Factors associated with risk of developmental delay in preschool children in a setting with high rates of malnutrition: a cross-sectional analysis of data from the IHOPE study, Madagascar

Ann Miller, Andres Garchitorena, Faramalala Rabemananjara, Laura Cordier, Marius Randriamanambintsoa, Victor Rabeza, Hery-Tiana Rahaniraka Razanadrakoto, Ranaivozafindary Rakoto Ramakaso, Olivier Ramahefarisontiana, Baolova Nathaline Ratsimbazafy, et al.

► To cite this version:

Ann Miller, Andres Garchitorena, Faramalala Rabemananjara, Laura Cordier, Marius Randriamanambintsoa, et al.. Factors associated with risk of developmental delay in preschool children in a setting with high rates of malnutrition: a cross-sectional analysis of data from the IHOPE study, Madagascar. BMC Pediatrics, 2020, 20 (1), 10.1186/s12887-020-1985-6 . hal-02998389

HAL Id: hal-02998389

<https://hal.science/hal-02998389>

Submitted on 31 May 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution| 4.0 International License

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Factors associated with risk of developmental delay in preschool children in a setting with high rates of malnutrition: a cross-sectional analysis of data from the IHOPE study, Madagascar

Ann C. Miller^{1*} , Andres Garchitorena^{2,3}, Faramalala Rabemananjara³, Laura Cordier³, Marius Randriamanambintsoa⁴, Victor Rabeza⁴, Hery-Tiana Rahaniraka Razanadrakoto⁴, Ranaivozafindary Rakoto Ramakaso⁴, Olivier Ramahefarison Tiana⁴, Baolova Nathaline Ratsimbazafy³, Mohammed Ali Ouenzar³, Matthew H. Bonds^{1,3} and Lisy Ratsifandrihamana⁵

Abstract

Background: 50% of Malagasy children have moderate to severe stunting. In 2016, a new 10 year National Nutrition Action Plan (PNAN III) was initiated to help address stunting and developmental delay. We report factors associated with risk of developmental delay in 3 and 4 year olds in the rural district of Ifanadiana in southeastern Madagascar in 2016.

Methods: The data are from a cross-sectional analysis of the 2016 wave of IHOPE panel data (a population-representative cohort study begun in 2014). We interviewed women ages 15–49 using the MICS Early Child Development Indicator (ECDI) module, which includes questions for physical, socio-emotional, learning and literacy/numeracy domains. We analyzed ECDI data using standardized z scores for relative relationships for 2 outcomes: at-risk-for-delay vs. an international standard, and lower-development-than-peers if ECDI z scores were > 1 standard deviation below study mean. Covariates included demographics, adult involvement, household environment, and selected child health factors. Variables significant at alpha of 0.1 were included a multivariable model; final models used backward stepwise regression, clustered at the sampling level.

Results: Of 432 children ages 3 and 4 years, 173 (40%) were at risk for delay compared to international norms and 68 children (16.0%) had lower-development than peers. This was driven mostly by the literacy/numeracy domain, with only 7% of children considered developmentally on track in that domain. 50.5% of children had moderate to severe stunting. 76 (17.6%) had > = 4 stimulation activities in past 3 days. Greater paternal engagement (OR 1.5 (1.09, 2.07)) was associated with increased delay vs. international norms. Adolescent motherhood (OR. 4.09 (1.40, 11.87)) decreased children's development vs. peers. Engagement from a non-parental adult reduced odds of delay for both outcomes (OR (95%CI = 0.76 (0.63, 0.91) & 0.27 (0.15, 0.48) respectively). Stunting was not associated with delay risk (1.36 (0.85, 2.15) or low development (0.92 (0.48, 1.78)) when controlling for other factors.

(Continued on next page)

* Correspondence: Ann_miller@hms.harvard.edu

¹Department of Global Health and Social Medicine, Harvard Medical School, 641 Huntington Ave, Boston, MA 02115, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

(Continued from previous page)

Conclusions: In this setting of high child malnutrition, stunting is not independently associated with developmental risk. A low proportion of children receive developmentally supportive stimulation from adults, but non-parent adults provide more stimulation in general than either mother or father. Stimulation from non-parent adults is associated with lower odds of delay.

Keywords: Child development, Stunting, Developmental risk factors, Madagascar, MICS, Population survey

Background

Nearly two hundred eighty million children in low and middle income countries are at risk for not achieving their full developmental potential [1]. Poor development can lead to reduced scholastic ability [2] and has been associated with lower adult earning potential [3], and poorer health [4], thus potentially promoting and entrenching inequity and contributing to intergenerational poverty traps.

While the entire period of childhood is important for development, the first 1000 days (conception to age 2) are critically important for brain development; with the most rapid and prolific development of neural pathways, first in sensory development, then language skills and then higher cognitive functioning [5]. Experiences during this period – positive or negative – can substantially impact the architecture of the developing brain. Adverse events such as exposure to familial or societal violence, toxins such as lead or arsenic, illness (anemia, diarrhea, HIV, chronic malnutrition, maternal depression), or lack of a developmentally stimulating and nurturing environment can all act as toxic stressors on young children that negatively impact cognitive development throughout the life-course [6]. Infants and young children in settings of poverty are often faced with many of these stressors [7].

Some of these factors, if identified early enough, are potentially modifiable through intervention, such as caregiver interaction and stimulation [8, 9], or treatment for or prevention of malnutrition [3, 10]. System and policy changes can influence access to health care for prevention and treatment of illness, malnutrition, early marriage and first birth, and maternal education, all of which may influence children's development. However, an assessment of context-specific risks is necessary to develop interventions that improve child development in specific settings.

