Will Sulfide Electrolytes be Suitable Candidates for Constructing a Stable Solid/Liquid Electrolyte Interface?

Bo Fan, Yanghai Xu, Rui Ma, Zhongkuan Luo, Fang Wang, Xianghua Zhang, Hongli Ma, Ping Fan, Bai Xue, Weiqiang Han

► To cite this version:

Bo Fan, Yanghai Xu, Rui Ma, Zhongkuan Luo, Fang Wang, et al.. Will Sulfide Electrolytes be Suitable Candidates for Constructing a Stable Solid/Liquid Electrolyte Interface?. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2020, 12 (47), pp.52845-52856. 10.1021/acsami.0c16899 . hal-03037741

HAL Id: hal-03037741

<https://hal.science/hal-03037741>

Submitted on 18 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Will Sulfide Electrolytes be Suitable Candidates for Constructing Stable Solid/liquid Electrolyte Interface?

Bo Fan^{a&}, Yanghai Xu^{a&}, Rui Ma^b, Zhongkuan Luo^b, Fang Wang^b, Xianghua Zhang^c, Hongli Ma^c, Ping Fan^a, Bai Xue^{a*} and Weiqiang Han^{d*}

^a Shenzhen Key Laboratory of Advanced Thin Films and Applications, College of Physics and Optoelectronic Engineering, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, 518060, China

^b College of Chemistry and Environmental Engineering, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, 518060, China

^c Univ Rennes, CNRS, ISCR (Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes) – UMR 6226, Rennes 35042, France

^d School of Materials Science and Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310007, China

& These authors contributed equally to this work as co-first authors.

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: baixue@szu.edu.cn, hanwq@zju.edu.cn

Keywords: hybrid electrolytes, interfacial stability, liquid phase synthesis, lithium thiophosphates, lithium-sulfur batteries

ABSTRACT

Conversion-type batteries with electrode materials partially dissolved in liquid electrolyte exhibit high specific capacity and excellent redox kinetics, but currently poor stability due to the shuttle effect. Using solid-electrolyte separator to block the mass exchange between cathode and anode can eliminate the shuttle effect. A stable interface between the solid-electrolyte separator and the liquid electrolyte is essential for the battery performance. Here we demonstrate that a stable interface with low interfacial resistance and limited side reactions can be formed between the sulfide solid-electrolyte β -Li₃PS₄ and the widely used ether-based liquid electrolytes, under both reduction and oxidation conditions, due to the rapid formation of an effective protective layer of ether-solvated Li₃PS₄ at the sulfide/liquid electrolyte interface. This discovery has inspired the design of a β -Li₃PS₄ coated-solid electrolyte Li₇P₃S₁₁ separator with

simultaneously high ion conduction ability and good interfacial stability with liquid electrolyte, so that hybrid Li-S batteries with this composite separator conserve high discharge capacity of 1047 mA h g⁻¹ and high 2nd discharge plateau of 2.06 V after 150 cycles.

INTRODUCTION

The development of new energy source technologies such as electric vehicles, solar and wind power stations, requires high-performance rechargeable batteries with high energy density and excellent cycling performance.¹ Intercalation battery is one of the most intensively studied rechargeable batteries. The most successful intercalation battery, the lithium-ion battery, which currently dominates the rechargeable battery market and shows great potential to realize all-solid-state form,²⁻³ is however limited by the unsatisfactory theoretical energy density. Developing novel solid electrode materials with simultaneously high energy density and excellent ion mobility is proven an arduous task. For example, the Chevrel phase cathodes for Mg batteries like Mg_xMo₃S₄ show excellent Mg²⁺ mobility, but their theoretical energy density is low.⁴⁻⁵ Sulfur cathode in all-solid-state lithium-sulfur batteries has excellent specific capacity of 1672 mA h g⁻¹, but its lithium diffusion coefficient is extremely low, leading to the large overpotential of the batteries.⁶⁻⁷ Conversion battery is another widely studied type of rechargeable batteries. With delicate and appropriate conception, the electrode materials of conversion-type batteries can be partially dissolved in the liquid electrolyte so that the redox kinetics is dramatically improved. With this strategy, conversion

batteries such as Li-S,⁸ Li-O₂ (air),⁹ Mg-X (X=Br, I),¹⁰⁻¹¹ Mg-S,¹² Al-S,¹³ *etc.*, have been fabricated and usually show higher energy density and better rate performance than their intercalation counterparts. However, the dissolution of electrodes in the electrolyte triggers the cross-talk between cathode and anode, and this induces shuttle effect and impairs the cycling stability of the batteries. This phenomenon is one of the key issues of the intensively studies Li-S batteries,¹⁴⁻¹⁶ and it is also responsible for the cycling performance deterioration of the multivalence ion batteries under active development.¹⁰⁻¹³

If the diffusion of the active materials is well limited, then constructing conversion batteries with soluble electrode materials will be a promising way to develop high-performance rechargeable batteries, as demonstrated by the intensive research activities on Li-S batteries during the past decade.¹⁷⁻²¹ Physically separating the anode and the cathode with an ion-conductive solid-electrolyte (SE) separator/interlayer is considered to be an effective strategy to cut off the cross-talk between the electrodes, which has been successfully demonstrated in the hybrid Li-S batteries where coulombic efficiency of 100% is achieved due to the inhibition of shuttle effect.²²⁻²³ Additionally and importantly, using this SE separator/interlayer decouples the anodic and cathodic requirements for the electrolyte, providing a new avenue of electrolyte design for novel rechargeable batteries such as Li-air batteries,²⁴ Mg batteries,²⁵ *etc.*.

Note that the introduction of SE separator/interlayer structure into batteries creates a new interface between solid and liquid electrolytes. Like other interfaces in batteries,

the stability of this solid/liquid electrolyte interface influences the battery performance. The interfacial stability between oxide and liquid electrolytes has attracted considerable attention of the electrochemical community in recent years, since oxide SEs are the most widely used inorganic ion-conductive separators for their high chemical stability and acceptable ionic conductivity ($\sim 10^{-4}$ S cm⁻¹).^{22-23, 26-27} The studies show that a resistive interphase tends to form at the oxide/liquid electrolyte interface.²⁸ More severely, certain oxide SEs with Ti⁴⁺, Ge⁴⁺ and Al³⁺, such as the NASICON-type SEs and even the highly stable garnet SE LLZO, are reactive with the active materials dissolved in liquid electrolytes, leading to corrosion and degradation of the separator.²⁹⁻³⁰ Important efforts are then been made to improve the stability of oxide/liquid electrolyte interface, for examples by redesigning oxide SEs to be compatible with liquid electrolytes,³¹ or by protecting the oxide SE surface with stable polymer coating.³² It is worth noting that even the considered highly stable garnet solid-electrolyte LLZO shows poor interfacial stability when it contacts with liquid electrolyte in hybrid batteries.³³ Comprehensive understanding on the solid/liquid electrolyte interface is required for satisfactorily addressing this interfacial stability issue.

Sulfide SEs have much higher ionic conductivity ($10^{-3} - 10^{-2}$ S cm⁻¹) than oxide SEs.³⁴⁻³⁵ The combination of sulfide SEs and solvate electrolytes (solvent in salt) has been used to improve the ionic contact of the SEs with the electrode materials in the composite cathode, which is a key issue in all-solid-state batteries.³⁶⁻³⁷ For suppressing the shuttle effect, in-situ formed sulfide SEI (solid electrolyte interphase) layers on Li

anode has been demonstrated to be effective in several studies,³⁸⁻⁴¹ but the studies on sulfide SE separators are seldom reported. As well known, the in-situ formed SEI layers usually suffer from repeated breaking and repairing during the cycling of the batteries.^{39, 42} This is detrimental for keeping a high shuttle-suppression ability. Instead, the robust structure of SE separators can completely suppress the shuttle effect, and the reported hybrid batteries using oxide SE separators always exhibit a perfect coulombic efficiency of 100% during long-term operation.^{22-23, 26, 31-32} Different from the SEI layers, the separators contact not only with anode but also with cathode. Developing sulfide SE separators requires comprehensive knowledge on the chemical and electrochemical stabilities of the sulfide/liquid electrolyte interface under both reduction and oxidation conditions.

