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**Background:** Due to their ability to differentiate into chondrocytes, mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are candidates for cartilage repair.

**Results:** During chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs, angiopoietin-like 4 (ANGPTL4) triggers degradation and reduced synthesis of the cartilage matrix.

**Conclusion:** ANGPTL4 promotes cartilage matrix remodeling.

**Significance:** In the perspective of MSC-based cartilage engineering, inhibiting ANGPTL4 expression or action could help to stabilize cartilage formation.

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are considered for cartilage engineering given their ability to differentiate into chondrocytes. Chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs is currently triggered by micromass culture in the presence of a member of the TGF-β superfamily. However, the main constituents of the cartilaginous matrix, aggrecan and type II collagen, are degraded at the end of the differentiation process through induction of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)13. We hypothesized that MSCs undergoing chondrogenic differentiation produce an intermediate cytokine that triggers this matrix remodeling. Analysis of transcriptomic data identified angiopoietin-like-4 (ANGPTL4) as one of the most strongly up-regulated genes encoding a secreted factor during TGF-β-induced chondrogenesis. To gain insight into the role of ANGPTL4 during chondrogenesis, we used recombinant ANGPTL4 as well as a RNA interference approach. Addition of exogenous ANGPTL4 during the course of TGF-β-induced differentiation reduced the mRNA levels of aggrecan and type II collagen, although it increased those of MMP1 and MMP13. Accordingly, deposition of aggrecan and total collagens was diminished, whereas release of MMP1 and MMP13 was increased. Conversely, transfection of MSCs with an siRNA targeting ANGPTL4 prior to induction of chondrogenesis increased expression of type II collagen and aggrecan, whereas it repressed that of MMP1, MMP3, and MMP13. A neutralizing antibody against integrin αVβ5, a known receptor for ANGPTL4, mimicked some of the effects observed after siRNA-mediated ANGPTL4 silencing. Our data provide evidence that ANGPTL4 promotes cartilage matrix remodeling by inhibiting expression of its two key components and by up-regulating the level of certain MMPs.

In osteo-articular diseases, the articular cartilage is often irreversibly damaged. Excessive degradation of cartilage matrix components by proteinases is key to the destructive process (1). Mesenchymal stem cells, also called multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs), are promising candidates for cell therapy to regenerate cartilage. Indeed, these have the capacity to differentiate into various lineages, including chondrocytes, osteoblasts, and adipocytes, are easily isolated from bone marrow or adipose tissue and can be rapidly expanded in vitro. Moreover, MSCs are potent modulators of immune responses, increase the healing capacities of injured tissues, and prevent fibrosis (reviewed in Refs. 2 and 3).

