



HAL
open science

Shape Coexistence at Zero Spin in ^{64}Ni Driven by the Monopole Tensor Interaction

N. Mărginean, D. Little, Y. Tsunoda, S. Leoni, R.V.F. Janssens, B. Fornal, T. Otsuka, C. Michelagnoli, L. Stan, F.C.L. Crespi, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

N. Mărginean, D. Little, Y. Tsunoda, S. Leoni, R.V.F. Janssens, et al.. Shape Coexistence at Zero Spin in ^{64}Ni Driven by the Monopole Tensor Interaction. *Physical Review Letters*, 2020, 125 (10), pp.102502. 10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.102502 . hal-03186279

HAL Id: hal-03186279

<https://hal.science/hal-03186279>

Submitted on 31 Mar 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Shape Coexistence at Zero Spin in ^{64}Ni Driven by the Monopole Tensor Interaction

N. Mărginean^{1,*,} D. Little,^{2,3} Y. Tsunoda,⁴ S. Leoni^{1,5,6,†} R. V. F. Janssens^{2,3,‡} B. Fornal^{1,7,§} T. Otsuka^{8,9,10,||} C. Michelagnoli,¹¹ L. Stan,¹ F. C. L. Crespi,^{5,6} C. Costache,¹ R. Lica,¹ M. Sferrazza,¹² A. Turturica,¹ A. D. Ayangeakaa,¹³ K. Auranen,^{14,¶} M. Barani,^{5,6,11} P. C. Bender,¹⁵ S. Bottoni,^{5,6} M. Boromiza,¹ A. Bracco,^{5,6} S. Călinescu,¹ C. M. Campbell,¹⁶ M. P. Carpenter,¹⁴ P. Chowdhury,¹⁵ M. Ciemala,⁷ N. Cieplicka-Oryńczak,⁷ D. Cline,¹⁷ C. Clisu,¹ H. L. Crawford,¹⁶ I. E. Dinescu,¹ J. Dudouet,¹⁸ D. Filipescu,¹ N. Florea,¹ A. M. Forney,¹⁹ S. Fracassetti,^{5,6,**} A. Gade,^{20,21} I. Gheorghe,¹ A. B. Hayes,²² I. Harca,¹ J. Henderson,²³ A. Ionescu,¹ Ł. W. Iskra,⁶ M. Jentschel,¹¹ F. Kandzia,¹¹ Y. H. Kim,¹¹ F. G. Kondev,¹⁴ G. Korschinek,²⁴ U. Köster,¹¹ Krishichayan,³ M. Krzysiek,⁷ T. Lauritsen,¹⁴ J. Li,^{14,††} R. Mărginean,¹ E. A. Mauger,²⁵ C. Mihai,¹ R. E. Mihai,¹ A. Mitu,¹ P. Mutti,¹¹ A. Negret,¹ C. R. Niță,¹ A. Olăcel,¹ A. Oprea,¹ S. Pascu,¹ C. Petrone,¹ C. Porzio,^{5,6} D. Rhodes,^{20,21} D. Seweryniak,¹⁴ D. Schumann,²⁵ C. Sotty,¹ S. M. Stolze,¹⁴ R. Șuvăilă,¹ S. Toma,¹ S. Ujenuc,¹ W. B. Walters,¹⁹ C. Y. Wu,²³ J. Wu,¹⁴ S. Zhu,²² and S. Ziliani.^{5,6}

¹Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering—IFIN HH, Bucharest 077125, Romania

²Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-3255, USA

³Triangle Universities Nuclear Laboratory, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708-2308, USA

⁴Center for Nuclear Study, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

⁵Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Milano, I-20133 Milano, Italy

⁶INFN sezione di Milano via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy

⁷Institute of Nuclear Physics, PAN, 31-342 Kraków, Poland

⁸Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

⁹RIKEN Nishina Center, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

¹⁰KU Leuven, Instituut voor Kern- en Stralingsfysica, 3000 Leuven, Belgium

¹¹Institut Laue-Langevin (ILL), 71 Avenue des Martyrs, 38042 Grenoble, France

¹²Département de Physique, Université libre de Bruxelles, B-1050 Bruxelles, Belgium

¹³Department of Physics, United States Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland 21402, USA

¹⁴Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA

¹⁵Department of Physics and Applied Physics, University of Massachusetts Lowell, Lowell, Massachusetts 01854, USA

