The formation of PuSiO₄ under hydrothermal conditions

Paul Estevenon, Éléonore Welcomme, Christelle Tamain, Gauthier Jouan,
Stephanie Szenknect, Adel Mesbah, Christophe Poinssot, Philippe Moisy,
Nicolas Dacheux

► To cite this version:

Paul Estevenon, Éléonore Welcomme, Christelle Tamain, Gauthier Jouan, Stephanie Szenknect, et al.. The formation of PuSiO₄ under hydrothermal conditions. Dalton Transactions, 2020, 49 (19), pp.6434-6445. 10.1039/D0DT01183E . hal-03013951

HAL Id: hal-03013951

<https://hal.science/hal-03013951>

Submitted on 19 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Formation of PuSiO₄ under hydrothermal conditions

Paul Estevenon,^{a,b,†} Eleonore Welcomme,^{*a} Christelle Tamain,^a Gauthier Jouan,^a Stephanie Szenknect,^b Adel Mesbah,^b Christophe Poinssot,^a Philippe Moisy^a and Nicolas Dacheux^{*b}

Attempts to synthesize plutonium (IV) silicate, PuSiO₄, have been performed on the basis of the results recently reported in the literature for CeSiO₄, ThSiO₄ and USiO₄ under hydrothermal conditions. Although it was not possible to prepare PuSiO₄ by applying the conditions reported for thorium and uranium, an efficient way of PuSiO₄ synthesis was established following those optimized for CeSiO₄ system. This method was based on the slow oxidation of plutonium (III) silicate reactants under hydrothermal conditions at 150°C in hydrochloric acid (pH = 3 – 4). This result shed a new light on the potential behavior of plutonium in reductive environment, highlighted the representativeness of cerium surrogates to study plutonium in such conditions and brought some important pieces of information on plutonium chemistry in silicate solutions.

Introduction

Silica and silicate phases are by far among the main components of the earth crust and are omnipresent in most natural environments.² Among them, one can note natural actinide silicates ThSiO₄ (thorite), USiO₄ (coffinite) and associated uranothorite solid solutions.⁴ The potential impact of actinide silicate species onto the actinide mobility⁵⁻¹³ imply to take into account the chemical interactions between actinide elements and silica. Understanding their behavior appears as a crucial issue especially in the case of direct disposal of spent nuclear fuel (SNF) in deep geological repository conditions.

The synthesis of plutonium silicate, PuSiO₄, was reported once by Keller^{3, 14} in 1963. This silicate was obtained by performing hydrothermal treatments (7 days at 230°C, argon atmosphere) starting from mixtures of PuO₂ and SiO₂ co-precipitated in carbonate ions rich reactive media (C_{NaHCO₃} = 1M). PXRD measurements performed on this sample allowed to identify that PuSiO₄ crystallized in a zircon type structure (tetragonal, space group I4₁/amd), which is shared by M^{IV}SiO₄ silicates (with M^{IV} = Zr, Hf, Ce, Th, Pa, U, Np and Am).¹⁵ This study also established that dry chemistry routes performed at high temperatures were inadequate to obtain this plutonium bearing silicate phase. However, to our knowledge, PuSiO₄ has never been obtained again since. The yields of the actinide silicate syntheses under hydrothermal conditions were unclear and previous transpositions of this protocol to the USiO₄ system showed a poor repeatability. More recently, *ab initio* calculations determined that PuSiO₄ could be metastable¹⁶⁻²² compared to the mixture of crystalline PuO₂ and SiO₂. However, it was also suggested that this phase may be stable at low temperature compared to amorphous plutonium oxide and silica.¹⁷ According to the literature, Pu(III) and Pu(VI) silicate species have been reported.²³⁻²⁸ It is also the case for plutonium(IV) silicate aqueous species²⁹⁻³¹, especially for Pu(OSi(OH)₃)³⁺.

Since zircon type silicate, ZrSiO₄ and HfSiO₄, have been identified for long as potential specific waste forms for high-level radioactive waste,³² the synthesis of (Zr,Pu)SiO₄ and (Hf,Pu)SiO₄ solid solution³³⁻⁵⁰ became a key issue to prepare materials which could incorporate large amounts of plutonium. However, these two solid solutions are not ideal

and the solubility limit of plutonium in such materials was limited to only 7 – 10 mol.%.^{45, 49}

Th(IV), U(IV) and Ce(IV) are often used as Pu(IV) surrogates due to their ionic radii and chemical behavior (such as hydrolysis properties) close to those observed for plutonium. These elements are also known to form silicate-based phases, which crystallize in the zircon-type structure. Moreover, all these silicate-based phases could be obtained by hydrothermal methods.^{3, 51} Therefore, ThSiO₄, USiO₄ and CeSiO₄ may be considered as potential analogues in order to study the hydrothermal synthesis of PuSiO₄.

Since the first synthesis proposed by Frondel and Collette in 1957,⁵² the hydrothermal synthesis of ThSiO₄ has been widely studied.^{3, 14, 53-61} Two ways of synthesis were identified, from these results. The first was performed in carbonate ions rich reactive media (carbonate ions playing the role of ligand with regard to thorium) and the second, which was developed in ligand free reactive media. We recently studied both of these ways in order to identify the key parameters which may impact the synthesis of ThSiO₄, particularly the concentrations of the reactants, the pH of the reactive media or the temperature and duration of the hydrothermal treatment.^{62, 63}

Hydrothermal synthesis of USiO₄ was also extensively studied.^{3, 14, 53, 61, 64-73} However, from a general point of view, this synthesis remained poorly reproducible. Recently, Mesbah et al.⁷⁴ identified an efficient way to prepare coffinite, allowing its formation with a good repeatability. This protocol consisted in preparing a mixture of uranium (IV) and sodium metasilicate under inert atmosphere, in alkaline media (10 ≤ pH ≤ 12). Finally, NaHCO₃ was added in order to set the pH at 8.7. This mixture was then treated through hydrothermal conditions (150°C ≤ T ≤ 250°C) under inert atmosphere and then purified by specific dissolution of UO₂ and SiO₂.

The synthesis of CeSiO₄ was based on the hydrothermal oxidation of Ce(III) species. This silicate was obtained from Ce(III) aqueous species in weakly basic reactive media.^{51, 75, 76} However, the synthesis of CeSiO₄ was strongly limited by the very restrictive conditions in terms of reactants, pH and working atmosphere.⁷⁶ Recently, we developed a very efficient way of synthesis based on the hydrothermal oxidation of Ce(III) silicate solid compounds.⁷⁷ In these conditions, CeSiO₄ was obtained in a wide pH range under aerated atmosphere. Among the solid Ce(III) silicates precursors considered,

$\text{Ce}_{4.67}(\text{SiO}_4)_3\text{O}$ and $\text{G-Ce}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$ have known plutonium analogs.²³⁻²⁵

Thus, this work was dedicated to the hydrothermal synthesis of PuSiO_4 considering the transposition of the conditions optimized for ThSiO_4 , USiO_4 and CeSiO_4 or starting from Pu(III) silicate precursors.

Materials and methods

Reagents

Caution! ^{238}Pu , ^{239}Pu , ^{240}Pu and ^{242}Pu are α emitter whereas ^{241}Pu is β^- emitter, which are considered as a health risk. Experiments involving actinides require appropriate facilities and trained persons in handling of radioactive materials.

Experiments were performed in the ATALANTE facility of Marcoule Research Center, France. The plutonium solution (isotopic composition of ^{238}Pu (0.2%), ^{239}Pu (76.6%), ^{240}Pu (21.3%), ^{241}Pu (1.2%) and ^{242}Pu (0.7%)) was purified by a standard anion-exchange method, in order to avoid the presence of ^{241}Am , produced by β decay of ^{241}Pu . Plutonium was stabilized in the +IV oxidation state, in $1.5 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ HNO_3 solution. Plutonium stock solution was then titrated by UV-visible spectrophotometric method, using standard deconvolution from reference measurements, leading to $C_{\text{Pu}} = 0.30 \pm 0.03 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$.

HNO_3 and HCl solutions were prepared by dilution of Sigma Aldrich ACS grade solutions: HNO_3 (70%) and HCl (37%). All the other reagents used for the syntheses were of analytical grade and supplied by Sigma-Aldrich. $\text{Na}_2\text{SiO}_3\cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (98%) and SiO_2 5-20 nm (99.5%) powders were used as silicate precursors. The pH adjustments were performed thanks to $8 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ and $0.1 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ NaOH solutions freshly prepared from NaOH (98 %) pellets. NaHCO_3 (99.7%) was used as the carbonate source.

