Solvent-free synthesis of unsaturated amino esters in a ball-mill

Alice Baron, Jean Martinez, Frederic Lamaty

► To cite this version:

Alice Baron, Jean Martinez, Frederic Lamaty. Solvent-free synthesis of unsaturated amino esters in a ball-mill. Tetrahedron Letters, 2010, 51, pp.6246-6249. 10.1016/j.tetlet.2010.09.069 . hal-03118824

HAL Id: hal-03118824

<https://hal.science/hal-03118824>

Submitted on 22 Jan 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Solvent-free synthesis of unsaturated amino esters in a ball-mill

Alice Baron, Jean Martinez, Frédéric Lamaty*

Institut des Biomolécules Max Mousseron UMR 5247 CNRS—Université Montpellier 1 et Université Montpellier 2, Place Eugène Bataillon, 34095 Montpellier cedex 05, France

ABSTRACT

The ball-milling technique was used under solvent-free conditions to perform a Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons reaction in the presence of a mild carbonate base. Starting from a phosphonate-substituted glycine, this method gave access to Boc-protected unsaturated amino esters in excellent yield and selectivity in many cases. The scope of the reaction was delineated.

Keywords:

Ball-mill
Solvent-free
Amino acid
Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons
Olefination
Dehydroalanine
Carbonate base
Phosphonate

Ball-milling is a mechanochemical technique that is widely applied to the grinding of minerals into fine particles, to the preparation, and modification of inorganic solids.^{1,2} Ball-mill chemistry is of interest because it is performed in the absence of solvent, under environmentally friendly conditions. In the last years, this technique has found interest in synthetic organic chemistry.^{3,4} The reported examples include nitrene synthesis,⁵ functionalization of fullerenes,⁶ reductive benzylation of malonitrile,⁷ protection of amines,⁸ Knoevenagel reaction,⁹ aldol condensation¹⁰ and its asymmetric version,¹¹ Michael additions,⁹ preparation of phosphorus ylides,¹² oxidative coupling of 2-naphthol,¹³ Heck,¹⁴ Suzuki¹⁵ and Sonogashira¹⁶ coupling reactions, and peptide synthesis.¹⁷

One powerful method for making carbon–carbon bond is the Wittig reaction starting from carbonyl compounds and making use of a phosphorus ylide.¹⁸ It was shown recently that this reaction could be performed in a ball-mill¹² (scheme 1).

The Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons (HWE) version¹⁹ of the Wittig reaction focuses on the use of more stabilized phosphonate ylides. This is a method of choice for the preparation of unsaturated esters (EWG = CO₂R). Usually, the phosphonate stabilized carbanion is sufficiently nucleophilic to react under mild conditions to yield the olefin. As part of our program to prepare unnatural amino acids and use them as building blocks for peptide synthesis,²⁰ we considered the development of a HWE reaction in a ball-mill as a valuable route for the synthesis of unsaturated amino

esters.²¹ The synthesis developed by Schmidt et al.,²² based on the HWE reaction, is a practical method for making olefinic amino esters from a carbonyl compound and a phosphonate derivative of glycine (scheme 2). Nevertheless, it requires usually the use of an excess of organic base and a low temperature for the deprotonation step is needed.

Few examples of solvent-free HWE reactions have been published but very often an excess of liquid reactant serves as the solvent.^{23a–c} Since the Wittig reaction has been explored under mechanical activation,¹² we presumed that the HWE version could be a viable and powerful approach for the preparation of unsaturated amino esters starting from carbonyl compounds.

Scheme 1. Solvent-free Wittig reaction in a ball-mill.

Scheme 2. Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons reaction.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 467143847; fax: +33 467144866.

E-mail address: frederic.lamaty@univ-montp2.fr (F. Lamaty).

Table 1
Reaction conditions for the HWE reaction in a ball-mill^a

Entry	Base (equiv)	Conversion (%)	Yield (%)
1	NaHCO ₃ (3)	5	n.d. ^c
2	K ₂ CO ₃ (1)	91	n.d.
3	K ₂ CO ₃ (3)	93	n.d.
4	KOH (3)	94 ^b	n.d.
5	Cs ₂ CO ₃ (3)	100	95
6	Cs ₂ CO ₃ (2)	100	94
7	Cs ₂ CO ₃ (1.5)	94	n.d.
8	DBU	63	n.d.