¹⁶Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

¹⁷Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627, USA

¹⁸Université Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, IPN-Lyon, F-69622, Villeurbanne, France

¹⁹Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA

²⁰Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA

²¹National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA

²²National Nuclear Data Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973-5000, USA

²³Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California 94550, USA

²⁴Technische Universität München, 80333 München, Germany

²⁵Paul Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen, Switzerland



(Received 24 June 2020; accepted 31 July 2020; published 2 September 2020)

The low-spin structure of the semimagic ^{64}Ni nucleus has been considerably expanded: combining four experiments, several 0^+ and 2^+ excited states were identified below 4.5 MeV, and their properties established. The Monte Carlo shell model accounts for the results and unveils an unexpectedly complex landscape of coexisting shapes: a prolate 0^+ excitation is located at a surprisingly high energy (3463 keV), with a collective 2^+ state 286 keV above it, the first such observation in Ni isotopes. The evolution in excitation energy of the prolate minimum across the neutron $N = 40$ subshell gap highlights the impact of the monopole interaction and its variation in strength with N .

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.102502

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

In mesoscopic systems with many degrees of freedom (e.g., molecules, atomic nuclei, etc.), deformation is a common phenomenon resulting from symmetry breaking associated with quantum-mechanical states (practically) degenerate in energy. The concept was originally

introduced by Jahn and Teller who demonstrated that, in nonlinear molecules, coupling between degenerate electronic states and collective vibrations can destroy the system's original symmetry [1]. In atomic nuclei, the appearance of ellipsoidal deformation is a realization of this effect with specific superpositions of spherical single-particle states (e.g., Nilsson model [2]) induced by deformed mean potentials (mean-field approaches) [3,4], or by quadrupole correlations (shell-model descriptions) [5,6], highlighting the interplay between single-particle states and collective modes.

Among the features associated with deformation figures shape coexistence: a phenomenon ubiquitous throughout the nuclear chart [7,8] where different shapes are present at comparable excitation energies. A clear-cut signature for its occurrence in even-even systems is the presence of low-lying 0^+ excitations residing in local minima of the nuclear potential energy surface (PES) in deformation space.

Over the past two decades, studies of neutron-rich nuclei have highlighted the contribution of the monopole component of the tensor force to the evolution of the structure of exotic nuclei [6,9], especially in the change in single-particle (or shell) structure with neutron excess, with some magic numbers vanishing and other, new ones appearing [10]. Besides such single-particle properties, its role in driving the nuclear shape was subsequently identified [6,11–15], specifically in connection with shape coexistence.

Neutron-rich even $_{28}\text{Ni}$ isotopes are a noteworthy example of shape coexistence: ^{68}Ni exhibits a spherical ground state, while the 1605-keV, 0_2^+ and 2511-keV, 0_3^+ levels are understood as oblate and prolate excitations [11,16–21]. In ^{70}Ni , a prolate 0_2^+ state is found at 1567 keV above the spherical ground state [22]. Finally, four 0^+ levels are known below a 4-MeV excitation energy in ^{66}Ni , where the ground state and the 2664-keV 0_3^+ level are interpreted as spherical, while the 0_2^+ , 2445-keV and the 0_4^+ , 2945-keV states are of oblate and prolate character [23].

The present Letter focuses on ^{64}Ni , the heaviest, stable nucleus in the isotopic chain, and reveals a complex landscape in deformation that was not anticipated by mean-field calculations [24–28], which predicted a single, spherical minimum, the development of a secondary prolate one occurring only in heavier isotopes. In contrast, recent Monte Carlo shell-model (MCSM) calculations [11] indicate coexistence of spherical and deformed oblate and prolate 0^+ states already in $^{62,64}\text{Ni}$. This coexistence originates from the action of the monopole tensor force which shifts effective single-particle energies, already at the valley of stability, weakening resistance against deformation [6,11,12]. This Letter reports extensive tests of these MCSM predictions. Besides the customary data on level energies, spins, and parities, comparisons also extend to state lifetimes, transition probabilities, branching, and multipole mixing ratios. Evidence is given for three coexisting shapes, with the prolate 0^+ state at ~ 3.5 MeV,

an excitation energy reproduced only by MCSM calculations incorporating the monopole tensor interaction. To achieve the required experimental sensitivity, four experiments, i.e., transfer reactions, neutron capture, Coulomb excitation, and nuclear resonance fluorescence, had to be carried out at the IFIN-HH Tandem Laboratory (Bucharest, Romania), the Institut Laue-Langevin (ILL, Grenoble, France), the Argonne National Laboratory (ANL, Argonne, U.S.), and the Triangle Universities Nuclear Laboratory (TUNL, Duke University, U.S.), respectively. Results from the first three techniques are reported below (for the last one, see Ref. [29]).