Madagascar is one of the poorest nations in the world, with 75.1% of the population living on less than \$1.90 USD in 2018. It has very high rates of developmental risk (both as measured through direct assessment in household surveys and indirectly through rates of stunting) and of many possibly modifiable risk factors. In surveys from 2012 (most recent estimates to date), an estimated 32% of children ages 3–5 years were considered developmentally at risk, 8.5% received developmental stimulation from an adult and 47% of children under age 5 were stunted [11, 12]; the fifth highest prevalence

of stunting in the world. In early 2017, to address the burden of stunting and attendant neurodevelopmental delay, Madagascar unveiled their third National Nutrition Action Plan (PNAN III), a multisector proposal co-funded by the World Bank that aims to reduce stunting in Madagascar from 47.3 to 38% by 2021. The PNAN III plan includes system improvements to deliver nutritional and health services in 8 regions of high stunting with support for other sectors, such as water, sanitation and hygiene, and climate-smart agriculture [13]. However, minimal information exists from these regions about the baseline burden of risk or determinants for developmental delay in children, which could help inform the implementation of PNAN III and other health system strengthening interventions.

In 2014, the nongovernmental health organization, PIVOT, began operations in partnership with the Ministry of Health to establish Ifanadiana District, in South-eastern Madagascar, as a model health system. The effort aimed to strengthen the public health system through improvements in facility readiness, clinical programs, and integrated data systems at all levels of care. That year, we initiated the Ifanadiana Health Outcomes and Prosperity longitudinal Evaluation (IHOPE), a representative cohort of Ifanadiana District to assess baseline health and socio-economic status of the population and monitor the impact of the health intervention on the population over time. The objective of this analysis is to quantify the burden of risk for developmental delay in children ages 3 to 5 years in Ifanadiana District at the time of the initiation of PNAN III using IHOPE data from 2016, and to identify potentially modifiable risk factors that could be addressed through local program development.

Methods

Study population

Our study population is based in Ifanadiana District, with a population of approximately 209,000 residents. Ifanadiana is a low-resource setting in a low-resource country. Approximately 85% of the population subsist from agriculture, 3% have access to improved latrines and only 20% have access to safe drinking water. Health indicators are low compared with Madagascar as a whole—in 2014, 34% of children had all appropriate

vaccines by the time they were 23 months old (vs. 51% for Madagascar); under 5 mortality was estimated at 145/1000 live births (vs. 62/1000 for Madagascar); and 20% of mothers had trained assistance in delivering their last baby (vs. 59% for Madagascar). Children's development in the district is similarly low compared to the country as a whole. Four percent of children ages 3 or 4 were attending preschool (vs. 7.7% nationally) and 27% of adults between ages 18–35 had no formal education (vs. 21% nationally) [14].

Data collection

The IHOPE cohort was established as an extension of a representative baseline survey from 2014, which occurred at the outset of the health system strengthening intervention. The survey [14] used a 2-stage random sample in 80 clusters to select 1600 households. The IHOPE study revisits the same households every second year to collect data on health and economic indicators, and it is stratified to be representative of both within and outside the initial PIVOT catchment area [15]. The IHOPE study uses methods, techniques and questionnaires based primarily on Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS), with additional questions from the Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys (MICS), and the Rwanda Questionnaire on Well-being [16]. Specifically, we used the early child development index (ECDI) modules from the MICS 4. The ECDI is designed to be an internationally comparable population screener for developmental risk [17, 18] (as opposed to a diagnostic tool for developmental delay), which includes questions about development of 3 and 4 year olds, including risk and protective factors. Specific questions include whether adults interact with the child in developmentally supportive ways (reading, counting or naming things, singing to the child, playing with the child, taking the child

out of the home compound) (See Table 1 for specific questions in the ECDI). The ECDI focuses on 4 domains—learning, physical growth, social-emotional and literacy/numeracy. The IHOPE surveys are implemented and conducted by the Madagascar Institute of Statistics (INSTAT), the same organization that conducts the DHS and MICS in Madagascar.

Definitions

Outcomes

We defined development outcomes in 2 ways; one to compare to external cohorts in other countries, and one to consider risks to development in children within the cohort relative to one another. For external comparisons, we used the standard definitions from the MICS. Using these definitions, a child was considered to be developmentally “on track” if the child had a positive score in three of four domains in the ECDI, and “at risk for delay” if positive scores in fewer than 3 domains. For internal comparisons, a child was considered to have “low development relative to peers” if the ECDI score was at least 1 standard deviation (SD) below the IHOPE sample mean.

Stimulation indicators

We used the standard definitions in the MICS to create our stimulation indicators. A variable for “adult involvement” was created as a continuous score of number of reported developmentally stimulating activities conducted with a child by any adult (mother, father or other adult). We also created a binary variable for involvement—“adult disengagement” if no adult did at least 4 learning activities with the child in the last 3 days, and maternal, paternal and “other adult” disengagement to assess differences between caregivers. Home environment was assessed with a

Table 1 Early Child Development Index Questions, Development Domains, and Criteria for “on-track” development

Domain	Questions	Criteria for development “on track”
Literacy/numeracy	Child can identify/name at least 10 letters of the alphabet? Child can read at least 4 simple, popular words? Child knows the name and recognizes the symbol of all numbers from 1 to 10?	On track if at least 2 are true.
Physical	Child can pick up a small object with 2 fingers, like a stick or a rock from the ground? Child is not sometimes too sick to play.	On track if at least 1 is true
Social Emotional	Child gets along well with other children. Child does not hit, kick or bite other children Child does not get distracted easily.	On track if at least 2 are true.
Approaches to Learning	Child follows simple directions on how to do something correctly. When given something to do, child is able to do it independently.	On track if at least 1 is true
Total ECDI		Percentage of children on track in 3/4 domains.

series of specific questions about number and source of playthings, number of books in the house, and whether and how long the child was left alone or with another child under the age of 10 years as a caregiver.