Samples preparation

Transposition of the Keller's protocol. Experiments were first adapted from the protocol described by Keller for the preparation of AnSiO_4 ($\text{An}^{\text{IV}} = \text{Th}, \text{Pa}, \text{U}, \text{Np}, \text{Pu}$ and Am).^{3, 14} Nanometric PuO_2 was prepared by precipitation with the help of ammonia according to the protocol developed for U(IV) ⁷⁸ and mixed together with commercial nanometric SiO_2 powder. This mixture was then put in a $1 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ NaHCO_3 aqueous solution in order to obtain a final solution of $C_{\text{Pu}} = 0.21 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ and a silicate excess of 3 mol.% in the reacting mixture

(synthesis pathway B1 in **Table 1**). The mixture was transferred into 23 mL Teflon lined reactors in air atmosphere and then heated in Parr autoclaves under hydrothermal conditions for 7 days at 237°C or for 15 days at 150°C under autogenous pressure. Thus, the obtained precipitates were separated from the supernatant by centrifugation for 1 min at 14 000 rpm, washed twice with deionized water and once with ethanol, and then finally dried overnight under the glovebox atmosphere (air).

This transposition did not produce any trace of PuSiO_4 while the formation of PuO_2 was always observed. More generally, as described below, all the attempts to prepare PuSiO_4 from Pu(IV) reactant under hydrothermal conditions based on the optimized protocols reported for U ⁷⁴ and Th ,^{62, 63} as Pu analogs failed (synthesis pathways A, B2, B3 and B4 in Table 1). The detailed protocols for these experiments are available in supporting information.

Hydrothermal synthesis of PuSiO_4 from Pu(III) silicate precursors

solid compounds. In order to develop hydrothermal synthesis from Pu(III) , several solid Pu(III) based silicate precursors ($\text{Pu}_{4.67}(\text{SiO}_4)_3\text{O}$ and $\text{Pu}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$) were prepared from mixtures of nanometric hydrated PuO_2 and SiO_2 taking into account the protocols described by De Alleluia et al.^{23, 24} and Uchida et al.²⁵, respectively. This route of synthesis has been recently described for the preparation of Ce(III) silicate precursors.⁷⁷

Nanometric hydrated PuO_2 was prepared by ammonia precipitation according to the protocol developed for U(IV) .⁷⁸ The as-precipitated hydrated oxo/hydroxo was mixed with nanometric silica powder then the powders were milled together in ethanol in an agate mortar in order to improve the mixture homogeneity). As previously described, the sample was dried at room temperature under the glovebox atmosphere (air). The resulting mixture was pelletized and heated during 1 hour at 800°C in $\text{Ar} - 4\% \text{H}_2$ atmosphere in order to prepare dense pellets of PuO_2 and SiO_2 mixture. The resulting pellets were finally heated for 9 hours at 1350°C in $\text{Ar} - 4\% \text{H}_2$ atmosphere in a 90% Pt-10% Ir crucible, allowing the formation of both $\text{Pu}_{4.67}(\text{SiO}_4)_3\text{O}$ and $\text{Pu}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$ which are identifiable by PXRD. Both $\text{Pu}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$ and $\text{Pu}_{4.67}(\text{SiO}_4)_3\text{O}$ were blue colored compounds. They were stable during more than a week under aerated atmosphere without exhibiting any traces of PuO_2 , which could result from the oxidation of plutonium (III).

Table 1. Synthesis parameters for the reported PuSiO_4 hydrothermal syntheses.

Synthesis pathway	Label	Plutonium precursor	Reactive media	pH _{initial}	T (°C)	Δt (days)	Final phase
A1	(12)	$\text{Pu}^{\text{IV}}\text{aq}$	HNO_3	8.8	150	7	PuO_2
	(13)			6.2		7	
	(14)			2.6		12	
	(15)			1.9		15	

	(16)		[H ₃ O ⁺] = 2.2 M		7	
	—	Pu ^{IV} aq	HNO ₃	0.8	237	10 PuO ₂
B1	(17)	Pu ^{IV} O ₂	Carbonate	9.7	150	15 PuO ₂
	(18)		Starting C _{NaHCO₃} = 1.0 M	9.3	237	10 PuO ₂
B2	(19)	Pu ^{IV} aq	Carbonate Starting C _{NaHCO₃} = 1.0 M	8.7	237	15 PuO ₂
B3	(20)	Pu ^{IV} aq	Carbonate	8.6	150	15 PuO ₂
	(21)		Starting C _{NaHCO₃} = 1.0 M	8.7	237	10 PuO ₂
B4	(22)	Pu ^{IV} (CO ₃) ₅ ⁶⁻	Carbonate	8.6	150	15 PuO ₂ + Na ₃ (PuO ₂)(CO ₃) ₂ ·xH ₂ O
	(23)		Starting C _{NaHCO₃} = 2.1 M	8.8	237	11 PuO ₂
C1	(3)	— Pu ^{III} _{4.67} (SiO ₄) ₃ O	HNO ₃	7.2		7 Pu _{4,67} (SiO ₄) ₃ O
	(4)			3.9		7 Pu _{4,67} (SiO ₄) ₃ O
	(5)			3.7	150	15 Pu _{4,67} (SiO ₄) ₃ O
	(6)			1.8		7 PuO ₂ + Pu _{4,67} (SiO ₄) ₃ O
	(6)			1.1		7 PuO ₂
	(24)	— Pu ^{III} ₂ Si ₂ O ₇	HNO ₃	8.0		7 Pu ₂ Si ₂ O ₇
	(25)			3.9	150	7 PuO ₂ + Pu ₂ Si ₂ O ₇
	(26)			3.7		20 PuO ₂
	(26)			0.9		7 PuO ₂
	(27)			1.9		21 PuO ₂ + Pu _{4,67} (SiO ₄) ₃ O
C2	(28)	Pu ^{III} ₂ Si ₂ O ₇	HNO ₃	1.7	60	21 Pu ₂ Si ₂ O ₇
	(7)	— Pu ^{III} ₂ Si ₂ O ₇	HCl	7.6		7 Pu ₂ Si ₂ O ₇
	(8)			3.6	150	20 PuSiO ₄ + PuO ₂
	(9)			2.0		7 PuO ₂
	(10)	Pu ^{III} _{4.67} (SiO ₄) ₃ O	HCl	4.0	150	20 PuSiO ₄ + PuO ₂
	(11)*	Pu ^{III} ₂ Si ₂ O ₇	HCl	3.4	150	14 PuSiO ₄ + PuO ₂ + Pu ₂ Si ₂ O ₇

*: C_{Pu} = 0.21 M for all of the syntheses except for (11), C_{Pu} = 0.42 M.

Synthesis pathways: - A1: based on ThSiO₄ synthesis in carbonate ions free reactive media.⁶²

- B1, B2, B3: based on PuSiO₄,^{3,14} ThSiO₄⁶³ and USiO₄⁷⁴ syntheses in carbonate ions rich reactive media, respectively.

- C1 and C2: based on CeSiO₄ syntheses from Ce(III) silicate precursors.⁷⁷

The nature of the final phase obtained was strongly dependent on the molar ratio between PuO₂ and SiO₂ in the starting mixtures. The synthesis of Pu₂Si₂O₇ was performed with a molar ratio PuO₂:SiO₂ = 1:1 (sample 1) whereas Pu_{4,67}(SiO₄)₃O (sample 2) was prepared starting with a molar ratio PuO₂:SiO₂ equal to 8:6 (corresponding to the stoichiometry of Pu₈(SiO₄)₆ described by De Alleluia et al.^{23, 24}). However, the experimental systems led to polyphase samples containing both plutonium (III) silicates, free of PuO₂ and crystalline SiO₂. A 1:1

molar ratio led to mixtures richer in Pu₂Si₂O₇ (**Figure S2**) while the samples prepared with a 8:6 molar ratio led to mixtures richer in Pu_{4,67}(SiO₄)₃O (**Figure S3**). These mixtures were used to evaluate the behavior of both Pu(III) silicates during dissolution tests and will be subsequently identified as Pu₂Si₂O₇ and Pu_{4,67}(SiO₄)₃O precursors to facilitate the discussion.

The formation of Pu₂Si₂O₇ at 1350°C could be considered as quite surprising considering the results reported by de Alleluia

et al.^{23, 24}, who did not obtain $\text{Pu}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$ after a heat treatment up to 1500°C under reducing atmosphere (H_2). However, this might easily be explained by the high specific surface of the nanometric compounds used during our experiments (nanometric Pu(IV) oxo/hydroxo obtained by rapid precipitation and 5-20 nm commercial SiO_2), which enabled a high chemical reactivity.

