**Organic substances from Egyptian jars of the Early Dynastic
period (3100-2700 B.C.): mode of preparation, alteration
processes and botanical (re)assessment of “cedrium”**

Mathilde Sarret,^a Pierre Adam,^{a*} Philippe Schaeffer,^a Quentin Ebert,^a Julien Perthuisson,^a
Geneviève Pierrat-Bonnefois^b

^a *Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, Institut de Chimie de Strasbourg UMR 7177, F-67000 Strasbourg, France*

^b *Département des Antiquités Égyptiennes, Musée du Louvre, F-75058 Paris, France*

* Corresponding author: Tel: +33 (0)3 68 85 28 04.

E-mail address: padam@unistra.fr (Pierre Adam).

ABSTRACT

Organic substances from three jars found at Abydos in the tombs of Kings from the two first Egyptian dynasties (3100-2700 B.C.) were investigated using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry in order to assess their biological origin, use and mode of preparation. The organic material was found to correspond to essential oils from conifers severely altered/oxidized. A predominant contribution from *Cedrus libani* was determined from the identification of sesquiterpenoid derivatives of the himachalene series which constitute new molecular tools for the identification of ancient oils made from real cedars. The presence of cuparene-related terpenoids suggested an additional contribution of members from the Cupressaceae family (junipers, cypresses). The genuine substances were most likely prepared in the Levant since neither *C. libani* nor junipers or cypresses are native from Egypt. Despite obvious analogies with "cedrium" used for embalming during later periods, their use as ingredients for embalming remains uncertain because true embalming only begun in Egypt after 2500 BC. Instead, it is proposed that these substances have been used as cosmetics, medicinal substances or for cultural purposes. The predominance of low molecular weight sesquiterpenoids and the absence of typical markers for wood tars indicate that the substances have possibly been prepared by steam-distillation of odoriferous plant material rather than by pyrolysis. Our study gives clues to the controversy concerning the composition of "cedrium", indicating that it most likely originates from mixed true cedar and junipers or cypresses, and not exclusively from real cedar vs. juniper oil.

Key words : Ancient Egypt, Sesquiterpenoids, Cedar, Juniper, Oxidation, Archaeometry, Cedrium.

1. Introduction

Archaeological excavations of the tombs of Kings from the two first Egyptian dynasties (3100-2700 B.C.) in Abydos (Egypt) in the late 19th century directed by Emile Amélineau (Amélineau, 1901, 1902, 1904) led to the discovery of a series of ceramics. Several of the jars discovered are presently located in the Louvre Museum in Paris. They were postulated having been imported from the Levant based on the typology relating them to the so-called “Abydos ware” (Hennessy and Millett, 1963; Serpico and White, 1996; Braun, 2009). Three of them, of similar typology, contain a material made of sand particles and black amorphous, resin-like, grains, likely of organic nature, of unknown origin and function. Although several hypotheses have been proposed concerning the content of this type of jars, postulated to correspond to oil, perfume or coniferous resin (Serpico and White, 1996), there is, to our knowledge, only one molecular study dedicated to the investigation of the organic content of potteries from the same period (Serpico and White, 1996). The latter, discovered by Petrie (1901) in the tomb of Djer at Abydos, correspond to ceramics now being part of the collections of the British Museum, of the Petrie Museum of London, and of the Ashmolean Museum. The present molecular study aims at identifying the molecular composition of the resin-like material from the jars from Abydos using gas-chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS), in order to assess its biological and geographical origin, as well as its use and mode of preparation. In addition, laboratory experiments involving essential oils from conifers were conducted in order to reproduce the oxidative alteration processes affecting sesquiterpenoids when exposed to air atmosphere upon ageing.

2. Experimental

2.1. Samples

The samples were collected in three jars found in the tombs of Kings from the two first Egyptian dynasties (3100-2700 B.C.) at Abydos (Egypt) by Emile Amélineau in 1895-1896. The typology of the jars (Fig. 1) relates them to the so-called “Abydos ware” which comprises pottery vessels, jugs, and jars initially found in Abydos but later also in other places in Egypt (Hennessy and Millett, 1963). The jars are presently located in the Musée du Louvre in Paris with the reference numbers E21727, E21809 and E28010.

In the case of jar E21727, sampling has been performed on the mixture of sand and organic material sticking on the neck of the jar. For the other two jars (E21809, E28010), the samples were collected by pouring the jars content on an aluminum foil. The samples obtained had a similar aspect and consisted of sand particles mixed with black amorphous grains, the latter being almost completely soluble in organic solvents.

2.2. Extraction and fractionation

Two distinct extraction protocols have been developed for the analysis of the resin-like material, the first one (protocol A, see below) involving derivatization which allows investigation of polar lipids like alcohols and carboxylic acids, but results in the loss of the more volatile compounds from the extract, and the second one (protocol B), devoid of derivatization steps to avoid evaporation steps, giving access to volatile sesquiterpenoids, but not to the more polar compounds.

2.2.1. Extraction using protocol A

The samples (70 mg, 80 mg, 606 mg, for E21727, E21809 and E28010 respectively) were extracted by sonication with a mixture of $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$ (1:1, v/v). An aliquot of each crude

extract obtained by filtration on celite was successively acetylated (Ac₂O/Pyridine 1:1 v/v, 60°C, 2 h) and, after removal of the solvent and excess reagent under reduced pressure, esterified using a solution of diazomethane in Et₂O. The derivatized extracts were fractionated by liquid chromatography (LC) on silica gel eluting successively with a mixture of CH₂Cl₂/EtOAc (8:2 v/v) and CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH (6:4 v/v), leading, respectively, to a moderately polar fraction **F1** analysed using GC-flame ionization detection (GC-FID) and GC-MS, and a polar fraction **F2** not being investigated further.

2.2.2. Extraction using protocol B

The samples (573 mg, 587 mg, 426 mg, for E21727, E21809 and E28010 respectively) were extracted by sonication with CH₂Cl₂. The crude extracts obtained by filtration on celite were concentrated under reduced pressure, avoiding complete solvent removal to preserve the volatile compounds. The extract was chromatographed over silica gel using CH₂Cl₂ as eluent in order to recover an apolar fraction (**F1_{volatile}**) and remove the polar constituents of the extract, and **F1_{volatile}** was concentrated under reduced pressure and analysed by GC-FID and GC-MS.

2.3. Identification of key components

Several aromatic (poly)carboxylic acids and sesquiterpenic structures have been identified using reference compounds. Details on the preparation and characterisation of these standards are described in detail in the Supplementary data.

2.4. Oxidation of essential oil of *Cedrus atlantica*

Essential oil of *Cedrus atlantica* (Laboratoire Sirius; 15 mg), *N*-hydroxyphthalimide (NHPI, 15 mg), cobalt (II) acetylacetonate (Co(acac)₂, 2 mg) and H₂O (20 µl) in AcCN (3 ml) were heated at 70 °C under an atmosphere of O₂ in a glass tube (10 ml) closed with a screwcap. After 20 h, H₂O (400 µl) was added to an aliquot (500 µl) of the reaction mixture. The organic

compounds were extracted with pentane (400 μ l) and analyzed by GC-MS without further purification.

2.5. Analytical methods

2.5.1. GC-FID

GC-FID was carried out with a Hewlett Packard 6890 gas chromatograph equipped with an on-column injector, FID and a HP-5 fused silica capillary column (30 m x 0.32 mm; 0.25 μ m film thickness). H₂ was used as carrier gas (constant flow mode, 2.5 ml/min), and the oven was programmed as follows: Temperature program: 40 °C (10 min⁻¹), 40 °C-300 °C (5 °C min⁻¹), isothermal at 300 °C for 30 min.

2.5.2. GC-MS

GC-MS was carried out with a Thermo Scientific Trace Ultra gas chromatograph coupled to a Thermo Scientific TSQ Quantum mass spectrometer equipped with an autosampler Tri Plus and a programmed temperature vaporizing (PTV) injector. The temperature of the source was set at 220 °C. The mass spectrometer was operating in the electron impact (EI) mode at 70 eV and scanning m/z 50 to 700. Gas chromatographic separations were performed on a HP5-MS column (30 m x 0.25 mm; 0.1 μ m film thickness) using He as carrier gas. Temperature program: 40 °C (10 min⁻¹), 40 °C-300 °C (5 °C min⁻¹), isothermal at 300 °C for 30 min. The data were investigated using the Xcalibur Software.

2.5.3. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)

The NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance I 500 MHz spectrometer (500 MHz for ¹H; 125 MHz for ¹³C). The chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane with the solvent used as internal standard (CHDCl₂: δ^1 H 5.32 ppm; CD₂Cl₂: δ^{13} C 53.8 ppm) and the coupling constants are expressed in Hz.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. GC-MS investigation of the lipid extracts

The distributions of the apolar fraction (**F1**) from the three samples obtained using protocol A (cf. § 2.3) revealed the presence of a highly complex assemblage of compounds (Fig. 2a for sample E21727, and Fig. S1 in Supplementary data for samples E21809 and 28010). Four main series of compounds could be detected comprising terpenic structures, aromatic carboxylic acids, fatty acids and phenolic compounds. Since most of these compounds had carbon skeleton ≤ 15 C atoms, a second protocol (protocol B) optimized to avoid the loss of the more volatile constituents has been used and the recovered apolar fractions (**F1_{volatile}**) were analyzed by GC-MS. Molecular distributions of the **F1_{volatile}** fractions were again very similar to each other with terpenic structures predominating (Fig. 2b and Fig. S2 in Supplementary data). Several compounds from fractions **F1** and **F1_{volatile}** could be firmly identified by comparison of mass spectra and GC behavior with those of commercial standards or compounds obtained by preparation of references (cf. Supplementary data).