Prior to this work, two excited 0^+ states had been identified in ^{64}Ni , at 2867 and 3026 keV, following β -decay and (t, p) -reaction studies [30,31]. These levels were subsequently confirmed in deep-inelastic reaction measurements [32], and their γ decay to the 1346-keV 2_1^+ state was observed. Candidates for other, higher-lying 0^+ levels have also been reported [33].

At IFIN-HH, ^{64}Ni was populated by $^{62}\text{Ni}(^{18}\text{O}, ^{16}\text{O})$ two-neutron ($2n$) transfer on a 5 mg/cm²-thick target, with a 39-MeV beam energy, i.e., just below the Coulomb barrier in order to reduce competition from fusion-evaporation. Transitions of interest were measured with ROSPHERE, an array of 25 Compton-suppressed HPGe detectors with $\sim 2\%$ total efficiency at 1.3 MeV [34]. The same reaction, but with a thinner, 0.92-mg/cm² target and a 5-mg/cm²-Ta stopper, placed at six distances from the target (10, 17, 25, 45, 100, and 150 μm), was employed for lifetime measurements via the recoil-distance technique. The sub-barrier one-proton ($1p$) transfer reaction $^{65}\text{Cu}(^{11}\text{B}, ^{12}\text{C})^{64}\text{Ni}$ at 26 MeV on a 7.22-mg/cm²-thick target was performed as well [35].

The coincidence spectrum, from the thick target $2n$ -transfer reaction, gated on the 1346-keV $2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ ^{64}Ni transition, is presented in Fig. 1. All visible lines correspond to ^{64}Ni transitions which deexcite states below 4.6 MeV, with spin up to 7^- [32]. The 1521- and 1680-keV γ rays, depopulating the known 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ states, are clearly visible. Their respective half-lives, as measured in the plunger experiment, are $T_{1/2} = 1.4(6)$ and $3.6(1.2)$ ps (inset of Fig. 1) [35]. A search for transitions from higher-lying candidate 0^+ states proved inconclusive in the IFIN-HH datasets.

A 20-day experiment was then conducted at ILL [36], where ^{64}Ni was populated via thermal-neutron capture on a 2 GBq ^{63}Ni sample (extracted from a larger CERN-nTOF sample [37]), where 12.1 mg of NiO grains were glued between two 6- μm -thick Al foils and contained $\approx 8\%$ ^{63}Ni [$T_{1/2} = 101.2(15)$ yr], 69% ^{62}Ni , $< 3\%$ ^{63}Cu and other Ni isotopes, and 20% O. The γ rays were detected with FIPPS [38], a $\sim 3.7\%$ efficient array of 16 clover detectors (8 on loan from IFIN-HH) arranged in a 4π geometry, particularly suitable for angular correlation studies in view of




FIG. 1. $2n$ -transfer reaction. Partial spectrum in coincidence with the $^{64}\text{Ni } 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ transition (thick-target data). Inset: decay curves from the plunger experiment for the 1521- and 1680-keV transitions linking the 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ levels to the 2_1^+ state.

the large number (71) of relative angles between the germanium crystals.