In order to prepare PuSiO_4 , some amounts of solid Pu(III) silicate precursors, i.e. $\text{Pu}_{4.67}(\text{SiO}_4)_3\text{O}$ or $\text{Pu}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$ (synthesis presented thereafter), were dispersed in a 1 mol·L⁻¹ acid solution (HNO_3 and HCl for synthesis pathway C1 and C2, respectively, see **Table 1**). The pH of this suspension was then adjusted to the expected value, ranging between pH = 1 and pH = 8 by the means of 8 mol·L⁻¹ and 0.1 mol·L⁻¹ NaOH solutions. All of the mixtures were put into Teflon lined reactors in Parr autoclaves and then treated thermally between 60°C and 150°C under autogenous pressure for 7 to 21 days. The precipitates were separated from the supernatant by centrifugation for 1 min at 14 000 rpm, washed twice with deionized water and once with ethanol, and then finally dried overnight under the glovebox atmosphere.

Characterization

All the spectrophotometric measurements were performed on a Varian Cary 6000i spectrometer. The spectrophotometer was installed outside the glovebox and the signal was collected via optical fiber. Measurements were performed in PMMA cuvettes between $\lambda = 350$ nm and 900 nm. The plutonium concentrations were determined by deconvolution of the UV-Visible spectra, using a set of reference spectra containing actinide solutions with known concentrations previously recorded in the same conditions.

PXRD data were recorded on the resulting powders using the Bruker D8 advance diffractometer equipped with a lynxeye detector and using the Cu K_α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54184$ Å) in a Bragg Brentano geometry. The data were acquired using adapted sample holders to avoid any potential radioactive contamination. Gold (99.96% pure grade, Alpha Aesar) was added to the sample as an internal standard in order to calibrate the angular positions of the observed XRD lines (PDF 00-004-0784⁷⁹). The XRD lines of this internal standard and those of sample holders have been putted in grey in the following XRD patterns. PXRD patterns were recorded between 5° and 100° (2 θ) with steps of 0.02° and counting times of 2 s·step⁻¹. All the collected data were then refined by the Rietveld method using the Fullprof suite package.⁸⁰ During the refinements, different profile and structure parameters were allowed to vary such as the zero shift, unit-cell parameters, scale factor, and overall displacement factor. However, the occupancy of each site was fixed to the calculated values.

μ -Raman spectra were recorded with a Horiba-Jobin Yvon LabRam device used in conjunction with a nuclearized optical microscope (Optique Peter, Lyon, France) with a $\times 20$ objective. A Nd:YAG LASER (532 nm) with a output power

adjustable from 20 to 120 mW was used with a variable filter to provide low-excitation-beam power levels, to avoid the LASER beam damage. The microscope was mounted in a glovebox, while the Raman spectrometer and the laser were installed outside the gloves box with a fiber-optic signal transmission line. For each spectrum, a measurement time of 100 to 3600 s was chosen.

FTIR spectra were recorded in the 600 – 4000 cm⁻¹ range using a dual channel Vertex 70 FTIR spectrophotometer from Bruker Optics equipped with an ATR module which enabled solid samples to be examined directly inside the glovebox without any prior preparation. The spectra collected in such operating conditions exhibited a resolution of 1 cm⁻¹. Sixty scans were performed for each sample in order to average the measurement error.

SEM observations were conducted on powder samples deposited on carbon adhesive sticks and metallized with gold, using a Zeiss SUPRA-55 electronic microscope, equipped either with an Everhart-Thornley Detector (ETD) or a Back-Scattered Electron Detector (BSED) under high vacuum conditions with accelerating voltage between 5 and 30 kV. These conditions were chosen in order to obtain high resolution images.

Results

Syntheses based on the actinide protocols for $\text{An}^{\text{IV}}\text{SiO}_4$ (An = Th, U and Pu)

Several attempts to prepare PuSiO_4 were made using the protocols reported in the literature for ThSiO_4 in ligand free reactive media and for ThSiO_4 , USiO_4 and PuSiO_4 in carbonate ions rich reactive media.

Syntheses in ligand free reactive media (synthesis pathway A1).

According to the results obtained for the synthesis of ThSiO_4 ,⁶² experiments have been performed in nitric reactive media with initial acidity ranging from $[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+] = 2.2$ mol·L⁻¹ to pH = 8.8 for a hydrothermal treatment performed at 150°C for 7 to 15 days. From the PXRD characterization (**Figure S5**), it is clear that PuSiO_4 was not formed on this acidity range at this temperature. Only PuO_2 was obtained as a crystallized final phase. Moreover, the increase of FWHM of the XRD lines associated to PuO_2 was observed when increasing the pH of the starting mixture, as the consequence of the decrease of the crystallite size.

In highly acidic media, e.g. $[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]_{\text{initial}} = 2.2$ mol·L⁻¹, it was observed that the hydrothermal treatment only led to the partial precipitation of Pu(IV) with a remaining plutonium(IV) concentration of 10⁻² mol·L⁻¹ (determined from visible spectrum) in the final supernatant solution. At higher pH (pH ≥ 1.9), the plutonium precipitation was quantitative. Whatever the pH considered, the synthesis of PuSiO_4 was strongly limited by the plutonium(IV) hydrolysis which results in the quick formation of plutonium hydroxide and its evolution to PuO_2 .

According to the conditions identified for the synthesis of thorium silicate⁶² an additional hydrothermal synthesis was performed at a higher temperature (237°C, pH_{initial} = 0.8). However, the results of this synthesis were similar to those obtained at 150°C, with the precipitation of PuO₂ (**Table 1**).

Syntheses in carbonate ions rich reactive media (synthesis pathways B). As previously stated, attempts to prepare PuSiO₄ based on the protocol reported by Keller^{3, 14} were performed at 150°C and 237°C for 10 to 15 days in 1 mol·L⁻¹ NaHCO₃ reactive media under air atmosphere (synthesis pathway B1). None of these syntheses led to the formation of PuSiO₄. Only crystallized PuO₂ was obtained in the final mixtures according to PXRD analyses (**Figure S6**). These contrasting results may be explained by the difference of reactant concentrations between our study ($C_{Si} \approx C_{Pu} = 0.21 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$) and the ones used by Keller (not described in his study).^{3, 14} Nevertheless, the conditions selected for this study were based on the concentrations allowing the formation of CeSiO₄, USiO₄ and ThSiO₄.^{62, 63, 74, 76, 77} Another explanation could come from the working atmosphere considered : aerated atmosphere for this study compared to argon atmosphere for Keller's protocol.^{3, 14} However, no proof of plutonium oxidation was evidenced in the solution or the solid phase, therefore redox reactions involving plutonium were not sufficient to explain these differences.

Very similar statements have been made for experiments conducted in the conditions which allowed to form ThSiO₄ in carbonate ions rich media, starting from Pu(IV) in aqueous solution, at 237°C for 15 days in aerated atmosphere (synthesis pathway B2).⁶³ The PXRD diagram corresponds to the precipitation of nanometric or poorly crystallized PuO₂ (**Figure S7**).

Experiments based on the protocol developed by Mesbah et al.⁷⁴ for coffinite, starting from Pu(IV) in aqueous solution, were performed at 150°C and 237°C for 10 to 15 days in air (synthesis pathway B3). This protocol did not allow to prepare PuSiO₄ either. The results were similar to those obtained for the two protocols mentioned above, leading to the formation of PuO₂ as the sole crystalline phase identified by PXRD (**Figure S8**).

It might be inferred that the precipitation of PuO₂ (due to its use as a reactant or to the pH adjustment by NaOH which would quickly lead to the formation of Pu(OH)₄ and then to PuO₂) and the fact that the pH of the reactive media strongly disfavors PuO₂ dissolution, are very limitative to form PuSiO₄. Therefore, in order to avoid the formation of PuO₂, complementary experiments were performed with a Pu(IV) solution stabilized in carbonate ions rich media (2.1 mol·L⁻¹ instead of 1.0 mol·L⁻¹, synthesis pathway B4). Spectrophotometric analyses of the reactive media before the hydrothermal treatment did not exhibit any evidence of the Pu(IV) complexation by silicate ligands but suggested that plutonium speciation was dominated by a limiting plutonium(IV) carbonate complex, Pu(CO₃)₅⁶⁻ (**Figure S9**).