3.1.1. Sesquiterpenic structures

The distributions obtained (Fig. 2a,b) are dominated by four main families of sesquiterpenoid derivatives:

(a) tricyclic sesquiterpenoids comprising, notably, longiborneol **1** (numbers refer to structures in the Appendix), its related ketone (longicamphor) **2**, and longicamphenilone **3** related to longifolene **4** (cf. Fig S.3 and Section 2.1 from Supplementary data).

(b) sesquiterpenoids from the cuparene series (cf. Fig. S4d and Section 2.2. from Supplementary data) which include cuparene **5**, cuparenic acid **6** (Enzell and Erdtman, 1958) identified by comparison with its mass spectrum published by Grantham and Douglas (1980)

and a ketone **7** (Fig. S4c in Supplementary data), the mass spectrum of which being identical to that of α -cuparenone **7a** published in the NIST library. Since it is not possible to infer the exact position of the carbonyl group on the cyclopentane ring using MS, this compound might also correspond to β -cuparenone **7b**. A further compound having a mass spectrum very similar to that of cuparenic acid **6** (presence of an even fragment at m/z 176 for the related methyl ester) but with a molecular ion shifted upwards by 14 Da (Fig. S4d in Supplementary data) could correspond to the analogue **8** of cuparenic acid **6** bearing a carbonyl group on the cyclopentane ring at a position which could not be determined but possibly similar to that of compound **7a** or **7b**.

(c) cadalene **9** which might be formed from the various sesquiterpenoids of the cadinene series (e.g. **10**, **11**) by an aromatization process.

(d) a series of aromatic and oxygenated sesquiterpenoids (**12-15**) related to himachalenes (**16-18**). Sesquiterpenoids **12-15** were unambiguously identified by comparison of mass spectra (Fig. 3) and GC behavior with those of reference compounds prepared by oxidation of himachalene-related sesquiterpenoids from the essential oil of *Cedrus atlantica* (Fig S.5 and Section 2.3 from Supplementary data). Firm determination of the structure of these compounds using NMR spectroscopy of isolated compounds constitutes the first unambiguous identification of himachalene-related biomarkers in archaeological samples.

3.1.2. Diterpenoids

Diterpenoids were detected in low relative amounts (Fig. 2a,b), and comprised mainly 7 oxo-dehydroabietic acid **19** and 7-oxo-dehydroabietane **20** identified on the basis of their mass spectra (Van den Berg, 2003; Bhar and Ramana, 2004). A phenolic diterpenoid, totarol **21**, identified using an authentic standard, was also present in one sample (E21809; Fig S2 in Supplementary data).

3.1.3. *Benzene polycarboxylic acids and acetophenone derivatives*

Benzene(poly)carboxylic acids were predominant in the organic extracts of samples E21727 and E21809, but were relatively less abundant in sample E28010 (Fig. 2a; Fig. S1 in Supplementary data). Compounds **22-26** were unambiguously identified using prepared standards (cf. Section 2.4 from Supplementary data), allowing the precise position of the substituents on the aromatic ring to be determined. Their formation can be explained by autoxidation at the oxygen-sensitive benzylic positions (e.g. Mayo, 1968; Charrié-Duhaut et al., 2000) from aromatic terpenic structures deriving from biological unsaturated sesquiterpenes and/or monoterpenoids as illustrated in Fig. 4 (cf. Section 3.2 and Fig. 5 and 6 below dedicated to oxidation processes of terpenoids). The occurrence of compounds **25-26** has been reported previously from the organic residue of a broken jar found in a tomb of the XXVIth Dynasty by Seher et al. (1980), these compounds being interpreted as oxidation products of terpenoids. *p*-Methylacetophenone **27** detected in the volatile fraction (Fig. 2b) can similarly be the result of the oxidation of monocyclic mono- and sesquiterpenoids. Vanillic acid **28** has likely another origin which will be discussed in Section 3.4.

3.1.4. *Fatty acids*

Fatty acids (FA) were minor constituents of the samples (cf Fig. 2a; Fig. S1). Their distribution was dominated by palmitic (C₁₆) and stearic (C₁₈) acid. Longer chain FA (C₂₂-C₂₈) indicating a contribution from plants appear in trace amounts. No typical oxidation products of unsaturated FA such as carboxylic acid diols or diacids (Colombini et al., 2005; Hansel and Evershed, 2009) were detected. The relatively low proportion of these compounds as compared to the sesquiterpenic structures and to the aromatic (poly)carboxylic acids suggests that oil or fat are not part of the ingredients used to prepare the substances from the jars.

3.2 *Oxidation processes of terpenoids*

The predominance of oxidized analogues of sesquiterpenoids from the himachalene and cuparene series, together with the occurrence of longicamphenylone **3** and longicamphor **2** - possible oxidation products of longifolene **4** and longiborneol **1**, respectively - (Fig. 5a), as well as the predominance of benzene polycarboxylic acids and acetophenone derivatives (§ 3.1), attest of the intense oxidative alteration processes to which the organic substances in the jars were submitted to since their preparation. The intensity of such an alteration is further underlined by the absence of sesquiterpenoids with non-conjugated double bonds, which generally predominate among genuine plant terpenoids, but are particularly sensitive to oxidative alteration (e.g. Ngo et al., 2000; Huang et al., 2015). This is the case of sesquiterpenoids of the himachalene series, naturally-occurring as himachalene dienes (**16-18**) which could not be detected. All the himachalene derivatives found in the extracts (**12-15**) most likely corresponded to products formed by alteration processes (Fig. 5b), although *ar*-himachalene **12** sometimes occurs in small amounts in plant extracts (e.g. Bredenberg and Erdtman, 1961; Panday and Dev, 1968; Baser and Demirçakmak, 1995; Loizzo et al., 2007). It thus appears that the original sesquiterpenic distributions of the investigated organic substances have been severely altered, most of the sesquiterpenoids initially present have completely disappeared or have been converted into oxidized analogues.

The origin of the oxidized cuparenes **6-8** can only partly be ascribed to autoxidation processes (Fig. 5c). If cuparenic acid **6** likely results from the autoxidation at the benzylic methyl group of cuparene **5**, abiotic oxidative processes are, however, not expected to be involved in the formation of cuparenone **7** since such processes would involve autoxidation at a non-activated methylenic position. Therefore, it has to be envisaged that cuparenone **7** is a naturally-occurring compound directly originating from a vegetal source used to prepare the organic substances from the jars. α - and β -cuparenones **7a** and **7b** have been reported only from tree species not native from the Eastern Mediterranean region (e.g. *Thuja orientalis*; Dev and

Chetty, 1964) and which were probably not available in ancient Egypt and in the Levant. Alternatively, it can be proposed that incorporation of the keto group on the cyclopentane ring of cuparene **5** was induced by biotic oxidation. In this respect, the biotransformation of cuparene by *Aspergillus niger* has been shown to result in the incorporation of oxygenated functions (alcohols, carbonyls) on the cyclopentane ring of cuparene (Asakawa and Noma, 2010) and in the oxidation of the aromatic methyl group into a carboxylic acid, thus providing an alternative biotic (as opposed to abiotic) formation pathway for both cuparenic acid **6**, compound **8** and possibly compound **7**.

In order to evaluate in greater detail the impact of abiotic oxidation processes on the distribution of sesquiterpenoids from the himachalene series, a laboratory experiment involving the essential oil of *C. atlantica*, a radical initiator (NHPI and Co(acac)₂) under an oxygen atmosphere (Ishii et al., 1996; Matsushita et al., 2010) has been performed to simulate abiotic autoxidation processes. Under these conditions, himachalenes **16-18**, the predominant sesquiterpenoids from the original essential oil (Fig. 6a), have completely disappeared after 20 h of reaction at 70 °C (Fig. 6b). Concomitantly, the relative abundance of several compounds, initially present in trace amounts, comprising *ar*-himachalene **12** and its unsaturated analogues (**29, 30**), increased significantly (Fig. 6b). Given their low initial concentration, they most likely formed by dehydrogenation processes, involving, for instance, the successive incorporation of oxygen followed by elimination of water or hydrogen peroxide. In addition, it was also possible to observe the formation of oxygenated derivatives of *ar*-himachalene, **13-15** (Fig. 5b), which were also detected among the terpenoid derivatives from the three jars (Fig. 2b). These compounds can thus be interpreted as oxidation products of the unsaturated analogues of *ar*-himachalene **29** and **30** (Fig. 5b).