The $1/2^-$ spin-parity of the ^{63}Ni ground state results in a 0^- or 1^- ^{64}Ni capture state at 9657.47 keV, and strong population of 0^+ , 1^+ , and 2^+ levels through $E1$ primary γ rays is expected. Direct feeding of the known 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ states, at 2866.9 and 3025.5 keV, is clearly visible in coincidence spectra gated on respective 6791- and 6632-keV primary transitions. Figure 2(a) displays the 6632-keV gated spectrum where both the $0_3^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$, 1680-keV transition and a new, weaker [3.6(2)% of the former] 749-keV, $0_3^+ \rightarrow 2_2^+$ decay branch are observed. The angular correlation for the $0_3^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ cascade [inset of Fig. 2(a)] agrees with the 0^+ spin-parity assignment to the 3026-keV level. A search for additional 0^+ excited states was undertaken by considering every primary transition in coincidence with the 1346-keV ground-state transition, and also feeding levels in the 3–6-MeV excitation energy range. Five such high-energy transitions, at 6194, 5801, 5389, 4954, and 3889 keV, populating states at 3463.1, 3856.0, 4268.1, 4703.9, and 5768.6 keV were found to exhibit decay patterns only consistent with 0^+ spin-parity assignments [39]. Further, the angular correlation analysis yielded firm 0^+ assignments for the states at 3463.1, 4268.1, 4703.9, and 5768.6 keV by considering in each case pairs of γ rays composed, on the one hand, of the decay branch to the 2_1^+ state and, on the other, of the $2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$, 1346-keV transition. The relevant analysis for the 0_4^+ , 3463.1-keV level is illustrated in Fig. 2(b).

The partial level scheme is given in Fig. 3. The 0_4^+ state at 3463 keV is of particular interest; it was observed earlier with tentative $(2^{+/-}, 3^-)$ [32] and $(0^+, 1, 2, 3^-)$ spin-parity assignments [33], but is firmly assigned here. It should be emphasized that this level is not populated in ^{64}Co β decay [30], in contrast to all other 0^+ states, up to 0_6^+ , which are




FIG. 2. Neutron-capture data. γ -ray spectra in coincidence with primary transitions (energy indicated in each panel). Relevant transitions are also labeled. Insets: measured angular correlations for the cascades indicated.

fed in this process. This observation already points to a marked difference in structure for this excitation, and is reminiscent of that occurring in ^{66}Ni [23], where the prolate-deformed 0_4^+ state at 2974 keV was also the only 0^+ excitation not fed in the β decay of the spherical ^{66}Co ground state [40]. Further inspection of the ILL data revealed three 2^+ states (firmly established in this work) at 3647.9, 3749.1, and 3798.7 keV, which complement four such excitations, at 1345.8, 2276.6, 2972.1, and 3276.0 keV, reported in Ref. [41] (see Fig. 3). The angular correlations for the transitions deexciting 2_4^+ , 2_5^+ , and 2_7^+ levels toward the 2_1^+ state all indicate a dominant $M1$ character, with only a small $E2$ admixture. This is illustrated through the representative data for the $2_5^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ cascade of Fig. 2(c). A notable exception to this trend is




FIG. 3. Data (left) and MCSM calculations (right) for ^{64}Ni level properties derived in this work. Transition strengths are from CE, branchings and mixing ratios from neutron capture. In red, decays from prolate structures.

the $2_6^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ sequence where the 2403-keV γ ray exhibits a pronounced $E2$ character, as strikingly illustrated by comparing Figs. 2(c) and 2(d). The corresponding mixing ratio was determined to be $\delta = +1.23(10)$. Furthermore, from the line shape observed for this 2403-keV γ ray in spectra following $1p$ transfer, a lower limit of 0.5 ps was obtained for the 2_6^+ state half-life, which results in upper limits to the respective $B(E2)$ strengths of 0.02, 0.4, and 0.02 W.u. for the $2_6^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$, $2_6^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$, and $2_6^+ \rightarrow 2_2^+$ transitions. These all indicate that deexcitation from the 2_6^+ state is significantly hindered.

To gain further insight into the nature of the 0^+ and 2^+ excitations in ^{64}Ni , a multistep Coulomb excitation (CE) measurement was carried out at the ATLAS facility at ANL. A 0.5-mg/cm² isotopically enriched ^{208}Pb target was bombarded by a ^{64}Ni beam of 272 MeV, a value 14% below the Coulomb barrier. Transitions were detected with the GREYINA tracking array [42] in coincidence with the two reaction partners measured by the CHICO2 compact heavy ion counter [43], as described in Ref. [44]. The resulting yields were analyzed with the semiclassical Coulomb excitation code, GOSIA [45], which provided electromagnetic matrix elements for transitions from 13 states [46]. For the present Letter, the following reduced transition probabilities are relevant: $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+) = 140(20) e^2 \text{fm}^4$, $B(E2; 2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+) = 73(8) e^2 \text{fm}^4$, $B(E2; 0_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+) = 48(3) e^2 \text{fm}^4$, $B(E2; 0_3^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+) = 10(1) e^2 \text{fm}^4$, $B(E2; 0_4^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+) < 1.3 e^2 \text{fm}^4$, and $B(E2; 2_6^+ \rightarrow 2_2^+) < 3.2 e^2 \text{fm}^4$. In terms of single-particle estimates, these values translate into strengths of 9(1), 4.8(5), 3.2(2), 0.65(7), < 0.08 , and < 0.2 W.u., respectively. Good agreement is noted with the lifetime data for the 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ decays to the 2_1^+ state with $B(E2)$ values of 3.3(14) and 0.8(3) W.u., respectively (Fig. 1). The limits for the 2_6^+ and 0_4^+ states are also consistent, but somewhat tighter in the CE case.

