Can a strong atmospheric CO₂ rectifier effect be reconciled with a “reasonable” carbon budget?

A. Scott Denning, Taro Takahashi & Pierre Friedlingstein

To cite this article: A. Scott Denning, Taro Takahashi & Pierre Friedlingstein (1999) Can a strong atmospheric CO₂ rectifier effect be reconciled with a “reasonable” carbon budget?, Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology, 51:2, 249-253, DOI: [10.3402/tellusb.v51i2.16277](https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v51i2.16277)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v51i2.16277>

© 1999 The Author(s). Published by Taylor & Francis.

Published online: 15 Dec 2016.

Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)

Article views: 150

View related articles [↗](#)

Citing articles: 5 View citing articles [↗](#)

KEYNOTE PERSPECTIVE

Can a strong atmospheric CO₂ rectifier effect be reconciled with a “reasonable” carbon budget?

By A. SCOTT DENNING^{1,*}, TARO TAKAHASHI² and PIERRE FRIEDLINGSTEIN³, ¹*Department of Atmospheric Science, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523-1371, USA;* ²*Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, Palisades, NY, USA;* ³*LCSE Unite Mixte CEA-CNRS 91191 Gif sur Yvette, France*

(Manuscript in final form 20 October 1998)

ABSTRACT

Atmospheric CO₂ accumulates near the Earth's surface because of relatively deeper vertical mixing when photosynthesis is active than when it is not. Some models simulate an excess of more than 2.5 ppmv CO₂ in the remote Northern Hemisphere due to this “rectification” of an annually balanced terrestrial carbon cycle. The covariance between CO₂ flux and vertical mixing, and the resulting vertical structure of CO₂ are generally consistent with field data at local scales, but it is difficult to reconcile such a strong rectifier signal with current ideas about the global carbon budget. A rectifier effect of 2.5 ppmv at northern flask sampling stations implies an unreasonably strong northern sink of atmospheric CO₂, and a corresponding source in the tropics or Southern Hemisphere.

Current understanding of the global carbon budget is derived largely from global-scale constraints: the rate of change of the concentration and isotopic composition of atmospheric CO₂, the north–south gradient in annual mean concentration, the amplitude of the seasonal cycle and its variation with latitude. Observational data indicate that the carbon budget varies significantly from year to year, with the global carbon sink ranging from about 1 GtC/yr to perhaps as much as 5 GtC/yr (Conway et al., 1994; Keeling et al., 1995; Francey et al., 1995). In addition, understanding of carbon exchange mechanisms is derived from site-level studies (e.g., eddy correlation flux towers, oceanographic measurements of sea-surface p_{CO_2} , and ¹⁴C profiles of soil organic matter).

In nature, the carbon budget is true to all of these observational constraints simultaneously. We would like this to be true for carbon budgets we infer from data, however, in practice this is almost never the case. Inversion studies have typically focused only on the behavior of the time-averaged data at remote marine surface locations. Site-based flux data are only used for validation. Bottom-up studies which attempt to diagnose fluxes from ancillary data such as spectral vegetation indices and ecological principles (Potter et al., 1993; Melillo et al., 1993) typically ignore the atmospheric constraint, except as needed for validation.

One of the strongest lines of evidence for a terrestrial sink in the northern hemisphere is the magnitude of the Arctic-to-Antarctic gradient in annual mean CO₂ concentration measured by the global flask air sampling networks (Tans et al.,

* Corresponding author.

1990; Enting et al., 1995; Fan et al., 1998). Interpretation of this spatial structure is complicated by the fact that the flask network samples the surface only, and is intentionally focused at remote marine boundary layer sites. Denning et al. (1995, 1996a,b) have shown that this gradient may be significantly influenced by covariance between terrestrial ecosystem metabolism and vertical atmospheric transport (the atmospheric “rectifier” effect).

The idea behind the atmospheric rectifier is simple: photosynthesis and thermally driven buoyant convection in the atmosphere are both driven by solar radiation, and therefore “beat” on the same diurnal, synoptic, and seasonal frequencies. Photosynthesis exceeds ecosystem respiration during times and at places of deeper buoyant mixing, whereas respiration exceeds photosynthesis when mixing is shallow and inefficient. This covariance leads to a time-mean vertical partition of CO_2 in the atmosphere over active vegetation, with higher concentrations near the surface (reflecting respiration) and lower concentrations aloft (reflecting photosynthesis).