Hydrothermal treatments were performed at 150°C and 237°C for 11 to 15 days. On the one hand, the hydrothermal treatment at 237°C led to the formation of nanometric or poorly crystallized PuO₂ identified by PXRD (**Figure S10**). On the other hand, the synthesis performed at 150°C led to the formation of a mixture of crystallized Pu(V) sodium carbonate, Na₃(PuO₂)(CO₃)₂·xH₂O, and nanometric or poorly crystallized PuO₂ which were both identified by PXRD (by analogy with Na₃(NpO₂)(CO₃)₂·xH₂O,⁸¹ **Figure S10**) and Raman spectroscopy (from Na₃(PuO₂)(CO₃)₂·xH₂O reference spectrum,⁸² **Figure S11**). These species result from the hydrothermal oxidation of stabilized plutonium carbonate species and their precipitation. Moreover, spectrophotometric characterizations performed on the supernatant after hydrothermal treatment exhibited the quantitative precipitation of plutonium as PuO₂. Nevertheless, no precipitation of PuSiO₄ was observed in these conditions.

Syntheses by hydrothermal oxidation of Pu(III) silicate precursors

Since the attempts to prepare PuSiO₄ using the protocols reported for ThSiO₄ and USiO₄ failed, complementary experiments were performed using the method optimized for CeSiO₄, i.e. starting from cerium (III) silicate reactants.⁷⁷ Indeed, as reported for cerium, the use of Pu(III) precursors could prevent the hydrolysis of Pu(IV) and then lead to the formation of plutonium silicate.⁷⁶

Fig 1. PXRD patterns obtained after hydrothermal treatment ($T = 150^{\circ}\text{C}$, $t = 7$ days, air atmosphere) of $\text{Pu}_{4.67}(\text{SiO}_4)_3\text{O}$ (1) starting with 0.84 mmol of Pu in nitric media (4mL) for starting pH values of 7.2 (3), 3.9 (4), 1.8 (5) and 1.1 (6). Characteristic XRD lines of PuO_2 and PuSiO_4 were extracted from references ¹ and ³, respectively.

Syntheses in nitric acid reactive media (synthesis pathway C1).

Based on the protocols developed for the preparation of CeSiO_4 from Ce(III) silicate precursors,⁷⁷ hydrothermal treatments were developed in air at 150°C for 7 to 20 days on $\text{Pu}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$ and $\text{Pu}_{4.67}(\text{SiO}_4)_3\text{O}$ precursors for various initial pH values ranging from 1 to 7. None of these experiments succeeded in forming PuSiO_4 . Only mixtures containing the remaining precursor and/or PuO_2 crystalline phases were evidenced by PXRD. However, a clear difference was observed between the hydrothermal treatment performed in acidic media, which led to PuO_2 and SiO_2 mixtures for $\text{pH} \leq 2$ and those developed in much more alkaline reactive media for which the starting Pu(III) silicate was poorly altered (**Figure 1** and **Figure S12**, for $\text{Pu}_{4.67}(\text{SiO}_4)_3\text{O}$ and $\text{Pu}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$ respectively). The fact that these residues obtained after using alkaline conditions had the same chemical form (according to PXRD) as the starting precursor, however, to be taken with caution. Indeed, the color of these samples changed from blue to greenish-brown. This change in color might be explained by the oxidation of the plutonium (III) silicate at the solid/solution interface or by the formation of an amorphous Pu(IV) phase. However, this oxidation seems to be limited due to the very slow dissolution process of the precursor in these experimental conditions and was not clearly evidenced by PXRD, Raman or infrared characterization (amorphous secondary phase).

It may be inferred that the absence of Pu(III) silicate dissolution for $2 < \text{pH} < 8$ may be associated to the low dissolution rate of these silicate based species in these conditions compared to more acidic media. Another explanation of this phenomenon might result from the formation of a silica based passivative layer onto the surface of the plutonium (III) silicate precursor, due to the very low solubility of SiO_2 in this pH range.² However, even if these two mechanisms could be complementary, the apparent absence of Ce(III) silicate dissolution was also observed in alkaline conditions ($\text{pH} > 8$) which would promote the solubility of silica.⁷⁷ Therefore, it might be supposed that the same behavior occurred for the Pu(III) silicate analogs.

Fig 2. PXRD patterns obtained after hydrothermal treatment ($T = 150^{\circ}\text{C}$, $t = 20$ days, air atmosphere) of $\text{Pu}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$ reactant (2) starting with 0.84 mmol of Pu in nitric media (4mL) for starting pH values of 7.6 (7), 3.6 (8) and 2.0 (9). Characteristic XRD lines of PuO_2 and PuSiO_4 were extracted from references ¹ and ³, respectively.

The oxidation of plutonium (III) may be caused by both the oxygen of the working atmosphere and the considered nitric media which could facilitate the oxidation of Pu(III) bearing species. It has to be noticed that extending the duration of the hydrothermal treatment from 7 to 20 days in weakly acid media (i.e. $\text{pH} = 4$) led to similar results. Moreover, additional experiments performed at lower temperature (60°C) and for $\text{pH} = 2$, starting from $\text{Pu}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$ and $\text{Pu}_{4.67}(\text{SiO}_4)_3\text{O}$, did not allow to form PuSiO_4 (**Figure S13**) but only led to the formation of a PuO_2 and Pu(III) silicate mixture, probably due to the slow dissolution kinetic of Pu(III) silicate at low temperature. Therefore, decreasing the temperature did not seem to prevent the oxidation reaction leading to the formation PuO_2 .

Syntheses in hydrochloric acid reactive media (synthesis pathway C2).

In order to determine if less oxidative reactive media could be suitable to form PuSiO_4 , hydrothermal treatments of $\text{Pu}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$ and $\text{Pu}_{4.67}(\text{SiO}_4)_3\text{O}$ precursors were performed at 150°C in air, for various initial pH values ranging from 2 to 8. These conditions corresponded to maximal concentrations of Pu of $0.21 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ and $0.42 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ in solution (i.e. 0.84 mmol and 1.7 mmol of Pu, respectively in 4mL), when considering the full dissolution of plutonium precursors.

For the lowest plutonium content (i.e. 0.84 mmol of Pu) using $\text{Pu}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$ as precursor and for hydrothermal treatment performed with an initial pH of 3.6, the formation of PuSiO_4 as a minor phase was observed (mixed with PuO_2 as the major phase) (**Figure 2**). The synthesis performed at lower pH values (i.e. $\text{pH} = 2$) led to the formation of mixtures of PuO_2 (observed by PXRD and Raman spectroscopy) and SiO_2 (identified by IR spectroscopy). As previously stated in nitric reactive media, no apparent degradation of the Pu(III) silicate precursor was observed when working with higher pH values (i.e. $\text{pH} = 7.6$). In order to compare the two Pu(III) silicate precursors, an additional experiment was conducted at $\text{pH} = 4.0$, with 0.84 mmol of Pu, $T = 150^{\circ}\text{C}$ and in air atmosphere, starting with $\text{Pu}_{4.67}(\text{SiO}_4)_3\text{O}$. These conditions allowed the formation of PuSiO_4 as minor phase of a two-phased system also composed by PuO_2 (**Figure 3**).

Fig 3. PXRD patterns obtained after hydrothermal treatment ($T = 150^\circ\text{C}$, $t = 20$ days, air atmosphere) of $\text{Pu}_{4.67}(\text{SiO}_4)_3\text{O}$ (1) starting with 0.84 mmol of Pu in hydrochloric medium (4mL) and with pH = 4.0 (10). Characteristic XRD lines of PuO_2 and PuSiO_4 were extracted from references ¹ and ³, respectively.

Fig 4. PXRD patterns obtained after hydrothermal treatment ($T = 150^\circ\text{C}$, $t = 14$ days, air atmosphere) of $\text{Pu}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$ reactant (2) starting with 1.7 mmol of Pu in hydrochloric medium (4mL) and with pH = 3.4 (11). Characteristic XRD lines of PuO_2 and PuSiO_4 were extracted from references ¹ and ³, respectively.

In order to evidence the impact of the Pu(III) silicate relative concentration on the formation of PuSiO_4 , an additional experiment was performed starting with higher content of $\text{Pu}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$ (i.e. 1.7 mmol of Pu) at pH = 3.4. The hydrothermal treatment performed for 14 days at 150°C in air led to the formation of mixture composed by PuSiO_4 , PuO_2 and $\text{Pu}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$. The results obtained by PXRD analyses highlighted that the increase of the reactants amounts in the starting media increased the yield of formation of PuSiO_4 (Figure 4). Indeed, the fraction of PuSiO_4 was estimated by Rietveld refinement to 30 wt.% in the later conditions while it was found to be under 5 wt.% for the experiments performed with 0.84 mmol of Pu. Moreover, the presence of remaining Pu(III) silicate in the final mixture (over 60 wt.%) suggested that the formation of PuSiO_4 was kinetically-limited by the dissolution of the starting Pu(III) silicate.