Here we systematically study the chemical stability of the interface between Li_2S - P_2S_5 based glass-ceramic SEs and ether-based liquid electrolyte, as well as their electrochemical stability with both anode and cathode. It is revealed that a stable interface can be achieved with $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$ due to the formation of a dense thin layer of solvated Li_3PS_4 at the interface, while such a stable interface cannot be formed with the highly ion-conductive SE $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ due to its decomposition by the ether solvent and the resultant porous thick interphase layer. This new understanding on the stability of sulfide/liquid electrolyte interface inspires us to design a $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$ coated $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separator with both high ion conduction ability and good interfacial stability, and the advantages have been demonstrated herein by fabricating high-performance hybrid Li-S batteries with this composite separator.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials

The used chemical agents were Li_2S (99.9%, Alfa Aesar), P_2S_5 (99%, Qingdao Yurui Ltd.), sublimated sulfur (99.5%, Alfa Aesar), anhydrous acetonitrile ($\geq 99.5\%$, Aladdin), anhydrous tetrahydrofuran ($\geq 99.9\%$, Sigma-Aldrich). All the chemicals were used as is, without further purification.

Synthesis of $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4/\text{S}$ by dual -solution-mixing route

200.0 mg mixture of Li_2S and S (molar ratio 1:5) was stirred in 10 mL tetrahydrofuran (THF), resulting in a reddish-brown polysulfide Li_2S_6 solution. Meanwhile, 130.3 mg mixture of Li_2S and P_2S_5 (molar ratio 1:1) was stirred in 5 mL acetonitrile (ACN), resulting in a yellow $\text{Li}_2\text{S}\cdot\text{P}_2\text{S}_5$ solution. Then the two solutions were mixed to form a homogenous dark brown precursor solution. The preparation of the precursor solution was operated in an argon-filled glovebox ($\text{O}_2 < 0.5$ ppm, $\text{H}_2\text{O} < 0.5$ ppm). The precursor solution was dried at 60°C to remove the excess solvent and further heat-treated at 230°C under argon for 1 h to obtain the final products.

Preparation of $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4/\text{S}$ coated $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separators

$\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ was prepared by conventional ball-milling method. 4 g mixture of Li_2S and P_2S_5 (molar ratio 7:3) was put into a 45-mL tungsten carbonide jar with three tungsten carbide grinding balls ($\phi=10\text{mm}$). Then ball milling was conducted at 450 rpm in intermittent manner (5-min run/ 5-min pause) using a planetary ball mill apparatus (Pulverisette 7, Fritsch GmbH, Germany). The effective milling time was 40 h. All the

operations were conducted in an argon-filled glovebox ($O_2 < 0.5$ ppm, $H_2O < 0.5$ ppm). The resultant Li_2S - P_2S_5 glass powder was then cold-pressed into pellets ($\phi 15$ mm $\times$ 0.85 mm) under a pressure of 330 MPa. The pellets were sealed in silica glass ampoule under vacuum (10^{-3} Pa) and heat-treated at 260 °C for 1 h. $Li_7P_3S_{11}$ pellets were obtained. β - Li_3PS_4 pellets were prepared with the same route, except that the molar ratio of the starting materials Li_2S and P_2S_5 was 7.5:2.5.

Dip-coating method was used to form a thin layer of β - Li_3PS_4/S around the $Li_7P_3S_{11}$ pellets as follows: The pellets were firstly immersed into the precursor solution prepared by the dual-solution-mixing route as mentioned above. Then the pellets were slowly pulled up to form a thin layer of solution on the surface and naturally dried at room temperature in an argon-filled glovebox ($O_2 < 0.5$ ppm, $H_2O < 0.5$ ppm). The dip-coating repeated 5 times. Finally, the pellets were heat-treated at 230 °C under argon for 1 h to complete the coating.

Materials characterization

X-ray diffraction (D8 Advance, Bruker AXS GmbH, Germany) were used to monitor the phase evolution during the sample preparation. Raman spectra were recorded by a Raman spectrometer (inVia, Renishaw Inc., UK) with a 532 nm diode-pumped solid-state laser as the excitation source. To protect the samples from exposure to humidity, they were mounted in the sample holder and sealed by polyimide cover films in the glovebox prior to XRD and Raman characterization. The morphology of the samples was recorded by a field-emission scanning electron microscope (SU8010, Hitachi Inc.,

Japan). The scanning electron microscope was equipped with an energy dispersive X-ray microanalyzer, which characterizes the composition of the samples. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was measured using Thermo Scientific ESCALAB 250Xi with Al K α -radiation. Vacuum transfer box was used to transfer the samples from glovebox to the characterization equipment.

The ionic conductivity was measured by an AC impedance method in an argon filled glovebox, using a frequency response analyzer (Solartron 1260A, Solartron Analytical Inc., UK). The conductivity of the samples was then determined from the impedance spectra data. For powder samples, they were sandwiched between two layers of acetylene black powder and cold-pressed into pellets (15 mm diameter and ~1 mm thickness) with 330 MPa pressure. For pre-sintered solid electrolyte separators, they were sandwiched between two stainless-steel disks and the interface between them was wetted by 15 μ L commercial liquid electrolyte (1 M LiTFSI DME/DOL (50-50 vol%)-1% LiNO₃, DoDoChem Inc.) to improve the electrical contact.

Electrochemical measurements

Hybrid Li-S batteries were assembled in an argon-filled glovebox ($O_2 < 0.5$ ppm, $H_2O < 0.5$ ppm) into CR2032-type coin cells with a structure of -)SUS/Li/ liquid electrolyte/solid electrolyte/liquid electrolyte/S-C/SUS(+). The cathode was obtained by ultrasonic spraying the ethanol suspension of S-C composite, LA133 binder and conductive acetylene black (8:1:1, wt%) on carbon paper, where the S-C composite was prepared in advance by heating the ground mixture of ketjen black and S at 155 $^{\circ}$ C for

12 h under vacuum with 60 wt% sulfur loading in the composite. The sulfur load in the cathode was 0.85 mg cm^{-2} . The cathode and anode sides of the SE separator were wetted by 40 μL and 10 μL liquid electrolyte (1 M LiTFSI DME/DOL (50-50 vol%)-1% LiNO_3), respectively. The batteries were stored in the glovebox one night before test. The galvanostatic charge-discharge test was carried out on a battery tester (CT2001, Land Ins., Wuhan, China) in a voltage range of 1.5–2.8 V. The impedance spectra of the batteries with a frequency range of $10^{-2} - 10^5 \text{ Hz}$ were recorded by an electrochemical workstation (CHI660E, CH Instruments Ins., Shanghai, China).

Cyclic voltammetry of $(-)\text{Li}/\text{solid electrolyte}/\text{liquid electrolyte}/\text{Stainless steel}(+)$ half-cells was measured by the CHI660E electrochemical workstation to study the electrochemical stability of different solid-electrolyte separators in solid-liquid-hybrid environment. 10 μL liquid electrolyte (1 M LiTFSI DME/DOL (50-50 vol%)-1% LiNO_3) was dropped between the SE pellet and the stainless-steel electrode to create a solid-liquid electrolyte interface which exists within the hybrid batteries. Symmetric cells with a structure of $\text{Li}/\text{liquid electrolyte}/\text{solid electrolyte}/\text{liquid electrolyte}/\text{Li}$ were assembled in Swagelok battery moulds. 10 μL liquid electrolyte was dropped between the SE pellet and the Li electrode. Their plating/stripping profiles were measured by the CT2001 battery tester. All the cells were stored for about 12 h before the test to complete the formation of the interphase layer at the sulfide/liquid electrolyte interface.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemical stability with ether-based solvent

Two typical $\text{Li}_2\text{S-P}_2\text{S}_5$ based glass-ceramic SEs, $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ and $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$ are studied here. $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ is a well-known highly ion-conductive SE with an extremely high ionic conductivity of $1.7 \times 10^{-2} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$.³⁴ $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$, or denoted as thio-LISICON III analogue by Tatsumisago, has lower ionic conductivity of $2.8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$,⁴³ but is believed to have better chemical stability.⁴⁴ Both of them are metastable at room temperature, and should be prepared by crystallization of the $\text{Li}_2\text{S-P}_2\text{S}_5$ base glasses.

