Melting curve and phase diagram of ammonia monohydrate at high pressure and temperature

H. Zhang, Frédéric Datchi, L. Andriambariarijaona, G. Zhang, J. A. Queyroux, K. Béneut, M. Mezouar, S. Ninet

► To cite this version:

H. Zhang, Frédéric Datchi, L. Andriambariarijaona, G. Zhang, J. A. Queyroux, et al.. Melting curve and phase diagram of ammonia monohydrate at high pressure and temperature. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 2020, 153 (15), pp.154503. 10.1063/5.0021207 . hal-02990784

HAL Id: hal-02990784

<https://hal.science/hal-02990784>

Submitted on 28 Sep 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Melting curve and phase diagram of ammonia monohydrate at high pressure and temperature

H. Zhang,^{1, a)} F. Datchi,¹ L. Andriambarijaona,¹ G. Zhang,^{1, 2} J.A. Queyroux,¹ K. Béneut,¹ M. Mezouar,³ and S. Ninet^{1, b)}

¹⁾*Institut de Minéralogie, de Physique des Matériaux et de Cosmochimie (IMPMC), Sorbonne Université, CNRS UMR 7590, IRD UMR 206, MNHN, 4, place Jussieu, Paris, France*

²⁾*State Key Laboratory of Superhard Materials, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China*

³⁾*European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, BP 2220, F-38043 Grenoble Cedex, France*

(Dated: 28 September 2021)

The phase diagram and melting behavior of the equimolar water-ammonia mixture have been investigated by Raman spectroscopy, x-ray diffraction and visual observations from 295 K to 675 K and up to 9 GPa. Our results show non-congruent melting behavior of AMH solid below 324 K and congruent melting at higher temperatures. The congruent melting is associated with the stability of a previously unobserved solid phase of AMH, which we named AMH-VII. Another, presumably water-rich, hydrate has also been detected in the range 4-7 GPa at 295 K on decompression of the high pressure DIMA phase. Comparing our melting data to literature suggests that non-congruent melting extends from 220 K to 324 K, and that the solid phase which borders the fluid between 220 and 270 K, called AMH-III, is not a proper phase of AMH but a solid solution of AHH and ice. These results allow us to propose a revised and extended experimental phase diagram of AMH.

I. INTRODUCTION

Water, ammonia, and methane are considered major components of the interiors of the giant icy planets Neptune and Uranus and their satellites, the icy satellites of Saturn (Titan, Enceladus) and Jupiter (Ganymede), and of the "Ocean" exoplanets recently discovered. Present models of the interior of Neptune and Uranus assume the existence of a thick layer composed of these ices submitted to extreme conditions of pressure (20-700 GPa) and temperature (2000-6000K)¹⁻⁶. Knowing the physical and chemical properties of these compounds over a large range of pressure (P) and temperature (T) is therefore needed to build robust interior models that can be compared to observations such as the magnetic field, the gravitational moments and the atmospheric composition, collected from Earth or space missions. In particular, the determination of their melting curve is important to determine whether the material is solid or fluid at a given depth inside the planet, with significant consequences on macroscopic properties such as the magnetic field.

In the past few decades, numerous investigations have been done on the high P-T properties of the pure ice compounds (H₂O, NH₃). These studies have revealed a rich polymorphism and several "exotic" solid phases, such as superionic water⁷⁻¹¹ and ammonia^{7,12}; ionic ammonia¹³⁻¹⁵ and the symmetric phase of water¹⁶⁻²⁰. By contrast, the experimental study of water-ammonia mixtures has remained limited to modest pressures and low temperatures although these systems are a more realistic basis for planetary modelling than the single components.

At low temperature, water-ammonia mixtures crystallize in three different hydrates depending on the initial concentra-

tion : ammonia monohydrate (H₂O:NH₃, noted AMH), ammonia hemihydrate (H₂O:2NH₃, noted AHH) and ammonia dihydrate (2H₂O:NH₃, noted ADH). The experimental phase diagram of AMH has been mostly investigated below 10 GPa and for temperatures below ambient^{21,22}. Six different solid phases have been reported in this P-T range, noted AMH-I to VI²¹⁻²⁵. At room temperature, two different solid phases have been observed: AMH-V, on one hand, obtained on compression of the fluid above ~ 3.5 GPa, and AMH-VI, on the other hand, obtained upon warming above 270 K the low-temperature phase IV at ~ 6.5 GPa²¹. Wilson et al.²⁵ have shown that AMH-V is, in fact, a solid mixture of the hemi-hydrate AHH-II and ice VII solids. AMH-VI has been initially described as a disordered molecular alloy (DMA) constructed by randomly positioning, with equal probability, water and ammonia molecules on a body-centered cubic lattice²¹. More recently, it was shown that AMH-VI is rather composed of two phases²²: first, a partially ionized *bcc* phase baptized DIMA, similar to DMA but composed of about one-third ammonium and hydroxyl ions, and of two-third water and ammonia molecules; and second, a fully ionic and ordered P4/nmm phase solely composed of NH₄⁺ and OH⁻ ions. The latter was previously predicted by theoretical calculations to be the ground state of AMH in this pressure range²⁶, yet it has so far only been observed in minor quantity in experiments compared to the DIMA phase.

