Tetraalkylammonium Functionalized Hydrochars as Efficient Supports for Palladium Nanocatalysts

Tiago Duarte, Isabelle Favier, Christian Pradel, Luísa Martins, Ana Carvalho,
Daniel Pla, Montserrat Gómez

► To cite this version:

Tiago Duarte, Isabelle Favier, Christian Pradel, Luísa Martins, Ana Carvalho, et al.. Tetraalkylammonium Functionalized Hydrochars as Efficient Supports for Palladium Nanocatalysts. *ChemCatChem*, 2020, 12 (8), pp.2295-2303. 10.1002/cctc.201902305 . hal-03011369

HAL Id: hal-03011369

<https://hal.science/hal-03011369>

Submitted on 18 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Tetraalkylammonium functionalized hydrochars as efficient supports for palladium nanocatalysts

Tiago A. G. Duarte,^[a,b] Isabelle Favier,^[c] Christian Pradel,^[c] Luísa M. D. R. S. Martins,^[a] Ana P. Carvalho,^{*[b]} Daniel Pla,^{*[c]} and Montserrat Gómez^{*[c]}

[a] Mr. T. A. G. Duarte, Prof. L. M. D. R. S. Martins
Centro de Química Estrutural
Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa
Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

[b] Mr. T. A. G. Duarte, Prof. A. P. Carvalho
Centro de Química e Bioquímica e Centro de Química Estrutural
Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa
Campo Grande, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal
E-mail: apcarvalho@fc.ul.pt

[c] Dr. I. Favier, Mr. C. Pradel, Dr. D. Pla, Prof. M. Gómez
Laboratoire Hétérochimie Fondamentale et Appliquée
Université de Toulouse 3 – Paul Sabatier, CNRS UMR 5069
118 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse Cedex 9, France
E-mails: pla@lhfa.fr, gomez@chimie.ups-tlse.fr

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document and contains general experimental procedures, materials, and characterization of hydrochar supports and nanomaterials (Boehm titration, elemental analysis, FTIR, SEM, TEM, XPS), and selected organic compounds (¹H and ¹³C NMR).

Abstract: With the aim of preparing bio-sourced supports with enhanced properties in catalysis, we devised an original strategy allowing the immobilization of metal nanoparticles. Thus, size-controlled hydrochars with a high degree of hydroxyl functionalities, from both neat sucrose or modified with acrylic acid (10 wt. %), were derivatized with ether linkers containing ammonium groups. These non-porous carbon-based materials were used as suitable supports for the immobilization of palladium nanoparticles. The catalytic materials were synthesized by reduction of Pd(OAc)₂ to Pd(0) under H₂ atmosphere in the presence of the corresponding hydrochar, and fully characterized by standard methods. Among the different hydrochar-supported palladium materials, those functionalized with tetraalkylammonium groups afforded heterogeneous catalysts, exhibiting high activity in hydrogenations of different types of substrates (alkynes, alkenes, and carbonyl and nitro derivatives). The most efficient catalyst was recycled up to ten runs without loss of catalytic behavior, in agreement with the unchanged catalytic materials after catalysis [Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) analyses] and the lack of metal leaching in the extracted organic [no palladium detected by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectrometry (ICP-AES)]; these systems exhibited enhanced recyclability properties as compared to commercial Pd/C catalyst.

Introduction

Palladium nanoparticle-based catalysts play a pivotal role in H₂-based reactions, such as hydrodehalogenations of haloarenes^[1] and the reduction of unsaturated substrates,^[2] including oxidized nitrogen derivatives,^[2a, 3] especially for the production of anilines at industrial scale.^[4] This versatile reactivity offers great potential towards contaminated water/soil remediation via degradation of pollutants^[5] and environmentally friendly processes by means of selective catalytic reduction approaches precluding NO_x emissions.^[6] In this context, the synthesis of palladium nanoparticles (PdNPs) has been intensively studied over the last

decades, with particular focus on finely control size, composition and morphology of the as-prepared particles, having these key parameters a direct impact on catalytic activity.^[7] However, the cost and low abundance of noble metals in the Earth's crust demand for robust immobilization strategies for the synthesis of heterogeneous catalysts encompassing efficient recycling. Since the re-use of these high-value metal particles is a major concern from economic and environmental point of view, different approaches to prepare reusable PdNPs have been reported in the literature, such as their immobilization in liquid phases, e.g. ionic liquids,^[8] water^[9] and polyols,^[1-2, 10] as well as on different solid supports, e.g. mesoporous silica,^[11] zeolites,^[12] clays,^[13] polymers,^[14] metal-organic frameworks^[15] or carbon-based materials.^[7, 16] Particularly concerning the latter materials, carbon nanospheres,^[17] nanotubes,^[3a, 18] halloysite-hydrochar nanocomposites^[19] and graphene oxide,^[20] are among the most used.

In the search of new materials with enhanced properties, hydrothermal carbonization (HTC) methods affording non-porous and spherically-shaped hydrochars enriched with sulfonic,^[21] amino,^[22] aminopropyltriethoxysilane^[23] or ethylenediamino groups have been reported involving renewable biomass as carbon precursors.^[24] Up to now, the presence of multiple functional groups on the surface of the hydrochars has limited their chemoselective functionalization.^[25] A glutaraldehyde cross-linked polyethylene imine strategy has successfully been applied for the derivatization of hydrochars,^[26] but more efforts are required for their selective functionalization. Hitherto, raw carbonaceous materials from biomass have been used as supports for palladium-based nanocatalysts showing catalytic activity^[16-17] for both adsorption and hydrodehalogenation of chlorinated hydrocarbon pollutants.^[27] In this context, we envisioned to study the selective derivatization of free hydroxyl groups on the carboxylic acid-free hydrochars via ether bond formation to graft a tetraalkylammonium tail, with the purpose of obtaining supports containing both robust linkers (high chemical stability of ether groups) and efficient metal

nanoparticles stabilizers (ammonium moieties inducing electrostatic stabilization).

