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**GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT**

**ABSTRACT**
Galacto and fuco-clusters conjugated with one to three catechol or hydroxamate motifs were synthesized to target LecA and LecB lectins of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PA) localized in the outer membrane and in the bacterium. The resulting glycocluster pseudosiderophore conjugates were evaluated as Trojan horse to cross the outer membrane of (PA) thanks to iron transport. The data suggests that glycoclusters with catechol moieties were able to hijack the iron transport while those with hydroxamates showed strong non-specific interactions. Mono- and tri-catechol galactoclusters (G1C and G3C) were evaluated as inhibitors of the infection by PA in comparison with the free galactocluster (G0). All of them exhibited an inhibitory effect between 46 to 75% at 100 mM with a higher potency than (?) G0. This result shows that LecA localized in the outer membrane of PA is involved in the infection mechanism.
INTRODUCTION
Bacterial infections with the appearance of antibiotics resistance lead to a severe problem of public health.[1] Alternative approaches to antibiotics are to be developed. To this end, glycoclusters exhibiting several epitopes recognized by the lectins of bacteria are supposed to perturb biofilm formation and bacteria cell recognition. They have been intensively synthesized.[2] These glycoclusters are designed to interact with high affinity with the lectins of bacteria thanks to the cluster effect.[3] Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PA), one of the most prevalent bacteria together with S. aureus and E. coli, is a Gram-negative, motile, opportunistic bacterium involved in nosocomial infections (10-30%).[4] This bacterium has two soluble lectins, LecA and LecB, that specifically recognize D-galactose and L-fucose, respectively, and are involved in its virulence and biofilm formation.[5] Furthermore, LecA has been shown to be involved in adhesion and intracellular uptake of the bacterium[6] and LecB is involved in adhesion on airway epithelial cells.[6a] Initially localized in the cytoplasm[7] both lectins were then largely found in the outer membrane of the bacteria.[5f]

To date, several glycoclusters, presenting of strong affinity for these two targets, have been reported in the literature[8] and some of them demonstrated some in vivo activity against PA especially antibiofilm property[8b, c, d, i, j, 9] and anti-bacterial adhesion.[8f, h, 10]

During the last few years, we have synthesized and evaluated in a DNA-based microarray, the affinity of hundreds of glycocluster-oligonucleotides bearing either D-galactose or L-fucose moieties and found some of them (Fig.1, Gal4 and Fuc4) with high affinity for those lectins.[11] The increase of affinity of galactoclusters determined by the measure of Kd by ITC[11b] was 400-fold higher than methyl β-D-galactoside, thanks to a cluster effect by chelation while the increase of potency of the fucocluster in comparison with monofucoside was lower (70-fold, IC50 determined by ELLA).[11a] Indeed, due to the shape of LecB, the increase of affinity is rather due to an increase of the local concentration of fucoside than to a chelation of two carbohydrate recognition domains (CRDs). The activity against biofilm formation has been established for two tetragalactoclusters leading to a 40% reduction of biofilm.[8b] In contrast, fucoclusters displaying high affinity for LecB were found unable to impair biofilm formation (unpublished results).
Iron is a key nutrient in bacteria and for all living systems, but due to the low solubility of iron(III) it is poorly available. To overcome this limitation, bacteria have developed siderophore-dependent iron acquisition systems. Siderophores are low-molecular-weight iron chelators synthesized by bacteria mainly constituted of catechol or hydroxamate motifs. The iron-siderophore complex is taken up by ferric-chelate specific TonB-dependent transporters allowing the transport of iron into bacteria.

Several hundreds of microbial siderophores with different structures have been identified. The most potent siderophores are structurally based on catechol or hydroxamate moieties. Thus, it has been demonstrated that it is possible to hijack the transport of iron into bacteria for the uptake of antibiotics into bacteria leading to an increase of antibiotic potency (Trojan horse strategy).

To our knowledge, this strategy has been only reported recently with the synthesis of calixarene-based glycoclusters against PA exhibiting four hydroxamate motifs. Most bacteria produce their own siderophores to catch iron from the medium, but they can also use xenosiderophores made by others microorganisms. Along this line PA, which synthesizes two major siderophores, pyoverdine (PVD) and pyochelin (PCH), is also able to use lot of xenosiderophores (siderophore piracy) like enterobactin, cepabactin, mycobactin and carboxymycobactin, desferrichrysin, desferricrocin, coprogen, vibriobactin, aerobactin, fungal siderophores and deferroxamines (for a review see Cornelis, P., and Dingemans).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Since catechol and hydroxamate are the most represented motifs in siderophore, we decided to introduce them one to three times and evaluate their effect on the targeting of PA. The different
neosiderophores were conjugated to *galacto* and *fuco*-clusters and labelled with a fluorophore (Cy3) to visualize and quantify their interaction with the bacteria. As a control, the Cy3-glycocluster was also synthesized without a siderophore moiety. The resulting conjugates were evaluated on mutants to confirm or infirm the pathway through the siderophore active transport.

The syntheses of these bioconjugates were mainly performed on solid support using phosphoramidite chemistry and copper-catalyzed alkyne azide cycloaddition (CuAAC). Indeed, solid supported synthesis allowed a rapid synthesis of complex structures in low amount (< mg). This scale of synthesis produces enough material for a screening by fluorescence monitoring. Basically the glycocluster was synthesized and Cy3-labelled on solid support[17] then alkynes functions were introduced in the scaffold for a last conjugation performed in solution with a catechol or a hydroxamate azide. Indeed, the catechol motifs were introduced in solution at the last step since they showed some instabilities under the ammonia treatment required to cleave the conjugate from the solid support. To this end, three new building blocks were synthesized: the di-acetyl catecholamide propylazide 3 (Scheme 1), the *O*-acetyl *N*-butylazide hydroxamate 7 (Scheme 2) and the *O*-DMTr-*O*'-levulinyl tris-hydroxymethyl ethane (THME) cyanoethyl diisopropyl phosphoramidite 10 (Scheme 3).

Di-acetyl catechol propylazide 3 was synthesized in two steps starting from 2,3-dihydroxybenzoic acid on which 3-azido propylamine was coupled through an amide linkage using BOP/DIEA (50%). Then the hydroxyls were protected by treatment with acetic anhydride (54%) (Scheme 1). It is compulsory to protect the catechol hydroxyls since they are able to chelate the copper leading to an inefficient CuAAC and to some degradations.

![Scheme 1 Synthesis of N-(3-azidopropyl)-2,3-diacetoxy-benzamide (3).](image)

Protected azidobutyl-hydroxamate 7 was obtained in three steps (Scheme 2). Acetohydroxamic acid 4 was first acetylated yielding 5[18] which was secondly *N*-alkylated with 1,4-dibromobutane to give 6. The third step was a substitution of bromine atom with azide by treatment with tetramethylguanidinium azide (TMG-N₃) affording 7.
In order to introduce a phosphoramidite derivative on a lateral chain of the scaffold, we synthesized the tris-hydroxylmethylethane (THME) phosphoramidite 10 protected on one hydroxyl with an acid labile dimethoxytrityl (DMTr) group and on a second hydroxy with a hydrazine labile levulinyl (lev) group (Scheme 3). DMTr and Lev groups are orthogonal and so can be selectively removed allowing a selective reaction on a hydroxyl or on another with a subsequent phosphoramidite derivative. To this end, DMTr-THME \( \text{8}\)\(^{[19]} \) was protected with levulinic acid by dicyclohexyl carbodiimide (DCC) activation and then the resulting compound \( \text{9}\) was phosphitylated by 2-cyanoethyl-\(N,N\)-diisopropylchlorophosphoramidite in presence of DIEA affording 10 (85%).

For the preparation of the control glyocluster without catechol/hydroxamate and the glyocluster with three catechol/hydroxamate the synthesis was identical for the first steps leading to 18 (Scheme 4). The propargyl \(\alpha\)-D-mannoside \(\text{12}\)\(^{[20]} \) was immobilized on azide solid support \(\text{11}\)\(^{[21]} \) by CuAAC affording \(\text{13}\)\(^{[17]} \) and the propargyl-diethyleneglycol phosphoramidite \(\text{14}\)\(^{[11a]} \) was introduced on each hydroxyl to form \(\text{15}\) after oxidation of intermediate phosphite linkages. The DMTr group was removed by treatment with trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and the THME derivative protected with a levulinyl group 10 was introduced by solid phase phosphoramidite chemistry (SPPC) followed by a Cy3 phosphoramidite keeping its MMTr group. Levulinyl group was removed by treatment with a solution of hydrazinium acetate\(^{[22]} \) leading to \(\text{16}\). Then azide phenyl tetra-acetyl-galactoside \(\text{17a}\)\(^{[11a]} \) was conjugated four times by CuAAC affording the solid-supported glyocluster \(\text{18}\).
Scheme 4 Synthesis of solid-supported Cy3-glycocluster 18. SPPC: i) 3% TCA in CH₂Cl₂; ii) amidite + benzylthiotetrazole (BMT), dry CH₃CN; iii) Capping: Ac₂O, N-methylimidazole, pyridine, THF; iv) 0.1M I₂, H₂O, THF, pyridine.

A portion of 18 was treated with TCA to remove the MMTr of Cy3 and finally with aqueous ammonia to give the control Cy3 labelled galactocluster (Cy3G0) (Scheme 5). The other portion of 18 was coupled with tris-propargyl pentaerythritol 19[23] by solid phase phosphoramidite chemistry (SPPC) followed by deprotection with TCA and then aqueous ammoniagiving rise to Cy3-galactocluster 20 with three alkynes in solution. A last coupling with catechol azide 3 or hydroxamates azide 7, followed by mild deacetylation afforded the galactocluster-tricatechol conjugate (Cy3G3C) or the galactocluster-trihydroxamate conjugate (Cy3G3H) respectively. The same strategy was applied for the synthesis of Cy3F0, Cy3F3G and Cy3F3H (Schemes S1-S2).
Scheme 5 Synthesis of Cy3-galactocluster without catechol (\textsuperscript{cy3}G0) and with three catechol (\textsuperscript{cy3}G3C) or three hydroxamates units (\textsuperscript{cy3}G3H).

For the synthesis of the mono- and di-catechol conjugates, the intermediate 15 was conjugated with fully protected galactoside azide 17a, then the mono-propargyl 22a\textsuperscript{[19]} or the di-propargyl 22b\textsuperscript{[24]} phosphoramidite derivative were coupled by SPPC followed by Cy3 phosphoramidite coupling to give after ammonia deprotection 23a and 23b in solution respectively (Scheme 6). Finally, di-acetyl catechol propyl azide 4 or di-acetyl hydroxamate butyl azide 7 were conjugated by CuAAC affording after deacetylation the Cy3-galactoclusters mono- \textsuperscript{cy3}G1C and di-catechol \textsuperscript{cy3}G2C, and the corresponding Cy3-galactocluster mono- \textsuperscript{cy3}G1H and di-hydroxamate \textsuperscript{cy3}G2H.
Scheme 6 Synthesis of the Cy3-galactoclusters with one (Cy3G1C) or two catechol (Cy3G2C) motifs and with one (Cy3G1H) or two (Cy3G2H) hydroxamate motifs.