3.3 Botanical and geographical origin of the substances from the jars

Several lipid constituents from the organic extracts of the jars could be unambiguously related to terpenoids from conifers. Such is the case of longiborneol **1**, longicamphor **2**, longicamphenylone **3** (Fig. 2b) most likely formed by oxidation of longifolene **4** - a non-specific sesquiterpene from conifers -, cadalene **9** - an aromatized analogue of cadinene-type terpenoids widely distributed in conifers (Otto and Wilde, 2001) -, as well as 7-oxo-dehydroabietic acid **19** and of 7-oxo-dehydroabietatriene **20** - oxidized analogues of abietic acid **31** and of abietadiene **32** or abietatriene **33** abundant in conifers (e.g.. Otto et al., 2007; Otto and Wilde, 2001). However, these compounds are not specific and cannot be related to any precise conifer species or genus. The very low amounts of 7-oxo-dehydroabietic acid **19** detected in the samples clearly indicate that conifer resins, generally dominated by diterpenic acids from the abietane (**34**) and pimarane (**35**) series (e.g., Keeling and Bohlmann, 2006), can be ruled out as ingredients. Himachalene (**12-15**) and cuparene (**5-8**) derivatives, as well as totarol **21**, are more specific and allow some conclusions to be drawn regarding the nature of the conifers used to prepare the substances from the jars. Thus, if we consider potential conifer sources from the Eastern Mediterranean region (Berjaoui, 1952; Germer, 1985; Quézel, 1998; Serpico and White, 2000; Hajar et al., 2010; Caudullo and de Rigo, 2016a,b,c,d), himachalenes are biosynthesized in particularly high amounts by *Cedrus libani* (e.g. Agrawal and Rastogi, 1984; Fleisher and Fleisher, 2000; Saab et al., 2005) and, to a more limited extent, by *Abies cilicica* (Bagci et al., 1999). Additionally, members of the Cupressaceae family also produce himachalenes, notably several juniper species (e.g., *Juniperus communis*: Gonny et al., 2006; *J. phoenicea*: Chalchat et al., 1988; *J. oxycedrus*: Saab et al., 2012) but not cypresses such as the Mediterranean cypress (*Cupressus sempervirens*). Cuparene derivatives are typical sesquiterpenes from Cupressaceae such as cypresses (Piovetti et al., 1981; Otto and Wilde, 2001) and junipers (San Feliciano et al., 1995; Otto and Wilde, 2001; Seca and Silva, 2005; Gonny et al., 2006; Robbat et al., 2011; Saab et al., 2012), and cuparene **5** is biosynthesized in

significant proportions relative to other sesquiterpenoids by both *Juniperus* sp. and *Cupressus* sp. from the Eastern Mediterranean region comprising *J. oxycedrus* (Chalchat et al., 1988, Uçar and Balaban, 2002), *J. phoenicea* (Chalchat et al., 1988), *J. drupacea* (Adams, 1997), *J. excelsa* (Uçar and Balaban, 2002; Saab et al., 2012), *J. foetidissima* (Uçar and Balaban, 2002), *J. communis* (Gonny et al., 2006), and *C. sempervirens* (Piovetti et al., 1981). To our knowledge, except in two studies reporting the presence of cuparene **5** in trace amounts in *C. libani* (Baser and Demirçakmak, 1995) and *C. atlantica* (Aberchane et al., 2004), cuparene derivatives are not reported from real cedars (e.g., Agrawal and Rastogi, 1984; Fleisher and Fleisher, 2000; Otto and Wilde, 2001; Loizzo et al., 2007; Paoli et al., 2011; Saab et al., 2012).

The predominance of aromatized and oxidized compounds such as **12-15** deriving from himachalenes **16-18**, which are major constituents of essential oils from real cedars, led us to propose that the organic material originated predominantly from *C. libani*. The occasional occurrence of cuparene **5** in *C. libani* and *C. atlantica* (Baser and Demirçakmak, 1995; Aberchane et al., 2004) in low amounts cannot account for the important proportions of cuparene derivatives **5-8** relative to other sesquiterpenoids in the extracts from the jars (cf. Fig. 2a,b and Fig S1, S2 in Supplementary data), unless considering a marked concentration effect. In the latter case, one may envisage that the structure of cuparene, which does not contain non-conjugated double bonds and has a limited number of oxygen-sensitive non-quaternary benzylic positions in contrast to himachalene derivatives, would confer to this compound an enhanced relative resistance upon oxidative ageing. However, this enhanced resistance is unlikely to be sufficient for resulting in such a clear predominance of cuparene-related compounds in the altered material which only contained trace amounts (if any) of cuparene within the genuine products. Therefore, we propose that cuparene-related compounds originate from vegetal sources other than real cedars, such as junipers or cypresses (Cupressaceae) which biosynthesize cuparene **5** in significant high relative amounts. This possibility is further

supported by the detection of totarol **21** in one sample (E21809, Fig. S1 in Supplementary data), this phenolic compound being present in *Juniperus* sp. and *Cupressus* sp. (e.g. San Feliciano, 1992; Gonny et al., 2006; Dob et al., 2008; Herzi et al., 2013). An origin of totarol **21** from real cedars might however be considered given the report of this compound in the leaves of *C. atlantica* (Lercker et al., 1977, Agrawal and Rastogi, 1984), although our own investigations could not confirm this finding, neither could we detect totarol in the leaves and twigs of *C. libani*. In addition, this compound has never been reported from *C. libani* wood.

To conclude, we propose that the organic substances from the jars from the Early Dynastic period predominantly originate from *C. libani* with a contribution from a member of the Cupressaceae family (*Cupressus* sp or *Juniperus* sp.) to account for the presence of the various cuparene derivatives and of totarol. Except *J. phoenicea* which grows in the Sinai (Germer, 1985; Serpico and White, 2000, Caudullo, G. and de Rigo, 2016a.), the various *Cedrus*, *Juniper* and *Abies* species mentioned above do not grow in Egypt (Berjaoui, 1952; Germer, 1985; Quézel, 1998; Serpico and White, 2000; Hajar et al., 2010; Caudullo and de Rigo, 2016a,b,c,d), but are all native from the Levant. Therefore, the organic material from the jars has likely been prepared in southern Levant, where the jars are postulated to originate from (Hennessy and Millet, 1963; Serpico and White, 1996; Braun, 2009).

3.4. Implications on the constitution of “cedrium”

The organic substances from the jars present many molecular similarities with the material referred to as “oil of cedar” or “cedrium” in the literature and used as an ingredient for embalming (Buckley et al., 2004; Koller et al., 2003, 2005, Łucejko et al., 2012; Scholz-Böttcher et al., 2013; Brettel et al., 2016). In particular, the present organic material and “cedrium” are both characterized by the significant contribution of cuparene derivatives as

reported in the latter case by several authors (cf. Koller et al.; 2003, 2005; Łucejko et al., 2012; Scholz-Böttcher et al., 2013; Brettel et al., 2016). Additionally, the “cedrium” described by Koller et al. (2005) and Scholz-Böttcher et al. (2013) comprised several compounds having the same fragmentation and molecular ions in MS as the compounds unambiguously identified for the first time in the present study as himachalene derivatives (compounds **12**, **13**, **15**, **29**, **30**) by comparison with prepared standards (Section 2.3 from Supplementary data). Thus, we propose to reassess and/or refine the structures of some compounds reported by Koller et al. (2005) and Scholz-Böttcher et al. (2013). Thus, we propose, based on the comparison of the mass spectra of the himachalene derivatives identified in our samples (cf Fig. 3) with mass fragmentation patterns reported in Figure 2 and 4 in Koller et al. (2005) and Table 4 in Scholz-Böttcher et al. (2013), that “cadinatriene” most likely corresponds to *ar*-himachalene **12**, “anhydro-*a*-copaene” to ketone **13**, “tetramethoxyphenol” to *ar*-himachalene-related unsaturated ketone **15**, and “2-acetyl-6-methoxynaphtalene” to dehydro-*ar*-himachalene **29** or **30**. Identical mass spectra were also reported by Hamm et al. (2004) to occur for lipids recovered using headspace solid phase extraction of organic material from the embalming of a heart found in a blue vase from the Louvre Museum (reference numbers E 11094, cf. Charrié et al., 2007). “Cedrium” is thus, from a molecular point of view, very similar to the samples from the jars investigated, both being characterized by predominant sesquiterpenoids from the cuparene and himachalene series originating from a plant mixture comprising *Cedrus* sp. and a member of the Cupressaceae family.