The left-hand part of Fig. 3 provides a ^{64}Ni level scheme encompassing states of positive parity with spins 0, 1, 2, up

to 4.26 MeV excitation energy. The information gathered on mixing, branching ratios, and transition strengths has been added to enable extensive comparisons with calculations. Such additional tests of theory are generally difficult, if not impossible, especially for neutron-rich nuclei requiring experiments with radioactive beams.

The right-hand side of Fig. 3 presents the level scheme from MCSM calculations, performed with significantly extended MCSM basis vectors as compared to earlier studies of $^{66-78}\text{Ni}$ [11,23]. The model space includes protons and neutrons in the full fp shell with, in addition, the $g_{9/2}$ and $d_{5/2}$ orbitals, and the Hamiltonian is based on the A3DA-m effective interaction [11]. The transition probabilities were obtained with standard effective charges ($e_p = 1.5 e$, $e_n = 0.5 e$), a spin quenching factor of 0.7, and an isovector orbital g factor of 0.1 [47]. State energies are reproduced satisfactorily—the rms deviation is ~ 300 keV, commensurate with expectations for shell-model calculations. For the first three 0^+ excitations, the computed decay patterns mirror the data: the decay to the 2_1^+ level dominates the deexcitation from 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ states, and the branching ratios between the four transitions from the 0_4^+ state are qualitatively reproduced, with the $0_4^+ \rightarrow 2_2^+$ one being strongest. The relative $B(E2)$ strengths calculated for the $0_{2,3,4}^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ decays (i.e., 12, 2.4, and 5×10^{-4} W.u.) are consistent with the data, even though the absolute strengths are larger. Finally, the MCSM calculations also reproduce the lack of feeding of the 0_4^+ state in β decay, when compared to that of the other 0^+ levels.

A sequence of relatively close-lying 2^+ levels is also predicted with deexcitation patterns and transition probabilities agreeing with observations, at least when the calculated 2_7^+ state is associated with the 2_6^+ experimental one—the 2_6^+ and 2_7^+ levels are computed to lie only 235 keV apart, i.e., within the expected accuracy of the A3DA-m interaction. Theory also reproduces (i) relative variations in $B(E2)$ values between the 2^+ levels [including




FIG. 4. ^{64}Ni potential energy surfaces with (a) full, original interaction used in MCSM calculations [11], and (b) monopole-frozen interaction (i.e., the monopole component is subtracted from the proton-neutron interaction, and single-particle energies are adjusted to original effective values of the spherical minimum [6]).

the retardation for the transitions out of the 2_6^+ state, which agrees with the observed small $B(E2)$ upper limits) and (ii) the strong $E2$ component in the $\Delta I = 1$, $2_6^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ transition, where the measured mixing ratio $\delta(E2/M1) = +1.23(10)$ (versus $\delta_{\text{MCSM}} = 2.6$) contrasts those for similar transitions from the other 2^+ excitations [Fig. 2(c)].

According to the MCSM calculations, the first four 0^+ states reside in spherical, oblate, spherical, and prolate minima, respectively, in the PES obtained for the A3DA-m Hamiltonian by the constrained Hartree-Fock method [11,12]. Thus, the $0_4^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ decay is a prolate-to-spherical shape-changing transition, resulting in significant retardation, in line with the $B(E2)$ limit of < 0.08 W.u. The same picture applies to the computed 2_7^+ level, which theory also locates in the prolate minimum. The observed decay pattern, the limits on the decay strengths, and the dominant $E2$ character of the $2_6^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ transition argue in favor of this interpretation for the observed 2_6^+ state. Hence, based on the consistency between data and theory, this 2_6^+ level represents the first observation in the Ni isotopes of a 2^+ excited state in a well-isolated prolate potential minimum. The “shape-isomer-like” properties of the 0_4^+ excitation in ^{64}Ni mirror closely those found in ^{66}Ni [23] with, in addition, the observation of the first element of a rotational sequence. Using the Raman systematics, linking 2^+ energies to transition strengths [48], this results in a computed β_2 deformation of ~ 0.4 , in agreement with the MCSM result [Fig. 4(a)]. The low-energy 286-keV in-band $2_6^+ \rightarrow 0_4^+$ transition, even with a calculated $B(E2)$ strength of ~ 40 W.u., cannot be observed: the flux proceeds through high-energy (> 1 MeV) $E2$ γ rays due to the E_7^5 factor.