Taking into account our experience in the synthesis of both hydrochars^[28] and metal-based nanocatalysts,^[29] we have prepared functionalized supports for the immobilization of PdNPs, given the promising properties of raw hydrochars as supports for catalytic materials.^[30] Herein, we describe a comparative study of alkylammonium functionalized hydrochars versus their parent hydrochars as supports for the immobilization of palladium nanoparticles.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and characterization of hydrochar-based supports

Carbon materials **HCh-A** and **HCh-B** were prepared as previously described via hydrothermal treatment of both neat sucrose and sucrose supplemented with acrylic acid (10 wt%), respectively, in moderate yields (32-40%, see Figure S1 in the Supporting Information).^[31]

Boehm titration analyses revealed the presence of carboxylic acid, lactone, and phenol functionalities, being phenols the most abundant groups (57 and 54% of the total number of surface functional groups for **HCh-A** and **HCh-B**, respectively; see Table S1 in the Supporting Information).

Robust chemical functionalization of supports is crucial in the rational conception of stable materials that can resist the conditions required for catalytic applications. Actually, the stability of the catalytic materials is a key enabling factor towards high turnover numbers and their enhanced reusability properties. In particular, unbranched ether bond linkages present high chemical stability and their cleavage can only take place under strongly acidic or extremely basic conditions.^[32] To conceive a selective functionalization strategy via ether bond formation, the carboxylic acid residues on the hydrochars surface should be removed. Thus, an optimized thermal treatment (340 °C for 30 min) of **HCh-A** and **HCh-B** led to their complete decarboxylation, resulting in the new hydrochars **HCh-C** and **HCh-D**, respectively (Figure 1). The thermal procedure enabled not only to remove the carboxylic acid residues, but also minimize the amount of lactonic groups present in **HCh-A** and **HCh-B** (Figure 2). Even though the four hydrochars are acid materials, a moderate increase of the pH at the point of zero charge (pH_{PZC}) was observed for the thermally treated materials, **HCh-C** and **HCh-D** (see Table S1 in the Supporting Information), in agreement with the decrease of the acid groups (determined by Boehm titration). Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectra of **HCh-A** and **HCh-B** presented strong absorption bands in the range of 1585-1600 cm^{-1} corresponding to aromatic C=C bond stretching. The presence of alcohols (absorption bands at 3200-3640 and 1049-1276 cm^{-1}) and carboxylic acids (bands in the region 3200-3640, ca. 1690 and 1000-1250 cm^{-1}) was also evidenced.^[33] As expected, the bands assigned to carboxylic acids are more intense in the case of **HCh-B** (see Figure S2 in the Supporting Information).

The morphology of **HCh-C** and **HCh-D** did not exhibit significant modifications in comparison to the untreated hydrochars **HCh-A** and **HCh-B**, as observed by SEM micrographs (Figure 3 vs Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). In accordance with the Boehm titration results, **HCh-D** obtained by thermal treatment

showed a decrease of the IR absorption bands associated to carboxylic groups, especially the band assigned to the C=O bond stretching (Figure 4).

Figure 1. Synthesis of functionalized hydrochars and **Pd@hydrochar**-based catalytic materials.

Figure 2. Boehm titration analyses of hydrochars **HCh-A**, **HCh-B**, **HCh-C**, and **HCh-D**.

In terms of porosity, the thermally treated **HCh-C** and **HCh-D** presented low N_2 uptake which correlated with the non-porous nature of the starting hydrochars **HCh-A** and **HCh-B**, respectively. The specific surface area (7 $m^2 g^{-1}$) and total pore volume (0.01 $cm^3 g^{-1}$) were determined for **HCh-D** which matched with the values of the parent hydrochar **HCh-B**.^[31] However the negligible porosities of **HCh-C** and **HCh-A** could not be quantified by the analytical method employed.^[34] The volume of **HCh-D** results from the large porosity created by the aggregation of small hydrochar particles and proves that the thermal treatment did not affect the surface area and total pore volume characteristics of the material (Figure 3).

A selective functionalization of hydroxyl groups was then envisaged via ether bond formation through different strategies compatible with the nature of the supports. Reaction of hydrochars with sodium hydride in an aprotic solvent (DMF) was an efficient treatment for the deprotonation of the hydroxyl groups into alkoxides, sequentially reacting with the bromoalkylammonium bromide **1** via a nucleophilic substitution reaction (Figure 5).

Figure 3. SEM micrographs of hydrochars obtained by thermal treatment (**HCh-C** and **HCh-D**) and their functionalization via ether linkages (**HCh-E** and **HCh-G** from **HCh-C**; **HCh-F** and **HCh-H** from **HCh-D**). Note: for **HCh-H**, image magnification is 3,000x; for the other images, 5,000x.

Figure 4. FTIR spectra (KBr pellets) of **HCh-D**, **HCh-F**, **HCh-H**, and the bromoalkylammonium **1**.

Based on the quantified hydroxyl functional groups (determined by Boehm titration), 2 and 20 equiv. of NaH were used (Figure 5). In both cases, NaH was added to a suspension of the corresponding hydrochar (**HCh-C** or **HCh-D**) suspended in dry DMF under Ar; the reaction mixture was then heated to 90 °C for the specified time (40 min or 6 h). For the mild strategy, the

ammonium salt **1** was added directly to the reaction mixture. However, when harsher reaction conditions were employed, the supernatant was filtered out under Ar after the basic treatment, and then the alkoxide-based hydrochar was re-suspended in a solution containing **1** in order to avoid concomitant degradation reactions. In both cases, the alkylation reactions were run at 90 °C for 16 h under Ar. The resulting solids were fully characterized by SEM, elemental analysis, solid state NMR, and FTIR.

Figure 5. Mild and harsh strategies for **HCh-C** and **HCh-D** functionalization via ether bond formation, leading to **HCh-E** and **HCh-G** (from **HCh-C**), and **HCh-F** and **HCh-H** (from **HCh-D**).