Our results and comparison with those obtained from previous molecular studies on embalming material from more recent periods give clues to a controversy discussed by Buckley et al. (2004) and Koller et al. (2003, 2005) concerning the use of cedar oil for embalming mummies. This controversy begun when Lucas (1931) pointed out that the so called “cedar oil” corresponds to a material extracted from juniper. Wisseman (2001) also mentioned that juniper

oil was probably used as an ingredient for mummification rather than cedar oil. This hypothesis, which also appeared in more recent articles (e.g. Abdel-Maksoud and El-Amin; 2011) does, however, not rely on detailed molecular investigation or on the detection of juniper-specific molecular markers. Wisseman (2001) did not exclude that further investigations of embalming material might show that the use of cedar vs. juniper oil may have changed with time, depending on criteria such as fashion or cost. Other authors, on the other hand, favored the hypothesis of the use of cedar oil originating from *Cedrus sp* based on molecular criteria, although the latter have proven to be ambiguous and tenuous. Thus, based on MS interpretations, Buckley et al (2004) have identified two oxidized derivatives of himachalenes, the predominant sesquiterpenes of oils from *Cedrus sp*. (e.g. Agrawal and Rastogi, 1984; Fleisher and Fleisher, 2000; Otto and Wilde, 2001; Loizzo et al., 2007; Paoli et al., 2011; Saab et al., 2012) in the material used to embalm a cat mummy, but the authors recognized that the identification was only tentative and that they could unfortunately not firmly support the hypothesis that the embalming material indeed contained true cedar oil. Koller et al. (2003, 2005) also discussed the use of cedar vs. juniper oil for mummification. These authors proposed a contribution from cedar and excluded the use of juniper given the absence of cedrol **36** among the sesquiterpenoids from an unused embalming material unearthed at Deir el-Bahari (ca. 1500 BC), whereas this compound is reported to occur in fresh juniper extracts (e.g. Piovetti et al., 1981; Uçar and Balaban, 2002). However, cedrol is not necessarily abundant and can even be absent from junipers (e.g. Uçar and Balaban, 2002; Gonny et al., 2006). The contribution of cedar was established from the identification by MS of cuparene **5**, cadalene **9**, cadinatriene or acetylmethoxynaphthalene. The argument raised by the authors was, however, not completely convincing for the following reasons: (1) cuparene **5** and related compounds most likely originate from Cupressaceae (e.g. Otto and Wilde and references cited therein) rather than from real cedar. As discussed above, the relative low proportions of cuparene **5** in essential oils of *C.*

libani (a single report, by Baser and Demirçakmak, 1995) can probably not explain the importance of these compounds in our extracts, unless an extreme and unrealistic enrichment relative to other sesquiterpenoid series due to an enhanced resistance of cuparene **5** towards oxidative alteration is envisaged; (2) cadalene **9** and cadinatriene may originate from the aromatization/dehydrogenation of sesquiterpenoids from the cadinene series (e.g. **10**, **11**), the latter being non-specific of real cedars and being also found in junipers (Seca and Silva, 2005); (3) acetylmethoxynaphthalene has not been reported from real cedars. However, it appears following the present identification work - cf. discussion above - that some of these structures were erroneously attributed by the authors with cadinatriene and acetylmethoxynaphthalene, for instance, corresponding in fact to the himachalene derivatives (**12**, **29** or **30**) identified in the present study, which indeed supports the hypothesis of a significant contribution of material originating from *C. libani*.

To conclude, comparison of the results of the present molecular study with previous studies on embalming material from more recent historical periods leads to the conclusion that the material called “cedrium” used for embalming originates from true cedar (most likely *C. libani*) mixed with a second botanical source belonging to the Cupressaceae family, possibly cypresses and/or junipers.

3.5. Use of the organic material from the jars

Given the molecular analogies of the organic substances in the jars with “cedrium”, one might envisage that they were used as an ingredient for embalming as reported by several authors (Buckley et al., 2004; Koller et al., 2003, 2005, Łucejko et al., 2012; Scholz-Böttcher et al., 2013; Brettel et al., 2015). However, true embalming only began in Egypt after 2500 BC, although recent work indicates some earlier examples of mummification, before 2900 BC (Jones et al., 2014). Therefore, the use of the odoriferous substances from the jars dating to the

Early Dynastic period for embalming remains rather uncertain, and alternate uses, for cosmetology or medical and cultural purposes have to be considered.

3.6. Mode of preparation of the substances identified in the jars

The predominance of low molecular constituents (e.g. sesquiterpenoids) suggests that the preparation of the organic material from vegetal sources most likely involves a concentration step of volatile compounds, which could be achieved by distillation. Consequently, one might first envisage that the substances from the jars correspond to wood tar, similar to cade oil, made by dry distillation. Although unambiguous markers for wood tar such as methyl, ethyl or propyl guaiacols **37-39** formed by pyrolysis of wood lignin (e.g. Faix et al., 1990; Bailly et al., 2016) were not detected, it cannot be completely excluded that vanillic acid **28** identified in the samples (Fig. 2a and Fig. S1 in Supplementary data) corresponds to an oxidation product of alkylguaiacols **37-39** (Fig. 7) given the severe oxidative alteration undergone by the substances. Alternatively, vanillic acid may result from the oxidation of low molecular weight methoxyphenols such as, e.g., vanillin **40** or eugenol **41** (Fig. 7) from the plants used as ingredients. In addition, the aromatic diterpenoids frequently encountered in conifer wood tar such as 18- and 19- nor abietatrienes **42, 43**, and retene **44** related to abietic acid **31** and resulting from the aromatization of resin acids (e.g. Evershed et al., 1985; Beck and Borromeo, 1990; Egenberg et al., 2002; Connan and Nissenbaum, 2003; Hjulström et al., 2006; Connan and Charrié-Duhaut, 2010) could not be detected in the samples. Therefore, we propose that the substances from the jars correspond to essential oils obtained by steam distillation of plant material comprising wood, twigs, cones or berries from a plant mixture comprising cedar of Lebanon and a member of the Cupressaceae family (juniper, cypress) to account for the occurrence of himachalene and cuparene derivatives.

There is, to our knowledge, no historical evidence that steam distillation was a process known in Egypt at the beginning of the 3rd millennium B.C. However, Levey et al. (1955) proposed that this technology was known in the Levant as early as the middle of the 4th millennium B.C. This hypothesis relies on the discovery of a double-rimmed pot in Tepe Gawra in Iraq (Levey, 1955) postulated to correspond to the oldest known part of a steam distillation apparatus. It can therefore be envisaged that preparation of the material in the jars, which most likely occurred in the Levant prior to exportation to Egypt, has been achieved using steam distillation. In such a process, the plant material mixed with water mixture is brought to boiling, and the resulting vapors comprising water and volatile organic constituents from the odoriferous plants are condensed and gathered in the trough of the double-rimmed pot, the organic phase then being separated from water and recovered.

4. Conclusions

GC-MS investigation of the organic materials from three jars found in Abydos and dating back to the two first Egyptian dynasties (3100-2700 B.C.) resulted in the identification of oxidized terpenic structures of the himachalene and cuparene series and totarol, which led us to propose that the organic substances were most likely prepared from at least two distinct conifer species comprising cedar of Lebanon and a member of the Cupressaceae family (*Cupressus sempervirens* and/or one of the various juniper species growing in the Levant). The identification of novel oxidized sesquiterpenoids from the himachalene family, predominating in the severely oxidized samples, was carried out with prepared reference molecules. The latter constitute the first unambiguous biomarkers related to himachalenes which can be used to identify oil from real cedar (*Cedrus* sp.) in organic material from ancient Egypt.

The predominance of low molecular weight constituents in the extracts, together with the absence of biomarkers indicative of lignin pyrolysis suggests that the organic substances were

prepared by steam distillation of conifer material rather than by dry pyrolysis of wood. However, it cannot be completely excluded that the typical markers for tar, in particular alkyl guaiacols, were lost by evaporation or upon intense oxidative alteration during ageing.

Although there are obvious molecular analogies between the investigated material and "cedrium", we propose that the organic substances have been used as cosmetics, medicinal ingredients or for cultural purposes rather than for embalming, which is a practice that developed during later historical periods. Reinvestigation of the molecular composition of "cedrium" reported in the literature in the light of our results shows that oxidized cuparene and himachalene-related sesquiterpenoids co-occur in "cedrium", giving clues to the controversy concerning its ingredients and indicating that "cedrium" was most likely prepared using both true cedar (*C. libani*) and juniper or cypress.

Acknowledgements

E. Motsch (Université de Strasbourg) is thanked for the GC-MS analyses and B. Vincent (Université de Strasbourg) for NMR measurements. J. Connan is thanked for facilitating the access to the samples. A. Charrié-Duhaut and C. Bastien (Université de Strasbourg) are thanked for the sampling of the organic substances in jars E21727 and E21809. The "Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique" and "Université de Strasbourg" are thanked for financial support.

References

- Aberchane, M., Fechtal, M., Chaouch, A., 2004. Analysis of Moroccan Atlas cedarwood oil (*Cedrus atlantica* Manetti). J. Essent. Oil Res. 16, 542-547.
- Abdel-Maksoud, G., El-Amin, A.R., 2011. A review on the materials used during the mummification processes in ancient Egypt. Mediterr. Archaeol. Ar. 11, 129-150.

481 Adams, R.P., 1997. Comparisons of the leaf oils of *Juniperus drupacea* Labill. from Greece,
 482 Turkey and the Crimea. J. Essent. Oil Res. 9, 541-544.

483 Agrawal, P.K., Rastogi, R.P., 1984. Chemistry of true cedars. Biochem. System. Ecol. 12, 133-
 484 144.

485 Amélineau, E., 1901. Les nouvelles fouilles d'Abydos, 1895-1896. Compte rendu *in extenso*
 486 des fouilles. Description des monuments et objets découverts. Ernest Leroux, Paris.