With these new, extensive data in ^{64}Ni , the evolution in energy of the prolate minimum with N can now be traced in the Ni isotopes, revealing a sharp contrast with that exhibited by the 2_1^+ levels of spherical nature. The latter are all in the 1250–1450-keV range, with the exception of the 2033-keV value for ^{68}Ni due to the $N = 40$ subshell

closure. In contrast, the prolate 0^+ excitation rises from 1567 keV in ^{70}Ni , to 2511 and 2905 keV in ^{68}Ni and ^{66}Ni , and 3463 keV in ^{64}Ni . This behavior for $N < 40$ differs markedly from the lowering of deformed intruder states when moving away from a (sub)shell closure, observed in the Hg and Pb nuclei [7,8], for example. Low-lying prolate intruder states in the aforementioned neutron-rich Ni isotopes reflect the action of the monopole tensor force which is often referred to as *Type II shell evolution* [12,13,23], and involves particle-hole excitations of neutrons to the $g_{9/2}$ unique-parity orbital from the fp shell. Extra binding for such intruder states is provided largely by the monopole tensor part of the nucleon-nucleon force (the proton $f_{5/2}$ - $f_{7/2}$ spin-orbit splitting is reduced, favoring proton excitations across the $Z = 28$ shell gap), which stabilizes isolated, deformed local minima in the PES [Fig. 4(a)]. This additional binding is reduced for lower N values as there are progressively fewer neutrons which can be excited to the $g_{9/2}$ orbital. The deformed minimum rises in excitation energy as a result. As demonstrated in Fig. 4(b), by deactivating components of the monopole interaction (i.e., monopole frozen [6]), a nearly vanishing prolate minimum would reside at even higher excitation, in line with mean-field predictions [24–28].

The present work has unveiled an unexpectedly complex landscape of nuclear deformation at zero spin in stable, semimagic ^{64}Ni . This includes the first identification, in Ni isotopes, of a 2^+ excitation in the prolate minimum. The new results provide, for the first time, a complete picture of the mechanisms underlying the appearance of deformation and shape coexistence in the Ni isotopes. They highlight the impact of the monopole tensor interaction in driving deformation at zero spin, even in ^{64}Ni , a nucleus within the valley of stability.

This work was partially supported by the European project for Nuclear Physics ENSAR2 (Project No. 654002), by the Italian Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, by the Polish National Science Centre under Contracts No. 2014/14/M/ST2/00738 and No. 2013/08/M/ST2/00257, and by the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique FNRS Grant No. 4.45.10.08. It was supported by the Romanian Nucleu Project No. PN-19-06-01-02 and IFA-CERN-RO-06-ISOLDE. The MCSM calculations were performed on the K computer at RIKEN AICS and Oakforest-PACS operated by JCAHPC (hp160211, hp170230, hp180179, hp190160, hp200130). This work was supported in part by MEXT as “Priority Issue on Post-K computer” (Elucidation of the Fundamental Laws and Evolution of the Universe) and “Program for Promoting Research on the Supercomputer Fugaku” (Simulation for basic science: from fundamental laws of particles to creation of nuclei) and by JICFuS. This work was funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics, under Contracts No. DE-AC02-06CH11357 (ANL), No. DE-

AC52-07NA27344 (LLNL), No. DE-AC02-05CH11231 (LBNL), and under Grants No. DE-FG02-97ER41041 (UNC), No. DE-FG02-97ER41033 (TUNL), No. DE-FG02-08ER41556 and No. DE-SC0020451 (MSU), No. DE-FG02-94ER40848 (UML), and No. DE-FG02-94ER4084 (Maryland), and by the National Science Foundation under Grants No. PHY-1565546 (MSU) and No. PHY-1502092 (USNA). GRETINA was funded by the U.S. DOE, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics under the ANL and LBNL contract numbers above. A. D. A. acknowledges support by Office of Naval Research (ONR) through the Naval Academy Research Council (NARC). This research used resources of ANLs ATLAS facility, a DOE Office of Science User Facility. The open access fee was covered by FILL2030, a European Union project within the European Commission's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation program under Grant Agreement No. 731096.

