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Abstract

Social media have become a valuable source of information. However, its power to shape public opinion can be dangerous, especially in the case of misinformation. The existing studies on misinformation detection hypothesise that the initial message is fake. In contrast, we focus on information distortion occurring in cascades as the initial message is quoted or receives a reply. We show a significant topic shift in information cascades on Twitter during the Covid-19 pandemic providing valuable insights for the automatic analysis of information distortion.

1 Introduction

Social media is a valuable resource for all sorts of information. However, its power to shape public opinion can provoke serious societal issues such as misinformation. Words or actions by a Public Figure (PF) generate 69% of misinformation in discussions with ordinary users, while PFs themselves are responsible for 20% of the messages containing distorted information (Brennen et al., 2020). PFs post tweets that are likely to be shared by their followers (Romero et al., 2011), thus generating information cascades. The periodic repetitions provoke the mutability of information diffusion in the political domain (Shin et al., 2018). Recent studies show a similarity of distorted information dissemination during the pandemic to the distribution of political misinformation (Pennycook et al., 2020; Pennycook and Rand, 2018). The repetitions of rumours about conspiracy theories associated with Covid-19 led to the mutability of information; nevertheless, many users ridiculed these theories while repeating the rumors (Ahmed et al., 2020). During the Covid-19 pandemic, users look for medical information in PF feeds and follow personal stories of infected people who share unverified information because complicated medical texts deter lay readers (Ribeiro et al., 2019). Mass medical information sharing generates cascades where the probability to distort initial information increases due to omissions and paraphrases. As medical discourse is sensitive to any changes in terminology and text structure made by incompetent people (Nye et al., 2018), the impact of medical misinformation on social behaviour means that there is a pressing need to understand how it circulates on social media. To reveal crucial issues about Covid-19 that are of importance for lay people we need to understand topic shifts occurring within information cascades about the pandemic. Such understanding allows us to discover a particular lack of medical information and demand for clear explanation of the most important public problems of the current pandemic. In this paper, we present a preliminary study on medical information distortion occurring in cascades on Twitter due to topic shift. Several studies have focused on misinformation during the Covid-19 pandemic (Pennycook et al., 2020; Cuan-Baltazar et al., 2020; Nurbakova et al., 2020; Smith et al., 2020; Kouzy et al., 2020; Krause et al., 2020; Tasnim et al., 2020; Erku et al., 2020), but to the best of our knowledge, they assume that the initial message in a cascade is fake and do not study the mechanism of medical information distortion. We aim to answer two research questions: RQ1: What are PF tweets on healthcare topics that generate information cascades? RQ2: How does a transformation of the initial tweet involve misinformation?

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence. Licence details: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/
2 Materials and Methods for Detection of Topic Shift and Information Distortion

We collected 10M tweets in English about the controversy surrounding Covid-19 medical treatment published between 30/03/20-13/07/20 by querying [Twitter API] with the keywords, such as [chloroquine, hydroxychloroquine, HCQ, Hydroxychloroquinum, azithromycin, Raoult, remdesivir, tocilizumab] [Noel et al., 2020]. The data contained 141,866 original tweets, the rest are retweets. As we focused on the analysis of information cascades (2 * 10^4), we only considered a subset of the dataset. First, we determined the initial tweets of the cascades among the union of 10^3 the most retweeted and 10^3 the most quoted tweets (1,356 unique tweet IDs). Then we added cascades hops, i.e. tweets with fields quoted_status_id or in_reply_to_status_id containing initial tweet IDs. The maximal cascade depth with the initial tweet in the resulting dataset is 10 (see examples in Fig.1). For further analysis, we considered the field text.

We analysed topic shift within information cascades by comparing (1) two neighbouring hops within a cascade Δ^(i−1) (Fig.2(a)) and (2) each hop within a cascade with the initial tweet Δ^(0) (Fig.2(b)). To analyse topic shift, we encode tweets with the state-of-the-art sentence embedding model USE (Universal Sentence Encoder) (Cer et al., 2018). Then, we computed the cosine similarity between USE embeddings (Singhal, 2001) and transformed it into distance by subtracting the obtained values from 1.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

![Figure 2](image2.png)

Figure 1: Examples of cascades and information distortion within them

To identify information distortion types in cascades, we manually performed semantic analysis of tweet content. We examined key term distribution in cascades, explored their context in tweets and verified logical relations among medical terminology (see Table 1). The context analysis helped to recognise term substitutions and the substitution analysis to detect information distortion w.r.t. the initial tweet.

