Entanglement and Wigner Function Negativity of Multimode Non-Gaussian States

Mattia Walschaers, Claude Fabre, Valentina Parigi, Nicolas Treps

► To cite this version:

Mattia Walschaers, Claude Fabre, Valentina Parigi, Nicolas Treps. Entanglement and Wigner Function Negativity of Multimode Non-Gaussian States. *Physical Review Letters*, 2017, 119 (18), 10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.183601 . hal-03037564

HAL Id: hal-03037564

<https://hal.science/hal-03037564>

Submitted on 3 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Entanglement and Wigner Function Negativity of Multimode Non-Gaussian States

Mattia Walschaers,^{*} Claude Fabre, Valentina Parigi, and Nicolas Treps
*Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, UPMC-Sorbonne Universités, ENS-PSL Research University,
Collège de France, CNRS; 4 place Jussieu, F-75252 Paris, France*

(Received 10 July 2017; published 31 October 2017)

Non-Gaussian operations are essential to exploit the quantum advantages in optical continuous variable quantum information protocols. We focus on mode-selective photon addition and subtraction as experimentally promising processes to create multimode non-Gaussian states. Our approach is based on correlation functions, as is common in quantum statistical mechanics and condensed matter physics, mixed with quantum optics tools. We formulate an analytical expression of the Wigner function after the subtraction or addition of a single photon, for arbitrarily many modes. It is used to demonstrate entanglement properties specific to non-Gaussian states and also leads to a practical and elegant condition for Wigner function negativity. Finally, we analyze the potential of photon addition and subtraction for an experimentally generated multimode Gaussian state.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.183601

Introduction.—Even though the first commercial implementations of genuine quantum technologies are lurking around the corner [1–5], much remains uncertain about the optimal platform for implementing quantum functions [6–8]. However, it is clear that optics will play a major role in real-world implementations of these technologies [6]. Optical setups have the major advantage [9] of being highly robust against decoherence while also manifesting high clock rates.

In an all-optical setting, there are various approaches to quantum information protocols, grouped in two classes according to the way information is encoded. Setups which use a few photons, and therefore also rely on single-photon detection to finally extract information, are referred to as discrete variable approaches. On the other hand, the continuous variable (CV) regime [10] resorts to the quadratures of the electromagnetic field, ultimately requiring a homodyne detection scheme [11]. The major advantage of the latter is the deterministic generation of quantum resources, e.g., entanglement between up to millions of modes [12]. Such multimode entangled states, however, remain Gaussian, which implies that their CV properties can be simulated using classical computational resources [13,14]. Hence, if a quantum information protocol is to manifest a quantum advantage, it requires non-Gaussian operations.

Here, we focus on two specific non-Gaussian operations: photon addition and subtraction [15–18]. In the single-mode case, these processes are described and understood in a reasonably straightforward way (see, e.g., [19]). Even though multimode scenarios prove to be much more challenging [20], mode-selective coherent photon subtraction is gradually coming within range [21]. In two-mode setups, these states have proven their potential, e.g., in the context of entanglement distillation [22–24]. However, the quantum properties of general multimode photon-added and -subtracted states remain unclear.

In this Letter, we present an exact and elegant expression for Wigner functions of the state obtained from the addition or subtraction of a single photon to a general multimode Gaussian state. We derive the conditions for achieving negativity in this Wigner function, which are needed for the states to potentially manifest a quantum advantage [25]. Moreover, we explain how the multiple modes in an experimental setup [26] can be entangled through mode-selective coherent photon addition or subtraction. For pure states, this entanglement is inherent in the sense that it cannot be destroyed by passive linear optics.

Optical phase space.—The modal structure of light is essential throughout this work. In classical optics, a mode $u(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is simply a normalized solution to Maxwell's equations. Multimode light is thus a sum of electric fields with complex amplitudes, $\sum_j (x_j + ip_j)u_j(\mathbf{r}, t)$, associated with a specific mode basis $\{u_j(\mathbf{r}, t)\}$. For each mode in this decomposition, the real and imaginary part of the electric field are, respectively, the amplitude and phase quadratures. Thus, light comprised of m modes is described by $2m$ quadratures which are represented by a vector $f = (x_1, \dots, x_m, p_1, \dots, p_m)^t \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}$.