The chemical stability of the two $\text{Li}_2\text{S-P}_2\text{S}_5$ based SEs with ether solvent was firstly checked by immersion experiment. A mixture of 1,3-dioxolane (DOL) and dimethoxyethane (DME) with volumetric ratio of 1:1 was used as the solvent. It is a standard solvent for the liquid electrolyte of Li-S batteries. After 48 h immersion in the solvent and vacuum-drying at 80°C , the structure change of the SE powders was examined by XRD and Raman, as shown in Figure 1a and 1b. XRD shows that the immersion products of both SEs contain a crystalline phase assigned to DME-solvated Li_3PS_4 .⁴⁵⁻⁴⁶ Two major peaks are observed in the Raman spectra of the immersed powders. One peak at 421 cm^{-1} is assigned to the ether-solvated PS_4^{3-} anion, which is a major structural unit of Li_3PS_4 .⁴⁶ The other peak at 388 cm^{-1} is assigned to the ether-solvated vertex-shared PS_4 tetrahedral anions,⁴⁶ which is not a typical structural unit of Li_3PS_4 . An intense 388-cm^{-1} peak appears in the immersed $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ indicating that besides the crystalline DME-solvated Li_3PS_4 , an amorphous phase containing vertex-shared PS_4 tetrahedral anions exists. Recent studies on liquid phase synthesis of $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ in acetonitrile reveal that the starting materials Li_2S and P_2S_5 react into crystalline $\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4 \cdot \text{ACN}$ and amorphous “ $\text{Li}_2\text{S} \cdot \text{P}_2\text{S}_5$ ” phases in the solvent,⁴⁷ where the

“ $\text{Li}_2\text{S}\cdot\text{P}_2\text{S}_5$ ” phase is constituted by vertex-shared PS_4 tetrahedral anions whose major Raman signal is at 383 cm^{-1} .⁴⁸ It is therefore proposed to assign this amorphous immersion product of $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ to an ether-solvated “ $\text{Li}_2\text{S}\cdot\text{P}_2\text{S}_5$ ” phase. According to the phase structure analysis, we conclude that $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$ is solvated while $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ is decomposed by the solvent.

The $80\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ -dried immersed products exhibit dramatically reduced electrical conductivity, that is, $1.8\times 10^{-8}\text{ S cm}^{-1}$ for $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ and $1.4\times 10^{-10}\text{ S cm}^{-1}$ for $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$ (Figure S4). Since the electrical conductivity of the sulfide solid electrolyte is mainly provided by the ion conduction, the dramatical decrease of the electrical conductivity of the immersed products indicates that the reaction of the $\text{Li}_2\text{S}\cdot\text{P}_2\text{S}_5$ based SEs with the ether solvent is detrimental for ion conduction. The results of the immersion experiment coincide with the general knowledge that sulfide SEs exhibit poor chemical stability since the SE powders completely react with the solvent within only 48 h immersion. In fact, even oxide and oxynitride SEs tend to react with polar solvents because they are rich in Li^+ ions which act as strong Lewis acid centers and are reactive due to the basicity of many polar solvents.^{28, 49}

A highly promising result is obtained during the in-situ monitoring of the interfacial resistance between the sulfide SE pellets and the liquid electrolyte. For this test, the pre-sintered SE pellet (diameter of 1.5 cm) was sandwiched between two stainless-steel (SS) disk electrodes and the interface between them was wetted by 15 μL commercial liquid electrolyte (1 M LiTFSI DME/DOL (50-50 vol%)-1% LiNO_3). The temporal

evolution of the interfacial resistance is obtained from the impedance spectra (Figure S5). As shown in Figure 1c, the interfacial resistance of both samples quickly increases in the first 6 h, reflecting the formation of solvated interphase layers. Considering the formation of interphase layers at the oxide/liquid electrolyte interface takes tens of hours,²⁸ the rapid formation of such layers at the sulfide/liquid electrolyte interface coincides with the weak chemical stability of sulfide SEs. After the initial formation stage, the evolution of the interfacial resistance for the $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ pellet and the $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$ pellet shows different trends. The interfacial resistance of the $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ pellet continuously increases to more than 400 Ω in the following 200 h. Interestingly, for the $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$ pellet, the interfacial resistance keeps at a low value of about 50 Ω during the 200-hour test, showing excellent stability. After the 200-hour test, the surface of the $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ pellet becomes rough while that of the $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$ pellet is still smooth (insert of Figure 1d-e). Detailed morphology change was characterized by SEM. The top-view image of the $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$ pellet shows a porous surface (Figure 1d). This porous structure belongs to an interphase layer with a thickness of about 2.5 μm (Figure 1f). EDS line-scan shows that the layer is rich of C and O, confirming that it is an ether-solvated interphase layer. For the $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$ pellet, a dense thin interphase layer packed by nano-crystals can be observed, whose thickness is only 0.4 μm (Figure 1e and 1g). Additionally, some large crystals with a size of several micrometers scatter on the surface. EDS mapping (Figure S7) reveals that these large crystals have the same composition with the nano-crystals which form the dense interphase layer. We propose

that they are all attributed to DME-solvated Li_3PS_4 , the only solvated crystalline phase detected by XRD.

Whether a dense solvated interphase layer forms at the solid/liquid electrolyte interface depends on the physicochemical properties of the solvated products. Solvated Li_3PS_4 has been widely observed insoluble in various organic polar solvents.⁵⁰⁻⁵² Due to the insolubility of the solvated Li_3PS_4 , it can form a dense layer at the interface between $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$ and the solvent, and can prevent further solvation of $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$. Differently, the solvated " $\text{Li}_2\text{S}\cdot\text{P}_2\text{S}_5$ " phase is soluble or partially soluble in polar solvents due to the more scattered charge density of its vertex-shared PS_4 tetrahedral anions and therefore the weaker ionic bond with Li^+ ions.⁴⁸ As discussed above, $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ is decomposed by the ether solvent into solvated Li_3PS_4 and " $\text{Li}_2\text{S}\cdot\text{P}_2\text{S}_5$ ". The gradual dissolution of " $\text{Li}_2\text{S}\cdot\text{P}_2\text{S}_5$ " results in pinholes in the interphase layer which provide pathways for continuous reaction between $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ and the solvent.

It is worth noting that the $\text{Li}_2\text{S}\text{-P}_2\text{S}_5$ glass-ceramic powder is reactive with the ether-based liquid electrolyte so this hybrid electrolyte is not suitable for composite cathodes of solid-state batteries. Instead, a solvent-in-salt electrolyte (salt/solvent=1/1) is required to stabilize the solid/liquid electrolyte interface.³⁷ Differently, as the separator of a battery, the $\text{Li}_2\text{S}\text{-P}_2\text{S}_5$ glass-ceramic pellet can be stable with the ether-based liquid electrolyte due to the formation of the solvated interphase layer on the surface. This is like the stability of aluminum in the air, that is, aluminum powder is quite reactive while bulk aluminum is stable because of the dense Al_2O_3 passivation layer. Here, the dense

interphase layer can be formed on β - Li_3PS_4 but not on $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$. In summary, the β - Li_3PS_4 /ether interface can be stabilized due to the formation of a dense thin solvated interphase layer.

Figure 1. **Chemical stability of the Li_2S - P_2S_5 based glass-ceramic SEs with ether solvent.**

(a) XRD patterns and (b) Raman spectra of the $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ and β - Li_3PS_4 powders before and after 48 h immersion in a mixed solvent DOL/DME (50-50 vol%). (c) Evolution of the interfacial resistance of the sulfide/liquid electrolyte interface in the SS/liquid electrolyte/SE/liquid electrolyte/SS cells. Top-view and cross-sectional SEM images of (d, f) the $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ pellet and (e, g) the β - Li_3PS_4 pellet after 200 h test in the SS/liquid electrolyte/SE/liquid electrolyte/SS cells.

The insert shows their photos. EDS line-scan results of the elements C, O, P and S are overlapped on the cross-sectional SEM image in (f).

Electrochemical stability of the sulfide/liquid electrolyte interface

The plating/stripping cycling of symmetric cells with lithium electrode (at constant current of 0.23 mA cm^{-2}) was conducted to characterize the interfacial stability under reduction condition. To create a sulfide/liquid electrolyte interface, $10 \text{ }\mu\text{L}$ liquid electrolyte ($1 \text{ M LiTFSI DME/DOL (50-50 vol\%)-1\% LiNO}_3$) was dropped between the SE pellet and the lithium electrodes. The structure of the symmetric cells was Li/liquid electrolyte/solid electrolyte/liquid electrolyte/Li. The cells were stored for about 12 h before the test to complete the formation of the interphase layer at the sulfide/liquid electrolyte interface. As shown by the plating/stripping profiles in Figure 2a, both the symmetric cells with $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ and $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$ operate at an almost unchanged voltage for more than 200 h, reflecting that the $\text{Li}_2\text{S-P}_2\text{S}_5$ glass-ceramic and the Li anode are electrochemically compatible with the existence of the liquid electrolyte. For longer testing, short-circuit accidentally occurs. The short-circuit is caused by the lithium penetration in the solid electrolytes. Recent studies reveal that the poor contact between solid electrolytes and the lithium anode is a principal reason for the lithium penetration.⁵³ Additionally, defects such as grain boundaries, pores and cracks facilitate the growth of lithium dendrites.⁵⁴ The lithium penetration issue has been demonstrated to be ameliorated by engineering interlays between lithium and solid electrolytes,⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷ and by improving the density of the solid electrolyte.⁵⁸ In our case, the coexistence of liquid and solid electrolytes as well as the in-situ formed solvated interphase layer even complicate the properties of the interface with the lithium anode, make it complex to

understand this lithium penetration phenomenon. Studies on lithium penetration under hybrid condition is being undertaken. Its discussion is beyond the scope of this article.