For the synthesis of the fucoclusters, the same protocol was applied starting from 15 on which the fully protected fucoside azide 17b was introduced yielding in fine the Cy3-fucoclusters mono-Cy3F1C and di-catechol Cy3F2C and the corresponding Cy3-fucocluster mono-Cy3F1H and di-hydroxamate Cy3F2H (Scheme S3).
The resulting 28 glycoclusters were characterized by C18 reverse phase HPLC and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. For the glycoclusters with catechols, HPLC profiles of acetylated derivative showed a thin peak while the fully deprotected compounds were eluted as a broad peak with and increasing complexity with the number of catechol motifs. This phenomenon could be explained by hydrogen bounding of the catechol with the stationary phase. In contrast the HPLC of glycoclusters with hydroxamates showed nice profiles. MALDI-TOF MS spectra showed only the [M-H]⁻ ion corresponding to the expected structures.

**Evaluation of labelling efficiency of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by galactocluster-siderophore conjugates.**

The Cy3 fluorescence intensity of each glyocluster was measured. Indeed, it is known that catechol reduces the fluorescence intensity of fluorescent molecules.\(^{[25]}\) While the fluorescence intensity of \(^{\text{Cy3}}\)G1C was similar to that of \(^{\text{Cy3}}\)G0, those of \(^{\text{Cy3}}\)G2C and \(^{\text{Cy3}}\)G3C were dramatically reduced by 78% and 96%, respectively (Fig. S4). One can imagine that such decrease of fluorescence is due to strong \(\pi,\pi\) interactions between the catechols and the indoles of Cy3 leading to non-radiative energy loss. For the fucoclusters series with catechols the decrease of fluorescent was lower with only 50% for \(^{\text{Cy3}}\)F3C (Fig; S5). In contrast, the Cy3 fluorescence intensity of glycoclusters with hydroxamates motifs was increased by ~20% for \(^{\text{Cy3}}\)G1H and \(^{\text{Cy3}}\)G2H and by 15% for \(^{\text{Cy3}}\)G3H with respect to \(^{\text{Cy3}}\)G0 (Fig. S6) while similar intensity was observed for \(^{\text{Cy3}}\)F0, for \(^{\text{Cy3}}\)G1H and \(^{\text{Cy3}}\)G2H and slightly higher for \(^{\text{Cy3}}\)F3H (Fig. S7). Explication de l’increase?

**Fluorescence quantification of bacterial labelling by cy3-galacto/fucoclusters.**

Trojan horse strategy is based on the use of the siderophore pathway to help entrance of inhibitors of virulence in the bacterial envelope to reach their specific target. We believed that such a strategy could help preventing LecA or LecB-dependant virulence of the bacteria by targeting the lectin before its exposure on the bacterial surface. Our first design of molecules was to simply add 1 to 3 catechol or hydroxamate residues on the galactocluster \(\text{G0}\) or fucocluster \(\text{F0}\) structure and to evaluate the potential of inhibition of the modified molecules. Synthesis of these molecules is expensive and time consuming. Consequently, only small amount of 1, 2 or 3 catechols-galacto/fucoclusters and 1, 2 or 3 hydroxamates-galacto/fucoclusters have been produced first and fluorescently labelled with cyanine (Cy3) to assess by fluorescence quantification their possible association with the bacteria.

The Cy3-galactocluster/fucocluster-siderophores were incubated with different PA strains: the wild type PAO1 and three isogenic mutants, \(fpvA\), \(exbB1\) and \(lecA\) (galactoclusters) or \(lecB\) (fucoclusters). The Cy3-galactocluster-siderophores are expected to target LecA lectin (and
fucoclusters, LecB) associated with the bacterial cell surface as well as periplasmic/cytoplasmic
LecA (LecB). lecA (lecB) mutant will then allow discrimination of non-LecA (LecB) specific
labeling of the bacteria and should be considered as background. The fpvA mutant doesn’t express
the pyoverdine specific receptor (PVD-R) that recognizes pyoverdine and allows the active
transport of iron-pyoverdine complex into the bacterium. Iron-pyoverdine recognition by FpvA is
essentially due to association of the receptor with the chromophore(catecholate)/Fe/hydroxamates
complex.[26] The Cy3-galacto(fuco)cluster-siderophores described herein don’t share common
structures with pyoverdine but have been designed to include 1 to 3 catechols or 1 to 3
hydroxamates residues. It has been described in the literature that antibiotics modified by the
addition of catechols, cifedorocol[27] or hydroxamates, albomycins-like,[28] display better MIC (MIC
défini?) than their non-modified counterpart demonstrating entrance of the antibacterial compounds
via the siderophores uptake pathway. If in the experiments described here the fpvA mutant doesn’t
display any difference of Cy3-galacto(fuco)cluster-siderophores uptake than does the WT PAO1, no
connclusions will be made. But if differences are observed they had to be attributed to entrance of the
compounds via the FpvA receptor. The exbB1 mutant is used herein for the same purpose.
Siderophores-Fe entrance in the periplasm of the bacteria is dependent on energy transfer by the
TonB/ExbB/ExbD cluster.[29] One can expect then that inactivation of the TonB/ExbB/ExbD
machinery in Pseudomonas aeruginosa will lead as well as a reduction of galacto(fuco)cluster-
siderophores entrance in the bacterial envelope.

![Figure 2](image-url)

**Figure 2.** Percentage of labelling of PA strains with 1 μM Cy3-galactoccluster with 0 to 3 catechols.
Data were normalized to 100% for the Cy3-galactoccluster with 0 catechols (G0) added to the wild
type strain PAO1. Grey “ns” are statistical comparison between G0 and 1, 2, or 3 catechols for the
PAO1. Black “*” are statistical comparison of each mutant, lecA, exbB1 or fpvA labelled with Cy3-
galactoccluster with 0, 1, 2 or 3 catechols respectively to the corresponding PAO1 labelled with Cy3-
galactoccluster with the same number of catechols (0 to 3 catechols). With *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01;
***, p<0.001; ****, p<0.0001.
The data were normalized with a percentage of labelling fixed at 100% for \( \text{cy3}^{3} \text{G0} \) (1 \( \mu \text{M} \)) when incubated with wild type PAO1 (Figure 2). The \( \text{cy3}^{3} \text{G1C} \) and \( \text{cy3}^{3} \text{G2C} \) exhibited a 5-fold increase of labelling and the \( \text{cy3}^{3} \text{G3C} \) showed a strong increase of 20-fold. Thus, the addition of 1 to 3 catechol residues on the galactoclusters structure increase its association with the WT bacterial envelope.

When the galactoclusters were incubated with \( \text{lecA} \) mutant we observed a very low labelling for \( \text{cy3}^{3} \text{G0} \), \( \text{cy3}^{3} \text{G1C} \) and \( \text{cy3}^{3} \text{G2C} \) showing no interaction of the galactocluster with the mutant devoid of \( \text{LecA} \) synthesis. Initial works of Glick and Garber have located the soluble \( \text{LecA} \) lectin mainly in the cytoplasm of the bacteria with a small percentage (3-9%) membrane bound or located in the periplasmic space.[7] Membrane localisation of \( \text{LecA} \) was confirmed by one other group.[30] However, how did the lectin come in the envelope of the bacteria still remains unknown since the \( \text{lecA} \) gene doesn’t contain any specific signal peptide encoding sequence.[30] Difference of labelling observed here between PAO1 and the \( \text{lecA} \) mutant must signify specific association of galactoclusters with or without catechols with the target \( \text{LecA} \) and its location in the bacterial envelope.

When the mutants \( \text{fpvA} \) and \( \text{exbB1} \) were incubated with Cy3-galactocluster catechol conjugates and \( \text{cy3}^{3} \text{G0} \), we observed the same level of labelling for \( \text{cy3}^{3} \text{G0} \) and a strong decrease of labelling for the \( \text{cy3}^{3} \text{G1C} \), \( \text{cy3}^{3} \text{G2C} \) and \( \text{cy3}^{3} \text{G3C} \) showing that the high labelling on PAO1 was due to some interaction between Cy3-galactocluster catechol conjugates and the iron transport mechanism. Increase of fluorescence labelling of the bacteria with catechols-Cy3-galactoclusters compared to Cy3-galactoclusters is not alone a direct proof of the entrance of the molecules in the bacterial envelope via the siderophore pathway. One may argue that it could be the result of unspecific interaction of the catechols with the bacterial envelope. As example, \( \text{cy3}^{3} \text{G3C} \) also displayed a high labelling of the \( \text{lecA} \), \( \text{fpvA} \) and \( \text{exbB1} \) mutants even if it is 1,5 to 3-fold less than for wild-type PAO1. This phenomenon would be due to some non-specific adsorption of \( \text{cy3}^{3} \text{G3C} \) on the surface of bacteria rather than some internalization of it thanks to iron transport due to the recognition of the three-catechol motif by the bacteria. But, since \( \text{lecA} \), \( \text{fpvA} \) and \( \text{exbB1} \) mutants are isogenics of PAO1 strain, the decrease of labelling observed is obviously due to the absence of membrane associated \( \text{LecA} \) for the \( \text{lecA} \) mutant and absence of a functioning siderophore pathway for the two latter. Consequently, as expected, our data show that addition of 1 to 3 catechol residues allows entrance of the Cy3-galactocluster in the bacterial envelope via the siderophore pathway. Then, higher amount of the molecules has been produced to assess its inhibitory potential against PA virulence.
In contrast to the Cy3-galactoclusters-catechol conjugates $\text{Cy3} \text{G1C}$ and $\text{Cy3} \text{G2C}$, the Cy3-fucoclusters-catechol conjugates $\text{Cy3} \text{F1C}$ and $\text{Cy3} \text{F2C}$ showed a low increase of fluorescence for the control strain while $\text{Cy3} \text{F3C}$ showed a large increase (16-fold) (Figure S8). Surprisingly, the percentage of labelling observed for $\text{Cy3} \text{F0}$ are similar for the WT and for the mutant lecB suggesting no specific interaction with the membrane bound lectin. In vitro experiments, has shown that F0 is highly affine for LecB$^{34}$ but no interaction with membrane bound LecB has been demonstrated to date. Tieller and coworkers$^{11}$ have demonstrated localization of LecB in the PA outer membrane where its presence helps biofilm development. Thus, our result indicates that the fucoclusters developed herein don’t interact with membrane bound LecB in vivo.

For the WT strain and the $\text{fpvA}$ mutant we observed a higher labelling for $\text{Cy3} \text{F3C}$ when the others mutants, $\text{exbB1}$ and lecB, were low and similar. Difference between labelling of the $\text{fpvA}$ and $\text{exbB1}$ mutant suggest interaction of $\text{Cy3} \text{F3C}$ with the siderophore pathway independent of the pyoverdin uptake. Additionally, difference of $\text{Cy3} \text{F3C}$ labelling between WT and the lecB mutant suggests that interaction with the siderophore pathway helps the fucocluster to reach soluble LecB to interact with confirming that three catechol residues enhance association of the glycocluster with siderophore pathway. Nevertheless, since the control molecule $\text{Cy3} \text{F0}$ doesn’t show any difference of labelling between WT and lecB mutant no further experiments were conducted with fucoclusters-catechol conjugates.