Our analysis also leans on topic modelling. We used Latent Dirichlet Allocation, LDA (Hoffman et al., 2010) from the scikit-learn tool. As tweets are short, we considered only the first topic. (Abd-Alrazaq et al., 2020) distinguish four main discussion themes on Twitter during the current pandemic: origin of the virus; its sources; its impact on people, countries, and the economy; and ways of mitigating the risk of infection. This set lacks medical disease description and ways to treat Covid-19 (symptoms, diagnosis, drugs, etc). Thus, we were also interested in references to other disease related terms (DRT) within cascades, as they can indicate distortion. To examine them, we extracted a list of hyponyms of the word disease from WordNet corpus accessed via NLTK library, to which we added terms like plague.

*The query was updated throughout the collection period based on new information about Covid-19 and its possible treatment.

*Some tweets attained 422K retweets, e.g. [https://bit.ly/32TPeSt] or [https://bit.ly/3gYDcfE]
Table 1: Disease related terms (DRTs) in cascades and their prevailing context

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visualisation of mentions of DRTs</th>
<th>Context and terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hcq = {hydroxychloroquine, hc Azithromycin, chloroquine, zpack, antimalarial, zinc sulfate, zithromax}</td>
<td>symptom = {symptom, congestion, blood, cough, aches, lungs, fever, antibody, headache, mucus, signs, asymptomatic, respiratory, shortness, of, breath, symptom, free, back, pain, diarrhea, nausea}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>treatment = {treatment, cure, curing, treat, pill, medicament, remedy, therapy, drug, acetaminophen, prescribe, prescription, breathlessness, medications, diagnosis, recovery}</td>
<td>prevention = {vaccin, mask, hand.wash, distance, prevention, detection, test, cover, mouth, self, isol, prophylaxis, immunity, stay.home, staying, home, prophylactic, serum, test, preventative}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>study = {study, control, group, randomised, research, treatment, group, trial, expert, scientific, evidence, success, rate, science, protocol, effective, rate, placebo}</td>
<td>complication = {ventilator, complication, transfusion, coma, hospitalization, death, severe, case, critically, condition, severe, urgent, care, center, emergency, icu, intensive, care, unit}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>epidemic = {epidemic, pandemic, plague, zika, ebola, lockdown, locked, down, outbreak, swine, flu}</td>
<td>side effect = {side, effect, heart, disease, cardiac, problem, hallucination, psychiatric, symptom, vision, loss, vomiting, loss, of, appetite, dizziness, slow, heartbeat, heart, failure, swelling, ankles}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>risk group = {elderly, diabetes, obesity, asthma, comorbidity, 60, plus, 60, year}</td>
<td>synonyms = {corona, wuhan, virus, wuhan, disease, sars, cov, 2, covid, 19, covid, 19, coronavirus, chinese, flu, china, flu, c19, sars, cov, sars, chinese, plague, coronahax, wuhan, flu}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*radius is proportional to # of mentions of a term

swine.flu, bird.flu, hiv, malaria, cough, wuhanflu, sars, cardiac.disease, china, flu, covid, coronavirus, cancer, obesity, diabete. We checked the appearance of these terms in the texts. In addition, we investigated the context in which these DRTs were mentioned such as: hydroxychloroquine (HCQ), symptom, treatment, prevention, propagation, study, complication, epidemic, side effect, risk group, synonyms, plague reference, other issues. Each context is defined by a set of terms (see Table 1). We then looked at the co-occurrence of DRTs and context terms in a tweet in order to predict the DRT context. This allowed us to gain a better understanding of topic shift related to references of other diseases.

3 Results

We identified the top-10 words characterising the first topic of each hop using LDA. We represented each hop as a binary vector built over the words of all hops. For visualisation, we applied Principle Component Analysis (PCA) (Tipping and Bishop, 1999) with two variables (see Fig. 3). Note that the first three hops are rather distant from the initial tweet, while the fourth hop is quite close to the initial tweet (its role is not clear yet). Based on our analysis, 3,939 out of 21,585 unique tweets of cascades contain DRTs. Table 1 summarises the frequency of the terms and the contexts in which they were primarily used. HCQ is the most used context. It brings up DRTs such as lupus, rheumatoid arthritis, malaria, heart attack, respiratory disease, etc. Chloroquine often substituted its derivative HCQ, as in the E. Musk’s cascade about the research of French microbiologist D. Raoult. The terms corona and sars are often used to refer to Covid-19. As for the treatment context, the most typical DRTs are cancer, aids, influenza. Note that a given term is often used in multiple contexts but here, we report the dominant one. Thus, HCQ was discussed in the cascades regardless of their initial tweet and the PF who initiated them.

