Homogeneous Reforming of Aqueous Ethylene Glycol to Glycolic Acid and Pure Hydrogen Catalyzed by Pincer-Ruthenium Complexes Capable of Metal-Ligand Cooperation

You-Quan Zou, Niklas von Wolff, Michael Rauch, Moran Feller, Quan-Quan Zhou, Aviel Anaby, Yael Diskin-Posner, Linda J W Shimon, Liat Avram, Yehoshua Ben-David, et al.

► To cite this version:

You-Quan Zou, Niklas von Wolff, Michael Rauch, Moran Feller, Quan-Quan Zhou, et al.. Homogeneous Reforming of Aqueous Ethylene Glycol to Glycolic Acid and Pure Hydrogen Catalyzed by Pincer-Ruthenium Complexes Capable of Metal-Ligand Cooperation. Chemistry - A European Journal, 2020, 10.1002/chem.202005450 . hal-03090552

HAL Id: hal-03090552

<https://hal.science/hal-03090552>

Submitted on 29 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Homogeneous Reforming of Aqueous Ethylene Glycol to Glycolic Acid and Pure Hydrogen Catalyzed by Pincer-Ruthenium Complexes Capable of Metal-Ligand Cooperation

You-Quan Zou,^{[a],†} Niklas von Wolff,^{[a],[b],†} Michael Rauch,^[a] Moran Feller,^[a] Quan-Quan, Zhou,^[a] Aviel Anaby,^[a] Yael Diskin-Posner,^[c] Linda J. W. Shimon,^[c] Liat Avram,^[c] Yehoshua Ben-David,^[a] and David Milstein^{*[a]}

Abstract: Glycolic acid is a useful and important α -hydroxy acid that has broad applications. Herein, we report the homogeneous ruthenium catalyzed reforming of aqueous ethylene glycol to generate glycolic acid as well as pure hydrogen gas, without concomitant CO₂ emission. This approach provides a clean and sustainable direction to glycolic acid and hydrogen, based on inexpensive, readily available and renewable ethylene glycol using 0.5 mol% of catalyst. In-depth mechanistic experimental and computational studies highlight key aspects of the PNNH-ligand framework involved in this transformation.

Introduction

The development of green and atom efficient protocols in organic synthesis is an important goal.^[1] Indeed, over the last decade, progress has been made in the design and discovery of highly atom efficient transformations in organic redox chemistry. In this respect, transition metal complexes that are able to activate strong bonds *via* metal-ligand cooperation (MLC) are particularly fruitful in catalysis,^[2] enabling the dehydrogenation of readily available starting materials, such as alcohols and amines under mild conditions and very high atom efficiency in the synthesis of useful amides and esters *via* acceptorless dehydrogenative coupling (ADC) reactions. Additionally, these complexes have been utilized to hydrogenate a variety of oxidized substrates, such as amides, esters, carbonates, carbamates and ureas to fine and useful chemicals.^[3] Given the great potential of ADC catalysts in greener synthetic routes, it is desirable to extend the possible field of application to key molecular targets. In addition, gaining a more thorough understanding of their reactivity and bond activation patterns may help the design of new reaction schemes in the future to access desirable molecules in an efficient manner.

Being used today in a variety of fields,^[4] ranging from skin care, adhesives and polymers to the textile industry, glycolic acid is an essential chemical commodity with a forecasted US market share of over 400 million USD by 2024.^[5] Nevertheless, the current protocols for its synthesis rely on high pressure formaldehyde carbonylation using CO at high temperatures,^[6] or hydrolysis of chloroacetic acid or methyl 2-hydroxyacetate;^[7,8] processes that are associated with the generation of stoichiometric amounts of waste, multiple synthetic steps, and the use of toxic reagents. The environmentally benign, direct generation of glycolic acid from readily accessible starting materials under mild and atom efficient conditions is highly desirable.

Given the outstanding performance of pincer-type complexes in the ADC reactions of alcohols,^[3] we are interested in understanding if and how pincer complexes might enable the generation of glycolic acid from readily available alcohols. In this respect, ethylene glycol^[9] as a feedstock is of particular interest, as it is potentially biomass-derived and already used on an industrial scale.^[10] Pioneering work by the Dumesic group elegantly demonstrated that aqueous ethylene glycol can be reformed to hydrogen, carbon dioxide and short alkanes at elevated temperatures using Pt/Al₂O₃^[11] and Raney-NiSn^[12] catalysts at 225 °C or 265 °C. Despite the great interest in the use of atom efficient reforming of ethylene glycol, only a single heterogenous system developed by Bitter and co-workers has been described to catalyze the transformation of ethylene glycol to glycolic acid and hydrogen. At 150 or 180 °C using carbon nanofiber (CNF)- supported copper and nickel nanoparticles, glycolic acid could be obtained from ethylene glycol,^[13] whereas a Ni/CNF catalyst led to further decomposition to formic acid, hydrogen and carbon dioxide (Scheme 1a).

