheese *P. roqueforti* populations, and to the Roquefort
 476 and non-Roquefort cheese populations. These findings are important in the context of
 477 domestication, for understanding rapid adaptation and diversification, and future studies
 478 based on quantitative trait mapping may be able to identify further genomic changes
 479 responsible for the specific features of the populations, according to the contrasting
 480 phenotypes revealed here. Progenies can indeed be obtained from crosses between strains
 481 from different populations of *P. roqueforti* (Ropars *et al.*, 2015), and this could facilitate
 482 strain improvement through recombination between the different populations. Our results are,
 483 therefore, also important for improving blue cheese production.

484

485 **The four *P. roqueforti* populations induce similar microbiotas, but water availability is**
 486 **lower with cheese population strains, restricting the occurrence of spoiler**
 487 **microorganisms.** Based on microbiological counts, we found no significant differences in
 488 abundance for any of the species monitored between cheeses made with strains from the four
 489 populations of *P. roqueforti*. In particular, we found no significant difference in the
 490 abundance of molds on Petri dishes. However, microbiological counts are known to provide
 491 poor estimates of fungal biomass, especially for mycelium growth (Schnurer, 1993).

492

493 The abundance and identity of the microorganisms studied were globally similar to those in
 494 four commercial Roquefort cheeses (personal information from C. Callon; Devoyod *et al.*,
 495 1968) and closely related blue cheeses (Diezhandino *et al.*, 2015). The metabarcoding
 496 approach suggested that the different *P. roqueforti* populations induced bacterial
 497 communities of different levels of diversity. The cheese populations, and the Roquefort
 498 population in particular, were associated with the highest level of alpha diversity. The
 499 differences in cheese bacterial diversity, although minor, suggest that the differences between
 500 cheeses made with strains from the four *P. roqueforti* populations may be due not only to a
 501 direct effect of *P. roqueforti* population, but also to an indirect effect mediated by the
 502 induction of bacterial communities of different diversities. The large predominance of
 503 bacterial starters made it impossible to collect sufficient data for an assessment of the
 504 differences in relative abundance between subdominant bacterial species on the basis of
 505 metabarcoding. We also found a significant difference in water activity between cheeses
 506 made with strains from different *P. roqueforti* populations, the lowest value obtained being
 507 that for the Roquefort population. This may also reflect human selection, as low water
 508 activity restricts the occurrence of spoiler microorganisms. This characteristic is, therefore,
 509 subject to tight control in Roquefort cheeses for sale, particularly those for export.

510

511 **Cheese *P. roqueforti* populations produce bluer cheeses.** We found significantly higher
 512 percentage blue areas in cheese slices from cheeses made with cheese *P. roqueforti* strains
 513 than in those made from non-cheese strains, potentially reflecting greater *P. roqueforti*
 514 growth in cheese and/or a higher sporulation efficiency in cavities. The percentage blue area
 515 in cheese slices also depends on the formation of cavities in the cheese, as *P. roqueforti* can
 516 only sporulate in cavities in which oxygen is available. The cavities are mostly generated by
 517 the gas-producing bacterium *Leuconostoc mesenteroides*, the abundance of which did not
 518 differ between the cheeses made with strains from different *P. roqueforti* populations,
 519 suggesting a direct effect of *P. roqueforti* populations on the blueness of cheese slices. The
 520 significantly higher percentage blue area in slices of cheeses made with cheese *P. roqueforti*
 521 strains than in those made with non-cheese strains therefore probably reflects better cheese
 522 and cavity colonization and sporulation, probably due to selection on the basis of appearance.
 523 The percentage blue area decreased by the end of maturation, perhaps due to the death of the
 524 fungus. Only cheeses made with Roquefort strains retained a high percentage blue area at 90
 525 days of maturation, again potentially reflecting selection in pre-industrial times, when
 526 Roquefort cheeses had to be stored for several months at cave temperature before sale. The
 527 minimum maturation time for Roquefort PDO remains 90 days, which is longer than for other
 528 blue cheeses. These findings contrast with a previous study showing that a non-Roquefort
 529 population colonized the cavities of model cheeses better than other populations (Dumas *et*
 530 *al.*, 2020); this discrepancy may reflect differences between studies in terms of the
 531 measurements used (total percentage blue area versus percentage blue area within cavities),
 532 the type of milk (ewe versus goat) or the mode of cheesemaking (rudimentary models versus
 533 commercial-like cheeses). Our findings are consistent with the presence of horizontally

534 transferred genes in cheese populations with predicted functions in fungal development,
535 including sporulation and hyphal growth (Dumas *et al.*, 2020).

536

537 **Proteolysis and lipolysis are more efficient in the Roquefort *P. roqueforti* population.**

538 Based on chemical analyses and powerful chromatographic discrimination methods, we
539 showed that the abundance of amino acids and small peptides (i.e., residual products of
540 proteolysis) was highest in cheeses made with Roquefort *P. roqueforti* strains. Thus, these
541 strains had the highest capacity for proteolysis, which is an important process in
542 cheesemaking. Indeed, proteolysis contributes to the development of cheese texture, flavors
543 and aromas (Andersen *et al.*, 2010; Ardö, 2006, 2017; McSweeney, 1997; Roudot-Algaron,
544 1996). Previous measurements of proteolytic activity in synthetic media detected significant
545 differences between *P. roqueforti* populations, but not between the two cheese populations
546 (Dumas *et al.*, 2020). We show here that experimental cheeses made with strains from the
547 Roquefort population have a higher content of residual products of proteolysis, a sign of more
548 advanced ripening.

549

550 We also found that lipolysis was more efficient in the cheeses made with strains from the
551 Roquefort *P. roqueforti* population. By contrast, previous studies in synthetic media found
552 that lipolysis was most efficient in the non-Roquefort population (Dumas *et al.*, 2020). The
553 discrepancy between these studies demonstrates the need for measurements in real cheeses
554 for the reliable assessment of metabolic activities. Lipolytic activity is known to affect cheese
555 texture and the production of volatile compounds affecting pungency (Alonso *et al.*, 1987;
556 González De Llano *et al.*, 1990, 1992; Martín *et al.*, 2016; Thierry *et al.*, 2017; Woo *et al.*,
557 1984). The more efficient proteolysis and lipolysis in the Roquefort *P. roqueforti* population

should have a strong impact on cheese texture and flavor. It therefore probably results from selection to obtain better cheeses, i.e. from a domestication process, as previously reported for other fungi (Almeida *et al.*, 2014; Baker *et al.*, 2015; Gallone *et al.*, 2016; Gibbons *et al.*, 2012; Gonçalves *et al.*, 2016; Libkind *et al.*, 2011; Sicard *et al.*, 2011). Roquefort cheeses are widely considered to be the blue cheeses with the strongest aromas and flavors. The less efficient lipolysis and proteolysis in the non-Roquefort population may result from more recent selection for milder cheeses.