Rietveld refinement performed on the samples containing PuSiO_4 as a minor phase enabled the determination of the following set of lattice parameters: $a = 6.9676(9) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 6.2007(9) \text{ \AA}$ and $V = 301.05(7) \text{ \AA}^3$ (Figure S14). As it might be expected based on the closeness between Ce^{4+} and Pu^{4+} ionic radii for octacoordinated cation ($0.97 \text{ \AA}$ against $0.96 \text{ \AA}$),⁸³ these values are close to what was obtained for pure CeSiO_4 : $a = 6.9523(2) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 6.2036(2) \text{ \AA}$ and $V = 300.06(2) \text{ \AA}^3$,⁷⁷ but

differed significantly from those reported by Keller: $a = 6.906(6) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 6.221(6) \text{ \AA}$ and $V = 296.6(5) \text{ \AA}^3$.^{3, 14} This difference could be explained by the synthesis route used to prepare PuSiO_4 , i.e. by the potential incorporation of hydroxide species in the PuSiO_4 structure, as already suggested for ThSiO_4 ⁶² and by Frondel and Collette⁵². It could also result from the misestimation of the reference parameters. Indeed, the lattice parameters reported by Keller were obtained on diffuse PXRD peaks and no yield of synthesis was reported.^{3, 14} Additionally, one cannot completely exclude the occurrence of PuSiO_4 metamictization (i.e. amorphization under radiation), which might lead to the increase of the a parameter and to the decrease of the c parameter.

The characterization of the samples by Raman spectroscopy (Figure S15) allowed to identify some of the characteristic bands of PuSiO_4 . Indeed, the symmetric and antisymmetric stretching modes of SiO_4 were observed at 910 cm^{-1} and 929 cm^{-1} , respectively, whereas the symmetric bending mode was located 432 cm^{-1} . The bands associated to the antisymmetric bending mode of SiO_4 were not evidenced because of their low intensity. These results are in good agreement with the data reported for isostructural silicate-based phases (Table 2).

Table 2. Assignment of the bands associated to the silicate groups observed by Raman spectroscopy for PuSiO_4 and comparison with other isostructural silicate based phases.

MSiO_4	Ionic radius of M^{4+} ($\text{\AA}$) ⁸³	ν_2	ν_4	ν_1	ν_3
HfSiO_4 ⁸⁴⁻⁸⁶	0.83	448 cm^{-1}	620 cm^{-1}	984 cm^{-1}	1018 cm^{-1}
ZrSiO_4 ^{87, 88}	0.84	439 cm^{-1}	608 cm^{-1}	974 cm^{-1}	1008 cm^{-1}
PuSiO_4					
(this study)	0.96	432 cm^{-1}	—	910 cm^{-1}	929 cm^{-1}
CeSiO_4 ⁷⁶	0.97	416 cm^{-1}	592 cm^{-1}	903 cm^{-1}	919 cm^{-1}
USiO_4 ⁵⁵	1.00	424 cm^{-1}	591 cm^{-1}	906 cm^{-1}	919 cm^{-1}
ThSiO_4 ⁵⁵	1.05	438 cm^{-1}	592 cm^{-1}	887 cm^{-1}	914 cm^{-1}

SEM observations performed on both Pu(III) bearing silicate precursors and PuSiO_4 -containing samples allowed to clearly observe a change in the material morphology consequently to the hydrothermal treatment (Figure 5). Indeed, from the typical morphology of the high-temperature sintered $\text{Pu}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$, the sample was covered by square-based bipyramid grains, which is characteristic of silicate phases of the zircon group resulting from hydrothermal treatment. This change in morphology was quite similar to what was observed during the progressive conversion of Ce(III) silicates into CeSiO_4 .⁷⁷ Moreover, PuSiO_4 crystals were precipitated onto the surface of a dense substrate which might correspond to the remaining $\text{Pu}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$ precursor or to a PuO_2 and SiO_2 mixture. Such kind of precipitation on a solid substrate might be compared to the results obtained for the coffinitisation process for USiO_4 formation from UO_2 and SiO_2 . All of these observations underline the kinetic limitation of the precursor chemical reactivity and suggest that the PuSiO_4 formation occurs by a

Fig 5. SEM micrographs obtained for sintered $\text{Pu}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$ precursor (9 hours, $T = 1350^\circ\text{C}$, $\text{Ar} - 4\% \text{H}_2$) (a) and for PuSiO_4 -containing sample (PuSiO_4 on the bottom, right and top left) obtained after hydrothermal treatment of $\text{Pu}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7$ for 14 days at 150°C in air (hydrochloric acid and $\text{pH} = 3.4$) (b).

slow dissolution, oxidation and precipitation process at the solid-liquid interface.

Discussion

Statements on the attempts to synthesize PuSiO_4 from Pu(IV) reactants

The impossibility to prepare PuSiO_4 in acidic, carbonate ions free, reactive media could be explained by the weak interactions between plutonium and silicate ions in acidic solution. Indeed, the coexistence of free plutonium (IV) ions and silicate ions is very limited in terms of pH range because of the low solubilities of plutonium(IV) hydroxide over $\text{pH} = 1$ ⁸⁹ and of silica below $\text{pH} = 8$.² Moreover, due to the very refractory character of plutonium dioxide, the remobilization of plutonium to enable the formation of PuSiO_4 is strongly disadvantaged from kinetic and thermodynamic points of view according to the ab-initio calculations which showed that PuSiO_4 could be metastable¹⁶⁻²² compared to the mixture of crystalline PuO_2 and SiO_2 .

Considering the results reported for actinide analogs,^{3, 63, 74} it might be inferred that performing hydrothermal synthesis in carbonate rich media would favor the formation of plutonium silicate due to the pH conditions which could promote the simultaneous presence in solution of silicate and tetravalent plutonium (through carbonate ions assisted dissolution of PuO_2). However, none of the synthesis conditions explored enabled the formation of PuSiO_4 , probably because of the strong competition between hydroxide, silicate and carbonate complexations with tetravalent plutonium. Thermodynamic data allowing the evaluation of these competitive effects under hydrothermal conditions are lacking. Nevertheless, since plutonium oxide was formed, the use of silicate and carbonate ligands were insufficient to counterbalance its precipitation or to enhance its dissolution. When plutonium carbonate

complexes are formed, the competitive effects between carbonate and silicate ligands did not seem to promote the formation of silicate-based species. At low temperatures (i.e. 150°C), hydrothermal treatments led to the formation of plutonium carbonate solid phases, while at higher temperatures (i.e. 237°C) carbonate ions complexation was probably disfavored and plutonium reactivity with hydroxide species led to the formation of PuO_2 . We considered, therefore, the formation of PuSiO_4 in these conditions to be quite uncertain even if its formation has been reported in the literature by this path of synthesis.³ These results suggest that at least one unidentified key parameter was involved in the synthesis reported by Keller.

Statements on the synthesis of PuSiO_4 from Pu(III) silicate reactants

The development of syntheses starting from Pu(III) silicate precursors proved that the formation of PuSiO_4 was possible using such reactants. The pH of the reactive media was found to play a key role for the formation of the Pu(IV) silicate. Indeed, the dissolution of Pu(III) silicate was promoted in acidic media ($\text{pH} < 3$), leading to the precipitation of PuO_2 , whereas the low dissolution rate of the starting precursors in alkaline media only led to the oxidation of plutonium at the solid/solution interface. However, the formation of PuSiO_4 was observed in an intermediate pH window (typically between pH 3 and 4) which was sufficient to provide the dissolution of the Pu(III) based precursor, slow oxidation of Pu(III) into Pu(IV) (or its oxidative dissolution) followed by the precipitation of PuSiO_4 .

The nature of the reactive media used also impacted the formation of PuSiO_4 . Indeed, working in nitric media did not enable the formation of PuSiO_4 , probably due to the too rapid oxidation of the Pu(III) by nitrous acid (from nitric acid) and/or dioxygen present in the system. Therefore, it may be inferred that the formation of PuSiO_4 could be favored by the slow

oxidation of Pu(III) silicate species (at the solid-liquid interface or in solution), keeping low Pu(IV) concentrations in the solution and thus preventing the formation of Pu(IV) bearing hydroxide precipitates which could evolve rapidly into PuO₂. Consequently, less oxidative media, such as a hydrochloric media, should be privileged to form PuSiO₄.