Homogeneous reforming of ethylene glycol to generate hydrogen was reported by the groups of Cole-Hamilton^[14] and Beller,^[15a] although the generation of glycolic acid was not confirmed. The group of Grützmacher reported the oxidative dehydrogenation of alcohols and polyalcohols, including ethylene glycol, to carboxylic acids using a homogeneous rhodium catalyst under mild conditions, albeit in the presence of sacrificial hydrogen acceptors such as ketones or methyl methacrylate.^[16] Reforming of ethylene glycol to glycolic acid homogeneously catalyzed by an iridium complex (4 mol%) was reported recently, although the catalytic mechanism was not studied.^[17] Very recently, homogeneous iridium-catalyzed dehydrogenative cross-coupling of ethylene glycol and methanol to lactic acid was also disclosed by Tu, Xu and co-workers.^[18] The scarcity of reports on catalytic reforming of aqueous ethylene glycol to glycolic acid, despite its significant promise, demonstrates the need for new catalytic systems as well as a deeper understanding of the reaction mechanism. Herein, we report a fully characterized

[a] Dr. Y.-Q. Zou,^[†] Dr. N. von Wolff,^[†] Dr. M. Rauch, Dr. M. Feller, Dr. Q.-Q. Zhou, Dr. A. Anaby, Y. Ben-David, Prof. Dr. D. Milstein
Department of Organic Chemistry
Weizmann Institute of Science
Rehovot 76100, Israel
E-mail: david.milstein@weizmann.ac.il

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

[b] Present address: Université de Paris, Laboratoire d'Electrochimie Moléculaire, CNRS, F-75006 Paris, France

[c] Dr. Y. Diskin-Posner, Dr. L. J. W. Shimon, Dr. L. Avram
Department of Chemical Research Support
Weizmann Institute of Science
Rehovot 76100, Israel

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document

homogeneously catalyzed reforming of aqueous ethylene glycol to glycolic acid and exclusively hydrogen gas at low catalyst loading (0.1 mol% on large scale). Mechanistic studies, supported by synthesis of potential intermediates, X-ray crystallography, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) experiments and density functional theory (DFT) calculations are presented to highlight the key steps of this transformation.

(a) Heterogeneously catalyzed reforming aqueous ethylene glycol

(b) Our previous work: liquid organic hydrogen carrier based on ethylene glycol

(c) **This work:** reforming aqueous ethylene glycol to glycolic acid and hydrogen

Scheme 1. (a) Representative examples of heterogeneously catalyzed reforming of aqueous ethylene glycol. (b) Ethylene glycol as a reversible hydrogen carrier. (c) Homogeneously catalyzed reforming of aqueous ethylene glycol to glycolic acid and H₂.

Results and Discussion

During recent years, our group has reported several acceptorless dehydrogenative coupling reactions of alcohols with alcohols,^[19] amines^[20] and water^[21] to the corresponding esters, amides and acids. Very recently, we described a liquid organic hydrogen carrier system based on ethylene glycol, which enabled the efficient and reversible loading and discharge of hydrogen using a single ruthenium pincer complex (Scheme 1b).^[22] Based on this report, we envisioned that ethylene glycol would undergo dehydrogenation to glycolaldehyde (GAL) in the presence of a ruthenium pincer complex via MLC, accompanied by hydrogen release (Scheme 1c). Subsequently, the interception of glycolaldehyde by water and base could liberate a second molecule of hydrogen and enable access to glycolic acid (GAC) after acid workup.

To examine the feasibility of the above-proposed reforming process, we evaluated the acridine-type PNP complexes, **Ru-1** and **Ru-2**, that gave excellent results in the dehydrogenative coupling of ethylene glycol to oligoesters (Table 1).^[22] The reforming of aqueous ethylene glycol was attempted using 0.5

mol% catalyst loading and 5 equivalents of potassium hydroxide at 115 °C (oil bath temperature) in a 1:1 mixture of water (1.0 mL) and THF (1.0 mL).^[23] Somewhat surprisingly, these catalysts showed only sluggish reactivity (Table 1, entries 1 and 4) giving a maximum of 14 mL of hydrogen. Increasing the temperature to 150 °C resulted in increased volumes of H₂ up to 71 mL (entries 3 and 6). On the other hand, the PNN and PNP ruthenium complexes **Ru-3** to **Ru-7**, developed by our group, gave more promising results (entries 7-11). Complex **Ru-4** performed best, delivering 195 mL of hydrogen gas (81% yield, theoretical volume of hydrogen: 240 mL) after 48 hours at 115 °C (entry 8). Gas chromatographic (GC) analysis of the gas phase indicated that the evolved hydrogen was pure (Figure S28). NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture indicated that only potassium 2-hydroxyacetate was formed (see Figures S12-13 for details). After workup with 6 M HCl, glycolic acid was formed in 78% yield (entry 8, Figures S20-21).