SEM micrographs before and after functionalization revealed that the hydrochar derived from neat sucrose (**HCh-C**) was much more sensitive to basic treatments (under both mild and harsh conditions, **HCh-E** and **HCh-G**, respectively) than the one containing acrylic acid as additive (**HCh-D**), observing broken spheres and debris for **HCh-E** and **HCh-G** (Figure 3). In contrast, **HCh-D** presenting smaller fused nanospheres was functionalized under both basic conditions preserving the morphology, leading to **HCh-F** (mild conditions) and **HCh-H** (harsh conditions) (Figure 3). After these observations, we focused on the characterization of **HCh-F** and **HCh-H**.

In contrast to FTIR spectra of the starting materials (the ammonium salt **1** and **HCh-D**), **HCh-F** and **HCh-H** exhibited a more intense band at ca. 1060 cm⁻¹ that was attributed to the C–O bond stretching of ether groups, in agreement with the reported data (C–O bond stretching appears at 1150–1085 cm⁻¹ for acyclic ethers) (Figure 4).^[35] Extended reaction times employed for the preparation of **HCh-H** can yield ether groups on the surface without incorporating ammonium linkers. Accordingly, the nitrogen content determined by elemental analysis (see Table S2 in the Supporting Information) for both functionalized materials was similar (0.88% for **HCh-F** and 0.92% for **HCh-H**); for the non-functionalized material **HCh-D**, the nitrogen content was practically negligible (0.08%).

Synthesis and characterization of Pd@hydrochar-based supports

We prepared zero-valent PdNPs supported on the different hydrochars following a one-step procedure. Pd(OAc)₂ was reduced under hydrogen pressure in the presence of the corresponding hydrochar (**HCh-C** to **HCh-H**) (Figure 6), leading to materials containing the metal particles dispersed on the support surface as evidenced by Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) (Figure 7).

Figure 6. One-step methodology for the synthesis of PdNPs supported on hydrochar-based supports (**Pd@Hydrochar**).

We also tested a sequential two-step methodology, preparing first colloidal PdNPs in glycerol under hydrogen pressure using polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) as stabilizer based on our previously reported approach,^[29c] followed by physisorption of these preformed PdNPs on **HCh-F**. In this case, PVP competes with the hydrochar as stabilizer and only partial immobilization of PdNPs on the support was achieved as observed by TEM (see Figure S3 in the Supplementary Information).

Figure 7. TEM images of hydrochar-supported PdNPs (**Pd@HCh-C** to **Pd@HCh-H**).

Concerning the one-step methodology (Figure 6), the influence of the nature of the support resulted crucial for the deposition of PdNPs on hydrochars (Figure 7). For the materials obtained after thermal treatment presenting hydroxyl groups on the surface (**HCh-C** and **HCh-D**), large agglomerates of palladium were observed for **Pd@HCh-C**, whereas supported and unsupported nanoparticles were detected for **Pd@HCh-D**, showing a large size distribution (6.7 ± 2.8 nm). Thus, we can conclude that the interaction of the hydroxyl groups on the surface is not strong enough to immobilize the nanoparticles on the carbonaceous support in an efficient manner. In contrast, for the tetralkylammonium functionalized hydrochars under both

mild (**Pd@HCh-E** and **Pd@HCh-F**) and harsh (**Pd@HCh-G** and **Pd@HCh-H**) conditions, PdNPs were efficiently adsorbed on the supports. This might be due to the electrostatic interaction of the nanoparticles with the ammonium groups on the surface of the hydrochars, as this type of interaction is well-known to kinetically stabilize metal nanoparticles avoiding their agglomeration.^[36]

The particle size distribution of those PdNPs prepared from supports functionalized under mild conditions were more homogeneous [**Pd@HCh-E** (6.6 ± 2.2 nm) and **Pd@HCh-F** (5.4 ± 1.5 nm)] than those obtained under harsher conditions [**Pd@HCh-H** (6.8 ± 2.5 nm) and **Pd@HCh-G**]. In particular, two populations of PdNPs were present in **Pd@HCh-G** [ca. 5.0 nm (42%) and 8.0 nm (58%)], see Figure S4 in the Supporting Information]. Besides, given the degradation of acrylic acid-free supports under basic conditions (see above Figure 3), **Pd@HCh-E** and **Pd@HCh-G** were discarded for further reactivity studies. Overall, **Pd@HCh-F** presented the best dispersion and the smallest PdNPs among the series of functionalized materials (see Figure S4 in the Supporting Information for further details).

X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) analysis of Pd-based nanomaterials derived from acrylic acid hydrochars (**Pd@HCh-D**, **Pd@HCh-F**, and **Pd@HCh-H**) served to prove the presence of Pd(0) as well as the elements coming from the support [C, O and Br (Figure 8 for **Pd@HCh-F**) and Table S3 in the Supporting Information]. Nitrogen could not be detected by this technique due to its low content (0.43%, determined by elemental analysis), below the limit of detection of the XPS technique (ca. 0.5%).

Figure 8. XPS analysis for **Pd@HCh-F**: survey spectrum (left) and high-resolution spectrum in the Pd 3d binding energy region (right).

Figure 9. Powder X-ray diffractogram corresponding to **Pd@HCh-F** (red), showing the reference patterns for fcc Pd(0) structure (blue).

Powder X-Ray Diffraction (PXRD) of **Pd@HCh-F** revealed the presence of crystalline Pd(0) showing the expected fcc structure (Figure 9). A broad band centered on 24° (2θ) points to the presence of amorphous material due to the carbon-based support.

With the aim of determining the support structure, Raman analyses were carried for **HCh-D**, **HCh-F**, **Pd@HCh-D**, and **Pd@HCh-F**. However, any absorption corresponding to graphite (G) or diamond (D) bands was observed, as reported for sucrose-derived biochars obtained by pyrolysis at relative low temperature (215°C).^[37]

In order to evidence the functionalization of the support, solid state NMR analyses were performed by means of ^{13}C Cross-Polarization Magic Angle Spinning (CP MAS) NMR experiments. The ^{13}C CP MAS NMR spectrum of **Pd@HCh-F** exhibited a peak in the aliphatic region around 30 ppm, analogously to **HCh-F**, but not present for **HCh-D**; this resonance could be attributed to the *N*-functionalization of the hydrochar (Figure 10).