487 Amélineau, E., 1902. Mission Amélineau. Les nouvelles fouilles d'Abydos Seconde Campagne
 488 1896-1897. Compte rendu *in extenso* des fouilles. Description des monuments et objets
 489 découverts. Ernest Leroux, Paris.

490 Amélineau, E., 1904. Mission Amélineau. Les nouvelles fouilles d'Abydos 1897-1898. Compte
 491 rendu *in extenso* des fouilles. Description des monuments et objets découverts. Ernest Leroux,
 492 Paris.

493 Asakawa, Y. Noma, Y., 2010. Biotransformation of essential oils, ionones, damascones,
 494 adamantanes, aromatic compounds by green algae, fungi and mammals, in: Hüsni Can Baser,
 495 K., Buchbauer, G. (Eds.), Handbook of Essential Oils: Science, Technology and Applications.
 496 CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 737-842.

497 Bagci, E.; Baser, K.H.C.; Kurkcuoglu, M.; Baabac, T.; Celik, S., 1999. Study of the essential
 498 oil composition of two subspecies of *Abies cilicica* (Ant. et Kotschy) Carr. from Turkey.
 499 Flavour Frag. J. 14, 47-49.

500 Bailly, L., Adam, P., Charrié, A., Connan, J., 2016. Identification of alkyl guaiacyl
 501 dehydroabietates as novel markers of wood tar from Pinaceae in archaeological samples. Org.
 502 Geochem. 100, 80-88.

503 Baser, K.H.C., Demirçakmak, B., 1995. The essential oil of Taurus cedar (*Cedrus libani* A.
504 Rich): Recent results. Chem. Nat. Compd. 31, 16-20.

505 Beck, C.W., Borromeo, C., 1990. Ancient pine pitch: technological perspectives from a
506 Hellenistic shipwreck. MASCA Res. Pap. Sci. Archaeol. 7, 51-58.

507 Berjaoui, A., 1952. La distribution des essences forestières au Liban. Rev. For. Fr. 12, 833-837.

508 Bhar, S.S., Ramana, M.M.V., 2004. Novel domino reactions for diterpene synthesis. J. Org.
509 Chem. 69, 8935-8937.

510 Bredenberg, J.B.-son, Erdtman, H., 1961. Sesquiterpenes from *Cedrus* species. Acta Chem.
511 Scand. 15, 685-686.

512 Brettell, R., Martin, W., Atherton-Woolham, S., Stern, B., McKnight, L., 2016. Organic residue
513 analysis of Egyptian votive mummies and their research potential. Stud. Conserv. DOI:
514 10.1179/2047058415Y.0000000027

515 Braun, E., 2009. South Levantine early Bronze Age chronological correlations with Egypt in
516 light of the Narmer Serekhs from Tel Erani and Arad: New interpretations. BMSAES 13, 25-
517 48.

518 Buckley, A., Clark, K.A., Evershed, R.P., 2004. Complex organic chemical balms of pharaonic
519 animal mummies. Nature. 431, 294-299.

520 Caudullo, G., de Rigo, D., 2016a. *Juniperus phoenicea* in Europe: distribution, habitat, usage
521 and threats, in: San-Miguel- Ayanz, J., de Rigo, D., Caudullo, G., Houston Durrant, T., Mauri,
522 A. (Eds.), European Atlas of Forest Tree Species. Publ. Off. EU, Luxembourg, pp.106.

523 Caudullo, G., de Rigo, D., 2016b. *Juniperus oxycedrus* in Europe: distribution, habitat, usage
524 and threats, in: San-Miguel- Ayanz, J., de Rigo, D., Caudullo, G., Houston Durrant, T., Mauri,
525 A. (Eds.), European Atlas of Forest Tree Species. Publ. Off. EU, Luxembourg, pp.105.

526 Caudullo, G., de Rigo, D., 2016c. *Cupressus sempervirens* in Europe: distribution, habitat,
527 usage and threats, in: San-Miguel- Ayanz, J., de Rigo, D., Caudullo, G., Houston Durrant, T.,
528 Mauri, A. (Eds.), European Atlas of Forest Tree Species. Publ. Off. EU, Luxembourg, pp. 88-
529 89.

530 Caudullo, G., de Rigo, D., 2016d. *Juniperus communis* in Europe: distribution, habitat, usage
531 and threats, in: San-Miguel- Ayanz, J., de Rigo, D., Caudullo, G., Houston Durrant, T., Mauri,
532 A. (Eds.), European Atlas of Forest Tree Species. Publ. Off. EU, Luxembourg, pp. 104.

533 Chalchat, J.C., Garry, R.Ph, Michet, A., 1988. Chemical compositions of the hexane fraction
534 of empyreumatic wood oils from *Juniperus oxycedrus* L. and *Juniperus phoenicea* L.. Flavour
535 Frag. J. 3, 19-22.

536 Charrié-Duhaut, A., Lemoine, S., Adam, P., Connan, J., Albrecht, P., 2000. Abiotic oxidation
537 of bitumen under natural conditions. Org. Geochem. 31, 977-1003.

538 Charrié-Duhaut, A., Connan, J., Rouquette, N., Adam, P., Barbotin, C., De Rozière, M.F.,
539 Tchapla, A., Albrecht, P., 2007. The canopic jars of Ramesses II : real use revealed by molecular
540 study of organic residues. J. Archaeol. Sci. 34, 957-967.

541 Colombini, M.P., Giachi, G., Modugno, F., Ribechini, E., 2005. Characterisation of organic
542 residues in pottery vessels of the Roman age from Antinoe (Egypt). Microchem. J. 79, 83-90.

543 Connan, J., Nissenbaum, A., 2003. Conifer tar on the keel and hull planking of the Ma'agan
544 Mikhael Ship (Israel, 5th century BC): identification and comparison with natural products and
545 artefacts employed in boat construction. J. Archaeol. Sci. 30, 709-719.

546 Connan, J., Charrié-Duhaut, A., 2010. Etude géochimique d'enduits de surface, de tissus de
547 calfatage, de blocs de poix et de bitume des épaves gallo-romaines du Parc Saint-Georges à
548 Lyon. Archaeonautica 16, 147-172.

549 Dev, S., Chetty, G. L., 1964. Ketones from “Mayur Pankhi”: Some new cuparene-based
 550 terpenoids. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 5, 73–74.

551 Dob, T., Dahmane, D., Chelghoum, C., 2008. Chemical composition of the essential oil of
 552 *Juniperus phoenicea* L. from Algeria. *J. Essent. Oil Res.* 20, 15-20.

553 Egenberg, I.M., Isaksson S., Hennius, A., 2002. Organic geochemical evidence for pine tar
 554 production in Middle Eastern Sweden during the Roman Iron Age. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* 33, 283-
 555 294.

556 Enzell, C., Erdtman, H., 1958. The chemistry of the natural order Cupressales – XXI. Cuparene
 557 and cuparenic acid, two sesquiterpenic compounds with a new carbon skeleton. *Tetrahedron* 4,
 558 361-368.

559 Evershed, R.P., Jerman, K., Eglinton, G., 1985. Pine wood origin for pitch from the Mary Rose.
 560 *Nature* 314, 528–530.

561 Faix, O., Meier, D., Fortman, J., 1990. Thermal degradation products of wood - Gas
 562 chromatography separation and mass spectrometry characterization of monomeric lignin
 563 derived products. *Holz Roh Werkst* 48, 281-285.

564 Fleisher, A., Fleisher, Z., 2000. The volatiles of the leaves and wood of Lebanon cedar (*Cedrus*
 565 *libani* A. Rich) aromatic plants of the Holy Land and the Sinai. Part XIV. *J. Essent. Oil Res.*
 566 12, 763-765. Germer, R., 1985. *Flora des pharaonischen Ägypten* (Sonderschrift 14, Deutsches
 567 Archäologisches Institut, Abteilung Kairo), Verlag Philipp von Zabern, Mainz.

568 Gonny, M., Cavaleiro, C., Salgueiro, L., Casanova, J., 2006. Analysis of *Juniperus communis*
 569 subsp. *Alpine* needle, berry, wood and root oils by combination of GC-MS and ¹³C-NMR.
 570 *Flavour Frag. J.* 21, 99-106.

571 Grantham, P.J., Douglas, A.G., 1980. The nature and origin of sesquiterpenoids in some tertiary
572 fossil resins. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 44, 1801-1810.

573 Hajar, L., François, L., Khater, C., Jomaa, I., Déqué, M., Cheddadi, R., 2010. *Cedrus libani* (A.
574 Rich) distribution in Lebanon: Past, present and future. *CR. Biol.* 333, 622-630.

575 Hamm, S., Bleton, J., Tchapla, A., 2004. Headspace solid phase microextraction for screening
576 for the presence of resins in Egyptian archaeological samples. *J. Sep. Sci.* 27, 235-243.

577 Hansel, F. A., Evershed, R. P., 2009. Formation of dihydroxy acids from *Z*-monounsaturated
578 alkenoic acids and their use as biomarkers for the processing of marine commodities in
579 archaeological pottery vessels. *Tetrahedron* 50, 5562-5564.

580 Hennessy, J.B., Millett, A., 1963. Spectrographic analysis of the foreign pottery from the royal
581 tombs of Abydos and early Bronze Age pottery of Palestine. *Archaeometry* 6, 10-17.

