Immunostaining of Whole-Mount *Drosophila* Testes for 3D Confocal Analysis of Large Spermatocytes

Natacha Raich, Vincent Contremoulins, Roger E Karess

► To cite this version:

Natacha Raich, Vincent Contremoulins, Roger E Karess. Immunostaining of Whole-Mount *Drosophila* Testes for 3D Confocal Analysis of Large Spermatocytes. *Journal of visualized experiments: JoVE*, 2020, 10.3791/61061 . hal-02998226

HAL Id: hal-02998226

<https://hal.science/hal-02998226>

Submitted on 17 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Immunostaining of Whole-Mount *Drosophila* Testes for 3D Confocal Analysis of Large Spermatocytes

Natacha Raich¹, Vincent Contremoulins¹, Roger E. Karess¹

¹ CNRS, Institut Jacques Monod, Université de Paris, F 75006, Paris, France

Corresponding Author

Natacha Raich
natacha.raich@ijm.fr

Citation

Raich, N., Contremoulins, V., Karess, R.E. Immunostaining of Whole-Mount *Drosophila* Testes for 3D Confocal Analysis of Large Spermatocytes. *J. Vis. Exp.* (162), e61061, doi:10.3791/61061 (2020).

Date Published

August 27, 2020

DOI

10.3791/61061

URL

joVE.com/video/61061

Abstract

Drosophila testes are a powerful model system for studying biological processes including stem cell biology, nuclear architecture, meiosis and sperm development. However, immunolabeling of the whole *Drosophila* testis is often associated with significant non-uniformity of staining due to antibody penetration. Squashed preparations only partially overcome the problem since it decreases the 3D quality of the analyses. Herein, we describe a whole-mount protocol using NP40 and heptane during fixation together with immunolabeling in liquid media. It preserves the volume suitable for confocal microscopy together with reproducible and reliable labeling. We show different examples of 3D reconstitution of spermatocyte nuclei from confocal sections. The intra- and inter-testes reproducibility allows 3D quantification and comparison of *fluorescence* between single cells from different genotypes. We used different components of the intranuclear MINT structure (Mad1-containing Intra Nuclear Territory) as well as two components associated with the nuclear pore complex to illustrate this protocol and its applications on the largest cells of the testis, the S4-S5 spermatocytes.

Introduction

Drosophila testes are a valuable model for the study of nuclear architecture. In mitotic spermatogonial cells, the nuclear volume is largely occupied by chromatin. These cells undergo four rounds of division, producing a cyst of 16 primary spermatocytes. Over the next several days, the spermatocytes pass through 6 developmental stages (S1-S6), greatly increasing in volume, approximately 20-fold^{1,2}. They also change their nuclear morphology. The outline of the nucleus, revealed by the lamin Dm0,

becomes irregular and shows deep invaginations^{1,3}. This is accompanied by extensive gene expression changes and modifications in chromatin organization^{1,4,5,6,7}. The interphase chromosomes are well-defined in stage S4-S5, forming three distinct masses corresponding to the 2 major bivalent autosomes and the X-Y pair docked with the nucleolus.

Mad1 was originally isolated as a key component of the mitotic checkpoint (reviewed in⁸). In interphase, it is

described as located primarily at the nuclear envelope but also in the nucleoplasm^{9, 10, 11}. In *Drosophila* testis, we reported that Mad1 is prominent in the nucleoplasm, intimately associated with the two major autosomal chromatin masses and to a lesser extent with the XY chromatin. We defined this chromatin-associated structure as MINT (Mad1-containing IntraNuclear Territory)¹². Four other proteins were associated with MINTs in spermatocytes: the nucleoporin Mtor/Tpr, the SUMO peptidase Ulp1, the mitotic checkpoint protein Mad2 and a subunit of a Polycomb-like complex Raf2¹².

To complete the description of MINTs, we needed to use antibodies and were confronted with the technical problems generally encountered with immunostaining in testis: a poor permeability resulting in a significant non-uniformity of staining in the depth of the testis, particularly in the *large spermatocytes*. Squashed preparations *increased antibody access* but led to compressed images, restricting the 3D analyses, *and* preventing measurement of quantitative *fluorescence, including colocalization*. The problem of antibody penetration could also be addressed by permeabilizing agents such as 0.3% Na deoxycholate¹³, but this procedure did not in our hands yield reproducible results. Because we performed many co-labeling experiments, we looked to improve the permeabilization protocol. The combination of 1% NP40 plus heptane resulted in more reproducibility, particularly in studying the large spermatocytes.