The same light can be represented in different mode bases, which boils down to changing the basis of $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$. This implies that any normalized vector $f \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^{2m})$ can be associated with a single mode [27]. However, the fact that quadratures always come in pairs induces additional structure on our space. This is described by a matrix J that connects phase to amplitude quadratures and induces a symplectic structure. For this matrix, we have that $J^2 = -\mathbb{1}$ and $(Jf_1, Jf_2) = (f_1, f_2)$, for all $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^{2m})$, where $(\dots)$ denotes the inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$. Because of this symplectic structure, we now refer to $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ as the optical phase space. Furthermore, the space generated by $f \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^{2m})$, and its symplectic partner Jf , is itself a phase space associated with a single mode.

The optical phase space is a basic structure from classical optics which must be quantized to study problems in quantum optics. To do so, we associate a quadrature operator $Q(f)$ to every $f \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^{2m})$. To be compatible with different mode bases, $Q(x_1 f_1 + x_2 f_2) = x_1 Q(f_1) + x_2 Q(f_2)$ must hold for any $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^{2m})$ such that $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1$. In addition, they also obey the canonical commutation relations [28,29]:

$$[Q(f_1), Q(f_2)] = -2i(f_1, Jf_2), \quad (1)$$

which are scaled to set the shot noise to one. Moreover, these quadrature operators are narrowly connected to the creation and annihilation operators $a^\dagger(g) = [Q(g) - iQ(Jg)]/2$ and $a(g) = [Q(g) + iQ(Jg)]/2$, respectively. Note that $g \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^{2m})$ denotes the mode in which a photon will be added or subtracted. One directly sees that $a(Jg) = ia(g)$, relating the action of photon creation or annihilation on different quadratures of a two-dimensional phase space to different phases.

Truncated correlations.—We use the density matrix ρ to represent the quantum state and deduce the statistics of quadrature measurements. This Letter focuses on multimode Gaussian states ρ_G , with expectation values denoted by $\langle \cdot \rangle_G$, which are de-Gaussified through the mode-selective addition or subtraction of a photon. These procedures induce new states given by

$$\rho_+ = \frac{a^\dagger(g)\rho_G a(g)}{\langle \hat{n}(g) \rangle_G + 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_- = \frac{a(g)\rho_G a^\dagger(g)}{\langle \hat{n}(g) \rangle_G}, \quad (2)$$

for addition and subtraction, respectively. The latter process has already been implemented experimentally [21] following the recipe of Ref. [20]. In line with these experiments, we will first assume that $\langle Q(f) \rangle_G = 0$, such that the initial Gaussian state is not displaced. The remainder of this Letter will deal with the characterization of these quantum states. Our initial tool to do so is the truncated correlation function, recursively defined as

$$\langle Q(f_1) \dots Q(f_n) \rangle_T = \text{tr}\{\rho Q(f_1) \dots Q(f_n)\} - \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \prod_{I \in P} \langle Q(f_{I_1}) \dots Q(f_{I_r}) \rangle_T, \quad (3)$$

where we sum over the set $\mathcal{P}$ of all possible partitions P of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. In short, the n -point truncated correlation subtracts all possible factorizations of the total correlation. Hence, the truncated correlation functions are a multimode generalization of cumulants. These functions are the perfect tools to characterize Gaussian states, since they have the property that $\langle Q(f_1) \dots Q(f_n) \rangle_T = 0$ for all $n > 2$ and all $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^{2m})$. On the other hand, this implies that non-Gaussian states must have nonvanishing truncated correlations of higher orders.