Cheese *P. roqueforti* populations produce cheeses with more abundant and diverse volatile compounds. We found major differences between the cheeses made with strains from different *P. roqueforti* populations, in terms of the volatile compounds resulting from lipolysis and, to a lesser extent, also from proteolysis. Only four of the aromatic compounds detected in our cheeses (3-methyl-butanal, 3-methyl-butanol, isopropyl-alcohol and 3-methyl-butanoic acid) were by-products of casein proteolysis (McSweeney *et al.*, 2000), and the concentrations of these molecules were significantly higher in cheeses made with Roquefort *P. roqueforti* strains, consistent with the higher proteolysis efficiency and amino-acid precursor (i.e. valine, leucine and isoleucine) concentrations of these strains. These compounds produce fruity (banana), cheesy and alcoholic notes, which were probably important selection criteria during the domestication of the Roquefort *P. roqueforti* population. For the products of metabolic pathways leading from amino acids to alcohols (Ehrlich pathway with aldehyde reduction) or acids (aldehyde oxidation; Ganesan *et al.*, 2017), the higher concentration of alcohols than of acids observed for all populations is consistent with the general micro-aerobic conditions of blue cheese cavities.

Most of the aromatic compounds identified were direct or indirect by-products of lipolysis, consistent with the known key role of lipolysis in the generation of typical blue cheese aroma

(Cerning *et al.*, 1987; Collins *et al.*, 2003). The aromatic compounds resulting from lipolysis belonged to four chemical families (acids, methyl ketones, secondary alcohols and esters). Methyl ketones were the most diverse and abundant for cheese *P. roqueforti* populations, particularly for the Roquefort population, in which abundance was highest for 2-pentanone and 2-heptanone; 2-heptanone underlies the characteristic “blue cheese” sensory descriptor (Anderson *et al.*, 1966; González De Llano *et al.*, 1990, 1992; Moio *et al.*, 2000). In *P. roqueforti*, methyl ketones with odd numbers of carbons are mostly produced by fatty-acid beta-oxidation, whereas those with even numbers of carbons may be produced by the beta-oxidation or autooxidation of fatty acids (Spinnler, 2011). These compounds are produced by the decarboxylation of hexanoic acid and octanoic acid, respectively, which were the most abundant acids found in our cheeses. This reaction is considered to be a form of detoxification, because methyl ketones are less toxic than acids (Kinderlerer, 1993; Spinnler, 2011). Interestingly, this pathway appeared to be more active in the cheese *P. roqueforti* populations, as methyl ketone levels were lower in cheeses made with lumber (four-fold difference) and silage (10-fold lower) strains than in cheeses made with cheese population strains. Methyl ketone concentrations were not directly associated with the concentrations of their precursors (acids), the highest concentrations being found in the lumber and Roquefort populations. The biosynthesis pathway producing methyl ketones must, therefore, be more efficient in cheese populations, particularly the non-Roquefort population. The cheese *P. roqueforti* populations were probably selected for their higher acid detoxification capacity, as this produces aromatic compounds with a very positive impact on flavor (Spinnler, 2011).

The concentrations of secondary alcohols (resulting from the reduction of methyl ketones) were also higher in cheeses produced by cheese *P. roqueforti* strains, particularly those of the Roquefort population, for which they were seven times higher than for the non-Roquefort cheese population and 20 times higher than for the silage/lumber populations; 2-heptanol was

the major alcoholic compound produced. The reduction of 2-heptanone to 2-heptanol occurs specifically in anaerobic conditions and is much stronger in the Roquefort population; aerobic conditions were similar for all the populations. The Roquefort *P. roqueforti* population may also have been selected for this feature, as secondary alcohols provide “fruity notes”, which are associated with better aromatic quality (Spinnler, 2011). Methyl ketones may be reduced to alcohols by an alcohol dehydrogenase, as occurs when aldehyde is reduced to alcohol via the Ehrlich pathway. Alcohol dehydrogenase genes may thus have been targets of selection in the Roquefort *P. roqueforti* population, although they were not detected as evolving under positive selection in a previous study (Dumas *et al.*, 2020).

We also found higher levels of esters in cheeses made with cheese *P. roqueforti* populations. Esters are produced principally by the esterification of ethanol with acids generated by beta-oxidation. *Leuconostoc* starters can produce ethanol, and ester synthesis has also been described as a detoxification mechanism (Mason *et al.*, 2000). These results further indicate that cheese *P. roqueforti* populations, particularly the Roquefort population, have been selected for acid detoxification capacity, leading to a large variety of less toxic aromatic compounds with strong aromas and flavors.

Overall, the aromas of cheeses made with cheese *P. roqueforti* strains had more appealing aromas, and this was particularly true for cheeses made with Roquefort strains. These aroma properties probably reflect selection by humans. The cheeses made with silage and lumber populations had a mildly unpleasant smell, whereas those made with cheese strains smelled like typical blue cheeses, with cheeses made with Roquefort strains having the strongest smell. This may reflect previously reported horizontal gene transfers in cheese populations, involving genes with predicted functions in lipolysis or amino-acid catabolism, and the positive selection of genes involved in aroma production (Dumas *et al.*, 2020). We compared *P. roqueforti* populations between cheeses made following commercial modes of production,

which represents a major advance relative to previous studies based on experimental models or synthetic media (Dumas *et al.*, 2020; Gillot *et al.*, 2017). We used unpasteurized ewe's milk, in accordance with the requirements for Roquefort PDO production, which also affects cheese aromas. In future studies, it would be interesting to determine whether the use of pasteurized or unpasteurized ewe's milk or cow's milk leads to similar specific features of the Roquefort versus non-Roquefort cheese *P. roqueforti* populations, as there may have been selection during domestication, leading to an adaptation of the Roquefort population for the catabolism of unpasteurized ewe's milk.

