The pH-Induced Selectivity Between Cysteine or Histidine Coordinated Heme in an Artificial alpha-Helical Metalloprotein

Karl J Koebke, Toni Kühn, Elisabeth Lojou, Borries Demeler, Barbara Schoepp-Cothenet, Olga Iranzo, Vincent L Pecoraro, Anabella Ivancich

► To cite this version:

Karl J Koebke, Toni Kühn, Elisabeth Lojou, Borries Demeler, Barbara Schoepp-Cothenet, et al.. The pH-Induced Selectivity Between Cysteine or Histidine Coordinated Heme in an Artificial alpha-Helical Metalloprotein. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 2021, 60 (8), pp.3974-3978. 10.1002/anie.202012673 . hal-03017501v3

HAL Id: hal-03017501

<https://hal.science/hal-03017501v3>

Submitted on 18 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Protein Design Hot Paper

How to cite: *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, 60, 3974–3978

International Edition: doi.org/10.1002/anie.202012673

German Edition: doi.org/10.1002/ange.202012673

The pH-Induced Selectivity Between Cysteine or Histidine Coordinated Heme in an Artificial α -Helical Metalloprotein

Karl J. Koebeke, Toni Kühn, Elisabeth Lojou, Borries Demeler, Barbara Schoepp-Cothenet, Olga Iranzo, Vincent L. Pecoraro,* and Anabella Ivancich*

Abstract: *De Novo metalloprotein design assesses the relationship between metal active site architecture and catalytic reactivity. Herein, we use an α -helical scaffold to control the iron coordination geometry when a heme cofactor is allowed to bind to either histidine or cysteine ligands, within a single artificial protein. Consequently, we uncovered a reversible pH-induced switch of the heme axial ligation within this simplified scaffold. Characterization of the specific heme coordination modes was done by using UV/Vis and Electron Paramagnetic Resonance spectroscopies. The penta- or hexa-coordinate thiolate heme ($9 \leq \text{pH} \leq 11$) and the penta-coordinate imidazole heme ($6 \leq \text{pH} \leq 8.5$) reproduces well the heme ligation in chloroperoxidases or cytP450 monooxygenases and peroxidases, respectively. The stability of heme coordination upon ferric/ferrous redox cycling is a crucial property of the construct. At basic pHs, the thiolate mini-heme protein can catalyze O_2 reduction when adsorbed onto a pyrolytic graphite electrode.*

Protein engineering has developed into a powerful tool to interrogate biomolecular function using well-defined changes to enzyme active site structure. *De Novo* protein design has emerged as a subfield allowing assessment of fundamental features controlling protein structure and function.^[1,2] In particular, *De Novo* metalloprotein design has provided detailed insight into the relationship between the architecture of metal active sites and electron transfer or catalytic reactions.^[3] In many cases, these synthetic systems utilize highly stable α -helical scaffolds capable of binding a broad range of metals and metal cofactors (reviewed in^[4]). Among

the most important and ubiquitous natural metalloenzymes are those containing the iron porphyrin cofactor, known as heme. Heme-containing proteins are involved in fundamental biological processes such as oxidative metabolism, oxygen storage and transport, signal transduction and drug metabolism.^[5] The heme site is capable of catalyzing a variety of biologically-relevant chemical reactions, such as disproportionation of hydrogen peroxide (catalases^[6]), oxidation and haloperoxidation of organic substrates (monofunctional heme peroxidases,^[7] bi-functional catalase-peroxidases^[8]) and oxygenation reactions (cytP450 monooxygenases (Figure S1) and nitric oxide synthases^[7]). Such a functional versatility relies upon variations in the heme coordination (i.e. His, Tyr, Cys or Met axial ligand(s)), as well as being significantly modulated by the heme environment (2nd coordination sphere and H-bond networks). Studies of heme active sites using mutational analysis, structural biology and molecular spectroscopy provide essential information on the natural systems that delimit the catalytic processes. Yet, the complexity of the natural systems often masks the specific behavior of the metal cofactor. For this reason, small artificial proteins capable of binding heme at specified sites are being developed.^[9,10] Our approach is to use α -helical scaffolds to provide ligation to the heme as cofactor to explore heme axial ligand selectivity, specifically hoping to define how one can control the iron coordination geometry when a heme is afforded an opportunity to bind either histidine (imidazole) or cysteine (thiolate) ligands. While many studies of *de novo* designed scaffolds with heme binding to nitrogenous ligands have been reported (reviewed in [11]), there have been limited studies examining either synthetic thiolate-bound Fe^{III} -porphyrin complexes ([12] and references therein) or His-to-Cys mutations in natural heme enzymes^[13,14] as structural models for the cytP450 monooxygenases and chloroperoxidases (CPO) thiolate axial ligands. The putative heme preference between such ligands has not been addressed within a single artificial protein. In this communication, we assess the preference of heme binding to self-assembling α -helical coiled coils as a function of pH. We uncover a remarkable pH-driven conversion of the heme ligation, between the 5-coordinated (5-c) histidine structures (peroxidase-like) at neutral pH to thiolate bound structures that are 5-c at $\text{pH} \geq 9$ or 6-coordinated (6-c) at pH 10. In addition to providing rare examples of water-stable heme thiolate models, this work illustrates the ability to exploit proton concentration to switch heme coordination preferences in a protein through the simple expedient of pH. Thus, along with providing fundamental insight into metalloprotein structural preferences, our studies potentially allow for the