The chondrogenic differentiation process that occurs during development can be recapitulated in vitro with adult MSCs by culturing these cells in micromass or pellets in the presence of an inducer belonging to the TGF-β superfamily (4). In this system, condensed MSCs differentiate progressively into mature chondrocytes that produce a cartilaginous matrix composed typically of type II collagen and aggrecan, which is a large aggregating proteoglycan. These and other matrix components confer to the cartilage its unique biomechanical properties (5). However, with usual protocols, the differentiation process evolves toward a terminal stage that is reminiscent of endochondral bone formation (6, 7). At this stage, the cartilage matrix is mineralized and degraded, in particular through the induction of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)13 expression. Indeed, this MMP preferentially digests type II collagen among interstitial collagens (8) and also degrades aggrecan (9, 10). Interestingly, MMP1 is also
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**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mRNA</th>
<th>NCBI accession no.</th>
<th>Sense primer</th>
<th>Antisense primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACAN</td>
<td>NM_013227, NM_001135</td>
<td>TCGAGGCAACAGCGAGGCC</td>
<td>TCGAGGCTTGTATCCAGAGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANGPTL4</td>
<td>NM_139314, NM_001039667</td>
<td>CAGCAGTCCGACAGACCA</td>
<td>GTCTAGTGGTCTGCTGAGGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANGPTL4 variant 1</td>
<td>NM_139814</td>
<td>CACCTTTTGCGAGTCGCAA</td>
<td>TATGCCACCTTCTCCAGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANGPTL4 variant 3</td>
<td>NM_001039667</td>
<td>CTTGCCACATGAGGCT</td>
<td>CTCTTGAAGCACTCCAGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL2A1 variant 2</td>
<td>NM_035310</td>
<td>CAGACCTGGTGCTGCTC</td>
<td>TCCCTGGTGGCGGAGCAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL10A1</td>
<td>NM_009493</td>
<td>TGGTCCACAAATACCCCTT</td>
<td>GTGGCCACGACGACTTGGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAPLN1</td>
<td>NM_001884</td>
<td>TCCACGAGCAACAAATACCCCAT</td>
<td>GTGAAAACGTGTTTCTATTACCTTCAAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP1</td>
<td>NM_002421</td>
<td>AGGCCGAGATATGAGGGAGGAGG</td>
<td>GCGCTGACGTCGGAGGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP13</td>
<td>NM_002427</td>
<td>TAAGACATGGGAGCTTCTTCT</td>
<td>GTGGCCACGACGACTTGGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP3</td>
<td>NM_002422</td>
<td>GCCGACGCTTTCGCCACGAGAA</td>
<td>ACTCGAGCAACGACGACAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPS9</td>
<td>NM_001013</td>
<td>GAATTCACTTCCGTGGCGAGG</td>
<td>AGTAGAACGAGGAGTTCAC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

able to digest these matrix components (11, 12), and both proMMP1 and proMMP13 can be activated by MMP3 (11, 13). However, the regulation of MMP1 and MMP3 in the course of TGF-β-induced chondrogenesis is not precisely known.

We hypothesized that an intermediate factor secreted by differentiating MSCs induces MMP13 expression and hence matrix remodeling. We selected angiopoietin-like 4 (ANGPTL4) as a potential candidate because of its strong up-regulation during differentiating MSCs induces MMP13 expression and hence cartilage matrix remodeling. Using recombinant ANGPTL4 and a RNA interference approach, we provide evidence that ANGPTL4 decreases expression of type II collagen and aggrecan and promotes their degradation through induction of MMP1, MMP3, and MMP13.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Isolation and Culture of MSCs**—Human MSCs were isolated from bone marrow of patients undergoing hip replacement surgery, after informed consent, and expanded as described previously (14). MSCs were shown to be positive for CD44, CD73, CD90, and CD105 and negative for CD14, CD34, and CD45. Cells were maintained in α-minimum essential medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1 ng/ml basic fibroblast growth factor, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin, and used at the third or fourth passage. MSCs were differentiated into chondrogenic, adipogenic, and osteogenic lineages as described previously (4). Briefly, to induce chondrogenic differentiation, cells were cultured in micromass in serum-free chondrogenic medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum, 1 ng/ml basic fibroblast growth factor, and 2 mM L-glutamine. Chondrogenic, adipogenic, and osteogenic differentiation were induced as described previously.

**Microarray Analysis**—Hybridization of Affymetrix HG-U133 (version plus 2.0) arrays has been described in a previous study (15). Raw gene expression data were processed for normalization and signal calculation with the Expression Variation software described previously (16). To determine differentially expressed genes, comparative genome analysis was performed using a recently described approach (17).

**RNA Extraction, Reverse Transcription, and Quantitative PCR**—Total RNA was isolated using RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) and reverse-transcribed using GeneAmp Gold RNA PCR Core kit (Applied Biosystems). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed using LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master mix and real-time PCR instrument (Roche Diagnostics). Reaction conditions were 95 °C for 5 min followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C, 10 s at 64 °C and 20 s at 72 °C. For each reaction, a single amplicon with the expected melting temperature was obtained. Primer pairs are listed in Table 1. These were designed using the web-based applications, Primer3 (18) and BLAST at the National Center for Biotechnology Information. Of note, for α-1 type II collagen, primers amplify specifically transcript variant 2, which is the splice form expressed by mature chondrocytes. Expression of the housekeeping gene encoding ribosomal protein S9 (RPS9) was measured for normalization. The threshold cycle (Ct) of each amplification curve was calculated by the Threshold cycle (Ct) of each amplification curve was calculated by the Roche Diagnostics LightCycler 480 software using the second derivative maximum method. The relative amount of a given mRNA was calculated using the ΔΔCt method (19).