3We intentionally excluded the term ‘covid’ from the plot, as it is the main topic of the cascades (mentioned in 2,200 texts).
Information cascades are rarely evoked by healthcare professionals (HS) (identified by their profile information) since they are less active on Twitter than politicians. HS quotes are often misinterpreted and their research results are misrepresented. Though most of the cascades in which HS took part were initiated by journalists, HS tweets were able to terminate an information cascade by providing relevant information and ending discussion. Cascade terminators often come from the professional community (Ziegelmeyer et al., 2010). In Fig. 2, distances between initial tweets and the last hops of cascades show essential semantic differences revealing topic shifts. Hops in ‘heads’ of deep cascades are closer to their initial tweets than those in ‘tails’. As distances between neighbouring hops show more similarity to each other than to their initial tweet (Fig. 2a), hops accumulate information mutability. The last hop is able to exhaust the cascade new topic.

Medical information is distorted via erroneous logical conclusions and mental operations of oversimplification, overgeneralisation, exaggeration, substitution, omission of facts, insertion of erroneous conclusions, misuse of medical concepts and distortion of their connections. The instances of the distortions occur in comments on the initial tweet and hops of cascades generated by the PF tweets. In fragments of cascades generated by comments on PF tweets in Fig. 1, distortion and misinformation appear due to oversimplification and distortion of logical links. Omission of facts is connected to oversimplification: HCQ efficacy in the Covid-19 treatment depends on patient anamnesis. Misinformation appears when a user did not provide a link to results of a French study he referred to while reacting on a red pill. The red pill meme reveals an unpleasant truth and is derived from a scene in the film *The Matrix*; an insertion of an erroneous conclusion occurred in comments where red pill is associated with HCQ.

Ordinary users exaggerate consequences of government decisions. They politicise and criminalise these actions shifting the topic to political and business disputes (RT @TribeforFreedom: Cuomo is dedicated to a vaccine (Bill Gates) So he does not allow the use of hydroxychloroquine). Overgeneralisation often appears in references to a personal experience when a single fact was considered as a trend.

4 Conclusions

A topic shift is like the broken telephone effect (Boyd et al., 2010) when the message is altered during transmission, a typical cascade feature (Ribeiro et al., 2019). Thus, we showed that through this effect, PFs influence misinformation distribution on Twitter regardless of the quality of the information in their initial tweet. Users consider HSs as sources of ‘raw information’, which needs PF evaluation and approval. An interesting finding is that medical experts were able to stop the development of cascades by providing their factual and knowledgeable opinion. Intellectuals have the most influence on ordinary users’ evaluation of the drugs efficacy research that is similar to the results of the cascades study in (Cha et al., 2010). We see the effect in the cascade evoked by comments on Musk’s tweet. In the contexts of DRTs, we discovered the instances of medical information distortion. In contrast to previous works mainly focused on the initial spreading of fake news (Ahmed et al., 2020; Brennen et al., 2020), here we clarified the mechanism of the medical information distortion during the Covid-19 pandemic by analysing topic shifts within cascades. Usually, the medical topic is shifted to political and business disputes. We showed that cascade hops accumulate mutability of information. We found that after a noticeable topic shift occurring in the first 3 hops, there is a return to the original topic. Through context analysis, we improved the list of topics of (Abd-Alrazaq et al., 2020) adding those that are sensitive to medical information distortion. Our analysis provides valuable insights for the automatic detection and classification of medical information distortion.

4 Example: @eugenegu Hydroxychloroquine has known side effects including prolonging the heart QT interval (time between the Q wave and the T wave on an EKG), which is the time it takes for the ventricles to contract and relax. QT prolongation can cause Torsades de Pointes, a deadly heart rhythm

5 Example: HYDROXYCHLOROQUINE cured my cousin and his wife, after 10 days of insurmountable suffering, in a matter of 24 hours...and he had existing heart issues. Did great
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