This protocol performs fixation and immunostaining of testes in suspension, improving the sample quality as well as enhancing reproducibility, and rendering it suitable for confocal microscopy. We used the protocol to better define the spatial relationships of certain nuclear envelope proteins

with the nucleoplasmic structures of large spermatocytes. This method will permit better investigations of the changes that accompany spermatocyte development, for example the dynamic structural changes of the nucleus including chromatin, nucleoplasm and the nuclear pores.

Protocol

1. *Drosophila* testes collection

NOTE: Young males (0-15 h post-eclosion) are necessary for examining diploid germ cells (i.e., spermatogonia and spermatocytes).

1. Select young flies as much as possible to minimize interference from developing spermatids.
2. Anesthetize flies by brief exposure to carbon dioxide and transfer to a fly pad^{14, 15}.
3. Under a dissecting microscope (10x magnification), use forceps to remove the head.
4. Transfer 5 to 10 decapitated flies to 100 μ L of Ringer's buffer (183 mM KCl, 47 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, complete protein inhibitor, pH 6.8) on a silicone-coated glass slide on a black background support.
5. With dissecting microscope at a 40x magnification, use one pair of number 5 forceps to hold a fly between the thorax and the abdomen, and, with other forceps, pull the abdomen away from the thorax. Rapidly isolate the testes and adjacent tissues from the fly carcass¹⁵ and place in a new drop of Ringer's buffer on the same slide.
6. Once all the testes are ready, clean the testes from the remaining tissues (accessory gland and external genitalia).

7. Remove excess buffer from the drop containing the dissected testes. Typically, use a p200 pipette with a 10 μ L tip fitted on top of a 200 μ L tip. This allows the removal of the liquid excess through a small aperture. Place a drop of 4% paraformaldehyde fixative on top of the drop containing the dissected testes, and then rapidly transfer the testes to a tube containing fresh fixative solution.

2. Paraformaldehyde fixation

1. Prepare 2 mL of fixative solution just before use: 600 μ L of 4% paraformaldehyde diluted in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) with 30 μ L of NP40 20% plus 1200 μ L of heptane in a 2 mL siliconized microcentrifuge tube. Use 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS prepared in 600 μ L aliquots and stored at -20°C .

Caution: Heptane and paraformaldehyde are toxic and should be handled in a fume hood. Dispose of them according to the host institute's regulations.

2. Transfer the testes using a p200 micropipette with a wide aperture tip to the PFA/NP40/heptane solution. Shake the tube up and down for 30 s by hand. Continue fixing the testes on a rotary mixer at room temperature for 30 min.
3. Stop the rotary mixer and place the tube in a tube rack to allow phase separation and for the testes to settle to the bottom of the tube.
4. Remove all the heptane and the fixative solution and rinse the testes quickly three times with 1x PBS.
5. Transfer to a clean 200 μ L individual tube. Perform all incubations in these small tubes to minimize the amounts of antibodies employed.
6. Remove excess buffer using a 200 μ L micropipette with both a P200 and a P10 tip attached. Take care not to aspirate testes.

7. Keep testes in 1x PBS on ice until all samples are ready.

3. Antibody staining in solution

1. Discard the PBS and leave testes in 0.3% PBS-T for 30 min at room temperature to permeabilize cell membranes.
2. Pre-incubate in the same buffer plus 5% normal goat serum (NGS) for 1 h.
3. Add 200 μ L of the primary antibody diluted in 0.3% PBS-T plus 5% NGS (**Table of Materials**).
4. Incubate the primary antibody overnight at 4°C (or room temperature) with gentle agitation on a rocker.
5. Wash 3 times in 0.3% PBS-T for 15 min on a rocker at room temperature. Allow the testes to settle to the bottom of the tube by gravity.
6. Add 200 μ L of secondary antibody diluted 1:500 from the DyLight conjugated series.
7. Incubate the secondary antibody in a dark chamber for 4 h at room temperature.
8. Wash in 0.3% PBS-T for 15 min on a rocker at room temperature. Then repeat with 0.2% PBS-T and 0.1% PBS-T, each time for 30 min on a rocker at room temperature. Do a final wash in 1x PBS for 30 min to remove the detergent.
9. Add 180 μ L of DAPI solution at 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ in 1x PBS for 15 min. The stock solution is 10 mg/mL in H_2O . Avoid making stock solution in PBS, which can reduce the DAPI staining signal on diffuse chromatin in larger spermatocytes.
10. Wash 3x for 5 min each.
11. Use a hydrophobic barrier pen to draw a circle on a clean slide.