**siRNA-mediated Gene Silencing of ANGPTL4**—The siRNA that targets ANGPTL4 (siANGPTL4; sense sequence, 5′-ACUCGUUGGACAGAGGAAAC-3′) was designed using a software provided online by the company Eurofins MWG Operon. Of note, siANGPTL4 targets both splice variants of ANGPTL4. The siRNA control (sense sequence, 5′-UAAGGCUAAGGAAACGAGAT-3′) does not target any known sequence and is unable to activate the RNA-induced silencing complex. Transfection with 50 nM siRNA duplex was performed using Oligo-fectamine according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (Invitrogen). Cells were transfected twice 3 days and 1 day before induction of differentiation.

**Western Blot Analysis**—Cell culture supernatants were precipitated in acetone and resuspended in Laemmli buffer. Proteins were separated by electrophoresis on 12% polyacrylamide gel in denaturing conditions and analyzed by Western blotting.
Antibodies recognizing the fibrinogen-like domain of human ANGPTL4 (catalog no. ALX-804-723, Alexis Biochemicals) were used at a 1:2000 dilution. Blots were then probed with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies. For signal revelation, blots were incubated for 1 min in freshly prepared solution of 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, containing 0.2 mM coumaric acid, 1.25 mM 3-aminophthalhydrazide (luminol), and 0.009% hydrogen peroxide mixture and exposed to a film for autoradiography.

**Immunohistochemistry**—Samples were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and embedded in paraffin using standard procedures. Serial sections of 4-μm thickness were deparaffinized and stained by the avidin-biotin-peroxidase method using the UltraVision Detection System (Lab Vision Corp.). Primary antibodies to type II collagen (catalog no. AF5710, Acris Antibodies), integrin αVβ5 (catalog no. MAB2528, R&D Systems), and aggrecan (catalog no. AB1031, Chemicon) were used at a 1:50, 1:100, and 1:1000 dilution, respectively. The latter antibody recognizes an epitope in the chondroitin sulfate-2 glycosaminoglycan attachment domain and was found to react with human aggrecan as shown in a previous study (20). Nonimmune IgG was set up at 1:2000 dilution as controls to check for nonspecific staining. Prior to incubation with antibodies, hyaluronidase treatment of 1 ha 37 °C was performed for epitope unmasking. Reactions were visualized with the chromogenic substrate 3,3′-diaminobenzidine, and sections were counterstained with hematoxylin.

**Collagen Content**—Pellets were homogenized and digested overnight at 4 °C in 0.5 M acetic acid containing 0.1 mg/ml of p-aminophenylmercuric acetate. In the presence of p-aminophenylmercuric acetate, the activity resulting from activatable pro-MMP13 was also measured. The cleaved fluorogenic substrate was excited at 490 nm, and emission was read at 520 nm, end point mode. Concentrations of active MMP13 were extrapolated from standard curves generated with recombinant human MMP13.