Through the linearity of the expectation value, we first calculate that the two-point correlation of photon-added (“+”) and -subtracted (“−”) states is given by

$$\langle Q(f_1)Q(f_2) \rangle^\pm = \langle Q(f_1)Q(f_2) \rangle_G + (f_1, A_g^\pm f_2), \quad (4)$$

where $\langle Q(f_1)Q(f_2) \rangle_G = (f_1, V f_2) - i(f_1, J f_2)$, with V the Gaussian state’s covariance matrix. The imaginary part of $\langle Q(f_1)Q(f_2) \rangle_G$ is directly inherited from (1), whereas the final term in (4) is a consequence of the photon-subtraction process. A straightforward calculation identifies

$$A_g^\pm = 2 \frac{(V \pm \mathbb{1})(P_g + P_{Jg})(V \pm \mathbb{1})}{\text{tr}\{(V \pm \mathbb{1})(P_g + P_{Jg})\}}, \quad (5)$$

where P_g is the projector on $g \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^{2m})$, such that $P_g + P_{Jg}$ projects on the two-dimensional phase space associated with mode g . However, the two-point correlations (4) do not offer direct insight in the non-Gaussian properties of the state. Measuring higher-order truncated correlations immediately shows a more refined perspective. Indeed, after some combinatorics, we obtain [30] that, for all $k > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Q(f_1) \dots Q(f_{2k-1}) \rangle_T^\pm &= 0, \\ \langle Q(f_1) \dots Q(f_{2k}) \rangle_T^\pm &= (-1)^{k-1} (k-1)! \\ &\times \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}^{(2)}} \prod_{I \in P} (f_{I_1}, A_g^\pm f_{I_2}), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\mathcal{P}^{(2)}$ is the set of all pair partitions [31]. The prevalence of these correlations is immediately the first profoundly non-Gaussian characteristic of these single-photon-added and -subtracted multimode states.

Wigner function.—While the truncated correlations themselves may provide good signatures of non-Gaussianity, they do not directly allow us to extract quantum features such as negativity of the Wigner function. However, they are directly connected to the Wigner function via the characteristic function $\chi(\alpha) = \text{tr}(e^{iQ(\alpha)} \rho_\pm)$, for any point $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}$ in phase space [32]. It can be shown [29] that this function can be written in terms of the cumulants:

$$\chi(\alpha) = \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{i^n}{n!} \langle Q(\alpha)^n \rangle_T \right). \quad (8)$$

We then combine (8) with (6) to obtain the Wigner function as the Fourier transform of χ , which leads to a particularly elegant expression, and the key result of this Letter (see [30] for technical details):

$$W^\pm(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} [(\beta, V^{-1} A_g^\pm V^{-1} \beta) - \text{tr}(V^{-1} A_g^\pm) + 2] W_0(\beta), \quad (9)$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}$ can be any point in the optical phase space. $W_0(\beta) = (2\pi)^{-m} (\det V)^{-1/2} \exp[-(\beta, V^{-1} \beta)/2]$ is the initial Gaussian state’s Wigner function.

Entanglement.—With the Wigner function (9), we have the ideal tool at hand to study the quantum properties of multimode photon-added and -subtracted states. First, we use it to investigate their separability under passive linear optics transformations. We will refer to a state as passively

separable whenever we can find a mode basis where the state is *fully* separable, i.e., where the Wigner function can be written as

$$W(\beta) = \int d\lambda p(\lambda) W_\lambda^{(1)}(\beta_x^{(1)}, \beta_p^{(1)}) \dots W_\lambda^{(m)}(\beta_x^{(m)}, \beta_p^{(m)}), \quad (10)$$

with $p(\lambda)$ a probability distribution and λ a way of labeling states. The $\beta_q^{(j)}$ are the coordinates of the vector β in the symplectic basis where the state is separable. If no such symplectic basis exists, the state can never be rendered separable by passive linear optics, and we refer to it as inherently entangled.

