WHERE WE STAND ON B -PHYSICS DISCREPANCIES

Diego Guadagnoli ^a

LAPTh, CNRS & Université de Savoie Mont-Blanc, 74941 Annecy, France

Abstract. We discuss the theory interpretation of the discrepancies in semi-leptonic B decays as of Moriond 2019. By critically including loop-induced effects that have not been discussed before in the context of global fits, we show that a fully coherent picture emerges all the way from the weak-effective-theory to the SMEFT to the simplified-model level.

Introduction – Recent years witnessed the build-up of several deviations from Standard-Model (SM) expectations in semi-leptonic B decays. These deviations fall in four groups of datasets, each of which characterized by different measurements, and different theory and experimental challenges: (a) $b \rightarrow s\mu\mu$ differential branching-ratio data lower than the corresponding SM predictions [1,2]. Here the main challenge is the control over B to light meson hadronic form factors [3–5]; (b) Deviations with respect to SM predictions in $B \rightarrow K^*\mu^+\mu^-$ angular observables in certain kinematic regions for the di-lepton q^2 [6–9]. Although form factor uncertainties are under better control than for branching ratios, hadronic uncertainties are nevertheless significant [10,11] (see however [12]); (c) Deviations from lepton universality in $b \rightarrow s\ell\ell$ transitions in the processes $B \rightarrow K\ell\ell$ and $B \rightarrow K^*\ell\ell$ (via the μ/e ratios R_K [13] and R_{K^*} [14]). Here the challenge is mostly statistics, due in particular to the ee channel, that requires harder p_T thresholds and has larger bremsstrahlung systematics; (d) Deviations from τ - μ and τ - e universality in $b \rightarrow c\ell\nu$ transitions [15–21]. Here uncertainties, besides statistics, include a non-negligible experimental systematics, whereas theory uncertainties are small [22–25].

It is quite impressive that items (a) to (c) can be *simultaneously* explained with one and the same shift to two four-fermion semi-leptonic operators and as a result, the picture be substantially improved with respect to the SM. It is likewise quite enticing that *all* items (a) to (d) can be explained, not only qualitatively but even quantitatively, all the way from the level of the Weak Effective Theory (WET), to the so-called SM Effective Field Theory (SMEFT), to the level of simplified models, as shown in Ref. [26].

Ref. [26]’s conclusions rely on a number of data updates, among the others: (1) The new measurement of R_K by the LHCb collaboration combining Run-1 data with 2 fb⁻¹ of Run-2 data (corresponding to about one third of the full Run-2 data set) [27]; (2) The new, preliminary measurement of R_{K^*} by Belle [28]; (3) One further, important piece of information included in our study is the 2018 measurement of $B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu$ by the ATLAS collaboration [29], that we combine with the existing measurements by CMS and LHCb [30–32].

^aE-mail: diego.guadagnoli@lapth.cnrs.fr

Weak Effective Theory – The first step is to address the question whether these datasets can be described within the most general effective theory constructed at the electroweak scale. As well known, this is not only possible, but even rather simple to accomplish. Data obey a pattern, which quite clearly suggests that new effects may involve two dominant structures: a left-handed $\bar{b}s$ current times a vector ($\mathcal{O}_9$) or an axial-vector muon current ($\mathcal{O}_{10}$). The best performing new-physics scenarios to explain the data involve precisely these two operators, and in particular either $\mathcal{O}_9$ alone, or the combination $\mathcal{O}_9 - \mathcal{O}_{10}$, yielding a $(V - A) \times (V - A)$ structure, well-suited to UV interpretations.

Figure 1: First three panels: Neutral-current lepton flavour universality (NCLFU) observables (blue), $b \rightarrow s\mu\mu$ and correlated observables (yellow), $R_{D^{(*)}}$ (green) and global fit (red). Dashed contours exclude the Moriond-2019 results. Last panel: plane of tauonic couplings within the U_1 simplified model.