**Statistical Analysis**—Data are expressed as the mean ± S.E. of at least three independent experiments. Student’s t test was used to compare two treatment groups. Statistical significance was set up at p < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

**ANGPTL4 Expression Is Strongly Up-regulated Specifically During Chondrogenic Differentiation of MSCs**—Transcriptomic analysis was performed using Affymetrix gene chips. MSCs obtained from three donors were differentiated into chondrocytes using either TGF-β3 or BMP-2 as inducers and their mRNA analyzed at different time points. **In silico** analysis was performed by varying the stringency for selection of regulated genes. Parameters that were adjustable included normalized fold change over control value at day 0, occurrence in the samples depending on the chondrogenic inducer, the donor, or the time point. Interestingly, ANGPTL4 was singled out as the sole
gene encoding a secreted factor to be up-regulated with a fold change > 6 by both inducers in at least two donors and one time point. Data obtained on the three donors indicated that ANGPTL4 expression was greatly increased at the early time points (days 1 and 3) and to a lesser extent at the later time points (days 7 and 21) (Fig. 1A). Of note, the two ANGPTL4 probe sets spotted on Affymetrix arrays correspond to transcript variant 1 encoding ANGPTL4 isoform a. The other transcript of ANGPTL4, known as variant 3, lacks an alternate in-frame exon and encodes a shorter protein named ANGPTL4 isoform b. We therefore performed qPCR analyses with primers that amplify each splicing variant individually. Data showed that both variants shared a similar regulation profile during TGF-β-3-induced chondrogenesis with a sharp rise at day 2 and progressive decrease until day 21 (Fig. 1B). Thus, all subsequent qPCR experiments were performed with primers that amplify a region common to both variants to measure overall expression of ANGPTL4. Early up-regulation of ANGPTL4 mRNA level during chondrogenic differentiation was confirmed (Fig. 1C). Of note, basal expression of the ANGPTL4 gene in undifferentiated MSCs at day 0, that is in proliferating condition, was relatively high (mean Ct = 24.77 ± 0.40 cycles), similar to that of the RPS9 gene encoding a ribosomal protein (mean Ct = 24.96 ± 0.40 cycles). However, its expression throughout chondrogenic differentiation remained always higher than basal level. Accordingly, ANGPTL4 protein progressively accumulated in the culture supernatant, reaching ~ 94 nm at day 21 (Fig. 1D).

We next checked whether expression of ANGPTL4 was regulated when MSCs were directed toward the two other main lineages, osteoblasts and adipocytes. In the course of osteogenic differentiation, expression of ANGPTL4 was not significantly affected, whereas it increased slightly during adipogenesis (Fig. 1C). Differentiation into the three lineages was checked by measuring the expression of various markers (data not shown).

**Induction of ANGPTL4 Expression in MSCs Requires Hypoxia or Micromass Culture**—Hypoxia has been shown to occur inside three-dimensional culture systems (21) and is a well known inducer of ANGPTL4 expression in various cell types (22, 23). Therefore, we examined the contribution of hypoxia, three-dimensional culture, and TGF-β-3 in the induction of ANGPTL4 expression in MSCs. Cells were cultured in monolayers in either 21 or 2% O2 for up to 7 days. In comparison with normoxic conditions, the hypoxic environment strongly induced expression of ANGPTL4 at the RNA and protein level (Figs. 2, A and B). TGF-β-3 treatment produced some stimulating effect on ANGPTL4 release at day 2 of culture in both conditions (Fig. 2B). Expression of ANGPTL4 was also induced by cobalt, an hypoxia mimetic agent (data not shown). Culture in micromass was sufficient to induce ANGPTL4 expression, and concomitant treatment with TGF-β-3 produced an additive effect (Fig. 2C).