The stability is also illustrated by the little change of the impedance spectra of the cells after 100 h operation (Figure 2b-d). The symmetric cell with β - Li_3PS_4 has smaller interfacial resistance, but larger bulk resistance due to its lower ionic conductivity. Consequently, the overpotential of this cell is larger than the one with $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$. It is worth noting that the interfacial resistance of the $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ /liquid electrolyte interface reduces to a stable value of $34\ \Omega$ under reduction condition, much smaller than that shown in Figure 1c. It indicates that the interfacial chemistry changes due to the existence of lithium. Liang *et al.* have reported that an SEI layer mainly composed of Li_3PS_4 can be in-situ formed by the reaction between lithium and P_2S_5 additive,³⁸ showing that Li has the ability to break the P-S-P bridged structure in P_2S_5 to form P-S⁻ structure in Li_3PS_4 . It is therefore reasonable to assume that the decomposition product " $\text{Li}_2\text{S}\cdot\text{P}_2\text{S}_5$ " with the same P-S-P bridge structure can also be converted into solvated Li_3PS_4 by Li, therefore leading to improved interfacial stability. This assumption is further confirmed by the XPS S_{2p} spectra of the lithium anodes of the symmetric cells after cycling (Figure S8). A strong $\text{S}_{2p_{3/2}}$ peak at 161.4 eV, which is assigned to PS_4^{3-} ,⁴⁰ can be detected in these spectra, indicating that an in-situ formed SEI layer containing Li_3PS_4 exists on the lithium anode. Moreover, The PS_4^{3-} signal is much more intense for the sample with $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ than with β - Li_3PS_4 . This is accordance with the assumption that the partially soluble decomposition product " $\text{Li}_2\text{S}\cdot\text{P}_2\text{S}_5$ " from $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ facilitates the reaction with Li to form the Li_3PS_4 -containing SEI layer. This

SEI layer may slightly increase the interfacial resistance, but benefits the interfacial stability, which result in a more stable interfacial resistance in the symmetric cell with $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ as shown in Figure 2d.

Figure 2. Stability of the sulfide/liquid electrolyte interface with the lithium electrode. (a) Plating/stripping profiles and (b-c) impedance spectra recorded after 30 h and 100 h cycling of $\text{Li}/\text{liquid electrolyte}/\text{solid electrolyte}/\text{liquid electrolyte}/\text{Li}$ symmetric cells where solid electrolytes are $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ and $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$, respectively. The lines are provided to guide the eye. (d) Electrolyte resistance R_e and interfacial resistance R_{int} deduced from the impedance spectra. SEM images of

the lithium electrode surfaces (e) before and (f-g) after the plating/stripping cycling in the symmetric cells.

Figure 2e-g shows the morphology of the lithium electrode before and after the plating/stripping test. A smooth surface of lithium can be observed before cycling. After the test, the surficial roughness increases due to the plating/stripping cycling of Li, but no Li dendrites are evidently observed. In summary, both $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ and $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$ can form stable interface with the ether-based liquid electrolyte under reduction condition, showing their good compatibility in contact with lithium metal.

While the interfacial stability between SEs and Li metal has attracted wide attention, here we emphasize that the oxidation condition at the cathode side of batteries has decisive influence on the stability of the $\text{Li}_2\text{S-P}_2\text{S}_5$ based glass-ceramic SEs/ether solvent interface. To demonstrate this, the cyclic voltammetry (CV) of $(-)$ Li/solid electrolyte/liquid electrolyte/stainless-steel (+ half-cells was conducted (Figure 3). 10 μL liquid electrolyte (1 M LiTFSI DME/DOL (50-50 vol%)-1% LiNO_3) was dropped between the SE pellet and the stainless-steel electrode to create a solid/liquid electrolyte interface. The influence of the liquid electrolyte on the electrochemical stability of the $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ is firstly studied by comparing the CV of two half-cells with and without the liquid electrolyte in Figure 3b. It can be seen that without liquid electrolyte the solid-state half-cell exhibits negligible redox current, showing excellent electrochemical stability. Differently, in the hybrid cell strong redox current is observed, revealing the poor electrochemical stability of $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ under solid-liquid hybrid environment. It is

well known that $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ is thermodynamically unstable whose electrochemical window is quite narrow.⁵⁹ Fortunately, its decomposition products form SEI layers in all-solid-state batteries, which prevent further decomposition of $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$. However, such stable SEI layers cannot be formed in hybrid batteries due to the etching of organic solvent, so the intrinsic poor electrochemical stability of $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ is manifested.

Figure 3. Stability of the sulfide/liquid electrolyte interface under oxidation condition. (a)

Scheme of the cyclic voltammetry measurement to study the electrochemical stability of different

solid electrolytes in solid-liquid-hybrid environment. (b) Cyclic voltammetry of

$\text{-)Li/Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}/\text{liquid electrolyte/SS}(+$ and $\text{-)Li/Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}/\text{SS}(+$ half-cells showing the poor

electrochemical stability of $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ in hybrid environment. (c) Cyclic voltammetry of $-\text{Li}/\text{solid electrolyte/liquid electrolyte/SS}(+$ half-cells revealing the excellent electrochemical stability of $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$ with liquid electrolyte. Scanning rate is 0.1 mV s^{-1} .

During the anodic sweep, $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ shows an onset of anodic current at 2.5 V, which monotonically increases to $67 \mu\text{A}$ at 3.5 V. As for $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$, an anodic current peak starts at 2.4 V, and after the peak, the current monotonically increases to $0.9 \mu\text{A}$ at 3.5 V (Figure 3c). According to previous theoretical and experimental studies, the anodic current is attributed to the delithiation process of lithium thiophosphates.⁵⁹⁻⁶⁰ The much lower anodic current of $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$ than that of $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ indicates that the former is electrochemically more stable with the liquid electrolyte under an oxidation condition.

Interfacial engineering with sulfide solid electrolytes

It has been demonstrated that $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$ can form chemically/electrochemically stable interface with ether-based electrolyte, while more ion-conductive $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ cannot. This discovery on the stability of sulfide/liquid electrolyte interfaces can inspire novel designs of SE separators for high-performance rechargeable batteries. As an example, we propose here that coating $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separators with a submicrometric layer of $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$ is expected to be an effective strategy to develop highly ion-conductive separator with good interfacial stability for hybrid Li-S batteries (Figure 4a). Enhanced cycling performance can be achieved by the combination of three electrolytes, where $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ inhibits the polysulfide shuttling and provides fast Li^+ transportation, ether-

based liquid electrolyte ensures excellent redox kinetics in electrodes and thin β - Li_3PS_4 coating stabilizes the solid/liquid electrolyte interface.

Figure 4. **Interfacial-engineered sulfide solid electrolyte for hybrid Li-S batteries.** (a)

Strategy for developing sulfide SE separators in hybrid Li-S batteries by coating highly ion-conductive $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ with a thin layer of β - Li_3PS_4 that is more stable with the liquid electrolyte. (b) SEM cross-section image of β - $\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4/\text{S}$ coating on a $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separator. (c) Top-view SEM image of β - $\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4/\text{S}$ coating and EDS mapping of phosphorus (d) and sulfur (e). (f) Impedance spectra of coated and uncoated $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separators whose dimension is $\phi 15 \text{ mm} \times 1 \text{ mm}$.

Conventional synthesis techniques of $\text{Li}_2\text{S}-\text{P}_2\text{S}_5$ based SEs, such as melting-quenching,⁶¹ ball milling⁶² and suspension synthesis,⁶³ always result in granular

products, which cannot be used for preparing thin dense coating of β - Li_3PS_4 . Here we developed, a dual-solution-mixing route for preparing β - Li_3PS_4 -based dense coating (details in Supplementary Information). With this technique, an ion-conductive composite of β - Li_3PS_4 and sulfur (Figure S9) can be prepared by using a homogenous precursor solution.