Figure 10. ^{13}C CP MAS NMR spectra of **HCh-D**, **HCh-F**, and **Pd@HCh-F**. * denotes signals probably due to residual DMF adsorbed on the surface.^[38]

Consequently, **Pd@HCh-F** was the selected material for catalytic studies; **Pd@HCh-D** (hydrochar bearing hydroxyl groups) and commercial Pd/C (10 mol%) were used for control purposes.

Pd-catalyzed hydrogenations

In this study, we chose the hydrogenation of 1-phenyl-1-propyne as benchmark reaction, using both catalysts, **Pd@HCh-D** (ammonium-free support) and **Pd@HCh-F** (ammonium-functionalized support) under low H_2 pressure (1 and 3 bar) and moderate temperature (50°C), in the presence of 0.5 mol% Pd loading. Both systems gave full conversion under 3 bar H_2 for 2 h (entries 1-2, Table 1). Under smoother conditions (1 h and 1 bar H_2 pressure), **Pd@HCh-F** kept its activity, while **Pd@HCh-D** led to only 34% conversion (entries 3-4, Table 1). High chemo- and stereoselectivity towards the formation of the *cis*-alkene was obtained with both catalysts (entries 3-4, Table 1). TEM analyses after hydrogenation (entries 1-2, Table 1) evidenced clear differences between both catalytic materials: while **Pd@HCh-F** was not modified, **Pd@HCh-D** showed

agglomeration (see Figure S5 in the Supporting Information), in accordance with the activity loss when **Pd@HCh-D** was recycled (entry 1, Table 1). In contrast to metal-based catalysts for the semihydrogenation of alkynes,^[29e, 39] the catalytic behavior of **Pd@HCh-D** and **Pd@HCh-F** proved that the hydrochar supports did not passivate the palladium surface, thus favoring the adsorption of alkenes.

Table 1. Hydrogenation of 1-phenyl-1-propyne catalyzed by PdNP supported on functionalized hydrochars.^a

Entry	Catalyst	Time (h)	pH_2 (bar)	Conv. (%) ^b	Selectivity (%) ^b
1 ^c	Pd@HCh-D	2	3	>99	>99 (2H)
2 ^d	Pd@HCh-F	2	3	>99	>99 (2H)
3	Pd@HCh-D	1	1	34	91% (<i>cis</i> - 2H') + 9% 2H
4	Pd@HCh-F	1	1	>99	8% <i>trans</i> - 2H' + 72% <i>cis</i> - 2H' + 20% 2H

^a Reaction conditions: 1 mmol of 1-phenyl-1-propyne (**2**), 0.5 mol% of Pd, 1 mL of heptane at 50°C . ^b Determined by GC using dodecane as internal standard. ^c After the first run, TEM analysis evidenced the agglomeration of PdNPs (see Figure S5a in the Supporting Information); its recycling gave only 70% conversion. ^d After the first run, PdNPs were not modified (see Figure S5b in the Supporting Information).

Then, we applied the most active catalyst, *i.e.* **Pd@HCh-F**, in the hydrogenation of di-, tri- and tetra-substituted alkenes under 1 bar H_2 pressure (entries 1-4, Table 2). The cyclic conjugated alkene **3** led selectively to the corresponding hydrogenated compound **3H**, even at 0.1 mol% Pd (entry 1, Table 2). Internal alkenes are more challenging substrates; 2,3-dimethyl-but-2-ene (**4**) gave the reduced compound under higher pressure (3 bar H_2 ; entry 2, Table 2). The geminal alkene 2,3-dimethyl-but-1-ene (**5**) mainly led to the isomerization product (**4**, 65%) together with 2,3-dimethylbutane (35%) (entry 3, Table 2). In accordance to these results, the hydrogenation of (*R*)-limonene ((*R*)-**6**) mainly afforded the product corresponding to the hydrogenation of the exocyclic C=C bond (entry 4, Table 2).

4-Phenyl-3-buten-2-one (**7**) led selectively to the formation of 4-phenylbutan-2-one (**7H**), a common skeleton present in fragrances (entry 5, Table 2). For this reaction, the catalyst was reused ten consecutive runs preserving its catalytic behavior (Figure 11); for all 10 runs, no palladium was detected by ICP-AES for the extracted organic products (less than 0.05 ppm Pd, limit of detection). TEM analyses after the 5th and 10th runs did not show any morphological change in relation to the starting catalytic material (see Figures S6 and S7 in the Supporting Information). Thus, the functionalization by grafting tetraalkylammonium groups via ether bond linkages allows the recyclability of the supported nanocatalyst **Pd@HCh-F** for hydrogenation processes.

The performance of **Pd@HCh-F** was benchmarked to commercial Pd/C. This commercial material gave quantitative conversions up to three recyclings under the same reaction conditions (50°C , 30 min and 0.5 mol% catalyst loading), but the

conversion decayed to 36% in the fourth cycle. The efficient recycling capabilities of **Pd@HCh-F** in comparison to **Pd@HCh-D** and commercial Pd/C validate our mild functionalization strategy towards the design and synthesis of robust hydrochar-supported catalysts which could be of interest for other carbonaceous raw supports.^[30, 40]

Table 2. **Pd@HCh-F** catalyzed hydrogenation of different functional groups.^a

Entry	Substrate	Product	Pd (mol%)	t (h)	pH ₂ (bar)	Conv. (%) ^b	Selectivity (%) ^{b,c}
1 ^d			0.5 (0.1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	>99 (98)	100 (100)
2 ^e			0.5	1	3	50	100
3 ^e			0.5	1	3	>99	35 (4H) 65 (4)
4			0.5	1	3	>99	85 (6H) ^f
5			0.5	0.5	1	>99 (Run 10: >99)	100
6			0.5	1	3	49 (Run 2: 90, ^g Run 3: >99 ^h)	100
7 ^d			0.5	15 (1)	3 (3)	>99 (30)	100 (100)
8 ^d			0.5 (0.1)	0.5 (1)	1 (1)	>99 (<5)	100 (100)
9			0.5	1	3	>99	100