**Exogenous ANGPTL4 Decreases the Formation of a Mature Cartilaginous Matrix by Differentiating MSCs**—The specific regulation of ANGPTL4 during chondrogenesis suggested that it could play a role in this differentiation process. Importantly, during chondrogenic differentiation, concentrations of secreted soluble ANGPTL4 reached values that were in the same range as its reported affinity (Kd) for integrins (Fig. 1D). Indeed, ANGPTL4 has been shown to bind integrins β1 and β5 with a Kd of ~ 10 nM or 100 nM, depending on the study, and to modulate integrin-mediated signaling (24, 25). The use of recombinant ANGPTL4 at a concentration of 100 nM was thus expected to be appropriate to examine the role of this protein in the present study. We first tested whether ANGPTL4 behaves as an inducer of chondrogenic differentiation. MSCs were cultured in micromass in the presence of recombinant human ANGPTL4 instead of TGF-β-3 for 21 days. In this setting, recombinant ANGPTL4 did not induce expression of any chondrogenic markers as shown by qPCR analyses (Figs. 3, A–D). However, when exogenous ANGPTL4 was added in the course of TGF-β-3-induced differentiation, expression at day 21 of aggrecan (ACAN), α-1 type II collagen, transcript variant 2 (COL2A1), HAPLN1 (hyaluronan and proteoglycan link protein 1) and α-1 type X collagen (COL10A1) was inhibited (Fig. 3, A–D). Accordingly, accumulation of aggrecan and of total soluble collagens was decreased (Fig. 3, E and F). In contrast, cell number as measured by DNA quantification was not significantly affected (Fig. 3G), whereas gene expression of MMP13 and MMP1 was super-
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induced (Fig. 4, A and B). MMP13 activity and protein level of MMP1 were increased in corresponding culture supernatants (Fig. 4, D). However, the effect on MMP13 activity and on MMP3 expression did not reach statistical significance (Fig. 4, C, D, and F).

**Angiopoietin-like 4 siRNA Knockdown Stimulates the Formation of a Mature Cartilaginous Matrix by Differentiating MSCs**—We next analyzed the role of endogenous ANGPTL4 produced during TGF-β-3-induced chondrogenesis using a RNA interference approach. Cells were transfected with an siRNA-targeting ANGPTL4 (siANGPTL4) or a control siRNA prior to initiation of differentiation. At day 2 of the differentiation process, the increase in ANGPTL4 mRNA abundance was inhibited by 85% (Fig. 5 A), and the level of the corresponding protein in culture supernatants was also markedly decreased (Fig. 5, B and C). Western blots performed with antibodies recognizing the C-terminal region of ANGPTL4 detected native ANGPTL4 as well as the C-terminal processed form containing the fibrinogen-like domain. Isoforms a and b could not be clearly distinguished because of their small difference in size (Fig. 5B). Extinction of ANGPTL4 protein expression was maintained throughout the whole differentiation period, ranging from 88% at day 2 to 57% at day 21, as shown by ELISA (Fig. 5C). The RNA interference approach had a macroscopically visible effect because pellets of siANGPTL4-transfected cells were of greater size than those of control cells, suggesting an increase in the accumulation of extracellular matrix components (Fig. 6A). Culture with recombinant ANGPTL4 significantly inhibited siANGPTL4-induced increase in micromass size (Fig. 6A) but did not affect the micromass size of control siRNA-transfected or untransfected MSCs (data not shown). Quantitative PCR analyses revealed that, in siANGPTL4-transfected cells, expression of the cartilage matrix components aggrecan, COL2A1, HAPLN1, and COL10A1 was superinduced (Fig. 6, B–E). Efficiency of ANGPTL4 siRNA knockdown was confirmed in these experiments (Fig. 6F). Immunohistochemistry analyses revealed the presence of chondrocytes appearing as cells surrounded by lacunae in samples transfected with either control siRNA or siANGPTL4 (Fig. 6G). However, aggrecan and type II collagen were more abundant in micromasses of cells transfected with siANGPTL4 (Fig. 6G). Similarly, the amount of total soluble collagens was higher after silencing ANGPTL4 expression (Fig. 6G), whereas DNA content was not significantly altered, indicating that the increased accumulation of these matrix constituents was not due to an increase in cell number (Fig. 6I). In contrast, expression of MMP13, MMP1, and MMP3 was inhibi-
edited after transfection with siANGPTL4 (Figs. 7, A–C). Analysis of culture supernatants indicated that these cells produced much less MMP13, MMP1, and MMP3 than those transfected with the control siRNA (Figs. 7, D–F). Recombinant ANGPTL4 partially rescued the effect of siANGPTL4 on micromass size, total soluble collagens, and on expression of aggrecan, COL2A1, HAPLN1, COL10A1, MMP1, and MMP13 (Figs. 6, A–E and H, and 7A). These data ruled out an off-target effect of siANGPTL4.