By using dip-coating method with the homogenous precursor solution, a dense film of β - $\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4/\text{S}$ with thickness of about 400–600 nm can be formed on a $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separator as shown in Figure 1b. The top-view (Figure 4c-e) and cross-section (Figure S10) EDS mapping of P and S shows that these two elements are homogeneously distributed in the film. The ion-conductive ability of the coating is examined by comparing the impedance spectra of the coated and uncoated $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separators (Figure 4f). The pristine $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separator shows a total resistance of 16 Ω , corresponding to an ionic conductivity of $3.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$. The protective coating results in an additional semicircle in the impedance spectrum which corresponds to the resistance of the coating with the value of 90 Ω . Due to the thin thickness of the coating, the resistance increase is fully acceptable and the coated separator still possesses excellent ion-conduction ability.

Additionally, the ion-conductive coating shows good stability and adhesion when the coated separators are used in hybrid Li-S batteries. After 50-cycle operation of the battery, the morphology of the cathode side of the coated separator still keeps its original smooth surface, while the anode side only shows a few etched pits likely due

to the limited reaction with lithium (Figure S11). It indicates that the protective coating keeps well adhered to the $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separators even under the electrochemical environment in batteries.

Figure 5a-b show the charge-discharge curves of the hybrid batteries with coated and uncoated $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separators. In the hybrid battery with coated $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separator, the voltage of the 2nd discharge plateau is well kept at 2.10 V and the charge plateau at 2.28 V during the 50 cycles (Figure 5a), showing an excellent cycling stability. Without coating, the overpotential of the battery quickly increases during the charge-discharge cycling (Figure 5b). The improvement of operational stability is also demonstrated by comparing the impedance spectra of the two batteries at different charge/discharge depths. The internal resistance of the battery with uncoated SE separator varies largely during operation (Figure S14b). In contrast, the internal resistance of the battery with coated SE separator is almost unchanged at different charge/discharge depths (Figure S14d), resulting in flat charge/discharge plateaus.

The pristine sulfur-carbon composite cathode shows porous morphology which is constructed by nanoparticles of ketjen black (Figure 5c). The morphology of the cathodes disassembled from the hybrid Li-S batteries after 50 cycles is reexamined. In the battery with coated $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separator, the porous cathode structure is visibly unchanged (Figure 5d), while in the battery with uncoated $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separator, a continuous thin layer is formed on the porous cathode after cycling (Figure 5e). The thin layer could passivate the reactive sites of the cathode. Consequently, the

overpotential of the battery increases and the capacity decreases. With the β - Li_3PS_4 -based coating on $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separator, the formation of passivation layer on cathode is inhibited, improving therefore the cycling stability.

EDS mapping of the passivation layer on cathode reveals that it is rich of S and P (Figure 5f-i). Taking into account that P only exists in the SE separator in the pristine battery, it can be concluded that the passivation layer is a decomposition product of the separator. XPS was further used to determine the chemical environment of the elements. One main $\text{P}_{2\text{p}3/2}$ peak with binding energy around 134.3 eV can be observed in the $\text{P}_{2\text{p}}$ spectrum (Figure 5j), corresponding to P linked with bridging sulfur in $\text{P}^*\text{-S}$ -structure.⁴⁰ In the $\text{S}_{2\text{p}}$ spectrum, two main $\text{S}_{2\text{p}3/2}$ peaks at 169.6 eV and 164.1 eV and a minor $\text{S}_{2\text{p}3/2}$ peak at 167.4 eV are observed (Figure 5k), attributed respectively to $\text{-S}^*\text{O}_2\text{CF}_3$ from the salt LiTFSI ,⁶⁴ to bridging sulfur in $\text{S-S}^*\text{-S}$ or $\text{P-S}^*\text{-P}$ structures⁶⁵⁻⁶⁶ and to $\text{Li}_x\text{S}^*\text{O}_y$ or $\text{-S}^*\text{O}_2\text{CF}_2^+$ trace.²⁸ It is worth noting that the XPS signals belonging to Li_2S ($\text{S}_{2\text{p}3/2}$ at 160.0 eV)^{65, 67} and P-S tetrahedral anions such as PS_4^{3-} ($\text{P}_{2\text{p}3/2}$ at 133.6 and 132.6 eV, $\text{S}_{2\text{p}3/2}$ at 162.5 eV and 161.6 eV)⁴⁰ are absent. Therefore, the passivation layer on cathode is not formed by direct dissolution of the SE separator which contains P-S tetrahedral anions, but by the delithiated species, rich in P-S-P bridging sulfur structure (Figure S16).

Figure 5. **Improved cycling stability of the hybrid battery by β - $\text{Li}_3\text{PS}_4/\text{S}$ coated solid electrolyte.** Charge-discharge curves at 0.2C rate of the hybrid Li-S batteries (a) with coated $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separator and (b) uncoated $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separator. SEM images of S-C composite cathode (c) before cycling and disassembled from the hybrid Li-S batteries with (d) coated and (e) uncoated $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separators after 50 cycles. (f-i) EDS mapping and (j-k) XPS profiles of the passivation layer on the cathode of the battery with uncoated $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separator.

Finally, the mechanism how β - Li_3PS_4 -based coating on the $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separator improves the cycling performance of hybrid Li-S batteries is proposed in Figure 6. Without β - Li_3PS_4 coating, the $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separator is decomposed, in contact with the ether-based liquid electrolyte, into DME-solvated Li_3PS_4 and an amorphous phase containing vertex-shared PS_4 tetrahedral anions. The amorphous phase is partially dissolved and diffuses onto the cathode. It is further delithiated, resulting in the formation of a poorly conductive passivation layer rich of P-S-P bridging sulfur structure. This layer passivates the reactive sites of the cathode so the performance of the battery degrades progressively. Differently, even though β - Li_3PS_4 also reacts with the ether-based liquid electrolyte, the only product, DME-solvated Li_3PS_4 , is insoluble and prevents further solvation of β - Li_3PS_4 . Consequently, the $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separator coated with β - Li_3PS_4 produces few soluble products which can be deposited on the cathode and the cycling performance of the battery is therefore greatly improved.

Figure 6. **Proposed mechanism for the improved cycling performance by β - Li_3PS_4 -based**

coating. Without coating, Step 1: $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ reacts with the solvent, decomposed into DME-complexed Li_3PS_4 and an amorphous containing vertex-shared PS_4 tetrahedral anions; Step 2: The anions diffuse to the cathode, delithiated to form a layer rich of P-S-P structure that passivates the reactive sites. With coating, a continuous insoluble DME-complexed Li_3PS_4 layer is formed to prevent further reaction.

Hybrid Li-S batteries by stable sulfide electrolyte/liquid interface

The β - Li_3PS_4 coated $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ composite separators possess not only good interfacial stability with ether-based solvents, but also good ion conduction ability due to the high ionic conductivity of sulfide SEs. Therefore, good cycling stability and redox kinetics are expected to be simultaneously achieved when applying these composite separators in hybrid Li-S batteries.

Figure 7 compares the performance of hybrid Li-S batteries with sulfide SE separator and liquid Li-S batteries. Three batteries are studied, one with uncoated $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separator, another one with β - Li_3PS_4 coated $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separator and the reference one with commercial polymer separator Celgard® 2400. All these batteries use a commercial ether-based electrolyte (1M LiTFSI DME/DOL (50-50 vol%)-1% LiNO_3) to wet the electrodes or the separator. The battery with coated SE separator shows an initial discharge capacity of 1399 mA h g^{-1} at 0.2C rate ($1\text{C} = 1675 \text{ mA g}^{-1}$). The specific capacity remains 1270 mA h g^{-1} after 50 cycles. Similar initial discharge capacities are achieved in the battery with uncoated SE separator (1517 mA h g^{-1}) or

the liquid battery (1231 mA h g^{-1}) at the same rate, but the discharge capacities are quickly deteriorated to 1000 mA h g^{-1} and to 818 mA h g^{-1} respectively after 50 cycles. The better capacity retention of the hybrid batteries than the liquid battery is attributed to the inhibition of shuttle effect. As shown in Figure 7b, the coulombic efficiency of the two hybrid batteries reaches 100% after first few cycles, indicating the migration of the soluble polysulfide has been totally prevented.²³ As for the liquid battery, its coulombic efficiency firstly increases to 99.3% after few cycles then gradually decreases to 96.1% after 50 cycles. Even though the liquid electrolyte additive LiNO_3 can somehow attenuate polysulfide shuttling by the formation of a solid-state-electrolyte-interphase (SEI) film on lithium,⁶³⁻⁶⁸ the in-situ formed SEI film suffers from repeated breaking and repairing during the charge-discharge process.⁴² Therefore, the shuttling cannot be thoroughly prevented and the coulombic efficiency gradually decreases due to the degradation of the SEI film.