12. Aspirate testes and put them on the slide. Pre-rinsing the wide aperture tip with 0.1% PBS-T prevents the testes from sticking to the tip. Remove excess PBS.
13. Add a drop (approximately 100 μ L) of Citifluor AF1.
14. Gently place a glass coverslip over the tissue, taking care to avoid trapping air bubbles.
15. Seal the coverslip to the slide using clear nail polish.
16. Observe slides on a microscope.

4. Colocalization analyses

1. Acquire confocal images. We used a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope (63x Plan Apochromatic oil) with a z-resolution of 0.5 or 1 μ m.
2. Select different cells from independent testes.
3. Import images to processing software (e.g., Imaris).
4. Define the nucleus by manual segmentation in order to exclude the neighboring nuclei. Use the Coloc software; it collects the Pearson's correlation coefficients (PCCs) inside the entire volume between 2 fluorophores.

Representative Results

The main obstacle to obtaining adequate staining of *Drosophila* testes is the limited penetrability of antibodies, which has been partially resolved by using squashed preparations but at the cost of inducing a restriction of the 3D analyses (for example 3D representation or measure of colocalization). The procedure permits uniform labeling and preserves the volume of all the cells of the testis. Here we have focused the illustration of the method on the 3D representation of S4-S5 spermatocytes, as they are the largest cells and thus more sensitive to deformation from squashing. We used different nuclear markers, including

nucleoporins and the labeling of the intranuclear MINT domain (Mad1-containing Intra Nuclear Territory) described by the mitotic protein Mad1¹². As MINTs could be revealed by several different antibodies, we used them to test the robustness of the protocol for quantitative 3D colocalization, a method that necessitates a correct spatial resolution.

The protocol described above permits the acquisition of confocal images of sufficient quality to then be treated for 3D reconstitution. Four adjacent serial sections of a stage S5 spermatocyte are depicted in **Figure 1A**, showing a representative immunostaining of MINT (Mad1 immunolabeling using GFP booster in red) together with DAPI (in cyan). The chromosomes are organized into the characteristic 3 major distinct clusters and the MINT structure is shown in fine detail around the DNA, intimately associated with—but distinct from—the autosomal chromatin masses. The resolution also permits detection of the presence of Mad1 at the nuclear periphery as well as between the autosomal masses of chromosomes 2 and 3. We used Imaris software for the 3D representation. The nuclear surface was defined by the low level presence of Mad1 at the nuclear envelope (in grey) and MINT was defined by high level accumulation of Mad1 inside the nucleus (in red) together with DAPI staining (in blue) (**Figure 1A**). The 3D reconstitution movie well illustrates the volume and the relationship of Mad1 (at the nuclear envelope and in the MINT) together with the chromosomes in stage S4–S5 spermatocytes.

The distribution of nucleoporins has been only poorly described in these cells, probably due to the difficulty detecting them in these large misshapen nuclei. The distribution of Nup154 has only been reported once previously¹⁶ and Nup153 and Nup98 were only studied in the spermatogonia and small, early spermatocytes¹⁷.

The 3D reconstitutions of large S5 spermatocytes stained by the nucleoporin Nup62 and by importin β (product of the *ketel* gene) were feasible and are represented in **Figure 1C** and **Figure 1D**, respectively. These nuclear pore-associated components appear non-uniformly distributed over the nuclear rim as dots of irregular size. For example, the importin β gathers around the autosomal chromatin similarly to where a lamin network has been already described³. This kind of distribution with pore-rich areas and large pore-free areas has been described by electron microscopy in rodent spermatocytes¹⁸. Understanding the changes in lamin and nucleoporin distribution would help to understand the nuclear envelope structure in these G2 cells just prior to male meiosis.