Table 1. Optimization of the reaction conditions using PNN and PNP pincer complexes **Ru-1** to **Ru-7**

Entry ^[a]	Ru	T (°C)	V (H ₂ , mL) ^[b]	Yield (1, %) ^[c]
1	Ru-1	115	14	6
2	Ru-1	135	22	9
3	Ru-1	150	71	30
4	Ru-2	115	<1	N.D.
5	Ru-2	135	68	28
6	Ru-2	150	71	30
7	Ru-3	115	181	75
8	Ru-4	115	195	81(78) ^[d]
9	Ru-5	115	146	61
10	Ru-6	115	113	47
11	Ru-7	115	170	71

[a] Reaction conditions: ethylene glycol (5.0 mmol), **Ru** cat. (0.5 mol%), KOH (25 mmol), H₂O (1.0 mL) and THF (1.0 mL) at 115 °C (bath temperature) for 48 hours. [b] Hydrogen gas was collected every 24 hours. [c] Yields are based on the volume of collected hydrogen gas. [d] Yield of glycolic acid is shown in

parentheses, as determined by ^1H NMR of the crude reaction mixture after acid workup using acetonitrile as an internal standard. N.D.: not determined.

To our delight, upon screening PNNH-based complexes (Table 2) we found that using **Ru-8** the yield of potassium 2-hydroxyacetate was increased to 89% and 214 mL of pure hydrogen (Figure S29) were collected after 48 hours (Table 2, entry 2). At the same time, glycolic acid was formed in 88% yield after acid workup of the crude reaction mixture (Figures S22-23) indicating an excellent correlation between the yield of glycolic acid and the amount of hydrogen evolved. Additionally, **Ru-9** and **Ru-10** also catalyzed the reaction, albeit in moderate yields of hydrogen and potassium 2-hydroxyacetate (entries 3 and 4). Notably, doubling the water loading liberated 223 mL of pure hydrogen (Figure S30) and further increased the yield of glycolic acid to 91% (entry 5, Figures S24-25). The glycolic acid was isolated in 84% yield after removing the water, followed by extraction with THF. Lowering the reaction temperature to 100 °C led to decreased reaction efficiency (entry 6). Using NaOH instead of KOH provided comparable results, yielding 94% of hydrogen and 93% of glycolic acid after workup (entry 7, Figures S26-27). Lower loadings of NaOH resulted in formation of less hydrogen gas (193 mL, entry 8). Using water as the only solvent (single phase) resulted in a sluggish reaction (entry 9), but it proceeded well at higher temperatures, generating 48% and 70% yields of potassium 2-hydroxyacetate at 135 °C and 150 °C, respectively (entries 10 and 11).

Table 2. Production of glycolic acid and hydrogen from aqueous ethylene glycol catalyzed by PNNH complexes **Ru-8**, **9**, **10**

$\text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} \xrightarrow[\text{H}_2\text{O (1.0 mL)/THF (1.0 mL)}]{\text{Ru (0.5 mol\%), MOH (5.0 eq.)}} \text{HOCH}_2\text{CO}_2\text{M} + 2 \text{H}_2$ <p>115 °C, 48 h</p> <p>1 (M = K) 2 (M = Na)</p>				
Entry ^[a]	Ru	MOH	V (H ₂ , mL) ^[b]	Yield (1, %) ^[c]
1	Ru-4	KOH	195	81(78) ^[d]
2	Ru-8	KOH	214	89(88) ^[d]
3	Ru-9	KOH	138	58
4	Ru-10	KOH	166	69
5 ^[e]	Ru-8	KOH	223	93(91) ^[d]
6 ^[f]	Ru-8	KOH	79	33
7 ^[e]	Ru-8	NaOH	226	94(93) ^[d]
8 ^[e,g]	Ru-8	NaOH	193	80
9 ^[h]	Ru-8	KOH	29	12
10 ^[h,i]	Ru-8	KOH	116	48

11^[h,j] **Ru-8** KOH 167 70

[a] Reaction conditions: ethylene glycol (5.0 mmol), **Ru** cat. (0.5 mol%), MOH (25 mmol, M = K, Na), H₂O (1.0 mL) and THF (1.0 mL) at 115 °C (bath temperature) for 48 hours. [b] Hydrogen was collected every 24 hours. [c] Yields are based on the volume of collected hydrogen gas. [d] Yield of glycolic acid is shown in parentheses, as determined by ^1H NMR of the crude reaction mixture after acid workup using acetonitrile as an internal standard. [e] H₂O (2.0 mL) was used. [f] Reaction was performed at 100 °C. [g] NaOH (15 mmol) was used. [h] H₂O (3.0 mL) was used as the reactant and solvent without THF. [i] Reaction was performed at 135 °C. [j] Reaction was performed at 150 °C. Grey rows are indicative of optimal conditions.

After establishing the optimized reaction conditions, we investigated the scalability of our methodology. As shown in Figure 1, using only 0.1 mol% of **Ru-8**, 10 mmol of ethylene glycol were efficiently dehydrogenated to form hydrogen gas and sodium 2-hydroxyacetate in a mixture of THF (2.0 mL) and water (4.0 mL). During the first 48 hours, the reaction was relatively fast, releasing 282 mL of hydrogen. Subsequently, the reaction slowed down, likely a result of the decreased concentration of ethylene glycol. After 120 hours, 349 mL pure hydrogen gas (yield of hydrogen at this stage: 73%) was collected (red curve, see Figure S4 for details). The reforming reaction also proceeded quite well on a 30 mmol scale, delivering 921 mL of pure hydrogen gas (yield of hydrogen at this stage: 64%) after 120 hours (blue curve, 3 mL THF and 6 mL water were used, see Figure S5 for details). A catalyst recycling experiment indicated that the catalytic species was still active after 72 hours, albeit at a reduced rate (Figure S6, see SI for details).