Figure 7c shows the initial charge-discharge curves of the liquid battery and the hybrid battery with $\beta\text{-Li}_3\text{PS}_4$ coated $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ separator. The overpotential between the 0.2C charge and discharge curves of the hybrid battery is only 180 mV, at the same level with the liquid Li-S battery. The small overpotential favours high-rate operation of the battery. As shown in Figure 7d, the hybrid battery retains a specific capacity of 945 mA h g^{-1} at 1.5C-rate charge/discharge, showing better rate capacity than the liquid battery.

Figure 7. **Comparison of the performance between hybrid Li-S batteries with sulfide SE separator and liquid Li-S batteries.** (a) cycling performance at 0.2C rate; (b) coulombic efficiency; (c) initial charge-discharge curves at 0.2C rate and (d) rate performance.

To further demonstrate the cycling stability with the β -Li₃PS₄ coated Li₇P₃S₁₁ separator, a Celgard® 2400 separator wetted by 1M LiTFSI DOL-1% LiNO₃ has been inserted between the SE separator and the lithium anode so that accidental short circuit of the batteries due to lithium penetration can be avoided. The cell stably operates 150 cycles at 0.2C rate, retaining 1047 mA h g⁻¹ at the end (Figure 8a). Especially after the 100th cycle, a very low average capacity decay rate of 0.06%/cycle is achieved, demonstrating the excellent cycling stability of the battery. Its coulombic efficiency is

kept at 100%, much higher than that of the liquid battery. The insertion of the Celgard® 2400 slightly lowers the discharge plateau due to the increase of interfacial resistance, but the 2nd discharge plateau still reaches 2.06 V even after 150 cycles (Figure 8b). This cycling stability is better than the reported hybrid Li-S batteries directly using oxide solid-electrolyte separators such LAGP (1528 mA h g⁻¹ to 720 mA h g⁻¹ during 40 cycles at 0.2C rate) and LLZO (1154 mA h g⁻¹ to 604 mA h g⁻¹ during 50 cycles at 0.06C rate),^{23, 33} and is comparable with the hybrid Li-S batteries with interfacial engineered NASICON-type solid-electrolyte separators.³¹⁻³² This is ascribed to the absent of high-valence metal ions (Ti⁴⁺, Ge⁴⁺, *etc.*) in Li₂S-P₂S₅ glass-ceramic solid electrolyte, and to the insoluble dense solvated Li₃PS₄ layer at the solid/liquid electrolyte interface. Considering the high ionic conductivity of sulfide electrolytes that favors the redox kinetics of batteries, we propose that sulfide electrolytes with delicate composition design are competitive candidates for constructing stable solid-liquid-electrolyte interface.

Figure 8. **Cycling stability demonstrated by a hybrid Li-S battery with an anti-lithium-penetration design.** (a) cycling performance and (b) charge-discharge curves at 0.2C rate, in comparison with a liquid battery.

Finally, we emphasize that the interfacial stability between β -Li₃PS₄ and the ether-based electrolytes is only kinetically achieved. In fact, the formation of a thin dense interphase layer of insoluble solvated Li₃PS₄ is essential for the interfacial stability. Therefore, absolute chemical stability with liquid electrolytes is not an indispensable prerequisite for a good SE separator. This concept opens a new path to improve the stability of sulfide/liquid electrolyte interface by tuning the microstructure and the composition of the solvated interphase layer to make it thinner, denser and more ion-conductive. Here we propose several possible approaches. First, considering the insoluble nature is a prerequisite for forming a stable interphase layer, tuning the solubility of the solvated phase by appropriate component design of the liquid electrolyte could be an effective way to optimize the microstructure of the interphase layer. Second, limiting the crystal size of the solvated interphase is beneficial to the density of the layer, which might be achieved by adding ligand molecules into the liquid electrolyte or by electrochemical methods.

CONCLUSIONS

We demonstrate that sulfide solid-electrolyte separators based on Li₂S-P₂S₅ glass-ceramics can be used to develop conversion-type batteries with simultaneously good stability and excellent redox kinetics, as shown by a hybrid lithium-sulfur battery which

conserves a high discharge capacity of 1047 mA h g⁻¹ and a high 2nd discharge plateau of 2.06 V after 150 cycles. The excellent properties of the Li₂S-P₂S₅ glass-ceramics based separator originate not only from its high ionic conductivity, but also from the surprisingly high interfacial stability between β -Li₃PS₄ and ether-based liquid electrolyte under both reduction and oxidization conditions in the battery. This interfacial stability is closely associated with the formation of a thin dense solvated Li₃PS₄ protective layer, which is insoluble in the ether solvent and which do not compromise the high ionic conductivity of the Li₇P₃S₁₁ solid electrolyte. This discovery brings in new insight on the stability of solid/liquid electrolyte interface, and may open a new path to improve the stability/cyclability of high energy density batteries by tuning the solubility and microstructure of the solvated interphase layer.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. The support information is available free of charge.

Details about the dual-solution-mixing route for preparing β -Li₃PS₄/S composite; Phase structure analysis during the dual-solution-mixing route; Impedance spectra and EDS mappings of the solvated lithium thiophosphates; XPS spectra of the lithium anodes disassembled from the Li/Li symmetric cells after cycling; Supplementary characterizations of the β -Li₃PS₄-based coating and its influence on the electrochemical stability of the solid/liquid electrolyte interface; Impedance spectra of the hybrid batteries at different charge/discharge depths; Scheme of a possible route for the formation of the passivation layer on the cathode.

Notes. The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is the financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51702216), the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (No. 2017A030313325/2020A1515011430), the Shenzhen Science and Technology Foundation (JCYJ20180507182106754), and the Shenzhen Key Lab Fund (ZDSYS20170228105421966).

REFERENCES

1. Li, M.; Lu, J.; Chen, Z.; Amine, K., 30 Years of Lithium-Ion Batteries. *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 1800561.
2. Kato, Y.; Hori, S.; Saito, T.; Suzuki, K.; Hirayama, M.; Mitsui, A.; Yonemura, M.; Iba, H.; Kanno, R., High-Power All-Solid-State Batteries Using Sulfide Superionic Conductors. *Nat. Energy* **2016**, *1*, 16030.
3. Janek, J.; Zeier, W. G., A Solid Future for Battery Development. *Nat. Energy* **2016**, *1*, 16141.
4. Muldoon, J.; Bucur, C. B.; Gregory, T., Quest for Nonaqueous Multivalent Secondary Batteries: Magnesium and Beyond. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 11683-11720.
5. Aurbach, D.; Lu, Z.; Schechter, A.; Gofar, Y.; Gizbar, H.; Turgeman, R.; Cohen, Y.; Moshkovich, M.; Levi, E., Prototype Systems for Rechargeable Magnesium Batteries. *Nature* **2000**, *407*, 724-727.
6. Hakari, T.; Hayashi, A.; Tatsumisago, M., Li_2S -Based Solid Solutions as Positive Electrodes with Full Utilization and Superlong Cycle Life in All-Solid-State Li/S Batteries. *Adv. Sustainable Systems* **2017**, *1*, 1700017.
7. Wang, Y.; Liu, T.; Estevez, L.; Kumar, J., Kinetics of All-Solid-State Sulfur Cathodes. *Energy Storage Mater.* **2020**, *27*, 232-243.
8. Rauh, R. D.; Abraham, K. M.; Pearson, G. F.; Surprenant, J. K.; Brummer, S. B., A Lithium/Solvent Sulfur Battery with an Organic Electrolyte. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1979**, *126*, 523-527.
9. Bruce, P. G.; Freunberger, S. A.; Hardwick, L. J.; Tarascon, J.-M., Li-O_2 and Li-S Batteries with High Energy Storage. *Nat. Mater.* **2012**, *11*, 19-29.
10. Yao, X.; Luo, J.; Dong, Q.; Wang, D., A Rechargeable Non-Aqueous Mg-Br_2 Battery. *Nano Energy* **2016**, *28*, 440-446.
11. Tian, H.; Gao, T.; Li, X.; Wang, X.; Luo, C.; Fan, X.; Yang, C.; Suo, L.; Ma, Z.; Han, W.; Wang, C., High Power Rechargeable Magnesium/Iodine Battery Chemistry. *Nat. Comm.* **2017**, *8*, 14083.