Other child-level measures

Nutritional status was assessed using the World Health Organization measures [19]; we used both continuous weight-for-age (underweight), weight-for-height (wasting) and height-for-age (stunting) age-adjusted z scores compared to a normed international population, and binary variables to characterize moderate and severe stunting, wasting and underweight status.

Other household-level measures

The number of other children under age 5 in the house was assessed as a continuous variable. A binary variable was created for maternal education—any years of formal education vs. no formal education. Household poverty was assessed using wealth indices as determined using DHS methods using principal components analysis [20]. Cutoff points for wealth quintiles were determined to be the values closest to but less than the 20, 40, 60 and 80th percentiles of the cumulative wealth index. We estimated, for all household members, injury or illness in the 4 weeks prior to the interview, whether household members had sought care for these illnesses or injuries, and whether they had missed work activities or school because of the illness/injury. Maternal age at birth was calculated from estimated maternal and child birthdates and was assessed as a continuous variable and a 4-category variable (age 15–19, 20–24, 25–34 and 35–49). Orphan status was presented as a categorical variable (single maternal; single paternal; both; neither; and unknown status if one or other parent's survival was unknown); and fathers' presence in the home was assessed as a binary variable.

Data analysis

We conducted descriptive analysis using frequencies and percentages for binary and categorical data and means with standard deviations and medians with interquartile ranges for continuous data. We analyzed data using Stata 15 (Stata: College Station, Texas). We assessed factors associated with the outcomes (risk for delay or low development related to peers) using logistic regressions (for binary endpoints) and accounting for clustering at the sampling level using Stata's "cluster" function. Factors in univariable analysis significant at an alpha of 0.1 were entered into a full multivariable model and reduced to a final model using backward stepwise regression with an alpha of 0.05 for retention. Wald tests were used to compare reduced to full models. We assessed factors in the final models for interaction.

Ethics review

The protocol and tools for the IHOPE cohort were reviewed and approved by the Harvard Medical School Institutional Review Board and the Madagascar National Ethics Committee. Verbal consent was obtained from eligible adults (ages 15–59) and from parents or legal guardians for children's participation. In common with most population health surveys, written consent was not required as the study was deemed to present no more than minimal risk of harm to subjects and involved no procedures for which written consent is normally required outside the research context. All data were de-identified data prior to analysis; investigators had only access to data identifiable at the cluster level.

Results

Sample description

Four hundred thirty-two children from the 2016 wave met eligibility criteria for inclusion (ages 3 to <5 years, alive at interview). Table 2 describes demographics, anthropometry and developmental status of the study population. Of the 432 children included, 259 (59.9%) were considered to be developmentally on track, and 173 (40.1%) were at risk for delay based on ECDI scores. Sixty-eight children (16.0%) were considered to have low development compared to peers. In our sample, the mean ECDI score was 5.12 (range 1–10, SD 1.56). The mean for children at risk for delay was 4.6 (1.8 SD), and was 5.5 (1.23 SD) in children whose development was on track for both outcome measures. For children with low development compared to peers, mean ECDI score was 2.8(0.57 SD). By individual domain, literacy/numeracy had the lowest proportion (7.2%) of children with on-track development, while physical (85.4%), learning (86.6%) and socio-emotional (82.6%) domains had substantially higher proportions.

Of 431 children with at least some completed developmental support questions, 76 (17.6%) had adult support for development (4 or more different developmentally stimulating activities in the last 3 days), and 106 children (24.6%) had no adult support for development (0 developmentally supportive activities conducted by an adult in the last 3 days). The median number of developmentally supportive activities provided to a child was 2 [range 0–8].

Factors in univariable analysis associated at an alpha of 0.1 with being at risk for delay compared to international standards included household poverty (bottom 2 quintiles—Odds Ratio (OR) 1.52 95% Confidence Interval CI (1.03, 2.25)), adult disengagement, and increasing number of activities provided by the father (OR 1.35 95%CI 1.01, 1.81). Factors associated with being developmentally on track included being left in the care of an older child (OR for delay 0.48 95%CI 0.30, 0.76), an

Table 2 Descriptive statistics of the study population, children ages 3 and 4, in the IHOPE study, Ifanadiana Madagascar 2016. (*n* = 432)

Variable	n	Percent
Demographics		
Male sex	212	49
Age		
3	202	47.2
4	228	52.8
Number of children under 5 in household		
1	157	36.3
2	215	49.8
3	50	11.6
4	8	1.8
5	2	0.5
Wealth quintile		
Poorest	96	22.2
Second-poorest	109	25.2
Middle	105	24.3
Second-richest	67	15.5
Richest	55	12.7
Mean (SD) anthropometrics (<i>n</i> = 400)		
Height for age z	−2.04	1.3
Weight for age z	−1.66	0.91
Weight for height	−0.57	0.94
Mother's age		
15–19	23	5.3
20–24	116	26.8
25–34	169	39.1
35–49	124	28.7
Orphan status		
Single, mother deceased	1	0.23
Single, father deceased	15	3.6
Single, father status unknown	10	2.3
Double	0	
Not orphan	406	93.87
Maternal education		
No formal	162	37.5
Primary	238	55.1
Secondary or higher	32	7.4
Development indicators		
At-risk (ECDI scores in < 3/4)	173	40.1
Mean ECDI score	5.13	1.54
On track in physical domain	369	85.4
On track in learning	374	86.5
On track in social-emotional domain	357	82.6

Table 2 Descriptive statistics of the study population, children ages 3 and 4, in the IHOPE study, Ifanadiana Madagascar 2016. (*n* = 432) (*Continued*)