Figure 1. Reforming aqueous ethylene glycol to hydrogen and sodium 2-hydroxyacetate using 0.1% mol of **Ru-8**.

Even though complexes **Ru-1** and **Ru-2** were shown to be efficient in the dehydrogenative coupling of ethylene glycol to oligoesters,^[22] the PNNH complex **Ru-8** showed superior performance in the reforming reaction. In order to understand the efficiency of the PNNH-family of complexes, we sought to investigate its possible modes of bond activation. An entry point to a possible catalytic cycle is the deprotonation of **Ru-8** by base. Previous experimental and theoretical reports have shown that in the presence of excess base (and absence of a protic medium), a double deprotonated anionic species was formed, in which the CH₂-group of P-arm was still present.^[24] On the other hand, using

only 1.1 equivalents of base ^1H , $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$, $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ DEPTQ and $^1\text{H}\{^{13}\text{C}\}$ HSQC NMR clearly showed that among the three acidic sites (P-arm, N-arm and NH-group) only P-arm deprotonation took place (Figures S33-37). This finding is further corroborated by DFT calculations, showing that the P-arm deprotonated species **3a** is 3.6 and 6.8 kcal.mol $^{-1}$ more stable than the NH-deprotonated species **3b** and the N-arm deprotonated **3c**, respectively (Scheme 2b, see the SI for details). Furthermore, in a protic medium (such as the crude reaction mixture), no double deprotonated species is observed even in the presence of excess base. Treatment of the resulting P-arm deprotonated mixture with 1 atmosphere of H_2 at room temperature in THF converged to the aromatized *trans*-dihydride complex **4** (Scheme 2a). Complex **4** was only stable under an atmosphere of hydrogen, and was fully characterized under H_2 (Figures S38-42, see SI for details).

Scheme 2. (a) Deprotonation of **Ru-8** followed by reaction with H_2 . (b) Site of deprotonation of **Ru-8** by DFT calculations. Values are Gibbs free energies in kcal.mol $^{-1}$ with respect to **3a** in THF (Values in parentheses are gas phase values, 298K)

Since attempts to crystallize the product of the reaction between dearomatized intermediate **3** and ethylene glycol were unsuccessful, we used the PNN complex **Ru-4** as surrogate (which exhibits good catalytic performance, see Table 1, entry 8). Deprotonating **Ru-4** (using 1.2 equivalent of $t\text{BuOK}$) followed by reaction with ethylene glycol led to formation of the aromatized complex **6** via the dearomatized species **5**,^[25] as witnessed by NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 3). In the ^1H NMR spectrum (Figure S43), the hydride is shifted downfield to -16.14 ppm (doublet, $^2J_{\text{PH}} = 25$ Hz), consistent with the vacant position *trans* to the hydride of **5**, now being occupied by the alkoxy group. A broad singlet appeared at $\delta = 103.4$ ppm in the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum (Figure S46), which is also consistent with a saturated aromatic complex. The IR spectrum showed a strong absorption band at 1907 cm^{-1} (Figure S47). Slow evaporation of the mixture led to formation of crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction. As shown in Figure 2, a neutral distorted octahedral ruthenium complex was formed, with the alkoxide of ethylene glycol coordinated to the metal center. In the unit cell of the crystal, H-bonding with another molecule of ethylene glycol to the alkoxide ligand is observed (see SI for details).

Scheme 3. Dearomatization of complex **Ru-4** and reaction with ethylene glycol.

Figure 2. X-ray crystal structure of complex **6**. Atoms are presented as thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity except the hydride H1A, H5, H3A and H4A. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Ru1-C20, 1.834(7); Ru1-N1, 2.123(5); Ru1-N2, 2.081(5); Ru1-P1, 2.2738(17); Ru1-H1A, 1.68(8); Ru1-O2, 2.243(4); H4A-O2, 1.867; H5-O2, 1.787; C20-Ru1-N2, 178.3(2); P1-Ru1-N1, 157.83(14); N2-Ru1-O2, 80.60(17).

Reaction of the dearomatized complex **5** with ten equivalents of ethylene glycol in a 1:1 mixture of THF (0.25 mL) and water (0.25 mL) with no added base was also performed at 115 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ in an open system, resulting in formation of the glycolic acid adduct **7** as the major product along with minor byproducts (Scheme 4, Figures S53-54, see SI for details). Complex **7** was also obtained by the addition of two equivalents of glycolic acid to complex **5**^[25] in THF at room temperature, and its structure was further confirmed by X-ray crystallography (Figure 3). The results of the base-free experiment indicate that the first cycle of the reforming reaction to generate the glycolic acid adduct does not require base to promote the C–O bond formation.^[23] Moreover, upon treatment of sodium 2-hydroxyacetate as a substrate under the optimal conditions no hydrogen evolution occurred, clearly showing that further dehydrogenation of sodium 2-hydroxyacetate did not take place (see SI 6.6 for details).