^a Starting materials were ground in a planetary ball-mill for 7 h.

^b Hydrolysis product **4a** was also obtained.

^c n.d.: not determined.

Reactions in a ball-mill give better results when solid–solid reactions are performed.⁸ Therefore, starting from solid aminophosphoryl acetate, we tested the reaction on a solid aldehyde, 3,5-dimethoxybenzaldehyde, and a solid carbonate base. A mixture of aminophosphoryl acetate **1** (1.1 equiv), 3,5-dimethoxybenzaldehyde **2a** (1 equiv) and a base (1–3 equiv) was ground in a planetary ball-mill. In order to reduce the purification steps, a conversion close or equal to 100% was needed. The reaction mixture was analyzed by HPLC to evaluate the conversion of the starting materials and the formation of the expected product. Results are summarized in Table 1. Generally, reaction time inferior to 7 h led to incomplete conversion. Sodium bicarbonate gave a very low conversion (entry 1). Potassium carbonate (entry 2) gave a good conversion albeit not total even if the number of equivalents was increased (entry 3). In those cases, the HWE reaction product **3a** was obtained.²⁴ This was actually not the case for the reaction with potassium hydroxide (entry 4). The reaction was not very clean and the major product, along with some starting material and expected **3a**, was **4a** arising from the concomitant saponification of **3a**. The best results were obtained with cesium carbonate (entries 5–7). The initial amount of base (3 equiv) could be lowered to 2 equiv but not further (entry 7). In these cases, the expected product **3a** was obtained, in good yield when the conversion was complete. Finally, DBU that is the common base use in the Schmidt route was also tested (entry 8) but yielded a limited conversion. For the sake of comparison, the reaction was carried out in a flask with a stir bar using the conditions from entry 6 (cesium carbonate as a base). In the absence of heating, an incomplete conversion (43%) was obtained. This was not improved by heating to 50 °C since only 45% conversion was obtained, showing the importance of mechanical activation in this reaction.

When the conversion was complete, a work up using a minimum amount of solvent was performed. Ethyl acetate was added and the solution was washed with water, dried, evaporated and filtered on a silica gel pad to give amino ester **3a** with an excellent purity and yield.²⁵ In this reaction, only one isomer was obtained and characterized by ¹H and ¹³C NMR. In contrast to the Wittig reaction in a ball-mill,¹² the stereochemical outcome of the reaction in the ball-mill is the same as in solution. Only the *Z* isomer could be detected by NMR.

To investigate the scope of the reaction with various aldehydes and ketones, we considered their reactions in the presence of Cs₂CO₃ or K₂CO₃. Cs₂CO₃ was considered as the best base but since K₂CO₃ gave satisfactory results, it was also tested because of its superiority from an economical, ecological, and toxicological point of view. Eventually, it proved even superior to Cs₂CO₃ in many cases. The preparation of various protected amino esters is presented in Table 2.

Generally, aromatic aldehydes (entries 1–6) reacted efficiently with complete conversion in most of the cases. Even a hindered *ortho*-substituted aryl aldehyde, such as **2b** (entry 2) gave an excellent result. For this series, the *Z/E* selectivity was very high since only the *Z* isomer could be detected, except in the case of naphthaldehyde **2f** (entry 6) where the proportion is in favor of the same isomer but with a lower selectivity. Even if reaction conditions were tested on the formation of **3a**, starting from solid aldehyde **2a** (entry 1), liquid aldehydes also gave satisfying results (entries 3–6). Among the aliphatic aldehydes (entries 7–10), *n*-butyraldehyde **2g**, a linear aldehyde, gave the best results in term of yields and selectivity (entry 7). Conversions of mono-substituted methanals **2h** and **2i** (entries 8 and 9) were very good but the selectivity was poor. *t*-Butyraldehyde **2j**, most probably too hindered, was not very reactive and full conversion could not be obtained. Finally, the two ketones **2k** and **2l** which were tried in this reaction were unreactive. The HWE reaction of ketones for the synthesis of tetra-substituted alkenes is a more demanding process due to the steric hindrance involved between the two reactants, especially in the present study for the preparation of dehydro amino esters. In solution in the presence of sodium hydride, as stated by Schmidt et al.,^{22c} ketones are unreactive unless activated. Better results were obtained with DBU.^{22a} In the ball-mill, only di- and tri-substituted olefins were synthesized using the Wittig reaction.¹² The carbonate bases used in this study are probably not adapted to less reactive electrophiles.