While conserving the 3D volume of the cells this protocol also permits antibodies to penetrate uniformly into the depth of every cell, allowing quantitative analysis such as colocalization. The Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) is a well-established metric. It can range from +1 (denoting perfect positive correlation) to -1 (perfect negative correlation)¹⁹. To evaluate the localization of MINT partner proteins relative to Mad1 in spermatocytes, we have previously estimated the PCC on a single section of 0.5 μm using ImageJ in S5 spermatocytes¹² (**Figure 2A,B**). We tested the protocol more deeply by using 3D colocalization on the whole nuclei instead of just a surface. The volume of the nuclei was defined by manual segmentation and the PCC was measured using the

Colocation tool. We analyzed eight S5 spermatocyte nuclei, from the same testis or different testes. **Figure 2B** shows a 3D representation of a nucleus that served to measure the PCC. **Figure 2D** shows the measurement of the PCC on eight different S5 spermatocyte nuclei from three different testes. PCC values are not different, varying from 0.6 and 0.75, indicating a high value for colocalization. These are indistinguishable from the PCC defined on a single section. Thus, the procedure permits a reproducible uniform labeling and preserves a good volume of all the cells of the testis.

Because the protocol allows reliable measurement of colocalization from independent testes, it permitted comparison of staining from different fly genotypes. This was illustrated here by comparison of WT flies and flies RNAi depleted for Mtor (generated by expression of dsRNA UAS lines and the Bam-Gal4 driver that achieve substantial depletion in late spermatogonia and early spermatocytes²⁰). As described for the colocalization experiments, Mtor-RNAi-depleted testes and wild types testes were dissected and fixed together and the confocal images were acquired under constant settings. Respecting these conditions, the comparison of individual cells becomes possible. We observed that the MINT is more affected than the nuclear envelope by the loss of Mtor as shown by representative Mtor RNAi-depleted (upper) and wt (lower) cells (**Figure 3**)¹².

Figure 1: Illustration of immunostaining of *Drosophila* S4-S5 spermatocytes nuclei using different probes. (A) Images of a single stage 5 spermatocyte nucleus immunostained with α -GFP antibody (GFP booster) detecting the MINT structure by Mad1-GFP (red) together to DAPI staining (blue). It shows with precision the relationship between Mad1 and the two autosomal chromatin masses (represented as asterisks). Mad1 is also faintly detected at the periphery of the nucleus. All images are serial Z-projections of a stack of 3 (0.5 μ m) successive confocal slices. Confocal images were acquired on a confocal microscope (63x, Plan Apochromatic oil DIC objective lens). Scale bars are 5 μ m. **(B)** Frame from a movie rendering of the same spermatocyte nucleus. The nuclear surface was defined by low expression of Mad1 at the nuclear envelope (in greys) and MINT was defined by high expression of Mad1 inside the nucleus (in red). **(A, B)** Reprinted with permission from previously published figure (from¹²). **(C)** 3D image of a stage 5 spermatocyte nucleus with simultaneous immunostaining of Nup62 (yellow) and MINT (GFP booster in red). Scale bar is 4 μ m. **(D)** 3D image of a stage 5 spermatocyte nucleus with simultaneous immunostaining of Nup62 (yellow) and MINT (GFP booster in red). Scale bar is 4 μ m.

5 spermatocyte nucleus with simultaneous immunostaining of the importin- β Ketel (yellow) together with DNA (DAPI in blue). Scale bars are 5 μ m. [Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.](#)

Figure 2: 2D and 3D Colocalization quantification of Mad1 together with Ulp1. (A) Merged images of a stage 5 spermatocyte nucleus immunostained with GFP booster antibody detecting Mad1 (green) and with anti-Ulp1 antibody (red) represented as a 1 μ m thick stack of a spermatocyte nucleus. On the right is indicated the Pearson intensity correlation coefficient calculated using the Coloc-2 ImageJ plugin. The PCC was estimated to 0.73 (Reprinted with permission from Raich¹²). (B) Merged image of stage 5 spermatocyte nucleus (corresponding to nucleus G in the adjacent table) immunostained with GFP booster antibody detecting Mad1 (green) and with anti-Ulp1 antibody (red) represented in a 3D projection. The volume of the nucleus was defined by manual segmentation. On the right, the table lists the Pearson intensity correlation coefficients calculated on 8 different spermatocytes (A to H) from 3 different testes (1 to 3). [Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.](#)

Figure 3: Immunofluorescence analysis of Mtor RNAi-treated spermatocytes compared to wild type. Cells depleted of Mtor using *Mtor*^{RNAi} (ID 110218) cells (upper panels) and wild type cells (lower panels) were stained for Mad1, Raf2 and Mtor proteins. The testes were fixed and stained together, mounted on the same slide and images acquired with the same parameters. The RNAi cells could be distinguished from WT by the absence or presence respectively of Mad1-GFP. The figure is representative of maximum projections of 4 x 0.5 μ m stacks. Scale bar is 5 μ m (Reprinted with permission from previously published figure in¹²). [Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.](#)