^a Reaction conditions: 1 mmol of substrate, 1 mL of heptane at 50 °C using **Pd@HCh-F** as catalyst. ^b Determined by GC-MS analyses using dodecane as internal standard. ^c Characterization of the product by NMR. ^d Data in brackets correspond to 0.1 mol% catalyst loading. ^e Dodecane as solvent and heptane

as internal standard. ^f Menthane and isomerization products of menthene were also detected. ^g after 6 h. ^h after 15 h.

With the aim of proving the high activity of **Pd@HCh-F**, we studied the hydrogenation of a tetrasubstituted compound, 1,2,3,4,5-pentamethylcyclopentadiene (entry 6, Table 2). At short time (1 h under 3 bar H₂), 49% conversion was achieved leading exclusively to 1,2,3,4,5-pentamethylcyclopentane. The catalyst was recycled and reused under the same conditions but at longer times, giving full conversion after 15 h of reaction (after 6 h of reaction, 90% conversion was attained). These results show that this catalytic system only hydrogenates conjugated tetrasubstituted alkenes.

Exploration of the hydrogenation substrate scope **Pd@HCh-F** revealed that both nitro and aldehyde groups can be reduced under mild reaction conditions. In particular, benzaldehyde (**9**, entry 7, Table 2) and nitrobenzene derivatives (**10** and **11**, entries 8-9, Table 2) were efficiently reduced; for **11**, no hydrodehalogenation was observed under these reaction conditions. However, acetophenone and indole were not hydrogenated by **Pd@HCh-F** even under harsher conditions (40 bar H₂ and 50 °C for 16 h).

Figure 11. Recycling of hydrogenation of 4-phenyl-3-buten-2-one catalyzed by **Pd@HCh-F**.

The slower hydrogenation of aldehydes compared to nitro groups permitted to carry out a reductive amination in one pot procedure starting from nitrobenzene and benzaldehyde to yield N-benzylaniline (**11**) in 57% isolated yield (Figure 12). The reaction proceeds via condensation of the formed aniline with the unreacted aldehyde to form the corresponding benzylideneaniline which undergoes hydrogenation much easily than the parent aldehyde. Reaction by-products arising from reduction of the aldehyde [*i.e.* aniline (32%), the condensation intermediate (phenylmethylidene)aniline (8%), and also benzyl alcohol (9%)], were detected by ¹H NMR. The reaction conditions were not further optimized.

Figure 12. Pd@HCh-F catalyzed one-pot reductive amination.

Conclusion

With the aim of synthesizing selectively functionalized hydrochar supports derived from a naturally occurring sugar (sucrose), a thermal treatment of **HCh-A** and **HCh-B** precursors afforded enriched carbonaceous materials with hydroxyl groups exhibiting no traces of carboxylic acids on the surface, together with little to negligible porosity properties. Taking advantage of the chemical stability of the ether bond linkages, a series of functionalized tetraalkylammonium hydrochars were prepared enabling a comparative study towards the use of these materials as both supports and stabilizers for the synthesis of palladium nanoparticles. The ammonium groups prompted an electrostatic stabilization and in consequence an efficient adsorption of the metal nanoparticles on the hydrochars.

These catalytic materials were straightforwardly prepared in a one-pot procedure, by in-situ reduction of Pd(OAc)₂ in the presence of the corresponding support under hydrogen atmosphere. Among these as-prepared materials, **Pd@HCh-D** and **Pd@HCh-F** were chosen for catalytic evaluation due to the exhibited stability of supports during the synthesis of the catalytic materials (as evidenced by SEM analyses). These heterogeneous catalysts were tested in the hydrogenation of different unsaturated functional groups, revealing high selectivity. **Pd@HCh-F** was highly efficient towards the full hydrogenation of alkyne and alkene substrates under mild conditions. Moreover, aldehyde and nitro groups were also hydrogenated. The enhanced recyclability of **Pd@HCh-F** was proven, being reused 10 consecutive runs preserving the catalytic reactivity; no metal leaching was detected in the extracted organic phases. Under the same reaction conditions, the activity of commercial Pd/C decayed after the third catalyst recycling, giving only a 36% conversion in the fourth run.

Overall, the low porosity of **HCh-D** and derived hydrochars might help against deleterious diffusion pathways through the catalyst support itself, thus enabling milder reaction conditions than for micro- and mesoporous catalytic materials.^[12a, 12c] In contrast to unfunctionalized supports such as **Pd@HCh-D** and those reported in the literature,^[30, 40] tetraalkylammonium functionalization enables the recyclability of the catalytic material **Pd@HCh-F** mainly thanks to the robustness of the support and Pd-support electrostatic interactions.

Experimental Section

For general procedures, materials, and instruments, see Section A in the Supporting Information.

Synthesis of (10-bromodecyl)triethylammonium bromide

(10-Bromodecyl)triethylammonium bromide (**1**) was prepared in a solvent-free approach from 1,10-dibromodecane and NEt₃ at 90 °C for 16 h as a white solid (highly hygroscopic, 93% yield); see Section C in the Supporting Information for further experimental and characterization data.

Preparation of hydrochars

The hydrochars **HCh-A** and **HCh-B** have been recently reported^[31] and prepared based on the procedure reported by Demir-Cakan et al.^[41] (see Section B in the Supporting Information).