Variable	n	Percent
On track in literacy/numeracy domain	31	7.2
Low development compared to peers ($Z < 0.1$)	68	15.8
Mean ECDI score in low development	2.76	0.55
Adult involvement		
The child was engaged in 4 or more stimulation activities with adults in last 3 days	76	17.6
Mother engaged in 4 or more activities (<i>n</i> = 431)	9	2.1
Father engaged in 4 or more activities (<i>n</i> = 431)	1	0.23
Other, non-parental adult engaged in 4 or more activities (<i>n</i> = 431)	26	6.03
Median number of activities	2	range 0–8
Median number for mothers	1	range 0–5
Mean number for fathers	0	range 0–4
Mean number for other adults	0	range 0–6
Number of children with no supporting activities	106	24.6

adult playing (OR 0.49 95%CI 0.31, 0.76) or singing songs (OR 0.58 95%CI 0.37, 0.89) with the child, and higher number of activities by a non-parental adult (OR 0.66 95% CI 0.56, 0.78). Table 3 shows full and reduced models of factors associated with being at risk for delay. In the final model, increase in number of activities by the father (adjusted OR (aOR)1.50 95% CI 1.09, 2.07) was associated with being at risk, and adult playing with the child (aOR 0.54 95%CI 0.31, 0.94) and increase in activities provided by a non-parental adult (aOR 0.76 95% CI 0.63, 0.94) were associated with on track development.

Table 4 shows factors in the full and reduced models for low development compared to peers. In univariable analyses, factors negatively associated at an alpha of 0.1 with development compared to peers included household poverty (bottom 2 wealth quintiles (OR 2.16 95%CI 1.20, 3.89)), adolescent mother (age < 20) (OR 3.09 95%CI 1.18, 8.13), an increasing number of other under 5 children in the home (OR 2.06 95%CI 1.11, 3.82), and having moderate to severe wasting (OR 2.92 95%CI 0.98, 8.70)). Factors positively associated with development included having an adult engage in at least 4 developmentally supportive activities (OR 0.33 95%CI 0.15, 0.72), increase in engagement by a non-parental adult (OR 0.43 95%CI 0.30, 0.63), playing with household or other found objects as toys (OR 0.41 95%CI 0.21, 0.79), specific activities of an adult playing with (OR 0.49 95%CI 0.25, 0.97) and singing to the child (OR

Table 3 Factors associated with “at-risk” developmental status compared to an internationally-normed standard

Variable	Unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	P value	Final model, Adjusted odds ratios (95%CI)	P value
<i>n</i> = 431 unless otherwise stated				
Child-level measures				
Female sex	0.91 (0.61, 1.34)	0.63		
Moderate to severe stunting (<i>n</i> = 393)	1.36 (0.85, 2.19)	0.20		
Moderate to severe wasting (<i>n</i> = 398)	1.37 (0.48, 3.91)	0.52		
Moderate to severe underweight (<i>n</i> = 399)	1.16 (0.72, 1.88)	0.52		
Mother's age < 20 years at time of birth	1.16 (0.47, 2.86)	0.75		
Mother's education, none vs. any	0.91 (0.61, 1.36)	0.67		
Child was left for more than 1 h with another < 10 child as caregiver (<i>n</i> = 429) ^a	0.48 (0.30, 0.76)	0.002		
Child was ill or injured in last 4 weeks	0.80 (0.51, 1.26)	0.33		
Household-level measures				
Poorest (lowest 2 wealth quintiles) ^a	1.52 (1.03, 2.25)	0.03		
More than one child under age 5 in household	1.13 (0.69, 1.82)	0.63		
Father does not live in the household	1.41 (0.60, 3.33)	0.43		
Stimulation measures				
Adult engagement (received 4 stimulating activities) ^a	0.56 (0.35, 0.87)	0.014		
Any children's books in the home? ^a	0.40 (0.13, 1.16)	0.09		
Does the child play with home made toys?	0.81 (0.52, 1.28)	0.37		
Does the child play with toys from a shop/manufactured toys?	0.82 (0.52, 1.26)	0.36		
Does the child play with household (cups, bowls) or outside found objects (sticks, rocks, leaves etc)	0.65 (0.36, 1.17)	0.15		
In the past 3 days, did you or any household member age 15 or over engage in any of the following activities				
Read to the child? (<i>n</i> = 430)	0.55 (0.21, 1.44)	0.23		
Told stories to the child? (<i>n</i> = 430)	1.14 (0.59, 2.19)	0.7		
Sang songs to or with the child (inc. lullabies)? ^a	0.58 (0.37, 0.89)	0.013		
Took the child outside the home, compound or enclosure?	1.09 (0.70, 1.70)	0.7		
Played with the child?	0.49 (0.31, 0.76)	0.002	0.54 (0.31, 0.94)	0.029
Named, counted or drew things to or with the child?	1.04 (0.65, 1.67)	0.86		
Greater number of stimulating activities by mother	0.90 (0.75, 1.08)	0.28		
Greater number of stimulating activities by father	1.35 (1.01, 1.81)	0.04	1.50 (1.09, 2.07)	0.012
Greater number of stimulating activities by other adult	0.66 (0.56, 0.78)	< 0.001	0.76 (0.63, 0.94)	0.010

^a included in full model but did not achieve statistical significance

0.44 95%CI 0.24, 0.81), the child having an illness or injury in the last 4 weeks (OR 0.43 95%CI 0.24, 0.81) or being left with another child as caregiver (OR 0.45 95%CI 0.21, 0.94). In the final model, an adolescent mother (aOR 4.08 95%CI 1.40, 11), and an adult taking the child outside (aOR 2.90 95%CI 1.35, 6.22) were associated with having low development scores. Being ill or injured in the last 4 weeks (aOR for delay 0.38 95%CI 0.20, 0.73), playing with household or found objects as toys (aOR 0.28 95%CI 0.12, 0.63) and an increase in activities with an adult other than mother or father (aOR 0.27 95%CI 0.15, 0.48) were associated with higher development scores.