[*] Dr. K. J. Koebeke, Prof. V. L. Pecoraro
Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI 48109 (USA)
E-mail: vlpec@umich.edu

Dr. T. Kühn, Dr. E. Lojou, Dr. B. Schoepp-Cothenet, Dr. A. Ivancich
Laboratoire de Bioénergétique et Ingénierie des Protéines (UMR 7281), IMM FR3479, CNRS, Aix-Marseille Univ.
Marseille (France)
E-mail: aivancich@imm.cnrs.fr

Dr. B. Demeler
Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Lethbridge
Lethbridge, AB, T1K 3M4 (Canada)

Dr. O. Iranzo
Institut des Sciences Moléculaires de Marseille (iSm2), Centrale
Marseille, Aix-Marseille Univ., CNRS
Marseille (France)

Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under:
<https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202012673>.

pH-induced conversion from peroxidase-like catalytic activity (imidazole) to oxygenase (thiolate) reactivity.

The GRAND peptide family has been studied extensively for transition metal and heavy metalloid binding.^[15] In the apo form, they generate highly stable three stranded coiled coils (3SCCs) at pH ≥ 5 , or two stranded coiled coils (2SCCs) at more acidic pHs, illustrating the structural versatility in this system.^[16] Furthermore, 2SCCs with oppositely charged residues at e and g heptade positions can associate to form antiparallel 4SCCs.^[17] The coiled coils are stabilized through hydrophobic interactions (typically leucines) embedded at a and d positions of repeating heptads. These sites can be modified to include metal binding residues, such as cysteine or histidine, to incorporate a stabilizing metal within the hydrophobic interior.^[18] Table 1 shows the specific peptide sequences used in this work. Each leucine mutation leads to a small destabilization of the system, although two or three changes are well tolerated.^[19,20]

All the GRAND peptides (Table 1) showed CD spectra typical of well-folded coiled-coils across a wide pH range, both with and without heme (Figure S2 and Figure S3A).

Figure 1. PyMol models illustrating the proposed folding of GRW-L16CL30H peptides complexed with heme (a dimer of antiparallel 2SCC) at selected pH values. The three coordination configurations concluded from our spectroscopic study, that is, His-pentacoordinated heme (pH 7, left panel), Cys-pentacoordinated heme (pH 9.0, center panel) and Cys/hydroxide hexacoordinated heme (pH 10.5, right panel) are shown. Only the heme for one antiparallel 2SCC (A and B α -helices) is shown for clarity. The PyMol models are based on the crystal structure of a *de novo* designed antiparallel 4SCC (PDB code: 2B1F) as described in Supplementary Information. A color version of the models is shown in Figure S5.

Table 1: Sequences of the GRAND peptides used in this study.

Peptide	1	2	9	16	23	30	37
GRAND L2W (GRW) ^[a]	Ac-G	WKALEEK	LKALEEK	LKALEEK	LKALEEK	LKALEEK	G-NH ₂
GRW-L16CL30H	Ac-G	WKALEEK	LKALEEK	CKALEEK	LKALEEK	HKALEEK	G-NH ₂
GRW-L16C	Ac-G	WKALEEK	LKALEEK	CKALEEK	LKALEEK	LKALEEK	G-HN ₂
GRW-L30H	Ac-G	WKALEEK	LKALEEK	LKALEEK	LKALEEK	HKALEEK	G-NH ₂

[a] Peptides are amidated and acetylated at the C- and N-terminus, respectively. The first residue of each heptad is labeled with its overall position within the peptide. Heme binding residues are in bold.