To tune the surface chemistry properties of the synthesized hydrochars, the materials were thermally modified. Thermal treatments were performed in a vertical Thermoline 2100 furnace using a fixed bed quartz reactor. **HCh-A** and **HCh-B** (1.0 and 1.5 g, respectively) were introduced in the furnace at ambient conditions, heated to 290 °C (leading to **HCh-C'** and **HCh-D'**) or 340 °C (leading to **HCh-C** and **HCh-D**) for 30 min, and then cooled. The treatments were carried out under N₂ flow (5 cm³/s) and the temperature was increased at a 10 °C/min rate.

Synthesis of functionalized hydrochars

Two different functionalization conditions were employed for the derivatization of carboxylic acid-free hydrochars **HCh-C** and **HCh-D** (named mild and harsh, Figure 1).

General procedure under mild reaction conditions: NaH (2 equiv.) was added to a suspension of the corresponding hydrochar (1 equiv. of OH function as determined by Boehm titration for **HCh-C** or **HCh-D**) in dry DMF (60 mL/mmol) under Ar. The reaction mixture was then heated to 90 °C for 40 min. At that time, the ammonium salt **1** (6 equiv.) was added in one solid portion and the reaction mixture was maintained at 90 °C for 16 h under Ar. The solid was recovered by vacuum filtration and washed with DMF (3 x 10 mL), HBr/MeOH (1/3 vol. ratio) (3 x 10 mL), MeOH (3 x 10 mL) and acetone (3 x 10 mL). The functionalized material was then dried under reduced pressure to provide **HCh-E** (from **HCh-C**) and **HCh-F** (from **HCh-D**).

General procedure under harsh reaction conditions: NaH (20 equiv.) was added to a suspension of the corresponding hydrochar (1 equiv. of OH function as determined by Boehm titration for **HCh-C** or **HCh-D**) in dry DMF (60 mL/mmol) under Ar; the reaction mixture was heated to 90 °C for 6 h. The supernatant was filtered out under Ar and discarded. Then, a solution of the ammonium salt **1** (6 equiv.) in DMF (60 mL/mmol) was added to the hydrochar residue and the reaction mixture was heated to 90 °C for 16 h under Ar. The mixture was then filtered out and the hydrochar residue was washed with DMF (3 x 10 mL), HBr/MeOH (3 x 10 mL), MeOH (3 x 10 mL) and acetone (3 x 10 mL). The resulting solid was then dried under reduced pressure to provide **HCh-G** (from **HCh-C**) and **HCh-H** (from **HCh-D**). The as-prepared hydrochars were characterized by elemental analysis, FTIR, and SEM. For a description of the characterization techniques employed, see Section A in the Supporting Information.

Synthesis of Pd@hydrochar-based supports

Palladium acetate (5 mg, 0.022 mmol) was mixed with 0.100 g of the corresponding hydrochar (**HCh-C**, **HCh-D**, **HCh-E**, **HCh-F**, **HCh-G**, **HCh-H**) in 10 mL of ethanol (99.8%) in a Fisher-Porter bottle. The mixture was then pressurized with 3 bar of H₂ at 75 °C, and stirred overnight. The solid was recovered by vacuum filtration, washed with ethanol 99.8% (3 x 10 mL) and dried under reduced pressure. All Pd@hydrochar materials

were characterized by Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM). **Pd@HCh-D** and **Pd@HCh-F** were further characterized by different techniques (see section 3): solid state Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (ssNMR), elemental analysis, SEM, FTIR, Raman, XPS, and ICP-AES.

Pd-catalyzed hydrogenation reactions

Substrate (1 mmol) in 1 mL of heptane and in the presence of 0.5 mol% Pd of the corresponding catalytic material (**Pd@HCh-D**, **Pd@HCh-F**) was pressurized at the convenient pressure (from 1 to 40 bar) and temperature, and stirred for the appropriate time. After depressurization and cooling down at room temperature, the liquid phase was recovered by micro-filtration and the catalytic material washed with heptane (3 x 1 mL). The combined heptane fractions were evaporated under reduced pressure and the organic products were analyzed by Gas Chromatography coupled Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) and ^1H NMR, using dodecane as internal standard, unless otherwise stated.

Despite the different porosity characteristics (specific surface area of $759\text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}$ and total pore volumes of 0.23 and $0.31\text{ cm}^3\text{g}^{-1}$),^[34] palladium supported on activated carbon (Pd/C, 10 mol%) was tested as catalyst control.

Catalytic recycling

The catalyst was recovered after hydrogenation by filtration, washed with heptane (5 x 10 mL) and dried under vacuum for 2 h before to be used in the subsequent hydrogenation reaction.

Acknowledgements

The Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) and the Université de Toulouse 3 – Paul Sabatier are gratefully acknowledged for their financial support. The work was also supported by the Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) through projects UID/Multi/00612/2019 to Centro de Química e Bioquímica, UID/QUI/00100/2019 and PTDC/REQ-ERQ/1648/2014 and CATSUS PhD fellowship (PD/BD/105993/2014) to T. A. G. D. The authors thank Yannick Coppel for helpful discussions concerning the solid state NMR studies, and S. Mallet-Ladeira and L. Vendier for X-ray diffraction analyses.

Keywords: Hydrochar, Palladium nanoparticles, Hydrogenation, Support functionalization, Recycling.

[1] A. Reina, A. Serrano-Maldonado, E. Teuma, E. Martin, M. Gómez, *Catal. Commun.* **2018**, *104*, 22.
[2] a) I. Favier, D. Pla, M. Gómez, *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00204; b) A. Bouriazos, C. Vasilou, A. Tsihla, G. Papadogianakis, *Catal. Today* **2015**, *247*, 20; c) S. Bulut, Z. Fei, S. Siankevich, J. Zhang, N. Yan, P. J. Dyson, *Catal. Today* **2015**, *247*, 96; d) D. J. Gavia, Y.-S. Shon, *ChemCatChem* **2015**, *7*, 892; e) M. R. Knecht, D. B. Pacardo, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2010**, *397*, 1137.
[3] a) A. B. Dongil, L. Pastor-Perez, J. L. G. Fierro, N. Escalona, A. Sepulveda-Escribano, *Appl. Catal., A* **2016**, *513*, 89; b) K. Duan, Z. Wang, C. Hardacre, Z. Liu, S. Chansai, C. Stere, *Catal. Today* **2019**, *332*, 69; c) G. C. Mondragon Rodriguez, B. Saruhan, O. Petrova, W. Gruenert, *Top. Catal.* **2009**, *52*, 1723; d) M. Y. Smirnov, E. I. Vovk, A. V. Kalinkin, A. V. Pashis, V. I. Bukhtiyarov, *Kinet. Catal.* **2012**, *53*, 117.
[4] T. Kahl, K. Schröder, F. R. Lawrence, W. J. Marshall, H. Höke, R. Jäckh, in *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*, **2011**.