Scheme 4. Dearomatization of complex **Ru-4** and reaction with glycolic acid, and reaction with ethylene glycol without base.

Figure 3. X-ray crystal structure of complex **7**. Atoms are presented as thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity except the hydride and H3. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Ru(1)-C(22), 1.845(4); Ru(1)-N(1), 2.086(3); Ru(1)-N(2), 2.118(3); Ru(1)-O(1), 2.207(3); Ru(1)-P(1), 2.2653(9); Ru(1)-H, 1.56(5); C(22)-Ru(1)-N(1), 178.50(14); N(2)-Ru(1)-P(1), 159.96(9); N(1)-Ru(1)-O(1), 77.43(11).

Following the reaction under standard conditions by $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR showed the initial formation of a new species, assigned to be the hydrido-hydroxo complex **8** shown in Scheme 5A (see SI, Figure S55-57, previously also reported for an analogous PNN-based ruthenium complex).^[26] Importantly, this shows that even in the presence of excess base, no double deprotonation takes place, excluding a previously proposed anionic reaction surface.^[27] This is also in agreement with the base-free reaction outlined earlier (Scheme 4) and might be expected given the protic reaction conditions. In an earlier study, we conjectured that a PNNH-pincer complex might enable challenging reaction schemes by switching between appropriate MLC modes (dearomatization and amido-formation).^[24]

Employing DFT calculations, we tried to shed light into plausible reaction pathways for this transformation, in particular on three key events: 1) liberation of H_2 , 2) formation of the C–O bond, as well as 3) C–H bond scission. DFT calculations were performed at the $\omega\text{B97M-V/def2-TZVPP/RIJCOSX/SMD//M06-L/def2TZVP/GD3/W0}$ level of theory (see SI for details). In order to correctly address possible concertedness of transition states, all structures were optimized in solution (THF).^[28,29] The trans dihydride **4** is connecting both the H_2 liberation step, as well as the C–H bond activation step and was thus chosen as a starting point of the mechanistic investigation. Transition states not involving side-arm protons (see SI 8.5) are linked to unreasonably high barriers and were thus excluded. As observed for many other pincer complexes, a proton shuttle is needed for the liberation of H_2 from dihydride **4** (Step 1, **TS1A**, Scheme 5B). Although highly asynchronous, extended IRC-calculations confirm the concertedness of this step (see SI 8.7). Indeed, no stable intermediate is formed after initial protonation of the hydride by the proton shuttle, with subsequent side-arm deprotonation by the conjugated base being linked to the highest energy of this step.^[28b] A more basic proton-shuttle (ethylene glycol) thus gives slightly lower barriers (Scheme 5B, **TS1A** vs **TS1C**). As for related PNP-complexes, the dearomatized species will quickly react with the present protic species, to give either the alkoxo or the hydroxo compounds. The reversibility of the H–H and O–H activation events is demonstrated experimentally by running the reaction in D_2O instead of H_2O . D_2 liberation was observed by GC-MS, and ^2H -NMR after 12h indicates formation of deuterated deuterio-deuterio complex **8** (see SI, Figure S58). After a first

dehydrogenation event (see SI),^[30] the hydroxo complex **8** is nucleophilic enough to attack the aldehyde intermediate (Step 3, **TS3A**). This transition state is thus somewhat reminiscent of C–N bond cleavage events in Fe- and Mo-catalyzed hydrogenation of amides.^[31] The subsequent final C–H bond dissociation (Step 4, Scheme 5B) from the geminal diol is found to be step-wise, *i.e.* the C–H bond abstraction involves formally the alkoxide and a cationic Ru-species (**TS4B**) to regenerate the dihydride **4**. It is important to note that no base is needed for the transient generation of the alkoxide in the TOF-determining (TDTS) **TS4B**. This is in agreement with our experimental results (Scheme 4), where the addition of water and ethylene glycol (but no base) to complex **5** generates the carboxylate **7**. As was already postulated in the case of **Ru-4**,^[32] excess base is still necessary in the catalytic system with a twofold role. On the one hand, the overall reaction is endergonic (+5.5 kcal/mol) and formation of the carboxylate salt would serve as a driving force for the reaction. On the other hand, the formation of carboxylate **10** from **4** and glycolic acid is highly exergonic (–18.7 kcal/mol) and efficient quenching of glycolic acid by excess base might help to prevent the formation of this off-cycle product, while at the same time increasing the concentration of the hydrido hydroxo complex **8**. Several groups highlighted the importance of explicit solvent models for accurate DFT assessment of alcohol dehydrogenation reactions.^[28a,33] Following the approach of Dub and Gordon, we computed selected key intermediates and transition states in the presence of an explicit water cluster (Scheme 6A).^[28b] Expectedly, the hydrido hydroxo compound **8** is highly stabilized by the H-bonding network (–9.9 kcal/mol with respect to the dihydride **4**, stabilization of 16.1 kcal/mol). The H-bonding has also a stabilizing effect on the TDTS **TS4B_{EXP}** (17.1 kcal/mol, stabilization of 11.8 kcal/mol) and the H_2 liberating **TS1D_{EXP}** (11.7 kcal/mol, stabilization of 12.4 kcal/mol). As observed in DFT-MD calculations, the H-bond network will decrease the hydricity of the alkoxide species, explaining the lesser degree of stabilization in **TS4B_{EXP}**. Including the explicit water cluster yields an overall energetic span δE of 27.0 kcal/mol, in good agreement with the observed experimental reactivity. Furthermore, the explicit model predicts the hydrido hydroxo complex to be the resting state, which is confirmed experimentally (see SI) and predicted for **Ru-4** theoretically.^[32] The inclusion of explicit solvent molecules is thus critical to correctly identify rate-limiting states on the potential energy surface.