Blocking Antibody to Integrin αVβ5 Stimulates the Formation of Cartilaginous Matrix by Differentiating MSCs—Integrin αVβ5 is one of the transmembrane receptors for ANGPTL4 (24, 25). Quantitative PCR showed that the genes encoding both chains forming this integrin, namely ITGAV and ITGB5, were expressed in undifferentiated MSCs (mean Ct = 28.20 ± 1.53 cycles and 26.83 ± 1.07 cycles, respectively, compared with Ct = 24.96 ± 0.40 cycles for the housekeeping gene RPS9) and throughout TGF-β3-induced chondrogenesis in a relative constant manner (data not shown). To gain insight into its implication in cartilaginous matrix remodeling, we examined the effects of an integrin αVβ5 blocking antibody added during the differentiation process. We observed that micromasses of cells treated with the integrin αVβ5 antibody were of greater size than those of control cells (1.164 ± 0.073 mm3 versus 0.527 ± 0.052 mm3, p < 0.05) (Fig. 8A). Expression of aggrecan and MMP1 remained unaffected by treatment with the integrin αVβ5 antibody (data not shown). Nevertheless, type II collagen was more abundantly expressed in micromasses treated with the blocking antibody as shown by qPCR and immunohistochemistry analyses (Fig. 8, B and C). In contrast, integrin αVβ5 was no longer detected, suggesting that it was degraded and/or down-regulated after recognition by the antibody (Fig. 8C). Analysis of culture supernatants indicated that cells cultured with the integrin antibody released significantly less MMP13 and MMP3 than those treated with control IgG1, whereas the level of MMP1 remained unaffected (Fig. 8, D–F), in agreement with mRNA expression data (data not shown).
Figure 6. ANGPTL4 siRNA knockdown increases expression of cartilaginous matrix components by differentiating MSCs. MSCs were transfected with negative control siRNA (siCont) or ANGPTL4-targeted siRNA (siANGPTL4) and induced into chondrogenesis in the absence or presence of recombinant ANGPTL4 as indicated. A, the volume of the micromasses was calculated by considering that these have the shape of ellipsoids. B–E, relative mRNA levels of aggrecan (ACAN), COL2A1 (α1 type II collagen, transcript variant 2), HAPLN1 (hyaluronan and proteoglycan link protein 1), and α1 type X collagen (COL10A1) were determined by qPCR and represented as percentage of maximum. F, expression of ANGPTL4 was measured by qPCR and represented as induction over value at day 0 (D0). G, pellets were analyzed by immunohistochemistry at days 14 and 21 with antibodies to aggrecan and type II collagen as indicated. Nonimmune IgG served as negative control to check for specific staining. Scale bar, 200 μm. H, acid and pepsin-soluble collagens were quantified by colorimetry. I, the amount of DNA was determined by a fluorimetric assay. *, p < 0.05.
Using recombinant ANGPTL4 and a RNA interference approach targeting this factor, we show that extracellular matrix deposition of type II collagen and aggrecan, two major components of the cartilage, is markedly decreased by ANGPTL4 during chondrogenic differentiation. We provide evidence that this regulation occurs not only through repression of type II collagen and aggrecan expression but also through induction of MMP13, MMP1, and MMP3 synthesis. Indeed, both MMP13 and MMP1 are known to digest type II collagen and aggrecan (8–10, 12), whereas MMP3 activates the precursor form of these MMPs (11). The possible involvement of ADAMTS aggrecanases in the degradation of aggrecan during chondrogenesis was unlikely because no aggrecanase activity was detected in any medium samples using a sensitive activity assay (data not shown). Our results are consistent with those obtained by others who detected MMP-generated aggrecan neoepitope but not ADAMTS-generated neoepitope in cell pellets during chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs (26). Nevertheless, a current model of aggrecan proteolysis proposes that MMP-mediated aggrecanolysis is not responsible for the release of osmotically active aggrecan from the cartilage (Ref. 27 and references therein). Therefore, the ANGPTL4-induced reduction in aggrecan content observed here may primarily be the result of the decrease in aggrecan gene expression. In addition, ANGPTL4 may have indirectly enhanced diffusional release of intact or MMP-cleaved aggrecan by disrupting the type II collagen network. The amount of soluble endogenous ANGPTL4 released by differentiating MSCs reached ~94 nM, a value similar to or above reported affinities for integrin subunits β1 and β3 (24, 25). Importantly, the total amount of ANGPTL4 produced by MSCs is likely to be even greater than that found in the supernatant alone because this protein was shown to be also retained in the extracellular matrix in a biologically active form bound to heparan sulfate proteoglycans, vitronectin, or fibronectin (28, 29). This may explain why we obtained only a partial effect or no detectable effect on some parameters with the recombinant ANGPTL4 at 100 nM.