[5] a) B. Hosseinkhani, T. Hennebel, S. Van Nevel, S. Verschuere, M. M. Yakimov, S. Cappello, M. Blaghen, N. Boon, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48*, 550; b) M. M. Khin, A. S. Nair, V. J. Babu, R. Murugan, S. Ramakrishna, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2012**, *5*, 8075; c) B.-Z. Wu, H.-Y. Chen, S. J. Wang, C. M. Wai, W. Liao, K. Chiu, *Chemosphere* **2012**, *88*, 757; d) B. Kumari, D. P. Singh, *Ecol. Eng.* **2016**, *97*, 98.
[6] J. Bassil, A. AlBarazi, P. Da Costa, M. Boutros, *Catal. Today* **2011**, *176*, 36.
[7] A. Balanta, C. Godard, C. Claver, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 4973.
[8] a) T. Dang-Bao, D. Pla, I. Favier, M. Gómez, *Catalysts* **2017**, *7*, 207; b) J. D. Scholten, B. C. Leal, J. Dupont, *ACS Catal.* **2012**, *2*, 184; c) C. Janiak, in *Ionic Liquids (ILs) in Organometallic Catalysis* (Eds.: J. Dupont, L. Kollár), Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, **2015**, pp. 17.
[9] a) S. Noël, B. Léger, A. Ponchel, K. Philippot, A. Denicourt-Nowicki, A. Roucoux, E. Monflier, *Catal. Today* **2014**, *235*, 20; b) A. Denicourt-Nowicki, A. Roucoux, in *Nanomaterials in Catalysis* (Eds.: P. Serp, K. Philippot), Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, **2013**, pp. 55; c) J. Yang, J. Y. Lee, J. Y. Ying, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 1672.
[10] a) A. Reina, C. Pradel, E. Martin, E. Teuma, M. Gómez, *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 93205; b) F. Fiévet, S. Ammar-Merah, R. Brayner, F. Chau, M. Giraud, F. Mammeri, J. Peron, J. Y. Piquemal, L. Sicard, G. Viau, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2018**, *47*, 5187.
[11] a) G. Budroni, A. Corma, H. García, A. Primo, *J. Catal.* **2007**, *251*, 345; b) B. Karimi, M. Khorasani, H. Vali, C. Vargas, R. Luque, *ACS Catal.* **2015**, *5*, 4189.
[12] a) S. Mandal, D. Roy, R. V. Chaudhari, M. Sastry, *Chem. Mater.* **2004**, *16*, 3714; b) M. Navlani-García, M. Martis, D. Lozano-Castelló, D. Cazorla-Amorós, K. Mori, H. Yamashita, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *5*, 364; c) J. Zhang, L. Wang, Y. Shao, Y. Wang, B. C. Gates, F. S. Xiao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 9747.
[13] D. Divakar, D. Manikandan, V. Rupa, E. L. Preethi, R. Chandrasekar, T. Sivakumar, *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.* **2007**, *82*, 253.
[14] a) H. P. Hemantha, V. V. Sureshbabu, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2011**, *9*, 2597; b) V. L. Budarin, J. H. Clark, R. Luque, D. J. Macquarrie, R. J. White, *Green Chem.* **2008**, *10*, 382.
[15] a) L. Chen, H. Chen, R. Luque, Y. Li, *Chem. Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 3708; b) J. Hermannsdörfer, R. Kempe, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 8071.
[16] S.-F. Jiang, L.-L. Ling, Z. Xu, W.-J. Liu, H. Jiang, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2018**, *57*, 13055.
[17] X. Sun, Y. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 597.
[18] a) B. Cornelio, G. A. Rance, M. Laronze-Cochard, A. Fontana, J. Sapi, A. N. Khlobystov, *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2013**, *1*, 8737; b) B. Cornelio, A. R. Saunders, W. A. Solomonsz, M. Laronze-Cochard, A. Fontana, J. Sapi, A. N. Khlobystov, G. A. Rance, *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2015**, *3*, 3918.
[19] S. Sadjadi, M. Akbari, B. Léger, E. Monflier, M. M. Heravi, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2019**, *7*, 6720.
[20] a) M. Nasrollahzadeh, S. Mohammad Sajadi, A. Rostami-Vartooni, M. Alizadeh, M. Bagherzadeh, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2016**, *466*, 360; b) S. I. El-Hout, S. M. El-Sheikh, H. M. A. Hassan, F. A. Harraz, I. A. Ibrahim, E. A. El-Sharkawy, *Appl. Catal., A* **2015**, *503*, 176.
[21] Y. Chen, X. Ai, B. Huang, M. Huang, Y. Huang, Y. Lu, *Cellulose* **2017**, *24*, 2743.
[22] Y. Chen, J. Chen, S. Chen, K. Tian, H. Jiang, *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2015**, *3*, 9843.
[23] J. Vieillard, N. Bouazizi, R. Bargougui, N. Brun, P. Fotsing Nkuique, E. Oliviero, O. Thoumire, N. Couvrat, E. Djoufac Woumfo, G. Ladam, N. Mofaddel, A. Azzouz, F. Le Derf, *Chem. Eng. J.* **2018**, *342*, 420.
[24] a) N. Tran Hai, F.-C. Huang, C.-K. Lee, H.-P. Chao, *Green Process. Synth.* **2017**, *6*, 565; b) H. Wang, L. Ma, K. Cao, J. Geng, J. Liu, Q. Song, X. Yang, S. Li, *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2012**, *229-230*, 321; c) D.-P. Yang, Z. Li, M. Liu, X. Zhang, Y. Chen, H. Xue, E. Ye, R. Luque, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2019**, *7*, 4564.
[25] A. Dieguez-Alonso, A. Funke, A. Anca-Couce, A. Rombolà, G. Ojeda, J. Bachmann, F. Behrendt, *Energies* **2018**, *11*, 496.
[26] Y. Shi, T. Zhang, H. Ren, A. Kruse, R. Cui, *Bioresour. Technol.* **2018**, *247*, 370.