Conclusion. We show that the *P. roqueforti* population has a strong impact on cheese quality, appearance and aroma. The populations used for cheesemaking led to bluer cheeses, with better aromas, probably due to domestication involving the selection of multiple fungal traits by humans seeking to make the best possible cheeses. French cheese producers have been inoculating cheeses with *P. roqueforti* spores from moldy rye bread since the end of the 19th century (Labbe *et al.*, 2004, 2009; Vabre, 2015). This process made it possible for them to re-inoculate with the strains producing the best cheeses, thereby applying a strong selection pressure. The two cheese populations displayed a number of specific features, with the Roquefort population notably producing more intense and specific aromas and flavors. The selection of different fungal varieties for different usages has also been reported in the fermenting yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Gallone *et al.*, 2016; Legras *et al.*, 2018). Previous studies on *P. roqueforti* detected recurrent changes in amino acids and horizontal gene transfers in cheese populations, both of which facilitated rapid adaptation (Dumas *et al.*, 2020; Ropars *et al.*, 2015). Our findings provide greater insight into *P. roqueforti* domestication and pave the way for strain improvement through the targeting of relevant traits. A protocol inducing sexual selection has been developed in *P. roqueforti* (Ropars *et al.*,

2014), making it possible to perform crosses between strains from the two cheese populations, each of which harbors very little genetic diversity (Dumas *et al.*, 2020), to generate variability and to identify strains with high levels of performance. The results of this study will facilitate the choice of the parental strains for crossing and of the most important phenotypes to be measured in the offspring. Parental strains with strongly contrasting phenotypes for the traits important for cheesemaking that we found to be differentiated between populations (such as volatile compound production, lipolysis and proteolysis) should be used, to maximize variability in the progeny. Other traits may also be worth investigating in the future, to understand the changes that have occurred during the domestication of the two cheese *P. roqueforti* populations, particularly as concerns toxin production in cheeses. Previous *in vitro* studies have shown a lack of production of the toxic mycophenolic acid by the non-Roquefort population due to a deletion in one of the genes of the synthesis pathway (Gillot *et al.*, 2017). In the *P. camemberti* fungus, domestication has also led to a lack of production of a mycotoxin due to a deletion in a gene (Ropars *et al.*, 2020b).

References

- Almeida, M., Hébert, A., Abraham, A.-L., Rasmussen, S., Monnet, C., Pons, N., Delbès-Paus, C., Loux, V., Batto, J.-M., Leonard, P., Kennedy, S., Ehrlich, S., Pop, M., Montel, M.-C., Irlinger, F. & Renault, P. (2014). Construction of a dairy microbial genome catalog opens new perspectives for the metagenomic analysis of dairy fermented products. *BMC Genomics*, 15, 1101.
- Alonso, L., Juarez, M., Ramos, M., & Martín-Alvarez, P. J. (1987). Effects of changes during ripening and frozen storage on the physicochemical and sensory characteristics of Cabrales cheeses. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 22(5), 525–534.
- Andersen, L. T., Ardö, Y., & Bredie, W. L. P. (2010). Study of taste-active compounds in the water-soluble extract of mature Cheddar cheese. *International Dairy Journal*, 20(8), 528–536.
- Andersson, A. F., Lindberg, M., Jakobsson, H., Bäckhed, F., Nyrén, P., & Engstrand, L. (2008). Comparative analysis of human gut microbiota by barcoded pyrosequencing. *PLoS ONE*, 3(7), e2836.
- Anderson, D. F., & Day, E. A. (1966). Quantitation, evaluation, and effect of certain microorganisms on flavor components of blue cheese. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 14(3), 241–245.
- Ardö, Y. (2006). Flavour formation by amino acid catabolism. *Biotechnology Advances*, 24(2), 238–242.
- Ardö, Y., McSweeney, P. L. H., Magboul, A. A. A., Upadhyay, V. K., & Fox, P. F. (2017). Biochemistry of cheese ripening: proteolysis. In P. L. H. McSweeney, P. F. Fox, P. D. Cotter, & D. W. Everett (Eds.), *Cheese: Chemistry, Physics and Microbiology* (Fourth Edi, pp. 445–482). Elsevier Ltd.
- Auguie, B. (2017). gridExtra: miscellaneous functions for “Grid” graphics. Retrieved from <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/gridExtra/index.html>
- Baker, E. P., Wang, B., Bellora, N., Peris, D., Hulfachor, A. B., Koshalek, J. A., Libkind, D. & Hittinger, C. T.(2015). The genome sequence of *Saccharomyces eubayanus* and the domestication of lager-brewing yeasts. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 32(11), 2818–2831.
- Bates, D., Mächloer, M., Bolker, B. M., & Walker, S. C. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 67(1), 1–48.
- Bigelis, R. (2001). Fungal fermentation: industrial. *Encyclopedia of Life Sciences*, 1–8.
- Bjørn-Helge, M., Wehrens, R., & Hovde Liland, K. (2018). pls: partial least squares and principal component regression (R package version 2.7-0). Retrieved from <https://cran.r-project.org/package=pls>
- Cerning, J., Gripon, J.-C., Lamberet, G., & Lenoir, J. (1987). Les activités biochimiques des *Penicillium* utilisés en fromagerie. *Le Lait*, 67(1), 3–39.