In conclusion, the Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons reaction could be performed in the absence of solvent in a ball-mill. This represents a practical method for the preparation of Boc-protected unsaturated amino esters, with excellent yield and selectivity in many cases, making use of mild and inexpensive conditions. Further work to scale up this reaction is in progress.

Table 2
Synthesis of amino esters in a ball-mill

Entry	Electrophile	Physical state mp (°C)	Base	Conversion (%)	Product	Yield (%)	Z/E ratio
1		Solid 44–48	Cs ₂ CO ₃	100	3a	95	100/0
2		Solid 16–19	K ₂ CO ₃	96	3b	85	100/0
3		Liquid	K ₂ CO ₃	100	3c	88	100/0
4		Liquid	K ₂ CO ₃	100	3d	82	100/0
5		Liquid	Cs ₂ CO ₃	100	3e	89	100/0
6		Liquid	K ₂ CO ₃	100	3f	76	82/18
7		Liquid	K ₂ CO ₃	100	3g	80	100/0
8		Liquid	K ₂ CO ₃	100	3h	61	66/44
9		Liquid	K ₂ CO ₃	100	3i	90	61/39
10		Liquid	K ₂ CO ₃	35	3j	n.d.	100/0
11		Solid	K ₂ CO ₃	0	3k	0	—
12		Liquid	K ₂ CO ₃	0	3l	0	—

Acknowledgments

We thank the MESR, the CNRS and Idenix Pharmaceuticals (fellowship to A.B.) for their financial support.

References and notes

- Kaupp, G.; Naimi-Jamal, M. R.; Ren, H.; Zoz, H. In *Technologies Based on Self-propagating and Mechanochemical Reactions for Environmental Protection*; Cao, G., Delogu, F., Orrù, R., Eds.; Research Signpost: Kerala, 2003; pp 83–100.
- (a) Kipp, S.; Sepelák, V.; Becker, K. D. *Chem. Unserer Zeit* **2005**, 39, 384–392; (b) Garay, A. L.; Pichon, A.; James, S. L. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2007**, 36, 846–855.
- Kaupp, G. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2005**, 254, 95–183.
- (a) Rodríguez, B.; Bruckmann, A.; Rantanen, T.; Bolm, C. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2007**, 349, 2213–2233; (b) Bruckmann, A.; Krebs, A.; Bolm, C. *Green Chem.* **2008**, 10, 1131–1141.
- Colacino, E.; Nun, P.; Colacino, F. M.; Martinez, J.; Lamaty, F. *Tetrahedron* **2008**, 64, 5569–5576.
- Komatsu, K. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2005**, 254, 185–206.
- Zhang, Z.; Gao, J.; Xia, J.-J.; Wang, G.-W. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2005**, 3, 1617–1619.
- Kaupp, G.; Naimi-Jamal, M. R.; Stepanenko, V. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2003**, 9, 4156–4160.
- Kaupp, G.; Naimi-Jamal, M. R.; Schmeyers, J. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, 59, 3753–3760.
- Raston, C. L.; Scott, J. L. *Green Chem.* **2000**, 49–52.
- Rodríguez, B.; Bruckmann, A.; Bolm, C. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2007**, 13, 4710–4722.
- Balema, V. P.; Wiench, J. W.; Pruski, M.; Pecharsky, V. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, 124, 6244–6245.
- Rasmussen, M. O.; Axelsson, O.; Tanner, D. *Synth. Commun.* **1997**, 27, 4027–4030.
- (a) Tullberg, E.; Peters, D.; Frejd, T. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2004**, 689, 3778–3781; (b) Tullberg, E.; Schacher, F.; Peters, D.; Frejd, T. *Synthesis* **2006**, 1183–1189.
- (a) Bernhardt, F.; Trotzki, R.; Szuppa, T.; Stolle, A.; Ondruschka, B. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, 6; (b) Polshettiwar, V.; Varma, R. S. *Green Chem.* **2009**, 275–292; (c) Schneider, F.; Ondruschka, B. *ChemSusChem* **2008**, 1, 622–625.
- (a) Thorwirth, R.; Stolle, A.; Ondruschka, B. *Green Chem.* **2010**, 985–991; (b) Fulmer, D. A.; Shearouse, W. C.; Medonza, S. T.; Mack, J. *Green Chem.* **2009**, 1821–1825.