582 Herzi, N., Camy, S., Bouajila, J., Romdhane, M., Condoret, J.S., 2013. Extraction of essential
583 oil from *Cupressus sempervirens*: comparison of global yields, chemical composition and
584 antioxidant activity obtained by hydrodistillation and supercritical extraction. *Nat. Prod. Rep.*
585 27, 1795-1799.

586 Hjulström, B., Isaksson, S., Henni, A., 2006. Organic geochemical evidence for pine tar
587 production in Middle Eastern Sweden during the Roman Iron Age. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* 33, 283-
588 294.

589 Huang, A.C., Sefton, M.A., Sumby, C.J., Tiekink, E.R.T., Taylor, D.K., 2015. Mechanistic
590 studies on the autoxidation of α -guaiene: Structural diversity of the sesquiterpenoid
591 downstream products. *J. Nat. Prod.* 78, 131-145.

592 Ishii, Y., Iwahama, T., Sakaguchi, S., Nakayama, K., Nishiyama, Y., 1996. Alkane oxidation
 593 with molecular oxygen using a new efficient catalytic system: *N*-hydroxyphthalimide (NHPI)
 594 combined with $\text{Co}(\text{acac})_n$ ($n=2$ or 3). *J. Org. Chem.* 61, 4520-4526.

595 Jones, J., Higham, T.F.G., Oldfield, R.O., O'Connor, T.P., Buckley, S.A., 2014. Evidence for
 596 prehistoric origins of Egyptian mummification in late Neolithic burials. *Plos One* 9, e103608.

597 Keeling, C.I., Bohlmann, J., 2006. Diterpene resin acids in conifers. *Phytochemistry* 67, 2415-
 598 2423.

599 Koller, J., Baumer, U., Kaup, Y., Weser, U., 2003. Analysis of a pharaonic embalming tar.
 600 *Nature* 425, 784.

601 Koller, J., Baumer, U., Kaup, Y., Weser, U., 2005. Herodotus' and Pliny's embalming materials
 602 identified on ancient Egyptian mummies. *Archaeometry* 47, 609-628.

603 Lercker, G., Casalicchio, G., Conte, L. S., 1977. Study on some constituents of lipid fractions
 604 from soil. Part VIII. Some minor components of the cedar tree (*Cedrus atlantica* Man.) system.
 605 *Agrochimica* 21, 207-18.

606 Levey, M., 1955. Some chemical apparatus of ancien Mesopotamia. *J. Chem. Educ.* 32, 180-
 607 183.

608 Loizzo, M.R., Saab, A.M., Statti, G.A., Menichini, F., 2007. Composition and α -amylase
 609 inhibitory effect of essential oil from *Cedrus libani*. *Fitoterapia* 78, 323-326.

610 Lucas, A., 1931. "Cedar"-tree products employed in mummification. *J. Egypt. Archaeol.* 17,
 611 13-21.

612 Łucejko, J.J., Lluveras-Tenorio, A., Modugno, F., Ribechini, E., Colombini, M.P., 2012. An
 613 analytical approach based on X-ray diffraction Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and gas

614 chromatography/mass spectrometry to characterize Egyptian embalming material. *Microchem.*
615 *J.* 103, 110-118.

616 Matsushita, Y., Sugamoto, K., Iwakiri, Y., Yoshida, S., Chaen, T., Matsui, T., 2010. Aerobic
617 oxidation of 8,11,13-abietatrienes catalyzed by N-hydroxyphthalimide combined with 2,2-
618 azobis(4-methoxy-2,4-dimethylvaleronitrile) and its application to synthesis of naturally
619 occurring diterpenes. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 51, 3931-3934.

620 Mayo, F.R., 1968. Free-radical autoxidation of hydrocarbons. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1, 193-201.

621 Ngo, K.S., Brown, G.D., 2000. Autoxidation of α -santalene. *J. Chem. Res.-S*, 68-70.

622 Otto A., Wilde. V, 2001. Sesqui-, di-, and triterpenoids as chemosystematic markers in extant
623 conifers. *Bot. Rev.* 67, 141-238.

624 Otto, A., Simoneit, B.R.T., White, J.D., 2007. Terpenoids as chemosystematic markers in
625 selected fossil and extant species of pine (*Pinus*, Pinaceae). *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 154, 129-140.

626 Panday, R.C, Dev, S., 1968. Studies in sesquiterpenes XXX- Synthesis of *ar*-himachalene and
627 himachalanes. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 24, 3829-3839.

628 Paoli, M., Nam, A.M., Castola, V., Casanova, J., Bighelli, A., 2011. Chemical variability of
629 wood essential oil of *Cedrus atlantica* Manetti from Corsica. *Chem. Biodivers.* 8, 344-351.

630 Petrie, W.M.F., 1901. The royal tombs of the earliest dynasties, Part II. The Egypt Exploration
631 Fund, London.

632 Pioveti, L., Francisco, C., Pauly, G., Benchabane, O., Bernard-Dagan, C., Diaras, A., 1981.
633 Volatile constituents of *Cupressus dupreziana* and the sesquiterpenes of *Cupressus*
634 *sempervirens*. *Phytochemistry* 20, 1299-1302.

635 Quézel, P., 1998. Diversité et répartition des sapins sur le pourtour méditerranéen. *Forêt*
636 *Méditerranéenne* 19, 93-104.

637 Robbat, A. Jr., Kowalsick, A., Howell, J., 2011. Tracking juniper berry content in oils and
 638 distillates by spectral deconvolution of gas chromatography/mass spectrometry data. J.
 639 Chromatogr. A 1218, 5531– 5541.

640 Saab, A.M., Harb, F.Y., Koenig, W.A., 2005. Essential oil components in heart wood of *Cedrus*
 641 *libani* and *Cedrus atlantica* from Lebanon. Minerva Biotechnol. 17, 159-161.

642 Saab, A.M., Guerrini, A., Sacchetti, G., Maietti, S., Zeino, M., Arend, J., Gambari, R., Bernardi,
 643 F., Effert, T., 2012. Phytochemical analysis and cytotoxicity towards multidrug resistant
 644 leukemia cells of essential oils derived from Lebanese medicinal plants. Planta Med. 78, 1927-
 645 1931.

646 San Feliciano, A., del Corral, J.M.M., Gordaliza, M., Salinero, M.A., 1992. Diterpenoides
 647 neutros y compuestos aromaticos de las hojas de *Juniperus phoenicea* subsp. *turbinata*. An.
 648 Quim. 88, 512-516.

649 San Feliciano, A., Medarde, M., Gordaliza, M., Lucas, M.J., 1995. Structure elucidation of
 650 germacrane alcohols from *Juniperus communis* subsp. *hemisphaerica*. J. Nat. Prod. 58, 1059-
 651 1064.

652 Scholz-Böttcher, B.M., Nissenbaum, A., Rullkötter, J., 2013. An 18th century “Mumia vera
 653 aegyptica” – Fake or authentic? Org. Geochem. 65, 1-18.

654 Seca, A.M.L., Silva, A.M.S., 2005. The chemical composition of *Juniperus* genus (1970-2004),
 655 in : Govil, J.N., Sing, V.K., Bhardwa, R. (Eds.), Recent Progress in Medicinal Plants, Vol. 16:
 656 Phytomedicine. Studium Press, New Dehli, pp. 401-522.

657 Serpico, M., White, R., 1996. A report on the analysis of the contents of a cache of jars from
 658 the tomb of Djer, in: Spencer, J. (Ed.), Aspects of Early Egypt. British Museum Press, London,
 659 pp. 128-129.

660 Serpico, M., White, R., 2000. Resin, amber and bitumen, in: Nicholson, P.T., Shaw, I. (Eds.)
 661 Ancient Egyptian Materials and Technology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp.430-
 662 452.

663 Seher von, A., Schiller, H., Krohn, M., Werner, G., 1980. Untersuchung von "Ölproben" aus
 664 archäologischen Funden. Fette Seifen Anstr. 10, 395-399.

665 Uçar, G., Balaban, M., 2002. The composition of volatile extractives from the wood of
 666 *Juniperus excelsa*, *Juniperus foetidissima* and *Juniperus oxycedrus*. Holz Roh Werkst. 60, 356-
 667 362.

668 Van den Berg, K. J., 2003. Analysis of diterpenoid resins and polymers in paint media and
 669 varnishes with an atlas of mass spectra. MOLART Reports 10. FOM Institute AMOLF,
 670 Amsterdam, 2003.

671 Wisseman, S., 2001. Preserved for the afterlife. Nature 413, 783-784.

672
 673

Figure captions

Fig. 1. Jars (a) E21727, (b) E21809, (c) E28010 (©Musée du Louvre /Geneviève Pierrat-Bonnefois).

Fig. 2. Gas chromatograms of (a) the moderately polar fraction **F1**; (b) the fraction of the volatile compounds (**F1_{volatile}**) isolated from the organic material Ffrom jar E21727. Alcohols are analyzed as acetates and carboxylic acids as methylesters in fraction **F1**. Fraction **F1_{volatile}** was not derivatized. Filled circles: linear carboxylic acids, numerals refer to the carbon number of the molecules.