At the quantitative level, one may first try with a single d.o.f. left floating, and fit it to data. In this case, two scenarios stand out, either $C_9^{(\mu)}$ alone

or $C_9^{(\mu)} = -C_{10}^{(\mu)}$. This was the case also before Moriond 2019. However, at least within the approach of [26], the second scenario has an appreciably better performance with respect to the former. This is due to a concurrence of causes, notably the fact that $\mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu)$ prefers more and more a non-zero shift to $C_{10}^{(\mu)}$, as shown in the $C_9^{(\mu)}$ vs. $C_{10}^{(\mu)}$ plane in Fig. 1. We see that $R_{K^{(*)}}$ and $b \rightarrow s\mu\mu$ data perfectly overlapped before the Moriond-2019 update. Thereafter, these two regions are in much lesser agreement, especially in the C_9 direction. There is likewise a slight tension between R_K and R_{K^*} , which may be addressed with right-handed quark currents. Thus one would be tempted to advocate e.g. $O_9^{(\mu)'}.$ However, such operator would not accommodate $B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu$.

One important point is that, as mentioned, in the $C_9^{(\mu)}$ vs. $C_{10}^{(\mu)}$ plane there is a degree of tension between $R_{K^{(*)}}$ and $b \rightarrow s\mu\mu$ data, and a lepton-universal shift to C_9 would shift $b \rightarrow s\mu\mu$ but not ratio data.

It is thus interesting to consider the case of $\Delta C_9^{(\mu)} = -C_{10}^{(\mu)}$ vs. $C_9^{\text{univ.}}$, displayed on the right panel of Fig. 1. Before Moriond 2019, the R_K band was lower, and overlapped with $b \rightarrow s\mu\mu$ in a region with zero $C_9^{\text{univ.}}$. After Moriond, accord between the two datasets prefers a non-zero $C_9^{\text{univ.}}$. As we will see, there are good UV reasons for such occurrence.

SMEFT – We next discuss the performance of an EFT description at a scale of a few TeV, and without new d.o.f. beyond those in the SM. Effects beneath such new scale are described by the SMEFT. In our case, contributions to the two directions identified before, namely $\Delta C_9^{(\mu)} = -C_{10}^{(\mu)}$ and $C_9^{\text{univ.}}$, can come from: (i) these very semi-leptonic operators, constructed out of left-handed SM multiplets, L and Q , and either singlets or triplets under $SU(2)_L$, i.e. $\bar{L}^{(3)}\gamma^\alpha L^{(3)}\bar{Q}^{(2)}\gamma_\alpha Q^{(3)}$ and $\bar{L}^{(3)}\gamma^\alpha \tau^a L^{(3)}\bar{Q}^{(2)}\gamma_\alpha \tau^a Q^{(3)}$; (ii) $C_9^{\text{univ.}}$ can be generated by 4-fermion operators where one of the two bilinears is closed in a loop to which a virtual gauge boson emitting a lepton pair is attached [33,34]. Hence the amplitude is lepton-universal by gauge universality.

Interestingly, if the closed loop involves two τ 's, the corresponding 4-fermion operator can also explain $R_{D^{(*)}}$ [34]. We find that the induced $C_9^{\text{univ.}}$ contribution has the correct size *and* sign to quantitatively accommodate $b \rightarrow s\mu\mu$. Then the very same operators, but with muonic indices, can explain $R_{K^{(*)}}$. The only caveat is that singlet and triplet couplings in the semi-tauonic case must be approximately equal in size in order to avoid $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}\nu\bar{\nu}$ constraints [35].

This scenario can be visualized in the plane of tauonic vs. muonic singlet-equal-to-triplet couplings. Again, before Moriond 2019, R_K (blue) and $b \rightarrow s\mu\mu$ (yellow) were in perfect agreement in a region that however did not overlap with the $R_{D^{(*)}}$ region (green). After Moriond 2019, the blue, yellow and green regions all overlap.

Simplified models – The previous EFT picture finds a UV interpretation

within the U_1 vector-leptoquark model, with $U_1 \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{2/3}$ under the SM gauge group [36–45]. This is the only single mediator that can yield non-zero values for $[C_{lq}^{(1)}]_{3323} = [C_{lq}^{(3)}]_{3323}$ and $[C_{lq}^{(1)}]_{2223} = [C_{lq}^{(3)}]_{2223}$.