The global redistribution of CO_2 due to the rectifier effect has been investigated by Denning et al. (1995, 1996a,b) using the Colorado State University (CSU) General Circulation Model (GCM). Simulated atmospheric CO_2 transport included resolved advection and parameterized vertical transport due to dry and penetrative moist convection. A unique feature of the CSU GCM is the use of a vertical discretization scheme in which the top of the turbulent planetary boundary layer (PBL) is identified as a coordinate surface. The PBL depth is prognosed at each time step from a turbulence kinetic energy budget and entrainment calculation, directly coupling the surface energy budget to the mass of air which exchanges tracer with the surface. Surface fluxes of energy, moisture, momentum, and CO_2 are calculated at each time step using the Simple Biosphere Model (SiB2, Sellers et al., 1996), which relates canopy conductance and fluxes to the rate of photosynthetic carbon assimilation. Thus CO_2 exchange is mechanistically coupled to the surface energy budget, the depth of the PBL, and the subgrid-scale vertical transport of CO_2 in the atmosphere. Covariance between annually balanced terrestrial CO_2 flux and transport in the model produces a north-south gradient of about 2.5 ppm at the

locations of remote marine boundary layer flask stations. The rectifier effect simulated by the CSU GCM was among the strongest in a recent inter-comparison exercise involving 12 tracer transport models (TransCom, Law et al., 1996).

The rectifier effect simulated by the CSU GCM is consistent with atmospheric observations, at least at local to regional scales. The annual mean CO_2 concentration exhibits a gradient in the annual mean between 11 m and 400 m on a tall television tower in northern Wisconsin (about 8 ppm) that is twice as strong as the gradient between Alert (83°N) and the South Pole (about 4 ppm) (Masarie and Tans, 1995; GlobalView, 1997). At this site, boundary-layer mixing depth measured by a radar wind profiler is inversely correlated with CO_2 concentration measured by continuous analyzers, and the CSU GCM is able to reproduce the concurrent diurnal cycles of both CO_2 concentration and PBL depth quite well (Denning et al., 1996c). CO_2 concentration is elevated by more than 20 ppm in central Amazonia relative to concurrent measurements on the Atlantic coast of Brazil (S. Wofsy, personal communication), reflecting the pooling of respiration air over the forest as predicted by the model. The seasonal and diurnal cycles of the vertical profile of CO_2 concentration measured at tall towers in Wisconsin, North Carolina, and Hungary all show the patterns predicted by the CSU GCM: elevated concentrations near the ground, lower concentrations aloft, and a huge diurnal cycle that obscures low-level seasonality (Bakwin et al., 1995; Haszpra and Nagy, 1997).

The strong rectifier effect simulated in the CSU GCM is difficult to reconcile with other ideas about the carbon cycle, however. To test the compatibility of the CO_2 rectifier with some widely used hypotheses about the carbon budget, a 5-year integration of the global model was performed in which surface exchange of CO_2 was prescribed according to the processes described in Table 1. Air-sea exchange of CO_2 was prescribed according to a recent compilation and interpolation of about 250 000 measurements of air-sea p_{CO_2} difference (Takahashi et al., 1997), which includes seasonal variations. We prescribed the air-sea flux using the gas exchange coefficients of Wanninkhof (1992). Annual mean concentrations were extracted for each of 77 flask stations in the GlobalView network from the final year of the

Table 1. *Tracer calculations and boundary conditions used, and implied carbon budget*

Process	Data source	Annual emissions
fossil fuel emission	Marland et al. (1989)	6.0 GtC/yr
air-sea gas exchange	Takahashi et al. (1997)	-1.2
balanced terrestrial biosphere	SiB2 (Denning et al., 1996a)	0.0
net terrestrial sink	SLAVE (Friedlingstein et al., 1995)	S
deforestation flux	Houghton et al. (1987)	3.1-(6.0-1.2-S)

Assumes atmospheric increase of 3.1 GtC/yr.

simulation, and compared to the flask data (shown in Fig. 1a). Tropical deforestation has almost no influence on the annual mean CO₂ concentration measured at the flask stations (Denning, 1994), so this tracer was used to balance the global budget.

The seasonal and diurnal rectification of terrestrial CO₂ exchange simulated by SiB2 produced a meridional gradient of about 3 ppm at remote marine boundary layer sites in the annual mean,

with much higher concentrations over continental sites (Fig. 1b). Note that the observed concentrations are also much higher at these sites. This may be the signal of the natural rectifier. When the influence of fossil fuel, deforestation, air-sea exchange, and the simulated rectifier are subtracted from the observations, the result is the influence of the net terrestrial carbon sink on the annual mean concentration at the flask network

Fig. 1. Meridional profiles of annual mean CO₂ mole fraction at the locations of global view flask stations. The abscissae are scaled according to the sine of latitude, and the scales on the ordinate vary from panel to panel. The letter codes correspond to the station codes used for the stations in the global view data. Panel (a) shows observational data. Panels (b) through (d) show results for the final year of a 5 year tracer simulation in the CSU GCM. (b) is the result of a balanced biosphere using SiB2; (c) is the difference between the observations and the sum of fossil fuels + air-sea exchange + SiB2, indicating the required effect of a sink which balances the carbon budget and matches the annual profile of the data; (d) shows the effect of a 1 GtC/yr sink due to CO₂ fertilization and nitrogen deposition as simulated by SLAVE.