Discussion

A high proportion of the children (40.1%) were considered to be at risk for delay based on international standards. This was driven mostly by the literacy and numeracy domain, with only 7% of children considered developmentally on track. This is similar to the most recent published subnational MICS for Madagascar (2012) in which 7.4% of children were on track in this domain, compared to 94.2% in physical, 80.3 in socio-emotional and 83.7% in the learning domain [11]. Early literacy in western cultures is associated with better school performance, and early language skills are associated with cognitive development in both low and high resource settings [21–23]. Relative

Table 4 Factors associated with “at-risk” developmental status compared to peers

Variable	Unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	P value	Final model, Adjusted odds ratios (95%CI)	P value
<i>n</i> = 431 unless otherwise stated				
Child-level measures				
Female sex	1.02 (0.59, 1.76)	0.94		
Moderate to severe stunting (<i>n</i> = 393)	0.92 (0.48, 1.78)	0.81		
Moderate to severe wasting (<i>n</i> = 398) ^a	2.92 (0.98, 8.70)	0.05		
Moderate to severe underweight (<i>n</i> = 399)	1.22 (0.65, 2.29)	0.33		
Mother's age < 20	3.09 (1.18, 8.13)	0.02	4.08 (1.40, 11.87)	0.01
Mother's education, none vs. any	0.78 (0.47, 2.29)	0.33		
Left for more than 1 h with another < 10 child as caregiver ^a (<i>n</i> = 429)	0.45 (0.21, 0.94)	0.035		
Child was ill or injured in last 4 weeks	0.43 (0.24, 0.81)	0.005	0.38 (0.20, 0.73)	0.004
Household-level measures				
Poorest (lowest 2 wealth quintiles) ^a	2.16 (1.20, 3.89)	0.01		
More than one under 5 child in household ^a	2.06 (1.11, 3.82)	0.021		
Father does not live in the household	1.76 (0.67, 4.57)	0.25		
Stimulation measures				
Adult engagement (received 4 stimulating activities) ^a	0.33 (0.15, 0.72)	0.006		
Any children's books in the home? (<i>n</i> = 430)	0.88 (0.24, 3.28)	0.85		
Does the child play with homemade toys? (<i>n</i> = 430)	0.62 (0.30, 1.28)	0.19		
Does the child play with toys from a shop/manufactured toys? ^a	0.45 (0.19, 1.07)	0.07		
Does the child play with household (cups, bowls) or outside found objects (sticks, rocks, leaves etc)	0.41 (0.21, 0.79)	0.008	0.28 (0.12, 0.63)	0.002
In the past 3 days, did you or any household member age 15 or over engage in any of the following activities				
Read to the child? (<i>n</i> = 430)	0.52 (0.13, 1.98)	0.34		
Told stories to the child? (<i>n</i> = 430)	1.10 (0.46, 2.85)	0.84		
Sang songs to or with the child (inc. lullabies)? ^a	0.44 (0.24, 0.81)	0.009		
Took the child outside the home, compound or enclosure?	1.82 (0.96, 3.44)	0.06	2.90 (1.35, 6.22)	0.006
Played with the child? ^a	0.49 (0.25, 0.97)	0.041		
Named, counted or drew things to or with the child?	0.66 (0.28, 1.58)	0.35		
Greater number of stimulating activities by mother	1.14 (0.93, 1.40)	0.21		
Greater number of stimulating activities by father	1.26 (0.83, 1.91)	0.28		
Greater number of stimulating activities by other adult	0.43 (0.30, 0.63)	< 0.001	0.27 (0.15, 0.48)	< 0.001

^a included in full model but did not achieve statistical significance

poverty has been shown in both wealthy and poor settings to be associated with lower performance on language scales. A study by Fernald et al. in Madagascar demonstrated that poverty relative to others was also associated with lower development, particularly in the language domain [24]. Although relative poverty was not associated with lower development in our study after adjusting for other factors including playing with the child and increase in stimulation by adults, this finding aligns with a 2000 study in the US [25] that found that effect of poverty on development was completely mediated by health and home stimulation factors. Poverty's independent influence on development merits further study.

There may be differences in cultural norms around when the foundations of literacy are laid down. Conversations with parents in Ifanadiana suggest that although physical and socio-emotional development are the focus of attention by caregivers, children are not expected to learn to read until they start public school at age 5. Parents in Ifanadiana often have low literacy themselves, with limited capacity to prioritize and model literacy and numeracy for young children outside of school. And culturally, tools to stimulate development of this domain, such as children's picture books, are both very rare in Malagasy languages, and very expensive to obtain (personal communication, LR). Another possible explanation

of this phenomenon is that the ECDI literacy/numeracy domain is simply inappropriate in this setting. The numeracy component in particular, asks if children know the name and recognize the symbols of numbers up to 10, which is more advanced for the same age on comparable tools, which assess a child's ability to simply count to 10 [26]. The use of the ECDI tool in the international MICS surveys implies that despite obvious cultural and linguistic differences, all children globally should be measured in a similar fashion. Further research is needed on whether the ECDI literacy domain is relevant in this context.

A high proportion of children in our cohort were stunted—50.5% had moderate or severe and 19.0% were severely stunted. Stunting is so closely associated with developmental risk that it is used as a proxy in international estimates [1], and is sometimes used as a synonym for chronic malnutrition, although recent research debate suggests that this may be problematic [27, 28]. In this setting of very high stunting rates, chronic malnutrition was not statistically significantly associated with developmental risk when controlling for other factors. In an analysis of MICS data from multiple countries, stunting was observed to be a good predictor for some, but not all domains of the ECDI; the relationship between stunting and literacy-numeracy varied by country and high vs. low breast feeding status [29]. These results highlight the importance of the PNAN III strategy for reducing malnutrition in these areas, as many cognitive effects of chronic malnutrition may only become apparent as deficits in metacognitive skills (such as memorization, concentration and attention) at a later age when a child starts school and learning may be compromised.