12. Zhao-Karger, Z.; Liu, R.; Dai, W.; Li, Z.; Diemant, T.; Vinayan, B. P.; Bonatto Minella, C.; Yu, X.; Manthiram, A.; Behm, R. J.; Ruben, M.; Fichtner, M., Toward Highly Reversible Magnesium–Sulfur Batteries with Efficient and Practical $\text{Mg}[\text{B}(\text{hfp})_4]_2$ Electrolyte. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2018**, *3*, 2005-2013.
13. Cohn, G.; Ma, L.; Archer, L. A., A Novel Non-Aqueous Aluminum Sulfur Battery. *J. Power Sources* **2015**, *283*, 416-422.
14. Mikhaylik, Y. V.; Akridge, J. R., Polysulfide Shuttle Study in the Li/S Battery System. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2004**, *151*, A1969-A1976.
15. Manthiram, A.; Fu, Y.; Su, Y.-S., Challenges and Prospects of Lithium–Sulfur Batteries. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2013**, *46*, 1125-1134.
16. Ren, W.; Ma, W.; Zhang, S.; Tang, B., Recent Advances in Shuttle Effect Inhibition for Lithium Sulfur Batteries. *Energy Storage Mater.* **2019**, *23*, 707-732.
17. Pang, Q.; Kundu, D.; Cuisinier, M.; Nazar, L. F., Surface-Enhanced Redox Chemistry of Polysulphides on a Metallic and Polar Host for Lithium-Sulphur Batteries. *Nat. Comm.* **2014**, *5*, 4759.
18. Ma, G.; Wen, Z.; Jin, J.; Lu, Y.; Wu, X.; Wu, M.; Chen, C., Hollow Polyaniline Sphere@Sulfur Composites for Prolonged Cycling Stability of Lithium–Sulfur Batteries. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2014**, *2*, 10350-10354.
19. Wei Seh, Z.; Li, W.; Cha, J. J.; Zheng, G.; Yang, Y.; McDowell, M. T.; Hsu, P.-C.; Cui, Y., Sulphur– TiO_2 Yolk–Shell Nanoarchitecture with Internal Void Space for Long-Cycle Lithium–Sulphur Batteries. *Nat. Comm.* **2013**, *4*, 1331.
20. Zhao, C.-Z.; Cheng, X.-B.; Zhang, R.; Peng, H.-J.; Huang, J.-Q.; Ran, R.; Huang, Z.-H.; Wei, F.; Zhang, Q., Li_2S_5 -Based Ternary-Salt Electrolyte for Robust Lithium Metal Anode. *Energy Storage Mater.* **2016**, *3*, 77-84.
21. Barghamadi, M.; Best, A. S.; Bhatt, A. I.; Hollenkamp, A. F.; Musameh, M.; Rees, R. J.; Rüther, T., Lithium–Sulfur Batteries—the Solution is in the Electrolyte, but is the Electrolyte a Solution? *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2014**, *7*, 3902-3920.
22. Yu, X.; Bi, Z.; Zhao, F.; Manthiram, A., Hybrid Lithium–Sulfur Batteries with a Solid Electrolyte Membrane and Lithium Polysulfide Catholyte. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2015**, *7*, 16625-16631.
23. Wang, Q.; Jin, J.; Wu, X.; Ma, G.; Yang, J.; Wen, Z., A Shuttle Effect Free Lithium Sulfur Battery Based on a Hybrid Electrolyte. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *16*, 21225-21229.
24. Manthiram, A.; Li, L., Hybrid and Aqueous Lithium-Air Batteries. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2015**, *5*, 1401302.
25. Son, S.-B.; Gao, T.; Harvey, S. P.; Steirer, K. X.; Stokes, A.; Norman, A.; Wang, C.; Cresce, A.; Xu, K.; Ban, C., An Artificial Interphase Enables Reversible Magnesium Chemistry in Carbonate Electrolytes. *Nat. Chem.* **2018**, *10*, 532-539.
26. Wang, L.; Wang, Y.; Xia, Y., A High Performance Lithium-Ion Sulfur Battery Based on a Li_2S Cathode Using a Dual-Phase Electrolyte. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *8*, 1551-1558.
27. Sun, Y., Lithium Ion Conducting Membranes for Lithium-Air Batteries. *Nano Energy* **2013**, *2*, 801-816.

28. Busche, M. R.; Drossel, T.; Leichtweiss, T.; Weber, D. A.; Falk, M.; Schneider, M.; Reich, M.-L.; Sommer, H.; Adelhelm, P.; Janek, J., Dynamic Formation of a Solid-Liquid Electrolyte Interphase and its Consequences for Hybrid-Battery Concepts. *Nat. Chem.* **2016**, *8*, 426-434.
29. Wang, S.; Ding, Y.; Zhou, G.; Yu, G.; Manthiram, A., Durability of the $\text{Li}_{1+x}\text{Ti}_2\text{-xAl}_k(\text{PO}_4)_3$ Solid Electrolyte in Lithium–Sulfur Batteries. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2016**, *1*, 1080-1085.
30. Gu, S.; Huang, X.; Wang, Q.; Jin, J.; Wang, Q.; Wen, Z.; Qian, R., A Hybrid Electrolyte for Long-Life Semi-Solid-State Lithium Sulfur Batteries. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2017**, *5*, 13971-13975.
31. Yu, X.; Bi, Z.; Zhao, F.; Manthiram, A., Polysulfide-Shuttle Control in Lithium-Sulfur Batteries with a Chemically/Electrochemically Compatible NaSICON-type Solid Electrolyte. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2016**, *6*, 1601392.
32. Yu, X.; Manthiram, A., Enhanced Interfacial Stability of Hybrid-Electrolyte Lithium-Sulfur Batteries with a Layer of Multifunctional Polymer with Intrinsic Nanoporosity. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2019**, *29*, 1805996.
33. Naguib, M.; Sharafi, A.; Self, E. C.; Meyer, H. M.; Sakamoto, J.; Nanda, J., Interfacial Reactions and Performance of $\text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12}$ -Stabilized Li–Sulfur Hybrid Cell. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2019**, *11*, 42042-42048.
34. Seino, Y.; Ota, T.; Takada, K.; Hayashi, A.; Tatsumisago, M., A Sulphide Lithium Super ion Conductor is Superior to Liquid Ion Conductors for Use in Rechargeable Batteries. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2014**, *7*, 627-631.
35. Kamaya, N.; Homma, K.; Yamakawa, Y.; Hirayama, M.; Kanno, R.; Yonemura, M.; Kamiyama, T.; Kato, Y.; Hama, S.; Kawamoto, K.; Mitsui, Akio, A Lithium Superionic Conductor. *Nat. Mater.* **2011**, *10*, 682-686.
36. Philip, M. A.; Sullivan, P. T.; Zhang, R.; Wooley, G. A.; Kohn, S. A.; Gewirth, A. A., Improving Cell Resistance and Cycle Life with Solvate-Coated Thiophosphate Solid Electrolytes in Lithium Batteries. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2019**, *11*, 2014-2021.
37. Oh, D. Y.; Nam, Y. J.; Park, K. H.; Jung, S. H.; Cho, S.-J.; Kim, Y. K.; Lee, Y.-G.; Lee, S.-Y.; Jung, Y. S., Excellent Compatibility of Solvate Ionic Liquids with Sulfide Solid Electrolytes: Toward Favorable Ionic Contacts in Bulk-Type All-Solid-State Lithium-Ion Batteries. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2015**, *5*, 1500865.
38. Lin, Z.; Liu, Z.; Fu, W.; Dudney, N. J.; Liang, C., Phosphorous Pentasulfide as a Novel Additive for High-Performance Lithium-Sulfur Batteries. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2013**, *23*, 1064-1069.
39. Pang, Q.; Liang, X.; Shyamsunder, A.; Nazar, L. F., An in vivo Formed Solid Electrolyte Surface Layer Enables Stable Plating of Li Metal. *Joule* **2017**, *1*, 871-886.
40. Liang, J.; Li, X.; Zhao, Y.; Goncharova, L. V.; Wang, G.; Adair, K. R.; Wang, C.; Li, R.; Zhu, Y.; Qian, Y.; Zhang, L.; Yang, R.; Lu, S.; Sun, X., In situ Li_3PS_4 Solid-State Electrolyte Protection Layers for Superior Long-Life and High-Rate Lithium-Metal Anodes. *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 1804684.