![Figure 3](image.png)

**Figure 3.** Percentage of labelling of PA strains with 1 μM Cy3-galactocluster with 0 to 3 hydroxamate. Data were normalized to 100% for the Cy3-galactocluster with 0 hydroxamate (G0) added to the wild type strain PAO1. Grey “*” are statistical comparison between G0 and 1, 2, or 3 hydroxamate for the PAO1. Black “*” are statistical comparison of each mutant, lecA or exbB1 labelled with Cy3-galactocluster with 0, 1, 2 or 3 hydroxamate respectively to the corresponding PAO1 labelled with Cy3-galactocluster with the same number of hydroxamate (0 to 3 hydroxamate). With *, p<0.05 ; **, p<0.01 ; ***, p<0.001 ; ****.
The Cy3-galactocluster hydroxamate conjugates $\text{Cy}_3\text{G}_1\text{H}$, $\text{Cy}_3\text{G}_2\text{H}$ and $\text{Cy}_3\text{G}_3\text{H}$ as well as $\text{Cy}_3\text{G}_0$ were incubated with PAO1, $exbB1$ and $lecA$ (Figure 3). The labelling of PAO1 increased by 3-fold for $\text{Cy}_3\text{G}_1\text{H}$ and by almost 6-fold for $\text{Cy}_3\text{G}_2\text{H}$ and $\text{Cy}_3\text{G}_3\text{H}$ with respect to $\text{Cy}_3\text{G}_0$. As for the catechol series, the highest substituted hydroxamate glycoconjugate exhibited the highest labelling. However, surprisingly, for the $exbB1$ mutant the increase of labelling was similar showing that the increase of labelling should not be due to some interaction of the galactoclusters with the iron-transport mechanism. ExbB1 is not interacting with is hydroxamate glycoconjugate, since it is a more general partner of the siderophore uptake than FpvA (restricted to the pyoverdin-like molecules uptake) the $fpvA$ mutant has not been tested. Finally, the labelling of the lecA mutant was also found to be increased but to a lower extent.

The same trend was observed for the fucocluster-hydroxamate conjugates with a high non-specific interaction when the number of hydroxamate motifs increased (Figure S9) but also an absence of interaction with the siderophore pathway.

All the data suggested that there are some non-specific interactions of the Cy3-galactocluster or fucocluster hydroxamate conjugates with the bacteria and that the hydroxamate motifs reported in this study are not recognized by the bacteria as a siderophore.

To summarize this first study, the data show that catechol-galactoclusters were internalized by iron-assisted transport while hydroxamate glycoclusters were not. For the fucocluster-catechol conjugates, the increase of labelling was not really significant and it seems that the fucocluster was not recognized by LecB while fucocluster-hydroxamate conjugates showed high non-specific interaction. Hence, for the evaluation of the anti-infectious properties of glycoclusters on bacteria, we only selected the monocatechol galactocluster, since there is a similar behaviour between $G_1\text{C}$ and $G_2\text{C}$, and the tri-catechol galactocluster $G_3\text{C}$ to evaluate the effect of the number of catechol on the activity.

Solution phase synthesis of mono- and tri-catechol glycoclusters $G_1\text{C}$ and $G_3\text{C}$

The syntheses were performed in solution to obtain few hundred milligrams of each compound. Since we observed some instability of acetyl groups on catechol, the more stable benzoyl group was selected. 2,3-Dihydroxybenzoic acid was first protected by treatment with benzoic anhydride to give 24. The carboxylic function was activated as an anhydride by treatment with ethyl chloroformate and eventually 3-azido-propylamine was added to form the amide linkage affording the $N$(3-azidopropyl)-2,3-dibenoxybenzamide 25 in 62% overall yield (Scheme 7).
The monocatechol-glycocluster G1C was synthesized in four steps (Scheme 8). Protected catechol derivative 25 was conjugated by CuAAC to propargyldiethylene glycol α-mannoside 26\textsuperscript{[31]} and the free hydroxyls were phosphorylated by means of propargyldiethylene glycol phosphoramidite 14 followed by oxidation with solid-supported A26 IO\textsubscript{4} reagent to give 28. The galactoside units were finally introduced by CuAAC to afford G1C after deprotection.

Scheme 7 Synthesis of \( N\)-(3-azidopropyl)-2,3-dibenzoxy-benzamide 3.

Scheme 8 Synthesis of glycoclusters G1C in solution.
The synthesis of G3C was carried out according to a convergent strategy. The galactocluster 32 was synthesized with a propargyl diethyleneglycol arm on the anomeric carbon of mannoside (Scheme 9) and the tricatetechol 37 was synthesized with an azide diethyleneglycol arm (Scheme 10) allowing a final conjugation of both units 32 and 37 (Scheme 11).

Since the mannoside 26 exhibited an alkyne function, it was not possible to first introduce propargyl diethyleneglycol phosphoramidite on it and then the azide galactosides. Hence the azide tetraacetylgalactoside 17a was conjugated by CuAAC to propargyl diethyleneglycol and then converted to its phosphoramidite derivative 30 which was coupled with 26 affording the alkynyl-galactogluster 31 which was finally deprotected to give 32.

For the siderophore synthesis, the tripropargyl-pentaerythritol 33 was coupled to tosyltriethyleneglycol phosphoramidite 34 and after oxidation of phosphite triesters into phosphotriesters the three catechols were introduced by CuAAC affording 35 (Scheme 10). Since
during azidation debenzoylation was observed, $35$ was first deprotected and azidation was performed by treatment with TMG azide. Finally the hydroxyls were reprotected by treatment with benzoyl chloride affording the azide-siderophore $37$. The perbenzoylation using benzoyl anhydride was inefficient and led with benzoyl chloride to a partial side reaction. Indeed, we have observed to a certain extent the formation of a secondary product corresponding to the loss of a catechol carboxy acid and a benzoylation of the intermediate amine. This side reaction is surprising since amides of aliphatic amines are usually very stable.

![Scheme 10 Synthesis of the azidated tricatechol derivative $37$.](image)

A last CuAAC conjugation allowed the formation of benzoylated $G3C$ (Scheme 11). Surprisingly the reaction was very sluggish requiring 6 days. A reduced accessibility of the azide and alkyne functions with the two quite large units could explain the slowness of the click reaction. After chromatography on C18 reverse phase and deprotection $G3C$ was afforded.
Infection protection assay with G0, G1C and G3C

The monocatechol G1C and tricatechol G3C galactoclusters were tested as inhibitors of infection in comparison with the glycolcluster G0 (Figure 4). Various concentrations of each inhibitor were tested in order to demonstrate dose dependant inhibition of infection (Figure 5).

As expected, compared to PAO1, lecA mutant displays a lower infection percentage with only 28±14 % of the WT infection percentage. Concentrations of galactocluster G0 ranging from 100 to 500 μM reduce up to 70% the percentage of infection of PAO1. Thus 100 μM of G0 is already sufficient to reduce the whole LecA dependant percentage of infection for the PAO1 strain. Increase
of the G0 concentration in the medium doesn’t increase the level of inhibition since probably all the LecA exposed on the surface of the bacterial cell is already masked by 100 μM of the galactoccluster. Lower concentration of the galactoccluster G0 decreases the efficiency of inhibition since 10 μM only reduce to 25% (76±21 %) the percentage of infection of PAO1 (data not shown).

In a previous work, we have demonstrated that galactocclusters (G0), targeting the soluble LecA lectin of Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PA), when added in a concentration as low as 10 μM, can reduce considerably (up to 40%) the biofilm development of the bacteria.\[8b\] Several similar molecules were developed by other groups showing equivalent results\[8b-d, 8i, j, 9\] demonstrating the importance of the lectin during the biofilm building even if it is still not very clear how the lectin can help its development. LecA involvement in PA virulence is a lot more complex since the lectin was shown to display on its own cytotoxic effect on respiratory epithelial cells\[32\] and acts, associated to the bacteria, as an adhesin\[5f\]/invasin\[6a\] to host tissue by directly binding to the globotriosyl receptor (Gb3)\[6a\] promoting cell infection by the bacteria.

In the present work, we show that 100 μM of galactocclusters (G0) is sufficient to reduce up to 70% the PA infection in an ex-vivo model of infection using the human pulmonary cell line NCI-H292. Increased concentration of the inhibitor doesn’t increase the inhibition efficiency suggesting that the maximum inhibitory potential was reached. Infection done with the lecA mutant strain has also shown a 70% reduction compared to the WT which mean that 30% of the PA infection potential is independent of LecA.

Our previous publication has shown that 130 μM of the galactocluster G0 decreases the adhesion force existing between PA and fixed cells on an AFM tip.\[10b\] The combined results suggest that galactocclusters prevent host cell Gb3 LecA dependent recognition by the bacteria diminishing the infection ratio.

Such a 70% inhibition of PA ability to colonize pulmonary cell ex-vivo using β-D-galactopyranoside-presenting glycoclusters was also observed by Malinovská and co-workers using higher concentrations of inhibitor (up to 2 mM).\[10c\] The galactocclusters developed herein seem, up to date, being more efficient to reduce PA infection on pulmonary cells and should be good candidates to further in vivo protection assay in an animal model.

Unfortunately, addition of one or three catechols on the galactocluster structure (G1C and G3C) doesn’t increase the inhibition potential of the molecule as compared to G0 with even a percentage of infection observed for 100 μM of G1C (54±20 %) or G3C (38±5 %) higher than that observed for G0 (25±5 %).
Since no direct proof of entrance of the molecules in the cytoplasm of the bacteria was demonstrated in our work, we can’t rule out the fact that simply the inhibitor doesn’t reach intracellular LecA to increase the inhibition efficiency. One may argue that the presence of catechols could decrease affinity of the galactocluster for membrane bound LecA or the stability of LecA/galactocluster complex. It is possible that the ability of catechols to interact with divalent cations may have competed with the affinity of LecA with Ca$^{2+}$ necessary for the LecA/galactose interaction.

Our experiments show that the addition of one or three catechols promotes entrance of the galactoclusters in the bacterial envelope via the siderophore pathway. Thus, one other interpretation will be that the catechol-galactoclusters reach its intracellular LecA target but that this has no influence on the bacterial virulence since during the two hours of infection only the membrane bound LecA is used by the bacteria during the infection process.

According to Diggle and coworkers, membrane bounds LecA may have come from other bacterial cell lysis, liberating soluble lectin which then link to the bacterial surface and help virulence.$^{[30]}$ Then, LecA binding on Gb3 receptor exposed on host cell surface will allow engulfment of the bacteria and cell infection.$^{[13]}$ This model can explain why only a few percentage of LecA is membrane bound, how it came here, and why bacterial free LecA displays cytotoxic effect on host tissue. In addition, this may also explain why, as this work shows, the force entry of the galactocluster targeting LecA into the bacterial envelope by Trojan horse strategy, did not succeed in improving its inhibition potential.