*Corresponding author.

Nicu@tandem.nipne.ro

†Corresponding author.

Silvia.Leoni@mi.infn.it

‡Corresponding author.

Rvfj@email.unc.edu

§Corresponding author.

Bogdan.Fornal@ifj.edu.pl

||Corresponding author.

Otsuka@phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

¶Present address: Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35, 40014 Jyväskylä, Finland.

**Present address: KU Leuven, Instituut voor Kern- en Stralingsfysica, 3000 Leuven, Belgium.

††Present address: Department of Physics and Astronomy and National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA.

- [1] H. A. Jahn and E. Teller, *Proc. R. Soc. A* **161**, 220 (1937).
- [2] A. Bohr and B. R. Mottelson, *Nuclear Structure, I and II* (W.A. Benjamin, New York, 1975).
- [3] P.-G. Reinhard and E. W. Otten, *Nucl. Phys.* **A420**, 173 (1984).
- [4] W. Nazarewicz, *Nucl. Phys.* **A574**, 27c (1994).
- [5] Y. Utsuno, T. Otsuka, B. A. Brown, M. Honma, T. Mizusaki, N. Shimizu, *Phys. Rev. C* **86**, 051301(R) (2012).
- [6] T. Otsuka, Y. Tsunoda, T. Abe, N. Shimizu, and P. Van Duppen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 222502 (2019).
- [7] J. L. Wood, K. Heyde, W. Nazarewicz, M. Huyse, and P. van Duppen, *Phys. Rep.* **215**, 101 (1992).
- [8] K. Heyde and J. L. Wood, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 1467 (2011).
- [9] T. Otsuka, T. Suzuki, M. Honma, Y. Utsuno, N. Tsunoda, K. Tsukiyama, and M. Hjorth-Jensen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 012501 (2010).
- [10] T. Otsuka, A. Gade, O. Sorlin, T. Suzuki, and Y. Utsuno, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **92**, 015002 (2020).
- [11] Y. Tsunoda, T. Otsuka, N. Shimizu, M. Honma, and Y. Utsuno, *Phys. Rev. C* **89**, 031301(R) (2014).
- [12] T. Otsuka and Y. Tsunoda, *J. Phys. G* **43**, 024009 (2016).
- [13] T. Togashi, Y. Tsunoda, T. Otsuka, and N. Shimizu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 172502 (2016).
- [14] B. A. Marsh, T. Day Goodacre, S. Sels, Y. Tsunoda, B. Andel, A. Andreyev *et al.*, *Nat. Phys.* **14**, 1163 (2018).
- [15] S. Sels, T. Day Goodacre, B. A. Marsh, A. Pastore, W. Ryssens, Y. Tsunoda *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **99**, 044306 (2019).
- [16] B. P. Crider, C. J. Prokop, S. N. Liddick, M. Al-Shudifat, A. D. Ayangeakaa, M. P. Carpenter *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B* **763**, 108 (2016).
- [17] S. Suchyta, S. N. Liddick, Y. Tsunoda, T. Otsuka, M. B. Bennett, A. Chemey *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **89**, 021301(R) (2014).
- [18] F. Recchia, C. J. Chiara, R. V. F. Janssens, D. Weisshaar, A. Gade, W. B. Walters *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **88**, 041302(R) (2013).
- [19] F. Flavigny, D. Pauwels, D. Radulov, I. J. Darby, H. De Witte, J. Diriken *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **91**, 034310 (2015).
- [20] W. F. Mueller, B. Bruyneel, S. Franchoo, M. Huyse, J. Kurpeta, K. Kruglov *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **61**, 054308 (2000).
- [21] C. J. Chiara, R. Broda, W. B. Walters, R. V. F. Janssens, M. Albers, M. Alcorta *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **86**, 041304(R) (2012).
- [22] C. J. Prokop, B. P. Crider, S. N. Liddick, A. D. Ayangeakaa, M. P. Carpenter, J. J. Carroll *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **92**, 061302(R) (2015).
- [23] S. Leoni, B. Fornal, N. Marginean, M. Sferrazza, Y. Tsunoda, T. Otsuka *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 162502 (2017).
- [24] S. Hilaire and M. Girod, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **33**, 237 (2007).
- [25] J. F. Berger, M. Girod, and D. Gogny, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **63**, 365 (1991).
- [26] J. Decharge and D. Gogny, *Phys. Rev. C* **21**, 1568 (1980).
- [27] P. Möller, A. J. Sierk, and A. Iwamoto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 072501 (2004).
- [28] P. Möller, A. J. Sierk, R. Bengtsson, H. Sagawa, and T. Ichikawa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 212501 (2009).
- [29] The results from the nuclear resonance fluorescence experiment confirm those discussed in the present Letter, and will be published elsewhere [U. Friman-Gayer *et al.* (to be published)].
- [30] D. Pauwels, D. Radulov, W. B. Walters, I. G. Darby, H. De Witte, J. Diriken *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **86**, 064318 (2012).
- [31] W. Darcey, R. Chapman, and S. Hinds, *Nucl. Phys.* **A170**, 253 (1971).
- [32] R. Broda, T. Pawlat, W. Krolas, R. V. F. Janssens, S. Zhu, W. B. Walters *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **86**, 064312 (2012).
- [33] A. Harder, S. Michaelson, A. Jungclaus, K. P. Lieb, A. P. Williams, H. G. Börner, and M. Trautmannsheimer, *Z. Phys. A* **343**, 7 (1992).
- [34] D. Bucurescu, I. Căta-Danil, G. Ciocan, C. Costache, D. Deleanu *et al.*, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **837**, 1 (2016).
- [35] L. Stan *et al.* (to be published).
- [36] N. Mărginean *et al.*, Complete low-spin spectroscopy of ^{64}Ni by thermal n capture: tracing the evolution of shape-isomer like structures in the Ni isotopic chain, Institut Laue-Langevin (2018), <https://doi.ill.fr/10.5291/ILL-DATA.3-17-36>, and to be published.