One can then define the simplified-model coupling $\mathcal{L}_{U_1} \supset g_{lq}^{ji} (\bar{q}^i \gamma^\mu l^j) U_\mu + \text{h.c.}$. A shift to $R_{K(*)}$ will depend on $g_{lq}^{22,23}$, whereas a shift to $R_{D(*)}$ depends on $g_{lq}^{33,32}$. Tauonic couplings are known to be constrained by $\tau \rightarrow \ell \nu \nu$, hence it is far from obvious that the whole picture can work quantitatively. The plane of tauonic couplings is displayed in Fig. 1 (last panel) and it shows that $R_{D(*)}$ can indeed be comfortably accommodated in compliance with all constraints. We note that radiative decays provide a further constraint, which is however very model-dependent, because additional fermions would also contribute to dipole structures and shift $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ along the diagonal [46]. Choosing a benchmark point in the tauonic-couplings plane, e.g. $(g_{lq}^{32}, g_{lq}^{33}) = (0.6, 0.7)$ also displayed in the figure, one can see that all constraints are fulfilled in the plane $g_{lq}^{22,23}$ of the muonic couplings [26]. In particular, the above benchmark point performs way better than the case of null tauonic couplings. Finally, this model also allows to address the question whether such tauonic couplings may be constrained by direct searches, discussed in [47–52]. We find that the indirect constraint from leptonic τ decays [53, 54] is stronger than the direct constraints in nearly all of the parameter space.

Acknowledgments

I warmly thank A.I. Studenikin for the kind invitation to this prestigious venue.

- [1] R. Aaij et al. Differential branching fractions and isospin asymmetries of $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays. *JHEP*, 06:133, 2014.
- [2] Roel Aaij et al. Angular analysis and differential branching fraction of the decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$. *JHEP*, 09:179, 2015.
- [3] Aoife Bharucha, David M. Straub, and Roman Zwicky. $B \rightarrow V \ell^+ \ell^-$ in the Standard Model from light-cone sum rules. *JHEP*, 08:098, 2016.
- [4] R. R. Horgan, Z. Liu, S. Meinel, and M. Wingate. Rare B decays using lattice QCD form factors. *PoS, LATTICE2014*:372, 2015.
- [5] Nico Gubernari, Ahmet Kokulu, and Danny van Dyk. $B \rightarrow P$ and $B \rightarrow V$ Form Factors from B -Meson Light-Cone Sum Rules beyond Leading Twist. *JHEP*, 01:150, 2019.
- [6] Roel Aaij et al. Angular analysis of the $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay using 3 fb^{-1} of integrated luminosity. *JHEP*, 02:104, 2016.
- [7] Angular analysis of $B_d^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector. Technical Report ATLAS-CONF-2017-023, CERN, Geneva, Apr 2017.
- [8] Measurement of the P_1 and P'_5 angular parameters of the decay $B^0 \rightarrow$