We approach this question, starting from the initial Gaussian state ρ_G , which generally is mixed, characterized by the covariance matrix V . This implies [33] natural decompositions of the form $V = V_s + V_c$, with V_c and V_s interpreted as covariance matrices: V_s is associated with a pure squeezed vacuum ρ_s , to which we add classical Gaussian noise given by V_c . There are many possible choices for such V_s and V_c , which all allow for a rewriting of the Gaussian state in the form

$$\rho_G = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2m}} d^{2m}\xi D(\xi) \rho_s D^\dagger(\xi) \frac{\exp(-\frac{(\xi, V_c^{-1}\xi)}{2})}{(2\pi)^m \sqrt{\det V_c}}, \quad (11)$$

where $D(\xi) = \exp[iQ(J\xi)/2]$ is the displacement operator. When we insert (11) in (2), we can now rewrite the photon-added or -subtracted Gaussian mixed state as a statistical mixture of photon-added or -subtracted displaced Gaussian pure states. After a cumbersome calculation invoking the commutation relations between creation, annihilation, and displacement operators, we find the following convex decomposition of the Wigner function (9):

$$W^\pm(\beta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2m}} d^{2m}\xi W_\xi^\pm(\beta) p_c^\pm(\xi), \quad (12)$$

where

$$p_c^\pm(\xi) = \frac{\text{tr}[(V_s + \|\xi\|^2 P_\xi \pm \mathbb{1})(P_g + P_{Jg})] e^{-\frac{(\xi, V_c^{-1}\xi)}{2}}}{\text{tr}[(V \pm \mathbb{1})(P_g + P_{Jg})] (2\pi)^m \sqrt{\det V_c}} \quad (13)$$

is a classical probability distribution. Indeed, it is straightforwardly verified that it is positive and normalized. In addition, the Wigner function for a displaced photon-added (+) or -subtracted state (−) is found to be equal to [34]

$$\begin{aligned} W_\xi^\pm(\beta) = & \frac{W_s(\beta - \xi)}{\text{tr}[(V_s + \|\xi\|^2 P_\xi \pm \mathbb{1})(P_g + P_{Jg})]} \\ & \times \{ \|(P_g + P_{Jg})(\mathbb{1} \pm V_s^{-1})(\beta - \xi)\|^2 \\ & + 2(\xi, (P_g + P_{Jg})(\mathbb{1} \pm V_s^{-1})(\beta - \xi)) \\ & + \text{tr}[(P_g + P_{Jg})(\|\xi\|^2 P_\xi - V_s^{-1} \mp \mathbb{1})] \}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

W_s denotes the Wigner function of the squeezed vacuum state with covariance matrix V_s . Because $W_\xi^\pm(\beta)$ is the Wigner function for a pure state, passive separability follows from the existence of a mode basis where $W_\xi^\pm(\beta)$ is factorized.

Since $W_\xi^\pm(\beta)$ represents the initial Gaussian state multiplied by a polynomial, it can be factorized only in the basis where $W_s(\beta)$ is factorized. The polynomial is fully governed by the vector $(P_g + P_{Jg})(\mathbb{1} \pm V_s^{-1})(\beta - \xi)$, which is contained in the two-dimensional phase space associated with the addition or subtraction mode. Hence, $W_\xi^\pm(\beta)$ factorizes if and only if the photon is added or subtracted to one of the modes that factorizes $W_s(\beta)$. In other words, when we consider a pure Gaussian state in the mode basis where it is separable, we can induce entanglement by subtracting (or adding) a photon in a superposition of these modes. Moreover, it is impossible to undo the induced entanglement by passive linear optics. This induced entanglement is thus of a different nature than Gaussian entanglement and is potentially important for quantum information protocols.

Furthermore, because (12) is valid for every possible choice of V_s , we obtain that the state is passively separable whenever the subtraction or addition takes place in a mode which is part of a mode basis for which the initial Gaussian state is separable. For mixed initial states, it is unclear that subtraction or addition in a mode which is *not* part of such a mode basis automatically leads to inherent entanglement, because also convex decompositions which are not of the form (12) must be considered. Note that alternative methods exist to assess the entanglement of general CV states [35,36]. However, these methods are not appropriate to gain an analytical understanding of a whole class of states.