Discussion

This protocol provides a method for successful immunolabeling of whole-mount adult *Drosophila* testes. As reported in other procedures young males must be used (we even shortened the age to less than 20 hours after eclosion) to minimize the presence of developing sperm, which risks obstructing the spermatocytes. The dissection should be rapid and the antibody dilution must be adjusted to optimize the signal-to-noise ratio^{21, 22, 23}. Because using other protocols, we did not observe staining throughout the depth of the testis, we developed a fixation method combining PFA together with NP40 and heptane. We now routinely use 600 μ L of 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS with 30 μ L of 20%

NP40 plus two volumes of heptane. This is critical to allow for sufficient permeabilization. Reducing the amount of NP40 to 10 μ L visibly reduces the labeling. All the subsequent steps were carried out in suspension and the excess buffer was removed by allowing the testes to descend gently to the bottom of the tube by gravity. For the last step transferring samples to a slide, we rinse the tip in 0.1% PBS-T to prevent the testes sticking to the cone. According to observations, the immunohistological staining protocol works well with a variety of nuclear proteins, including the markers used here, as well as probes of epigenetic histone modifications, lamin and nucleoporins. We note that the protocol can also be used

for larval testes, in which case the heptane should be omitted, as it makes the testes more difficult to recover intact.

The protocol is especially designed to maintain undamaged stacks of the spermatocytes together for an efficient and uniform labeling of the testis. We illustrated the protocol with the large late stage spermatocytes, whose misshapen nuclei make them among the most difficult cells to preserve. Furthermore, in these spermatocytes the nuclear labeling often becomes dilute probably because of the size of the nuclei. For example, it is commonly observed that DAPI or lamin labeling is stronger in the cells at the testis apex and becomes progressively weaker and more disperse as the spermatocytes are growing^{3, 24}. This also could be one of the reasons that the distribution of nucleoporins has only been described in earlier stage cells (spermatogonia and early spermatocytes)¹⁷.

Excellent images of immunostaining of *Drosophila* S5 spermatocytes have been published, particularly for the testis-specific t-BRD and many proteins^{25, 26} using either 0.3% sodium deoxycholate protocol or following squashing of the tissue^{21, 22}. In our hands, squashes lead to a significant non-uniformity of staining in the depths of the organ and as well sacrificing the 3D structure. Even if the use of 0.3% sodium deoxycholate gave better results, we still observed non-uniformity. Thus, we prefer NP40 for its reproducibility and quality of labeling. The confocal images permit reconstruction of 3D images for nuclear proteins. For example, we have successfully applied this method using many different probes, illustrated here by the intranuclear MINT domain, intimately associated with the autosomal chromatin masses and the nuclear pore network. Intriguing 3D distribution of nuclear pores of these spermatocyte nuclei is now available for further studies. The images permit

reproducible quantification of colocalization from different spermatocytes from the same testis and from different testes. To our knowledge this is the first time that accurate quantification by Pearson correlation coefficient has been measured on such samples. It gives the confidence required for accurate immunolabelled protein levels comparison of different testes.

Disclosures

The authors have nothing to disclose.

Acknowledgments

We thank P. Verrijzer, J. Johansen H., Okhura for antibodies and A. Debec, the Bloomington and Vienna stock centers for flies. Thanks to C. Jackson for suggestions and stimulating discussions.

References

1. Cenci, G., Bonaccorsi, S., Pisano, C., Verni, F., Gatti, M. Chromatin and microtubule organization during premeiotic, meiotic and early postmeiotic stages of *Drosophila melanogaster* spermatogenesis. *Journal of Cell Science*. **107**, 3521-3534 (1994).
2. Bonaccorsi, S., Giansanti, M. G., Gatti, M. Spindle assembly in *Drosophila* neuroblasts and ganglion mother cells. *Nature Cell Biology*. **2** (1), 54-56 (2000).
3. Fabbretti, F. et al. Confocal Analysis of Nuclear Lamina Behavior during Male Meiosis and Spermatogenesis in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PLoS One*. **11** (3), e0151231 (2016).
4. Fuller, M. T. in *The Development of Drosophila melanogaster* Vol. 1 eds M. Bate & A. Martin-Arias) Ch. 2, 71-148 Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press. (1993).