Also, once complexed with heme, peptides were thermodynamically more stable with T_M increasing by 10 °C at basic pHs (Figure S3B). Analytical Ultracentrifugation (AUC) studies confirmed that the apo peptides fold as 3SCCs (Figure S4A); however, the estimated molecular mass indicated formation of tetramers upon heme complexation (Figure S4B and S4C). We believe that the resulting GRAND mini-heme proteins are best described as dimers of antiparallel 2SCCs. Consequently, heme complexation to the 3SCCs apo GRAND peptides induces the conversion to 4SCCs, with a heme at the helical interface of each antiparallel dimer (Figure 1 and Figure S5). Conversion of a parallel 3SCC to an antiparallel 4SCC is not without precedent.^[17] A recent report showed that two mutations in a model coiled coil peptide (HA2-DEL) were sufficient to convert the parallel 3SCC construct to an antiparallel 4SCC.^[21]

The heme to peptide stoichiometry for the mini-heme protein was assessed by monitoring the UV/Vis spectral changes of the heme. The absorption spectrum of hemin upon complexation with the GR2W-L16CL30H scaffold at pH 10.5 (Figure 2 *Inset*, solid traces), a low-spin thiolate heme (see Figure 3A), is clearly distinct to that of the hemin in buffer solution (Figure 2 *Inset*, dashed traces). Hemin titration into the GRW-L16CL30H peptide showed the complexation of one heme per peptide dimer (Figure 2). For higher hemin

excess, the increasing spectral contribution of hemin in buffer solution was observed (Figure 2 *Inset*, dashed trace).

We combined UV/Vis and Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) spectroscopies to characterize the specific coordination mode of the heme to the GRW-L16CL30H peptide dimer in the

pH range 6 to 11. Two clearly distinct absorption and EPR spectra (Figure 3A and B) were obtained for neutral (olive green traces) and basic (red traces) pH values. The absorption spectrum at pH 7.14 (Figure 3A, olive green trace) is characteristic of a 5-c heme, with a ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT) band at 640 nm, reminiscent of peroxidases.^[7] The corresponding axial EPR spectrum with $g_{\perp}^{\text{eff}} = 5.99$ and $g_{\parallel}^{\text{eff}} = 1.98$ resonances (Figure 3B, olive green trace) is characteristic of heme iron in the ferric (Fe^{III}) high-spin (HS) state, quite distinct to that of hemin in buffer solution (Figure S5, dash-dotted gray trace) but virtually identical to that of hemin penta-coordinated to imidazole (Figure 3B, black dash-dotted trace). Hence, considering the peptide sequence (Table 1), we can confidently conclude a 5-c heme with His30 as axial ligand at neutral pH, upon complexation with the GRW-L16CL30H dimer.

When raising the pH of the sample to 10.5 or higher, the absorption spectrum showed the total conversion to a 6-c heme (Figure 3A, red trace), with the characteristic red-shifted Soret band (to 420 nm) and the β and α bands at 540 and 570 nm, respectively. The observed split Soret band (360 nm and 420 nm) at pH ≥ 10 (Figure 3A, orange and red traces) has been reported for natural proteins having a Fe^{III} low-spin (LS) heme with thiolate ligand(s) as catalytic sites (reviewed in [22]). The new rhombic EPR signal observed for the pH 10.5 sample (Figure 3B, red trace) is that of a ferric

Figure 2. Hemin titration into the GRW-L16CL30H (20 μ M monomeric peptide concentration) in 250 mM TRIS-maleate buffer at pH 10.3. The difference in absorbance of the split Soret bands (360 nm and 420 nm) of the GRW-L16CL30H mini-heme protein (*Inset*, solid thick trace) is plotted as a function of the added hemin to peptide-dimer ratio. It clearly shows that this ratio remains constant while there is no spectral contribution of the hemin in buffer solution. *Inset*: The absorption spectra of the mini-heme protein obtained with 0.5 (thin solid trace) and 1 (thick solid trace) molar equivalents of heme per peptide dimer are shown. Arithmetic spectral subtractions of the “1.5 minus 1.0” (thin dashed trace) and “2.0 minus 1.0” (thick dashed trace) experimental spectra shows the expected resulting spectrum of hemin in buffer solution in both cases.