It was also observed that the yield of formation of PuSiO₄ was improved by increasing the amount of the Pu(III) reactant in

the reactive media. Such higher yields could be explained either by the increase of the concentration of the intermediate species which are involved in the formation of PuSiO₄ or by the decrease of the oxidative species (such as O₂) / Pu(III) precursor ratio in the reactive media (avoiding the quick oxidation of Pu(III) silicate precursors leading to PuO₂).

Table 3. Constants of complexation of Ce(IV) and An(IV) with carbonate associated to the limit complexes at I = 0 (25°C).

Ln(IV)/An(IV)	Ionic radii ^{viii} M ⁴⁺ (Å) ⁸³	Hydrolysis thermodynamic constants M(OH) _n ⁽⁴⁻ⁿ⁾⁺ (logβ°n) ^{89, 90}				logK _{sp} °(MO ₂ ·xH ₂ O) ^{89, 91}
		logβ°1	logβ°2	logβ°3	logβ°4	
Pu	0.96	14.6 ± 0.2	28.6 ± 0.3	39.7 ± 0.4	48.1 ± 0.9	- 58.5 ± 0.7
Ce	0.97	14.8	28.0	40.5	51.9	- 59.3 ± 0.3
U	1.00	13.6 ± 0.2	26.9 ± 1.0	37.3 ± 1.0	46.0 ± 1.4	- 54.5 ± 1.0
Th	1.05	11.8 ± 0.2	22.0 ± 0.6	31.0 ± 1.0	38.5 ± 1.0	- 47.0 ± 0.8

* β°n is associated to the reaction An⁴⁺ + n HO⁻ = An(OH)_n⁽⁴⁻ⁿ⁾⁺.

** K_{sp}[°] is associated to the reaction AnO₂·xH₂O + (2-x) H₂O = An⁴⁺ + 4 HO⁻

Comparison with the different zircon-type silicate systems

Among all the attempts to prepare PuSiO₄ adapted from the optimized conditions for the potential surrogates (Ce, Th and U), the chemical route leading to the formation of CeSiO₄ from Ce(III) silicate solid precursors in hydrochloric reactive media⁷⁷ has been identified as the only suitable way to prepare plutonium silicate. Similar experiments performed in nitric conditions did not lead to the formation of PuSiO₄. This difference compared to CeSiO₄ was explained by the stronger oxidative character of nitrous acid from nitric media (establishing a redox potential around 1.0 V/ENH) for Pu(III) compared to Ce(III).⁷⁷

Moreover, it might also be inferred that the complexation of tetravalent elements by silicates ligands could decrease the +IV/+III redox potential according to the Nernst law. This phenomenon could explain the easy oxidation to +IV oxidation state, and the formation of both PuSiO₄ and CeSiO₄. However, to the best of our knowledge, no quantification of this modification has been reported yet.

All the experiments performed from a Pu(IV) reactant did not

allow to form Pu(IV) silicate and only led to the precipitation of plutonium oxide or plutonium carbonate. The different behaviors observed between plutonium (IV) and the surrogate elements studied could be explained by the competition between hydrolysis and silicate complexation. Indeed, the protocol of synthesis adapted from the ThSiO₄ synthesis in carbonate ions free acidic reactive media⁶² was not efficient to form USiO₄, CeSiO₄⁷⁶ or PuSiO₄, and only led to mixtures of corresponding metal dioxide and silica. This difference was explained by the stronger trend in hydrolysis, and to precipitate as oxide phases, of U⁴⁺, Ce⁴⁺ and Pu⁴⁺ compared to Th⁴⁺ (**Figure 6** and **Table 3**). The transposition of the protocols of synthesis reported in carbonate ions rich reactive media, which allowed the formation of pure ThSiO₄⁶³ and USiO₄ (in mixture with UO₂),⁷⁴ didn't allow the formation of CeSiO₄⁷⁶ and PuSiO₄ but only gave a metal dioxide and silica mixture. These results might also be explained by the higher trend in hydrolysis and precipitation as oxide phases of Ce⁴⁺ and Pu⁴⁺ compared to Th⁴⁺ and U⁴⁺ (**Figure 6** and **Table 3**). Moreover, complexation of Ce⁴⁺ or Pu⁴⁺ by carbonate ions are stronger than that obtained for Th⁴⁺ or U⁴⁺ (**Table S2**). Both aspects would affect the availability of Pu⁴⁺ to interact with other ligands. The feasibility of PuSiO₄ synthesis in the conditions reported in this article from plutonium (III) silicate precursors, could result from the formation of intermediate plutonium silicate species (**Figure S17**). According to the dissolution and precipitation process hypothesized, it seems likely that at least one of the intermediate species involved in the PuSiO₄ formation could be a plutonium silicate aqueous species. As we previously stated during the synthesis of CeSiO₄ from Ce(III) silicate precursors,⁷⁷ the formation of PuSiO₄ could be associated to a multistep mechanism. The dissolution of the silicate based precursors could occur through the hydrolysis of Si-O-Si bounds at the surface of the plutonium (III) silicate, leading to the release of Pu(III) bearing silicate complexes in solution. These complexes might be slowly oxidized (probably by O₂), without breaking the plutonium-silicate bounds, to

Fig 6. Comparison of PuSiO₄ and its surrogates according to their ways of synthesis.

form Pu(IV) bearing silicate species, which could precipitate as PuSiO₄. The presence of strong oxidants in the solution, such as nitrous acid, might be limitative because they would trigger a rapid surface oxidation of the Pu(III) silicate precursors, leading to the formation of PuO₂ or a Pu(IV) silicate passivating layer.

Due to the similar limitation encountered for Ce(IV) and Pu(IV) and the closeness between Ce(III) silicate and Pu(III) silicate reactivity when forming CeSiO₄ and PuSiO₄, it is worth noting that cerium (IV) can be considered as the best surrogate element among those considered to mimic the behavior of plutonium (IV) in reductive and silicate rich media. Since CeSiO₄ was prepared from Ce(III) species at temperatures as low as 40°C,⁷⁶ it also raises crucial questions on the potential behavior of plutonium in the environment⁵⁻¹³ in the presence of silicates. A particular impact could be found when storing Pu(III) based radioactive waste forms in reductive and silicate rich environments. Moreover, the in-situ formation of plutonium (III) by chemical reduction or by radiolysis might also lead to the formation of PuSiO₄.

Conclusions

Studying the hydrothermal synthesis of PuSiO₄, by application of the ways of synthesis optimized for MSiO₄ analogs (Th, U, Ce) allowed to determine a method to form this silicate-based phase. It consisted in the preparation of Pu(III) silicate phases and their slow hydrothermal oxidation in hydrochloric reactive media at pH = 3–4. From a general point of view, our experiments suggested that the properties of plutonium (IV) in the presence of silicate ions were closer to those of cerium (IV) than those of uranium (IV) and thorium. Therefore, cerium (IV) may be considered as the best surrogate to mimic the behavior of plutonium (IV) in silicate rich media.

Our experiments did not confirm the results reported by Keller^{3, 14} and, more generally, did not allow to form PuSiO₄ from Pu(IV) containing mixtures.

However, the identification of the intermediate species and the understanding of the formation of PuSiO₄ mechanism still remain very important issues. Determining the role of the Pu(III) bearing silicate species in solution during the synthesis of PuSiO₄ and their electrochemical behavior may be really challenging. Additionally, the identification of the oxidant species involved in the Pu(III) silicate oxidation is also a crucial point to be clarified in order to understand the relevance of these species under environmental conditions.

The formation of PuSiO₄ starting from Pu(III) silicate phases also shew a new light on the potential reactivity of americium and curium bearing silicate complexes^{96, 97} and its consequences on the speciation of these actinides in the environment.

Even if this study did not allow the formation of pure PuSiO₄, it shows that the formation of the plutonium (IV) silicate is possible in very specific conditions. Based on the results already obtained on the cerium-silicate system, it might be supposed that the reaction yield could be improved by working under inert atmosphere, increasing the amount of

reactant, the holding time under hydrothermal conditions or by modifying the working temperature. More specifically, the experiments performed on the CeSiO₄ surrogate have evidenced that the formation of cerium (IV) silicate was very limited at temperatures higher than 150°C but was possible at temperatures as low as 60°C starting with solid Ce(III) silicates.⁷⁷ Some other ways of synthesis involving complexing species such as carbonate ions (in order to determine the unidentified parameter in Keller's protocol) or fluoride ions (which were successfully employed to prepare ZrSiO₄, HfSiO₄ and ThSiO₄ syntheses^{52, 98-100}) might also allow the remobilization of the plutonium which would precipitate as PuO₂ and improve the synthesis yield of PuSiO₄. However, the plutonium complexation by strong ligands such as fluoride might also disadvantage the formation of the silicate phase compared to more stable phases. Nevertheless, this study constitutes a first step in the formation of pure PuSiO₄ which is a crucial point in order to get solubility data on this phase and more importantly, to evaluate its importance in the study of plutonium environmental chemistry.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank V. Brethenoux and J. Hennuyer (from CEA) for supporting the syntheses experiments, J. R. Sevilla (from CEA) for supporting SEM experiments and P. Coste (from CEA) for supporting Pu(III) silicate syntheses experiments.