We show here that ANGPTL4 triggers matrix remodeling during in vitro chondrogenesis to diminish the prochondrogenic effect of TGF-β-3. Interestingly, a previous report has shown that ANGPTL4 enhances the expression of MMP1 and MMP3 in chondrocytes (30). In MSCs undergoing chondrogenic differentiation, we observed that endogenous ANGPTL4 up-regulated expression of MMP13 as well as that of MMP1 and MMP3. However, induction of the latter MMPs occurred at an earlier time point than that of MMP13, which is in agreement with the time-course of ANGPTL4 expression during chondrogenesis.

MMP13 plays a key role in physiological tissue remodeling at the growth plate and in the pathological destruction of the cartilage as evidenced by analyses of clinical samples of osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis (31–33), phenotypes of transgenic and knock-out mice (34, 35), and the identification of the mutation causing the Missouri type of human spondyloepimetaphyseal dysplasia genetic disorder (36). Analysis of MMP1 and MMP3 levels in synovial fluid or serum of rheumatoid arthritis patients argues for their involvement in pathological joint destruction (37, 38). We describe here a regulatory path-
way in which ANGPTL4 lies upstream of MMP1, MMP3, and MMP13, suggesting a role for this cytokine in osteoarticular diseases. In agreement with such role, others have reported high expression of ANGPTL4 in synovial tissue at an early stage in murine collagen-induced arthritis, a condition characterized by cartilage resorption (39). Also, transcriptomic data obtained by Geyer and colleagues have shown that ANGPTL4 is up-regulated in damaged cartilage of a subset of osteoarthritic patients (see supplementary data in Ref. 40). In addition, ANGPTL4 was shown to stimulate osteoclast-mediated bone resorption (41).

Interestingly, we obtained similar results by down-regulating ANGPTL4 expression through RNA interference and by blocking one of its receptor, namely integrin αVβ5 (24). Indeed, a diminished release of MMP3 and MMP13 and an increased accumulation of cartilaginous matrix and type 2 collagen was observed after treatment with an integrin αVβ5 neutralizing antibody. However, MMP1 and aggrecan expression remained unaffected. These data suggest that integrin αVβ5 partly mediates ANGPTL4 signaling in MSCs undergoing chondrogenic differentiation. Together, our results suggest the existence of an autocrine/paracrine regulatory pathway initiated in MSCs during TGF-β-induced chondrogenesis whereby ANGPTL4 differentially regulate key matrix components and MMPs to trigger destruction of cartilage matrix. Because of the delay observed in the present study between the onset of ANGPTL4 up-regulation and some of its biological effects, we cannot exclude that ANGPTL4 may act in concert with other factors or receptors appearing at a later stage of the differentiation process. Nevertheless, in the perspective of MSC-based cartilage engineering, inhibiting ANGPTL4 expression or action could help to stabilize cartilage formation.
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