($S = 1/2$) heme iron in the LS state.^[23] The g -anisotropy ($\Delta g = g_z - g_x = 0.56$) of such rhombic EPR spectrum (effective g -values of $g_z = 2.45$, $g_y = 2.25$ and $g_x = 1.89$) is characteristic of ferric LS heme-thiolate proteins (reviewed in [22]), thus much lower than the expected value for a ferric LS His-coordinated hemes ($\Delta g = 1.6$).^[23] Both the absorption and the EPR spectra of the mini-heme protein at $\text{pH} \geq 10.5$ are very similar to those of cytP450 monooxygenases, having a ferric LS heme with a Cys/thiolate and water as axial ligands.^[7] Given the pH of the mini-heme protein, the ionized state of a water molecule should be the sixth ligand (Figure 1).

The reversible change in the GRW-L16CL30H mini-heme protein from His30 axial ligation at neutral pH to Cys16/thiolate and water/hydroxide at $\text{pH} \geq 10.5$, reflects a relatively weak imidazole coordination favoring heme dissociation at basic pHs, as reported in 5-c heme maquettes,^[24] and the concomitant deprotonation of Cys16 allowing a heme thiolate ligation. Accordingly, the main features of the absorption spectrum of GRW-L16CL30H mini-heme protein at pH 9.09 (Figure 3A, blue trace), a Soret band with maximum at 397 nm and the broad LMCT band at ca. 625 nm, agree well with those of a 5-c heme with a thiolate axial ligand reported in CPO,^[25] the HS state of cytP450s^[26] and a 4α -helix bundle with a Cys axial ligand to a 5-c heme *b*.^[27] The contribution of the LS 6-c species is indicative of a pH-dependent spin state equilibrium. Likewise, the 9-GHz EPR spectra (Figure 3B) at pH 9.14 (blue trace) and 9.70 (orange trace) showed a new HS axial EPR signal (broad $g_{\perp}$ resonance) similar to that of hemin in cysteine buffer (Figure S5, dashed gray trace),

Figure 3. UV/Vis electronic absorption (Panel A) and 9-GHz EPR (Panel B) spectra of the GRW-L16CL30H mini-heme protein (15 μ M and 300 μ M heme concentration, respectively; one heme per peptide dimer). The absorption spectrum of hemin in buffer solution (pH 7, dash-dotted trace) and the EPR spectrum of hemin in imidazole buffer (pH 6, dash-dotted trace) are also shown. The pH-induced spectral changes were fully reversible. Freezing and thawing the EPR sample did not affect the ligation and the reversibility in heme ligation. EPR experimental conditions as in Figure S6.

together with the LS-heme EPR signal observed at pH 10.5. The relative intensities of these two EPR signals for $\text{pH} \geq 9.0$ were inversely proportional. These observations are best explained by heme being mostly 5-c to Cys16 at $\text{pH} \leq 9.5$, and converting to a 6-c (with an OH *trans* to Cys16) at higher pHs. Similar spin state conversion and equilibrium of HS/LS species were reported for cytP450 enzymes *in vivo*^[28] and *in vitro*.^[25]

The pH-dependent heme ligation pattern of the ferric mini-heme protein proved to be conserved upon reduction to the ferrous state (Figure S7). In addition, the anaerobic

reduction/re-oxidation cycle showed that the initial heme ligation is conserved for both His- and Cys-coordinated heme (Figure S7). In cyt P450 and CPO enzymes, the thiolate axial ligand is crucial to exert the “push” effect on the heme iron during the catalytic reaction.^[29] Cyclic voltammetry (CV) studies of the cytP450 heme domain showed the catalytic reduction of O₂, a crucial step prior to the substrate oxygenation reaction in the catalytic cycle (Figure S1). Significantly, our CV studies on the GRW-L16CL30H mini-heme protein at pH 10.1 also proved that it can catalyze O₂ reduction (Figure 4).

Figure 4. Cyclic voltammograms of the GRW-L16CL30H mini-heme protein (48 μM heme and 144 μM monomeric peptide concentrations) in 250 mM TRIS-maleate buffer at pH 10.1, entrapped in a thin layer at a pyrolytic graphite electrode, 20 mV s⁻¹ scan rate. In strict anaerobic conditions, the well-defined redox peaks revealed a redox potential ($E_{1/2}$) at -460 mV vs. Ag/AgCl for the Fe^{III}/Fe^{II} redox couple (solid trace). In the presence of O₂, the amplitude of the additional cathodic wave, with no anodic counterpart (dotted trace), was proportional to the increase in O₂ concentrations (see arrow), reflecting the catalytic reduction of dioxygen by the mini-heme protein. Experimental conditions as in Figure S8.