**CONCLUSION**

The purpose of the present work was to develop inhibitors of PA virulence by targeting the lectins LecA and LecB involved in biofilm formation and host tissue infection. Since the two lectins are mostly cytoplasmic and only around 5% membrane bound, Trojan horse strategy based on catechol- or hydroxamate-modified galacto/fucoclusters mimicking siderophores has been developed to help the inhibitors to reach the largest possible amount of lectin targets. Our results show that only galactocluster-catechol conjugates were able to penetrate the bacterial envelope via the siderophore pathway. Protection assays of human pulmonary cell culture against PA infection using galactoscluster G0 or its catechols (G1C and G3C) associated counterparts have been successful in this work comforting us in the efficiency of galactoclusters as inhibitor of PA virulence. As discussed above, although the protection assays with G0 at micromolar concentration led to very interesting results, the assays with catechol-galactoclusters were disappointing, because no gain compared to G0 and even a reduction of the inhibitor efficiency was observed. Nevertheless,
evidences have been gained that addition of 1 to 3 catechols promotes entrance of chemical compounds into PA envelope via the siderophore pathway and can be suitable for other inhibitors. Finally, this work shows that the Trojan horse strategy targeting LecA is not helpful against PA virulence. On other hand, we proved that 100 μM of G0 added in the culture medium were sufficient to reduce PA virulence to a same extent as the lecA mutant. If the virulence of the bacteria is in fact promoted by non-membrane bound LecA, soluble galactoclusters, such as G0, recruiting soluble, bacterial free, LecA in the tissue neighbourhood and preventing their further association either with bacterial or cell membrane can certainly help to protect against PA infection.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION
All reagents for synthesis were commercial and used without purification. Dry solvents and reagents CH3CN, pyridine, DIEA and NEt3 were distilled over CaH2 and CH2Cl2 was distilled over P2O5, others solvents were commercial and used without distillation. Sensitive reactions were performed under argon atmosphere. Reactions under microwaves were achieved on Monowave 300 Anton Paar. The reactions were monitored by TLC using silica gel 60 F254 precoated plates. TLC plates were analysed by UV light (λ = 254 nm) and revealed by treatment with KMnO4, Ninhydrine in EtOH, 10% H2SO4 in EtOH/H2O (1:1 v/v), phosphomolybdic acid 20 wt% solution in EtOH or molibdenum blue according to Dittmer and Lester followed by heating. Products were purified on silica gel column chromatography with silica gel Si 60 (40-63 μm) or silica gel flash chromatography (35-45 μm). Reverse phase purification was executed with C18 flash chromatography (40 μm). Reverse phase C18 HPLC analyses were performed with Dionex Ultimate 3000 instrument equipped with an automatic injector and a photometer DAD 3000 with Nucleodur® 100 Å, 3 μm C18ec, 75 mm DI 4.6 mm, Macherey-Nagel column (flow 1 mL/min using linear gradient of CH3CN in 0.05M aqueous TEAAc pH 7). NMR analyses were performed at 298 K using a 200 MHz, 400 MHz, 500 MHz or 600 MHz spectrometer (Bruker) using deuterated solvent. Observed multiplicities are labelled with following abbreviation: s (singlet), d (doublet), dd (doublet of doublet), t (triplet), tt (triplet of triplet), q (quartet), p (quintet), m (multiplet). Shifts (δ) were referenced relative to deuterated solvent and expressed in part per million (ppm), coupling constants were expressed in hertz (Hz). High resolution (HR-ESI-QTOF) mass spectra were achieved with Q-Tof Micromass spectrometer. MALDI-TOF analysis were performed on a Shimadzu Assurance equipped with 337 nm nitrogen laser. Spectra were recorded, in negative or positive mode, using THAP with 10% of ammonium citrate as a matrix in water CH3CN (1:1 v/v). Liquid samples were mixed with the matrix as 1:5 v/v ratio and 1 µL was deposited on the stainless-steel plate for drying.
**N-(3-azidopropyl)-2,3-dihydroxybenzamide 2:** 2,3-Dihydroxybenzoic acid (894 mg, 5.8 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (17 mL), 3-azidopropylamine (701 mg, 7 mmol) and anhydrous DIEA (2 mL, 11.6 mmol) were added and the mixture was dried over molecular sieve 4Å for 1h under argon and cooled down to 0 °C. BOP (2.6 g, 5.8 mmol) was added and the solution was stirred for 3h at room temperature. The crude was directly applied on a silica gel column for flash chromatography using cyclohexane with AcOEt (from 20% to 80%). Product was lyophilized from dioxane to afford compound 2 (716 mg, 50%) as a colorless oil. TLC Rf: 0.53 Cyclohexane: AcOEt 4:6 v/v. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 12.65 (s, 1H, OH), 7.05 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.89 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.76 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.66 (s, 1H, NH), 5.86 (s, 1H, OH), 3.56 (q, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, NCH$_2$CH$_2$), 3.47 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, CH$_2$CH$_2$N$_3$), 1.91 (p, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, CH$_2$CH$_2$N$_3$). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 170.3, 149.2, 146.1, 118.9, 118.3, 116.0, 114.3, 49.8, 37.7, 28.6. HR-ESI-QToF MS (positive mode): m/z calcd. for C$_{10}$H$_{13}$N$_4$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$ 237.0988, found 237.0991.

**N-(3-azidopropyl)-2,3-diacetoxybenzamide 3:** N-(3-azidopropyl)-2,3-dihydroxybenzamide 2 (385 mg, 1.63 mmol) was solubilized in anhydrous pyridine (10 mL) and acetic anhydride (3.0 mL, 32.6 mmol) was added. The solution was stirred for 2h at room temperature. AcOEt was added (100 mL) and organic layer was washed twice with a 1M HCl aqueous solution (2 x 60 mL), twice with a saturated solution of NaHCO$_3$ (2 x 60 mL) and once with brine (60 mL). Organic layer was dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$ and solvent was evaporated under vacuum. The crude was purified by silica gel flash chromatography using cyclohexane and AcOEt (from 20% to 50%) to afford compound 3 (284 mg, 54%) as a white solid. TLC Rf: 0.22 Cyclohexane/EtOAc (4:6 v/v). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.53 (dd, J = 6.1, 3.3 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.30 – 7.27 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.39 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H, NH), 3.46 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, NCH$_2$CH$_2$), 3.40 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, CH$_2$CH$_2$N$_3$), 2.31 (s, 3H, OCCH$_3$), 2.29 (s, 3H, OCCH$_3$), 1.84 (p, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, NCH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$N$_3$). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 168.2, 168.1, 165.4, 143.1, 140.2, 130.5, 126.7, 126.5, 125.9, 49.4, 37.7, 28.8, 20.7, 20.6. HR-ESI-QToF MS (positive mode): m/z calcd. for C$_{14}$H$_{17}$N$_4$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$ 321.1199, found 321.1200.

**N-acetoxyacetamide 5:**[18] Acetohydroxamic acid 4 (1.0 g, 13.3 mmol) was dispersed in heterogeneous mixture of CH$_2$Cl$_2$/NaOH 2M (1:1 v/v 14 mL). Acetic anhydride (1.9 mL, 19.9 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2h. Aqueous layer was extracted four times with CH$_2$Cl$_2$. Organic layer was dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$ and solvent evaporated (yellow oil). Product 5 was obtained as a colorless oil (1.17 g, 75%) after purification by chromatography on silica gel using CH$_2$Cl$_2$ with 0 to 5% of MeOH. TLC Rf: 0.35 CH$_2$Cl$_2$/MeOH (95:5 v/v). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 9.13 (s, 1H, NH), 2.22 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 2.05 (s, 3H, CH$_3$).
$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 169.0, 19.8, 18.4. HR-ESI-QToF MS (negative mode): m/z calcd for C$_4$H$_6$NO$_3$ [M-H]$^-$ 116.0348, found 116.0346.

**N-(4-bromobutyl)-N-acetoxyacetamide 6:** To N-acetoxyacetamide 5 (400 mg, 3.4 mmol) solubilized in anhydrous DMF (16 mL) Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (2.2 g, 6.8 mmol) was added. The mixture was sonicated for 5 min. Dibromobutane (4 mL, 34 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 2h under microwaves assistance. DMF was evaporated and CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (10 mL) was added, organic layer was washed twice with water. Organic layer was dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$ and evaporated. The crude was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with cyclohexane/AcOEt (1/0 to 0/1) to afford 6 as colorless oil (300 mg, 35%). TLC Rf: 0.35 cyclohexane/AcOEt (2:8, v/v).

$^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 4.16 (t, $J$ = 6.3 Hz, 2H, NCH$_2$CH$_2$), 3.43 (t, $J$ = 6.7 Hz, 2H, CH$_2$CH$_2$Br), 2.14 (s, 3H, OC(CH$_3$)$_3$), 2.03 (s, 3H, NOC(CH$_3$)$_3$), 2.00 – 1.94 (m, 2H, CH$_2$CH$_2$Br), 1.89 – 1.82 (m, 2H, NCH$_2$CH$_2$).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 168.8, 168.5, 66.8, 33.2, 29.4, 27.4, 19.7, 15.0. HR-ESI-QToF MS (positive mode): m/z calcd for C$_8$H$_{14}$NO$_3$BrNa [M+Na]$^+$ 274.0055, found 274.0055.

**N-(4-azidobutyl)-N-acetoxyacetamide 7:** N-(4-bromobutyl)-N-acetoxyacetamide 6 (88 mg, 0.35 mmol) was coevaporated twice with anhydrous CH$_3$CN. The residue was solubilized in anhydrous CH$_3$CN (2 mL) and tetramethylguanidinium azide (TMG N$_3$) (111 mg, 0.7 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 1h30 under microwaves. CH$_3$CN was evaporated and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with cyclohexane/AcOEt (1/1 to 0/1) to afford 7 as a colorless oil (44 mg, 59%). TLC Rf: 0.19 cyclohexane/AcOEt (3:7 v/v).

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 4.14 (t, $J$ = 6.2 Hz, 2H, NCH$_2$CH$_2$), 3.31 (t, $J$ = 6.6 Hz, 2H, CH$_2$CH$_2$N$_3$), 2.13 (s, 3H, OC(CH$_3$)$_3$), 2.02 (s, 3H, NOC(CH$_3$)$_3$), 1.80 – 1.72 (m, 1H, NCH$_2$CH$_2$), 1.72 – 1.63 (m, 1H, CH$_2$CH$_2$N$_3$).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 168.8, 168.4, 67.0, 51.1, 26.0, 25.6, 19.6, 14.9. HR-ESI-QToF MS (positive mode): m/z calcd for C$_8$H$_{14}$N$_4$O$_3$Na [M+Na]$^+$ 37.0964, found 237.0963.

**2-[(4,4'-Dimethoxytrityl)oxymethyl]-2-methylpropan-3-olyl Levulinate 9:** 2-[(4,4'-Dimethoxytrityl)oxymethyl]-2-methylpropane-1,3-diol 8$^{[19]}$ (DMTrTHME) (850 mg, 2.0 mmol) was dissolved into 10 mL of anhydrous dichloromethane. Then, 1,3-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (413 mg, 2.0 mmol) and 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (25 mg, 0.2 mmol) were added. After cooling to 0 °C, levulinic acid (205 µL, 2.0 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 3h and 5 mL methanol was added, followed by addition of hexane (10 mL). After filtration of DCU, the solution was concentrated. The residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel using ethyl acetate, cyclohexane, triethylamine from 2:7:1 to 5:5:1 v/v/v and compound 9 was obtained as viscous
syrup (689 mg, 66%). TLC Rf: 0.20 cyclohexane/EtOAc/Et₃N (5:4:1 v/v/v). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.49 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.38 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.34 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.26 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.90 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H, Ar), 4.24 (s, 2H, COOCH₂), 3.83 (s, 6H, CH₃O), 3.53 (s, 2H, DMTroCH₂), 2.13 (q, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H, CH₂C), 2.76 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, CH₂COCH₂), 2.58 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, CH₂COO), 2.21 (s, 3H, OC(CH₃)₂), 0.98 (s, 3H, Me). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 206.6, 172.9, 158.4, 144.8, 135.8, 130.0, 128.0, 127.8, 126.8, 113.1, 86.1, 66.8, 66.5, 66.0, 55.1, 40.6, 37.9, 29.7, 27.9, 17.4.

HR-ESI-QToF MS (positive mode): m/z calcd. for C₃₁H₃₆O₇Na [M+Na]^+ 543.2359, found 543.2357.