Notes and references

1. M. Beauvy, *Journal of Nuclear Materials*, 1992, **188**, 232-238.
2. R. K. Iler, *The chemistry of silica: solubility, polymerization, colloid and surface properties, and biochemistry*, John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, 1979.
3. C. Keller, *Nukleonik*, 1963, **5**, 41-48.
4. C. Frondel, *Geological Survey Bulletin*, 1958, **1064**.
5. V. Peretroukhine, C. Riglet-Martial, H. Capdevila, V. Calmon, P. Bienvenu and I. Laszak, *Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology*, 2002, **Supplement**, 516-519.
6. C. Hennig, S. Weiss, D. Banerjee, E. Brendler, V. Honkimäki, G. Cuello, A. Ikeda-Ohno, A. C. Scheinost and H. Zänker, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 2013, **103**, 197-212.
7. C. Riglet-Martial, I. Laszak, L. Bion and P. Vitorge, *Competition carbonate-silicate sur la complexation des actinides en milieu argileux riche en silice*, CEA, 2000.
8. H. Zänker and C. Hennig, *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology*, 2014, **157**, 87-105.
9. H. Zänker, S. Weiss, C. Hennig, V. Brendler and A. Ikeda-Ohno, *Chemistry Open*, 2016, **5**, 174-182.
10. I. Dreissig, S. Weiss, C. Hennig, G. Bernhard and H. Zänker, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 2011, **75**, 352-367.
11. I. Ulbricht, Ph.D. Thesis. Technischen Universität Dresden, 2010.
12. R. Husar, Ph.D. Thesis. Technischen Universität Dresden, 2015.

13. R. Husar, S. Weiss, C. Hennig, R. Hübner, A. Ikeda-ohno and H. Zänker, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 2015, **49**, 665-671.
14. C. Keller, *Karlsruhe Kernforschungszentrum report*, 1964, **KFK 225**.
15. J. A. Speer, *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry*, 1980, **5**, 113-135.
16. R. L. Putnam, Ph.D. Thesis. Princeton University, 1999.
17. H. Xu and Y. Wang, *Plutonium Futures - The Science*, 2000, 363.
18. M. A. Williamson, J. C. Huang and R. L. Putnam, *Fundamental thermodynamics of actinide-bearing mineral waste forms*, U.S. Department of Energy, 2000.
19. E. D. A. Ferriss, R. C. Ewing and U. Becker, *American Mineralogist*, 2010, **95**, 229-241.
20. R. L. Putnam, U. F. Gallegos, B. B. Ebbinghaus, A. Navrotsky, K. B. Helean, S. V. Ushakov, B. F. Woodfield, J. Boerio-Goates and M. A. Williamson, *Ceramic Transactions*, 2001, **102**.
21. R. J. Lemire, J. Fuger, K. Spahiu, J. C. Sullivan, H. Nitsche, W. J. Ullman, P. Potter, P. Vitorge, M. H. Rand, H. Wanner and J. Rydberg, *Chemical thermodynamics of neptunium and plutonium*, Issy-les-Moulineaux, France, 2001.
22. R. A. Robie and B. S. Hemingway, *U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin*, 1995, **2131**.
23. I. B. de Alleluia, Ph.D. Thesis. Fakultät für Chemie der Universität Karlsruhe, 1979.
24. I. B. de Alleluia, U. Berndt and C. Keller, *Revue de Chimie Minérale*, 1983, **20**, 441-448.
25. T. Uchida, S. Nakamichi, T. Sunaoshi, K. Morimoto, M. Kato and Y. Kihara, *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 2010, **9**.
26. A. A. Bessonov, M. S. Grigoriev, A. B. Ioussov, N. A. Budantseva and A. M. Fedosseev, *Radiochimica Acta*, 2003, **91**, 339-344.
27. M. S. Grigor'ev, A. A. Bessonov, V. I. Makarenkov and A. M. Fedoseev, *Radiochemistry*, 2003, **45**, 257-260.
28. G. B. Andreev, A. M. Fedoseev, V. P. Perminov and N. A. Budantseva, *Radiochemistry*, 2003, **45**, 488-490.
29. E. M. Pazukhin, A. S. Krivokhatskii and E. G. Kudryatsev, *Soviet Radiochemistry*, 1990, **32**, 26-32.
30. A. B. Yusov, A. M. Fedosseev and C. H. Delegard, *Radiochimica Acta*, 2004, **92**, 869-881.
31. V. P. Shilov and A. M. Fedoseev, *Radiokhimiya*, 2003, **45**, 491-494.
32. W. Lutze and R. C. Ewing, *Radioactive waste forms for the future*, 1988.
33. B. E. Burakov and E. B. Anderson, *Proceedings of International Conference NUCEF'98*, 1990, 295-306.
34. W. J. Weber, *Radiation effects and Defects in Solids*, 1991, **115**, 341-349.
35. W. J. Weber, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B*, 1992, **65**, 88-92.
36. W. J. Weber, *Journal of the American Ceramic Society*, 1993, **76**, 1729-1738.
37. B. E. Burakov, E. B. Anderson, B. Y. Galkin, V. A. Starchenko and V. G. Vasiliev, *Disposal of weapons plutonium*, 1996, 85-89.
38. B. E. Burakov, E. B. Anderson, V. S. Rovsha, S. V. Ushakov, R. C. Ewing, W. Lutze and W. J. Weber, *Materials Research Society, Symposium Proceedings*, 1996, **412**, 33-39.
39. W. J. Weber, R. C. Ewing and A. Meldrum, *Journal of Nuclear Materials*, 1997, **250**, 147-155.
40. E. B. Anderson, B. E. Burakov and L. J. Jardine, *Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory*, 1998.
41. J. A. Fortner, Y. Badyal, D. C. L. Price, J. M. Hanchar and W. J. Weber, *Materials Research Society, Symposium Proceedings*, 1998.
42. W. J. Weber, R. C. Ewing, C. R. A. Catlow, T. Diaz de la Rubia, L. W. Hobbs, C. Kinoshita, H. Matzke, A. T. Motta, M. Nastasi, E. K. H. Salje, E. R. Vance and S. J. Zinkle, *Journal of Materials Research*, 1998, **13**, 1434-1484.
43. B. D. Begg, N. J. Hess, W. J. Weber, S. D. Conradson, M. J. Schweiger and R. C. Ewing, *Journal of Nuclear Materials*, 2000, **278**, 212-224.
44. B. E. Burakov, E. B. Anderson, M. V. Zamoryanskaya, E. V. Nikolaeva, E. E. Strykanova and M. A. Yagovkina, *Global 2001 International Conference on: "back-end of the fuel cycle: from research to solutions"*, 2001.
45. B. E. Burakov, E. B. Anderson, M. V. Zamoryanskaya, M. A. Yagovkina and E. E. Strykanova, *Materials Research Society, Symposium Proceedings*, 2001, **663**, 307-313.
46. B. E. Burakov, J. M. Hanchar, M. V. Zamoryanskaya, V. M. Garbuzov and V. A. Zirlin, *Radiochimica Acta*, 2002, **90**, 95-97.
47. R. C. Ewing, A. Meldrum, L. M. Wang, W. J. Weber and L. R. Corrales, *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry*, 2003, DOI: 10.2113/0530387, 388-425.
48. J. M. Hanchar, B. E. Burakov, E. B. Anderson and M. V. Zamoryanskaya, *Materials Research Society, Symposium Proceedings*, 2003, **757**, 215-226.
49. J. M. Hanchar, B. E. Burakov, M. V. Zamoryanskaya, V. M. Grabuzov, A. A. Kitsay and V. A. Zirlin, *Materials Research Society, Symposium Proceedings*, 2004, **824**, 225-230.
50. T. Geisler, B. Burakov, M. Yagovkina, V. Garbuzov, M. Zamoryanskaya, V. Zirlin and L. Nikolaeva, *Journal of Nuclear Materials*, 2005, **336**, 22-30.
51. C. L. Dickson and F. P. Glasser, *Cement and Concrete Research*, 2000, **30**, 1619-1623.
52. C. Frondel and R. L. Collette, *The American Mineralogist*, 1957, **42**, 759-765.
53. L. H. Fuchs and H. R. Hoekstra, *The American Mineralogist*, 1959, **44**, 1057-1063.
54. D. P. Sinha and R. Prasad, *Journal of Inorganic and Nuclear Chemistry*, 1973, **35**, 2612-2614.
55. N. Clavier, S. Szenknect, D. T. Costin, A. Mesbah, C. Poinssot and N. Dacheux, *Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy* 118, 2014, **118**, 302-307.
56. N. Clavier, S. Szenknect, D. T. Costin, A. Mesbah, J. Ravaux, C. Poinssot and N. Dacheux, *Journal of Nuclear Materials*, 2013, **441**, 73-83.
57. D. T. Costin, Ph.D. Thesis. Université de Montpellier 2, 2012.
58. D. T. Costin, A. Mesbah, N. Clavier, N. Dacheux, C. Poinssot, S. Szenknect and J. Ravaux, *Inorganic Chemistry*, 2011, **50**, 11117-11126.