To illustrate the pure state result, we resort to an entanglement measure which is easily calculated from the Wigner function, the purity of a reduced state [37]. We study the entangling potential of photon subtraction and addition from a pure Gaussian state derived from an experimentally generated 16-mode covariance matrix V_{exp} [26]. We use the Williamson decomposition to separate V_{exp} into a pure multimode squeezed state V_{exp}^p and thermal noise and ignore this thermal contribution [38]. The squeezed mode basis of V_{exp}^p is referred to as the basis of supermodes. The single photon is added or subtracted in a random superposition of supermodes characterized by a random $g \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^{2m})$.

In Fig. 1, we investigate the entanglement of mode g to the rest of the system. We obtain the reduced state's Wigner function $W_{(g)}^\pm(\beta')$ (where $\beta' \in \text{span}\{g, Jg\}$) by integrating out all modes but the one associated with g . We then find the purity μ by evaluating [37]

$$\mu = 4\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2\beta' |W_{(g)}^\pm(\beta')|^2. \quad (15)$$

FIG. 1. Purities (15) μ of Wigner functions for the reduced state, with all modes but mode g , in which addition or subtraction takes place, integrated out, compared to purities μ_0 of the same mode's reduced state before photon addition or subtraction (i.e., μ_0 is obtained from the initial pure Gaussian state). Each point is a different realization of a random choice for $g \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^{2m})$, generated by choosing components from a standard normal distribution and subsequently normalizing g . The red line indicates the cases where $\mu = \mu_0$. Lower purities imply higher entanglement.

The smaller the value of μ , the more strongly the mode g is entangled to the remainder of the system. However, because we consider the entanglement of a superposition of supermodes to the remainder of the system, the mode g will already be entangled in the initial Gaussian state. Therefore, we also evaluate the purity μ_0 obtained when the initial Gaussian state $W_0(\beta)$ is reduced to mode g . We see in Fig. 1 that both the addition and subtraction of a photon lower the purity of the reduced state, hence increasing the entanglement between the mode of subtraction or addition and the other 15 modes, a multimode generalization of what was observed for two modes [23]. Importantly, it is shown that photon subtraction typically leads to lower purities and thus distills more entanglement, which is in agreement with other recent work [39].

Wigner function negativity.—Entanglement alone is, however, insufficient to reach a potential quantum advantage; we also require Wigner functions which are negative for certain regions of phase space [25]. In pursuit of this goal, it is directly seen that the Wigner function (9) becomes negative if (and only if) $(\beta, V^{-1}A_g^\pm V^{-1}\beta) - \text{tr}(V^{-1}A_g^\pm) + 2 < 0$ for some values of β . Because $(\beta, V^{-1}A_g^\pm V^{-1}\beta) \geq 0$, we can derive a particularly elegant *necessary and sufficient* condition for the existence of negative values of the Wigner function:

$$\begin{aligned} (g, V^{-1}g) + (Jg, V^{-1}Jg) &> 2 \quad \text{for subtraction,} \\ (g, V^{-1}g) + (Jg, V^{-1}Jg) &> -2 \quad \text{for addition.} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Through the combination of condition (16) with (5), we obtain a predictive tool that can be used to determine to (from) which modes $g \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^{2m})$ a photon can be added

FIG. 2. Test of negativity condition (16) for an experimentally obtained [26] Gaussian state, with simulated photon subtraction in a supermode (points), as obtained through the Bloch-Messiah decomposition. For points falling in the red zone, photon subtraction in the associated supermode (see the main text) leads to a negative Wigner function. The squeezing of the supermodes is indicated on the horizontal axis.

(subtracted) to render the Wigner function negative. Note, moreover, that inequality (16) for photon addition always holds, implying that the Wigner functions of a single-photon-added state is always negative.

We can now study the condition (16) for the experimental state, characterized by V_{exp} in the case of photon subtraction, where the Wigner function is not guaranteed to be negative. In Fig. 2, we subtract a single photon from a supermode, which leads to negativity only if the supermode is sufficiently squeezed (this is the case for merely three modes). Nevertheless, Fig. 3 shows that subtraction from a coherent superposition of supermodes has an advantage regarding the state's negativity. For 54% of the randomly chosen superpositions, i.e., random choices of $g \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^{2m})$, the Wigner function has a negative region. This underlines the potential of mode-selective photon subtraction to generate states with both a negative Wigner function and inherent entanglement.