Finally, we were interested in analyzing if the proposed model could account (and explain) the observed difference between PNNH complex **Ru-8** and PNN **Ru-4** (Scheme 6B). Indeed, the proposed mechanism reproduces qualitatively the slightly higher activity of **Ru-8**, compared to **Ru-4** (**TS4B** vs. **TS4B_{bpy}**). Importantly, a step-wise deprotonation/hydride transfer sequence can be excluded in the case of **Ru-4**, highlighting the importance of branching points on the potential energy surface.^[34] Whereas in the case of the PNNH compound, deprotonation of the side-arm NH group is energetically accessible (Scheme 5B, **TS4A**, 26.1 kcal/mol), this is not the case for **Ru-4** (**TS4A_{bpy}** = 44.1 kcal/mol, see SI). Instead, the C–H bond activation *via* **TS4B_{bpy}** (Scheme 6B) might be reached after C–O bond formation (see SI 8.4, **TS3A_{bpy}** = 28.0 kcal/mol) *via* a O/H slippage, as proposed previously.^[35] Although the ligand framework in the bipyridyl dihydride **11** is overall sterically less crowded, compared

to **4** (Scheme 6B, %V_{free} = 41.6 vs. %V_{free} = 36.1)^[36] and the Ru-centers have similar positive NBO-charges of +0.33, the hydride abstraction in the case of the PNNH-ligand is around 4 kcal/mol lower in energy compared to the bipyridyl-analogue (Scheme 6B, **TS4B** = 26.9 vs **TS4B_{bpy}** = 30.9 kcal/mol). This difference might be explained by the additional stabilization of the formal alkoxide by the N–H moiety in **4**. Indeed, the N–H proton is not only more polarized (NBO-charge of +0.41 vs. +0.27 in **11**) but also more accessible in the parent dihydride compound **4**, as demonstrated by the steric topographic analysis. In the PNNH-ligand, the north-west (NW) quadrant accommodating the N–H-moiety has an accessible free volume of %V_{free} = 22.9, whereas the quadrants occupied by the ligand backbone PCH₂ protons in both ligands

are sterically more hindered (e.g. Scheme 6B, %V_{free} (NE) = 10.6 and %V_{free} (SE) = 14.6 in **11**). Indeed, these electronic and steric analyses together indicate that a variety of factors likely govern the difference in activity of the catalysts employed. It might be argued, that the N–H bonding is made redundant in the presence of protic reagents/solvents. We nevertheless observe that the stabilization persists in the presence of explicit solvent molecules, with **TS4B_{exp}** being around 4 kcal/mol lower than the corresponding PNN-analogue (**TS4B_{exp}** = 17.1 kcal/mol vs. **TS4B_{exp,bpy}** = 21.9 kcal/mol, see SI 8.6). Our mechanistic model indeed reproduces qualitatively the trends observed in initial rate experiments run on representative candidates of the PNN and PNNH families (see SI 8.8)

Scheme 5. (A) Proposed catalytic cycle for the reforming of ethylene glycol with water. Values correspond to Gibbs free energies in kcal.mol⁻¹ with respect to the starting material (0.0). Level of theory: M06-L/def2TZVP/W06/GD3//ωBP97M-V/def2TZVP/RIJCOSX, structures optimized in THF (SMD). (B) Key transition states of the proposed mechanism. Bond lengths in the computed structures in Å.

Scheme 6. (A) Selected structures of the potential energy surface in the presence of an explicit water cluster. Values correspond to Gibbs free energies in kcal/mol with respect to the starting material (0.0). Bond lengths in the computed structures in Å. (B) Comparison of **Ru-4** and **Ru-8**. Steric topographic analysis using SambVca 2.1^[34] with the ruthenium atom as the center of the sphere (3.5), scaled bond radii (1.17) and 0.1 mesh spacing.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we have developed a homogeneous, ruthenium catalyzed, efficient reforming of aqueous ethylene glycol under mild conditions. This reforming process produces glycolic acid (up to 93% yield) and pure hydrogen gas, without CO₂ or CO emission. The reaction can also be performed on a large scale of 30 mmol of ethylene glycol. Moreover, this reforming process can also proceed well in water with no additional organic solvent. These characteristics make this protocol environmentally friendly, atom-economical, renewable and sustainable. A plausible reaction mechanism is proposed based on stoichiometric reactions, NMR studies, X-ray crystallography and DFT calculations. The mechanistic studies allow rationalization of the high reactivity of the PNNH ligand framework. It is envisioned that the understanding of the reaction pathways at play might enable future development of new reaction schemes and catalysts.