We also characterized the GRAND scaffolds with single heme binding sites (Table 1). At pH 10.5, the UV/Vis absorption and EPR spectra of the GRW-L16C mini-heme protein (Figure S9) were virtually identical to those of GRW-L16CL30H (Figure 3). Moreover, the EPR spectra of GRW-L16C mini-heme protein at pH 7.0 (Figure S9B) ruled out a 5-c heme with a Cys ligand^[30] or heme in buffer solution. Thus, at pH 7.0 and in the absence of coordinating amino acid, hydrophobic/non-covalent interactions accommodate the heme in the GRW-L16C scaffold. An equivalent situation was reported for 2 α -helix bundle maquettes.^[24] All in all, the GRW-L16C scaffold validates the coordination pattern concluded for the GRW-L16CL30H mini-heme protein at basic pHs and the prerequisite of Cys16 deprotonation at pH $\geq$ 9.0 to allow heme ligation.

The EPR spectra of the GRW-L30H mini-heme protein as a function of pH (Figure S10) showed heme binding to His30

at $6.50 \leq \text{pH} \leq 8.50$ and dissociating otherwise, most possibly due to a longer heme Fe^{III} to N_{His} bond length than in natural peroxidases (see Figure S7). This confirms the heme coordination behavior observed for GRW-L16CL30H mini-heme protein at neutral pHs, and irrespectively of the presence of a competing binding site. The GRW-L16C (at pH 10.5) and the GRW-L30H (at pH 7.0) mini-heme proteins showed stability upon their redox cycles (Figure S11) as well as catalytic oxidation of ABTS substrate in solution, when using H₂O₂ as oxidant (Figure S12).

In summary, we have established that a simple α -helical scaffold design opens exciting opportunities to explore heme enzyme chemistry. By using a short, repetitive amino acid sequence with two distinct iron binding residues (His and Cys) that folds into a dimer of antiparallel 2SCC upon heme complexation, we have developed a miniature protein with heme as redox cofactor and featuring a reversible pH-induced switch of the heme axial ligand. The resulting penta- or hexa-coordinate thiolate heme for $9 \leq \text{pH} \leq 11$ and penta-coordinate imidazole heme for $6 \leq \text{pH} \leq 8.5$, imitating the heme ligation in CPO, cytP450s and peroxidases, all in a single synthetic protein, was not previously reported. Moreover, the minimal tertiary structure ensures on-demand heme coordination being stable upon redox cycling. This is a crucial property for catalysis as proved by the catalytic reduction of O₂ by the GRW-L16CL30H and GRW-L16C mini-heme proteins at pH $\geq$ 9.0, adsorbed onto a pyrolytic graphite electrode. Further reactivity studies in solution are in progress to evaluate the extent of this very promising observation to develop versatile synthetic mini-heme catalysts.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the US NIH (ES012236) (to V.L.P.), the French National Centre for Scientific Research (CNRS/UMR 7281, Marseille), the French PACA Region (APR-EX “LIPCAT”) and the CNRS Program for International Collaborations (PICS 07624) (to A.I.). The French EPR Federation/TGE RENARD (IR3443) is also acknowledged for partial support (to A.I.). UltraScan software’s development for AUC was funded by NIH grant GM120600 and data analysis by NSF/XSEDE allocation grant MCB070038-A13 (to B.D.). T.K. acknowledges a postdoctoral fellowship (APR-EX “LIPCAT”) and a foreign researcher allowance from the city of Marseilles. We thank Jill Harland (University of Michigan, Ann Arbor) for her assistance in preparing AUC samples and Valerie Monnier (Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, FSCM, Spectropole, Marseille) for detailed analysis of the ESI-MS data.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: cyt P450 monooxygenase · protein design · EPR spectroscopy · heme enzymes · thiolate ligands