FIG. 3. Test of negativity condition (16) for an experimentally obtained [26] Gaussian state, with simulated photon subtraction in a random superposition of supermodes. Realizations falling in the red zone ($\approx 54\%$ of the realizations) have negative Wigner functions.

Conclusions.—We obtained the Wigner function (9) which results from the mode-selective, pure addition or subtraction of a single photon to a nondisplaced Gaussian state by exploiting truncated correlations (6). We showed that subtraction and addition in a mode for which the initial Gaussian Wigner function takes the form (10) leaves the state passively separable; i.e., any entanglement can be undone by passive linear optics. For a pure state, subtraction and addition of a photon in any other modes leads to inherent entanglement. It remains an open question whether this result can be generalized to mixed states. Moreover, we used the form (9) to derive a practical witness (16) to predict whether the subtraction process induces negativity in the Wigner function (see also Figs. 2 and 3). Particularly relevant to current experimental progress is our conclusion that subtraction from a superposition of supermodes can produce inherently entangled states with nonpositive Wigner functions, thus paving the road to quantum supremacy applications.

This work is supported by the French National Research Agency projects COMB and SPOCQ and the European Union Grant QCUMBER (No. 665148). C. F. and N. T. acknowledge financial support from the Institut Universitaire de France.

*mattia.walschaers@lkb.upmc.fr

- [1] C. W. Chou, D. B. Hume, J. C. J. Koelemeij, D. J. Wineland, and T. Rosenband, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 070802 (2010).
- [2] S. Abend, M. Gebbe, M. Gersemann, H. Ahlers, H. Müntinga, E. Giese, N. Gaaloul, C. Schubert, C. Lämmerzahl, W. Ertmer, W. P. Schleich, and E. M. Rasel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 203003 (2016).
- [3] G. Popkin, *Science* **354**, 1090 (2016).
- [4] Q.-C. Sun, Y.-L. Mao, S.-J. Chen, W. Zhang, Y.-F. Jiang, Y.-B. Zhang, W.-J. Zhang, S. Miki, T. Yamashita, H. Terai, X. Jiang, T.-Y. Chen, L.-X. You, X.-F. Chen, Z. Wang, J.-Y. Fan, Q. Zhang, and J.-W. Pan, *Nat. Photonics* **10**, 671 (2016).
- [5] R. Valivarthi, M. I. G. Puigibert, Q. Zhou, G. H. Aguilar, V. B. Verma, F. Marsili, M. D. Shaw, S. W. Nam, D. Oblak, and W. Tittel, *Nat. Photonics* **10**, 676 (2016).
- [6] J. L. O'Brien, A. Furusawa, and J. Vučković, *Nat. Photonics* **3**, 687 (2009).
- [7] M. Veldhorst, C. H. Yang, J. C. C. Hwang, W. Huang, J. P. Dehollain, J. T. Muhonen, S. Simmons, A. Laucht, F. E. Hudson, K. M. Itoh, A. Morello, and A. S. Dzurak, *Nature (London)* **526**, 410 (2015).
- [8] M. Mohseni, P. Read, H. Neven, S. Boixo, V. Denchev, R. Babbush, A. Fowler, V. Smelyanskiy, and J. Martinis, *Nature* **543**, 171 (2017).
- [9] J. L. O'Brien, *Science* **318**, 1567 (2007).
- [10] S. L. Braunstein and P. van Loock, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **77**, 513 (2005).
- [11] S. Armstrong, J.-F. Morizur, J. Janousek, B. Hage, N. Treps, P. K. Lam, and H.-A. Bachor, *Nat. Commun.* **3**, 1026 (2012).
- [12] J.-i. Yoshikawa, S. Yokoyama, T. Kaji, C. Somphiphapthong, Y. Shiozawa, K. Makino, and A. Furusawa, *APL Photonics* **1**, 060801 (2016).
- [13] S. D. Bartlett, B. C. Sanders, S. L. Braunstein, and K. Nemoto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 097904 (2002).