- 711 Cheese and processed cheese: determination of chloride content potentiometric titration
712 method. International standards 4a. International Dairy Federation, Brussels, Belgium. 1988.
713 <https://www.iso.org/standard/43922.html>. ISO 5943:2006 [IDF 88:2006]
- 714 Cheese and processed cheese: determination of total solids content. International standards
715 4a. (1982). *International Dairy Federation, Brussels, Belgium*. Brussels, Belgium.
716 <http://doi.org/ISO 5534:2004> [IDF 4:2004]
- 717 Cheeseman, K., Ropars, J., Renault, P., Dupont, J., Gouzy, J., Branca, A., *et al.* (2014).
718 Multiple recent horizontal transfers of a large genomic region in cheese making fungi. *Nature*
719 *Communications*, 5, 2876.
- 720 Collins, Y. F., McSweeney, P. L. H., & Wilkinson, M. G. (2003). Lipolysis and free fatty
721 acid catabolism in cheese: a review of current knowledge. *International Dairy Journal*,
722 13(11), 841–866.
- 723 Coton, E., Coton, M., Hymery, N., Jany, J. L., Mounier, J., & Jany, J. L. (2020b). *Penicillium*
724 *roqueforti* : an overview of its genetics, physiology, metabolism and biotechnological
725 applications. *Fungal Biology Reviews*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbr.2020.03.001>.
- 726 Coton, E., Jany, J.-L., & Coton, M. (2020a). Yeasts and Molds: *Penicillium roqueforti*. In
727 *Reference Module in Food Science* (pp. 1–8). Elsevier.
- 728 De Man, J. C., Rogosa, M., & Sharpe, M. E. (1960). A medium used for the cultivation of
729 *Lactobacilli*. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, 23, 130–135.
- 730 Denis, C., Gueguen, M., Henry, E., & Levert, D. (2001). New media for the numeration of
731 cheese surface bacteria. *Le Lait*, 81(3), 365–379.
- 732 Devoyod, J. J., & Muller, M. (1969). La flore microbienne du fromage de Roquefort III. Les
733 streptocoques lactiques et les *Leuconostoc* ; Influence de différents micro-organismes de
734 contamination. *Le Lait*, 487, 369–399. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1051/lait:196948715>
- 735 Diezhandino, I., Fernández, D., González, L., McSweeney, P. L. H., & Fresno, J. M. (2015).
736 Microbiological, physico-chemical and proteolytic changes in a Spanish blue cheese during
737 ripening (Valdeón cheese). *Food Chemistry*, 168, 134–141.
- 738 Dumas, É., Feurtey, A., Rodríguez de la Vega, R. C., Le Prieur, S., Snirc, A., Coton, M.,
739 Thierry, A., Coton, E., Le Piver, M., Roueyre, D., Ropars, J., Branca, A. & Giraud, T. (2020).
740 Independent domestication events in the blue-cheese fungus *Penicillium roqueforti*.
741 *Molecular Ecology*, 33913(January), 451773.
- 742 Dupont, J., Dequin, S., Giraud, T., Le Tacon, F., Masit, S., Ropars, J., Richard, F. & Selosse,
743 M.-A. (2017). Fungi as a source of food. *Microbiology Spectrum*, 5(3), 1–22.
- 744 Duval, P., Chatelard-Chauvin, C., Gayard, C., Rifa, E., Bouchard, P., Hulin, S., Picque, D. &
745 Montel, M.-C. (2016). Microbial dynamics in industrial blue veined cheeses in different
746 packaging. *International Dairy Journal*, 56, 198–207.
- 747 Edgar, R. C., Haas, B. J., Clemente, J. C., Quince, C., & Knight, R. (2011). UCHIME
748 improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics*, 27(16), 2194–2200.

- 749 Escudié, F., Auer, L., Bernard, M., Mariadassou, M., Cauquil, L., Vidal, K., Maman, S.,
750 Hernandez-Raquet, G., Combes, S. & Pascal, G. (2018). FROGS: Find, Rapidly, OTUs with
751 Galaxy Solution. *Bioinformatics*, 34(8), 1287–1294.
- 752 Fleming, A. (1929). On the antibacterial action of cultures of a *Penicillium*, with special
753 reference to their use in the isolation of *B. influenzae*. *British Journal of Experimental*
754 *Pathology*, 10(3), 226–236.
- 755 Fox, J., & Weisberg, S. (2019). *An {R} Companion to applied Regression*. (T. Oaks, Ed.)
756 (Third). Sage. Retrieved from <https://socialsciences.mcmaster.ca/jfox/Books/Companion/>
- 757 Gallone, B., Steensels, J., Prahl, T., Soriaga, L., Saels, V., Herrera-Malaver, B., Merlevede A,
758 Roncoroni M, Voordeckers K, Miraglia L., Teiling, C., Steffy, B., Taylor, M., Schwartz, A.,
759 Richardson, T., White, C., Baele, G., Maere, S., & Verstrepen, K. J. (2016). Domestication
760 and divergence of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* beer yeasts. *Cell*, 166(6), 1397–1410.
- 761 Ganesan, B., & Weimer, B. C. (2017). Amino acid catabolism and its relationship to cheese
762 flavor outcomes. In P. L. H. McSweeney, P. F. Fox, P. D. Cotter, & D. W. Everett (Eds.),
763 *Cheese: Chemistry, Physics and Microbiology: Fourth Edition* (Fourth Edi, Vol. 1, pp. 483–
764 516). Elsevier Ltd.
- 765 Gatto, L., & Lilley, K. S. (2012). Msnbase-an R/Bioconductor package for isobaric tagged
766 mass spectrometry data visualization, processing and quantitation. *Bioinformatics*, 28(2),
767 288–289.
- 768 Gibbons, J. G., Salichos, L., Slot, J. C., Rinker, D. C., McGary, K. L., King, J. G., Klich
769 M.A., Tabb D.L., McDonald W.H. & Rokas, A. (2012). The evolutionary imprint of
770 domestication on genome variation and function of the filamentous fungus *Aspergillus*
771 *oryzae*. *Current Biology*, 22(15), 1403–1409.
- 772 Gillot, G., Jany, J.-L., Coton, M., Le Floch, G., Debaets, S., Ropars, J., López-Villavicencio
773 M., Dupont J., Branca A., Giraud T. & Coton, E. (2015). (Fifty shades of blue□:) Insights
774 into *Penicillium roqueforti* morphological and genetic diversity. *PLoS ONE*, 10(6),
775 e0129849.
- 776 Gillot, G., Jany, J.-L., Poirier, E., Maillard, M., Debaets, S., Thierry, A., Coton E. & Coton,
777 M. (2017). Functional diversity within the *Penicillium roqueforti* species. *International*
778 *Journal of Food Microbiology*, 241, 141–150.
- 779 Giraud, T., Koskella, B., & Laine, A.-L. (2017). Introduction: microbial local adaptation:
780 insights from natural populations, genomics and experimental evolution. *Molecular Ecology*,
781 26(7), 1703–1710.
- 782 Gladieux, P., Ropars, J., Badouin, H., Branca, A., Aguilera, G., De Vienne, D. M., Rodríguez
783 De La Vega R.C., Branco S. & Giraud, T. (2014). Fungal evolutionary genomics provides
784 insight into the mechanisms of adaptive divergence in eukaryotes. *Molecular Ecology*, 23(4),
785 753–773.
- 786 Gomri, G. (1946). Buffers in the range of pH 6.5 to 9.6. *Proceedings of Society for*
787 *Experimental Biology and Medicine*, 6(1), 33–34.

- 788 Gonçalves, M., Pontes, A., Almeida, P., Barbosa, R., Serra, M., Libkind, D., Hutzler M.,
789 Gonçalves P. & Sampaio, J. P. (2016). Distinct domestication trajectories in top-fermenting
790 beer yeasts and wine yeasts. *Current Biology*, 26(20), 2750–2761.
- 791 González de Llano, D., Ramos, M., Polo, C., Sanz, J., & Martinez-Castro, I. (1990).
792 Evolution of the volatile components of an artisanal blue cheese during ripening. *Journal of*
793 *Dairy Science*, 73(7), 1676–1683.
- 794 González de Llano, D., Ramos, M., Rodriguez, A., Montilla, A., & Juarez, M. (1992).
795 Microbiological and physicochemical characteristics of Gamonedo blue cheese during
796 ripening. *International Dairy Journal*, 2(2), 121–135.
- 797 Hébert, A., Forquin-Gomez, M. P., Roux, A., Aubert, J., Junot, C., Heilier, J. F., Landaud S.,
798 Bonnamy P. & Beckerich, J. M. (2013). New insights into sulfur metabolism in yeasts as
799 revealed by studies of *Yarrowia lipolytica*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 79(4),
800 1200–1211.
- 801 ISO. (2004). Determination of water activity NF ISO 21807. *International Standardisation*
802 *Organisation*. <http://doi.org/ISO 21807:2004>
- 803 Kinderlerer, J. L. (1993). Fungal strategies for detoxification of medium chain fatty acids.
804 *International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation*, 32(1–3), 213–224.
- 805 Kinsella, J. E., & Hwang, D. H. (1976). Enzymes of *Penicillium roqueforti* involved in the
806 biosynthesis of cheese flavor. *C R C Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 8(2),
807 191–228.
- 808 Kopp, B., & Rehm, H. J. (1979). Antimicrobial action of roquefortine. *European Journal of*
809 *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 6, 397–401 (1979).
- 810 Kuhl, C., Tautenhahn, R., Böttcher, C., Larson, T. R., & Neumann, S. (2012). CAMERA: An
811 integrated strategy for compound spectra extraction and annotation of liquid
812 chromatography/mass spectrometry data sets. *Analytical Chemistry*, 84(1), 283–289.
- 813 Labbe, M., & Serres, J. P. (2004). *Chroniques du Roquefort - De la préhistoire à l'aube*
814 *industrielle*. Grand Imprimeur, La Primaube, France.
- 815 Labbe, M., & Serres, J. P. (2009). *Chroniques du Roquefort: des Hommes, des entreprises,*
816 *des marques, période moderne*. Grand Imprimeur, La Primaube, France.
- 817 Larsen, M. D., & Jensen, K. (1999). The effects of environmental conditions on the lipolytic
818 activity of strains of *Penicillium roqueforti*. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*,
819 46(2), 159–166.
- 820 Larsen, M. D., Kristiansen, K. R., & Hansen, T. K. (1998). Characterization of the proteolytic
821 activity of starter cultures of *Penicillium roqueforti* for production of blue veined cheeses.
822 *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 43(3), 215–221.
- 823 Larson, G., & Fuller, D. Q. (2014). The evolution of animal domestication. *Annual Review of*
824 *Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 45, 115–136.

- 825 Lazuka, A., Auer, L., Bozonnet, S., Morgavi, D. P., O'Donohue, M., & Hernandez-Raquet,
826 G. (2015). Efficient anaerobic transformation of raw wheat straw by a robust cow rumen-
827 derived microbial consortium. *Bioresource Technology*, 196, 241–249.
- 828 Le Boucher, C., Courant, F., Jeanson, S., Chereau, S., Maillard, M., Royer, A. L., Thierry A.,
829 Dervilly-Pinel G., Le Bizec B. & Lortal, S. (2013). First mass spectrometry metabolic
830 fingerprinting of bacterial metabolism in a model cheese. *Food Chemistry*, 141(2), 1032–
831 1040.
- 832 Legras, J.-L., Galeote, V., Bigey, F., Camarasa, C., Marsit, S., Nidelet, T., Couloux A., Guy
833 J., Franco-Duarte R. Marcet-Houben, M. Gabaldon, T., Schuller, D., Sampaio, J. P. &
834 Dequin, S. (2018). Adaptation of *S. cerevisiae* to fermented food environments reveals
835 remarkable genome plasticity and the footprints of domestication. *Molecular Biology and*
836 *Evolution*, 35(7), 1712–1727.
- 837 Libkind, D., Hittinger, C. T., Valério, E., Gonçalves, C., Dover, J., Johnston, M., Gonçalves,
838 P. & Sampaio, J. P. (2011). Microbe domestication and the identification of the wild genetic
839 stock of lager-brewing yeast. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*
840 *States of America*, 108(35), 14539–14544.
- 841 Liu, Z., Lozupone, C., Hamady, M., Bushman, F. D., & Knight, R. (2007). Short
842 pyrosequencing reads suffice for accurate microbial community analysis. *Nucleic Acids*
843 *Research*, 35(18), e120.
- 844 Ludemann, V., Greco, M., Rodríguez, M. P., Basílico, J. C., & Pardo, A. G. (2010). Conidial
845 production by *Penicillium nalgioense* for use as starter cultures in dry fermented sausages
846 by solid state fermentation. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 43(2), 315–318.
- 847 Mahé, F., Rognes, T., Quince, C., de Vargas, C., & Dunthorn, M. (2014). Swarm: robust and
848 fast clustering method for amplicon-based studies. *PeerJ*, 2, e593.
- 849 Martín, J.-F., & Coton, M. (2016). Blue cheese: microbiota and fungal metabolites. In J.
850 Frias, C. Martinez-Villaluenga, & E. Peñas (Eds.), *Fermented Foods in Health and Disease*
851 *Prevention* (pp. 275–303). Elsevier Inc.
- 852 Mason, A. B., & Dufour, J. P. (2000). Alcohol acetyltransferases and the significance of ester
853 synthesis in yeast. *Yeast*, 16(14), 1287–1298.
- 854 Mayeux, J. V, Sandine, W. E., & Elliker, P. R. (1962). A selective medium for detecting
855 *Leuconostoc* organisms in mixed-strain starter cultures. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 45, 655–
856 656.
- 857 McSweeney, P. L. H. (1997). The flavour of milk and dairy products: III. Cheese: Taste.
858 *International Journal of Dairy Technology*, 50(4), 123–128.
- 859 McSweeney, P. L. H., Fox, P. F., & Ciocia, F. (2017). Metabolism of residual lactose and of
860 lactate and citrate. In P. L. H. McSweeney, P. F. Fox, P. D. Cotter, & D. W. Everett (Eds.),
861 *Cheese: Chemistry, Physics and Microbiology: Fourth Edition* (Fourth Edi, pp. 411–421).
862 Elsevier Ltd.
- 863 McSweeney, P. L. H., & Sousa, M. J. (2000). Biochemical pathways for the production of
864 flavour compounds in cheeses during ripening: A review. *Le Lait*, 80(3), 293–324.