The phase diagram of AMH remains mostly unexplored by experiment above ambient temperature. Recent theoretical studies have predicted several new phases, and in particular the existence of plastic and superionic solids at high P-T^{27,28}. Experimental studies^{29,30} of the melting curve of AMH are also limited to temperatures below 400 K and lack consistency, calling for more experimental data.

In this work, we report the experimental measurements of the melting curve of AMH from 295 K to 675 K up to 9 GPa by *in situ* Raman spectroscopy, x-ray diffraction and visual observations. Our results show non-congruent melting be-

^{a)}Electronic mail: haiwa.zhang@outlook.com

^{b)}Electronic mail: sandra.ninet@sorbonne-universite.fr

havior of AMH solid below 324 K and congruent melting at higher temperatures. The congruent melting is associated with the stability of a previously unobserved solid phase of AMH, which we named AMH-VII. Our results also suggest that the solid phase which borders the fluid between 220 and 270 K, called AMH-III, is not a proper phase of AMH but a solid solution of AHH and ice. A revised phase diagram of AMH, extending to 675 K and 9 GPa, is thus proposed.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Equimolar mixtures of water and ammonia were prepared in a stainless steel cylinder as described in Refs. [21, 22, and 25]. Ammonia gas (99.99% purity) obtained from Air Liquide was condensed at liquid-nitrogen temperature into a preweighed stainless steel bottle, and the corresponding mass of deionized water was put into a second steel bottle to obtain a 1:1 molar mixture. Then, the two bottles were connected, and ammonia was allowed to condense in the water bottle immersed in liquid nitrogen. The final composition was checked by weighing the mixed solution and comparing with the sum of the masses of the two components. If the difference was larger than 1%, the mixture was discarded and prepared again.

Samples of AMH were cryogenically loaded in membrane diamond anvil cells (MDAC), as described in Refs. [22 and 25]. The gaskets were made of rhenium. The sealed sample of AMH was cooled to 210 K using a mixture of isopropanol and liquid N₂, in order to bring the mixture in the stable liquid domain at 1 bar, and thus prevent ammonia from evaporating. A drop of liquid AMH was then rapidly poured onto the *rhenium* gasket hole while the MDAC was held at ~ 120 K above cold N₂ gas. The MDAC was then quickly assembled and compressed to a pressure usually between 10 and 20 GPa before letting the MDACs warm to room temperature. As reported in previous studies, this low-temperature (LT) compression leads to the formation of AMH-VI at room temperature^{21,22}.

A commercial cylindrical resistive heater (Watlow) surrounding the body of the cell was used for heating up to 700 K. The temperature of the sample was determined with a type-K thermocouple placed close to the diamond anvils. The temperature uncertainties are below 5 K. A ruby ball was used to measure the pressure³¹. Pressure error bars were estimated from the standard deviation of the fitted luminescence peaks positions, and were at worst 0.37 GPa at 675 K and 8.34 GPa. We used ruby instead of the SrB₄O₇:Sm²⁺ sensor³² despite the lower accuracy of ruby at high temperatures because SrB₄O₇:Sm²⁺ is chemically attacked by liquid water above 550 K, resulting in its total dissolution³³. No evidence of chemical reaction has been observed here between the sample and the ruby ball or the rhenium gasket.

The melting line of AMH was determined by visual observations of the solid-fluid equilibrium. At each investigated P - T point, we registered whether the sample was solid, liquid or at solid-liquid coexistence. For $T < 460$ K, the melting points were obtained during isothermal compression or decompression runs. The melting pressure was defined as the average of the two pressures bracketing melting and the uncertainty

as half the pressure interval. For $T > 460$ K, the solid-fluid coexistence was maintained during the slow heating of the sample by simultaneously increasing the load. Pressure was measured when the temperature was stabilized and the uncertainty was taken as that of the ruby measurement. The latter method enables a precise measurement of the melting curve and prevents from the problems related to metastabilities such as undercooling or over-pressurization.

Raman experiments were carried out with an in-house confocal spectrometer. The excitation source is a continuous laser Ar⁺ emitting at 514.5 nm focussed onto the sample with a Mitutoyo 20X objective to a spot of about 2 μ m. The backscattered light was spatially filtered by a confocal pinhole, chromatically filtered by razor edge filters (Semrock), and then dispersed by an HR450 spectrograph (Horiba) coupled to a water-cooled CCD camera (Andor).

Angular dispersive x-ray diffraction experiments were done at beamline ID27 of the European Radiation Synchrotron Facility (ESRF, Grenoble, France). The x-ray wavelength was 0.3738 Å. The focused x-ray beam has a spot size of 2.5x3 μ m FWHM at ID27. Diffraction images were recorded on 2D detectors (MarResearch mar345 IP or marCCD). The detector distance and tilt, and the position of the x-ray beam were calibrated using x-ray standards from NIST (LaB₆ or CeO₂). The integration of the x-ray images was performed with the fit2D³⁴ or Dioptas³⁵ software programs. Le Bail or Rietveld refinements of the diffraction patterns were performed with the Fullprof software suite³⁶.

III. RESULTS

The experiments reported here showed two different melting behaviour of the AMH solid, depending on whether temperature was below or above 324 K. We start by presenting our observations made on decompressing solid AMH below 324 K, which displays a non-congruent melting behaviour, and follow by presenting the congruent melting line measured above 324 K. This change of behaviour is associated with the presence of a previously uncovered solid phase of AMH (called AMH-VII) above 324 K.

A. Observation and characterization of the non-congruent melting line ($T < 324$ K)

Starting from a sample initially in phase AMH-VI at 8.35 GPa, several solid-solid phases transitions were observed on decompression of the sample at 295 K. Figures 1(a) and (b) respectively show the evolution of the Raman spectrum and of the integrated x-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern. As noted in the Introduction, AMH-VI is a mixture of the *bcc* DIMA and P4/nmm phases²². The presence of the P4/nmm phase is more easily observed in the Raman spectrum, with sharp lattice bands between 200 and 500 cm⁻¹, and a strong OH stretching band at 3700 cm⁻¹ from OH⁻ ions, but less obvious in the XRD pattern which is dominated by the DIMA Bragg peaks. Below 7 GPa, large modifications of the Raman

FIG. 1. (Color online)(a) Evolution of the Raman spectra of AMH during the decompression from 8.35 GPa to 2.76 GPa at room temperature. The frequency windows from 1200-1400 cm^{-1} to 2200-2700 cm^{-1} are greyed as they are dominated by, respectively, the first- and second-order Raman signal from the diamond anvils. The peak around 750 cm^{-1} marked by the dotted frame comes from impurities in the diamond anvils. (b) X-ray diffraction patterns of AMH at room temperature collected upon decompression. The symbols are experimental data and the red lines are Le Bail refinement. Sticks show the positions of Bragg reflections. The green line represents the difference between observed and calculated profiles structure.

spectra and XRD pattern occur, as can be seen in the data collected at 4.8 and 5.1 GPa, respectively. First, the Raman peaks assigned to the P4/nmm phase disappear; second, the shape of the Raman bands assigned to the H_2O and NH_3 molecule stretching between 2900 and 3500 cm^{-1} significantly change. These changes are consistent with those observed by infrared spectroscopy and are the result of a phase transition to a purely molecular phase²². The XRD pattern collected at 5.1 GPa actually reveals the presence of two phases (see the Le

Bail fit in Figure 1(b) at 5.1 GPa): the molecular hemihydrate phase AHH-II, with $P2_1/c$ space group [$a=3.3235(14)$ Å, $b=9.1708(59)$ Å, $c=8.8825(40)$ Å and $\beta=94.38(5)$ as described in Ref.[25], and a second, unidentified hydrate. Since the latter coexists with AHH, its composition must be richer in water than ammonia in order to comply with the initial equimolar composition of the sample; we thus named it water-rich hydrate (WRH).

As the pressure is further lowered, new changes occur both in the Raman spectrum and the XRD pattern. The XRD pattern collected at 4.0 GPa is the same as that reported by Wilson et al.²⁵ on freezing the 1:1 liquid at room temperature and identified as a mixture of AHH-II and ice VII. We could actually achieve a good Le Bail fit of this pattern using a mixture of AHH-II and ice VII, and the lattice parameters obtained for the $P2_1/c$ AHH-II [$a=3.3754(3)$ Å, $b=9.2292(9)$ Å, $c=8.9687(7)$ Å and $\beta=94.41(1)$] and for $Pn-3m$ ice VII [$a=3.3088(1)$ Å] agree very well with Ref. [25]. Slight changes are also detected in the Raman spectrum in the O-H and N-H stretching modes (between 2900 and 3500 cm^{-1}) at 3.41 GPa. However, it is difficult to distinguish the Raman spectra of the AHH-II + ice VII mixture from that of pure AHH-II, since the weak O-H stretch Raman modes of ice VII occur in the same frequency range as the strong N-H stretch modes of AHH-II (see Fig. 2 of the SM³⁷). By contrast, this transition is easily detected by visual inspection of the sample as illustrated in Figure 2: the AHH-II + WRH mixture is transparent at 4.8 GPa [Fig.2(a)], whereas the sample adopts a granular aspect when WRH dehydrate into AHH-II + ice VII [Fig.2(b)] at 3.41 GPa.

The sample images reported in Figure 2 show that the sample composed of AHH-II + ice VII starts to melt (coexistence of a solid and a fluid phase) at 3.33 GPa (Fig.2(c)): the crystalline grains gather and start to move in their liquid while the pressure remains stable, as seen in Figures 2(d) and 2(e). The amount of fluid is too small at this pressure to be detectable by Raman, and the Raman spectra taken at different positions resemble that of the AHH-II+ice VII mixture [Fig.1(a)]. Upon further decreasing the load, the sample pressure also decreases and the amount of fluid phase increases [see Fig. 2(f) at 3.09 GPa and 2(g) at 2.84 GPa]. The collected Raman spectra from the solid phase is consistent with AHH-II, and the one of the fluid phase is consistent with that reported in Ref. [29] at 2.77 GPa, 295 K. Finally, the sample becomes homogeneous and transparent again at 2.76 GPa when it is fully fluid [Fig.2(h)], as confirmed by its Raman spectrum.

In conclusion, at 295 K, the solid phase before melting is a mixture of AHH-II and ice VII and a non-congruent melting occurs: at the solidus pressure (3.33 GPa), ice VII melts first and AHH-II coexists with the fluid down to the liquidus pressure (2.76 GPa). The same observations were made along a decompression isotherm at 310 K, where the solidus and liquidus pressure were measured at 3.04 and 3.55 GPa, respectively.

FIG. 2. Photographs of AMH sample during decompression from 4.80 GPa to 2.76 GPa at 295 K. (a) 4.80 GPa: solid mixture of AHH-II+hydrate (the non homogeneous aspect come from dust particles on the camera) ; (b) 3.41 GPa: solid mixture of AHH-II+ice-VII; (c), (d) and (e): 3.33 GPa, initial stage of sample melting; (f) and (g): crystals of AHH-II coexisting with the fluid at 3.09 and 2.84 GPa, respectively; (h) 2.76 GPa: fully fluid sample.

B. Congruent melting line ($T > 324$ K) and evidence for a new high temperature phase (AMH-VII)

From 324 K to 700 K, a different melting behavior than described in the previous section was observed. This is illustrated in Figures 3A and 3B, which respectively show the photographs of the sample during decompression at 376 K and the measured Raman spectra. At 6.7 GPa, the sample was a mixture of AHH-II + WRH phases. The visual aspect of the sample is that of a polycrystal. As the pressure is decreased to 5.83 GPa, the sample image suddenly becomes more transparent (Fig. 3A(b)). The Raman spectra confirms the occurrence of a phase transition and reveals an homogeneous sample: whatever the probed sample region, we observed the disappearance of the mode around 2900 cm^{-1} associated to O-H stretching, the merging of the N-H stretching peaks into a broad band at $3100\text{--}3500\text{ cm}^{-1}$, and the disappearance of the lattice modes. This Raman spectrum doesn't correspond to any reported solid phases of AMH, thus revealing the existence of a new phase of AMH at high temperature, referred hereafter as AMH-VII. On further decompression, a progressive fragmentation of the AMH-VII solid occurs (see Fig. 3A(c)) and a solid-fluid coexistence is detected at 4.45 GPa [see images 3A(d) and 3A(e)]. The interface between the solid and fluid phase is however more difficult to observe than at room temperature, which indicates that the difference in refractive indices between the fluid and solid phase at high temperature is small. Contrary to the room temperature observations, the measured pressure does not change while varying the load at liquid-solid coexistence pressure (4.45 GPa at 376 K), only the respective amount of fluid and solid phase varies. This demonstrates that (1) the melting is congruent at 376 K, and (2) the solid phase has the same composition as the fluid, i.e., 1:1, and thus AMH-VII is a proper AMH solid phase. It can also be observed from Fig. 3B that the Raman spectra of AMH-VII is very similar to that of the fluid phase, and it is thus difficult to infer melting solely from the Raman measure-

FIG. 3. (Color online) (A): Photographs of AMH from solid to fluid at 376 K. The non homogeneous aspect (small white spots) of the background come from dust particles on the camera. (B): Evolution of the Raman spectra of AMH from solid (6.7 GPa) to fluid (3.49 GPa) at 376 K. The frequency windows $1200\text{--}1400\text{ cm}^{-1}$ to $2200\text{--}2700\text{ cm}^{-1}$ are greyed as they are dominated by, respectively, the first- and second-order Raman signal from the diamond anvils. The peak around 750 cm^{-1} marked by the gray dotted frame comes from impurities in the diamond.

ments.

The equilibrium between AMH-VII and the fluid was observed from 324 K (3.59 GPa) up to 675 K (8.34 GPa). The (P, T) conditions which define the congruent melting line are reported in Figure 5 with red symbols and presented in the Table I. In this P-T range, the melting pressure is a monotonously increasing function of temperature and no discontinuity is observed. These data allow us to fix a triple point between the solidus/liquidus and the congruent melting line of AMH-VII at 324 K and 3.59 GPa as illustrated in Fig. 5. In the explored P-T range, the temperature of the congruent melting line appears, within uncertainties, to increase linearly with pressure with a slope of 74 K/GPa up to 700 K.

for ADH in the literature. The $P2_1/n$ and $P2_12_12_1$ structures from Ref.⁴⁴ and the ADH-I* and ADH-II* structures reported in Ref.⁴⁵ did not provide a reasonable fit. The closest match with our data was obtained with the $I4_1cd$ structure predicted stable above 11.8 GPa in Ref.⁴⁴, and above 5 GPa in Ref.⁴⁵. As in this partially ionic structure, the molecular/ionic centers are arranged on a quasi-bcc lattice, we could obtain a correct Le Bail fit (see Fig.1(a) and (b) of the SM³⁷) and the refined volume ($19.17 \text{ \AA}^3/\text{molecule}$) is close to that expected for ADH ($19.60 \text{ \AA}^3/\text{molecule}$). Nonetheless the two relatively strong (211) and (213) peaks of $I4_1cd$ at low angles are not observed (see Fig.1(b) of the SM³⁷). Furthermore, $I4_1cd$ is a partially ionic structure (it is composed of H_2O , NH_4^+ and OH^-) and a IR active OH^- vibron is predicted⁴⁵ with a frequency of $\sim 3710 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. This vibron was observed in the DIMA phase of AMH above 7.4 GPa²² but it disappears below this pressure. We therefore conclude that WRH is not $I4_1cd$. It could correspond to a molecular version of this structure (if stable), or to another distorted *bcc* structure yet to be found. Further work is thus needed to determine the structure of this phase.

FIG. 5. (Color online) Comparison of the EOS of pure water, ammonia and ideal ammonia hydrates. The EOS of ammonia hydrates have been calculated by considering additivity of the partial volume of water⁴⁶ and ammonia⁴⁷ $V(x\text{H}_2\text{O}, y\text{NH}_3)(P) = x/(x+y)V_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}(P) + y/(x+y)V_{\text{NH}_3}(P)$. The symbols show the volume obtained in the present work at 8.2, 5.1 and 4 GPa.

B. Congruent vs non-congruent melting

The melting data of AMH obtained in this work is compared with previous experimental reports^{29,30} from 220 K to 400 K in Figure 5. In both studies, the authors reported the

(P,T) points where they visually observed a fluid/solid coexistence. Koumvakalis and Nicol²⁹ only found a single solid phase in the range 120-450 K, 0-13.5 GPa, and thus concluded that melting should be congruent. We know by now that there is several solid phases in this P-T range. Furthermore, the pressure dispersion of their coexistence data points between about 260 and 320 K would actually suggest a non-congruent melting in this temperature interval. Boone and Nicol³⁰ also reported that between 1 and 3 GPa (220-280 K), the coexistence was observed over a finite (~ 20 K) temperature interval, indicative of a non-congruent melting. Combining these two previous works with the present one, we may thus conclude that melting is not congruent between 220 K and 324 K. We plot the liquidus and solidus lines in Fig. 5 based on the present data and those of Boone and Nicol which seem more consistent with each other.

Above 320 K, the absence of dispersion in the coexistence data of previous and present work points to a congruent melting. Nonetheless, the melting points of Refs. [29 and 30] strongly deviate from ours. As a matter of fact they better agree with the transition points between AMH-VII and other solid phases found here. The discrepancy could thus be explained if the authors of references [29] and [30] confused melting with the transition to the high temperature phase AMH-VII. Indeed AMH-VII is visually very similar to the liquid phase: the two phases are transparent and their refractive indices are close. Moreover, their Raman spectra are also very close. Koumvakalis and Nicol actually write that "melting was detected visually as a sudden clearing of the polycrystalline samples with obvious reliefs and cracks". As reported above, we made exactly the same observation at the transition from the mixed phases to AMH-VII occurring before melting.

C. Implication for AMH-III

As seen in Figure 5, the change in melting behavior from congruent to non-congruent at 210 K coincide with the transition from AMH-II to AMH-III. AMH-II, whose structure was determined in Ref. 24 is a proper AMH phase, like AMH-I⁴⁸. Consistently, its melting line is congruent, as measured by Ref. 38. AMH-III was discovered by heating AMH-II at 1.1 GPa above 210 K in neutron diffraction experiments²³. Its structure could not be disclosed from the measured diffraction pattern. The non-congruent melting strongly indicates that AMH-III is actually a mixed solid. Note that the latter was already conjectured by Loveday et al²³, based on the complexity of the neutron pattern of AMH-III, and the irreversible character of the transitions between phases III, II and IV. We may thus safely conclude, that from 210 K to 324 K, the equimolar fluid dehydrates upon freezing. Since the ambient T solid is composed of AHH and ice, and there is no, within uncertainties, detectable change of slope of the liquidus and solidus lines, we may suppose that the mixed solid referred as AMH-III is also a mixture of AHH and ice, or AHH and a water-rich hydrate. The transition observed by Loveday et al²³ at 2.7 GPa, 270 K from III to Vb (now known to be AHH-II + ice VII), could originate from the VI-VII transition in ice, or the

dehydration of the water-rich hydrate. This question should be addressed in future experiments.

D. Comparison with water and ammonia

FIG. 6. (Color online) Comparison between the melting lines of the isoelectronic solids H_2O ³³, NH_3 ⁴⁹ and AMH.

The high temperature congruent melting line of AMH is compared with those of pure water³³ and pure ammonia⁴⁹ in Figure 6. Within uncertainties, the melting temperature of AMH grows linearly with pressure in the probed range, and thus presents a smaller concavity than water and ammonia. It can be seen, however, that the slope dT_m/dP_m of the melting line of AMH is closer to that of NH_3 than to the one of H_2O at high P-T. This may indicate that the intermolecular interactions in the high temperature solid AMH-VII are closer to those in the pure NH_3 solid than in the pure H_2O solid.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the present work revises and extends the experimental phase diagram and melting properties of AMH up to 10 GPa, covering the previously unexplored high-temperature domain. The melting behavior has been disclosed up to 8.3 GPa and 675 K. Below 324 K, melting is non-congruent as the stable solid before melting is a mixture of AHH-II and ice VII. We also observed that on decompression, AMH-VI first dehydrates around 7 GPa into AHH-II and an unknown, presumably water-rich hydrate, which itself decomposes into AHH-II and ice VII around 4 GPa. By combining previous and present data, we have proposed solidus and liquidus lines extending from 210 K to 324 K, and implied that AMH-III is also a mixed phase, likely composed of AHH and ice, or AHH and a water-rich hydrate. Above 324 K, melting is congruent, therefore the solid in equilibrium with the fluid has the same stoichiometry as the latter, i.e., it is a proper AMH phase, which we called AMH-VII. The Raman spectra of AMH-VII is distinct from all other known phases of AMH.

Its stability domain has been determined, and is delimited by the melting line at high temperature, and the dehydration line at low temperature. Comparison of the melting line of AMH-VII with those of NH_3 and H_2O suggests that the AMH-VII solid is more alike the plastic solid phase III of NH_3 . It is worth noting that plastic solid forms of ammonia hydrates have been recently observed in simulations close to the melting line²⁸. Future work should thus now focuss on deciphering the structural and dynamical properties of AMH-VII, and extend the determination of the phase diagram to higher P-T conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank G. Garbarino and V. Svitlyk for assistance in the XRD experiments at ESRF. We acknowledge IMPMC for the use of the spectroscopy platform and ESRF for the provision of beam time allocated to proposals HC-2483 and HC-3685. This work was financially supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche under grants ANR-15-CE30-0008-01 (SUPER-ICES), the Chinese Scholarship Council through the allocation of a scholarship to Haiwa ZHANG, and French state funds managed by the ANR within the Investissements d'Avenir programme under reference ANR-11-IDEX-0004-02, and more specifically within the framework of the Cluster of Excellence MATISSE led by Sorbonne Université.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENTS

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

See the supplementary materials³⁷ for additional figures of AMH XRD pattern and Raman spectra .

¹W. B. Hubbard and J. J. M. Farlane, "Structure and evolution of Uranus and Neptune," *J. Geophys. Res.* **85**, 225–234 (1980).

²W. B. Hubbard, "Interiors of the giant planets," *Science* **214**, 145–149 (1981).

³T. Guillot, "Interiors of giant planets inside and outside the solar system," *Science* **286**, 72–77 (1999).

⁴D. D. Sasselov, "Extrasolar planets," *Nature* **451**, 29–31 (2008).

⁵R. Redmer, T. Mattsson, N. Nettelmann, and M. French, "The phase diagram of water and the magnetic fields of Uranus and Neptune," *Icarus* **211**, 798–803 (2011).

⁶R. H. Brown and D. Cruikshank, "Determination of the composition and state of icy surfaces in the outer solar system," *Annu. Rev. Earth Pl. Sc.* **25**, 243–277 (1997).

⁷C. Cavazzoni, G. L. Chiarotti, S. Scandolo, E. Tosatti, M. Bernasconi, and M. Parrinello, "Superionic and metallic states of water and ammonia at giant planet conditions," *Science* **283**, 44–46 (1999).

⁸A. F. Goncharov, N. Goldman, L. E. Fried, J. C. Crowhurst, I.-F. Kuo, C. J. Mundy, and J. M. Zaug, "Dynamic ionization of water under extreme conditions," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 125508 (2005).

⁹J. A. Hernandez and R. Caracas, "Proton dynamics and the phase diagram of dense water ice," *J. Chem. Phys.* **148**, 214501 (2018).

- ¹⁰E. Sugimura, T. Komabayashi, K. Ohta, K. Hirose, Y. Ohishi, and L. S. Dubrovinsky, "Experimental evidence of superionic conduction in H₂O ice," *J. Chem. Phys.* **137**, 194505 (2012).
- ¹¹M. Millot, F. Coppari, J. R. Rygg, A. C. Barrios, S. Hamel, D. C. Swift, and J. H. Eggert, "Nanosecond x-ray diffraction of shock-compressed superionic water ice," *Nature* **569**, 251 (2019).
- ¹²S. Ninet, F. Datchi, and A. Saitta, "Proton disorder and superionicity in hot dense ammonia ice," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 165702 (2012).
- ¹³C. Pickard and R. Needs, "Highly compressed ammonia forms an ionic crystal," *Nat. Mater.* **7**, 775 (2008).
- ¹⁴S. Ninet, F. Datchi, P. Dumas, M. Mezouar, G. Garbarino, A. Mafety, C. Pickard, R. Needs, and A. Saitta, "Experimental and theoretical evidence for an ionic crystal of ammonia at high pressure," *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 174103 (2014).
- ¹⁵T. Palasyuk, I. Troyan, M. Eremets, V. Drozd, S. Medvedev, P. Zaleski-Ejgierd, E. Magos-Palasyuk, H. Wang, S. A. Bonev, D. Dudenko, and P. Naumov, "Ammonia as a case study for the spontaneous ionization of a simple hydrogen-bonded compound," *Nature Communications* **5**, 3460 (2014).
- ¹⁶W. Holzappel, "On the symmetry of the hydrogen bonds in ice VII," *J. Chem. Phys.* **56**, 712–715 (1972).
- ¹⁷K. Aoki, H. Yamawaki, M. Sakashita, and H. Fujihisa, "Infrared absorption study of the hydrogen-bond symmetrization in ice to 110 GPa," *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 15673 (1996).
- ¹⁸E. Wolanin, P. Pruzan, J. C. Chervin, B. Canny, M. Gauthier, D. Häusermann, and M. Hanfland, "Equation of state of ice VII up to 107 GPa," *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, 5781 (1997).
- ¹⁹M. Benoit, D. Marx, and M. Parrinello, "Tunnelling and zero-point motion in high-pressure ice," *Nature* **392**, 258 (1998).
- ²⁰A. F. Goncharov, V. V. Struzhkin, H. K. Mao, and R. J. Hemley, "Raman spectroscopy of dense H₂O and the transition to symmetric hydrogen bonds," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 1998 (1999).
- ²¹J. S. Loveday and R. J. Nelmes, "Ammonia monohydrate VI: A hydrogen-bonded molecular alloy," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 4329 (1999).
- ²²C. Liu, A. Mafety, J. A. Queyroux, C. W. Wilson, H. Zhang, K. Béneut, G. L. Marchand, B. Baptiste, P. Dumas, G. Garbarino, F. Finocchi, J. S. Loveday, F. Pietrucci, A. M. Saitta, F. Datchi, and S. Ninet, "Topologically frustrated ionisation in a water-ammonia ice mixture," *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1065 (2017).
- ²³J. S. Loveday and R. J. Nelmes, "The ammonia hydrates : model mixed hydrogen-bonded systems," *High Pressure Research* **24**, 45 (2004).
- ²⁴A. D. Fortes, E. Suard, M. H. Lemée-Cailleau, C. J. Pickard, and R. J. Needs, "Crystal structure of ammonia monohydrate phase II," *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **131**, 13508–13515 (2009).
- ²⁵C. W. Wilson, C. L. Bull, G. Stinton, and J. S. Loveday, "Pressure-induced dehydration and the structure of ammonia hemihydrate-II," *J. Chem. Phys.* **136**, 094506 (2012).
- ²⁶G. I. G. Griffiths, A. J. Misquitta, A. D. Fortes, C. J. Pickard, and R. J. Needs, "High pressure ionic and molecular crystals of ammonia monohydrate within density functional theory," *J. Chem. Phys.* **137**, 064506 (2012).
- ²⁷M. Bethkenhagen, D. Cebulla, R. Redmer, and S. Hamel, "Superionic phases of the 1:1 water-ammonia mixture," *J. Phys. Chem. A* **119**, 10582 (2015).
- ²⁸V. N. Robinson and A. Hermann, "Plastic and superionic phases in ammonia water mixtures at high pressures and temperatures," *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **32**, 184004 (2020).
- ²⁹A. Koumvakalis and M. Nicol, "Raman spectroscopy of ammonia monohydrate to 13.5 GPa," *High Pressure Chemistry, Biochemistry and Materials Science*, 265 (1993).
- ³⁰S. Boone and M. E. Nicol, "Ammonia-water mixtures at high pressures : melting curves of ammonia dihydrate and ammonia monohydrate and a revised high pressure phase diagram for the water rich region," *Proceeding of lunar and planetary science* **21**, 606 (1991).
- ³¹P. I. Dorogokupets and A. R. Oganov, *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 024115 (2007).
- ³²F. Datchi, R. LeToullec, and P. Loubeyre, "Improved calibration of the SrB₄O₇ : Sm²⁺ optical pressure gauge: Advantages at very high pressure and high temperatures," *J. Appl. Phys.* **81**, 3333 (1997).
- ³³F. Datchi, P. Loubeyre, and R. LeToullec, "Extended and accurate determination of the melting curves of argon, helium, ice H₂O and hydrogen H₂," *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 6535–6546 (2000).
- ³⁴A. Hammersley, S. O. Svensson, M. Hanfland, A. Fitch, and D. Häusermann, "Two dimensional detector software : From real detector to idealised image or two-theta scan," *High Press. Res.* **14**, 235 (1996).
- ³⁵C. Prescher and V. B. Prakapenka, "Dioptas : a program for reduction of two-dimensional x-ray diffraction data and data exploration," *High Press. Res.* **35**, 223 (2015).
- ³⁶J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, "Recent advances in magnetic structure determination by neutron powder diffraction," *Physica B* **192**, 55–69 (1993).
- ³⁷H. Zhang, F. Datchi, L. Andriambarijaona, G. Zhang, J. A. Queyroux, K. Béneut, M. Mezouar, and S. Ninet, "Supplementary materials of "melting curve and phase diagram of ammonia monohydrate at high pressure and temperature,"" *J. Chem. Phys.* (2020).
- ³⁸D. L. Hogenboom, J. S. Kargel, G. J. Consolmagno, T. C. Holden, L. Lee, and M. Buyyounouski, "The ammonia water system and the chemical differentiation of icy satellites," *Icarus* **128**, 171 (1997).
- ³⁹C. W. Wilson, C. L. Bull, G. W. Stinton, D. M. Amos, M.-E. Donnelly, and J. S. Loveday, "On the stability of the disordered molecular alloy phase of ammonia hemihydrate," *J. Chem. Phys.* **142**, 094707 (2015).
- ⁴⁰X. Li, W. Shi, X. Liu, and Z. Mao, "High-pressure phase stability and elasticity of ammonia hydrate," *American Mineralogist* **104**, 1307 (2019).
- ⁴¹J. S. Loveday, R. J. Nelmes, W. G. Marshall, J. M. Besson, S. Klotz, and G. Hamel, "Structure of deuterated ammonia IV," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 74 (1996).
- ⁴²A. D. Fortes, I. G. Wood, M. Alfredsson, L. Vočadlo, K. S. Knight, W. G. Marshall, M. G. Tucker, and F. Fernandez-Alonso, "The high-pressure phase diagram of ammonia dihydrate," *High Pressure Res.* **27**, 201–212 (2007).
- ⁴³J. S. Loveday, R. J. Nelmes, C. L. Bull, H. E. Maynard-Casely, and M. Guthrie, "Observation of ammonia dihydrate in the AMH-VI structure at room temperature – possible implications for the outer solar system," *High Pressure Research* **29**, 396–404 (2009).
- ⁴⁴X. Jiang, X. Wu, Z. Zheng, Y. Huang, and J. Zhao, "Ionic and superionic phases in ammonia dihydrate NH₃-2H₂O under high pressure," *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 144104 (2017).
- ⁴⁵V. N. Robinson, M. Marques, Y. Wang, Y. Ma, and A. Hermann, "Novel phases in ammonia water mixtures under pressure," *J. Chem. Phys.* **149**, 234501 (2018).
- ⁴⁶S. Klotz, K. Komatsu, H. Kagi, K. Kunc, A. Sano-Furukawa, and S. M. and T. Hattori, "Bulk moduli and equations of state of ice VII and ice VIII," *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 174111 (2017).
- ⁴⁷F. Datchi, S. Ninet, M. Gauthier, A. M. Saitta, B. Canny, and F. Decremps, "Solid ammonia at high pressure: A single-crystal x-ray diffraction study to 123 GPa," *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 174111 (2006).
- ⁴⁸I. Olovsson and D. H. Templeton, "The crystal structure of ammonia monohydrate," *Acta Cryst.* **12**, 827 (1959).
- ⁴⁹S. Ninet and F. Datchi, "High pressure-high temperature phase diagram of ammonia," *J Chem Phys* **128**, 154508 (2008).