Malnutrition reduction must include not just prevention of new cases but effective treatment of prevalent cases to assist in counteracting growth faltering. In other areas with high rates of stunting, robust malnutrition interventions targeted at smaller areas have been successful at reducing stunting at the population or community level [10, 30]. However, other factors than malnutrition alone can contribute to stunting, and important hidden factors contributing to growth-faltering, such as gender roles, age at onset of stunting, breast feeding duration, maternal mental health, dietary diversity and micronutrient availability can vary from site to site; thus formative research in communities should be undertaken to understand how national programs can be adapted on bolstered to have greatest effects.

Adult disengagement was also quite high in this cohort, with almost 25% of children receiving no stimulating activities at all from adults. In this setting, engagement by an adult other than the mother or father was quite important. Unfortunately, the standardized questionnaire from the MICS (which we used in this study) does not indicate the

specific relationship of the other adult to the child, so we were unable to identify which other adult engaged with the child. Many households in Madagascar as well as in this cohort include multiple generations. The median number of people per household in our cohort was 5, with a range from 1 to 18. Children in Ifanadiana, especially those born to young or unmarried mothers, are frequently raised in a grandparent-headed household. When a mother marries, she may take her young children with her, but often they are left in the household of the maternal grandparents (FR, personal communication), and in some areas of Madagascar, families practice a custom of giving the firstborn child to a grandparent to raise (LR, personal communication). Grandmothers or aunts, thus, are often responsible for young children, especially those of younger mothers. It is reasonable to suppose that most often, a grandmother is the most deeply engaged “other” adult. Evidence-based interventions that incorporate both nutrition and development stimulation activities could be developed or adapted using community-based participatory research in this setting.

Although only 5% of our population were born to adolescent mothers, being under 20 at the birth of the child was associated with delay compared to both international standards and to peers. Around the world, mothers play a central role in the development of their children. In Madagascar, as in many countries, socialization and discipline of a child until approximately age 5 is generally the purview of the mother or other female relatives [31]. Early motherhood is associated in global studies with infant and child mortality, preterm birth and stunting, both of which are associated with reduced child development [26, 29]. Girl child marriage (defined as marriage before the age of 18) has been associated with off-track development in multiple countries including Madagascar; much of this association is explained by disparities in advanced education and wealth [32]. Supporting young women to marry and initiate childbirth later could, thus, have intergenerational benefits. In Madagascar, the legal minimum age of marriage is 18 years, but in rural areas especially, marriage and childbirth often happen earlier for a variety of cultural and economic reasons. This puts both the adolescent girls who become mothers and their babies at increased risk for worse economic, health and development outcomes. Additionally, understanding of both men's and women's fertility preferences can be combined with family planning and ready contraception availability in health centers. Such projects are underway in Ifanadiana through the efforts of PIVOT and the MOH.

Factors such as higher number of paternal supportive activities and not being left in the care of another child were associated with a higher proportion of risk for delay. While this may reflect some sort of detrimental or harsh parenting practices on the part of fathers, a more

likely possibility is that if a child is more in need, fathers spend more time focused on the child at risk. Fathers in Ifanadiana are expected to provide for the family and provide some care in times of emergency, but development is not usually their purview (FR, personal communication). However, if a child is faltering in some way, the father may do more activities with the child than a child who is not. The same thing could be true of being left alone with another child. When children are being weaned (age 2, frequently because of the advent of another child) even in parent-headed rather than grandparent-headed households, mothers distance themselves and children then become the responsibility of older children in the household (FR, personal communication). This pattern of young children becoming the responsibility of older children around age 2 has been documented in other areas of Madagascar [31] and is common in other settings outside of Madagascar. Children whose development or behavior may be raising red flags in the family may be less likely to be left with other children than those children with development perceived as normal. Surprisingly, having an illness or injury in the last 4 weeks was positively associated with development, which could suggest that active and social children may be more likely to get ill or injured.

This study has several limitations. We conducted a cross-sectional analysis of population-level data to understand the burden of and factors associated with risk of delay in Ifanadiana district. Causal inference cannot be assumed. To the best of our knowledge, no deep ethnographic studies of the population of Ifanadiana exist, so our understanding of the familial dynamics and relationships in Ifanadiana is based on the work of PIVOT's community health and social work team, which have daily interactions with local families and vulnerable patients. Therefore it is possible that some nuances of relationships have not been well-characterized. The ECDI is a brief population screen meant to provide population level estimates of risk of delay, and not designed to clinically diagnose actual delay or disability in individual children. Also, we rely on self-reported data—the ECDI is administered in an interview to each child's mother or primary female care-giver, so reports of adult-provided stimulation activities are dependent on the mother's knowledge, which could lead to misclassification of adult involvement. Nevertheless, the ECDI has been validated on multiple continents and is a standard tool used for a decade in population level surveys to estimate burden of risk of delay, so our findings can be compared to those from Madagascar as a whole and globally. Despite these limitations, our findings contribute to the small body of literature on the burden and risks of developmental delay in low-resource rural settings. Future qualitative explanatory studies including the use of culturally-relevant development screening tools as well as a longitudinal analysis of future

waves of the IHOPE cohort data will help to establish directionality of effects and to propose areas of intervention and risk mitigation.