59. D. T. Costin, A. Mesbah, N. Clavier, S. Szenknect, N. Dacheux, C. Poinssot, J. Ravau and H. P. Brau, *Progress in Nuclear Energy*, 2012, **57**, 155-160.
60. S. Szenknect, D. T. Costin, N. Clavier, A. Mesbah, C. Poinssot, P. Vitorge and N. Dacheux, *Inorganic Chemistry*, 2013, **52**, 6957-6968.
61. S. Labs, C. Hennig, S. Weiss, H. Curtius, H. Zänker and D. Bosbach, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 2014, **48**, 854-860.
62. P. Estevenon, E. Welcomme, S. Szenknect, A. Mesbah, P. Moisy, C. Poinssot and N. Dacheux, *Inorganic Chemistry*, 2018, **57**, 9393-9402.
63. P. Estevenon, E. Welcomme, S. Szenknect, A. Mesbah, P. Moisy, C. Poinssot and N. Dacheux, *Inorganic Chemistry*, 2018, **57**, 12398-12408.
64. H. R. Hoekstra and L. H. Fuchs, *Science*, 1956, **123**, 105.
65. J. Mulak, *Journal of Solid State Chemistry*, 1977, **21**, 117-126.
66. V. Pointeau, A. P. Deditius, F. Miserque, D. Renock, U. Becker, J. Zhang, N. Clavier, N. Dacheux, C. Poinssot and R. C. Ewing, *Journal of Nuclear Materials*, 2009, **393**, 449-458.
67. J. Lian, J. M. Zhang, V. Pointeau, F. X. Zhang, M. Lang, F. Y. Lu, C. Poinssot and R. C. Ewing, *Journal of Nuclear Materials*, 2009, **393**, 481-486.
68. F. X. Zhang, V. Pointeau, L. C. Shuller, D. M. Reaman, M. Lang, L. Zhenxian, J. Hu, W. R. Panero, U. Becker, C. Poinssot and R. C. Ewing, *American Mineralogist*, 2009, **94**, 916-920.
69. J. M. Zhang, F. Y. Lu, V. Pointeau, F. X. Zhang, M. Lang, C. Poinssot, J. Lian and R. C. Ewing, *Materials Research Society, Symposium Proceedings*, 2009, **1193**, 9-14.
70. A. P. Deditius, V. Pointeau, J. M. Zhang and R. C. Ewing, *American Mineralogist*, 2012, **97**, 681-693.
71. A. P. Deditius, S. Utsunomiya, V. Pointeau and R. C. Ewing, *European Journal of Mineralogy*, 2010, **22**, 75-88.
72. H. S. Reynolds, PhD. Thesis. Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology University, 2013.
73. S. Labs, *Schriften des Forschungszentrums Jülich*, 2015, **267**.
74. A. Mesbah, S. Szenknect, N. Clavier, J. Lozano-Rodriguez, C. Poinssot, C. Den Auwer, R. C. Ewing and N. Dacheux, *Inorganic Chemistry*, 2015, **54**, 6687-6696.
75. J. M. S. Skakle, C. L. Dickson and F. P. Glasser, *Powder diffraction*, 2000, **15**, 234-238.
76. P. Estevenon, E. Welcomme, S. Szenknect, A. Mesbah, P. Moisy, C. Poinssot and N. Dacheux, *Dalton Transactions*, 2019, **48**, 7551-7559.
77. P. Estevenon, T. Kaczmarek, F. Vadot, T. Dumas, P. L. Solari, E. Welcomme, S. Szenknect, A. Mesbah, P. Moisy, C. Poinssot and N. Dacheux, *Dalton Transactions*, 2019, **48**, 10455-10463.
78. J. Martinez, N. Clavier, A. Mesbah, F. Audubert, X. F. Le Goff, N. Vigier and N. Dacheux, *Journal of Nuclear Materials*, 2015, **462**, 173-181.
79. L. M. Rösken, S. Körsten, C. B. Fischer, A. Schönleber, S. van Smaalen, S. Geimer and S. Wehner, *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*, 2014, **16**, 2370.
80. J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, *Physica B: Condensed Matter*, 1993, **192**, 55-69.
81. V. Neck, W. Runde and J. I. Kim, *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 1995, **225**, 295-302.
82. C. Madic, D. E. Hobart and G. M. Begun, *Inorganic Chemistry*, 1983, **22**, 1494-1503.
83. R. D. Shannon, *Acta Crystallographica Section A*, 1976, **32**, 751-767.
84. P. W. O. Hoskin and K. A. Rodgers, *European Journal of Solid State and Inorganic Chemistry*, 1996, **33**, 1111-1121.
85. J. H. Nicola and H. N. Rutt, *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics*, 1974, **7**, 1381-1386.
86. P. Estevenon, T. Kaczmarek, M. R. Raffiuddin, E. Welcomme, S. Szenknect, A. Mesbah, P. Moisy, C. Poinssot and N. Dacheux, *Crystal Growth & Design*, 2020, submitted article.
87. P. Dawson, M. M. Hargreave and G. R. Wilkinson, *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics*, 1971, **4**, 240-256.
88. R. W. G. Syme, D. J. Lockwood and H. J. Kerr, *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics*, 1977, **10**, 1335-1348.
89. V. Neck and J. I. Kim, *Radiochimica Acta*, 2001, **89**, 1-16.
90. B. A. Bilal and E. Müller, *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung*, 1992, **47**, 974-984.
91. T. V. Plakhova, A. Y. Romanchuk, S. N. Yakunin, T. Dumas, S. Demir, S. Wang, S. G. Minasian, D. K. Shuh, T. Tyliczszak, A. A. Shiryayev, A. V. Egorov, V. K. Ivanov and S. N. Kalmykov, *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2016, **120**, 22615-22626.
92. L. R. Morss, N. M. Edelstein and J. Fuger, *The Chemistry of Actinide and Transactinide Elements*, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 4th edn., 2010.
93. N. A. Piro, J. R. Robinson, P. J. Walsh and E. J. Schelter, *Coordination Chemistry Review*, 2014, **260**, 21-36.
94. D. R. Lide, *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, CRC press, Boca Raton (FL), USA, 2005.
95. N. A. Piro, J. R. Robinson, P. J. Walsh and E. J. Schelter, *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, 2014, **260**, 21-36.
96. P. N. Pathak and G. R. Choppin, *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*, 2007, **274**, 517-523.
97. K. H. Chung, R. Klenze, K. K. Park, P. Paviet-Hartmann and J. I. Kim, *Radiochimica Acta*, 1998, **82**, 215-219.
98. R. Valero, B. Durand, J. L. Guth and T. Chopin, *Journal of Sol-Gel Science and Technology*, 1998, **13**, 119-124.
99. A. G. McNeil, R. L. Linnen and R. L. Flemming, *The Canadian Mineralogist*, 2015, **53**, 1073-1081.
100. J. M. Mann, C. D. McMillen and J. W. Kolis, *Crystal Growth & Design*, 2015, **15**, 2643-2651.

FOR TABLE OF CONTENTS ONLY

The study of PuSiO_4 constitutes a crucial issue to get a better representation of the behavior of plutonium in silicate ions rich environments. This study reports an innovative way of synthesis of PuSiO_4 by hydrothermal in situ oxidation of solid Pu(III) silicate precursors. It also identifies how representative thorium, uranium and cerium are of plutonium chemistry in silicate ions rich reactive media.