(Fig. 1c). This “fingerprint” indicates that the sink must be very strong at middle to high northern latitudes, and it must have a disproportionate influence at continental sites such as ITN, LEF, HUN, WES, and UUM.

Fig. 1d shows the effect of a carbon sink due to the combined effects of CO₂ fertilization and nitrogen deposition, which has a tropical maximum in the regions of very high NPP, and also a secondary maximum in midlatitudes associated with high rates of N-deposition and slow carbon turnover in wood and in soils. The plot shows the effect of a sink with a globally integrated flux of 1 GtC/yr. The effect on the annual mean concentration at each station can be scaled linearly, so that a 2 GtC/yr sink would produce exactly twice the concentration gradient, and so forth. Scaling the sink in this way also requires scaling the tropical deforestation flux to be consistent with the overall atmospheric increase of 3.1 GtC/yr (Table 1). This sink is not nearly strong enough in the middle latitudes to overcome the combined effects of elevated CO₂ due to fossil fuel emissions and atmospheric rectification there.

Even adding a large sink of unknown mechanism in the temperate and/or boreal forests is incompatible with the budgets simulated here, because it requires a large tropical source to balance the global budget. Such a large source produces elevated concentrations at tropical sites which are again incompatible with the flask data, and is inconsistent with recent estimates of disturbance rates and tropical uptake (Skole and Tucker, 1993; Philips et al., 1998). The best fit of the results of the simulations presented here to the atmo-

spheric observations is produced by arbitrarily multiplying the annual mean rectifier response by 0.5. This suggests that either (a) the simulated covariance between terrestrial CO₂ exchange and vertical transport by parameterized subgrid-scale motions in the CSU GCM is too strong; (b) there is some counteracting process in the atmosphere at larger scales that eliminates some or all of the effect by the time airmasses are advected to the remote marine flask stations; or (c) there really is a large (order several GtC/yr) carbon sink in the northern middle to high latitudes that is not explained by current ideas of CO₂ and N fertilization, nor captured by careful analysis of sea-surface p_{CO_2} measurements.

In the future, it would be wise to study the rectifier mechanism in nature, and in models across a numbers of spatial scales. It seems clear that at the local level, the rectifier effect is present and quite strong. If further research proves that this effect scales to the zonal mean as simulated in the CSU GCM, it will force a significant reappraisal of current ideas of carbon sinks and their mechanisms.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the NASA EOS Interdisciplinary Science Program, under contract NAS5-97146. We thank Ken Masarie and Peter Tans of NOAA CMDL, who provided the Global View flask data. We are indebted to Inez Fung and Chris Field for helpful comments and discussion.

REFERENCES

- Bakwin, P. S., Tans, P. P., Ussler, W. III and Quesnell, E. 1995. Measurements of carbon dioxide on a very tall tower. *Tellus* **47B**, 535–549.
- Conway, T. J., Tans, P. P., Waterman, L. S., Thoning, K., Kitzis, D. R., Masarie, K. A. and Zhang, N. 1994. Evidence for interannual variability of the carbon cycle from the NOAA/CMDL global air sampling network. *Jour. Geophys. Res.* **99**, 22831–22855.
- Denning, A. S. 1994. Investigations of the transport, sources, and sinks of atmospheric CO₂ using a general circulation model. *Atmospheric Science Paper* no. **564**, Colorado State University.
- Denning, A. S., Fung, I. Y. and Randall, A. D. 1995. Latitudinal gradient of atmospheric CO₂ due to seasonal exchange with land biota. *Nature* **376**, 240–243.
- Denning, A. S., Collatz, J. G., Zhang, C., Randall, D. A., Berry, J. A., Sellers, P. J., Colello, G. D. and Dazlich, D. A. 1996a. Simulations of terrestrial carbon metabolism and atmospheric CO₂ in a general circulation model. Part 1: Surface carbon fluxes. *Tellus* **48B**, 521–542.
- Denning, A. S., Randall, D. A., Collatz, G. J. and Sellers, P. J. 1996b. Simulations of terrestrial carbon metabolism and atmospheric CO₂ in a general circulation model. Part 2: Spatial and temporal variations of atmospheric CO₂. *Tellus* **48B**, 543–567.
- Denning, A. S., Bakwin, P. S., Davis, K. J., Angevine, W. M. and Randall, D. A. 1996c. Simulations and observations of terrestrial carbon flux and atmospheric turbulence: Implications for the “missing