Conclusions

Although chronic malnutrition was not independently associated with delay risk in this population with high rates of stunting, a high proportion of Malagasy children in this cohort are at risk for developmental delay, specifically in the areas of early literacy and numeracy. A low proportion of children receive developmentally supportive stimulation from adults, but non-parent adults provide more stimulation in general than either mother or father. Stimulation from non-parent adults is associated with lower risk of delay. When compared with their peers, children born to adolescent mothers had a higher risk of delay at 3–4 years of age. Interventions targeting children's development should be directed at the whole family, including fathers, non-parent adults and older children, as all have important roles in the raising of young children in this setting. Research on the practice of child marriage would be beneficial in this setting as well.

Abbreviations

DHS: Demographic and Health Surveys; ECDI: Early child development index; IHOPE: Ifanadiana Health Outcomes and Prosperity longitudinal Evaluation; INSTAT: Institut National des Statistiques, Madagascar; MICS: Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys; MOH: Ministry of Health; OR: Odds Ratio; PNAN III: National Nutrition Action Plan III; SD: Standard deviation; USD: United States dollars

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the participants of the IHOPE study, and the field data collection teams from Institut National de la Statistique (INSTAT) for their contributions to the IHOPE study. We gratefully acknowledge Dr. Lia Fernald's helpful discussion on an early version of this analysis, and acknowledge the contributions and support of Dr. Benjamin Andriamihaja and MICET.

Authors' contributions

ACM: Conceived of the study, conducted all analyses, and wrote the first and all subsequent drafts of the manuscript. AG: reviewed and interpreted results, co-wrote the manuscript and reviewed, edited and approved all subsequent drafts of the manuscript. FR: Reviewed and interpreted results, provided context, contributed to manuscript drafting, reviewed and approved all drafts of the manuscript. LC: contributed to conception of the study, acquisition of data and contributed to the manuscript. MR: contributed to data acquisition and management, assisted with analysis and supervised field-work in the IHOPE study, reviewed and approved all drafts of the manuscript. VR: led data acquisition and management, including overall supervision of the 2016 field work for the IHOPE study, reviewed and approved all drafts of the manuscript. H-T RR: assisted with analysis of data, field supervision and data acquisition for the IHOPE study, reviewed and approved the final draft of the manuscript. RRR: conducted analyses of data, reviewed and approved the final draft of the manuscript. OR: assisted with data analysis of the IHOPE study, reviewed and approved the final draft of the manuscript. BNR: contributed to the acquisition of data from the programs, reviewed multiple drafts of the manuscript. MAO: contributed to the conception of the work, reviewed and approved all drafts of the manuscript. MHB: contributed to the conception of the work, interpretation of data, co-wrote all drafts of the manuscript. LR: contributed to the study design and conception of the work, interpretation of data, co-wrote final version of manuscript. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Funding for this study was provided by a grant from PIVOT to Harvard Medical School (A. Miller, PI). The IHOPE study is funded by the nongovernmental organization PIVOT and the Herrnstein Family Foundation. The Herrnstein Family Foundation had no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data or in writing the manuscript. Many of the authors are associated with or employed by PIVOT. These authors were involved in the study design, data analysis, interpretation of results and writing of the manuscript. PIVOT was not involved in data collection.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available at https://dataverse.harvard.edu/dataverse/PIVOT_IHOPE.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The protocol and tools for the IHOPE cohort were reviewed and approved by the Harvard Medical School Institutional Review Board and the Madagascar National Ethics Committee. Verbal consent was obtained from eligible adults (ages 15–59) and from parents or legal guardians for children's participation. In common with most population health surveys, written consent was not required by the IRB as the study was deemed to present no more than minimal risk of harm to subjects and involved no procedures for which written consent is normally required outside the research context. All data were de-identified data prior to analysis; investigators had only access to data identifiable at the cluster level.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Global Health and Social Medicine, Harvard Medical School, 641 Huntington Ave, Boston, MA 02115, USA. ²MIVEGEC, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, Montpellier, France. ³PIVOT, Ranomafana, Madagascar. ⁴Direction de la Démographie et des Statistiques Sociales, Institut National de la Statistique, Antananarivo, Madagascar. ⁵Higher Institute of Social Work, Catholic University of Madagascar, Antananarivo, Madagascar.