5. Hiller, M. et al. Testis-specific TAF homologs collaborate to control a tissue-specific transcription program. *Development*. **131** (21), 5297-5308 (2004).
6. Chen, X., Hiller, M., Sancak, Y., Fuller, M. T. Tissue-specific TAFs counteract Polycomb to turn on terminal differentiation. *Science*. **310** (5749), 869-872 (2005).
7. White-Cooper, H., Davidson, I. Unique aspects of transcription regulation in male germ cells. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*. **3** (7) (2011).
8. Luo, Y., Ahmad, E., Liu, S. T. MAD1: Kinetochore Receptors and Catalytic Mechanisms. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology*. **6**, 51 (2018).
9. Chen, R. H., Shevchenko, A., Mann, M., Murray, A. W. Spindle checkpoint protein Xmad1 recruits Xmad2 to unattached kinetochores. *Journal of Cell Biology*. **143** (2), 283-295 (1998).
10. Campbell, M. S., Chan, G. K., Yen, T. J. Mitotic checkpoint proteins HsMAD1 and HsMAD2 are associated with nuclear pore complexes in interphase. *Journal of Cell Science*. **114** (Pt 5), 953-963 (2001).
11. Katsani, K. R., Karess, R. E., Dostatni, N., Doye, V. In vivo dynamics of Drosophila nuclear envelope components. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*. **19** (9), 3652-3666 (2008).
12. Raich, N., Mahmoudi, S., Emre, D., Karess, R. E. Mad1 influences interphase nucleoplasm organization and chromatin regulation in Drosophila. *Open Biology*. **8** (10) (2018).
13. Chang, Y. J., Pi, H., Hsieh, C. C., Fuller, M. T. Smurf-mediated differential proteolysis generates dynamic BMP signaling in germline stem cells during Drosophila testis development. *Developmental Biology*. **383** (1), 106-120 (2013).
14. Stocker, H., Gallant, P. Getting started: an overview on raising and handling Drosophila. *Methods in Molecular Biology*. **420**, 27-44 (2008).
15. Zamore, P. D., Ma, S. Isolation of Drosophila melanogaster testes. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*. (51) (2011).
16. Gigliotti, S. et al. Nup154, a new Drosophila gene essential for male and female gametogenesis is related to the nup155 vertebrate nucleoporin gene. *Journal of Cell Biology*. **142** (5), 1195-1207 (1998).
17. Chen, H., Chen, X., Zheng, Y. The nuclear lamina regulates germline stem cell niche organization via modulation of EGFR signaling. *Cell Stem Cell*. **13** (1), 73-86 (2013).
18. Fawcett, D. W., Chemes, H. E. Changes in distribution of nuclear pores during differentiation of the male germ cells. *Tissue Cell*. **11** (1), 147-162 (1979).
19. Bolte, S., Cordelieres, F. P. A guided tour into subcellular colocalization analysis in light microscopy. *Journal of Microscopy*. **224** (Pt 3), 213-232 (2006).
20. White-Cooper, H. Tissue, cell type and stage-specific ectopic gene expression and RNAi induction in the Drosophila testis. *Spermatogenesis*. **2** (1), 11-22 (2012).
21. Bonaccorsi, S., Giansanti, M. G., Cenci, G., Gatti, M. Immunostaining of Drosophila testes. *Cold Spring Harbor Protocols*. **2011** (10), 1273-1275 (2011).
22. Bonaccorsi, S., Giansanti, M. G., Cenci, G., Gatti, M. Paraformaldehyde fixation of Drosophila testes. *Cold Spring Harbor Protocols*. **2012** (1), 102-104 (2012).
23. White-Cooper, H. Spermatogenesis: analysis of meiosis and morphogenesis. *Methods in Molecular Biology*. **247**, 45-75 (2004).

24. Kibanov, M. V., Kotov, A. A., Olenina, L. V. Multicolor fluorescence imaging of whole-mount *Drosophila* testes for studying spermatogenesis. *Analytical Biochemistry*. **436** (1), 55-64 (2013).
25. Theofel, I. et al. tBRD-1 and tBRD-2 regulate expression of genes necessary for spermatid differentiation. *Biology Open*. **6** (4), 439-448 (2017).
26. Trost, M., Blattner, A. C., Leo, S., Lehner, C. F. *Drosophila* dany is essential for transcriptional control and nuclear architecture in spermatocytes. *Development*. **143** (14), 2664-2676 (2016).