- [1] P.-S. Huang, S. E. Boyken, D. Baker, *Nature* **2016**, 537, 320–327.
- [2] I. Korendovych, W. DeGrado, *Q. Rev. Biophys.* **2020**, 53, e3.
- [3] F. Yu, V. M. Cangelosi, M. L. Zastrow, M. Tegoni, J. S. Plegaria, A. G. Tebo, C. S. Mocny, L. Ruckthong, H. Qayyum, V. L. Pecoraro, *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, 114, 3495–3578.
- [4] T. B. J. Pinter, K. J. Koebke, V. L. Pecoraro, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, 59, 7678–7699; *Angew. Chem.* **2020**, 132, 7750–7773.
- [5] S. K. Chapman, S. Daft, A. W. Munro, *Metal Sites in Proteins and Models*, Vol. 88, Springer, Berlin, **1997**, pp. 39–70.
- [6] P. Nicholls, I. Fita, P. C. Loewen, *Adv. Inorg. Chem.* **2000**, 51, 51–106.
- [7] T. L. Poulos, *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, 114, 3919–3962.
- [8] O. J. Njuma, E. N. Ndontsa, D. C. Goodwin, *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **2014**, 544, 27–39.
- [9] A. Lombardi, F. Natri, V. Pavone, *Chem. Rev.* **2001**, 101, 3165–3189.
- [10] F. Natri, M. Chino, O. Maglio, A. Bhagi-Damodaran, Y. Lu, A. Lombardi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2016**, 45, 5020–5054.
- [11] F. Natri, D. D'Alonzo, L. Leone, G. Zambrano, V. Pavone, A. Lombardi, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **2019**, 44, 1022–1040.
- [12] P. K. Dasa, S. Samanta, A. B. McQuarters, N. Lehnert, A. Dey, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2016**, 113, 6611–6616, and references therein.
- [13] R. Perera, M. Sono, J. A. Sigman, T. D. Pfister, Y. Lu, J. H. Dawson, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2003**, 100, 3641–3646.
- [14] S. W. Vetter, A. C. Terentis, R. L. Osborne, J. H. Dawson, D. B. Goodin, *J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, 14, 179–191.
- [15] M. L. Zastrow, V. L. Pecoraro, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2013**, 257, 2565–2588.
- [16] G. R. Dieckmann, D. K. McRorie, J. D. Lear, K. A. Sharp, W. F. DeGrado, V. L. Pecoraro, *J. Mol. Biol.* **1998**, 280, 897–912.
- [17] B. Apostolovic, M. Danial, H.-A. Klok, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2010**, 39, 3541–3575.
- [18] D. Ghosh, V. L. Pecoraro, *Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, 43, 7902–7915.
- [19] K. Wagschal, B. Tripet, R. S. Hodges, *J. Mol. Biol.* **1999**, 285, 785–803.
- [20] A. E. Tolbert, C. S. Ervin, L. Ruckthong, T. J. Paul, V. M. Jayasinghe-Arachchige, K. P. Neupane, J. A. Stuckey, R. Prabhakar, V. L. Pecoraro, *Nat. Chem.* **2020**, 12, 405–411.
- [21] V. N. Malashkevich, C. D. Higgins, S. C. Almo, J. R. Lai, *J. Pept. Sci.* **2015**, 104, 178–185.
- [22] A. T. Smith, S. Pazicni, K. A. Marvin, D. J. Stevens, K. M. Paulsen, J. N. Burstyn, *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, 115, 2532–2558.
- [23] A. F. Walker, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1999**, 185–186, 471–534.
- [24] C. T. Choma, J. D. Lear, M. J. Nelson, P. L. Dutton, D. E. Robertson, W. F. DeGrado, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, 116, 856–865.
- [25] S. R. Blanke, S. A. Martinis, S. G. Sligar, L. P. Hager, J. J. Rux, J. H. Dawson, *Biochemistry* **1996**, 35, 14537–14543.
- [26] K. P. Conner, A. M. Schimpf, A. A. Cruce, K. J. McLean, A. W. Munro, D. J. Frank, M. D. Krzyaniak, P. R. Ortiz de Montellano, M. K. Bowman, W. M. Atkins, *Biochemistry* **2014**, 53, 1428–1434.
- [27] C. C. Moser, M. M. Sheehan, N. M. Ennist, G. Kodali, C. Bialas, M. T. Englander, B. M. Discher, P. L. Dutton, *Methods Enzymol.* **2016**, 580, 365–388.
- [28] W. A. Johnston, D. J. B. Hunter, C. J. Noble, G. R. Hanson, J. E. Stok, M. A. Hayes, J. J. De Voss, E. M. J. Gillam, *J. Biol. Chem.* **2011**, 286, 40750–40759.
- [29] J. T. Groves, *Nat. Chem.* **2014**, 6, 89–91.
- [30] E. Schubert, N. Florin, F. Duthie, H. Henning Brewitz, T. Köhl, D. Imhof, G. Hagelueken, O. Schiemann, *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **2015**, 148, 49–56.

Manuscript received: September 17, 2020

Revised manuscript received: November 19, 2020

Accepted manuscript online: November 20, 2020

Version of record online: December 23, 2020