2-[(4,4'-Dimethoxytrityl)oxymethyl]-2-methylpropan-3-ol-yl Levulinate (2-cyanoethyl-N,N-diisopropyl) phosphoramidite 10: To a solution of 2-[(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)oxymethyl]-2-methylpropan-3-ol-yl levulinate 9 (265 mg, 0.5 mmol) and DIEA (131 µL, 0.75 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (6 mL) was added 2-cyanoethyl-N,N-diisopropylchlorophosphoramidite (123 µL, 0.55 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred for 1.5h at room temperature. Water (1 mL) was added and the solution was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL), and washed with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (15 mL) then with brine (15 mL). The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using cyclohexane, AcOEt and triethylamine from 2:7:1 to 4:5:1, v/v/v affording 10 (307 mg, 85%) as clear oil. TLC Rf: 0.53 cyclohexane/AcOEt/NEt₃ (5:4:1 v/v/v). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.41 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.27 (m, 6H, Ar), 7.20 (m, 1H, Ar), 6.81 (m, 4H, Ar), 4.06 (m, 2H, COOCH₂), 3.79 (s, 6H, CH₃O), 3.71 (m, 2H, POCH₂CH₂), 3.52 (m, 4H, CH₂iPr and DMTroCH₂), 3.01 (m, 2H, POCH₂C), 2.68 (m, 2H, COCH₂), 2.55 (m, 2H, CH₂CN), 2.49 (m, 2H, CH₂COO), 2.16 (s, 3H, CH₃CO), 1.16 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H, CH₂CH), 1.16 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H, CH₂CH), 0.98 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 3H, CH₃C). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 206.6, 172.6, 158.5, 145.1, 136.2, 130.3, 128.4, 127.8, 126.8, 117.8, 113.1, 85.8, 66.8, 66.1, 64.8, 58.3, 55.3, 43.1, 40.6, 38.0, 30.0, 28.0, 24.7, 20.5, 17.1. ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 148.0, 147.90. HR-ESI-QToF MS (positive mode): m/z calcd. for C₄₀H₆₆N₂O₃P [M+H₃O]^+ 739.3723, found 739.3726.

Solid phase synthesis of Cy3-galactocluster siderophore derivatives

**General procedure for immobilization on azide solid support 11 of propargyl α-D-mannoside 12 by CuAAC:** An aqueous solution of propargyl mannoside (0.2M, 300 µL, 60 µmol), freshly prepared aqueous solutions of CuSO₄ (0.04M, 250 µL, 10 µmol) and sodium ascorbate (0.1M, 500 µL, 50 µmol), THPTA (0.1M, 300 µL, 30 µmol) and TEAAc buffer (2M, 500 µL) were added to 10 µmol of azide solid support 11. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2h. The solution was removed, and CPG beads were washed with H₂O (10 mL), MeOH (10 mL), and CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and dried.
General procedure for incorporation of EG$_2$propargyl phosphoramidite 14: The solid-supported mannoside 13 (1 μmol) was treated by phosphoramidite chemistry, on a DNA synthesizer (ABI 394), with EG$_2$propargyl phosphoramidite 14. Only coupling and oxidation steps were performed. For the coupling step, benzylmercaptotetrazole was used as an activator (0.3M in anhydrous CH$_3$CN) and EG$_2$Propargyl phosphoramidite (0.2M in anhydrous CH$_3$CN) was introduced three times with a 180s coupling time. Oxidation was performed with 0.1M commercial solution of iodide (0.1M in THF/pyridine/H$_2$O, 78:20:2, v/v/v) for 15s.

General procedure for phosphoramidite chemistry: Solid-supported (propargylEG$_2$)-mannoside (1 μmol) was treated by phosphoramidite chemistry, on a DNA synthesizer. Detritylation step was performed with 3% TCA in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ for 65s. For the coupling step, benzylmercaptotetrazole (0.3M in anhydrous CH$_3$CN) was used with THME monolevulinyl 10, THME monopropargyl 22a, pentaerythritol dipropargyl 22b, pentaerythritol tripropargyl 19 phosphoramidite (0.1M in anhydrous CH$_3$CN) with a 60s coupling time and Cy3 amidite (0.1M in anhydrous CH$_3$CN) with a 180s coupling time. The capping step was performed with commercial solution acetic anhydride (Cap A, Ac$_2$O/pyridine/THF, 5:10:85, v/v/v; Cap B, 10% N-methylimidazole in THF) for 10s. Oxidation was performed with 0.1M commercial solution of iodide for 15s. Synthesis was performed with Trityl ON mode.

General procedure for delevulinylation: The CPG beads were treated with a solution of 0.5M hydrazinium acetate (H$_2$NNH$_2$-H$_2$O/pyridine/AcOH, 0.124:4:1, v/v/v) for 30min, washed with pyridine, acetonitrile and CH$_2$Cl$_2$, and dried.

General procedure for introduction of galactoside azide derivative by CuAAC on solid support: In the column synthesis (1 μmol) were added the acetylated galactoside azide derivative 17 (0.1M in dioxane, 80 μL, 8 μmol), THPTA (0.1M in H$_2$O, 30 μL, 30 μmol), dioxane (20 μL) and a freshly prepared aqueous solutions of CuSO$_4$ (0.04M, 25 μL, 0.4 μmol) and sodium ascorbate (0.1M, 50 μL, 5 μmol), DNA column was vortexed at 60 °C in oven for 3h. The CPG beads were washed with dioxane, H$_2$O, MeOH, CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and dried under vacuum.

General procedure for deprotection and release from solid support: The CPG beads were transferred to a 4 mL screw top vial and treated with 2 mL of concentrated aqueous ammonia at room temperature overnight. The supernatant was withdrawn and evaporated. Crude was purified by C$_{18}$ reversed phase HPLC. Pure product was co-evaporated several times with H$_2$O and then lyophilized.
**General procedure for introduction of catechol or hydroxamate azide by CuAAC in solution:**

To a solution of Cy3 alkyne-galactocluster (1 mM in H2O, 200 μL, 200 nmol) were added siderophore azide 3 or 7 (0.1M in dioxane, 2 eq/alkyne), THPTA (0.1M in H2O, 6 μL, 600 nmol), dioxane (140 μL) and copper nanopowder (~ 1 mg). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2h, then after centrifugation, the supernatant was treated with EDTA solution (complete to 1 mL) and the mixture was purified two times by steric exclusion column (NAP 10). The conjugate was lyophilized in H2O and acetyl groups were hydrolyzed by NEt3/MeOH/H2O (700 μL, 1:5:1, v/v/v) under stirring for 2h at room temperature. The siderophore-glycococluster conjugate was obtained after several co-evaporations with water and lyophilization from water.

**2,3-Dibenzoxybenzoic acid 24:** 2,3-Dihydroxybenzoic acid (100 mg, 0.6 mmol) was solubilized in pyridine (4 mL). Benzoic anhydride (440 mg, 1.9 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. MeOH (6 mL) was added and solvent evaporated under vacuum. The residue was purified on flash silica gel chromatography with cyclohexane and AcOEt (1:0 to 0:1 v/v) to afford 24 as a colorless oil (201 mg, 93%). TLC Rf: 0.23 cyclohexane/AcOEt (3:7, v/v). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.08 – 8.00 (m, 5H, Ar), 7.62 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.57 – 7.48 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.43 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.39 – 7.31 (m, 4H, Ar). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 168.3, 164.4, 164.3, 144.2, 143.5, 134.0, 133.7, 130.5, 130.4, 129.7, 128.7, 128.6, 126.4. HR-ESI-QToF MS (negative mode): m/z calcd for C21H13O6 [M - H]⁻ 361.07176, found 361.07025

**N-(3-Azidopropyl)-2,3-dibenzoxybenzamide 25:** To a cold solution (~ -10 °C) of 24 (2.2 g, 6 mmol) in dry CH2Cl2 (60 mL) and DIEA (2.1 mL, 12 mmol) was added dropwise ethyl chloroformate (914 μL, 9.6 mmol). After 15min stirring at -10 °C, azidopropylamine (901 mg, 9 mmol) and DIEA (1.05 mL, 6 mmol) were added. 15min after addition the solution was allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred for 1h then the solution was applied on a silica gel column and chromatographed using an increasing amount of AcOEt (0 to 80%) in cyclohexane to obtain compound 25 (white solid, 1.8 g, 67%). TLC Rf: 0.54 cyclohexane/AcOEt (4:6, v/v). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.06 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.2 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.99 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.2 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.75 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.60 – 7.52 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.52 – 7.48 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.45 – 7.36 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.33 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.49 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H, NH), 3.40 (dd, J = 12.7, 6.7 Hz, 2H, NCH2CH2), 3.21 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H, CH2CH2N3), 1.66 (p, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H, NCH2CH2CH2N3). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 165.2, 164.4, 164.2, 143.3, 140.4, 134.4, 133.9, 130.9, 130.3, 130.2,
Triazolyl-catecholamide mannopyranoside 27: The propargyl-diethylene glycol mannopyranoside 26 (520 mg, 1.7 mmol) was solubilized in dioxane/H$_2$O (3:1 v/v, 17 mL) and N-(3-azidopropyl)-2,3-dibenzyoxobenzamide 25 (978 mg, 2.2 mmol), copper nanopowder (~4 mg) and TEAAc (2M, 500 µL) were added. The mixture was stirred at 55 °C overnight. Solvent was evaporated under vacuum and the crude was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (CH$_2$Cl$_2$/MeOH, 75:15 v/v). Product was treated with Quadrapure® IDA for 6h. The mixture was filtered and filtrate evaporated under vacuum. The residue was solubilized in a minimum of dioxane for lyophilization to obtain 27 as a white solid (1.11 g, 87%). TLC Rf: 0.15 CH$_2$Cl$_2$/MeOH (9:1, v/v). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.05 – 7.94 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.64 (s, 1H, Tz), 1H, 7.59 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.55 – 7.47 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.43 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.3 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.37 – 7.28 (m, 5H, Ar), 7.24 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H, NH), 4.89 (s, 1H, OH), 4.84 (s, 1H, H$_1'$), 4.75 (s, 1H, OH), 4.57 (s, 2H, OCH$_2$Tz), 4.25 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, TzCH$_2$CH$_2$), 3.93 – 3.76 (m, 4H, H$_2'$, H$_4'$, H$_6'$, H$_3'$), 3.76 – 3.66 (m, 2H, H$_6$', OCHHCH$_2$), 3.66 – 3.49 (m, 8H, OCHHCH$_2$, OCH$_2$, H$_3'$), 3.27 (dd, J = 11.5, 5.6 Hz, 2H, NCH$_2$CH$_2$), 2.34 (s, 1H, OH), 1.98 – 1.88 (m, 2H, NCH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$Tz). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 166.0, 164.4, 164.4, 144.8, 143.4, 140.5, 134.3, 134.1, 131.0, 130.3, 130.3, 128.9, 128.7, 128.4, 128.2, 126.9, 126.6, 126.0, 123.8, 100.2, 72.4, 71.6, 70.9, 70.7, 70.3, 69.8, 67.0, 66.7, 64.5, 61.4, 47.6, 36.8, 30.1. HR-ESI-QToF MS (positive mode): m/z calcd for C$_{37}$H$_{48}$N$_4$O$_{13}$ [M + H]$^+$ 751.2821, found 751.2848.

Mannopyranoside mono-catechol 28: To triazolyl-catecholamide mannopyranoside 27 (232 mg, 0.3 mmol) in anhydrous CH$_3$CN (1.5 mL), propargyl-diethylene glycol phosphoramidite 14 (707 mg, 2 mmol) was added and mixture was dried over molecular sieve 3Å for 1h. A solution of tetrazole (0.4M, 7.5 mL, 3 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4h. Water (1 mL) was added and after 5min Amberlyst® A26 IO$_4^-$ resin (2.49 mmol/g, 1.2 g, 3 mmol) was added, the mixture was stirred for 2h. After filtration and dilution in CH$_2$Cl$_2$, the organic layer was washed with saturated solution of NaHCO$_3$ and brine. Organic layer was dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$ and solvent was evaporated under vacuum to afford crude 28 (yellow oil, 614 mg, 64.7%). TLC Rf: 0.22 CH$_2$Cl$_2$/MeOH (95:5, v/v). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN) δ 8.03 – 7.95 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.69 (s, 1H, Tz), 7.65 – 7.58 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.55 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.8 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.52 – 7.38 (m, 5H, Ar), 7.15 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H, NH), 5.16 – 5.07 (m, 1H, H$_1'$), 4.86 – 4.79 (m, 1H, H$_3'$), 4.75 – 4.51 (m, 5H, H$_4'$, H$_5'$, OCH$_2$Tz), 4.42 – 3.75 (m, 40H, OCH$_2$, CH$_2$Tz, CH$_2$CH$_2$CN, POCH$_2$, CH$_2$CCH, H$_3'$), 3.75 – 3.44 (m, 44H, H$_6$', OCH$_2$), 3.26 (m, 2H, NCH$_2$CH$_2$), 2.91 – 2.76 (m, 8H, CH$_2$CH$_2$CN), 2.71 (t, J = 3.6 Hz, 4H, CH$_2$CCH), 2.01 (p, J =
6.8 Hz, 2H, NCH₂CH₂CH₂Tz). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 166.0, 165.2, 164.9, 145.3, 144.4, 141.4, 135.1, 135.1, 132.5, 130.9, 130.8, 129.8, 129.8, 129.5, 129.3, 127.8, 127.3, 126.7, 124.5, 118.7, 98.2, 80.9, 75.8, 75.6, 75.0, 71.8, 71.1, 70.9, 70.5, 69.9, 68.73, 68.4, 68.3, 66.6, 64.8, 63.9, 63.4, 58.7, 48.3, 37.4, 30.8, 20.2. ³¹P NMR (162 MHz, CD₃CN) δ -1.69, -1.74, -2.18, -2.35 (PO), -2.42, -2.66, -2.73 (POO-). HR-ESI-QToF MS (positive mode): m/z calcd for C₇₇H₉₉N₆O₃₃P₄ [M + H]⁺ 1787.5265, found 1787.5258.

**Galactocluster mono-catechol G1C:** Tetra-propargyl mannopyranoside mono-catechol 28 (536 mg, 0.3 mmol) was solubilized in dioxane/H₂O (1:1 v/v, C = 0.05M, 6 mL) and acetylated galacto-azide derivative 17a (784 mg, 1.5 mmol) was added followed by copper nanopowder (~ 2 mg) and TEAAc (2M, 1 mL). Mixture was stirred at 55 °C for 24h (the reaction was monitored by MALDI-TOF spectrometry). The crude was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 85:15 v/v) to obtain protected galactocluster mono-catechol (yellow solid, 863 mg, 74%) after treatment with Quadrupare® IDA (500 mg) overnight. Solution was filtered and filtrate lyophilized. 

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 9.02 (s, 4H, NH), 8.00 – 7.94 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.92 – 7.80 (m, 4H, Tz), 7.69 (s, 1H, Tz), 7.64 – 7.32 (m, 17H, Ar), 7.25 (s, 1H, NH), 6.97 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 8H, Ar), 5.44 – 5.37 (m, 4H, H₁′gal), 5.31 – 5.06 (m, 25H, H₂′gal, H₃′gal, OCCH₂Tz, H₁′gal, H₁′man), 4.87 – 4.80 (m, 1H, H₂′man), 4.73 – 4.63 (m, 1H, H₃′man), 4.63 – 4.44 (m, 1H, OCH₂Tz, H₁′man), 4.32 – 4.00 (m, 38H, TzCH₂CH₂, CH₂CH₂CN, OPCH₂CH₂, H₆′gal, H₅′gal, H₆′man, H₅′man), 3.68 – 3.46 (m, 49H, OCH₂), 3.24 (q, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H, NCH₂CH₂), 2.85 – 2.70 (m, 8H, CH₂CH₂CN), 2.19 – 2.08 (m, 14H, NCH₂CH₂CH₂Tz, OCCH₃), 2.02 (s, 12H, OCCH₃), 1.97 (s, 12H, OCCH₃), 1.94 (s, 12H, OCCH₃). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 171.2, 171.1, 170.8, 170.5, 165.1, 154.5, 145.6, 135.1, 134.5, 130.8, 129.8, 127.8, 127.3, 126.2, 122.3, 118.7, 100.3, 72.0, 71.5, 71.1, 70.3, 69.6, 68.3, 67.7, 64.8, 64.0, 62.3, 53.4, 48.4, 37.5, 30.8, 20.9, 20.2. 


The protected galactocluster mono-catechol (0.15 mmol, 595 mg) was stirred at room temperature overnight in NEt₃/MeOH/H₂O (1:5:1 v/v/v, 21 mL). Solvents were evaporated under vacuum. The crude was purified on reverse phase flash chromatography (H₂O/1% CH₃CN 25 mM TEAAc - H₂O/20% CH₃CN 25 mM TEAAc). Triethylammonium ion were exchanged to Na⁺ by treatment with DOWEX® 50W X8 Na⁺ form resin. The product was lyophilized in H₂O to give galactocluster mono-catechol G1C (beige solid, 321 mg, 74%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₂O) δ 8.18 –
7.75 (m, 5H, Tz), 7.42 – 7.24 (m, 8H, Ar), 7.11 – 6.88 (m, 11H, Ar), 6.86 – 6.60 (m, 1H, NH), 5.48 – 5.16 (m, 8H, OCCH₂Tz), 5.16 – 5.05 (m, 1H, H₁’man), 5.02 – 4.88 (m, 5H, sugar), 4.73 – 4.25 (m, 21H, OPCH₂, OCH₂Tz, sugar), 4.20 – 3.40 (m, 88H, OCH₂, sugar), 3.40 – 3.30 (m, 2H, NCH₂CH₂), 2.23 – 2.08 (m, 2H, NCH₂CH₂CH₂Tz). ^13C NMR (101 MHz, D₂O) δ 181.5, 165.8, 154.2, 144.1, 131.4, 126.5, 123.1, 117.0, 101.0, 75.3, 72.5, 70.5, 70.3, 69.5, 68.9, 68.5, 64.9, 63.1, 60.7, 52.4, 23.3. ^31P NMR (162 MHz, D₂O) δ 0.69, -0.60, -0.85 (PO). C18 HPLC (1% to 24% CH₃CN 50 mM TEAAc over 20min): Rₜ = 13.2 min. MALDI-TOF MS (negative mode, THAP): m/z calcd for C₁₀₇H₁₄₀N₂₀O₅₀P₄ [M-H] 2783.34, found 2783.22, and calcd for C₁₀₇H₁₄₀N₂₀O₅₀P₄Na [M - 2H + Na] 2805.33, found 2805.18. HR-ESI-QToF MS (positive mode): m/z C₁₀₇H₁₅₂N₂₀O₅₀P₄ calcd for [(M+2H)/2]⁺ 1392.4230, found 1392.4230 and calcd for C₁₀₇H₁₅₃N₂₀O₅₀P₄ [(M+3H)/3]⁺ 928.6179, found 928.6185.

**Triazolyl-diethylene glycol galactopyranoside 29:** Propargyl diethylene glycol (1 mmol, 144.2 mg) was solubilized in dioxane/H₂O (5:1 v/v, 12 mL) and galactoside azide derivative 17a (1 mmol, 522 mg) was added followed by copper nanopowder (0.016 mmol, 1 mg) and TEAAc (2M, 200 µL). The mixture was stirred at 55 °C overnight. Solvent was evaporated under vacuum and the crude was purified on silica gel flash chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 95:5 v/v) to obtain 29 (white solid, 545 mg, 82%). TLC Rf: 0.2 (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 9:1 v/v). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.61 (s, 1H, NH), 7.81 (s, 1H, Tz), 7.41 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.94 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Ar), 5.50 – 5.39 (m, 2H, H₂', H₄'), 5.18 (s, 2H, OCCH₂Tz), 5.10 (dd, J = 10.5, 3.4 Hz, 1H, H₃'), 4.99 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H, H₁'), 4.70 (s, 2H, OCH₃Tz), 4.21 (dd, J = 11.3, 7.0 Hz, 1H, H₆a'), 4.14 (dd, J = 11.3, 6.2 Hz, 1H, H₆b'), 4.04 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H, H₅'), 3.79 – 3.65 (m, 6H, OCH₂), 3.65 – 3.52 (m, 2H, OCH₂), 3.04 (s, 1H, OH), 2.17 (s, 3H, OCC₃), 2.06 (s, 3H, OCC₃), 2.04 (s, 3H, OCC₃), 2.00 (s, 3H, OCC₃). ^13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.5, 170.4, 170.3, 169.6, 163.4, 154.1, 154.5, 132.7, 125.1, 122.0, 117.7, 100.1, 72.6, 71.2, 71.0, 70.5, 70.1, 68.8, 67.0, 64.5, 61.7, 61.5, 53.6, 20.9, 20.8, 20.7. HR-ESI-QToF MS (positive mode): m/z calcd for C₂₉H₃₉N₄O₁₄ [M + H]⁺ 667.2457, found 667.2461.

**Triazolyl-diethylene glycol galactopyranoside phosphoramidite 30:** The galactoside derivative 29 (3.36 mmol, 2.24 g) was co-evaporated twice with anhydrous CH₃CN, and product was solubilized in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (45 mL). Anhydrous DIEA (4.7 mmol, 820 µL) was added and mixture was dried over molecular sieve (4 Å) for 2h under argon atmosphere and CaCl₂ guard. At 0 °C, cyanoethyl-N,N-diisopropyl phosphoramidite chloride (3.7 mmol, 875 µL) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 1h at room temperature. H₂O (1 mL) was added, after 5min organic layer was washed twice with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃. Organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄.
and solvent evaporated under vacuum. The residue was purified on silica gel flash chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/AcOEt/10% NEt₃, 9:1 v/v 10% NEt₃) to obtain phosphoramidite 30 (white solid, 2.28 g, 78%). TLC Rf: 0.2 (CH₂Cl₂/AcOEt/10% NEt₃, 7:2, v/v 10% NEt₃). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.45 (s, 1H, NH), 7.80 (s, 1H, Tz), 7.41 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.94 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, Tz), 5.51 – 5.40 (m, 2H, H₂, H₄), 5.17 (s, 2H, OCCH₂Tz), 5.09 (dd, J = 10.5, 3.4 Hz, 1H, H'₅), 4.98 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, H'₅), 4.70 (s, 2H, OCH₂Tz), 4.27 – 4.10 (m, 2H, H₆), 4.04 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H, H'₅), 3.89 – 3.75 (m, 2H, POCH₂CH₂CN), 3.75 – 3.62 (m, 8H, OCH₂), 3.62 – 3.50 (m, 2H, NCH), 2.62 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H, POCH₂CH₂CN), 2.16 (s, 3H, OCCH₃), 2.04 (s, 3H, OCCH₃), 2.00 (s, 3H, OCCH₃), 1.16 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 6H, NCHCH₃), 1.14 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 6H, NCHCH₃). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.5, 170.2, 169.5, 163.3, 154.1, 145.8, 132.6, 124.8, 121.9, 118.1, 117.7, 100.1, 71.4, 71.2, 70.9, 70.7, 70.1, 68.8, 67.0, 64.7, 62.8, 62.6, 61.5, 58.7, 58.5, 53.5, 43.2, 43.1, 24.8, 24.7, 24.7, 20.9, 20.8, 20.7, 20.5, 20.4. ³¹P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 148.51. HR-ESI-QToF MS (positive mode): m/z calcd for C₁₄₁H₁₈₃N₂₀O₇₂P [M + H]⁺ 867.3536, found = 867.3525.