- 865 Moio, L., Piombino, P., & Addeo, F. (2000). Odour-impact compounds of Gorgonzola
866 cheese. *Journal of Dairy Research*, 67(2), 273–285.
- 867 Moreau, C. (1980). Le *Penicillium roqueforti*, morphologie, physiologie, intérêt en industrie
868 fromagère, mycotoxines. *Le Lait*, 60(595–596), 254–271.
- 869 Mossel, D. A. A., Eelderink, I., Koopmans, M., & Van Rossem, F. (1978). Optimisation of a
870 MacConkey-type medium for the enumeration of *Enterobacteriaceae*. *Laboratory Practices*,
871 27, 1049–1050.
- 872 Mossel, D. A. A., Kleynen-Semmeling, A. M. C., Vincentie, H. M., Beerens, H., &
873 Catsaras, M. (1970). Oxytetracycline-Glucose-Yeast extract agar for selective enumeration
874 of moulds and yeasts in foods and clinical material. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, 33(3),
875 454–457.
- 876 Nelson, F. E. (1940). The effect of the new standard milk agar on the plate count of dairy
877 products. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 39(3), 263–272. Retrieved from
878 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC374570/>
- 879 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, G. F., Firendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., Minchin,
880 P.R., O'Hara, R.B., Simpson, G.L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M. H. H., Szoecs, E. & Wagner, H.
881 (2019). vegan: community ecology package. Retrieved from [https://cran.r-](https://cran.r-project.org/package=vegan)
882 [project.org/package=vegan](https://cran.r-project.org/package=vegan)
- 883 Perrone, G., Samson, R. A., Frisvad, J. C., Susca, A., Gunde-Cimerman, N., Epifani, F., &
884 Houbraken, J. A. M. P. (2015). *Penicillium salamii*, a new species occurring during seasoning
885 of dry-cured meat. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 193, 91–98.
- 886 Pham, V. T., Lacroix, C., Braegger, C. P., & Chassard, C. (2016). Early colonization of
887 functional groups of microbes in the infant gut. *Environmental Microbiology*, 18(7), 2246–
888 2258.
- 889 Pitt, J. I., & Hocking, A. D. (2009). *Fungi and food spoilage* (Springer). Springer, Boston,
890 MA.
- 891 Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., & Mahé, F. (2016). VSEARCH: a versatile
892 open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ*, 4, e2584.
- 893 Ropars J., Bennetot B., Caron T., Lo Y.C. and Giraud T. (2020a). The domestication of
894 *Penicillium* cheese fungi. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences - Biologie*, 343, 155-
895 176.
- 896 Ropars, J., Cruaud, C., Lacoste, S., & Dupont, J. (2012). A taxonomic and ecological
897 overview of cheese fungi. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 155(3), 199–210.
- 898 Ropars J., Didiot E., Rodriguez de la Vega R., Bennetot B., Coton M., Coton E., Snirc A., Le
899 Prieur S. and Giraud T. (2020b). Domestication of the emblematic white cheese-making
900 fungus *Penicillium camemberti* and its diversification into two varieties *Current Biology*, 30,
901 4441-4453.
- 902 Ropars, J., Lo, Y.-C., Dumas, É., Snirc, A., Begerow, D., Rollnik, T., Lacoste, S., Dupont, J.,
903 Giraud, T. & López-Villavicencio, M. (2016). Fertility depression among cheese-making

- 904 *Penicillium roqueforti* strains suggests degeneration during domestication. *Evolution*, 70(9),
905 2099–2109.
- 906 Ropars, J., López-Villavicencio, M., Dupont, J., Snirc, A., Gillot, G., Coton, M., Jany, J.-L.,
907 Coton, E. & Giraud, T. (2014). Induction of sexual reproduction and genetic diversity in the
908 cheese fungus *Penicillium roqueforti*. *Evolutionary Applications*, 7(4), 433–441.
- 909 Ropars, J., López-Villavicencio, M., Snirc, A., Lacoste, S., & Giraud, T. (2017). Blue cheese-
910 making has shaped the population genetic structure of the mould *Penicillium roqueforti*.
911 *PLoS ONE*, 12(3), e0171387.
- 912 Ropars, J., Rodríguez de la Vega, R. C., Gouzy, J., Dupont, J., Swennen, D., Dumas, É.,
913 Giraud, T. & Branca, A. (2016). Diversity and mechanisms of genomic adaptation in
914 *Penicillium*. In R. P. de Vries, I. Benoit Gelber, & A. Rordam (Eds.), *Aspergillus and*
915 *Penicillium in the post-genomic era* (HAL). Caister Academic Press.
- 916 Ropars, J., Rodríguez de la Vega, R. C., López-Villavicencio, M., Gouzy, J., Sallet, E.,
917 Dumas, É., Lacoste, S., Debuchy, R., Dupont, J., Branca, A. & Giraud, T. (2015). Adaptive
918 horizontal gene transfers between multiple cheese-associated fungi. *Current Biology*, 25(19),
919 2562–2569.
- 920 Rothe, M., Engst, W., & Erhardt, V. (1982). Studies on characterization of blue cheese
921 flavour. *Die Nahrung*, 26(7/8), 591–602.
- 922 Roudot-Algaron, F. (1996). Le goût des acides aminés, des peptides et des protéines:
923 Exemple de peptides sapides dans les hydrolysats de caséines. *Lait*, 76(4), 313–348.
- 924 Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S., & Eliceiri, K. W. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years
925 of image analysis. *Nature Methods*, 9(7), 671–675.
- 926 Schnurer, J. (1993). Comparison of methods for estimating the biomass of three food-borne
927 fungi with different growth patterns. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 59(2), 552–
928 555.
- 929 Searle, S. R., Speed, F. M., & Milliken, G. A. (1980). Population marginal means in the
930 linear model: An alternative to least squares means. *American Statistician*, 34(4), 216–221.
- 931 Sicard, D., & Legras, J.-L. (2011). Bread, beer and wine: Yeast domestication in the
932 *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* complex. *Comptes Rendus - Biologies*, 334(3), 229–236.
- 933 Smith, C. A., Want, E. J., O'Maille, G., Abagyan, R., & Siuzdak, G. (2006). XCMS:
934 Processing mass spectrometry data for metabolite profiling using nonlinear peak alignment,
935 matching, and identification. *Analytical Chemistry*, 78(3), 779–787.
- 936 Snow, G. (2016). TeachingDemos: Demonstrations for teaching and learning. Retrieved from
937 <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/TeachingDemos/index.html>
- 938 Spinnler, H.-E. (2011). Rôle des lipides dans la perception olfactive des produits laitiers.
939 *Sciences Des Aliments*, 30, 103–120.
- 940 Terzaghi, B. E., & Sandine, W. E. (1975). Improved medium for lactic streptococci and their
941 bacteriophages. *Applied Microbiology*, 29(6), 807–813.

- 942 Thierry, A., Collins, Y. F., Abeijón Mukdsi, M. C., McSweeney, P. L. H., Wilkinson, M. G.,
943 & Spinnler, H.-E. (2017). Lipolysis and metabolism of fatty acids in cheese. In P. L. H.
944 McSweeney, P. F. Fox, P. D. Cotter, & D. W. Everett (Eds.), *Cheese: Chemistry, Physics and*
945 *Microbiology: Fourth Edition* (Fourth Edi, pp. 423–444). Elsevier Ltd.
- 946 Urbanek, S., & Horner, J. (2019). Cairo: R graphics device using Cairo graphics library for
947 creating high-quality bitmap (PNG, JPEG, TIFF), vector (PDF, SVG, PostScript) and display
948 (X11 and Win32) output. Retrieved from [https://cran.r-](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/Cairo/index.html)
949 [project.org/web/packages/Cairo/index.html](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/Cairo/index.html)
- 950 Vabre, S. (2015). *Le sacre du Roquefort*. Presses universitaires François Rabelais, Tours,
951 France.
- 952 Vallone, L., Giardini, A., & Soncini, G. (2014). Secondary metabolites from *Penicillium*
953 *roqueforti*, a starter for the production of Gorgonzola cheese. *Italian Journal of Food Safety*,
954 3(3), 173–177 (2118).
- 955 Van Den Boogaart, K. G., Tolosana-Delgado, R., & Bren, M. (2018). compositions: A
956 unified R package to analyze compositional data. *Computers & Geosciences*, 34(4), 320–338.
- 957 Villanueva, R. A. M., Chen, Z. J., & Wickham, H. (2016). *ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data*
958 *analysis using the grammar of graphics* (2nd Editio). Springer-Verlag New York.
- 959 Wickham, H. (2011). The split-apply-combine strategy for data analysis. *Journal of*
960 *Statistical Software*, 40(1), 1–29.
- 961 Wilke, C. O. (2019). cowplot: Streamlined plot theme and plot annotations for “ggplot2”.
962 Retrieved from <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/cowplot/index.html>
- 963 Williams, A. G., Beattie, S. H., & Banks, J. M. (2004). Enzymes involved in flavour
964 formation by bacteria isolated from the smear population of surface-ripened cheese.
965 *International Journal of Dairy Technology*, 57(1), 7–13.
- 966 Woo, A. H., & Lindsay, R. C. (1984). Concentrations of major free fatty acids and flavor
967 development in Italian cheese varieties. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 67(5), 960–968.

Figure legends

Figure 1: Experimental cheesemaking. (A) Experimental design for cheesemaking, using one strain per cheese, and three different strains from each of the four *Penicillium roqueforti* populations (non-Roquefort cheese in blue, Roquefort cheese in purple, silage/food spoiler in orange, lumber/food spoiler in green, the lineages of which are shown on the left). Each assay (February, March, April) corresponded to a single strain from each of the four populations, with three production replicates at different times, different batches of unpasteurized milk and with two cheeses produced per strain in each replicate. The identities of the strains used are indicated on the left of each assay, for each of the four *P. roqueforti* populations. (B) Photograph of the experimental cheeses after 20 days of maturation.

Figure 2: (A) Relative abundance of the six main bacterial operational taxonomic units in cheeses made with strains from the four *Penicillium roqueforti* populations (non-Roquefort cheese in blue, Roquefort cheese in purple, silage/food spoiler in orange and lumber/food spoiler in green) in cheeses at 9 (left) and 20 (right) days of maturation. (B & C) Mean bacterial genus diversity; A: Shannon index, B: Inverse of Simpson index ($= 1 - \text{Simpson index}$) for the operational taxonomic units detected by metabarcoding in 9-day cheeses (left) and 20-day cheeses (right) made with strains from the four *Penicillium roqueforti* populations (lumber/food spoiler in green, non-Roquefort cheese in blue, Roquefort cheese in purple and silage/food spoiler in orange).

Figure 3: (A) Mean percentage blue area per cheese slice at 20, 90 and 180 days of maturation, for cheeses made with strains from the four *Penicillium roqueforti* populations (non-Roquefort cheese in blue, Roquefort cheese in purple, silage/food spoiler in orange and lumber/food spoiler in green). Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. (B) Illustration

994 of the differences in mean percentage blue area per cheese slice at 180 days of maturation
 995 between the four *Penicillium roqueforti* populations (non-Roquefort cheese in blue,
 996 Roquefort cheese in purple, silage/food spoiler in orange and lumber/food spoiler in green).
 997 Contrast and brightness have been standardized and the edges cropped.

998

999 **Figure 4:** Violin plot depicting the distribution of the sums of 3,864 non-targeted aqueous
 1000 signal peak areas, weighted by their mass-to-charge ratios (“m/z”), obtained in positive
 1001 ionization mode for 90-day cheeses made with strains from the four *Penicillium roqueforti*
 1002 populations (lumber/food spoiler in green, non-Roquefort cheese in blue, Roquefort cheese in
 1003 purple and silage/food spoiler in orange). Boxplots within violin plots represent the median
 1004 (center line), the 25th and 75th percentiles (box bounds), the 5th and 95th percentiles
 1005 (whiskers), the points being the outliers from these 95th and 5th percentiles. The red dots
 1006 depict the mean values.

1007

1008 **Figure 5:** Violin plot depicting the distribution of the sums of 3,094 non-targeted organic
 1009 signal peak areas, weighted by their mass-to-charge ratios (“m/z”), obtained in negative
 1010 ionization mode for 90-day cheeses made with strains from the four *Penicillium roqueforti*
 1011 populations (lumber/food spoiler in green, non-Roquefort cheese in blue, Roquefort cheese in
 1012 purple and silage/food spoiler in orange). Boxplots within violin plots represent the median
 1013 (center line), the 25th and 75th percentiles (box bounds), the 5th and 95th percentiles
 1014 (whiskers), and the red dots depict the mean values.