Acknowledgements

D.M. holds the Israel Matz Professorial Chair of Organic Chemistry. Y.-Q.Z. acknowledges the Sustainability and Energy Research Initiative (SAERI) of Weizmann Institute of Science for a research fellowship. N.v.W. is supported by the Foreign Postdoctoral Fellowship Program of the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities. M.R. acknowledges the Zuckerman STEM Leadership Program for a research fellowship. N.v.W. and M.R. thank Dr Mark Iron (department of chemical research support) for fruitful discussions regarding the DFT calculations. Computations were performed using HPC resources from GENCI-CINES (Grant 2019AP010811227).

Data availability: All computed structures and energies can be accessed on the ioChem-BD.org online repository under the following link: <https://doi.org/10.19061/iochem-bd-6-66>

Keywords: homogeneous catalysis • clean and sustainable • ethylene glycol • glycolic acid • hydrogen

- [1] a) B. M. Trost, *Science* **1991**, 254, 1471–1477; b) P. A. Wender, V. A. Verma, T. J. Paxton, T. H. Pillow, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, 41, 40–49; c) T. Newhouse, P. S. Baran, R. W. Hoffmann, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, 38, 3010–3021.
- [2] Selected reviews: a) T. Ikariya, K. Murata, R. Noyori, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2006**, 4, 393–406; b) H. Grützmacher, *Angew. Chem.* **2008**, 120, 1838–1842; *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, 47, 1814–1818; c) J. I. van der Vlugt, J. N. H. Reek, *Angew. Chem.* **2009**, 121, 8990–9004; *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, 48, 8832–8846; d) J. I. van der Vlugt, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, 363–375; e) J. R. Khusnutdinova, D. Milstein, *Angew. Chem.* **2015**, 127, 12406–12445; *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, 54, 12236–12273.
- [3] Selected reviews: a) D. Milstein, *Top. Catal.* **2010**, 53, 915–923; b) C. Gunanathan, D. Milstein, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2011**, 44, 588–602; c) C. Gunanathan, D. Milstein, *Science* **2013**, 341, 1229712–1/11; d) R. H. Crabtree, *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, 117, 9228–9246; e) G. E. Dobreiner, R. H. Crabtree, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, 110, 681–703; f) S. M. A. H. Siddiki, T. Toyao, K.-i. Shimizu, *Green Chem.* **2018**, 20, 2933–2952; g) F. Raffaella, *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2018**, 22, 1875–1892; h) N. Gorgas, K. Kirchner, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2018**, 51, 1558–1569; i) F. Kallmeier, R. Kempe, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, 57, 46–60; j) L. Alig, M. Fritz, S. Schneider, *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, 119, 2681–2751; k) K. Sordakis, C. Tang, L. Vogt, H. Junge, P. J. Dyson, M. Beller, *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, 118, 372–433.
- [4] J. Kubitschke, H. Lange, H. Strutz in *Carboxylic Acids, Aliphatic in Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*, Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, **2014**.
- [5] Glycolic Acid Market—Forecasts from 2018 to 2023. <https://www.researchandmarkets.com/reports/4542547/glycolic-acid-market-forecasts-from-2018-to-2023>.
- [6] S. D. Barnicki, R. T. Hembre, S. N. Felling, M. E. Janka, K. M. Moran, US 8703999 B2, **2014**.
- [7] L. Prager, P. Dowideit, H. Langguth, H.-P. Schuchmann, C. von Sonntag, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* **2001**, 2, 1641–1647.
- [8] D. Roberts, D. J. Watson, J. Swinney, WO 2018/051115 A1, **2018**.
- [9] H. Yue, Y. Zhao, X. Ma, J. Gong, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, 41, 4218–4244.
- [10] A. Wang, T. Zhang, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2013**, 46, 1377–1386.
- [11] R. D. Cortright, R. R. Davda, J. A. Dumesic, *Nature* **2002**, 418, 964–967.
- [12] G. W. Huber, J. W. Shabaker, J. A. Dumesic, *Science* **2003**, 300, 2075–2077.
- [13] T. van Haasterecht, T. W. van Deelen, K. P. de Jong, J. H. Bitter, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, 4, 2353–2366.
- [14] D. Morton, D. J. Cole-Hamilton, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1988**, 1154–1156.
- [15] a) Y. Li, M. Nielsen, B. Li, P. H. Dixneuf, H. Junge, M. Beller, *Green Chem.* **2015**, 17, 193–198. For homogeneously reforming aqueous methanol to hydrogen and carbon dioxide, see: b) M. Nielsen, E. Alberico, W. Baumann, H.-J. Drexler, H. Junge, S. Gladiali, M. Beller, *Nature* **2013**, 495, 85–89.
- [16] a) T. Zweifel, J.-V. Naubron, H. Grützmacher, *Angew. Chem.* **2009**, 121, 567–571; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, 48, 559–563; b) M. Trincado, K. Kuhlein, H. Grützmacher, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2011**, 17, 11905–11913.
- [17] Y. Zhan, W. Hou, G. Li, Y. Shen, Y. Zhang, Y. Tang, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2019**, 7, 17559–17564.
- [18] J. Wu, L. Shen, Z.-N. Chen, Q. Zheng, X. Xu, T. Tu, *Angew. Chem.* **2020**, 132, 10507–10511; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, 59, 10421–10425.
- [19] J. Zhang, G. Leitius, Y. Ben-David, D. Milstein, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, 127, 10840–10841.
- [20] C. Gunanathan, Y. Ben-David, D. Milstein, *Science* **2007**, 317, 790–792.
- [21] a) E. Balaraman, E. Khaskin, G. Leitius, D. Milstein, *Nat. Chem.* **2013**, 5, 122–125; b) P. Hu, Y. Ben-David, D. Milstein, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, 138, 6143–6146.
- [22] Y.-Q. Zou, N. von Wolff, A. Anaby, Y. Xie, D. Milstein, *Nat. Catal.* **2019**, 2, 415–422.
- [23] It is important to note that the reaction mixture is biphasic. Excess base is used to quench any formed acidic product, both to drive the reaction to completion and to prevent catalyst degradation or deactivation. Indeed, DFT calculations predict that the reaction is endergonic and hence formation of the glycolate salt from glycolic acid might be an additional driving force of the reaction (besides irreversible H₂ release).
- [24] E. Fogler, J. A. Garg, P. Hu, G. Leitius, L. J. W. Shimon, D. Milstein, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, 20, 15727–15731.
- [25] D. Milstein, E. Balaraman, C. Gunanathan, B. Gnanaprakasam, J. Zhang, US 2013/0281664 A1, **2013**.
- [26] S. W. Kohl, L. Weiner, L. Schwartzburd, L. Konstantinovskii, L. J. W. Shimon, Y. Ben-David, M. A. Iron, D. Milstein, *Science* **2009**, 324, 74–77.
- [27] C. Hou, J. Jiang, Y. Li, C. Zhao, Z. Ke, *ACS Catal.* **2017**, 7, 786–795.
- [28] a) D. G. Gusev, *Organometallics* **2020**, 39, 258–270; b) P. A. Dub, J. C. Gordon, *ACS Catal.* **2017**, 7, 6635–6655.
- [29] Note however, that the experimentally observed site of deprotonation is only correctly predicted following the original procedure for parametrization of Cramer and Truhlar, i.e. optimizing in the gas phase: a) R. F. Ribeiro, A. V. Marenich, C. J. Cramer, D. G. Truhlar, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **2011**, 115, 14556–14562; b) Marenich, C. J. Cramer, D. G. Truhlar, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **2009**, 113, 6378–6396. No standard state