- $K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$ in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. Technical Report CMS-PAS-BPH-15-008, CERN, Geneva, 2017.
- [9] Vardan Khachatryan et al. Angular analysis of the decay $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$ from pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. *Phys. Lett.*, B753:424–448, 2016.
 - [10] A. Khodjamirian, Th. Mannel, A. A. Pivovarov, and Y. M. Wang. Charm-loop effect in $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}\ell^+\ell^-$ and $B \rightarrow K^*\gamma$. *JHEP*, 09:089, 2010.
 - [11] Christoph Bobeth, Marcin Chrzaszcz, Danny van Dyk, and Javier Virto. Long-distance effects in $B \rightarrow K^*\ell\ell$ from analyticity. *Eur. Phys. J.*, C78(6):451, 2018.
 - [12] N. Gubernari, talk at the workshop “Rare semileptonic B Decays: Theory and Experiment”, IPNL Lyon, France, 4-Sep-2019.
 - [13] Roel Aaij et al. Test of lepton universality using $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\ell^+\ell^-$ decays. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 113:151601, 2014.
 - [14] R. Aaij et al. Test of lepton universality with $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\ell^+\ell^-$ decays. *JHEP*, 08:055, 2017.
 - [15] J. P. Lees et al. Evidence for an excess of $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau$ decays. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 109:101802, 2012.
 - [16] J. P. Lees et al. Measurement of an Excess of $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau$ Decays and Implications for Charged Higgs Bosons. *Phys. Rev.*, D88(7):072012, 2013.
 - [17] M. Huschle et al. Measurement of the branching ratio of $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau$ relative to $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$ decays with hadronic tagging at Belle. *Phys. Rev.*, D92(7):072014, 2015.
 - [18] Y. Sato et al. Measurement of the branching ratio of $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau$ relative to $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$ decays with a semileptonic tagging method. *Phys. Rev.*, D94(7):072007, 2016.
 - [19] S. Hirose et al. Measurement of the τ lepton polarization and $R(D^*)$ in the decay $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^*\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 118(21):211801, 2017.
 - [20] Roel Aaij et al. Measurement of the ratio of branching fractions $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau)/\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu)$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 115(11):111803, 2015. [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 115,no.15,159901(2015)].
 - [21] R. Aaij et al. Measurement of the ratio of the $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-}\tau^+\nu_\tau$ and $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-}\mu^+\nu_\mu$ branching fractions using three-prong τ -lepton decays. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 120(17):171802, 2018.
 - [22] Jon A. Bailey et al. $B \rightarrow D\ell\nu$ form factors at nonzero recoil and $|V_{cb}|$ from 2+1-flavor lattice QCD. *Phys. Rev.*, D92(3):034506, 2015.
 - [23] Heechang Na, Chris M. Bouchard, G. Peter Lepage, Chris Monahan, and Junko Shigemitsu. $B \rightarrow D\ell\nu$ form factors at nonzero recoil and extraction of $|V_{cb}|$. *Phys. Rev.*, D92(5):054510, 2015. [Erratum: *Phys. Rev.* D93,no.11,119906(2016)].

- [24] Florian U. Bernlochner, Zoltan Ligeti, Michele Papucci, and Dean J. Robinson. Combined analysis of semileptonic B decays to D and D^* : $R(D^{(*)})$, $|V_{cb}|$, and new physics. *Phys. Rev.*, D95(11):115008, 2017. [erratum: *Phys. Rev.* D97,no.5,059902(2018)].
- [25] Dante Bigi, Paolo Gambino, and Stefan Schacht. $R(D^*)$, $|V_{cb}|$, and the Heavy Quark Symmetry relations between form factors. *JHEP*, 11:061, 2017.
- [26] Jason Aebischer, Wolfgang Altmannshofer, Diego Guadagnoli, Meril Reboud, Peter Stangl, and David M. Straub. B-decay discrepancies after Moriond 2019. 2019.
- [27] Roel Aaij et al. Search for lepton-universality violation in $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 122(19):191801, 2019.
- [28] A. Abdesselam et al. Test of lepton flavor universality in $B \rightarrow K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays at Belle. 2019.
- [29] Morad Aaboud et al. Study of the rare decays of B_s^0 and B^0 mesons into muon pairs using data collected during 2015 and 2016 with the ATLAS detector. *Submitted to: JHEP*, 2018.
- [30] Serguei Chatrchyan et al. Measurement of the $B(s)$ to $\mu^+ \mu^-$ branching fraction and search for B^0 to $\mu^+ \mu^-$ with the CMS Experiment. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 111:101804, 2013.
- [31] Vardan Khachatryan et al. Observation of the rare $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay from the combined analysis of CMS and LHCb data. *Nature*, 522:68–72, 2015.
- [32] Roel Aaij et al. Measurement of the $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ branching fraction and effective lifetime and search for $B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 118(19):191801, 2017.
- [33] Christoph Bobeth and Ulrich Haisch. New Physics in Γ_{12}^s : $(\bar{s}b)(\bar{\tau}\tau)$ Operators. *Acta Phys. Polon.*, B44:127–176, 2013.
- [34] Andreas Crivellin, Christoph Greub, Dario Müller, and Francesco Saturnino. Importance of Loop Effects in Explaining the Accumulated Evidence for New Physics in B Decays with a Vector Leptoquark. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 122(1):011805, 2019.
- [35] Andrzej J. Buras, Jennifer Girrbach-Noe, Christoph Niehoff, and David M. Straub. $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \nu \bar{\nu}$ decays in the Standard Model and beyond. *JHEP*, 02:184, 2015.
- [36] Rodrigo Alonso, Benjamin Grinstein, and Jorge Martin Camalich. Lepton universality violation and lepton flavor conservation in B -meson decays. *JHEP*, 10:184, 2015.
- [37] Lorenzo Calibbi, Andreas Crivellin, and Toshihiko Ota. Effective Field Theory Approach to $b \rightarrow s \ell \ell^{(\prime)}$, $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \tau \nu$ with Third Generation Couplings. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 115:181801, 2015.
- [38] Luca Di Luzio, Admir Greljo, and Marco Nardecchia. Gauge leptoquark