1015

1016 **Figure 6:** Volatile compound production (integrated peak areas from chromatograms in
 1017 arbitrary units) in 90-day cheeses inoculated with strains from the four *Penicillium roqueforti*
 1018 populations (non-Roquefort cheese in blue, Roquefort cheese in purple, silage/food spoiler in

orange and lumber/food spoiler in green). The areas for each family of compounds are the sum of the integrated areas of the compounds belonging to the family concerned. Alcohols I and II are derived from proteolysis and lipolysis, respectively. Acids I, II and III are derived from proteolysis, glycolysis and lipolysis, respectively (Supplementary Table 3). The color of the titles indicates the affiliation of the compounds to their families, as in Figure S6.

Figure 7: Integrated surface area (from chromatograms in arbitrary units) of the three most discriminant methyl ketones (A) and four secondary alcohols (B) for each assay (February, March, April) for the three strains of each *Penicillium roqueforti* population (lumber/food spoiler in green, non-Roquefort cheese in blue, Roquefort cheese in purple, silage/food spoiler in orange). Error bars represent standard deviations across cheese replicates.

Figure legends for the Supplementary Material

Figure S1: Abundance of microorganisms (in log colony-forming units/g) of the eight types monitored at various stages of cheese maturation (i.e. unpasteurized milk, 9, 20, 90 and 180 days), for each of the four *Penicillium roqueforti* populations used to inoculate the cheeses (non-Roquefort cheese in blue, Roquefort cheese in purple, silage/food spoiler in orange and lumber/food spoiler in green). Error bars represent standard deviations across assays.

Figure S2: Illustration of image processing for estimation of the percentage blue area on cheese slices: (a) example of an unprocessed image of a cheese slice; (b) image after brightness and contrast standardization; (c) image after cropping; (d) corresponding image binarization with a grayscale of 102 on the red channel. White and black correspond to pixel classification: in white, the inner part of the cheese and empty cavities; in black, cavities filled with the fungus.

1044

1045 **Figure S3:** Differences in aminoacid content between cheeses according to the population-of-
1046 origin of the *Penicillium roqueforti* strains. A. Discrimination between 90-day cheeses made
1047 with cheese (blue) and non-cheese (green) *P. roqueforti* populations (left), or Roquefort
1048 cheese (purple) and non-Roquefort cheese (blue) *P. roqueforti* populations (right), based on
1049 the abundance of the 23 identified amino acids present, according to an orthogonal signal-
1050 corrected partial least squares (PLS) discriminant analysis. Vertical and horizontal axes
1051 represent PLS1 and PLS 2 scores and gray arrows represent the relative contribution of
1052 loadings of signals significantly discriminating the group considered in a *t*-test with jackknife
1053 resampling. B. Abundance of molecules from particular classes detected in cheeses: mean
1054 integrated peak area from chromatograms in arbitrary units (bars, left axis) and cumulative
1055 percentage (line with dots, right axis) of aqueous extracts across all 90-day cheeses.

1056

1057 **Figure S4:** Violin plot depicting the distribution of the sums of 8,472 non-targeted organic
1058 signal peak areas, weighted by their mass-to-charge ratios (“m/z”), obtained in positive
1059 ionization mode for 90-day cheeses made with strains from the four *Penicillium roqueforti*
1060 populations (lumber/food spoiler in green, non-Roquefort cheese in blue, Roquefort cheese in
1061 purple and silage/food spoiler in orange). Boxplots within violin plots represent the median
1062 (center line), the 25th and 75th percentiles (box bounds), the 5th and 95th percentiles
1063 (whiskers), and the red dots depict the mean values.

1064

1065 **Figure S5:** Non-protein nitrogen levels at 20, 90 and 180 days of maturation, and water
1066 activity at 90 and 180 days of maturation. Comparison of cheeses made with strains from
1067 different *Penicillium roqueforti* populations (non-Roquefort cheese in blue, Roquefort cheese
1068 in purple, silage/food spoiler in orange and lumber/food spoiler in green). Error bars indicate

1069 95% confidence intervals.

1070

1071 **Figure S6:** Discrimination between 90-day cheeses inoculated with strains from the four
 1072 *Penicillium roqueforti* populations (non-Roquefort cheese in blue, Roquefort cheese in
 1073 purple, silage/food spoiler in yellow and lumber/food spoiler in green), based on the
 1074 abundance of 41 volatile compounds in an orthogonal signal-corrected partial least squares
 1075 (PLS) discriminant analysis. Vertical and horizontal axes represent the PLS1 and PLS2
 1076 variances, and arrows represent the relative contributions of compound odor loadings
 1077 significantly discriminating the group considered (according to
 1078 www.thegoodscentscompany.com) in a *t*-test with jackknife resampling. The odor colors
 1079 indicate the families in Figure 6 to which the associated compounds belong.

1080

1081 **Conflict of interest:** TC, MLP, SB, DR and MP were employed by SAS LIP, which
 1082 produces starters for fermented food products, during the course of the study and therefore
 1083 declare a competing financial interest. None of the other authors has any conflict of interest to
 1084 declare. With the exception of TC employed by the LIP, the funders had no role in decisions
 1085 concerning design, data analysis and interpretation, or in the decision to submit the work for
 1086 publication.

Figure 1

Figure 2A

Figure 2B

Figure 2C

Figure 3A

Figure 3B

Non-cheese <i>P. roqueforti</i> populations	Cheese <i>P. roqueforti</i> populations
<p data-bbox="285 158 788 202">lumber/food spoiler (5.2 %)</p> <p>This micrograph shows a dense population of P. roqueforti in a non-cheese environment (lumber/food spoiler). The mold appears as numerous small, dark, branching structures against a light, granular background.</p>	<p data-bbox="1033 158 1464 202">non-Roquefort (10.2 %)</p> <p>This micrograph shows a dense population of P. roqueforti in a non-Roquefort cheese environment. The mold is visible as dark, branching filaments distributed throughout the cheese matrix.</p>
<p data-bbox="285 616 757 660">silage/food spoiler (5.5%)</p> <p>This micrograph shows a dense population of P. roqueforti in a silage/food spoiler environment. The mold is characterized by dark, branching structures on a light background.</p>	<p data-bbox="1033 616 1381 660">Roquefort (11.8 %)</p> <p>This micrograph shows a dense population of P. roqueforti in a Roquefort cheese environment. The mold is visible as dark, branching filaments within the cheese.</p>

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7