**Galactocluster diethylene glycol 32:** The propargyl-diethylene glycol mannopyranoside 26 (92 mg, 0.3 mmol) and galactopyranoside phosphoramidite 30 (1.81 g, 2.1 mmol) were solubilized in dry CH₃CN (12 mL) and mixture was dried over molecular sieve 3Å for 1h. Then BMT (519 mg, 2.7 mmol) as activator was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4h. H₂O was added and after 5min, Amberlyst ® A26 IO₄⁻ resin (2.49 mmol/g, 1 g) was added, the mixture was stirred for 2h. After filtration, solvent was evaporated under vacuum (MALDI-TOF MS (positive mode, THAP): m/z calcd for C₁₄₁H₁₈₃N₂₀O₇₂P [M+H]⁺ 3433.98, found 3434.05). The crude product was treated with 30% ammonia for 2h at room temperature. After evaporation under vacuum, the residue was purified on reverse phase flash chromatography (H₂O/1% CH₃CN 25 mM TEAAc - 80% CH₃CN 25 mM TEAAc) and lyophilized in H₂O to afford product 32 (yellow solid, 409 mg, 54%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, D₂O) δ 8.08 – 8.03 (m, 4H, Tz), 7.33 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 8H, Ar), 7.04 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 8H, Ar), 5.36 – 5.28 (m, 8H, OCCH₂Tz), 5.01 (s, 1H, H₁’gal), 4.92 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H, H₁’gal), 4.70 – 4.54 (m, 9H, OCH₂Tz, H’man), 4.40 – 4.28 (m, 1H, H’man), 4.28 – 4.20 (m, 1H, H’man), 4.12 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 2H, CH₂CCH), 4.08 – 3.98 (m, 6H, POCHH, H’man), 4.00 – 3.90 (m, 7H, POCHH, H’gal), 3.91 – 3.83 (m, 2H, H’man), 3.83 – 3.51 (m, 54H, H’gal, OCH₂), 2.81 (t, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H, CH₂CCH). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, D₂O) δ 180.7, 165.1, 153.4, 143.4, 137.0, 130.5, 125.7, 122.4, 116.1, 100.1, 97.0, 78.6, 71.7, 69.7, 69.3, 68.7, 68.6, 68.1, 67.7, 67.6, 64.0, 63.9, 62.2, 59.8, 57.0, 51.6, 36.7. ³¹P NMR (202 MHz, D₂O) δ 0.52, -0.74, -0.80, -0.97. HPLC C18 (1% to 24% CH₃CN 50 mM TEAAc over 20min): 11.8 min. MALDI-TOF MS (negative mode,
Organic layer was washed at 97°C, affording tosyl CH₂CN, red at 5 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂CN. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1h at room temperature. Water (1 mL) was added and the solution was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL), and washed with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (40 mL) then with brine (42 mL). The organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using cyclohexane and ethyl acetate 90:10 to 70:30 v/v with 4% of triethylamine affording tosyl-triethyleneglycol phosphoramidite 34 (486 mg, 64%) as a colorless oil. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 7.80 (d, 2H, J = 8.3 Hz, Ar), 7.34 (d, 2H, J = 8.2 Hz, Ar), 4.2-4.18 – 4.09 (m, 2H, TosOCH₂), 3.91 - 3.44 (m, 14H, NCH₂POCH₂CH₂CN, OCH₂), 2.64 (t, 2H, J = 6.5 Hz, POCH₂CH₂CN), 2.45 (s, 3H, PhCH₃), 1.18 (d, 6H, J = 6.5 Hz, CHCH₂), 1.17 (d, 6H, J = 6.5 Hz, CHCH₃). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 101 MHz): δ 145.0, 133.1, 130.0, 128.1, 118.0, 71.4, 71.4, 71.0, 70.7, 69.4, 68.8, 62.8, 62.6, 58.7, 58.5, 53.6, 43.2, 43.1, 24.8, 24.7, 24.8, 21.8, 20.5, 20.4. ³¹P-NMR (CDCl₃, 121 MHz): δ 148.7 ppm. HR-ESI-QToF MS (positive mode): m/z calcd for C₄₂H₄₀N₂O₈PS [M+H]+ 523.2243, found 523.2223.

**Tosyl-triethyleneglycol 2-cyanotethyl diisopropyl phosphoramidite 34:** To a solution of tosyl-triethyleneglycol[33] (460 mg 1.5 mmol) and DIEA (392 µL, 2.25 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL) was added 2-cyanoethyl-N,N-diisopropylchboro phosphoramidite (334 µL, 1.5 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred for 1h at room temperature. Water (1 mL) was added and the solution was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL), and washed with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (40 mL) then with brine (42 mL). The organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using cyclohexane and ethyl acetate 90:10 to 70:30 v/v with 4% of triethylamine affording tosyl-triethyleneglycol phosphoramidite 34 (486 mg, 64%) as a colorless oil. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 7.80 (d, 2H, J = 8.3 Hz, Ar), 7.34 (d, 2H, J = 8.2 Hz, Ar), 4.2-4.18 – 4.09 (m, 2H, TosOCH₂), 3.91 - 3.44 (m, 14H, NCH₂POCH₂CH₂CN, OCH₂), 2.64 (t, 2H, J = 6.5 Hz, POCH₂CH₂CN), 2.45 (s, 3H, PhCH₃), 1.18 (d, 6H, J = 6.5 Hz, CHCH₂), 1.17 (d, 6H, J = 6.5 Hz, CHCH₃). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 101 MHz): δ 145.0, 133.1, 130.0, 128.1, 118.0, 71.4, 71.4, 71.0, 70.7, 69.4, 68.8, 62.8, 62.6, 58.7, 58.5, 53.6, 43.2, 43.1, 24.8, 24.7, 24.8, 21.8, 20.5, 20.4. ³¹P-NMR (CDCl₃, 121 MHz): δ 148.7 ppm. HR-ESI-QToF MS (positive mode): m/z calcd for C₄₂H₄₀N₂O₈PS [M+H]+ 523.2243, found 523.2223.

**Tripropargyl-pentaerythritol tosyl-triethyleneglycol cyanoethyl phosphate 35:** To tripropargyl pentaerythritol 33 (112 mg, 0.45 mmol) in anhydrous CH₃CN (2 mL), tosyl-triethyleneglycol phosphoramidite 34 (297 mg, 0.6 mmol) was added and mixture was dried over molecular sieve 3Å for 1h. A solution of tetrazole (0.4M, 3 mL, 1.2 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2h. Water was added (1 mL) and after 5 min Amberlyst ® A26 IO₄⁻ resin (2.49 mmol/g, 482 mg, 1.2 mmol) was added, the mixture was stirred for 2h. After filtration and dilution in CH₂Cl₂, the organic layer was washed with saturated solution of NaHCO₃ and brine. Organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄ and solvent was evaporated under vacuum. Crude was purified on silica gel flash chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 95:5 v/v) to obtain 35 (yellow oil, 176 mg, 59%). TLC Rf: 0.43 (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 95:5, v/v). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.80 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.35 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, Ar), 4.32 – 4.18 (m, 4H, POCH₂CH₂CN, POCH₂), 4.18 – 4.06 (m, 10H, TosOCH₂, POCH₂C, OCH₂CCH), 3.72 – 3.67 (m, 4H, OCH₂), 3.63 – 3.58 (m, 4H, OCH₂), 3.53 (s, 6H, CCH₂O), 2.79 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, POCH₂CH₂CN), 2.45 (s, 3H, PhCH₃), 2.43 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 3H, CH₂CCH). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 145.0, 133.1, 130.0, 128.1, 116.8, 79.8, 74.6, 70.9, 70.7, 69.4, 69.0, 68.3, 67.3, 67.2, 62.0, 58.9, 45.0, 44.9, 21.8, 19.7. ³¹P NMR (162 MHz,
CDCl₃) δ -1.78. HR-ESI-QToF MS (positive mode): m/z calcd for C₃₀H₄₁NO₁₂PS [M + H]⁺ 670.2082, found 670.2077.

[Tri-(2,3-dibenzoxybenzamide propyl triazol)-pentaerythrityl] tosyl-triethyleneglycol cyanoethyl phosphate 36: Compound 35 (1.17 g, 1.7 mmol) was solubilized in dioxane/H₂O (3:1 v/v, 17 mL) and N-(3-azidopropyl)-2,3-dibenzoxybenzamide 25 (3.02 g, 6.8 mmol), copper (4 mg, 0.068 mmol) and TEAAc (2M, 500 µL) were added. The mixture was stirred at 55 °C overnight. After filtration and evaporation, the residue was solubilized in a minimum of CH₂CN and treated with Quadrapure® IDA (500 mg) for 3h. The mixture was filtered and filtrate evaporated. The crude was purified on silica gel flash chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 95:5 v/v). Residue was solubilized in dioxane for lyophilization to obtain 36 (white solid, 2.21 g, 65%). TLC Rf: 0.21 (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 95:5 v/v). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.05 – 7.94 (m, 12H, Ar), 7.75 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.67 (s, 3H, Tz), 7.58 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.6 Hz, 3H, Ar), 7.55 – 7.48 (m, 6H, Ar), 7.44 – 7.39 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.38 – 7.27 (m, 17H, Ar), 7.21 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 3H, NH), 4.53 (s, 6H, OCH₂-Tz), 4.26 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 6H, TzCH₂CH₂), 4.15 – 3.97 (m, 8H, POCH₂, TosOCH₂, POCH₂CH₂, POCH₂-C), 3.66 – 3.58 (m, 4H, OCH₂), 3.56 – 3.52 (m, 4H, OCH₂), 3.44 (s, 6H, CCH₂O), 3.28 (dd, J = 12.6, 6.2 Hz, 6H, CH₂CH₂N), 2.72 – 2.63 (m, 2H, POCH₂CH₂CN), 2.41 (s, 3H, PhCH₃), 2.04 – 1.92 (m, 6H, TzCH₂CH₂CH₂N), ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.9, 164.4, 164.3, 145.0, 143.5, 140.6, 134.2, 134.0, 133.0, 131.3, 130.3, 130.1, 128.1, 128.7, 128.5, 128.3, 128.1, 126.7, 126.6, 125.9, 123.4, 117.3, 70.8, 70.5, 69.9, 69.5, 68.8, 68.3, 67.3, 65.0, 62.2, 47.5, 45.2, 36.8, 30.1, 21.8, 19.6. ³¹P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -2.17, -2.21, -2.25. HR-ESI-QToF MS (positive mode): m/z calcd for C₁₀₂H₁₀₁N₁₃O₂₅PS [M + H]⁺ 2002.6388, found 2002.6431, m/z calcd for C₁₀₂H₁₀₂N₁₃O₂₅PS [(M + 2H)/2]⁺ 1001.8233, found 1001.8260, m/z calcd for C₁₀₂H₁₀₃N₁₃O₂₅PS [(M + 3H)/3]⁺ 668.2181, found 668.2202.