- carbon" problem. Presented at the *2nd International Scientific Conference on the Global energy and water cycle*. Washington, DC. 17–21 June 1996. Proceedings, 426–427.
- Enting, I. G., Trudinger, C. M. and Francey, R. J. 1995. A synthesis inversion of the concentration and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of atmospheric CO₂. *Tellus* **47B**, 35–52.
- Fan, S.-M., Gloor, M., Mahlman, J., Pacala, S., Sarmiento, J., Takahashi, T. and Tans, P. 1998. A large terrestrial carbon sink in North America implied by atmospheric and oceanic carbon dioxide data and models. *Science* **282**, 442–446.
- Francey, R., Allison, C. E., Enting, I. G., White, J. W. C., Trolier, M. and Tans, P. P. 1995. Changes in the oceanic and terrestrial carbon uptake since 1982. *Nature* **373**, 326–330.
- Friedlingstein, P., Fung, I., Holland, E., John, J., Brassieur, G., Erickson, D. and Schimel, D. 1995. On the contribution of CO₂ fertilization to the missing biospheric sink. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **9**, 541–556.
- Global View. 1997. *Digital data set available from the carbon cycle group*. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Climate Monitoring and Diagnostics Laboratory (<ftp://ftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/ccg/co2/GLOBALVIEW/db>), Boulder, CO.
- Haszpra, L. and Nagy, Z. 1997. Vertical concentration profile measurements of carbon dioxide at a rural site in Hungary. Presented at the *5th International Carbon dioxide conference*. Cairns, Australia, 8–12 September 1997. Extended abstracts, AB0106.
- Houghton, R. A., Boone, R. D., Fruci, J. D., Hobbie, J. E., Melillo, J. M., Palm, C. A., Peterson, B. J., Shaver, G. R., Woodwell, G. M., Moore, B., Skole, D. L. and Myers, M. 1987. The flux of carbon from terrestrial ecosystems to the atmosphere in 1980 due to changes in land use: geographic distribution of the global flux. *Tellus* **39B**, 122–139.
- Keeling, C. D., Whorf, T. P., Whalen, M. and van der Plicht, J. 1995. Interannual extremes in the rate of rise of atmospheric carbon dioxide since 1980. *Nature* **375**, 666–670.
- Law, R. M., Rayner, P. J., Denning, A. S., Erickson, D., Heimann, M., Piper, S. C., Ramonet, M., Taguchi, S., Taylor, J. A., Trudinger, C. M. and Watterson, I. G. 1996. Variations in modelled atmospheric transport of carbon dioxide and the consequences for CO₂ inversions. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **10**, 783–796.
- Marland, G. 1989. Fossil fuels CO₂ emissions: *Three countries account for 50% in 1988*. CDIAC Communications, Winter, 1989, 1–4. Carbon Dioxide Inf. Anal. Cent., Oak Ridge Nat. Lab., Oak Ridge, TN.
- Masarie, K. A. and Tans, P. P. 1995. Extension and integration of atmospheric carbon dioxide data into a globally consistent measurement record. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **100**, 11593–11610.
- Melillo, J. M., McGuire, A. D., Kicklighter, D. W., Moore, B., Vorosmarty, C. J. and Schloss, A. L. 1993. Global change and terrestrial net primary production. *Nature* **363**, 234–240.
- Philips, O. L., Malhi, Y., Higuchi, N., Laurance, W. F., Núñez, P. V., Vásquez, R. M., Laurance, S. G., Ferreira, L. V., Stern, M., Brown, S. and Grace, J. 1998. Changes in the carbon balance of tropical forests: Evidence from long-term plots. *Science* **282**, 439–442.
- Potter, C. S., Randerson, J. T., Field, C. B., Matson, P. A., Vitousek, P. M., Mooney, H. A. and Klooster, S. A. 1993. Terrestrial ecosystem production: A process-oriented model based on global satellite and surface data. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **7**, 811–842.
- Sellers, P. J., Randall, D. A., Collatz, G. J., Berry, J. A., Field, C. B., Dazlich, D. A., Zhang, C., Collelo, G. D. and Bounoua, L. 1996. A revised land surface parameterization (SiB2) for atmospheric GCMs. Part I: Model formulation. *Jour. Clim.* **9**, 676–705.
- Skole, D. L. and Tucker, C. J. 1993. Tropical deforestation and habitat fragmentation in the Amazon: satellite data from 1978 to 1988. *Science* **260**, 1905–1910.
- Takahashi, T., Feely, R. A., Weiss, R., Wanninkhof, R. H., Chipman, D. W., Sutherland, S. C. and Takahashi, T. T. 1997. Global air–sea flux of CO₂: An estimate based on measurements of sea–air $p\text{CO}_2$ difference. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **94**, 8292–8299.
- Tans, P. P., Fung, I. Y. and Takahashi, T. 1990. Observational constraints on the global atmospheric CO₂ budget. *Science* **247**, 1431–1438.
- Wanninkhof, R. 1992. Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean. *Jour. Geophys. Res.* **91**, 7373–7382.