Fig. 3. Mass spectra (electron impact, 70 eV) of the himachalene-related sesquiterpenoids **12-15** identified in the organic material from jars E21727, E21809 and E28010 (cf. Fig. 2, Fig. S1, Fig. S2) and of the himachalene derivatives **29-30** formed upon autoxidation of *C. atlantica* essential oil (cf. Fig. 6b).

Fig. 4. Possible oxidative pathway leading from terpenic structures to the aromatic (poly)carboxylic acids **22-27** found in jars E21727 and E21809.

Fig. 5. Genetic relationship between dehydrogenated and oxidized sesquiterpenoids found in in jars E21727, E21809 and E28010 and their postulated precursor molecules in the case of (a) longiborneol **1** and longifolene **4** derivatives, (b) himachalene (**16-18**) derivatives, (c) cuparene **5** derivatives.

Fig. 6. Gas chromatograms of (a) *C. atlantica* essential oil, (b) *C. atlantica* essential oil after oxidation with O₂ catalyzed by *N*-hydroxyphthalimide and cobalt(II) acetylacetonate.

Fig. 7. Proposed origins of vanillic acid **28** found in jars E21727, E21809 and E28010.

Appendix

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 7a : R₁=O; R₂=H,H
7b : R₁=H,H; R₂=O

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

Fig. 7

Supplementary Data

Organic substances from Egyptian jars of the Early Dynastic period (3100-2700 B.C.): mode of preparation, alteration processes and botanical (re)assessment of “cedrium”

Mathilde Sarret,^a Pierre Adam,^{a*} Philippe Schaeffer,^a Quentin Ebert,^a Julien
Perthuisson,^a Geneviève Pierrat-Bonnefois^b

^a *Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, Institut de Chimie de Strasbourg UMR 7177, F-67000 Strasbourg, France*

^b *Département des Antiquités Egyptiennes, Musée du Louvre, F-75058 Paris, France*

1. Gas chromatograms of F1 and F1_{volatile} fractions from samples E21809 and 28010

Figure S1 : Gas chromatograms of the fractions **F1** isolated from the organic material found in jars (a) E21809 and (b) E28010 (numbers refer to structure in the Appendix). Filled circles: fatty acids; filled triangles: 2-hydroxy acids. Alcohols were analyzed as acetates and carboxylic acids as methyl esters.

Figure S2 : Gas chromatograms of the fractions $F1_{\text{volatile}}$ from the organic material found in jars (a) E21809 and (b) E28010 (numbers refer to structures in the Appendix).

2. Identification of sesquiterpenoids and aromatic carboxylic acids in samples E21727, E21809 and 28010

2.1 Identification of longiborneol **1**, longicamphor **2** and longicamphenilone **3**

*Preparation of longicamphor **2** and longicamphenilone **3** (after Schnell et al., 2014)*

Figure S3 : Preparation of longicamphor **2** and longicamphenilone **3** by oxidation of longiborneol **1** and longicamphenylol **A**, respectively.

Longiborneol **1** (300 μg) (respectively longicamphenylol **A**, 340 μg) was reacted with pyridinium dichromate (20 mg) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (1 ml) for 2 hours at room temperature. Filtration on a short silica gel column followed by elimination of the solvent under reduced pressure yielded longicamphor **2** (respectively, longicamphenilone **3**) in almost quantitative yield.

Longicamphor **2**: GC-MS (EI, 70eV); m/z (relative intensity) 220 (M^+ , 92%), 177 (91), 137 (45), 136 (44), 124 (52), 121 (72), 107 (64), 95 (100)

Longicamphenilone **3**: GC-MS (EI, 70eV); m/z (relative intensity) 206 (M^+ , 100%), 173 (84), 147 (62), 145 (95), 109 (61), 107 (84), 93 (71), 81 (58)

The identification of compounds **1-3** in samples E21727, E21809 and 28010 was based on the comparison of mass spectra and of retention times in GC of the synthetic compounds with those of the compounds from the archaeological samples.

2.2 Identification of cuparene derivatives 5-8

Cuparene **5** was identified in the archaeological samples by comparison with the mass spectrum and retention time on GC with that of cuparene **5** from the essential oil of Texas cedar (*Juniperus mexicana*) (Sigma-Aldrich). The methyl ester of cuparenic acid **6** was identified based on the comparison with the mass spectrum published by Grantham and Douglas (1980). Compounds **7** and **8** were identified based on the interpretation of their mass spectra as the analogues of compounds **5** and **6** bearing a carbonyl group on the cyclopentane ring. The mass spectra of **7** and **8** are indeed very similar to those of cuparene **5** and cuparenic acid **6** (as methyl ester), respectively, with a molecular ion shifted upwards by 14 Da and even fragments typical for cuparene-related compounds (m/z 132 and m/z 176, respectively, **Fig S4**). In addition, the mass spectrum of **7** is almost superimposable with that of α -cuparenone **7a** from the NIST library. The position of the carbonyl group on the archaeological compounds could, however, not be determined based on the interpretation of mass spectra.

Figure S4: Mass spectra (electron impact, 70 eV) of cuparene-related sesquiterpenoids **5-8** detected in the organic material from jars E21727, E21809 and E28010

2.3 Preparation and identification of himachalene-related sesquiterpenoids

Compounds **12-15**, **29** and **30** were prepared from the essential oil of *Cedrus atlantica* (Laboratoire Sirius), the terpenoid distribution of which being dominated by β -, α - and γ -himachalene **16-18** (Fig. 6a). The experimental protocol used is shown in Fig. S5.

Figure S5: Preparation and isolation of himachalene-related sesquiterpenoids **12-15**, **29** and **30** from *Cedrus atlantica* essential oil. AcCN: acetonitrile; HPLC: high performance liquid chromatography.

2.3.1 Dehydrogenation of essential oil of *Cedrus atlantica* with chloranil (adapted from Panday and Dev, 1968)

Essential oil of *Cedrus atlantica* (502 mg) and chloranil (886 mg) were dissolved in toluene (10 ml). The mixture was heated at 90 °C for 4 h under an atmosphere of N₂ in a tube closed with a screwcap. The solid was filtered off and washed with hexane. The crude organic mixture after filtration was fractionated by column chromatography on a silica gel column using *n*-hexane as eluent. Three fractions were recovered: F1a (124 mg), F2a (277 mg), F3a (24mg). F2a comprised the two unsaturated analogues of *ar*-himachalene **29**, **30** as predominant compounds and small amounts of *ar*-himachalene **12**. Compounds **29**, **30** were isolated for analytical purposes (4 mg, 3 mg, respectively) from 8 mg of F2a fraction by thin layer chromatography silica gel impregnated with silver nitrate using *n*-hexane/CH₂Cl₂ (8/2, v/v) as developer (Fig. S5).

Compound 29. GC-MS (EI, 70 eV); *m/z* (relative intensity) 200 (M⁺, 55%), 185 (69), 157 (100), 143 (43), 128 (13), 115 (12). ¹H-NMR (500 MHz; CD₂Cl₂): 1.315 (6H, s, H-14 and H-15), 1.69 (2H, m), 1.86-1.98 (2H, m), 2.31 (3H, s, H-12), 2.33 (2H, m), 4.92 (1H, d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, H-13), 5.03 (1H, m, H-13), 6.93 (1H, bd, *J* = 7.5 Hz, H-4 or H-5), 7.01 (1H, d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, H-4 or H-5), 7.17 (3H, bs, H-2). ¹³C-NMR (125 MHz; CD₂Cl₂): 21.4, 26.5, 31.4, 31.4, 37.8, 39.1, 41.0, 113.2, 126.7, 126.9, 130.2, 136.7, 141.2, 146.9, 154.5.

Compound 30 GC-MS (EI, 70 eV); m/z (relative intensity) 200 (M^+ , 86%), 185 (100), 170 (60), 159 (80), 157 (85), 143 (45), 128 (30), 115 (18). $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (500 MHz; CD_2Cl_2): 1.300 (6H, s, H-14 and H-15), 1.88-1.96 (4H, m, H-9 and H-10), 2.09 (3H, d, $J = 1.5$ Hz, H-13), 2.33 (3H, s, H-12), 5.86 (1H, ddd, $J = 6.5$ Hz, $J = 6.5$ Hz, $J = 1.5$ Hz, H-8), 7.03 (1H, bd, $J = 7.5$ Hz, H-4 or H-5), 7.18 (1H, d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, H-4 or H-5), 7.20 (3H, bs, H-2). $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (125 MHz; CD_2Cl_2): 21.5, 23.7, 26.6, 31.4, 31.4, 38.3, 48.1, 126.4, 126.6, 126.7, 127.8, 136.2, 137.6, 138.3, 147.8.

2.3.2 Hydrogenation of unsaturated analogues (**29**, **30**) of *ar*-himachalene **12**

An aliquot (10 mg) of fraction F2a (cf. section 2.3.1; **Fig. S5**) was dissolved in EtOAc (10 ml). PtO_2 (ca. 10 mg) was added and the mixture stirred under a H_2 atmosphere. After 2 h, the mixture was put under N_2 atmosphere and the solid catalyst was filtered off and washed with CH_2Cl_2 . *ar*-Himachalene **12** was obtained in almost quantitative yield.