41. Lu, Y.; Gu, S.; Hong, X.; Rui, K.; Huang, X.; Jin, J.; Chen, C.; Yang, J.; Wen, Z., Pre-Modified Li_3PS_4 Based Interphase for Lithium Anode Towards High-Performance Li-S Battery. *Energy Storage Mater.* **2018**, *11*, 16-23.
42. Xiong, S.; Xie, K.; Diao, Y.; Hong, X., Characterization of the Solid Electrolyte Interphase on Lithium Anode for Preventing the Shuttle Mechanism in Lithium–Sulfur Batteries. *J. Power Sources* **2014**, *246*, 840-845.
43. Hayashi, A.; Hama, S.; Minami, T.; Tatsumisago, M., Formation of Superionic Crystals from Mechanically Milled Li_2S – P_2S_5 Glasses. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2003**, *5*, 111-114.
44. Chen, S.; Xie, D.; Liu, G.; Mwizerwa, J. P.; Zhang, Q.; Zhao, Y.; Xu, X.; Yao, X., Sulfide Solid Electrolytes for All-Solid-State Lithium Batteries: Structure, Conductivity, Stability and Application. *Energy Storage Mater.* **2018**, *14*, 58-74.
45. Xue, B.; Fan, B.; Li, B.; Chen, L.; Wang, F.; Luo, Z.; Zhang, X.; Ma, H., Solvent-Assisted Ball Milling for Synthesizing Solid Electrolyte $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **2019**, *102*, 3402-3410.
46. Ito, S.; Nakakita, M.; Aihara, Y.; Uehara, T.; Machida, N., A Synthesis of Crystalline $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ Solid Electrolyte from 1,2-Dimethoxyethane Solvent. *J. Power Sources* **2014**, *271*, 342-345.
47. Wang, Y.; Lu, D.; Bowden, M.; El Khoury, P. Z.; Han, K. S.; Deng, Z. D.; Xiao, J.; Zhang, J.-G.; Liu, J., Mechanism of Formation of $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ Solid Electrolytes through Liquid Phase Synthesis. *Chem. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 990-997.
48. Fan, B.; Zhang, Q.; Luo, Z.; Zhang, X.; Ma, H.; Fan, P.; Xue, B., Influence of Precipitate/Supernatant Ratio during Liquid-Phase Synthesis of Solid Electrolyte $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$. *Solid State Ionics* **2019**, *343*, 115073.
49. Weiss, M.; Seidlhofer, B.-K.; Geiß, M.; Geis, C.; Busche, M. R.; Becker, M.; Vargas-Barbosa, N. M.; Silvi, L.; Zeier, W. G.; Schröder, D.; Janek, J., Unraveling the Formation Mechanism of Solid–Liquid Electrolyte Interphases on LiPON Thin Films. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2019**, *11*, 9539-9547.
50. Wang, Z.; Jiang, Y.; Wu, J.; Jiang, Y.; Huang, S.; Zhao, B.; Chen, Z.; Zhang, J., Reaction Mechanism of Li_2S – P_2S_5 System in Acetonitrile Based on Wet Chemical Synthesis of $\text{Li}_7\text{P}_3\text{S}_{11}$ Solid Electrolyte. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2020**, *393*, 124706.
51. Wang, H.; Hood, Z. D.; Xia, Y.; Liang, C., Fabrication of Ultrathin Solid Electrolyte Membranes of β - Li_3PS_4 Nanoflakes by Evaporation-Induced Self-Assembly for All-Solid-State Batteries. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2016**, *4*, 8091-8096.
52. Liu, Z.; Fu, W.; Payzant, E. A.; Yu, X.; Wu, Z.; Dudney, N. J.; Kiggans, J.; Hong, K.; Rondinone, A. J.; Liang, C., Anomalous High Ionic Conductivity of Nanoporous β - Li_3PS_4 . *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 975-978.
53. Krauskopf, T.; Richter, F. H.; Zeier, W. G.; Janek, J., Physicochemical Concepts of the Lithium Metal Anode in Solid-State Batteries. *Chem. Rev.* **2020**.
54. Porz, L.; Swamy, T.; Sheldon, B. W.; Rettenwander, D.; Frömling, T.; Thaman, H. L.; Berendts, S.; Uecker, R.; Carter, W. C.; Chiang, Y.-M., Mechanism of Lithium Metal Penetration through Inorganic Solid Electrolytes. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2017**, *7*, 1701003.

55. Xu, R.; Han, F.; Ji, X.; Fan, X.; Tu, J.; Wang, C., Interface Engineering of Sulfide Electrolytes for All-Solid-State Lithium Batteries. *Nano Energy* **2018**, *53*, 958-966.
56. Kızılaslan, A.; Çetinkaya, T.; Akbulut, H., 2H-MoS₂ as an Artificial Solid Electrolyte Interface in All-Solid-State Lithium–Sulfur Batteries. *Adv. Mater. Interfaces* **2020**, *7*, 2001020.
- 57s. Lee, Y.-G.; Fujiki, S.; Jung, C.; Suzuki, N.; Yashiro, N.; Omoda, R.; Ko, D.-S.; Shiratsuchi, T.; Sugimoto, T.; Ryu, S.; Ku, J.; Watanabe, T.; Park, Y.; Aihara, Y.; Im, D.; Han, I.T., High-Energy Long-Cycling All-Solid-State Lithium Metal Batteries Enabled by Silver–Carbon Composite Anodes. *Nat. Energy* **2020**, *5*, 299-308.
58. Yersak, T. A.; Salvador, J. R.; Pieczonka, N. P. W.; Cai, M., Dense, Melt Cast Sulfide Glass Electrolyte Separators for Li Metal Batteries. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2019**, *166*, A1535-A1542.
59. Zhu, Y.; He, X.; Mo, Y., Origin of Outstanding Stability in the Lithium Solid Electrolyte Materials: Insights from Thermodynamic Analyses Based on First-Principles Calculations. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2015**, *7*, 23685-23693.
60. Li, M.; Bai, Z.; Li, Y.; Ma, L.; Dai, A.; Wang, X.; Luo, D.; Wu, T.; Liu, P.; Yang, L.; Amine, K.; Chen, Z.; Lu, J., Electrochemically Primed Functional Redox Mediator Generator from the Decomposition of Solid State Electrolyte. *Nat. Comm.* **2019**, *10*, 1890.
61. Hayashi, A.; Minami, K.; Mizuno, F.; Tatsumisago, M., Formation of Li⁺ Superionic Crystals from the Li₂S–P₂S₅ Melt-Quenched Glasses. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2008**, *43*, 1885-1889.
62. Ohtomo, T.; Hayashi, A.; Tatsumisago, M.; Tsuchida, Y.; Hama, S.; Kawamoto, K., All-Solid-State Lithium Secondary Batteries Using the 75Li₂S·25P₂S₅ Glass and the 70Li₂S·30P₂S₅ Glass–Ceramic as Solid Electrolytes. *J. Power Sources* **2013**, *233*, 231-235.
63. Miura, A.; Rosero-Navarro, N. C.; Sakuda, A.; Tadanaga, K.; Phuc, N. H. H.; Matsuda, A.; Machida, N.; Hayashi, A.; Tatsumisago, M., Liquid-Phase Syntheses of Sulfide Electrolytes for All-Solid-State Lithium Battery. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* **2019**, *3*, 189-198.
64. Zheng, J.; Gu, M.; Chen, H.; Meduri, P.; Engelhard, M. H.; Zhang, J.-G.; Liu, J.; Xiao, J., Ionic Liquid-Enhanced Solid State Electrolyte Interface (SEI) for Lithium–Sulfur Batteries. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2013**, *1*, 8464-8470.
65. Tanibata, N.; Tsukasaki, H.; Deguchi, M.; Mori, S.; Hayashi, A.; Tatsumisago, M., A Novel Discharge–Charge Mechanism of a S–P₂S₅ Composite Electrode without Electrolytes in All-Solid-State Li/S Batteries. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2017**, *5*, 11224-11228.
66. Dietrich, C.; Koerver, R.; Gaultois, M. W.; Kieslich, G.; Cibir, G.; Janek, J.; Zeier, W. G., Spectroscopic Characterization of Lithium Thiophosphates by XPS and XAS – A Model to Help Monitor Interfacial Reactions in All-Solid-State Batteries. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *20*, 20088-20095.
67. Aurbach, D.; Pollak, E.; Elazari, R.; Salitra, G.; Kelley, C. S.; Affinito, J., On the Surface Chemical Aspects of Very High Energy Density, Rechargeable Li–Sulfur Batteries. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2009**, *156*, A694-A702.

68. Liang, X.; Wen, Z.; Liu, Y.; Wu, M.; Jin, J.; Zhang, H.; Wu, X., Improved Cycling Performances of Lithium Sulfur Batteries with LiNO_3 -Modified Electrolyte. *J. Power Sources* **2011**, *196*, 9839-9843.

Accepted manuscript