- [37] C. Lederer, C. Massimi, E. Berthoumieux, N. Colonna, R. Dressler, C. Guerrero *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **89**, 025810 (2014).
- [38] C. Michelagnoli, A. Blanc, E. Ruiz-Martinez, A. Chebboubi, H. Faust, E. Froidefond *et al.*, *EPJ Web Conf.* **193**, 04009 (2018).
- [39] For the 3856.0-keV level, the 0^+ assignment was established via the 702–3154-keV correlation cascade, involving the intermediate 1^+ state at 3154 keV, first identified in this work [36].
- [40] M. Stryjczyk, Y. Tsunoda, I.G. Darby, H. DeWitte, J. Diriken, D. V. Fedorov *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **98**, 064326 (2018).
- [41] Nuclear Data Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory, <https://www.nndc.bnl.gov>.
- [42] S. Paschalis, I. Y. Lee, A. O. Macchiavelli, C. M. Campbell, M. Cromaz, S. Gros *et al.*, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **709**, 44 (2013).
- [43] C. Y. Wu, D. Cline, A. Hayes, R. S. Flight, A. M. Melchionna, C. Zhou, I. Y. Lee, D. Swan, R. Fox, and J. T. Anderson, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **814**, 6 (2016).
- [44] A. D. Ayangeakaa, R. V.F. Janssens, S. Zhu, D. Little, J. Henderson, C. Y. Wu *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 102501 (2019).
- [45] T. Czosnyka, D. Cline, and C. Y. Wu, *Bull. Am. Phys. Soc.* **28**, 745 (1983); Gosia Manual, <http://www.pas.rochester.edu/~cline/Gosia/>.
- [46] D. Little, R. V.F. Janssens, A. D. Ayangeakaa *et al.* (to be published); D. Little, Ph.D. thesis, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, 2020 (to be published).
- [47] M. Honma, T. Otsuka, T. Mizusaki, and M. Hjorth-Jensen, *Phys. Rev. C* **80**, 064323 (2009).
- [48] S. Raman, C. W. Nestor Jr., and P. Tikkanen, *At. Data Nucl. Data Tables* **78**, 1 (2001).