- [27] a) H. Choi, S. R. Al-Abed, S. Agarwal, D. D. Dionysiou, *Chem. Mater.* **2008**, *20*, 3649; b) F. He, D. Zhao, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2005**, *39*, 3314.
- [28] a) M. Nunes, I. M. Rocha, D. M. Fernandes, A. S. Mestre, C. N. Moura, A. P. Carvalho, M. F. R. Pereira, C. Freire, *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 102919; b) D. M. Fernandes, A. S. Mestre, A. Martins, N. Nunes, A. P. Carvalho, C. Freire, *Catal. Today* **2019**, doi: 10.1016/j.cattod.2019.02.048; c) A. S. Mestre, E. Tyszkowski, M. A. Andrade, M. Galhetas, C. Freire, A. P. Carvalho, *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 19696.
- [29] a) L. Rodríguez-Pérez, E. Teuma, A. Falqui, M. Gómez, P. Serp, *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 4201; b) E. Castillejos, M. Jahjah, I. Favier, A. Orejon, C. Pradel, E. Teuma, A. M. Masdeu-Bulto, P. Serp, M. Gómez, *ChemCatChem* **2012**, *4*, 118; c) I. Favier, M.-L. Toro, P. Lecante, D. Pla, M. Gómez, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *8*, 4766; d) F. Chahdoura, C. Pradel, M. Gómez, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2013**, *355*, 3648; e) A. Reina, I. Favier, C. Pradel, M. Gómez, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2018**, *360*, 3544; f) T. Dang-Bao, C. Pradel, I. Favier, M. Gómez, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2017**, *359*, 2832.
- [30] a) C. W. A. Chan, Y. Xie, N. Cailuo, K. M. K. Yu, J. Cookson, P. Bishop, S. C. Tsang, *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 7971; b) P. Burguete, A. Corma, M. Hitzl, R. Modrego, E. Ponce, M. Renz, *Green Chem.* **2016**, *18*, 1051.
- [31] T. A. G. Duarte, A. P. Carvalho, L. M. D. R. S. Martins, *Catal. Today* **2019**, doi: 10.1016/j.cattod.2019.04.044.
- [32] J. Clayden, N. Greeves, S. Warren, *Organic Chemistry*, OUP Oxford, **2012**.
- [33] a) T. J. Bandoz, C. O. Ania, in *Interface Science and Technology*, Vol. 7 (Ed.: T. J. Bandoz), Elsevier, **2006**, pp. 159; b) H. Marsh, F. Rodríguez-Reinoso, in *Activated Carbon*, Elsevier Science Ltd, Oxford, **2006**; c) J. L. Figueiredo, M. F. R. Pereira, M. M. A. Freitas, J. J. M. Órfão, *Carbon* **1999**, *37*, 1379.
- [34] M. Thommes, K. Kaneko, A. V. Neimark, J. P. Olivier, F. Rodríguez-Reinoso, J. Rouquerol, K. S. Sing, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2015**, *87*, 1051.
- [35] E. Pretsch, P. Bühlmann, M. Badertscher, *Structure Determination of Organic Compounds: Tables of Spectral Data*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, **2009**.
- [36] J. Durand, E. Teuma, M. Gómez, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, *2008*, 3577.
- [37] T. Reed, J. Fournier, G. Nelson, Raman Characterization of Biochar Bonding, 2009. <https://www.peaklab.net/peaklab-reports/raman-characterization-of-biochar-bonding/>.
- [38] The use of cross-polarization excitation techniques ^{13}C CP MAS permits to suppress signals from solvent molecules due to their relative mobility properties. However, signals of adsorbed DMF cannot be excluded.
- [39] a) v. H. Lindlar, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1952**, *35*, 446; b) P. T. Witte, P. H. Berben, S. Boland, E. H. Boymans, D. Vogt, J. W. Geus, J. G. Donkersvoort, *Top. Catal.* **2012**, *55*, 505; c) P. Gallois, J. J. Brunet, P. Caubere, *J. Org. Chem.* **1980**, *45*, 1946; d) D. Savoia, E. Tagliavini, C. Trombini, A. Umani-Ronchi, *J. Org. Chem.* **1981**, *46*, 5340; e) M. Zhao, *Chem. Asian J.* **2016**, *11*, 461.
- [40] M. Oregui-Bengoechea, N. Miletić, W. Hao, F. Björnerbäck, M. H. Rosnes, J. S. Garitaonandia, N. Hedin, P. L. Arias, T. Barth, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2017**, *5*, 11226.
- [41] R. Demir-Cakan, N. Baccile, M. Antonietti, M.-M. Titirici, *Chem. Mater.* **2009**, *21*, 484.

Entry for the Table of Contents

Tetraalkylammonium functionalized hydrochars as efficient supports for palladium nanocatalysts.

Tiago A. G. Duarte, Isabelle Favier, Christian Pradel, Luísa M. D. R. S. Martins, Ana P. Carvalho,* Daniel Pla,* and Montserrat Gómez*

Tetraalkylammonium-functionalized hydrochars outperform classical Pd on carbon supports for catalyst heterogenization in terms of morphology-controlled palladium nanoparticle synthesis, efficient immobilization and recyclability towards hydrogenation.

Twitter: https://twitter.com/cat_tlse