- as the origin of B-physics anomalies. *Phys. Rev.*, D96(11):115011, 2017.
- [39] Nima Assad, Bartosz Fornal, and Benjamin Grinstein. Baryon Number and Lepton Universality Violation in Leptoquark and Diquark Models. *Phys. Lett.*, B777:324–331, 2018.
 - [40] Lorenzo Calibbi, Andreas Crivellin, and Tianjun Li. Model of vector leptoquarks in view of the B -physics anomalies. *Phys. Rev.*, D98(11):115002, 2018.
 - [41] Marzia Bordone, Claudia Cornella, Javier Fuentes-Martin, and Gino Isidori. A three-site gauge model for flavor hierarchies and flavor anomalies. *Phys. Lett.*, B779:317–323, 2018.
 - [42] Riccardo Barbieri and Andrea Tesi. B -decay anomalies in Pati-Salam $SU(4)$. *Eur. Phys. J.*, C78(3):193, 2018.
 - [43] Admir Greljo and Ben A. Stefanek. Third family quarklepton unification at the TeV scale. *Phys. Lett.*, B782:131–138, 2018.
 - [44] Monika Blanke and Andreas Crivellin. B Meson Anomalies in a Pati-Salam Model within the Randall-Sundrum Background. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 121(1):011801, 2018.
 - [45] Bartosz Fornal, Sri Aditya Gadam, and Benjamin Grinstein. Left-Right $SU(4)$ Vector Leptoquark Model for Flavor Anomalies. *Phys. Rev.*, D99(5):055025, 2019.
 - [46] Claudia Cornella, Javier Fuentes-Martin, and Gino Isidori. Revisiting the vector leptoquark explanation of the B-physics anomalies. 2019.
 - [47] Darius A. Faroughy, Admir Greljo, and Jernej F. Kamenik. Confronting lepton flavor universality violation in B decays with high- p_T tau lepton searches at LHC. *Phys. Lett.*, B764:126–134, 2017.
 - [48] Admir Greljo and David Marzocca. High- p_T dilepton tails and flavor physics. *Eur. Phys. J.*, C77(8):548, 2017.
 - [49] Bastian Diaz, Martin Schmaltz, and Yi-Ming Zhong. The leptoquark Hunters guide: Pair production. *JHEP*, 10:097, 2017.
 - [50] A. Angelescu, Damir Becirevic, D. A. Faroughy, and O. Sumensari. Closing the window on single leptoquark solutions to the B -physics anomalies. *JHEP*, 10:183, 2018.
 - [51] Admir Greljo, Jorge Martin Camalich, and Jos David Ruiz-Ivarez. The Mono-Tau Menace: From B Decays to High- p_T Tails. 2018.
 - [52] Michael J. Baker, Javier Fuentes-Martin, Gino Isidori, and Matthias Knig. High-pT Signatures in Vector-Leptoquark Models. 2019.
 - [53] Ferruccio Feruglio, Paride Paradisi, and Andrea Pattori. Revisiting Lepton Flavor Universality in B Decays. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 118(1):011801, 2017.
 - [54] Ferruccio Feruglio, Paride Paradisi, and Andrea Pattori. On the Importance of Electroweak Corrections for B Anomalies. *JHEP*, 09:061, 2017.