- [14] S. Rahimi-Keshari, T. C. Ralph, and C. M. Caves, *Phys. Rev. X* **6**, 021039 (2016).
- [15] A. Ourjoumtsev, R. Tualle-Brouri, J. Laurat, and P. Grangier, *Science* **312**, 83 (2006).
- [16] V. Parigi, A. Zavatta, M. Kim, and M. Bellini, *Science* **317**, 1890 (2007).
- [17] A. Zavatta, V. Parigi, and M. Bellini, *Phys. Rev. A* **75**, 052106 (2007).
- [18] A. Zavatta, V. Parigi, M. S. Kim, and M. Bellini, *New J. Phys.* **10**, 123006 (2008).
- [19] M. Dakna, T. Anhut, T. Opatrný, L. Knöll, and D.-G. Welsch, *Phys. Rev. A* **55**, 3184 (1997).
- [20] V. Averchenko, C. Jacquard, V. Thiel, C. Fabre, and N. Treps, *New J. Phys.* **18**, 083042 (2016).
- [21] Y.-S. Ra, C. Jacquard, A. Dufour, C. Fabre, and N. Treps, *Phys. Rev. X* **7**, 031012 (2017).
- [22] A. Ourjoumtsev, A. Dantan, R. Tualle-Brouri, and P. Grangier, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 030502 (2007).
- [23] Y. Kurochkin, A. S. Prasad, and A. I. Lvovsky, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 070402 (2014).
- [24] H. Takahashi, J. S. Neergaard-Nielsen, M. Takeuchi, M. Takeoka, K. Hayasaka, A. Furusawa, and M. Sasaki, *Nat. Photonics* **4**, 178 (2010).
- [25] A. Mari and J. Eisert, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 230503 (2012).
- [26] Y. Cai, J. Roslund, G. Ferrini, F. Arzani, X. Xu, C. Fabre, and N. Treps, *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 15645 (2017).
- [27] We introduce the notion $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^{2m}) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^{2m} \mid \|f\| = 1\}$ to emphasize vectors associated with modes.
- [28] D. Petz, *An Invitation to the Algebra of Canonical Comutation Relations*, Leuven Notes in Mathematical and Theoretical Physics Series A, No. 2 (Leuven University Press, Leuven, 1990).
- [29] A. Verbeure, *Many-Body Boson Systems: Half a Century Later*, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics (Springer, New York, 2011).
- [30] M. Walschaers, C. Fabre, V. Parigi, and N. Treps, *arXiv*: 1708.08412.
- [31] A pair partition divides the set $\{f_1, \dots, f_{2k}\}$ up in k pairs.
- [32] Because of the linear structure $Q(x_1 f_1 + x_2 f_2) = x_1 Q(f_1) + x_2 Q(f_2)$, for any $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}$, $Q(f)$ can be defined for non-normalized $f \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}$.
- [33] J. Eisert and M. M. Wolf, in *Quantum Information with Continuous Variables of Atoms and Light*, edited by N. J. Cerf, G. Leuchs, and E. S. Polzik (Imperial College Press, London, 2007), pp. 23–42.
- [34] Equation (14) holds for general covariance matrices and is therefore the general Wigner function of photon addition and subtraction from a displaced Gaussian state.
- [35] E. Shchukin and W. Vogel, *Phys. Rev. A* **74**, 030302 (2006).
- [36] A. A. Valido, F. Levi, and F. Mintert, *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, 052321 (2014).
- [37] A. M. Ozorio de Almeida, in *Entanglement and Decoherence*, edited by A. Buchleitner, C. Viviescas, and M. Tiersch, Lecture Notes in Physics Vol. 768 (Springer, Berlin, 2009), pp. 157–219.
- [38] Thermal contribution V_c is smaller than V_{exp}^p as quantified by the Hilbert-Schmidt norm: $\|V_{\text{exp}}^p\|_{\text{HS}}/\|V_c\|_{\text{HS}} = 2.79773$.
- [39] T. Das, R. Prabhu, A. Sen(De), and U. Sen, *Phys. Rev. A* **93**, 052313 (2016).