[Tri-(2,3-dibenzoxybenzamide propyl triazol) pentaerythrityl] azido-triethyleneglycol phosphate 37: Compound 36 (1.93 g, 0.96 mmol) was solubilized in NEt₃/MeOH/H₂O mixture (1:5:1 v/v/v, 100 mL) and mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Reaction was monitored by MALDI-TOF spectrometry. Solvents were evaporated under vacuum and residue was solubilized in DMF (20 mL). TMGN₃ (184 mg, 1.16 mmol) was added and the solution was heated to 55 °C overnight. Solvent was evaporated and residue was solubilized in anhydrous pyridine (20 mL) and cooled to -5 °C then benzoyl chloride was added dropwise (744 µL, 6.4 mmol) and crude was stirred for 1h at 0 °C. Solvent was evaporated and residue was purified on reverse phase flash chromatography (H₂O/32% CH₃CN 50 mM TEAAc - 80% CH₃CN 25 mM TEAAc). Tampon was co-evaporated with H₂O and CH₃CN. Residue was lyophilized in dioxane to give 37 (white solid,
447 mg, 29%). 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz) δ 8.03 – 7.93 (m, 12 H, Ar), 7.84 (s, 3H, Tz), 7.67 – 7.60 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.55 – 7.46 (m, 6H, Ar), 7.43 – 7.37 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.37 – 7.28 (m, 15H, Ar), 4.58 – 4.50 (m, 6H, OCH2Tz), 4.33 – 4.21 (m, 6H, TzCH2CH2), 3.98 – 3.86 (m, 2H, POCH2), 3.85 – 3.79 (m, 2H, CH2CH2N3), 3.65 – 3.54 (m, 8H, POCH2C, OCH2), 3.45 (s, 6H, CCH2O), 3.36 – 3.20 (m, 10H, CH2CH2N, OCH2), 2.78 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 12H, CH2CH3 triethyl ammonium), 2.06 – 1.89 (m, 6H, TzCH2CH2CH2N), 1.12 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 18H, CH2CH3 triethyl ammonium). 13C NMR (CDCl3, 101 MHz) δ 176.4, 166.3, 166.2, 164.4, 164.2, 145.0, 143.2, 140.4, 134.1, 134.0, 131.1, 130.3, 130.1, 128.8, 128.6, 128.2, 128.1, 126.6, 126.3, 125.6, 123.5, 70.4, 69.8, 68.6, 66.1, 64.4, 49.2, 49.0, 48.9, 48.7, 47.5, 45.2, 36.6, 36.5, 29.8, 22.2, 8.3, 8.2. 31P NMR (202 MHz, CDCl3) δ -0.26.

C18 HPLC (32% to 80% CH3CN in 50 mM TEAAc over 20 min): 15 min. MALDI-TOF MS (negative mode, THAP): m/z calcld for C92H93N15O24P [M - H]+ 1819.78, found 1819.76. HR-ESI-QToF MS (positive mode): m/z Calcld for C92H91N15O24P [M + H]+ 1820.6099, found 1820.6093, m/z calcld for C92H92N15O24P [(M + 2H)/2] 910.8088, found 910.8104.

**Benzoylated galactocluster tri-catechol BzG3C:** To a solution of galactocluster mannoside alkyne 32 (400 mg, 0.156 mmol) and tri-catechol platform 37 (312 mg, 0.172 mmol) in dioxane/H2O (5:1 v/v, 12 mL) and TEAAc (2M, 60 µL), THPTA (68 mg, 0.156 mmol) and copper nanopowder (4 mg, 0.063 mmol) were added and stirred for 6 days. After filtration and evaporation, the crude was purified on reverse phase by flash chromatography (H2O/1% CH3CN 50 mM TEAAc - 80% CH3CN 25 mM TEAAc). The product was freeze-dried to afford compound BzG3C together with partially deprotected products. A pure fraction was isolated for characterization. HPLC C18 (1% to 24% CH3CN 50 mM TEAAc over 12 min and 24% to 80% CH3CN 50 mM TEAAc over 7 min): 17.5 min. 1H NMR (600 MHz, D2O) δ 8.12 – 7.96 (m, 8H, Tz, NH), 7.92 – 7.86 (m, 3H, Tz), 7.76 – 7.55 (m, 11H, Ar), 7.54 – 7.40 (m, 19H, Ar), 7.40 – 7.27 (m, 18H, Ar), 7.27 – 7.12 (m, 12H, Ar), 7.14 – 6.96 (m, 25H, Ar), 6.96 – 6.68 (m, 18H, Ar), 5.39 – 5.14 (m, 17H, OCCH2Tz), 5.10 (s, 3H, H1’man), 4.98 – 4.89 (m, 11H, H’gal, H’man), 4.71 – 4.22 (m, 46H, CCH2Tz, OCH2CH2Tz), 4.19 – 3.92 (m, 39H, CH2CH2Tz, H’gal, POCH2), 3.92 – 3.03 (m, 156H, OCH2, H’gal, POCH2C, CCH2O, NCH2CH2), 1.88 – 1-60 (m, 6H, NCH2CH2CH2Tz). 13C NMR (151 MHz, D2O) δ 181.5, 175.8, 165.3, 154.2, 144.2, 136.3, 131.6, 131.2, 129.7, 128.8, 128.3, 127.4, 126.5, 123.0, 117.0, 101.0, 75.3, 72.6, 70.5, 69.5, 69.0, 68.4, 64.8, 64.7, 64.5, 63.7, 63.1, 60.7, 52.4, 49.8, 47.5, 46.6, 36.4, 29.1, 23.3. 31P NMR (202 MHz, D2O) δ 0.57, 0.34, -0.82, -1.02 (PO). MALDI-TOF MS (positive mode, THAP): m/z calcld for C189H229N31O80P5 [M+H]+ 4369.92, found 4370.49. MALDI-TOF MS (negative mode, THAP): m/z calcld for C189H227N31O80P5 [M-H] - 4367.92, found 4367.0.
Galactocluster tri-catechol G3C: A solution of the benzoylated galactocluster tricatechol BzG3C (~300 mg) in NEt3/MeOH/H2O (1:5:1 v/v/v, 30 mL) was kept at room temperature overnight, then extracted three times with AcOEt and triethyl ammonium was exchanged with Dowex 50 W X8 Na+ to give after lyophilization G3C (brown solid, 220 mg, 37%, for two steps). 1H NMR (600 MHz, D2O) δ 8.22 – 7.75 (m, 8H, Tz), 7.51 – 6.73 (m, 19H, Ar), 5.39 (s, 8H, OCCH2Tz), 5.11 (s, 1H, H1’man), 5.05 – 4.85 (m, 4H, H1’gal), 4.75 – 4.24 (m, 29H, H’man, TzCH2O, CH2CH2Tz), 4.23 – 2.96 (m, 104H, POCH2, POCH2C, OCH2, H’gal, H’man, NCH2CH2) 2.31 – 1.94 (m, 6H, NCH2CH2CH2Tz). 13C NMR (151 MHz, D2O) δ 181.5, 169.9, 165.9, 154.2, 144.2, 131.4, 128.8, 128.3, 126.5, 124.6, 123.1, 117.0, 101.0, 97.7, 75.3, 72.6, 70.5, 70.3, 69.5, 69.0, 68.5, 64.9, 64.7, 63.7, 63.1, 60.7, 52.4, 49.8, 36.6, 28.7, 23.3. 31P NMR (202 MHz, D2O) δ 0.59, 0.31, -0.75, -1.00 (PO). MALDI-TOF MS (negative mode, THAP): m/z calcd for C147H203N31O74P5 [M-H]- 3743.25, found 3743.27, MALDI-TOF MS (positive mode, THAP): m/z calcd for C147H203N31O74P5 [M+H]+ 3745.27, found 3745.75. HR-ESI-QToF MS (positive mode): m/z calcd for C147H207N31O74P5 [(M + 3H)/3]+ 1248.4020, found 1248.4016.

Fluorescence quantification of bacterial labelling by cy3-galacto/fucoclusters.

Stationary phase growing bacteria were adjusted to an OD620nm of 3 in PBS 1X and labelled with 10 μg/mL of 4’,6’-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Sigma). 1 μM of cy3-galacto/fucoclusters harbouring 0 to 3 catechols/hydroxamates was added to the bacterial suspension and allow to interact for 1h. Initial fluorescence (Cy3 and DAPI) associated with each sample was measured using a Clariostar microplate reader (BMG Labtech). Samples were centrifuged (5000 g, 3 min) and washed in PBS 1X until Cy3 fluorescence of the supernatant was undetectable. Cy3 (ex. 550 nm/em. 570 nm) and DAPI (ex. 350 nm/em. 460 nm) fluorescence of each bacterial suspension was finally measured using the Clariostar fluorescence plate reader. Ratio of Cy3/DAPI fluorescence was considered as specific bacterial labelling by the cy3-galacto/fucoclusters with or w/o catechols or hydroxamate and adjusted to 100% for the control labelling (G0 or F0). Correction of the labelling ratio was done if the initial Cy3 fluorescence of samples were different.

Bacterial and cell culture

The mucoepidermoid pulmonary carcinoma cell line NCI-H292 (A.T.C.C. cell line CRL-1848) was kindly provided by Dr Jean-Marc Lo Guidice (EA4483, Lille, France). Cells were grown in DMEM (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium, Biowest, Denmark) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal calf
serum (FCS, Gibco BRL, USA), 2 mM Ultraglutamine (Lonza, Switzerland), 100 units/mL penicillin, and 0.1 mg/mL streptomycin in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37 °C. For maintenance, cells were grown to confluence and subcultured every 2–3 days at a split ratio of 1:4. PA strains, wild type PAO1 (kindly provided by Pr. Reuben Ramphal, University of Florida Gainsville, FL, USA) and lecA, lecB, fpvA or exbB1 mutants (obtained from the Pseudomonas Transposon Mutant Collection, UW Genome Sciences, Washington, USA) were cultured for 16 h at 37 °C in LB medium under 150 rev/min agitation. For adhesion assays, bacteria were washed in DMEM medium without FCS and diluted in the same medium at working concentrations (1x10⁶ UFC/mL)

**Infection assays/Gentamicin protection assay**

NCI-H292 cells were seeded into 12-well plates and grown to 80% confluence for 48-72 h in complete DMEM. Medium was renewed every 24h. After two washes with fresh DMEM without FCS, cells were incubated with bacterial suspension in the same medium (1×10⁶ UFC/mL and MOI of 5) for 2 h at 37 °C. Unbound bacteria were removed by two washes with 1 ml of DMEM without FCS. Then, cells were incubated 1h with fresh DMEM, without FCS, complemented with gentamcin (200 μg/mL) in order to kill bound bacteria not internalized in the cell. Cells were washed four more times with DMEM without FCS, and lysed using deionized water containing 0.02% Triton X-100. Serial dilution of cell lysates in DPBS were then prepared and plated on to LB agar to quantify the rate of infection by comparison with the control, untreated PAO1. When indicated, galactoclusters with or w/o catechols, were added to the medium during the 2h of infection to a final concentration ranging from 100 to 500 μM.

**Statistical analysis**

Values presented for fluorescence quantification and Infection assays are means ± SD of three independent experiments and were tested by One-way ANOVA multiple comparison, Tukey-Test, using GraphPad Prism 8.4.
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