Received: 19 November 2019 Accepted: 18 February 2020

Published online: 06 March 2020

References

- Lu C, Black MM, Richter LM. Risk of poor development in young children in low-income and middle-income countries: an estimation and analysis at the global, regional, and country level. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2016;4(12):e916–e22.
- Blair C. School readiness. Integrating cognition and emotion in a neurobiological conceptualization of children's functioning at school entry. *Am Psychol*. 2002;57(2):111–27.
- Hoddinott J, Maluccio JA, Behrman JR, Flores R, Martorell R. Effect of a nutrition intervention during early childhood on economic productivity in Guatemalan adults. *Lancet*. 2008;371(9610):411–6.
- Grantham-McGregor S, International Child Development C. Early child development in developing countries. *Lancet*. 2007;369(9564):824.
- Nelson C. Human brain development: in from neurons to neighborhoods. In: Shonkoff JP, Phillips D, editors. *From neurons to neighborhoods: the science of early child development*. 1st ed. Washington, DC: National Academy of Sciences; 2000.
- Shonkoff JP, Garner AS, Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of C, Family H, Committee on Early Childhood A, Dependent C, et al. The lifelong effects of early childhood adversity and toxic stress. *Pediatrics*. 2012;129(1):e232–46.
- Grantham-McGregor S, Cheung YB, Cueto S, Glewwe P, Richter L, Strupp B, et al. Developmental potential in the first 5 years for children in developing countries. *Lancet*. 2007;369(9555):60–70.
- Hamadani JD, Huda SN, Khatun F, Grantham-McGregor SM. Psychosocial stimulation improves the development of undernourished children in rural Bangladesh. *J Nutr*. 2006;136(10):2645–52.
- Nelson AK, Miller AC, Munoz M, Rinaldo N, Kammerer B, Vibbert M, et al. CASITA: a controlled pilot study of community-based family coaching to stimulate early child development in Lima, Peru. *BMJ Paediatr Open*. 2018;2(1):e000268.
- Martinez B, Flood D, Cnop K, Guzman A, Rohloff P. Improving infant and young child nutrition in a highly stunted rural community: a practical case study from Guatemala. In: Preedy V, Patel V, (eds). *Handbook of famine, starvation, and nutrient deprivation*. Cham: Springer; 2017. p. 2381–98.
- INSTAT (Institut National de la Statistique). Enquête par Grappes à Indicateurs Multiples (MICS) 2012 Rapport Final. Antananarivo: INSTAT, World Bank, UNICEF; 2013.
- INSTAT, UNICEF, UNFPA, WFP, Banque Africaine de Développement, COMESA. Enquête Nationale sur le Suivi des Objectifs du Millénaire pour le Développement à Madagascar. Antananarivo: INSTAT, UNICEF, UNFPA, WFP, Banque Africaine de Développement, COMESA, L'Industrie V-PCdIEed; 2013.
- Qamruddin JN. Project Information Document-Integrated Safeguards Data Sheet - Madagascar: Improving Nutrition Outcomes using the Multiphase Programmatic Approach - P160848 - Sequence No: 00 (English). Washington, D.C.: World Bank Group; 2017. <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/420041508438456506/Project-Information-Document-Integrated-Safeguards-Data-Sheet-Madagascar-Improving-Nutrition-Outcomes-using-the-Multiphase-Programmatic-Approach-P160848-Sequence-No-00>.
- Miller AC, Ramananjato RH, Garchitorena A, Rabeza VR, Gikik D, Cripps A, et al. Baseline population health conditions ahead of a health system strengthening program in rural Madagascar. *Glob Health Action*. 2017;10(1):1329961.
- Miller AC, Garchitorena A, Rabeza V, Randriamanambintsoa M, Rahaniraka Razanadrakato HT, Cordier L, et al. Cohort profile: Ifanadiana Health Outcomes and Prosperity longitudinal Evaluation (IHOPE). *Int J Epidemiol*. 2018;47(5):1394–5e.
- The Ministry of Commerce and Finance for the Republic of Rwanda is the institution. Rwanda Development Indicators 2004: Questionnaire des Indicateurs de Base des Bien-être. Kigali: Ministry of Commerce and Finance, Republic of Rwanda; 2004.
- Loizillon A, Petrowski N, Britto P, Cappa C. Development of the early childhood development index in MICS surveys. MICS Methodological Papers, No. 6. Data and Analytics Section, Division of Data, Research and Policy. New York: UNICEF; 2017.
- Kariger P, Frongillo EA, Engle P, Britto PM, Sywulka SM, Menon P. Indicators of family care for development for use in multicountry surveys. *J Health Popul Nutr*. 2012;30(4):472–86.
- Global database on child growth and malnutrition: about the database. World Health Organization; 2019. Available from: <https://www.who.int/nutgrowthdb/about/introduction/en/index2.html>. Accessed 24 Sept 2019.
- Rutstein S, Rojas G. Guide to DHS statistics. Calverton: ORC Macro, Demographic Health Surveys; 2006.
- Pollitt E, Triana N. Stability, predictive validity, and sensitivity of mental and motor development scales and pre-school cognitive tests among low-income children in developing countries. *Food Nutr Bull*. 1999;20(1):45–52.
- Duncan GJ, Dowsett CJ, Claessens A, Magnuson K, Huston AC, Klebanov P, et al. School readiness and later achievement. *Dev Psychol*. 2007;43(6):1428–46.
- Marchman VA, Fernald A. Speed of word recognition and vocabulary knowledge in infancy predict cognitive and language outcomes in later childhood. *Dev Sci*. 2008;11(3):F9–16.
- Fernald LC, Weber A, Galasso E, Ratsifandrihamanana L. Socioeconomic gradients and child development in a very low income population: evidence from Madagascar. *Dev Sci*. 2011;14(4):832–47.
- Guo G, Harris KM. The mechanisms mediating the effects of poverty on children's intellectual development. *Demography*. 2000;37(4):431–47.
- McCoy DC, Peet ED, Ezzati M, Danaei G, Black MM, Sudfeld CR, et al. Early childhood developmental status in low- and middle-income countries: national, regional, and global prevalence estimates using predictive modeling. *PLoS Med*. 2016;13(6):e1002034.
- Scheffler C, Hermanussen M, Bogin B, Liana DS, Taolin F, Cempaka P, et al. Stunting is not a synonym of malnutrition. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41430-019-0439-4>.
- Tanjung C, Prawitasari T, Rusli Sjarif D. Comments on "stunting is not a synonym of malnutrition". *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41430-020-0570-2>.
- Miller AC, Murray MB, Thomson DR, Arbour MC. How consistent are associations between stunting and child development? Evidence from a meta-analysis of associations between stunting and multidimensional child

development in fifteen low- and middle-income countries. *Public Health Nutr.* 2016;19(8):1339–47.

30. Haselow NJ, Stormer A, Pries A. Evidence-based evolution of an integrated nutrition-focused agriculture approach to address the underlying determinants of stunting. *Matern Child Nutr.* 2016;12(Suppl 1):155–68.
31. Rottger-Rossler B, Scheidecker G, Jung S, Holodynski M. Socializing emotions in childhood: a cross-cultural comparison between the Bara in Madagasacar and the Minagkabau in Indonesia. *Mind Cult Act.* 2013;20(3):260–87.
32. Efevbera Y, Bhabha J, Farmer PE, Fink G. Girl child marriage as a risk factor for early childhood development and stunting. *Soc Sci Med.* 2017;185:91–101.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions