Ventilatory oscillations at exercise in hypoxia: a mathematical model.

Eric Hermand, François J Lhuissier, Nicolas Voituron, Jean-Paul Richalet

Université Paris 13, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Laboratoire “Hypoxie et poumons”, EA2363,
74 rue Marcel Cachin, 93017 Bobigny Cedex, France

Corresponding authors

richalet@univ-paris13.fr (J.P. Richalet)

eric.hermand17@gmail.com (E. Hermand)

Laboratoire “Hypoxie & poumon”, EA2363

74 rue Marcel Cachin

93017 Bobigny Cedex, FRANCE

Tel: 33148387758 / Fax: 33148388924

Word count for manuscript: 4927

Keywords: ventilatory oscillations, periodic breathing, hypoxia, exercise, gain, delays, O₂, CO₂, chemoreflex, modeling.

Abstract

We evaluated the mechanisms responsible for the instability of ventilation control system under simultaneous metabolic (exercise) and environmental (hypoxia) stresses, promoting the genesis of periodic breathing. A model following the main concepts of ventilatory control has been tested, including cardiovascular and respiratory parameters, characteristics of peripheral and central chemoreceptors, at mild exercise in hypoxia ($FIO_2=0.145$). Interaction between O_2 and CO_2 sensing was introduced following three different modalities. A sensitivity and multivariate regression analyses closely matched with physiological data for magnitude and period of oscillations. Low FIO_2 and long circulatory delay from lungs to peripheral chemoreceptors (ΔT_p) lengthen the period of oscillations, while high peripheral and central chemoresponses to O_2 and CO_2 , low FIO_2 and high ΔT_p increased their magnitude. Peripheral and central O_2 / CO_2 interactions highlight the role of CO_2 on peripheral gain to O_2 and the contribution of peripheral afferences on central gain to CO_2 . Our model supports the key role of peripheral chemoreceptors in the genesis of ventilatory oscillations. Differences in the dynamics of central and peripheral components might be determinant for the system stability.

1. Introduction

Recent observations showed the existence of ventilatory oscillations in hypoxia during exercise (Hermand et al., 2015a, 2015b; Latshang et al., 2013). This instability of the ventilatory control system was related, at exercise, to cardiac output, minute ventilation, ventilatory response to hypoxia and to hypercapnia (Hermand et al., 2015a, 2015b). A subtle interplay between CO₂ and O₂ sensing seemed to play a major role in the underlying mechanisms. However, considering the complexity of the system, we thought that developing a model of ventilatory control in these specific conditions of hypoxia and exercise would allow us to unravel the factors involved in this instability.

The first attempt of modeling the ventilatory control system was developed by Grodins, and was based on mass balance equation for O₂ and CO₂ (Grodins et al., 1967). Many of the next developed models followed this approach. Whereas most of them were focused on steady state breathing under hypoxia and/or hypercapnia, only a few covered the complex topic of stability of ventilation control. Longobardo (Longobardo et al., 1982) and Khoo (Khoo et al., 1982) developed models describing the mechanisms of ventilatory oscillations in sleep apnea syndrome (SAS) and chronic heart failure (CHF). Models became more complex with the addition of numerous cardiorespiratory and neural inputs, and the technological and computing progress (Fan and Khoo, 2002). These simulations have brought valuable clinical insights in our understanding of breathing disorders in SAS and CHF patients, both in their intrinsic mechanisms and in the potential treatments by O₂ or CO₂ inhalation (Cherniack, 2005).

However, to our knowledge, no dynamic model involving both hypoxia and exercise has been built yet. This double concomitant stress destabilizes the ventilatory control system, enhances the overall loop gain of the system, leading to the genesis of ventilatory oscillations. This phenomenon, not characterized until very recently, is not included in nor explained by any of the existing models. Therefore, in order to deepen our understanding of mechanisms and parameters influencing the ventilatory system stability under these specific physiological and environmental stimuli, our objective was to develop a new mathematical model including most of the processes involved in ventilatory control, and to identify the physiological factors that could account for our recent observations (Hermand et al., 2015a, 2015b). However, as a rule in modeling physiological processes, we aimed to find the *simplest* model that could account for the physiological observations (Richalet, 1991).

2. Methods

2.1. Model description

Our model of ventilation control can be described as a pulmonary gas exchange system and chemoreflex / regulation centers, connected by the cardiovascular system, which transports blood gas

information (Fig. 1) (Duffin, 2005; Grodins et al., 1967; Wolf and Garner, 2007). The cardiovascular and ventilatory systems are functioning tightly together and are dependent upon each other in a complex and time-related way. In existing models, the emergence of oscillations in SAS and CHF is conditioned by two main factors: a longer circulation time from lung to peripheral and central chemoreceptors, and a higher chemosensitivity to O_2 and CO_2 . Those parameters will be included into our model, and their assigned values will vary accordingly to: 1. a metabolic stress, exercise, which will impact O_2 consumption ($\dot{V}O_2$), CO_2 production ($\dot{V}CO_2$) and cardiac output ($\dot{Q}_c$) in a different way than in sleep apneas or heart failure; 2. an environmental stress, hypoxia, with an inhaled fraction of O_2 (FIO_2) varying from a simulated sea level ($FIO_2 = 0.21$) to a 4800 m altitude ($FIO_2 = 0.115$).

Figure 1. Respiratory control model. Minute ventilation ($\dot{V}_E$) is the sum of a basal value represented by the central command adapted to the metabolic needs (rest/exercise), modulated by peripheral and central components. The P_aO_2 and P_aCO_2 gas information is transported to peripheral and central chemoreceptors respectively after a pure time delay of blood convection. The respiratory control center is then stimulated to adjust $\dot{V}_E$ according to P_aO_2 and P_aCO_2 set points.

2.1.1. Protocol design

In accordance with our previous work (Hermand et al., 2015b), our model consists in two successive phases built to simulate similar protocol conditions: rest and mild exercise, either in normoxia or hypoxia, the latter obtained by breathing a hypoxic gas mixture, arterial blood CO_2 pressure (P_aCO_2) being free to vary (poikilocapnia).

To remain close to physiological behavior, time constants are applied to the basal cardiorespiratory parameters ($\dot{Q}_c$, $\dot{V}O_2$, and ventilation $\dot{V}_E$) in the transitions from normoxia to hypoxia and from rest to

55 exercise. We obtained realistic values of these time constants by identifying our experimental data
 56 with a simple first order system (Fig. 2).

Figure 2. Example of identification of transition from normoxia to hypoxia at exercise for the determination of time constants, between physiological data ($S_{aO_2\text{exp}}$ and $\dot{V}E_{\text{exp}}$, dashed lines) and model ($S_{aO_2\text{mod}}$ and $\dot{V}E_{\text{mod}}$, solid lines).

57

58 2.1.2. Mass balance equations

59 Mass balance equations are defined for each state variable, per unit of time.

60 Model inputs are O_2 fraction in the inspired air (FIO_2), O_2 consumption rate ($\dot{V}O_2$), cardiac output ($\dot{Q}_c$),
 61 ventilatory equivalent for O_2 (EVO_2), respiratory quotient (QR), alveolar/total ventilation ratio
 62 ($rVAVE$), circulation time from lungs to central and peripheral chemoreceptors (see section 2.1.4),
 63 gains of ventilatory responses to O_2 and CO_2 (see section 2.1.5). Model outputs are minute ventilation
 64 ($\dot{V}E$), arterial O_2 pressure and saturation (P_{aO_2} and S_{aO_2}) and arterial CO_2 pressure (P_{aCO_2}).
 65 Intrapulmonary and cardiac shunts are negligible at rest and during mild exercise.

66

67 2.1.3. Ventilatory control system

68 Two distinct components are included in total ventilation ($\dot{V}E$), in addition to a basal ventilation ($\dot{V}Eb$)
 69 determined by the central command: the ventilatory response from peripheral chemoreceptors ($\dot{V}Ep$)
 70 and the ventilatory response from central chemoreceptors ($\dot{V}Ec$), when activated by hypoxia and/or
 71 hypercapnia.

$$\dot{V}E = \dot{V}Eb + \dot{V}Ep + \dot{V}Ec$$

In case of disturbances in the ventilatory system, the central and peripheral components will act as negative feedback mechanisms to bring back the system to equilibrium, represented by P_aCO_2 and P_aO_2 set points.

Ventilatory response to hypoxia at rest shows a biphasic response: first, a rise of ventilation due to the activation of peripheral chemoreceptors by the decrease of arterial O_2 pressure; then a progressive decline, called hypoxic ventilatory depression (HVD), after several minutes (Khamnei and Robbins, 1990), mainly due to prolonged neural hypoxia and central CO_2 washout caused by an increase of cerebral blood flow (Ursino et al., 2001). Most models integrate HVD. However, during mild exercise, HVD is largely blunted (Ward and Nguyen, 1991) and our experimental data confirm that ventilation remains steady during the entire exercise phase. Therefore, HVD mechanism was not included in our model.

2.1.4. Cardiovascular control: cardiac output, cerebral blood flow and circulation delay

Data from the literature and from our own experimental observations indicate that cardiac output is increased twofold during mild exercise (30% of maximal aerobic power), compared to rest (Hermand et al., 2015a, 2015b).

In order to facilitate the simulation process and the understanding of the influence of cardiac and cerebral blood flow, depending on several parameters such as blood velocities and vessel diameters in the successive arteries, we computed two circulation delays from lungs to peripheral chemoreceptors (ΔT_p) and from lungs to central chemoreceptors (ΔT_c) respectively. We assume that circulation delays are reduced when passing from rest to exercise and from normoxia to hypoxia.

At the central level, the delay between an instantaneous change of O_2 and CO_2 pressure in the lungs and the corresponding ventilatory response can be split into a circulatory delay and a tissue delay including the diffusion into the tissue (kinetic of H^+ ions crossing the blood-brain barrier) and a neural delay from the chemoreceptors to integration centers and down to ventilatory muscles. Tissue delay is considered negligible at peripheral chemoreceptors, whereas a significant tissue delay (ΔT_{ct}) will be added to the central circulation delay (Ogoh and Ainslie, 2009). Therefore, the delay between changes in O_2 and CO_2 pressures in the lungs and the ventilatory response via central chemoreceptors is the sum

$$\Delta T_{cc} = \Delta T_c + \Delta T_{ct}$$

2.1.5. *Peripheral and central chemoreflex: O₂ and CO₂ gains*

The mechanisms of central and peripheral chemoreceptor activation (Grodins et al., 1967; Richalet, 1991) are represented by relations between gas pressure changes and ventilation, with a pure delay, involving O₂ and CO₂ set points and gains.

pH is generally well regulated by the organism at rest and at low intensity exercise, and therefore no acidosis is observed in the present condition (Sun et al., 2001), and therefore there is no change of peripheral chemoreceptor activity due to a change in blood pH.

The interaction between O₂ and CO₂ stimuli at the level of the peripheral or central chemoreceptors has been introduced in the model to evaluate its possible implication in the generation of instability (Blain et al., 2010; Duffin, 2010; Smith et al., 2015). Recent works from the Dempsey group (Blain et al., 2010; Smith et al., 2015) confirmed and quantified, in a mammal model, the interaction between peripheral and central chemoreceptors, and between CO₂ and O₂: the nucleus tractus solitarius (NTS) receives afferences from the peripheral chemoreceptors and sends projections into the central respiratory command and, potentially, the central chemoreceptors (Smith et al., 2013).

This led us to design and test three models of combined effects of O₂ and CO₂ (fig. 3): 1) *Additive model*. additive effects of central response to CO₂ and peripheral responses to O₂ and CO₂. The latter (GCO_{2p}) is defined as a ratio (pcratio) of the peripheral/central gain to CO₂ (GCO_{2c}) (Wolf and Garner, 2007); 2) *Peripheral interactive model*: effect of P_aCO₂ at the peripheral level. The peripheral gain to O₂ is positively modulated by a coefficient (contribCO_{2p}) multiplied by the difference between P_aCO₂ and P_aCO_{2c}. 3) *Central interactive model*: interaction between central CO₂ sensing and peripheral input (O₂ + CO₂) at the central level. In addition to peripheral action on central respiratory command, central gain to CO₂ is augmented by peripheral afferences (gain to O₂ and CO₂) modulated by the coefficient 'interac' (Blain et al., 2010; Smith et al., 2015).

Central CO₂ gain is obtained from a modified Read's rebreathing test (Read, 1967). This test also gives a value of alveolar CO₂ pressure threshold (P_aCO_{2cth}) under which CO₂ gain is null. Similarly, peripheral ventilatory response to CO₂, linear above a peripheral P_aCO₂ threshold (P_aCO_{2pth}), is defined as the product of peripheral CO₂ gain by the difference between P_aCO₂ and P_aCO₂ set point (P_aCO_{2c}) (Casey et al., 1987). Exercise does not modify the ventilatory response to CO₂ (Hulsbosch et al., 1981): therefore, we used the same value for exercise and rest conditions.

Peripheral O₂ gain is obtained at rest and exercise from standard tests previously described (Lhuissier et al., 2012). The O₂ stimulus for peripheral chemoreceptors is tissue PO₂, derived from arterial PO₂, with a hyperbolic response (Weil et al., 1970). However, combining this hyperbolic response and the sigmoid shape of oxyhemoglobin dissociation curve (approximated by the Hill constant NH and P50), an empirical linear relationship appears between ventilatory response to hypoxia and arterial O₂

139 saturation (Steinacker et al., 1996). $\dot{V}E_c$ is the product of CO_2 gain by the difference between P_aCO_2
 140 and the P_aCO_2 set point ($P_{aCO_{2C}}$). Depending on the model used, $\dot{V}E_p$ is the result of the respective
 141 contribution of peripheral O_2 and CO_2 gains. See appendix for equations.

Figure 3. Diagrams of the three different models: additive, peripheral interactive and central interactive. The central respiratory command receives direct or indirect afferences from peripheral (through nucleus tractus solitaries, NTS) and central chemoreceptors (PCR and CCR, respectively), modulated by P_aO_2 and P_aCO_2 . Details of each model are explained in text.

142
 143 *2.1.6. Lungs: dead space, mechanoreceptors, respiratory quotient, alveolar-capillary difference in*
 144 *O_2/CO_2 , O_2 alveolar-arterial diffusion*
 145 As values of alveolar blood gases are determined by alveolar ventilation while the chemosensory loops
 146 act on total ventilation, it seems important to take into account the dead space by introducing the
 147 alveolar/total ventilation ratio (rVAVE). This ratio is slightly augmented by moderate exercise
 148 (Wasserman et al., 1967). During moderate exercise in hypoxia, respiratory quotient (QR) is slightly
 149 higher than at rest (de Lattre et al., 1969). The activity of pulmonary mechanoreceptors is not modified
 150 by mild exercise (Fenik, 1992) and therefore will not be included in the present model. The alveolar-
 151 capillary difference in O_2 (DAa O_2) increases with cardiac output from rest to exercise, and with
 152 hypoxia (Woorons et al., 2007). This variation in DAa O_2 is modulated by a coefficient (Dlim O_2) of O_2
 153 diffusion limitation of O_2 . On the other hand, the alveolar-capillary difference in CO_2 (DAa CO_2) is
 154 considered constant in all conditions (see appendix).

155 156 *2.1.7. Alveolar space*

157 Pulmonary alveolar space can be considered as a “mixing box” where O_2 and CO_2 are not instantly
 158 homogenous when ventilation changes. Alveolar CO_2 pressure (P_ACO_2) is determined by the equation
 159 relating $\dot{V}E$, QR, $\dot{V}O_2$ and rVAVE (see appendix). When submitted to various environmental or

metabolic stresses, the value of $P_A\text{CO}_2$ is not immediately modified by variations of the parameters cited above. A new equilibrium is reached through a first-order equation involving the level of $\dot{V}_E$ and the volume of the mixing box (TLC, total lung capacity), from which a time constant TaupCO_2 is computed (see appendix).

2.2. Parameter assignment

All values were obtained from previous research protocols (Hermand et al., 2015a, 2015b) or from the literature (Duffin, 2010; Pianosi and Khoo, 1995; Saunders et al., 1980; Wolf and Garner, 2007; Zapata et al., 2012). The time constants for the main cardiorespiratory parameters ($\dot{V}\text{O}_2$, $\dot{Q}_c$) were obtained by an identification algorithm from our own data, and in accordance with those found in literature: values are ranged from 10 seconds for FIO_2 to 15 seconds for $\dot{V}\text{O}_2$ and cardiac output. (MacFarlane and Cunningham, 1992; Pedersen et al., 1999; Thamrin and Murray-Smith, 2009).

2.3. Modeling and sensitivity analysis

The equations describing the various models were translated in a MatLab® script and the ventilatory output was calculated as a function of time, using 1-second time steps. The pattern of ventilatory output was analyzed in various conditions, by incrementing from a basal value the different cardiorespiratory and structure parameters (O_2 and CO_2 gains, FIO_2 , delays, P50, TLC, rVAVE, pcratio, contrib CO_{2P} , interac), one by one, in a maximal range of $\pm 50\%$ around a nominal value or within realistic physiological range. If an oscillatory pattern of ventilation was observed, the period and amplitude of oscillations were computed. Then a sensitivity analysis was performed in order to evaluate the respective influence of each parameter of the model on the period and amplitude of the oscillatory pattern. Although it may not necessarily capture the general behavior in a multidimensional space, a single-parameter analysis allows us assessing the relative contribution of each parameter on the ventilation output.

2.4. Multivariate regression analysis

A multivariate regression was performed to establish potential correlations between period and magnitude of ventilatory oscillations on one hand, and model parameters on the other hand: FIO_2 , peripheral chemoresponse to O_2 (GO_2), central chemoresponse to CO_2 (GCO_2), delays from lungs to peripheral and central chemoreceptors (DeltaTp and DeltaTc, respectively), P50, TLC, rVAVE and interaction parameters (pcratio, contrib CO_{2P} , interac).

2.5. Addition of white noise

Finally, in order to verify whether spontaneous variations in the ventilatory command would induce deeper instability in $\dot{V}_E$, we added a white noise to $\dot{V}_{Eb}$, the profile of which is following a normal distribution weighted by a 0.015 coefficient, in order to remain as close as possible to physiological data (Milton and Ohira, 2014). We then performed a paired Student t-test to check if there was a significant difference between the two simulations (“noise-enriched” and “no-noise enriched”). Values of period and amplitude of oscillations were also compared in the two conditions. Values of $p < 0.05$ are considered significant.

3. Results

No significant ventilatory oscillations were found in resting conditions. The following results will concern only data obtained during exercise in hypoxia.

3.1. Sensitivity analysis

The variation of $\dot{V}_E$ magnitude and period during exercise in hypoxia, due to physiological, environmental and interaction parameters, are presented in figures 4, 5 and 6.

3.1.1. Period of ventilatory oscillations (Fig. 4)

Sensitivity analysis showed that the main factors influencing $\dot{V}_E$ period was the time delay between lungs and peripheral chemoreceptors (Δt_p): in a range from 2 to 12 seconds, around a 4 s nominal value, period increased from -40% to $+123\%$ around its corresponding nominal value. In a lesser extent, the level of hypoxia (FIO_2) played a significant role: period steadily increased from -3% at $FIO_2=0.115$ to $+16\%$ at $FIO_2=0.21$ (Fig. 7, top). The other parameters induced only minor changes in period, remaining in a $[-5\%; +5\%]$ range.

Figure 4. Period of ventilatory oscillations according to several cardiorespiratory variables from their nominal values: peripheral O₂ gain (GO₂), central CO₂ gain (GCO_{2C}), inhaled fraction of O₂ (FIO₂), delay of blood convection from lung to carotid bodies (DeltaTp), arterial O₂ partial pressure at S_aO₂ = 50% (P50), total lung capacity (TLC) and alveolar / total ventilation ratio (rVAVE). Period is linearly related to DeltaTp and also depends on FIO₂.

218

219 3.1.2. Magnitude of ventilatory oscillations (Fig. 5)

220 Magnitude of ventilatory oscillations was nearly completely blunted, from 0% to -96.5%, when level
 221 of hypoxia decreased from FIO₂=0.14 to normoxia (Fig. 7). Peripheral and central gains to O₂ (GO₂)
 222 and CO₂ (GCO_{2C}) and increased oscillations from - 46% to +35%, and from -32% to +36%,
 223 respectively. DeltaTp enhanced oscillations from - 95% to +59%. P50 and TLC had a dampening
 224 effect on oscillations, by decreasing them from +45% to - 54% and from +24% to - 31%, respectively.
 225 Finally, a decrease in rVAVE (i.e. an increase in dead space) from 1 to 0.7 increased oscillations from
 226 -77% to +75%. The influence of DeltaTcc is mainly due to the tissue component DeltaTct (fig. 8):
 227 magnitude of oscillations shows a phasic pattern that can lead to either enhanced or blunted
 228 oscillations, depending on the tissue component.

Figure 5. Magnitude of ventilatory oscillations according to several cardiorespiratory variables from their nominal values: peripheral O₂ gain (GO₂), central CO₂ gain (GCO_{2c}), inhaled fraction of O₂ (FIO₂), delay of blood convection from lung to carotid bodies (DeltaTp), arterial O₂ partial pressure at S_aO₂ = 50% (P50), total lung capacity (TLC) and alveolar / total ventilation ratio (rVAVE). Magnitude mainly increases with GCO_{2c}, GO₂ (in a nearly linear manner) and DeltaTp, and decreases with P50, rVAVE, TLC and FIO₂.

229

230 3.1.3. Effect of interaction between O₂ and CO₂ at the central and peripheral level (fig. 6)

231 The effect of contribCO_{2p} (effect of CO₂ on O₂ gain in peripheral chemoreceptors) and interac (effect
 232 of peripheral afferences on central gain to CO₂) is very low on period values, in a tight range of 0 to
 233 4.5% (results not shown). In contrast, a significant positive correlation links magnitude of $\dot{V}_E$
 234 oscillations to contribCO_{2p} and interac, from 0 to 134%, and from 0 to 75%, respectively (fig. 6). The
 235 parameter pcratio (ratio of peripheral/central response to CO₂) does not impact period and magnitude
 236 of ventilatory oscillations. The effect of interac and contribCO_{2p} are additive.

Figure 6. Magnitude of ventilatory oscillations according to interaction parameters: *interac* (effect of peripheral O_2/CO_2 gain on central gain to CO_2 : *model 3*), *pcratio* (effect of CO_2 on peripheral gain: *model 1*) and *contribCO_{2p}* (effect of CO_2 on peripheral gain to O_2 : *model 2*). Contributions of *pcratio* and *contribCO_{2p}* are computed at 2 values of *interac* (0 and 0.1) and show an additive effect of the rise from peripheral activity level.

3.2. Multivariate regression analysis

3.2.1. Period of ventilatory oscillations

The multivariate regression analysis significantly linked $\dot{V}E$ period to FIO_2 , GO_{2C} , ΔT_p , ΔT_c and TLC. A trend was observed for GO_2 ($p = 0.053$). $\dot{V}E$ period increased when FIO_2 , GCO_{2C} , ΔT_p and TLC increased ($p < 0.001$, $p < 0.05$ for GCO_{2C}) whereas it was shortened when ΔT_c increased ($p < 0.001$, respectively).

3.2.2. Magnitude of ventilatory oscillations

Magnitude of oscillations was enhanced when GO_2 , GCO_{2C} and ΔT_p increased ($p < 0.001$) but decreased when FIO_2 , ΔT_c , $rVAVE$, $P50$ and TLC increased ($p < 0.001$).

Figure 7. Variation of $\dot{V}E$ for two levels of FIO_2 : ventilatory oscillations in the additive model (upper panel) and physiological data from a subject exercising successively in normoxia and hypoxia (lower panel) (physiological data from Hermand et al., 2015b).

3.3. Effect of white-noise addition

The addition of a white noise did not alter magnitude or period of oscillations: there was no significant change between non-noise and noise-enriched models (Fig. 9). Varying the noise coefficient, from 0.001 to 0.5, did not modify the $\dot{V}E$ output behavior either.

Figure 9. Effects of added white noise to the central ventilation command, at two levels of FIO_2 . There is no significant difference between “noise-enriched” (dashed lines) and “non-noise enriched” (solid lines) models.

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, this model is the first attempt to describe the phenomenon of ventilatory oscillations observed in healthy subjects. Our observations underline the key role of $\dot{Q}_c$, FIO_2 , GO_2 and GCO_{2C} in the genesis of such oscillations, their period and amplitude. First, resting conditions, even in hypoxia, did not provoke ventilatory oscillations, whereas a double stimulus hypoxia / exercise promoted the emergence of periodic breathing. Subjects with a higher ventilatory response to hypoxia (GO_2) or with a higher ventilatory response to CO_2 (GCO_{2C}) showed greater ventilatory oscillations during exercise performed in hypoxia. Moreover, a greater cardiac output $\dot{Q}_c$ (lower ΔTp) was significantly correlated to a higher magnitude and a shorter period.

The main difference with existing models lies in the inclusion of exercise-oriented components through a higher cardiac output and a consequent decreased circulation time from lungs to central and peripheral chemoreceptors. The existing studies and models were mostly focused on central and obstructive sleep apneas and heart failure during sleep, and described a phenomenon with a much longer period, associated with an enhanced sensitivity to CO_2 (Hall et al., 1996; Javaheri, 1999; Khoo, 2000). The present work confirms previous studies in healthy subjects in which greater ventilatory oscillations were related to a higher cardiac output (mild exercise) and to hypoxia (Garde et al., 2012; Hermand et al., 2015b; Latshang et al., 2013) (Fig. 7). It is remarkable to notice the proximity of the

period between theoretical and physiological data, around 11 seconds (Hermand et al., 2015a). FIO_2 level is also correlated to both period and magnitude of ventilatory oscillations, as observed in our previous studies (from $\text{FIO}_2 = 0.165$).

The O_2 gain has an important impact on the amplitude of oscillations, as subjects with a higher ventilatory response to hypoxia at exercise show a higher magnitude of oscillations, in coherence with our experimental observations (Hermand et al., 2015b). In addition to this intrinsic sensibility to O_2 , the O_2 - CO_2 interaction at the peripheral level enhances the instability in a degree depending on model used (fig. 6). The sensitivity analysis showed that a simple additive CO_2 contribution, via the pcratio coefficient (model 1), brings the least disturbance to ventilatory control system. Then, peripheral afferences to central chemoreceptors (interac contribution, model 3) further augments oscillations. The most unstable situation is created by the peripheral interactive model, in which increased peripheral O_2 gain by a hypercapnic stimulus (contrib $\text{CO}_{2\text{P}}$, model 2) severely destabilizes the respiratory command. Regardless of the studied model, these simulations highlight the major role played by the peripheral chemoreceptors in the genesis of ventilatory oscillations, with and without CO_2 interaction, and is in accordance with the observations of CHF and SAS patients (Beecroft et al., 2006; Ponikowski et al., 2001; Trombetta et al., 2013). This data provide a new perspective in the current debate about the respective contribution of central and peripheral chemoreceptors in the control of ventilation (Paula-Ribeiro and Rocha, 2016).

The central CO_2 gain is also involved, in a lesser extent, into the genesis of periodic breathing and matches the clinical studies on CHF and SAS patients (Beecroft et al., 2006; Trombetta et al., 2013). This supports our own findings in which ventilatory response to CO_2 is correlated to the magnitude of ventilatory oscillations in healthy subjects (Hermand et al., 2015b).

If both central and peripheral gains play a role in their appearance, they do not seem to play a major role in the period of the phenomenon. The latter is strongly dependent on ΔT_p , illustrating the role of cardiac output and circulation delay. As evidenced in figure 8, a phase shift between peripheral and central responses to chemical stimuli can lead to either a reinforcement or a blunting of ventilatory oscillations. Depending on the value of this phase shift, our model predicts that the effects of hypoxia and hyperventilation-induced hypocapnia can be either hyperadditive or hypoadditive, which could account for the variability of experimental observations (Paula-Ribeiro and Rocha, 2016). This original observation highlights the role of dynamics of central control processes in modulating the determinant effect of peripheral chemoreceptors on ventilatory instability. For any given value of ΔT_{ct} , the period of oscillations remains around 11 seconds, reinforcing the essential role of peripheral chemoreceptors in both magnitude and period (fig.8).

Period and magnitude of ventilatory oscillations as a function of tissue delay in central chemoreceptors (DeltaTct)

Figure 8. Variation of period and magnitude of ventilatory oscillations as a function of tissue delay at the central level. The period is not affected by changes in DeltaTct. Magnitude can be almost completely blunted (DeltaTct = 5.5 and 15) or enhanced (DeltaTct = 10.5).

305

306 Apart those cited above, one additional parameter comes into play: a greater alveolar / total ventilation
 307 ratio decreases the amplitude of oscillatory ventilation, implying that a greater dead space will promote
 308 periodic breathing. In heart failure patients, augmented dead space will prevent ventilatory oscillations
 309 by an increased PETCO₂, which acts on CO₂ apnea threshold and drastically decreased periodic
 310 breathing (Patz et al., 2013; Xie et al., 1997). Conversely, in normal subjects, the same increase in
 311 PETCO₂ will rise $\dot{V}E$, hence increasing ventilatory oscillations (Hermand et al., 2015a). This adverse
 312 effect is particularly noticeable here.

313 Finally, spontaneous variations in central ventilatory command did not influence the stability of the
 314 ventilation control system (fig. 8). Thus, this added chaotic component, very close to what is observed
 315 in real life, is not a determinant factor in the genesis of ventilatory oscillations.

316

317 *A relation between period of ventilatory oscillations and DeltaTp: a limit value*

318 The significant correlation between period of oscillatory ventilations and DeltaTp (Fig. 4) is illustrated
 319 by the equation of linear regression relating those two parameters:

320
$$\dot{V}E_{period} = 1.798 \times \Delta T_{O_2} + 3.72 \quad (1) \quad (r^2 = 0.9979, p < 0.001)$$

In our previous work (Hermant et al., 2015a), we established a similar equation relating $\dot{V}E$ period to the total respiratory cycle (T_{tot}):

$$\dot{V}E \text{ period} = 1.13 \times T_{tot} + 6.99 \quad (2) \quad (r^2 = 0.55, p < 0.001)$$

The tight relationship between $\dot{V}E$ period and T_{tot} points out a theoretical limit of $\dot{V}E$ oscillations period: when T_{tot} tends toward zero (breathing frequency tending to infinity), $\dot{V}E$ oscillations period tends to approximately 7 seconds (2). Using the latter value in equation (1), we can deduce a similar theoretical lower limit $\Delta T_{p_{min}}$, when intensity of exercise increases along with $\dot{V}O_2$ and $\dot{Q}_c$, below which the ventilatory oscillations disappear: $\Delta T_{p_{min}} \approx 1.8$ seconds. As ΔT_p is the sum of circulation delay from lungs to carotid bodies and of the time required for the neural system to produce a ventilatory response, we can deduce that this irreducible time $\Delta T_{p_{min}}$ of nearly 2 seconds is the intrinsic response time of peripheral chemoreceptors, which is in accordance with the existing literature (Black et al., 1971; McClean et al., 1988; Teppema et al., 1982).

5. Conclusions and perspectives.

Our model reproducing the control of ventilation in specific metabolic and environmental conditions closely matches with the observed experimental data in various protocols and studies. Furthermore, it demonstrates the crucial role of circulatory delays and gains of chemoreceptors in the homeodynamic behavior of the respiratory control system when exposed to brisk changes in physiological or environmental constraints. As pointed out in previous papers, the magnitude of transport delay and control loop gain plays a major role in many physiological conditions, especially in periodic breathing (Batzel and Kappel, 2011). The intrinsic neural reactivity of peripheral chemoreceptors to a change in gas pressures is also confirmed and quantified by a new and original approach through the analysis of ventilatory oscillations. We also extrapolate from our model the existence of an intrinsic oscillatory pattern in the control system of ventilation in healthy humans. The interaction between O_2 and CO_2 sensing either at central or peripheral level plays an important but not exclusive role in the genesis of the ventilatory instability. Slight differences in the dynamics of the central vs. peripheral components might be determinant for the stability of the whole system. Further improvements may be carried out both in modeling and experimenting. On the one hand, the effect of an additional input, the inhaled fraction of carbon dioxide on ventilatory oscillations ($FICO_2$) may be added to the model. Indeed, contrary to what is observed in patients suffering from sleep apnea, a higher $FICO_2$ exacerbates ventilatory oscillations (Hermant et al., 2015a). On the other hand, this model could be tested on other subjects at exercise, such as heart failure patients, in which cardiac function is impaired whereas chemosensitivity to CO_2 is increased.

355

356 **Acknowledgement.** We are very grateful to Jacques Richalet for his accurate advice on modeling
357 physiological processes.

358

Variables	Units	Rest	Exercise		
			Min	Nominal	Max
Alveolar CO ₂ partial pressure (P _A CO ₂)	mmHg				
Arterial O ₂ partial pressure (P _a CO ₂)	mmHg				
Arterial O ₂ saturation (S _a O ₂)	nu				
Minute ventilation (V̇E)	L.min ⁻¹				
Atmospheric pressure (PB)	mmHg	760		760	
Vapor pressure of water (PH ₂ O)	mmHg	47		47	
Arterial O ₂ saturation Set point (S _a O _{2C})	%	98		98	
Arterial CO ₂ partial pressure Set point (P _a CO _{2C})	mmHg	40		40	
Alveolo-arterial O ₂ difference (DAaO ₂)	mL/mL	5		5	
Alveolo-arterial CO ₂ difference (DAaCO ₂)	mL/mL	1		1	
Cardiac output (Q̇c)	L.s ⁻¹	6		10	
O ₂ metabolic consumption (V̇O ₂)	mL.min ⁻¹	0.25		0.8	
Hill constant	nu	2.7		2.7	
Respiratory quotient (QR)	nu	0.7		0.8	
Ventilatory equivalent for oxygen (EVO ₂)	nu	30		26	
Arterial CO ₂ partial pressure threshold	mmHg	40	35	45	55
Arterial O ₂ partial pressure at S _a O ₂ = 50% (P50)	mmHg	27	25	28	31
Inhaled fraction of oxygen (FIO ₂)	nu	0.14	0.11	0.14	0.21
Central chemoreflex CO ₂ gain (GCO _{2C})	L.min ⁻¹ .mmHg ⁻¹	0.05	0.025	0.05	0.075
Peripheral chemoreflex O ₂ gain (GO ₂)	L.min ⁻¹ .% ⁻¹	10	10	15	20
Total lung capacity (TLC)	L	9	4	9	14
Circulatory delay from lung to carotid bodies (DeltaTp)	s	8	2	4	12
Circulatory delay from lung to brain (DeltaTc)	s	10	3	6	13
Alveolar / total ventilation ratio (rVAVE)	nu	0.7	0.7	0.85	1
Coefficient of diffusion limitation of O ₂ (DlimO ₂)	mmHg.L ⁻¹ . min	0	0	5	10
Ratio of peripheral/central gain to CO ₂ (pcratio)	nu	0.5	0.25	0.5	0.75
Coefficient of CO ₂ contribution to peripheral gain (contribCO _{2P})	nu	0	0	0	0.2
Coefficient of peripheral afferences contributing to central gain to CO ₂ (interac)	nu	0	0	0	0.2
Central tissue delay (DeltaTct)	s	1	0	1	21

Table 1. Nominal values for the variables used in model simulations. In the sensitivity analysis, parameters were assigned values ranging from a minimal (Min) to a maximal (Max).

359

360

361 **Appendix**

362 **Basal cardiorespiratory parameters:** $\dot{V}E_b$, $\dot{V}O_2$, $E\dot{V}O_2$, $\dot{Q}_c$, $rVAVE$, QR .

363 O_2 consumption $\dot{V}O_2$, cardiac output $\dot{Q}_c$, alveolar/total ventilation ratio $rVAVE$, respiratory quotient
364 QR and ventilatory equivalent for oxygen $E\dot{V}O_2$ are set at known physiological values for rest/exercise
365 and normoxia/hypoxia, as indicated in table 1.

366 The basal value of $\dot{V}E$ for all phases is calculated as follows:

$$\dot{V}E_b(t) = E\dot{V}O_2(t) \cdot \dot{V}O_2(t)$$

367

368 The arterial O_2 pressure P_aO_2 and arterial O_2 saturation follow the equation:

$$S_aO_2 = \frac{\left(\frac{P_aO_2}{P50}\right)^{NH}}{1 + \left(\frac{P_aO_2}{P50}\right)^{NH}}$$

369 where $P50$ is the arterial O_2 partial pressure at $S_aO_2 = 50\%$, and NH is the Hill constant.

370

371 **The alveolar-arterial difference in O_2 ($DAaO_2$)** is determined as:

$$DAaO_2(t) = DAaO_{20} + DlimO_2 \cdot (\dot{Q}_c(t) - \dot{Q}_{c_{rep}})$$

372 where $DAaO_{20}$ is the alveolar-arterial difference in O_2 at rest, $DlimO_2$ a known coefficient of
373 diffusion, and $\dot{Q}_{c_{rep}}$ the cardiac output at rest.

374

375 **Peripheral chemoreceptors**

376 This linear expression involves the peripheral gain to O_2 (GO_2) and to CO_2 (GCO_{2P}) and a pure delay
377 ΔTp from lung to peripheral chemoreceptors (blood convection).

378 The peripheral gain to CO_2 , above a peripheral threshold P_aCO_{2Pth} is defined as:

379 if $P_aCO_2(t) > P_aCO_{2Pth}$, then the peripheral contribution from a CO_2 stimulus is the linear term

380 $GCO_{2P} \cdot [P_aCO_2(t - \Delta Tp) - P_aCO_{2C}]$ with P_aCO_{2C} as a P_aCO_2 set point,

381 else it is null.

382 In the additive and central interactive models, the peripheral contribution is:

$$\dot{V}E_p(t) = GO_{2P}(t) \cdot [S_aO_{2C} - S_aO_2(t - \Delta Tp)] + GCO_{2P}(t) \cdot [P_aCO_2(t - \Delta Tp) - P_aCO_{2C}]$$

384 with S_aCO_{2C} as a S_aCO_2 set point.

385 In the interactive model, the peripheral contribution is:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}E_p(t) = GO_{2P}(t) \cdot [1 + contribCO_{2P} \cdot (P_aCO_2(t - \Delta Tp) \\ - P_aCO_{2C})] \cdot [S_aO_{2C} - S_aO_2(t - \Delta Tp)] \end{aligned}$$

386

387

388 **Central chemoreceptors**

389 The central component of ventilation, resulting from a variation of arterial CO₂ content, includes a
 390 static central gain to CO₂ (GCO_{2C}) and a delay DeltaTc (see section 2.1.4), above a central threshold
 391 (P_aCO_{2Cth}):

392 if P_aCO₂(t) > P_aCO_{2Cth}, then $\dot{V}Ec(t) = GCO_{2C} \cdot [P_aCO_2(t - DeltaTc) - P_aCO_{2C}]$

393 else $\dot{V}Ec(t) = 0$.

394 In the central interactive model, the central gain to CO₂ (GCO_{2C}) depends on peripheral gains to O₂
 395 and CO₂ (GO₂ and GCO_{2P}, respectively), modulated by a constant interac:

$$GCO_{2C}(t) = GCO_{2C} \cdot \{1 + interac \cdot [GO_2(t) \cdot [S_aO_{2C} - S_aO_2(t - DeltaTp)]] \\ + GCO_{2P}(t) \cdot [P_aCO_2(t - DeltaTp) - P_aCO_{2C}]\}$$

396 and $\dot{V}Ec(t) = GCO_{2C}(t) \cdot [P_aCO_2(t - DeltaTc) - P_aCO_{2C}]$

397

398 **Equations of alveolar and arterial gas pressures: steady state and first order**

399 At equilibrium, the alveolar partial pressures in O₂ and CO₂ as a function of time are defined as:

400 $P_AO_2(t) = -DAaO_2(t) + (P_B - P_{H_2O}) \cdot FIO_2(t) - P_ACO_2(t)$

401 $P_ACO_2(t) = \frac{QR(t) \cdot \dot{V}O_2(t)}{K \cdot \dot{V}E(t) \cdot rVAVE(t)}$

402 where P_B and P_{H₂O} are atmospheric and water vapor pressures, respectively, FIO₂ is the inhaled fraction
 403 of O₂. P_B, P_{H₂O}, FIO₂, QR, $\dot{V}O_2$ and rVAVE are predetermined as shown in table 1.

404 P_ACO₂ is then described through a first-order relation:

$$\frac{dP_ACO_2}{dt} + P_ACO_2(t) = P_ACO_2(t) \cdot apCO_2 + bpCO_2 \cdot P_APCO_2(t)$$

405 where apCO₂ and bpCO₂ are defined as follows: $TaupCO_2 = \frac{TLC}{\dot{V}E(t)}$, $apCO_2 = e^{-\frac{1}{TaupCO_2}}$ and

406 $bpCO_2 = 1 - apCO_2$, P_APCO₂ is the P_ACO₂ variable determined by initial conditions of $\dot{V}O_2(t)$ and
 407 $\dot{V}E(t)$.

408

409

410

411 **References**

- 412 Batzel, J.J., Kappel, F., 2011. Time delay in physiological systems: analyzing and modeling its impact. *Math*
413 *Biosci* 234, 61–74. doi:10.1016/j.mbs.2011.08.006
- 414 Beecroft, J., Duffin, J., Pierratos, A., Chan, C.T., McFarlane, P., Hanly, P.J., 2006. Enhanced chemo-
415 responsiveness in patients with sleep apnoea and end-stage renal disease. *Eur. Respir. J.* 28, 151–158.
416 doi:10.1183/09031936.06.00075405
- 417 Black, A.M.S., McCloskey, D.I., Torrance, R.W., 1971. The responses of carotid body chemoreceptors in the cat
418 to sudden changes of hypercapnic and hypoxic stimuli. *Respiration Physiology* 13, 36–49.
419 doi:10.1016/0034-5687(71)90063-6
- 420 Blain, G.M., Smith, C.A., Henderson, K.S., Dempsey, J.A., 2010. Peripheral chemoreceptors determine the
421 respiratory sensitivity of central chemoreceptors to CO₂: Peripheral-central chemoreceptor
422 interdependence. *The Journal of Physiology* 588, 2455–2471. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2010.187211
- 423 Casey, K., Duffin, J., McAvoy, G.V., 1987. The effect of exercise on the central-chemoreceptor threshold in
424 man. *J. Physiol. (Lond.)* 383, 9–18.
- 425 Cherniack, N.S., 2005. Mathematical models of periodic breathing and their usefulness in understanding
426 cardiovascular and respiratory disorders. *Experimental Physiology* 91, 295–305.
427 doi:10.1113/expphysiol.2005.032268
- 428 de Lattre, J., Pradel-Guena, M., Chambille, B., Mazza, M., 1969. [Respiratory quotient during moderate
429 muscular exercise in hypoxia and normoxia]. *J. Physiol. (Paris)* 61 Suppl 2, 332–333.
- 430 Duffin, J., 2010. The role of the central chemoreceptors: a modeling perspective. *Respir Physiol Neurobiol* 173,
431 230–243. doi:10.1016/j.resp.2010.03.010
- 432 Duffin, J., 2005. Role of acid-base balance in the chemoreflex control of breathing. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 99, 2255–
433 2265. doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.00640.2005
- 434 Fan, H.-H., Khoo, M.C.K., 2002. PNEUMA - a comprehensive cardiorespiratory model, in: *Engineering in*
435 *Medicine and Biology*, 2002. 24th Annual Conference and the Annual Fall Meeting of the Biomedical
436 Engineering Society EMBS/BMES Conference, 2002. Proceedings of the Second Joint. Presented at the
437 Engineering in Medicine and Biology, 2002. 24th Annual Conference and the Annual Fall Meeting of
438 the Biomedical Engineering Society EMBS/BMES Conference, 2002. Proceedings of the Second Joint,
439 pp. 1533–1534 vol.2. doi:10.1109/IEMBS.2002.1106522
- 440 Fenik, V.B., 1992. Mechanoreceptor system of the respiratory center and its contribution to respiratory
441 control. *Neurophysiology* 24, 223–236. doi:10.1007/BF01057171
- 442 Garde, A., Giraldo, B.F., Jane, R., Latshang, T.D., Turk, A.J., Hess, T., Bosch, M.M., Barthelmes, D., Hefti, J.P.,
443 Maggiorini, M., Hefti, U., Merz, T.M., Schoch, O.D., Bloch, K.E., 2012. Periodic breathing during ascent
444 to extreme altitude quantified by spectral analysis of the respiratory volume signal. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng*
445 *Med Biol Soc* 2012, 707–710. doi:10.1109/EMBC.2012.6346029
- 446 Grodins, F.S., Buell, J., Bart, A.J., 1967. Mathematical analysis and digital simulation of the respiratory control
447 system. *J Appl Physiol* 22, 260–276.
- 448 Hall, M.J., Xie, A., Rutherford, R., Ando, S., Floras, J.S., Bradley, T.D., 1996. Cycle length of periodic breathing in
449 patients with and without heart failure. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 154, 376–381.
450 doi:10.1164/ajrccm.154.2.8756809
- 451 Hermand, E., Lhuissier, F.J., Larribaut, J., Pichon, A., Richalet, J.-P., 2015a. Ventilatory oscillations at exercise:
452 effects of hyperoxia, hypercapnia, and acetazolamide. *Physiol Rep* 3. doi:10.14814/phy2.12446
- 453 Hermand, E., Pichon, A., Lhuissier, F.J., Richalet, J.-P., 2015b. Periodic breathing in healthy humans at exercise
454 in hypoxia. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 118, 115–123. doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.00832.2014
- 455 Hulsbosch, M.A., Binkhorst, R.A., Folgering, H.T., 1981. Effects of positive and negative exercise on ventilatory
456 CO₂ sensitivity. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* 47, 73–81.
- 457 Javaheri, S., 1999. A mechanism of central sleep apnea in patients with heart failure. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 341, 949–
458 954. doi:10.1056/NEJM199909233411304
- 459 Khamnei, S., Robbins, P.A., 1990. Hypoxic depression of ventilation in humans: alternative models for the
460 chemoreflexes. *Respir Physiol* 81, 117–134.
- 461 Khoo, M.C., 2000. Determinants of ventilatory instability and variability. *Respir Physiol* 122, 167–182.

Khoo, M.C., Kronauer, R.E., Strohl, K.P., Slutsky, A.S., 1982. Factors inducing periodic breathing in humans: a general model. *J Appl Physiol* 53, 644–659.

Latshang, T.D., Turk, A.J., Hess, T., Schoch, O.D., Bosch, M.M., Barthelmes, D., Merz, T.M., Hefti, U., Hefti, J.P., Maggiorini, M., Bloch, K.E., 2013. Acclimatization improves submaximal exercise economy at 5533 m. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 23, 458–467. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0838.2011.01403.x

Lhuissier, F.J., Brumm, M., Ramier, D., Richalet, J.-P., 2012. Ventilatory and cardiac responses to hypoxia at submaximal exercise are independent of altitude and exercise intensity. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 112, 566–570. doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.00906.2011

Longobardo, G.S., Gothe, B., Goldman, M.D., Cherniack, N.S., 1982. Sleep apnea considered as a control system instability. *Respir Physiol* 50, 311–333.

MacFarlane, D.J., Cunningham, D.J., 1992. Dynamics of the ventilatory response in man to step changes of end-tidal carbon dioxide and of hypoxia during exercise. *J. Physiol. (Lond.)* 457, 539–557.

McClean, P.A., Phillipson, E.A., Martinez, D., Zamel, N., 1988. Single breath of CO₂ as a clinical test of the peripheral chemoreflex. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 64, 84–89.

Milton, J., Ohira, T., 2014. *Mathematics as a Laboratory Tool - Dynamics, Delays and Noise*. Springer New York, New York, NY.

Ogoh, S., Ainslie, P.N., 2009. Cerebral blood flow during exercise: mechanisms of regulation. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 107, 1370–1380. doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.00573.2009

Patz, D.S., Patz, M.D., Hackett, P.H., 2013. Dead space mask eliminates central apnea at altitude. *High Alt. Med. Biol.* 14, 168–174. doi:10.1089/ham.2012.1111

Paula-Ribeiro, M., Rocha, A., 2016. The peripheral-central chemoreflex interaction: where do we stand and what is the next step? *J. Physiol. (Lond.)* 594, 1527–1528. doi:10.1113/JP271901

Pedersen, M.E.F., Fatemian, M., Robbins, P.A., 1999. Identification of fast and slow ventilatory responses to carbon dioxide under hypoxic and hyperoxic conditions in humans. *J Physiol* 521, 273–287. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7793.1999.00273.x

Pianosi, P., Khoo, M.C., 1995. Change in the peripheral CO₂ chemoreflex from rest to exercise. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* 70, 360–366.

Ponikowski, P., Chua, T.P., Anker, S.D., Francis, D.P., Doehner, W., Banasiak, W., Poole-Wilson, P.A., Piepoli, M.F., Coats, A.J., 2001. Peripheral chemoreceptor hypersensitivity: an ominous sign in patients with chronic heart failure. *Circulation* 104, 544–549.

Read, D.J., 1967. A clinical method for assessing the ventilatory response to carbon dioxide. *Australas Ann Med* 16, 20–32.

Richalet, J., 1991. *Pratique de l'identification*. Hermès, Paris.

Saunders, K.B., Bali, H.N., Carson, E.R., 1980. A breathing model of the respiratory system; the controlled system. *J. Theor. Biol.* 84, 135–161.

Smith, C.A., Blain, G.M., Henderson, K.S., Dempsey, J.A., 2015. Peripheral chemoreceptors determine the respiratory sensitivity of central chemoreceptors to CO₂ : role of carotid body CO₂. *J. Physiol. (Lond.)* 593, 4225–4243. doi:10.1113/JP270114

Smith, J.C., Abdala, A.P.L., Borgmann, A., Rybak, I.A., Paton, J.F.R., 2013. Brainstem respiratory networks: building blocks and microcircuits. *Trends Neurosci.* 36, 152–162. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2012.11.004

Steinacker, J.M., Halder, A., Liu, Y., Thomas, A., Stauch, M., 1996. Hypoxic ventilatory response during rest and exercise after a Himalayan expedition. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* 73, 202–209.

Sun, X.G., Hansen, J.E., Stringer, W.W., Ting, H., Wasserman, K., 2001. Carbon dioxide pressure-concentration relationship in arterial and mixed venous blood during exercise. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 90, 1798–1810.

Teppema, L.J., Vis, A., Evers, J.A., Folgering, H.T., 1982. Dynamics of brain extracellular fluid pH and phrenic nerve activity in cats after end-tidal CO₂ forcing. *Respir Physiol* 50, 359–380.

Thamrin, H., Murray-Smith, D.J., 2009. A mathematical model of the human respiratory system during exercise [WWW Document]. URL (accessed 6.30.15).

Trombetta, I.C., Maki-Nunes, C., Toschi-Dias, E., Alves, M.-J.N.N., Rondon, M.U.P.B., Cepeda, F.X., Drager, L.F., Braga, A.M.F.W., Lorenzi-Filho, G., Negrao, C.E., 2013. Obstructive sleep apnea is associated with increased chemoreflex sensitivity in patients with metabolic syndrome. *Sleep* 36, 41–49. doi:10.5665/sleep.2298

- Ursino, M., Magosso, E., Avanzolini, G., 2001. An integrated model of the human ventilatory control system: the response to hypoxia. *Clin Physiol* 21, 465–477.
- Ward, D.S., Nguyen, T.T., 1991. Ventilatory response to sustained hypoxia during exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 23, 719–726.
- Wasserman, K., Van Kessel, A.L., Burton, G.G., 1967. Interaction of physiological mechanisms during exercise. *J Appl Physiol* 22, 71–85.
- Weil, J.V., Byrne-Quinn, E., Sodal, I.E., Friesen, W.O., Underhill, B., Filley, G.F., Grover, R.F., 1970. Hypoxic ventilatory drive in normal man. *J. Clin. Invest.* 49, 1061–1072. doi:10.1172/JCI106322
- Wolf, M.B., Garner, R.P., 2007. A mathematical model of human respiration at altitude. *Ann Biomed Eng* 35, 2003–2022. doi:10.1007/s10439-007-9361-3
- Woorons, X., Mollard, P., Pichon, A., Lamberto, C., Duvallet, A., Richalet, J.-P., 2007. Moderate exercise in hypoxia induces a greater arterial desaturation in trained than untrained men. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 17, 431–436. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0838.2006.00577.x
- Xie, A., Rankin, F., Rutherford, R., Bradley, T.D., 1997. Effects of inhaled CO₂ and added dead space on idiopathic central sleep apnea. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 82, 918–926.
- Zapata, P., Larraín, C., Reyes, E.-P., Fernández, R., 2012. Shifting from hypoxia to hyperoxia to assess the peripheral chemosensory drive of ventilation. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 758, 137–142. doi:10.1007/978-94-007-4584-1_19