Compound 12. GC-MS (EI, 70 eV); m/z (relative intensity) 202 (M^+ , 50%), 187 (100), 159 (16), 145 (92), 131 (67), 128 (21). $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (500 MHz; CD_2Cl_2): 1.21 (1H, m), 1.312 (3H, s, H-14 or H-15), 1.319 (3H, d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, H-13), 1.41 (3H, s, H-14 or H-15), 1.47 (1H, m), 1.61 (1H, m), 1.72 - 1.84 (3H, m), 2.28 (3H, s, H-12), 3.28 (1H, m, H-7), 6.95 (1H, d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, H-4 or H-5), 7.09 (1H, d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, H-4 or H-5), 7.17 (3H, s, H-2). $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (125 MHz; CD_2Cl_2): 20.8, 20.9, 24.0, 29.5, 33.7, 34.3, 36.6, 39.4, 41.1, 125.3, 126.3, 127.5, 134.9, 141.2, 147.7.

2.3.3 Oxidation of unsaturated analogues of ar-himachalene with pyridinium chlorochromate:

Preparation of **14** and **15**

An aliquot (130 mg) of fraction F2a (cf. section 2.3.1; **Fig. S5**) was reacted with pyridinium chlorochromate (531mg) and 5Å molecular sieves (60 beads) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (15 ml) under reflux. After 4 h, the reaction mixture was filtered on a small silica gel column to remove the reagents. The fraction obtained by elution with EtOAc was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was chromatographed on a silica gel column using CH₂Cl₂/EtOAc, (97/3, v/v) as eluent. Seven fractions (**Fig. S5**) were obtained: F1b (65mg), F2b, (12mg), F3b (11 mg) F4b (6.5 mg), F5b, (11 mg), F6b (<2 mg), F7b (7 mg). GC-MS analysis showed that fraction F4b contained compounds **14** and **15**. Fractionation by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a Waters model 590 HPLC pump (mobile phase: *n*-hexane/EtOAc 96/4, flow rate: 1 ml/min), connected to an Agilent ZORBAX-NH₂ column (25 cm x 2.1 mm i.d., 5 µm) and associated to a differential refractometer detector R401 (Waters Associates) yielded compound **14** (1.8 mg) and **15** (1.1 mg).

Compound 14. GC-MS (EI, 70 eV); *m/z* (relative intensity) 214 (*M*⁺ - H₂O, 3%), 199 (3), 189 (100), 171 (15), 119 (72), 105 (11), 91 (7). GC-MS (CI, isobutane) *m/z* (relative intensity) 233 ([*M*+H]⁺, <1%), 215 ([*M*+H-H₂O]⁺, 100). ¹H-NMR (500 MHz; CD₂Cl₂) : 1.29 (3H, s, H-14 or H-15), 1.37 (3H, s, H-14 or H-15), 1.68 (2H, m, H-10a, H-10b), 2.02 (1H, m, H-9b), 2.04 (3H, s, H-13), 2.24 (1H, m, H-9b), 2.32 (3H, s, H-12), 4.36 (1H, s, OH), 6.68 (1H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, H-4 or H-5), 6.96 (1H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, H-4 or H-5), 7.24 (3H, s, H-2). ¹³C-NMR (125 MHz; CD₂Cl₂): 21.1, 24.5, 30.1, 30.4, 30.9, 33.5, 33.7, 78.8, 127.2, 127.2, 127.7, 131.3, 138.4, 146.2, 211.1.

Compound 15. GC-MS (EI, 70 eV); m/z (relative intensity) 214 (M^+ , 42%), 199 (47), 171 (100), 157 (77), 156 (98), 141 (47), 128 (33), 115 (33). $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (500 MHz; CD_2Cl_2): 1.41 (6H, s, H-14 and H-15), 2.37 (6H, s, H-12 and H-13), 2.66 (2H, s, H-10), 6.24 (1H, s, H-8), 7.13 (1H, d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, H-4 or H-5), 7.27 (1H, s, H-2), 7.49 (1H, d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, H-4 or H-5). $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (125 MHz; CD_2Cl_2): 21.3, 21.3, 27.2, 27.2, 34.3, 56.8, 126.0, 127.0, 129.9, 130.0, 133.4, 139.8, 148.2, 150.2, 200.1.

2.3.4 Oxidation of compound 2 with O_2 and *N*-hydroxyphthalimide (NHPI): Preparation of **13** (adapted from Lin et al., 2012)

Fraction F1b (cf. section 2.3.3, **Fig. S5**) was shown by GC-MS to be mainly constituted by the unsaturated ar-himachalene derivative **29** and minor amounts of compounds **12**, **30**. To an aliquot of this fraction (20 mg), NHPI (4.5 mg), H_2O (2 μl) and AcCN (800 μl) were added and the mixture heated at 80 $^\circ\text{C}$ under stirring in a round-bottomed flask under O_2 atmosphere for 6 hours. Because of the difficulty to handle small volumes of solvent in a round-bottom flask and to regulate the temperature, the reaction mixture was transferred into a glass tube closed with a screwcap and pursued after addition of NHPI (4.5 mg) and AcCN (1 ml) at 80 $^\circ\text{C}$ under O_2 atmosphere. After 20 h, NHPI (4.5 mg) was added and the mixture heated for a further 8 hour time period at 80 $^\circ\text{C}$ under O_2 atmosphere. The AcCN solution was directly extracted with pentane (4 ml, 3 x), the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude extract chromatographed over a silica gel column eluting with pentane/ CH_2Cl_2 (8/2, v/v) and CH_2Cl_2 , successively, yielding 0.9 mg of ketone **13** (CH_2Cl_2 eluted-fraction; Fig. S5).

Compound 13. GC-MS (EI, 70 eV); m/z (relative intensity) 202 (M^+ , 40%), 187 (15), 159 (100), 145 (41), 144 (55), 134 (36), 117 (14), 115 (24), 91 (17), 69 (14). $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (500 MHz; CD_2Cl_2): 1.33 (6H, s, H-14 and H-15), 1.83 (2H, m, H-9 or H-10), 1.90 (2H, m, H-9 or H-10), 2.36 (3H, s, H-12), 2.68 (2H, t, $J = 8.0$ Hz, $J = 8.0$ Hz, H-8), 7.05 (1H, d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, H-4 or H-5), 7.237 (1H, d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, H-4 or H-5), 7.239 (1H, s, H-2). $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (125 MHz; CD_2Cl_2): 21.6, 21.7, 31.9, 31.9, 39.1, 40.7, 43.1, 127.1, 127.5, 128.6, 138.7, 141.2, 147.7, 208.6.

2.4 Identification of aromatic carboxylic acids

Compounds **22-26** were identified in fraction **F1** (cf. Section 2.3 Extraction and fractionation of the samples) from samples E21727, E21809, and E28010 by comparison of the mass spectra and retention of the related methyl ester and acetate derivatives with those of the corresponding commercial references (**Fig. S6**).

Figure S6: Structures of aromatic carboxylic acids **22-26** identified in samples E21727, E21809 and E28010.

Compound **22** (as dimethyl ester): GC-MS (EI, 70eV); m/z (relative intensity) 208 (M^+ , 13%), 178 (11), 177 (100), 149 (8), 147 (11), 105 (3), 91 (12), 89 (5)

Compound **23** (as dimethyl ester and acetate): GC-MS (EI, 70eV); m/z (relative intensity) 252 (M^+ , 1%), 221 (8), 211 (10), 210 (84), 179 (35), 178 (100), 150 (11), 119 (31)

Compound **24** (as trimethyl ester): GC-MS (EI, 70eV); m/z (relative intensity) 252 (M^+ , 7%), 222 (24), 221 (100), 193 (12), 191 (14), 162 (9), 161 (10), 103 (8)

Compound **25** (as methylester): GC-MS (EI, 70eV); m/z (relative intensity) 178 (M^+ , 16%), 163 (100), 147 (18), 135 (27), 119 (9), 103 (10), 91 (6), 76 (6)

Compound **26** (as dimethylester): GC-MS (EI, 70eV); m/z (relative intensity) 194 (M^+ , 26%), 179 (7), 164 (10), 163 (100), 135 (27), 120 (5), 103 (11), 76 (6)

References

Grantham, P.J., Douglas, A.G., 1980. The nature and origin of sesquiterpenoids in some Tertiary fossil resins. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 44, 1801-1810.

Lin, R., Chen, F., Jiao, N., 2012. Metal-free, NHPI catalyzed oxidative cleavage of C-C double bond using molecular oxygen as oxidant. *Org. Lett.* 16, 4158-4161.

Panday, R.C, Dev, S. (1968) Studies in sesquiterpenes XXX- Synthesis of *ar*-himachalene and himachalanes. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 24, 3829-3839.

Schnell, G., Schaeffer, P., Tardivon, H., Motsch, E., Connan, J., Ertlen, D., Schwartz, D., Schneider, N., Adam, P., 2014. Contrasting diagenetic pathways of higher plant triterpenoids in buried wood as a function of tree species. *Org. Geochem.* 66, 107-124.