17. Declerck, V.; Nun, P.; Martinez, J.; Lamaty, F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 9318–9321.
18. Vedejs, E.; Peterson, M. J.. In *Advances in Carbanion Chemistry*; Snieckus, V., Ed.; JAI Press Inc.: Greenwich, London, 1996; Vol. 2, pp 1–85.
19. Maryanoff, B. E.; Reitz, A. B. *Chem. Rev.* **1989**, *89*, 863–927.
20. (a) Sauvagnat, B.; Lamaty, F.; Lazaro, R.; Martinez, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 6371–6375; (b) Sauvagnat, B.; Lamaty, F.; Lazaro, R.; Martinez, J. *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 9711–9718; (c) Varray, S.; Lazaro, R.; Martinez, J.; Lamaty, F. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, 2308–2316; (d) Varray, S.; Lazaro, R.; Martinez, J.; Lamaty, F. *Organometallics* **2003**, *22*, 2426–2435; (e) Lenda, F.; Guenoun, F.; Tazi, B.; Ben Larbi, N.; Martinez, J.; Lamaty, F. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, *45*, 8905–8907; (f) Declerck, V.; Ribière, P.; Nédellec, Y.; Allouchi, H.; Martinez, J.; Lamaty, F. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, 201–208; (g) Declerck, V.; Allouchi, H.; Martinez, J.; Lamaty, F. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 1518–152; (h) Declerck, V.; Martinez, J.; Lamaty, F. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 2004–2007.
21. For an isolated example of HWE in a mortar: Yang, J. X.; Tao, X. T.; Yuan, C. X.; Yan, Y. X.; Wang, L.; Liu, Z.; Ren, Y.; Jiang, M. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 3278–3279.
22. (a) Schmidt, U.; Griesser, H.; Leitenberger, V.; Lieberknecht, A.; Mangold, R.; Meyer, R.; Riedl, B. *Synthesis* **1992**, 487–490; (b) Schmidt, U.; Lieberknecht, A.; Wild, J. *Synthesis* **1988**, 159–172; (c) Schmidt, U.; Lieberknecht, A.; Wild, J. *Synthesis* **1984**, 53–60.
23. (a) Ando, K.; Yamada, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2010**, *51*, 3297–3299; (b) Ranoux, A.; Lemiegre, L.; Benoit, M.; Guegan, J. P.; Benvegna, T. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *7*, 1314–1323; (c) Jin, Y. Z.; Yasuda, N.; Inanaga, J. *Green Chem.* **2002**, *4*, 498–500.
24. All new compounds were characterized by ¹H and ¹³C NMR, mass spectrometry, and high resolution mass spectrometry.
25. Procedure for the preparation of (Z)-methyl 2-[(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino]-3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)acrylate **3a**: methyl 2-[(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino]-2-(dimethoxyphosphoryl)acetate (157 mg, 0.53 mmol), 3,5-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (80 mg, 0.48 mmol) and cesium carbonate (314 mg, 0.96 mmol) were introduced in a 12 mL grinding jar with five stainless steel balls (7 mm diameter). The grinding jar was placed in a planetary ball-mill and stirred at 550 rpm during 7 h. EtOAc was added in the jar and the mixture was washed with water, dried over MgSO₄, concentrated under vacuo. The residue was filtered on a silica gel pad (eluent: cyclohexane/EtOAc, 1:1) to afford 165 mg of the titled compound (100%) as a white powder: mp 108–109 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, Me₄Si) δ 1.30 (br s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃), 3.72 (s, 6H, 2 × OMe), 3.95 (s, 3H, OMe), 6.37 (t, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 6.63 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 2H, 2 × CH), 7.09 (1H, s, CH). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, Me₄Si) δ 28.10 (C(CH₃)₃), 52.62 (OCH₃), 55.32 (2OCH₃), 81.02 (C), 101.67 (CH), 107.46 (2CH), 125.18 (CH), 129.76 (C), 135.76 (C), 152.74 (C=O), 160.66 (2C), 165.96 (C=O); high resolution MS calcd for C₁₇H₂₄NO₆: 338.1604. Found: 338.1599 [M+H]⁺.