correction for 1 atm to 1 M was applied, nor H₂ solubility taken into account. These corrections can be applied subsequently.

- [30] Step 2 resembles Step 4, but is significantly lower in energy.
- [31] a) L. A. Suárez, Z. Culakova, D. Balcells, W. H. Bernskoetter, O. Eisenstein, K. I. Goldberg, N. Hazari, M. Tilset, A. Nova, *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 8751–8762 b) T. Leischner, L. A. Suarez, A. Spannenberg, K. Junge, A. Nova, M. Beller *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 10566–10576
- [32] H. Li, M. B. Hall, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 383–395
- [33] a) V. Sinha, N. Govindarajan, B. de Bruin, E. J. Meijer *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 6908–6913 b) N. Govindarajan, V. Sinha, M. Trincado, H. Grützmacher, E. J. Meijer, B. de Bruin, *ChemCatChem* **2020**, *12*, 2610.
- [34] P.A. Dub, J. C. Gordon, *Dalton Trans.*, **2016**, *45*, 6756–6781.
- [35] F. Hasanayn, L. M. Al-Assi, R. N. Moussawi, B. S. Omar, *Inorg. Chem.* **2016**, *55*, 7886–7902.
- [36] L. Falivene, Z. Cao, A. Petta, L. Serra, A. Poater, R. Oliva, V. Scarano, L. Cavallo, *Nat. Chem.* **2019**, *11*, 872–879
-

Entry for the Table of Contents

RESEARCH ARTICLE

A homogeneous ruthenium-catalyzed reforming of aqueous ethylene glycol to glycolic acid and hydrogen is described. A plausible reaction mechanism, involving metal ligand cooperation is proposed and supported by stoichiometric reactions, NMR studies, X-ray crystallography and computational studies.

Y.-Q. Zou, N. von Wolff, M. Rauch, M. Feller, Q.-Q. Zhou, A. Anaby, Y. Diskin-Posner, L. J. W. Shimon, L. Avram, Y. Ben-David, and D. Milstein*

Page No. – Page No.

Homogeneous Reforming of Aqueous Ethylene Glycol to Glycolic Acid and Pure Hydrogen Catalyzed by Pincer-Ruthenium Complexes Capable of Metal-Ligand Cooperation